PLOS ONE



GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Bortone B, Jackson C, Hsia Y, Bielicki J, Magrini N, Sharland M (2021) High global consumption of potentially inappropriate fixed dose combination antibiotics: Analysis of data from 75 countries. PLoS ONE 16(1): e0241899. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241899

Editor: Iddya Karunasagar, Nitte University, INDIA

Received: January 20, 2020

Accepted: October 22, 2020

Published: January 20, 2021

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241899

Copyright: © 2021 Bortone et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: We received no specific funding for this work.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

High global consumption of potentially inappropriate fixed dose combination antibiotics: Analysis of data from 75 countries

Barbara Bortone¹, Charlotte Jackson², Yingfen Hsia^{2,3}*, Julia Bielicki^{2,4}, Nicola Magrini⁵, Mike Sharland²

1 Paediatric Infectious Diseases Division, Meyer Children's University Hospital, Florence, Italy, 2 St George's, University of London, Paediatric Infectious Diseases Research Group, Institute of Infection and Immunity, London, United Kingdom, 3 School of Pharmacy, Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom, 4 Paediatric Pharmacology and Paediatric infectious Diseases, University of Basel Children's Hospital, Basel, Switzerland, 5 WHO, Expert Committee on the Selection and Use of Essential Medicines, Geneva, Switzerland

* Y.Hsia@qub.ac.uk

Abstract

Antibiotic fixed dose combinations (FDCs) can have clinical advantages such as improving effectiveness and adherence to therapy. However, high use of potentially inappropriate FDCs has been reported, with implications for antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and toxicity. We used a pharmaceutical database, IQVIA-Multinational Integrated Data Analysis System (IQVIA-MIDAS®), to estimate sales of antibiotic FDCs from 75 countries in 2015. Antibiotic consumption was estimated using standard units (SU), defined by IQVIA as a single tablet, capsule, ampoule, vial or 5ml oral suspension. For each FDC antibiotic, the approval status was assessed by either registration with the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) or inclusion on the World Health Organization (WHO) Essential Medicines List (EML). A total of 119 antibiotic FDCs were identified, contributing 16.7 x 10⁹ SU, equalling 22% of total antibiotic consumption in 2015. The most sold antibiotic FDCs were amoxicillinclavulanic acid followed by trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and ampicillin/cloxacillin. The category with the highest consumption volume was aminopenicillin/β-lactamase inhibitor +/other agents. The majority of antibiotic FDCs (92%; 110/119) were not approved by the US FDA. Of these, the most sold were ampicillin/cloxacillin, cefixime/ofloxacin and metronidazole/spiramycin. More than 80% (98/119) of FDC antibiotics were not compatible with the 2017 WHO EML. The countries with the highest numbers of FDC antibiotics were India (80/ 119), China (25/119) and Vietnam (19/119). There is high consumption of FDC antibiotics globally, particularly in middle-income countries. The majority of FDC antibiotic were not approved by either US FDA or WHO EML. International initiatives such as clear guidance from the WHO EML on which FDCs are not appropriate may help to regulate the manufacturing and sales of these antibiotics.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Global antibiotic consumption has been changing rapidly, increasing by 65% between 2000 and 2015, mainly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) [1,2]. Fixed dose combinations (FDCs) including one or more products with antibacterial activity have previously been noted as a concern, but there has been limited data on the scale of their use [3–6]. FDCs are defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as "A combination of two or more actives in a fixed ratio of doses. This term is used generically to mean a particular combination of actives irrespective of the formulation or brand. It may be administered as single entity products given concurrently or as a finished pharmaceutical product" [7]. These products can have advantages such as improving treatment response compared to monotherapy, due to synergistic mechanisms (such as sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim), or by increasing adherence to therapy.

FDCs are well-established in conditions such as tuberculosis, malaria and HIV treatment. However, the consumption of potentially clinically inappropriate antibiotic FDCs has been reported in some countries, raising concerns about the lack of proven efficacy, increasing toxicity or their potential effect on selecting for antimicrobial resistance (AMR) [4-6]. In 2017, the WHO Essential Medicines List (EML) Working Group classified antibiotics in the EML and EML for Children (EMLc) into three groups: Access, Watch, and Reserve (AWaRe classification). The Access group contains generally narrow spectrum antibiotics recommended as first and second choice for most common clinical infection syndromes. The Watch group contains broader spectrum antibiotic classes corresponding to the highest priority agents on the list of critically important antimicrobial drugs for human medicine. The Reserve group consists of last resort antibiotics for targeted use in multidrug resistant infections (Sharland et al., 2018). The new AWaRe classification is intended to be easy to apply to monitor antibiotic use and inform antibiotic stewardship. We therefore aimed to quantify the global consumption of antibiotic FDCs and to describe the types of combinations used. We also identified their approval status with the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and compatibility with the WHO's 2017 revision of the Essential Medicines List (EML).

