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#### Abstract

Cash management is a multi-objective optimisation problem which aims to maximise the service level provided to customers at minimum cost. The topic of du Toit (2011)'s masters thesis was automated teller machice (ATM) cash management for a specific South African retail bank. Focus was placed on an ATM network which primarily provides cash to blue collar laborers in the rural Eastern Cape. The aim of this final year project is to refine the work done by du Toit through the specific investigation into the effect of applying a combination of the vehicle routing problem (VRP) and continuous review policy for inventory management to the retail banking industry. A decision support system (DSS) in the form of a stochastic, discrete-event simulation model is developed. 90 different scenarios are experimented with using the DSS. Results show that the application of the VRP consistently yields high service levels at low cost when compared to two other routing approaches: first-in-first-out routing and direct replenishment. It is concluded that use of the VRP is especially beneficial when the bank has substantial control over transportation cost. The principal recommendation is therefore that cost control should be maximised to fully exploit the advantages obtainable from effective cash management. Finally, it is argued that the benefits to be gained from effective cash management (higher service levels at lower cost) can lead to the improvement of the lives of many a South African wage earner. These benefits could also lead to an increased profit margin - life is all about choices.


## Opsomming

Kontantbestuur is multi-doelstelling optimeringsprobleem waarvan die doelwit is om die diensvlak wat aan kliënte gelewer word, te maksimeer, terwyl koste minimeer word. Die onderwerp van du Toit (2011) se meesters tesis was outomatiese tellermasjien (OTM) kontantbestuur vir 'n spesifieke Suid-Afrikaanse kleinhandelbank. Fokus is geplaas op 'n OTM netwerk wat hoofsaaklik kontant aan arbeiders in die landelike Oos-Kaap voorsien. Die doel van hierdie finale jaar projek is om du Toit se werk te verfyn deur spesifiek ondersoek in te stel na die effek wat die toepassing wat 'n kombinasie van die voertuigskeduleringsprobleem en die deurlopende hersieningsbeleid vir voorraadbestuur sal hê. 'n Stogastiese, diskrete-gebeurtenis simulasie model is ontwikkel om as besluitnemings ondersteuningstelsel te dien. 90 verskillende eksperimente is met die simulasie model voltooi. Resultate toon dat die toepassing van die voertuigskeduleringsprobleem deurlopend hoë diensvlakke teen vergelykende lae koste tot gevolg het. Die voertuigskeduleringsprobleem word vergelyk met twee ander skeduleringstegnieke: eerste-in-eerste-uit skedulering en direkte aanvulling. Die gevolgtrekking word gemaak dat gebruik van die voertuigskeduleringsprobleem veral voordelig is wanneer die bank aansienlike beheer oor vervoerkoste het. Die hoof aanbeveling is daarom dat kostebeheer gemaksimeer behoort te word om ten volle munt te slaan uit die voordele wat moontlik gemaak word deur effektiewe kontantbestuur. Ten slotte word daar aangevoer dat die voordele wat sal volg uit effektiewe kontantbestuur (hoër diensvlakke teen laer koste), die lewens van vele Suid-Afrikaanse loonwerkers kan verbeter. Dié voordele kan ook lei tot 'n vergrote winsmarge - die lewe is vol keuses.
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## Nomenclature

| Acronyms |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| ATM | Automated teller machine. |
| BKP | Bounded knapsack problem. |
| CIT | Cash-in-transit. |
| CVRP | Capacitated vehicle routing problem. |
| DCVRP | Distance-constrained capacitated vehicle routing prob- <br> lem. |
| DP | Dynamic programming. |
| DVRP | Distance-constrained vehicle routing problem. |
| EOD | End of day. |
| EOM | First-in-first-out. |
| FIFO | Integer programming problem. |
| IP | Knapsack problem. |
| KP | Linear programming problem. |
| LP | Multiple criteria decision making. |
| MCDM | Minimum number of ATMs on a route. |
| MNAR |  |


| MOO | Multi-objective optimisation. |
| :--- | :--- |
| MOOP | Multi-objective optimisation problem. |
| NSP | Near shortest path. |
| OTM | Outomatiese tellermasjien (Afrikaans for ATM). |
| TSP | Travelling salesperson problem. |
| VRPB | Vehicle routing problem with backhauls. |
| VRPPD | Vehicle routing problem with pickup and delivery. |
| VRPTW | Vehicle routing problem with time windows. |
| VRP | Vehicle routing problem. |

## Greek Symbols

$e$

## Roman Symbols

$C_{C}$
Total capacity cost.
Cost per kilometer.
Delivery size.
Denomination value.
Distance between stations $i$ and $j$.
Total distance cost.
Distance covered by vehicle $i$ in a month.
Distance cost per vehicle.
Effective daily interest rate.
Number of free kilometers available per month.

| $b_{j}$ | Number of identical copies of item type $j$ available. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $u_{j}$ | Variable indicating the number of alternative $i$ included in the solution. |
| $x_{i j}$ | Integer variable denoting the number of times an arc $d_{i j}$ is traversed by the optimal solution. |
| $I_{r}$ | Cash on hand at time of replenishment. |
| $I_{j}$ | Cash level at the end of day j. |
| $G$ | Item set for the knapsack problem. |
| $A_{K}$ | Capacity value of the knapsack. |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\text {ATM }}$ | Subset of the station set including ATMs that are traversed by the optimal solution. |
| $k\left(\mathbf{S}_{\text {ATM }}\right)$ | Minimum number of vehicles required to serve al customers in vertex set $\mathbf{S}_{A T M} \subseteq \mathbf{V}$. |
| $f_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ | Set of objective functions of a MOOP. |
| $S_{\text {MOO }}$ | MOO solution space. |
| $r_{n}$ | Nominal annual interest rate. |
| $n_{\text {ATM }}$ | Number of ATMs. |
| $n_{K}$ | Number of items with profit $p_{j}$ and weight $w_{j}$ available for 'filling' the knapsack. |
| $m$ | Number of months in the cost calculation period. |
| $y_{i}$ | Number of notes of denomination value $p_{i}$. |
| $n_{\text {MOO }}$ | Number of MOO objective functions. |
| $n_{\text {TSP }}$ | Number of points covered by the TSP. |
| $n_{r}$ | Number of replenishment events during cost calculation period. |