Methods

Data

This was an ecological study to assess the total sale of antibiotic FDCs. We estimated global antibiotic FDCs sales in 2015 using the IQVIA-Multinational Integrated Data Analysis System (IQVIA-MIDAS[®]) database. IQVIA-MIDAS is a commercial database containing data from pharmacy retails sales throughout the supply chains, including overall antibiotic volume sold to retailers and hospital pharmacies by wholesalers. The proportion of wholesalers contributing data to IQVIA-MIDAS varies between represented countries and IQVIA adjusts the reported data based on the market share of participating wholesalers, to provide estimates of total sales in the sectors represented in each country. Our dataset contains annual pharmaceutical sales data for 75 countries/regions. Central America (Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Panama) and Francophone West Africa (Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Republic of Congo, Guinea, Mali, Niger, Senegal, and Togo) are defined as two regions with aggregated sales for these countries in the database [8].

Annual sales of each antibiotic (including information on component antibiotics, formulation, trade name and manufacturer) are recorded for each country. Antibiotic sales were expressed in standard units (SU), with 1 SU defined by IQVIA as one tablet, capsule or ampoule/vial or 5ml oral suspension. We did not include anti-tuberculosis drugs, antiviral drugs, and antifungal drugs in our analyses.

Analysis

We defined antibiotic FDCs as medications consisting of at least one Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code J01 systemic antibiotic with another J01 antibiotic or a β -lactamase inhibitor or a 5-nitroimidazole with or without any other medication (e.g probiotics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs). Antibiotic FDCs were aggregated in categories based on the WHO ATC Classification System [9]. Penicillins were classified as β -lactamase sensitive penicillins, β -lactamase resistant penicillins, aminopenicillins, ureidopenicillins and carboxypenicillins. Ureidopenicillins and carboxypenicillin were grouped as antipseudomonal penicillins [10]. The total annual sales of each antibiotic FDC sold was recorded by formulation type. For each antibiotic FDC, we summed the total consumption and reported the number of countries where it was sold. Total consumption for each antibiotic FDC was quantified by country income. The World Bank categories were used to classify countries as high income and low/ middle income countries (HICs and LMICs) [11].

Approval status of antibiotic FDCs. Each of the antibiotic FDCs was categorised as approved or not approved by the US FDA by searching the FDA website [12]. For each country, the number and volume of antibiotic FDCs not approved by the FDA was expressed as a percentage of total antibiotic sales and total antibiotic FDCs.

Classification of antibiotic FDCs according to WHO criteria. Antibiotic FDCs were also assessed based on the WHO 2017 revision of EML [13]. EML compatible antibiotic FDCs were grouped into Access, Watch, and Reserve using the WHO AWaRe classification.

Antibiotic FDCs with two critically important antibiotics. The components of the antibiotic FDCs were also matched to the WHO's "critically important antibiotics" (CIA) classification [14] to identify antibiotic FDCs in which both the components belonged to the "highest priority critically important antibiotics" group.

Results

A total of 74.4 x 10⁹ SU of antibiotic agents was sold in 2015. Amongst these, 119 different antibiotic FDCs were identified (S1 Table), contributing to 16.7 x 10⁹ SU, 22.5% of all antibiotic sales. The highest number of antibiotic FDCs were in the category of aminopenicillin/ β -lactamase resistant penicillin (Table 1). The category with the greatest sales was that of aminopenicillin / β -lactamase inhibitor +/- other agents (Table 1).

Approximately one in six (20/119) antibiotic FDCs included probiotics as additional agents; probiotics were mostly *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Bacillus coagulans*. The most sold was cefixime/dicloxacillin/*Lactobacillus acidophilus*. The country with the highest number of antibiotic FDCs sold was India (80), followed by China (25) and Vietnam (19) (Fig 1). Overall, the most sold FDC by volume was amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (8.38 x 10^9 SU), followed by sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (3.61 x 10^9 SU) and ampicillin/cloxacillin (0.95 x 10^9 SU) (Table 2 and S1 Table). Amoxicillin/clavulanate and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim were sold by all 75 countries; other widely sold antibiotic FDCs were piperacillin/tazobactam (66) and ampicillin/sulbactam (49) (Table 2).