| K | Number of vehicles. |
| :---: | :---: |
| x | $n_{\text {MOO-vector of decision }}$ variables. |
| $C_{O}$ | Opportunity cost. |
| $p_{j}$ | Profit of knapsack item. |
| $C_{R}$ | Total rebanking cost accrued. |
| $c_{R}$ | Rebanking cost per R 100. |
| $s$ | Reorder point when using the $(s, S)$ policy for inventory management. |
| $S$ | Reorder quantity when using the $(s, S)$ policy for inventory management. |
| $T_{r}$ | Time required to replenish one ATM. |
| $\mathbf{Z}_{i}$ | Set of objective function values serving as the solution space for a MOOP. |
| $T_{\text {route }}$ | Time required to complete a determined route. |
| $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ | Set of scenarios decided upon for the simulation study. |
| V | The station set. |
| $A_{V}$ | Delivery vehicle capacity. |
| $C_{V}$ | Monthly cost associated with using one CIT vehicle. |
| $v$ | Vehicle speed measured in kilometers per hour. |
| $w_{j}$ | Weight of knapsack item. |
| Terminology |  |
| Cash out | Any event where the cash level in an ATM is such that customer demand cannot be met. |
| Count house | Distribution center for a network of ATMs. |


| Direct replenishment | Routing method where vehicles are dispatched directly <br> to ATMs as ATMs register orders. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Even-note-picking | Denomination dispensing algorithm used in industry. <br> Notes are picked in a way which evens out inventory <br> levels of the different notes available. |
| Least-note-picking | Denomination dispensing algorithm used in industry. <br> Notes are picked in a way which minimises the number <br> of notes dispensed. |
| Near shortest path routing | Routing method where the aim is to minimise the dis- <br> tance vehicles travel using the VRP. |
| Pareto principle | Principle stating that 20\% of causes are responsible for <br> $80 \%$ of effects. |
| Replenishment efficiency | Number of ATMs replenished using a single route. Also <br> referred to as routing efficiency. |
| Routing efficiency | Number of ATMs replenished using a single route. Also <br> referred to as replenishment efficiency. |
| Routing point | Minimum number of ATMs that need to have registered <br> an order before a route will be determined. |
| Service level | The proportion of the total number of customers satis- <br> factorily served to the total number of customers requir- <br> ing service. |
| Speed of service | Time elapsed between the moment an ATM registers an <br> order and its replenishment. |
| order level and $S$ to the reorder quantity. |  |

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

The aim of this final year project is to apply engineering methods, skills and tools to refine work done by du Toit (2011) on the determination of the optimal cash deployment strategy for a South African retail bank. More specifically, a combination of operations research techniques will be used to develop a decision support system which will aid decision making regarding this multi-objective optimisation problem. This chapter will set out the problem statement and provide documentation of the literature study done on work relating to du Toit (2011)'s thesis. The project objectives and methodology will be laid out. Finally, the structure of the report will be detailed.

### 1.1 Problem statement

ATMs (automated teller machines) form a key part of retail banking service provision. For many clients ATM withdrawals are the only way to obtain the cash necessary for everyday living. If an ATM is out of cash, these clients face a predicament.
"Out of cash" might refer to an ATM that has absolutely no cash left, but the term may also refer to an ATM that has run out of certain denominations and can therefore not provide a specific amount. An ATM that has run out of R 50 notes, for example, would be unable to dispense multiples of R 50 . If a specific ATM or the ATMs operated by a particular bank, is out of cash (or is unable to dispense the exact amount required by the client) on a regular basis, a real possibility exists that customers would switch to a bank better able to meet their cash requirements.

It is thus important, from the perspective of a consumer bank, to ensure that cash levels within its ATMs are sufficient for as large a proportion of time as possible. For now, let the 'proportion of time an ATM is not out of cash' be the service level of an ATM. To provide the highest possible service level to customers, it is necessary to have some cash in an ATM, but preferable that all the denominations are in stock, at all times.

Providing a $100 \%$ service level for one ATM would be simple enough. However, no bank has only one ATM and providing very a high service level to an entire network of ATMs is far more complicated.

An ATM network consists of a number of ATMs (the ATM network in question is made up of 18), each with its own stochastic, seasonal customer demand profile, and a count house from where ATMs are replenished. Several cash-in-transit (CIT) vehicles service a network at costs negotiated with the CIT company. The cost structure negotiated and the resulting cost to the bank are dependent on the total distance covered by CIT vehicles.

The ATM network at hand is situated in the rural Eastern Cape. Distances between these ATMs are great (see Table A. 2 in Appendix A) and the costs associated with covering these distances can therefore be significant. This was the reason for researching this specific ATM network.

Figure 1.1 (the student's handiwork) illustrates the essence of the problem in a simple, lighthearted fashion. Note the rolling hills of the countryside in which the ATM network is located.

Due to fact that retail banks have full control over inventory levels in ATMs (unlike, for example, a soft drink distributor delivering stock to outlets controlling their own inventory levels), providing $100 \%$ service levels to an entire ATM network would be ambitious, but achievable if the transportation and inventory costs of having all denominations in stock at all times could be ignored. Costs associated with delivery delays, transportation and inventory (amongst other ATM operating costs) are, however, very real and cannot be disregarded. Cash transit costs involve not only fuel and labour but also rigorous security and high risk. To a bank, cash in an ATM is not earning interest and is therefore adding to existing inventory costs. In the competitive consumer banking industry, unreasonably high costs - such as the costs associated with maintaining a perfect service level for an ATM network - are unaffordable.


Figure 1.1: A graphic illustration of the essence of the research problem.

Taking these costs into account, the problem is thus: how can the service level of the researched ATM network, operated by the particular bank, be maximised at minimum cost using industrial engineering techniques?

### 1.2 Research rationale and motivation

The problem stated above is a simplification of a problem researched by du Toit (2011). His work forms the basis for this project and will now be discussed, after which details on the research rationale and motivation will be provided.

### 1.2.1 Delyno du Toit: ATM cash management for a South African retail bank

"ATM cash management for a South African retail bank", du Toit (2011)'s thesis, resulted from his career at a South African retail bank. The cash management strategy in du Toit's thesis is developed for a network of ATMs operated by the retail bank. The network is located in the Eastern Cape and consists of 18 ATMs and one count house. A cash-in-transit security company handles cash distribution in the region. At the time the thesis was written the cash management strategy used by the bank relied heavily on experience and personal judgement.

As a result, the thesis focuses primarily on prediction methods and inventory management models. Secondary focus is placed on routing techniques found in the operations research field; du Toit only investigated the travelling salesperson problem , comparing it to direct replenishment. Simulation is used to compare different inventory management models, routing techniques and combinations thereof.