Compared to other countries, India accounted for the greatest sales volume of amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, and ampicillin/cloxacillin. There were clear country level differences in sales of FDCs, with the highest sales of piperacillin/tazobactam in Australia, metronidazole/spiramycin in France and cefoperazone/sulbactam in China.

FDA approval

Only 9/119 antibiotic FDCs were approved by the US FDA (7.5%) (Table 3). Of the 110 antibiotic FDCs not authorized by US FDA (92.5%), the highest numbers of combinations and the

FDC types	Standard Unite sold	Number of FDCs
Aminopenicillin /β-lactamase inhibitor //- other agents	8.60 x 10 ⁹	8
Sulphonamides/trimethoprim+/- other agents	3.62 x 10 ⁹	9
Aminopenicillin / β-lactamase resistant penicillin +/- other agents	1.54 x 10 ⁹	21
Antipseudomonal penicillin /β-lactamase inhibitor	0.95 x 10 ⁹	4
$3^{rd}\text{-}4^{th}\text{-}5^{th}$ gen. cephalosporins /\beta-lactamase inhibitor +/- other agents	0.55 x 10 ⁹	15
Cephalosporins / fluoroquinolones	0.40 x 10 ⁹	6
$1^{st}-2^{nd}$ gen. cephalosporins / β -lactamase inhibitor +/- other agents	0.26 x 10 ⁹	8
Macrolide/ 5-nitroimidazole	0.24 x 10 ⁹	3
Macrolide/cephalosporin+/-other agents	0.21 x 10 ⁹	3
Cephalosporin/ β-lactamase resistant penicillin +/- other agents	0.10 x 10 ⁹	7
Cephalosporin/trimethoprim	0.09 x 10 ⁹	2
Cephalosporin/oxazolidinone	$0.04 \ge 10^9$	2
Fluoroquinolone/ 5-nitroimidazole	0.04 x 10 ⁹	8
Macrolide / fluoroquinolone +/- other agents	0.04 x 10 ⁹	2
Cephalosporin/5-nitroimidazole	0.03 x 10 ⁹	1
Other combinations	0.01 x 10 ⁹	20

Table 1.	Categories o	f antibiotic	Fixed Dose	Combinations	(FDCs).
					· /

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241899.t001

largest sales volumes were in the categories containing aminopenicillin+ β -lactamase resistant penicillins +/- other agents (probiotics, lactic acid, serrapeptase) (19) and that including 3rd-4th-5th generation cephalosporins/ β -lactamase resistant penicillins +/- other agents (13) (S2 Table).

Overall, 53/75 (70.7%) countries sold at least one FDC not approved by the US FDA (Fig 1); 31/53 countries (58.4%) were LMIC. The highest number of "not FDA-approved" antibiotic FDCs were sold in India, China, Francophone West Africa and Vietnam (Fig 1). India and Francophone West Africa had the highest percentage of antibiotic FDCs not approved by the US FDA (93.8% of total antibiotic FDCs). Ampicillin/cloxacillin was the highest sold antibiotic FDC which was not FDA-approved, followed by cefixime/ofloxacin, and metronidazole/spiramycin (Table 4). A total of 3.81 x 10⁹ SU of antibiotic FDCs not approved by the US FDA were sold, corresponding to 22.7% of the antibiotic FDCs SU sold and to 5.1% of the total antibiotic consumption, with considerable variation across countries (S3 Table).



Total FDCs FDCs not approved by FDA

Fig 1. Number of fixed dose combination (FDC) antibiotic sold by country.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241899.g001

FDC	Standard Unite sold	Number of countries sold in
amoxicillin/clavulanic acid	8.38 x 10 ⁹	75
sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim	3.61 x 10 ⁹	75
ampicillin/cloxacillin	0.95 x 10 ⁹	13
piperacillin/tazobactam	0.79 x 10 ⁹	66
cefixime/ofloxacin	0.31 x 10 ⁹	1
metronidazole/spiramycin	0.24 x 10 ⁹	17
cefpodoxime proxetil/clavulanic acid	0.23 x 10 ⁹	3
amoxicillin/flucloxacillin	0.19 x 10 ⁹	7
azithromycin/cefixime	0.17 x 10 ⁹	2
cefoperazone/sulbactam	0.16 x 10 ⁹	28

Table 2. Top 10 list of most sold antibiotic FDCs and the number of countries they are sold in.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241899.t002

Compatibility of antibiotic FDCs with the WHO EML

Among the 119 antibiotic FDCs, 98 (82.3%) were not compatible with the 2017 WHO EML (S4 Table). Among the 21 WHO-compatible antibiotic FDCs (S3 Table), 2 belonged to the "Access" category (amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim), 16 were compatible with the "Watch" group and 3 with the "Reserve" group (S4 Table). Ten antibiotic FDCs included two highest priority critically important antibiotics (S5 Table). Of these, the largest consumption volumes were reported for cefixime/ofloxacin and azithromycin/cefix-ime; none of these 10 antibiotic FDCs were listed on the EML or approved by the US FDA.