Du Toit concludes that these techniques could achieve significant savings in the retail banking environment. The Holt-Winters prediction method and the TSP for vehicle routing are recommended. Du Toit does not make definite conclusions about the inventory models investigated and suggests further research in these areas.

### 1.2.2 Research rationale and motivation: Refining du Toit's work

Delyno du Toit completed his masters thesis for graduation in March 2011 with the study leader. The main focus of his work was to determine if the application of industrial engineering techniques to the retail banking would lead to significant cost savings.

He focused mainly on demand forecasting with little attention paid to vehicle routing and inventory management.

The study leader was not convinced that du Toit's work provided satisfactory indication of the effects of vehicle routing and inventory management as components of a cash management strategy. In order to refine the work done by du Toit, the study leader specifically required that attention be paid to the application of the vehicle routing problem (instead of the TSP) and the continuous review policy for inventory management. It was specified that the refinement must be done using discrete-event simulation. The work done to refine du Toit's work must follow a multi-objective optimisation (MOO) approach as the stated problem is a MOO problem.

### 1.3 Literature on cash management in the retail banking industry

Due to the high importance of security in the banking industry, it is difficult to find related work in the open literature. The student had access to du Toit (2011)'s work (with the clear instruction to "not leave it lying around") due to the fact that this final year project serves as a refinement of the work done in it. There are two other works openly available, which are discussed below.

### 1.3.1 A decision support model for the cash replenishment process in South African retail banking

The first application of industrial engineering techniques in the South African retail banking environment document in the open literature is attributed to Adendorff (1999).

Having investigated a South African retail bank branch for three months, Adendorff determined the nature of the demand distribution. From there an appropriate forecasting model was developed. After evaluating the existing order policies of the branch, a decision support model for the improvement of the cash replenishment process was developed.

Adendorff concludes that significant savings can be achieved through the application of industrial engineering techniques in the retail banking industry.

### 1.4 Project objectives

The focus Adendorff places on factors defining the South African retail banking environment, such as the crime situation, are worth noting.

### 1.3.2 The optimal cash deployment strategy

Wagner (2007) develops a conceptual framework for finding the optimal cash deployment strategy for a network of ATMs. For the purpose of the thesis, 'optimal' is equivalent to 'minimum-cost'. In determining a minimum-cost scheduling and replenishment strategy, logistics costs (holding cost plus the cost of rent), inventory policies and replenishment vehicle routing are taken into account.

Conceptually, Wagner assumes the existence of a perfect forecasting model, effectively eliminating the actual stochastic nature of demand. Focus is placed on the development of primarily deterministic scientific and simulation models.

Due to the algorithmic complexity of the inventory routing problem applied to a network of ATMs, confirmation about the effects of its application could not be given. The combined use of the Wagner-Whitin algorithm as inventory policy and the travelling salesperson problem for vehicle routing yielded the best result. Wagner concludes that substantial cost savings can be achieved using optimisation.

The work done by Wagner has focus similar to that of this project. There are, however, significant differences: firstly, the final year project is a MOO problem aiming to maximise service level, whilst minimising cost. Furthermore, it will aim to account for stochastic, seasonal demand, true the real-world situation. The effect of using the vehicle routing problem (VRP) instead of the TSP will also be investigated.

### 1.4 Project objectives

The primary objective of the final year project is to refine the work done by du Toit (2011). This refinement must at least achieve the following secondary objectives:

1. Provide an indication of the effect of applying the VRP to the retail banking industry.

- None of the work discussed thus far applied the VRP. The reader will note in Chapter 4 that none of the literature available on the VRP applies it to retail banking. This is ground breaking stuff. Are you excited?

2. Supply a clear indication on how the continuous review policy should be applied for inventory management.
3. Deliver a decision support model with which a MOO set of solutions can be determined.

Figure 1.2 (as demonstrated by Bekker (2011a)) illustrates how such a decision support model functions.


Figure 1.2: A graphic illustration of the decision support model to be delivered.

The three secondary objectives are compulsory refinements. The student was free to identify and make additional refinements. A discussion on additional refinements that were decided upon follows.

Wagner (2007) and du Toit (2011) both used simulation modelling. All indications are that both ignored some details associated with dispensing cash: the inventory level in an ATM does not simply drop R 250 when a customer demands R 250, but the number of R 200 and R 50 notes that are available becomes less. Wagner (2007) worked with the total number of banknotes withdrawn over a period, whereas du Toit (2011) used total daily withdrawal amounts.

The student argues that the fact that meeting customer demand requires the availability of a specific combination of notes for every withdrawal event must be taken into account. To do so, an algorithm must be determined according to which the cash amount demanded by a customer is made up.

As the final year project progressed, the student decided to do an elementary sensitivity analysis on the cost structure of the problem. This is an additional refinement on du Toit (2011)'s work.

### 1.5 Research methodology

To reach primary and secondary project objectives, a certain approach must be followed. The approach used is dictated in part by the research rationale. The methodology followed comprises of five main phases:

1. Do a literature study on related work available to gain a full understanding of the problem (as documented in Sections 1.3.1 and 1.3.2).
2. Do a literature study on techniques and methods required to solve the problem: MOO, discrete-event simulation, the VRP, inventory management and integer programming.
3. Develop a simulation model allowing for decision making regarding the VRP and continuous review policy. Such a model should be able to compare different routing approaches for a network of ATMs with stochastic, seasonal demand. Setting up different continuous review policy scenarios should also be possible. Finally, the simulation model should dispense notes according to the algorithm developed by the student. This requires the incorporation of various operations research and programming techniques (as discussed in the chapters to follow).
4. Set up experiments which will illustrate the effects of the VRP and continuous review policy on the system.
5. Analyse simulation experiment results and draw conclusions about the systems and the variables that affect it.

The section that follows shows that these phases form the backbone of this report.

### 1.6 Structure of the report

Chapter 2 will provide information on MOO, discrete-event simulation and will break down the project objective functions.

In Chapter 3 emphasis will fall on inventory management: existing models, the current inventory situation and the suggested inventory model will be discussed.

Vehicle routing techniques found in the field of operations research will be the focus of Chapter 4 where the VRP will be concisely compared to the TSP. The suggested vehicle routing model will be formulated.

Chapter 5 will show the development of an algorithm with which notes can be dispensed to meet customer demand.

An overview of the experiments drawn up for the simulation study will be given in Chapter 6.

The results of said simulation study will be analysed and discussed in Chapter 7.
Chapter 8 will serve as summary and conclusion to the final year project report.

### 1.7 Conclusion: Introduction

This chapter stated the MOO problem to be addressed by the final year project: maximising the service level of an ATM network at minimum cost. It was emphasised that the project serves as a refinement of work done by du Toit (2011). "ATM cash management for a South African retail bank" was therefore reviewed as part of the research rationale and motivation. Next, literature regarding cash management in the retail banking industry was outlined. The primary and secondary project objectives were identified and the research methodology was subsequently discussed. Finally, the structure of the report was laid out. Chapter 2 will provide information on MOO and discrete-event simulation as a MOO problem solving tool. The two project objective functions will be defined and detailed.

## Chapter 2

## Multi-objective optimisation

The previous chapter was the introduction to the final year project report. It stated the problem, laid out the research rationale and motivation, and reviewed literature on cash management. The project objectives were introduced and the research methodology developed. This chapter will provide information about basic multi-objective optimisation concepts. Discrete-event modelling will be discussed as it can be seen as a MOO problem solving tool. Finally, the two objective functions for the project are broken down.

### 2.1 MOO principles

As stated in Section 1.1, the question to be answered in this project is: how can the service level of an ATM network be maximised at minimum cost. Two conflicting objectives must thus be considered. This is usually the case when it is impossible to tie all decision making criteria into a single trade-off function (Zeleny, 1974). Problems of this nature are referred to as multi-objective optimisation (MOO) problems, multiple criteria decision making (MCDM) problems (Thiele et al., 2009) or vector optimisation problems (Ravindran, 2008), to name a few.

Optimal solutions to individual objectives of the MOO problem (MOOP) do not occur at the same alternative (Ravindran, 2008). The aim of solving a MOO problem is therefore not to find the optimal solution (as it does not exist) but rather a set of solutions where all objectives are at their "best" possible values under the given conditions (Zeleny, 1974). Such a set is referred to as efficient, nondominated, noninferior
or Pareto optimal solutions (Thiele et al., 2009). If $\mathbf{x}$ denotes an n-vector of decision variables and $f_{i}(\mathbf{x}), i=1, \ldots, k$ represents the $k$ objective functions of a MOOP, Ravindran (2008) describes the Pareto optimal set of solutions as: "A solution $\mathbf{x}^{\circ} \in S_{M O O}$ to a MCDM problem is said to be efficient if $f_{k}(\mathbf{x})>f_{k}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\circ}\right)$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in S_{M O O}$ implies that $f_{i}(\mathbf{x})<f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\circ}\right)$ for at least one other index $i$."

### 2.2 Simulation as a MOO problem solving tool

Simulation provides a method of analysing real-world systems using software designed to imitate system characteristics. It is most applicable when studying complex systems (Kelton et al., 2004). Simulation aids decision making, improves stake holders' understanding of the system and allows decision makers to explore possibilities. It can also help in diagnosing problems, identifying constraints and specifying system requirements (Banks et al., 1998).

Kelton et al. (2010) provides an introduction to basic simulation concepts. The book distinguishes between static and dynamic simulation models, continuous-change versus discrete-change dynamic models and differentiates between deterministic and stochastic simulation models. Focus falls on dynamic, discrete-change, stochastic simulation models built using the Simio software package.

For more information on simulation, the reader is referred to Kelton et al. (2004), Kelton et al. (2010) and Banks et al. (1998).

Dynamic, discrete-change, stochastic simulation will be used for the problem at hand. The problem is 'dynamic' because the system changes with time. Even though the system changes with time, it does not change continuously but rather due to the occurrence of specific events, thus: 'discrete-change'. Finally, inputs in the simulation model are not certain, but stochastic. On the recommendation of the study leader Arena is used for building the simulation model. Kelton et al. (2004) introduces simulation concepts, focusing on modelling dynamic, discrete-change, stochastic systems in Arena. The Arena work package, operations modelling and statistical considerations are detailed.

Banks et al. (1998) suggest the simulation process illustrated in Figure 2.1. The steps in a simulation study correspond well with the execution of the final year project. The relationship between the simulation process and the project (and the resulting
project report) will now be discussed. A simulation study starts with the formulation of the problem, after which project objectives are decided upon. Chapter 1 contains the problem statement as well as primary and secondary project objectives. Model conceptualisation is the process of mathematically formulating the model and is detailed in below as well as in Chapters 3,4 and 5 . The thesis written by du Toit (2011) (discussed in Chapter 1) along with the data he used for writing it make up a part of 'Data Collection'. A personal interview with him as well as questions asked and answered via email correspondence make up a further part of 'Data Collection'. Details on the conceptualisation (not contained in Chapters 3, 4 and 5) and translation of the model can be found in Appendices A and B. Model verification was done throughout model implementation and translation. The validation of the simulation model is discussed in Appendix C.

Once a working model exists, experiments can be designed and run. The experimental design is discussed in Chapter 6. Chapter 6 also provides introductory information on the production runs. As was mentioned in Chapter 1, the results of the 45 initial experiments led to an additional cost structure being drawn up. 45 more experiments were run using the adjusted cost structure. The answer to the "More runs?" question asked by Banks et al. (1998), was thus "Yes". Finally Banks et al. (1998) suggest that the model be documented thoroughly after which the results can be reported (results are discussed in Chapter 7). This report serves as partial documentation of the model; meeting agendas, minutes and explanatory notes serve as further documentation. Comments accompanying programming code (written in VBA for Arena) are a further addition to the model documentation. The implementation step can be ignored for the purposes of this project, but the results will be discussed with representatives of the retail bank for their interest. If deemed fit to do so, the retail bank can then implement the suggestions made by the student or changes of their own based on the results of the simulation study.

The ATM network in question is nothing if not a complex system. For seasonally variable demand unique to the ATM, the machine dispenses denominations according to a specified algorithm. Depending on the inventory level in an ATM, stock might have to be added. This applies to the entire network of ATMs. Given that some ATMs require replenishment and others not, an optimal vehicle routing needs to be deter-


Figure 2.1: Steps in a simulation study.


Figure 2.2: Simulation as a MOO decision support system.
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Figure 2.3: MOO mapping.
mined. Additionally, this is a MOO problem and not only one, but two optimisation objectives need be considered. The problem yields itself very well to simulation.