Discussion

We have analysed sales data on antibiotic fixed dose combinations from 75 countries, adding to previous studies which used data from single countries or regions [4–6]. Overall, antibiotic FDCs represent a substantial proportion of all systemic antibiotic consumption, accounting for about 20% of systemic antibiotic SU sold in 2015. The majority of the antibiotic FDCs identified were not compatible with the EML and were not approved by the US FDA, and several antibiotic FDCs contained two agents classified by the WHO as highest priority critically important antibiotics.

Globally, the most sold antibiotic FDCs were amoxicillin/clavulanate and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, which were sold in all countries and accounted for about 50% and 20% of the total antibiotic FDCs consumption, respectively. This is consistent with these drugs being recommended for treatment of common infectious conditions (such as acute otitis media, community acquired pneumonia and urinary tract infections) and with their inclusion on the

FDCs	Standard Unite sold globally	Number of countries sold in
amoxicillin/clavulanic acid	8.38 x 10 ⁹	75
sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim	3.61 x 10 ⁹	75
piperacillin/tazobactam	0.79 x 10 ⁹	66
ampicillin/sulbactam	0.12 x 10 ⁹	49
clavulanic acid/ticarcillin	0.02 x 10 ⁹	19
phenazopyridine/sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim	0.02 x 10 ⁸	1
ceftolozane/tazobactam	0.01 x 10 ⁷	9
avibactam/ceftazidime	0.07 x 10 ⁶	2
dalfopristin/quinupristin	0.02 x 10 ⁶	3

Table 3. Antibiotic FDCs authorized by FDA and the number of countries they are sold in.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241899.t003

FDC	Standard Unite globally sold	Number of countries sold in
ampicillin/cloxacillin	0.95 x 10 ⁹	13
cefixime/ofloxacin	0.31 x 10 ⁹	1
metronidazole/spiramycin	0.24 x 10 ⁹	17
cefpodoxime proxetil/clavulanic acid	0.22 x 10 ⁹	3
amoxicillin/flucloxacillin	0.19 x 10 ⁹	7
azithromycin/cefixime	0.17 x 10 ⁹	2
cefoperazone/sulbactam	0.16 x 10 ⁹	28
amoxicillin/cloxacillin	0.16 x 10 ⁹	4
cefixime/clavulanic acid	0.12 x 10 ⁹	2
cefalexin/trimethoprim	0.09 x 10 ⁹	1

Table 4. Antibiotic FDCs sold in the highest volumes in 2015 and not FDA approved FDCs and number of countries sold in.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241899.t004

EML as Access antibiotics [13]. However, there were many other widely used antibiotic FDCs, particularly from middle income countries, that were not on the EML. The category including the highest number of types of antibiotic FDCs was that of aminopenicillin/ β -lactamase resistant penicillins with or without probiotics followed by 3rd-4th-5th generation cephalosporins plus β -lactamase inhibitors with or without probiotics.

Defining clinically "inappropriate" antibiotic FDCs is complex and beyond the scope of this study. Previous studies have used as a standard of appropriateness the authorization status of antibiotic FDCs by the FDA, UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA) and local drug regulatory organisations [4–6]. We only used the US FDA to evaluate approval status for marketed FDC antibiotics in this study, as US FDA regulation is a widely accepted benchmark for drug approvals globally, while the EMA has both centralised and devolved local regulations for drug approval processes.

However, countries with different health priorities to the US may investigate and approve drugs (including antibiotic FDCs) which have not been approved by the US FDA. Therefore, in some countries, the use of antibiotic FDCs not approved by the US FDA might be clinically appropriate (e.g. those including aminopenicillin/ β -lactamase inhibitor, anti-pseudomonas penicillin / β -lactamase inhibitor or a third-fourth-fifth generation cephalosporins/ β -lactamase inhibitor and the wider group of sulphonamides/trimethoprim) [15]. Some of these antibiotic FDCs are part of the Watch and Reserve groups of the EML [13], therefore their consumption should be further monitored, investigated and potentially limited to selected indications.

On the other hand, for other combinations there appears to be no potential clinical additional benefit compared to the individual drugs formulated alone, such as those including two drugs belonging to the same class (cefpodoxime proxetile/cefixime, ampicillin/sultamicillin). There is limited evidence supporting the use of the three antibiotic FDCs accounting for the greatest consumption (ampicillin/cloxacillin, cefixime/ofloxacin and metronidazole/spiramycin). The clinical utility of using ampicillin/cloxacillin has been questioned [16–18], as the two antibiotics have overlapping spectra of activity and medical indications that require both these antibiotics as an empiric therapy are not common [16]. Cefixime/ofloxacin was only sold in India where the oral formulation was approved in 2010 for treating typhoid fever [19] in response to the increasing prevalence of *Salmonella typhi* resistant to quinolones [20]. Two randomized clinical trials are ongoing to compare cefixime/ofloxacin to ofloxacin for the therapy of typhoid fever, and may provide evidence about clinical effectiveness and safety of this combination [21,22]. Metronidazole/spiramycin (indicated for treatment of periodontitis) [23] was sold mainly in France and Vietnam. It has been reported that these two antibiotics have shown synergism in vitro against oral cavity bacteria and their efficacy was similar to amoxicillin-clavulanate but also to metronidazole monotherapy [24]. For this reason, it has been recommended in patients with history of hypersensitivity to amoxicillin/clavulanate.

Concerns regarding clinical efficacy

The approval of antibiotic FDCs may not always require evidence of efficacy and toxicity [7]. Evidence of clinical efficacy and safety of the single components or studies on the combination of the two agents can be sufficient, even if not in a fixed dose ratio [7]. A review of 22 different Indian brands of FDCs containing azithromycin with ofloxacin or cefixime reported that while the formulations contained an adequate dose of fluoroquinolone or cephalosporin, the dose of azithromycin was only 250 mg/tablet (one fifth of the WHO-recommended dose for a 60 kg individual (1200 mg; 10 mg/kg per day)) [25]. In India, dosing of ceftriaxone/vancomycin is recommended twice a day [26]. This dosing frequency is widely accepted for ceftriaxone [27] but administration of vancomycin is usually recommended every 6–8 hours [28].

Concerns regarding safety

There are limited data comparing toxicity resulting from antibiotic FDCs versus monotherapy for conditions other than tuberculosis. However, antibiotic FDCs (particularly those classified as irrational based on the 2012 WHO Essential Drug Model list) have been associated with about 20% of the total adverse drug reactions as a result of antibiotics (26). The combination most commonly associated with adverse events was Ofloxacin/Ornidazole, in terms of cutaneous manifestations [29]. Moreover, some antibiotic FDCs not approved by the FDA include narrow therapeutic index antibiotics such as glycopeptides and aminoglycosides (ceftriaxone/vancomycin, amikacin/cefepime).

Concerns regarding AMR

Gautam et al suggested a possible role of the injudicious use of antibiotic FDCs in the emergence of ciprofloxacin-resistant *Salmonella typhi* strains in India [30]. However, this is a complex area as the development of antibiotic FDCs may in part be a response to high AMR rates (as with the licensing in India of cefixime/ofloxacin for typhoid fever) [31,32]. Antibiotic FDCs may contribute to AMR by exposing bacteria to sub-therapeutic concentrations of one or both antibiotic components since sub-therapeutic concentrations can exert non-lethal selective pressures [31]. This selection of resistant strains may further contribute to a reduction in clinical effectiveness.

Country specific FDC antibiotic use

Antibiotic FDCs not approved by the US FDA were most commonly sold in middle-income countries, particularly in India, where the sale of US FDA unapproved FDCs accounts for around 50% of antibiotic FDCs and about 16% of overall antibiotics, considerably higher than many other countries. These differences between countries in terms of number and consumption of antibiotic FDCs not approved by the US FDA can be related to several factors, including differences in government regulation [6]. For example, in India, many antibiotic FDCs have historically been sold without authorisation from the Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation (CDSCO), the national regulator [33]. Since 2014/5, the CDSCO has been providing reports of the rationality of FDCs, thus leading the government to ban their manufacture, sale and distribution [4,34]. Among these products, several antibiotics FDCs are listed [35,36].