In essence simulation enables simulation analysts to evaluate the effects of changes in certain variables on the complex system studied. The analyst decides on a set of scenarios $\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\right)$ for the simulation study. These scenarios are combinations of variables chosen from the decision space and serve as an input to the simulation model. For each scenario, the simulation model yields a unique response. A specific reorder level or routing method would, for example, produce a certain response. These responses make up $\mathbf{Z}_{i}$ a set of objective function values serving as the solution space for the MOOP. From the solution space, a Pareto front containing efficient solutions can be obtained. Figure 2.2 shows this process: scenarios serve as input to the simulation model which yields the solution space. Figure 2.3 shows how scenarios chosen for the simulation study map onto the responses produced by the simulation model.

### 2.3 Simulation modelling: service level versus total cost

As stated in Section 1.1, the question to be answered in this project is: how can the service level of an ATM network be maximised at minimum cost. This is a multiobjective optimisation problem with two goal functions: (1) maximise service level and (2) minimise total cost.

Due to the nature of multi-objective optimisation problems, plotting service level against total cost for a large number of experiments should yield a Pareto front. This front represents optimal scenarios. Valuable conclusions about variables affecting the system can be drawn from such a plot and the resulting Pareto front. In order to plot the values of these goal functions, service level and total cost need to be defined. These definitions follow.

### 2.3.1 Total service level

For this model, a cash out will be defined as any situation where a customer cannot be served due to a shortage of cash. An ATM might for example have more than R 70, but in denominations with which a R 70 combination of notes cannot be formed - this would count as a cash out.

Service level for this problem is the ratio of the total number of customers satisfactorily served to the total number of customers requiring service. Service level is expressed in Equation 2.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Service level }=\frac{\text { Total customers requiring service }- \text { Total number of cash outs }}{\text { Total customers requiring service }} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 2.1, the first objective function for the MOOP to be modelled is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { maximise } \quad \frac{\text { Total customers requiring service }- \text { Total number of cash outs }}{\text { Total customers requiring service }} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3.2 Total cost

Costs that need to be considered for the model include cash transportation, handling and storage. These costs are all logistics related expenses.

Wagner (2007) recommended work done by Daganzo (2005) as "the most detailed and comprehensive framework for the classification and analysis of logistics costs to date". Wagner (2007)'s summary of Daganzo (2005)'s work is shown in Figure 2.4.

Not all the elements taken into account in Daganzo (2005)'s analysis are applicable to the current problem. The following elements do not have to be taken into consideration:

- Overcoming "Time" - Holding - Rent: There is no indication in du Toit's work that the cash in ATMs is insured or protected. The cost associated with renting facilities are not influenced by either the inventory or routing models.
- Overcoming "Time" - Waiting - Loss of value: Lost interest will be considered as "Cost of capital".
- Overcoming "Distance" - Transportation - Mode: Only the scenario where dedicated vehicles are used will be considered.
- Overcoming "Distance" - Handling - Ordering: It is assumed that there is no special ordering cost involved with orders from ATMs to the counthouse. Costs involved with ordering are primarily administrative and therefore "fixed" irrespective of the inventory and routing models in use.

Costs which have to be taken into consideration are:

- Overcoming "Time" - Waiting - Cost of capital: Cash kept in ATMs earns no interest. This should be taken into account. A nominal yearly rate of $6 \%$ is assumed. For the purposes of this study, the cost of capital will be referred to as "opportunity cost".
- Overcoming "Distance" - Transportation - Capacity: Increasing capacity (adding an extra vehicle) will impact total cost significantly. A dedicated vehicle costs R 78710 per month.
- Overcoming "Distance" - Transportation - Distance: The first 4500 km covered by a vehicle in a month is free. After that every kilometer costs R 3.18.


Figure 2.4: Logistics cost breakdown.
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Figure 2.5: Logistics cost breakdown adjusted for ATM network model.

- Overcoming "Distance" - Handling - Packing: The only packing cost applicable is that of rebanking which occurs when notes are left in an ATM at the time the ATM is replenished. A rebanking cost of R 0.21 per R 100 rebanked needs to be taken into consideration.

Figure 2.5 shows a logistics costs breakdown adjusted to suit the project. From this breakdown, the second objective function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Minimise } \quad C_{O}+C_{C}+C_{D}+C_{R} \text {. } \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The opportunity cost $C_{O}$ is calculated by multiplying the effective daily interest rate $r_{e}$ with the cash left $I_{j}$ in an ATM at the end of a day $j$ (du Toit, 2011). Opportunity cost is calculated on a daily basis from the first day of the month until month end $E O M$ and then summed from month $i$ until the end of the cost calculation period at

### 2.3 Simulation modelling: service level versus total cost

month $m$. This is shown in Equation 2.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{O}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{E O M} r_{e} \times I_{j} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 2.5 shows the calculation of the effective daily interest rate $r_{e}$ for a continuously compounded annual nominal rate $r_{n}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{e}=e^{r_{n} / 365}-1 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Capacity cost $C_{C}$ is a function of the number of vehicles employed ( $K$ ) and the monthly cost associated with using a vehicle $C_{V} \cdot m$ denotes the number of months for which cost is calculated. Capacity cost is also referred to as "vehicle cost" throughout the project report. The capacity cost denoted by Equation 2.6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{C}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} K \times C_{V} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, distance cost $C_{D}$ is the sum of the distance costs per vehicle $C_{D i}$ with $i=1 \ldots K . C_{D i}$ is a function of the distance travelled by each vehicles $D_{i}$ and dependent on $f$ the number of free kilometers available, and $c_{k m}$ the cost per kilometer. Distance cost is calculated using Equations 2.7 and 2.8.

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{D i}(D) & =\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0 & \text { if } D_{i} \leq f, \\
\left(D_{i}-f\right) \times c_{k m} & \text { if } D_{i}>f,
\end{array} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, K .\right.  \tag{2.7}\\
C_{D}(i) & =\sum_{i=1}^{K} C_{D i} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, rebanking cost $C_{R}$ depends on $m$ the number of ATMs, $I_{r}$ the cash left in each ATM at the time of replenishment and $c_{R}$ the rebanking cost per R 100. Equation 2.9 shows the calculation of $C_{R}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{R}=\frac{\sum_{r=1}^{n_{r}} I_{r} \times c_{R}}{100} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{r}$ is the total number of replenishment events that occurred during the cost calculation period.

### 2.4 Conclusion: Multi-objective optimisation

This chapter highlighted some important MOO principles, after which simulation was discussed as a MOO problem solving tool. The objective functions for this project maximise service level; minimise cost - were broken down last.

The following chapter will concentrate on inventory management.

## Chapter 3

## Inventory management

The previous chapter focused on multi-objective optimisation and discrete-event simulation as a MOO problem solving tool, detailing the simulation process. The simulation process starts by identifying the problem and setting project objectives. Once this is done, model conceptualisation can begin. Model conceptualisation begins in Chapter 2 where the MOO objective functions for the research project were laid out.

Model conceptualisation continues in this chapter with the discussion of inventory management. As mentioned in Chapter 1 the refinement that is the aim of this project must at least investigate the effect of the continuous review policy for inventory management. Subsequently this chapter will outline different existing inventory management models. After which, the inventory situation on hand will be described. Finally, the continuous review policy for inventory management is discussed and the proposed inventory management model is mathematically formulated.

### 3.1 Inventory management models

Inventory control models can primarily be divided in two categories according to the nature of the demand to be met: deterministic inventory models and stochastic inventory models.

Deterministic inventory models deal with certain demand. These models include the economic order quantity formula (EOQ) and Walter-Whitin's time-varying model (Ravindran, 2008).

Dealing with uncertain demand, stochastic models include the news vendor problem and the $(s, S)$ policy (Winston, 2004). Ravindran (2008) mentions stochastic multiechelon inventory models. Bellman (1957) discusses a stochastic dynamic programming approach referred to as the "the optimal inventory equation".

### 3.2 Inventory situation in question

The inventory situation in the Eastern Cape can be described as a stochastic, multiechelon system. Inventory levels in the count house can be described as multi-period, whilst inventory levels in ATMs can be managed as either single- or multi-period. Notes can be added to the cash remaining in an ATM during replenishment (this is called a "cash-add" system and would be multi-period management) or the remaining notes can be removed and replaced with newly packed canisters (a single-period inventory management technique referred to as "cash-swap"). Retail banking is a harsh industry and there are no backorders allowed.

The scope of this project does not cover the entire multi-echelon inventory system but focuses only on inventory management of the cash in ATMs, assuming infinite availability of notes at the count house.

### 3.3 Formulation of inventory management model

As part of the refinement of du Toit (2011)'s work, various scenarios relating to the continuous review $(s, S)$ policy for inventory management must be investigated. The $(s, S)$ policy involves continuously monitoring stock levels within the ATMs. As soon as the inventory level in ATM $i$ drops below the reorder point $s$, an order is placed which would bring inventory position to $S$ (Axsater, 2000). Figure 3.1 shows the primary characteristics of the continuous review policy.

The inventory management model developed uses the cash-swap approach described above. Effectively, this means that order size is equal to a fixed $S$, as the inventory position would become zero when the remaining notes are removed. Once all remaining notes were removed, inventory level increases by said fixed $S$, bringing inventory levels to $100 \%$ every time an ATM is replenished. Figure 3.2 shows the $(s, S)$ policy to be implemented for the simulation model.


Figure 3.1: Some characteristics of the $(s, S)$ inventory management process.


Figure 3.2: The $(s, S)$ inventory management process to be implemented.

Order size $S$ is based on operational data provided by du Toit (2011): canisters containing cash can at most contain 2500 notes. Unfortunately, dispensing problems occur when canisters are filled to the brim. To prevent such errors, newly packed canisters are filled with 2000 notes each. Additionally du Toit (2011) noted that canisters filled with R 200 notes tend to run empty first. For this reason, the retail bank packs two of the five canisters available in an ATM with R 200 notes. Order size can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =\sum_{i=1}^{4} p_{i} \times y_{i}  \tag{3.1}\\
y_{i} & =2000 \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3,  \tag{3.2}\\
y_{4} & =4000,  \tag{3.3}\\
p_{1} & =20,  \tag{3.4}\\
p_{2} & =50,  \tag{3.5}\\
p_{3} & =100,  \tag{3.6}\\
p_{4} & =200 . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y_{i}$ denotes the number of notes of denomination value $p_{i}$. The numerical value of $S$ is R 1140000 .

For this simulation study $S$ will not be varied; only changes to $s$ will be investigated. The reorder point $s$ can be either the total monetary value of cash in the ATM, such as R 300 000, or the total number of notes left in the ATM. The reorder point can also be the number of notes left of a certain denomination: an order could be triggered once there are less than 500 R 50 notes available. The variations in $s$ that were experimented with are discussed in Chapter 6.

### 3.4 Conclusion: Inventory management

This chapter provided an overview of various existing inventory management models. The inventory situation in the Eastern Cape was then briefly described. Finally, the $(s, S)$ policy was formulated as the proposed inventory management model.

The following chapter will focus on vehicle routing: the TSP and VRP will be compared, literature on the VRP will be reviewed, the vehicle situation described and a VRP formulation proposed.

## Chapter 4

## Vehicle routing

The previous chapter briefly mentioned various existing inventory management models, described the inventory situation on hand and discussed the continuous review ( $s, S$ ) policy.

As mentioned in Chapter 1 the refinement that is the aim of this project must investigate the effect of using the VRP as a vehicle routing approach. Taking into account that du Toit (2011) and Wagner (2007) both used the TSP, this chapter will start by comparing the TSP to the VRP to highlight some major differences between the two methods. Some VRP case studies available in the open literature will then be reviewed. Next, the vehicle routing situation at hand is described, after which the formulation of the suggested vehicle routing model is discussed. Finally an overview of methods for solving the VRP will be provided.

### 4.1 Existing vehicle routing methods

Several routing techniques exist. The one used by the retail bank is logic based on experience: count house employees select routes based on the routes preferred by the CIT vehicle drivers in the past. The field of operations research offers several mathematical alternatives to this tried and trusted method. Two of these alternatives are the travelling salesperson problem (TSP) and the vehicle routing problem (VRP).

### 4.1 Existing vehicle routing methods

### 4.1.1 The travelling salesperson problem

Wagner (2007) and du Toit (2011) both experimented with the TSP as a vehicle routing scenario; both concluding that using the travelling salesperson routing method yields better results than other techniques experimented with. Wagner compared the TSP to inventory routing (about which his results were inconclusive) whilst du Toit compared it to direct replenishment.

In short the travelling salesperson problem is a combinatorial optimisation problem formulated to minimise the distance required to cover $n_{T S P}$ points once (Winston, 2004). No capacity constraints are imposed and a solution is found for only one transporter (it is assumed that one traveller can service all points). Once capacity or other additional constraints need to be considered in the mathematical formulation, using the VRP becomes necessary (Toth \& Vigo, 2002).

### 4.1.2 The vehicle routing problem

Vehicle routing problems deal with the distribution of goods between supply points and customers. According to Toth \& Vigo (2002) "the solution of the VRP calls for the determination of a set of routes, each performed by a single vehicle that starts and ends at its own depot, such that all requirements of the customers are fulfilled, all the operational constraints are satisfied, and the global transportation cost is minimised."