The many factors which contribute to national differences in consumption of antibiotic FDCs include different prevalence of infectious diseases, access to medical facilities and appropriate diagnostic procedures, accessibility of essential drugs [17], inappropriate prescribing practices [37] and over the counter and non-prescription supply of antimicrobials [1]. Contributing factors for non-prescription antimicrobial supply are poor national medicines regulations, limited availability of qualified pharmacists and commercial pressure on pharmacy staff, consumer demand and lack of awareness of AMR [38]. Two recent studies in China and Vietnam have shown that patients were able to purchase non-prescription antibiotics through various sources (e.g. online pharmacy, illegal drug suppliers) [39,40]. The rationale for individuals using and healthcare workers providing FDC antibiotics should be further explored. Studies are also required to better evaluate their efficacy, effectiveness, safety and potential to accelerate the development of AMR.

Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive study to assess FDC antibiotic sales at the global level. However, several limitations need to be addressed. The IQVIA database contains aggregate, country-level data without information about indications of therapy, consumers' age, or setting (hospital, community). The sales data were not adjusted for population size, which partly explains the apparently large contribution of highly populated countries such as India. The IQVIA database is not globally representative (for example, only two low income countries contribute data; these are both part of Francophone West Africa); however, it does provide valuable information on the scale of antibiotic use in the included countries [8]. The IQVIA company estimates coverage for each country internally and applies correction factors to estimate total sales in the sectors covered in each country. Data are also validated against alternative data sources [41]. Despite this uncertainty about the precise volume of FDC antibiotics sold and the validity of comparisons between countries, our analyses support the conclusion that antibiotic FDCs are sold in large volumes in many countries. Finally, it is not possible to distinguish sales of prescription and non-prescription antibiotics in this database.

As discussed above, US FDA authorization cannot be considered as the gold standard measure of a drug's appropriateness. We use this for illustrative purposes and not to formally assess the appropriateness of particular antibiotic FDCs in a given context; we acknowledge that individual countries may have valid reasons to approve antibiotic FDCs not approved (or not assessed) by the US FDA.

Conclusions

A high consumption of FDC antibiotic globally was observed, particularly in middle-income countries. Antibiotic FDCs account for a substantial proportion of antibiotic consumption and some of these FDCs may not be clinically appropriate, depending on the context. Considering the reported concerns in terms of efficacy, toxicity and AMR, the rationale for using antibiotic FDCs should be explored further and where required studies conducted to assess their effectiveness, safety and potential to accelerate the development of AMR. International initiatives may be needed to regulate the manufacturing and sale of these medications while maintaining access to essential antibiotics, with particular attention to LMICs. Central guidance from the WHO EML could be helpful to assist in determining the clearly inappropriate FDCs and discouraging their manufacture and sale.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Antibiotic FDCs in 2015 sorted by SU globally sold. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Antibiotic FDC categories not approved by US FDA. (DOCX)

S3 Table. Total antibiotic sales and FDCs not approved by the FDA as a percentage of total sales and of total antibiotic FDC sales per each country selling at least one antibiotic FDC not approved by FDA, sorted by the highest not approved FDCs/ antibiotics. (DOCX)

S4 Table. Antibiotic FDCs compatible with WHO EML and AWaRe classes. (DOCX)

S5 Table. Antibiotic FDCs combinations including two highest critically important antibiotics.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Peter Stephens for his helpful technical input on the IQVIA-MIDAS data. The findings and suggestions in this paper are based on data obtained under licence from IQVIA information services: IQVIA-MIDAS sale data; all rights reserved. The statement and suggestions are not necessarily those of IQVIA Health Incorporated or any of its affiliated or subsidiary entities.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Charlotte Jackson, Yingfen Hsia, Mike Sharland.

Data curation: Barbara Bortone, Charlotte Jackson, Yingfen Hsia, Mike Sharland.

Formal analysis: Barbara Bortone, Charlotte Jackson.

Investigation: Barbara Bortone, Charlotte Jackson, Julia Bielicki, Nicola Magrini, Mike Sharland.

Methodology: Julia Bielicki, Nicola Magrini, Mike Sharland.

Supervision: Charlotte Jackson, Yingfen Hsia, Nicola Magrini, Mike Sharland.

Validation: Barbara Bortone.

Visualization: Julia Bielicki.

- Writing original draft: Barbara Bortone, Charlotte Jackson, Yingfen Hsia, Julia Bielicki, Nicola Magrini, Mike Sharland.
- Writing review & editing: Barbara Bortone, Charlotte Jackson, Yingfen Hsia, Julia Bielicki, Nicola Magrini, Mike Sharland.