Main categories of the vehicle routing problem include (Toth \& Vigo, 2002):

- Capacitated and distance-constrained VRPs which deal with cases where the constraints imposed are vehicle capacity (the capacitated VRP) and maximum route length (the distance-constrained VRP), respectively. The distance-constrained capacitated VRP (DCVRP) deals with the case where both capacity and distance constraints need to be considered.
- The VRP with time windows (VRPTW) which builds on the capacitated VRP (CVRP). In addition to the imposed capacity constraints, every customer is associated with a time interval (called a time window) during which delivery to the customer must take place.
- The VRP with backhauls (VRPB) which once again builds on the CVRP. In addition to capacity constraints, the problem is complicated by the fact that
customers are divided into backhaul and linehaul customers. The latter require product deliveries, whilst products need to be picked up from the former.
- The VRP with pickup and delivery (VRPPD) differs from the VRPB in that each customer is associated with both delivery and pickup quantities.

Although the vehicle routing problem is essentially a generalisation of the TSP, it is much more difficult to solve in practice (Laporte, 2009). Neither du Toit (2011) nor Wagner (2007) experimented with the VRP. In fact, no implementation of the VRP in the retail banking industry could be found in the open literature.

### 4.1.3 Vehicle routing applications in open literature

Even though literature on vehicle routing in the banking industry is limited, information on vehicle routing in other industries is not as difficult to come by. Solutions found in these industries are significant because at the end of the day the transportation of cash is not that different from the transportation of other commodities. After all, the cases discussed all have efficient, cost-effective transportation of commodities as a common aim.

Dantzig \& Ramser (1959) introduced the vehicle routing problem, proposing a mathematical formulation for the optimum routing of fuel trucks from a bulk supplier to gasoline stations. The proposed solution was named "the truck dispatching problem" and described as "a generalisation of the travelling-salesperson problem". This generalisation involved imposing the condition that deliveries of size $q_{i}$ be made at every station, with $A_{V}$ the capacity of the delivery vehicle

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{V} \ll \sum_{i} q_{i} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in contrast to the carrier capacity

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{V} \gg \sum_{i} q_{i} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

allowed by the the travelling salesperson problem. Dantzig \& Ramser (1959)'s fuel transport problem essentially deals with the transportation of a single product (fuel) which is similar to the problem at hand: the transportation of cash.
4.1 Existing vehicle routing methods

Jacobsen \& Madsen (1980) compared three different methods for determining the ideal location of and subsequent routing to and from newspaper transfer points. Newspapers are printed at a printing office. From the printing office, the newspapers are transported to transfer points from where final deliveries to sales points are made. Newspapers need to be delivered in a very short period of time and as a result large fleets are involved.

More recently Zeng et al. (2007) suggested two composite methods for solving the vehicle routing problem. The solution methods were applied to the routing of soft drink deliveries in Singapore. An adaption of the distance-constrained VRP was applied.

Still transporting liquids, Igbaria et al. (1996b) and Igbaria et al. (1996a) investigated a decision support model called FleetManager used for the transportation of milk in New Zealand. FleetManager combines vehicle routing with a user interface which allows schedulers to make adjustments according to their judgment: people possess qualitative knowledge (such as ease of access to a customer by a certain vehicle) essential to effective decision making which cannot be formulated mathematically. Igbaria et al. (1996b) and Igbaria et al. (1996a) conclude that the successful implementation of mathematical vehicle routing techniques cannot take place if no space is left for human intervention.

Fan et al. (2009) uses AnyLogic to model a multi-objective VRPTW based on real data of a consumer goods distribution center in the USA. The objectives considered are (1) minimise the total distance covered by all vehicles, (2) minimise the number of vehicles used and (3) maximise service punctuality. This MOO application to the VRP is very similar to the problem at hand.

As stated earlier, transporting cash parallels the shipping of other consumer goods. There are, however, some considerations distinguishing vehicle routing problems used for cash management from the cases examined above:

- Unlike the newspaper problem for which fixed routes can be determined due to the fact that the sales points to be serviced do not vary, the ATMs demanding replenishment change over time.
- Fixed routes are also not a feasible option as fixed routes increase the likelihood of vehicle heists taking place. Naturally, vehicle heists are to be avoided.
- With the network consisting of the count house and ATMs, the bank has full control over the inventory levels of the "sales points". This differs significantly from, for example, the distribution-center-shipping-to-a-store network. In the latter scenario, stores determine the inventory levels to be maintained. The distribution center then merely has the responsibility of transporting the goods requested when stores requiring replenishment have registered an order.


### 4.2 Vehicle routing situation

In the South African retail banking environment there are two main alternatives for cash transportation: scheduled cash-in-transit (CIT) vehicles or dedicated CIT vehicles. A scheduled CIT vehicle is controlled entirely by the CIT company providing the vehicle. A dedicated CIT vehicle is manned by a private CIT company but routed by the bank. Dedicated vehicles provide banks with greater control over cash deliveries (the date, time and place as well as the route of a delivery are determined by the bank) at greater cost (du Toit, 2011).

At the time du Toit (2011)'s thesis was written, the ATM network in question was divided in three service areas. The first area, consisting out of three of a total of 18 ATMs, was serviced by a scheduled vehicle. A dedicated CIT vehicle serviced the five ATMs in the second region, whilst a second dedicated vehicle delivered to the remaining ten ATMs. Deliveries were made on a direct replenishment basis. Direct replenishment implies that ATMs register replenishment requirements, after which vehicles respond to these requests one at a time, as soon as possible.

Further details include the distances covered during deliveries, primary costs associated with the delivery situation as well as the time linked to deliveries. These details can be found in the functional specification of the simulation model included in Appendix A.

Distances between ATMs in the network are symmetrical and shown in Table A.2, available in Appendix A. Delivery cost and transportation time detail can be found in the same appendix, in Table A.1.

### 4.3 Suggested vehicle routing model

For the purposes of this study, the ATM network will not be split up but serviced as a whole. The student reasons that serving the entire network will provide a better understanding of the differences between routing scenarios.