References

- Klein EY, Van Boeckel TP, Martinez EM, et al. Global increase and geographic convergence in antibiotic consumption between 2000 and 2015. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2018; 115(15): E3463–E70. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1717295115 PMID: 29581252
- Van Boeckel TP, Gandra S, Ashok A, et al. Global antibiotic consumption 2000 to 2010: an analysis of national pharmaceutical sales data. Lancet Infect Dis 2014; 14(8): 742–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1473-3099(14)70780-7 PMID: 25022435
- 3. Antibiotics in fixed dose combination. The New England Journal of Medicine 1960; 262(5): 255–6.

- McGettigan P, Roderick P, Kadam A, Pollock A. Threats to global antimicrobial resistance control: Centrally approved and unapproved antibiotic formulations sold in India. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2019; 85 (1):59–70. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.13503 PMID: 29397576
- Wirtz VJ, Dreser A, Gonzales R. Trends in antibiotic utilization in eight Latin American countries, 1997– 2007. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2010; 27(3): 219–25. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1020-49892010000300009 PMID: 20414511
- Poudel A, Mohamed Ibrahim MI, Mishra P, Palaian S. Assessment of the availability and rationality of unregistered fixed dose drug combinations in Nepal: a multicenter cross-sectional study. Glob Health Res Policy 2017; 2: 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41256-017-0033-z PMID: 29202082
- 7. World Health Organization. Guidelines for registration of fixed-dose combination medicinal products. Technical Report Series, 2005.
- Hsia Y, Sharland M, Jackson C, Wong ICK, Magrini N, Bielicki J. Consumption of oral antibiotic formulations for young children according to the WHO Access, Watch, Reserve (AWaRe) antibiotic groups: an analysis of sales data from 70 middle-income and high-income countries. Lancet Infect Dis 2019. 19 (1):67–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30547-4 PMID: 30522834
- WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. J01 Antibacterial for systemic use. Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification System Available at: https://www.whocc.no/atc_ddd_ index/?code=J01&showdescription=yes. Accessed October 20, 2018.
- Goodman LS, Brunton LL, Chabner B, Knollmann BrC. Goodman & Gilman's pharmacological basis of therapeutics. 12th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2011.
- World Bank. World DataBank: World Development Indicators. Available at: databank.worldbank.org/ data/reports.aspx?source=world-development-indicators. Accessed November 10, 2018.
- Drugs@FDA: FDA Approved Drug Products. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/</u> cder/daf/index.cfm. Accessed September 20, 2018.
- 13. World Health Organization. WHO Model List of Essential Medicines 20th List. 2017.
- 14. World Health Organization. Critically important antimicrobials for human medicine, 5th revision. 2017.
- Wright H, Bonomo RA, Paterson DL. New agents for the treatment of infections with Gram-negative bacteria: restoring the miracle or false dawn? Clin Microbiol Infect 2017; 23(10): 704–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2017.09.001</u> PMID: 28893690
- Poudel AP, S. Mohamed Ibrahim MI. Use of ampicillin / cloxacillin combination in Nepal: need for intervention. Gandaki Med J 2008; 1: 61–3.
- Srinivasaraghavan R, Dhandapany G. Non availability of Cloxacillin, A Deterrent for Rational Antimicrobial Practice. Indian Pediatr 2016; 53(11): 1032–3. PMID: 27889744
- Sharma K, Sharma A, Singh V, Pilania D, Sharma YK. Irrational fixed dose combinations & need for intervention: understanding of dental clinicians and residents. J Clin Diagn Res 2014; 8(12): ZC49–52. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2014/10273.5294 PMID: 25654031
- Bakthavatchalam YD, Kumar DT, Tayubi IA, et al. In vitro efficacy and in silico analysis of cefiximeofloxacin combination for Salmonella Typhi from bloodstream infection. J Appl Microbiol 2017; 123(3): 615–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13522 PMID: 28650129
- **20.** Faruqui DAA, Siddique W. Evaluation of efficacy and safety of fixed dose combination of Cefixime and Ofloxacin (CO2 Tablet) in the management of typhoid fever. Indian Journal of Basic & Applied Medical Research 2012; 1(4): 296–303.
- 21. A clinical trial to study the effects of drus, Cefixime and Ofloxacin versus ofloxacin in Patients with Typhoid Fever Available at: http://www.ctri.nic.in/Clinicaltrials/pmaindet2.php?trialid=1367 Accessed November 15, 2018.
- A clinical trial to study the effects of two drugs, Cefixime SR and Ofloxacin SR in Patients with Typhoid Fever Available at: <u>http://www.ctri.nic.in/Clinicaltrials/pmaindet2.php?trialid=1697</u> Accessed November 15, 2018.
- Haffajee AD, Socransky SS, Gunsolley JC. Systemic anti-infective periodontal therapy. A systematic review. Ann Periodontol 2003; 8(1): 115–81. https://doi.org/10.1902/annals.2003.8.1.115 PMID: 14971252
- Poulet PP, Duffaut D, Barthet P, Brumpt I. Concentrations and in vivo antibacterial activity of spiramycin and metronidazole in patients with periodontitis treated with high-dose metronidazole and the spiramycin/metronidazole combination. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005; 55(3): 347–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki013 PMID: 15695539</u>
- Veeraraghavan B, Pragasam AK, Bakthavatchalam YD, Ralph R. Typhoid fever: issues in laboratory detection, treatment options & concerns in management in developing countries. Future Sci OA 2018; 4(6): FSO312. https://doi.org/10.4155/fsoa-2018-0003 PMID: 30057789

- 26. Ceftriaxone-Vancomicin. Available at: http://www.drugsupdate.com/. Accessed November 5, 2018.
- 27. Ceftriaxone. Available at: https://bnfc.nice.org.uk/drug/ceftriaxone.html. Accessed November 5, 2018.
- 28. Vancomycin. Available at: https://bnfc.nice.org.uk/drug/vancomycin.html Accessed November 5, 2018.
- Richa Tandon VR, Sharma S, Khajuria V, Mahajan V, Gillani Z. Adverse drug reactions profile of antimicrobials: A 3-year experience, from a tertiary care teaching hospital of India. Indian J Med Microbiol 2015; 33(3): 393–400. https://doi.org/10.4103/0255-0857.158564 PMID: 26068342
- Gautam CS, Saha L. Fixed dose drug combinations (FDCs): rational or irrational: a view point. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2008; 65(5): 795–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2007.03089.x PMID: 18294326
- **31.** Holmes AH, Moore LS, Sundsfjord A, et al. Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of antimicrobial resistance. Lancet 2016; 387(10014): 176–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00473-0 PMID: 26603922
- Mehta KC, Dargad RR, Borade DM, Swami OC. Burden of antibiotic resistance in common infectious diseases: role of antibiotic combination therapy. J Clin Diagn Res 2014; 8(6): ME05–8. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.7860/JCDR/2014/8778.4489 PMID: 25121020</u>
- Dalal K, Ganguly B, Gor A. Assessment of Rationality of Fixed Dose Combinations Approved in CDSCO List. J Clin Diagn Res 2016; 10(4): FC05–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2016/17856.7691</u> PMID: 27190825
- Central drugs standard control organization. Report of expert committee on evaluation of cases of fixed dose combinations except vitamins and mineral preparations 2016.
- Government bans Saridon, 327 other combination drugs. Available at: https://timesofindia.indiatimes. com/india/govt-bans-popular-painkiller-327-other-unsafe-fixed-dose-drugs/articleshow/65790311.cms. Accessed September, 2018.
- Indian Health Ministry Bnned 329 controversial fixed dose combination with immediate effect. Available at: https://nckpharma.com/indian-health-mistry-banned-329-controversial-fixed-dose-combinationwith-immediate-effect/. Accessed September 2020.
- Goswami N, Gandhi A, Patel P, Dikshit R. An evaluation of knowledge, attitude and practices about prescribing fixed dose combinations among resident doctors. Perspect Clin Res 2013; 4(2): 130–5. <u>https:// doi.org/10.4103/2229-3485.111797</u> PMID: 23833738
- Sakeena MHF, Bennett AA, McLachlan AJ. Non-prescription sales of antimicrobial agents at community pharmacies in developing countries: a systematic review. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2018; 52(6):771– 782. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.09.022 PMID: 30312654
- Nguyen HH, Ho DP, Vu TLH, Tran KT, Tran TD, Nguyen TKC, et al. "I can make more from selling medicine when breaking the rules"—understanding the antibiotic supply network in a rural community in Viet Nam. BMC Public Health. 2019 Nov 26; 19(1):1560. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7812-z</u> PMID: 31771536
- 40. Gong Y, Jiang N, Chen Z, Wang J, Zhang J, Feng J, et al. Over-the-counter antibiotic sales in community and online pharmacies, China. Bull World Health Organ. 2020 Jul 1; 98(7):449–457. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.2471/BLT.19.242370 PMID: 32742030</u>
- 41. IQVIA, 2018. ACTS: IQVIA Quality assurance. Available: https://www.iqvia.com/landing/acts [Accessed 10 Oct 2020].