Due to the fact that the network under consideration is located in the Eastern Cape, large distances need to be covered between ATMs. Cash in transit vehicles need to be back at the count house by the end of the working day. The VRP is thus constrained by the daily working hours available. Time required to complete a route can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\text {route }}= & \sum_{i=0}^{n_{A T M}} d_{i j} \div v+\left(n_{A T M} \times T_{r}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& d_{i j}>0  \tag{4.4}\\
& i=0,1, \ldots, n_{A T M}  \tag{4.5}\\
& j=i+1 \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{i j}$ represents the distance (measured in kilometers) between the $i^{\text {th }}$ station on the route and $j=i^{t h}+1$ station. $n_{A T M}$ is the number of ATMs to be visited. The count house is at $i=0$ and $j=n_{A T M}+1$. Vehicle speed is measured in kilometers per hour and is denoted by $v$, whilst $T_{\text {route }}$ and $T_{r}$ respectively denote the time required to complete the determined route and the time required to replenish one ATM.

For the purposes of the problem, it is assumed the constraint on vehicle capacity is insignificant compared to the time constraint. In other words, a CIT truck would be able to carry all the cash required to service a route.
$T_{\text {route }}$ is a function of distance. Note that the distances between stations are symmetric. The suggested VRP formulation is thus an adaption of the symmetric distanceconstrained vehicle routing problem (DVRP) put forth by Toth \& Vigo (2002):
minimise $\sum_{i \in \mathbf{V} \backslash\{\mathrm{~m}\}} \sum_{j>i} d_{i j} x_{i j}$
subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{h<i} x_{h i}+\sum_{j>i} x_{i j}=1 \quad \forall \quad i \in \mathbf{V} \backslash\{0\},  \tag{4.9}\\
& \sum_{j \in \mathbf{V}\{0\}} x_{0 j}=2 K,  \tag{4.10}\\
& \sum_{i \in \mathbf{S}_{A T M}} \sum_{\substack{h<i \\
h \notin \mathbf{S}_{A T M}}} x_{h i}+\sum_{i \in \mathbf{S}_{A T M}} \sum_{\substack{j>i \\
j \notin \mathbf{S}_{A T M}}} x_{i j} \geq 2 k\left(\mathbf{S}_{A T M}\right) \ldots \\
& \forall \quad \mathbf{\mathbf { S } _ { A T M } \subseteq \mathbf { V } \backslash \{ 0 \} , \mathbf { S } _ { A T M } \neq \emptyset ,}  \tag{4.11}\\
& x_{0 j} \in\{0,1\} \quad \text { for } \quad j \in \mathbf{V} \backslash\{0\}, j<>5,  \tag{4.12}\\
& x_{0 j} \in\{0,1,2\} \quad \text { for } \quad j=5 . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $d_{i j}$ denotes the distance between stations $i$ and $j$ and $x_{i j}$ is an integer variable denoting the number of times an arc $d_{i j}$ is traversed by the optimal solution. $K$ represents the number of vehicles required. $\mathbf{V}=\{0, \ldots, n\}$ is the vertex or station set. $\mathbf{V}=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ represents ATMs, whereas the $0^{t h}$ vertex is the count house. $k\left(\mathbf{S}_{A T M}\right)$ represents the minimum number of vehicles required to serve al customers in vertex set $\mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{V}$.

Equation 4.9 enforces that every station must be entered and exited once (two routes must occur at every station), whilst Equation 4.10 imposes that all vehicles must depart and finish at the count house. These equations are called degree constraints (Toth \& Vigo, 2002).

Equation 4.11 links the solution whilst at the same time enforcing capacity constraints and is called a capacity-cut constraint (Toth \& Vigo, 2002). As stated above, the capacity constraint for this problem is time.

ATM 5 is located in a manner such that it is never optimal to include it in a route. It does, however, have to be replenished when inventory levels are below the reorder point. For this reason an exception is made for ATM 5: if need be, a single-customer route can be constructed to service this ATM as indicated by Equation 4.13. Single-routes will otherwise not be allowed (enforced by Equation 4.12).

### 4.4 Solving vehicle routing problems

### 4.4 Solving vehicle routing problems

Similar to the knapsack problem discussed in Chapter 5, exact algorithms for solving the VRP exist. These include branch-and-bound, branch-and-cut and set-coveringbased algorithms (Toth \& Vigo, 2002). The VRP can also be formulated as a dynamic programming problem (Laporte, 2009). Due to its computational complexity, heuristics and metaheuristics have been proposed for the VRP. Among the constructive method heuristics are the Clarke and Wright savings algorithm and sequential insertion heuristics. Two-phase methods include the sweep algorithm and the truncated branch-andbound. Six main categories of metaheuristics have been successfully applied to the VRP: simulated annealing, deterministic annealing, Tabu search, genetic algorithms, ant systems and neural networks (Toth \& Vigo, 2002).

The VRP in this problem was solved using a simple branch-and-bound based heuristic developed by the student.

### 4.5 Conclusion: Vehicle routing

This chapter looked at the difference between the TSP and VRP. Literature on the VRP was reviewed, after which the current vehicle situation was laid out. The suggested VRP formulation was then developed and finally methods for solving the VRP were outlined.

The following chapter will discuss the algorithm developed for dispensing notes.

## Chapter 5

## An algorithm for dispensing denominations

The previous chapter discussed literature on the VRP as well as the formulation suggested for this problem.

This chapter discusses the need for an algorithm according to which the combination of notes dispensed can be determined during an ATM transaction. A summary of the literature studied regarding integer programming as a possible solution area follows. The formulation of the suggested knapsack problem formulation is then detailed, after which algorithms commonly used to solve integer programming problems are investigated.

### 5.1 Dispensing denominations

South African ATMs typically do not carry R 10-notes. The ATMs of the retail bank in question all contain five cash canisters of which three are filled with equal numbers of R 20 , R 50 and R 100 notes. The remaining two canisters contain R 200 notes. If, for example, a customer requests R 500, the ATM can dispense twenty-five R 20 notes, ten R 50 notes, five R 100 notes or a multitude other denomination combinations. As long as no denominations are out of stock, the only constraint is that the sum of the monetary values of the notes dispensed must equal the amount requested.

In industry two main algorithms exist (du Toit, 2011): least-note-picking and even-note-picking. These algorithms are not available to members of the general public.

Given that an ATM can never dispense fractions of notes (an ATM dispensing fractions of notes would indeed be quite problematic), the field of integer programming problems is investigated for possible algorithms.

### 5.2 The knapsack problem

Winston (2004) states that an integer programming problem (IP) can be described simply as a linear programming problem ( $L P$ ) in which some or all of the variables are required to be non-negative integers. Mixed integer programming refers to those cases where only some of the variables are required to be integers. If all variables need be integers, the problem is referred to as a pure integer programming problem (Kellerer et al., 2004).

Often a decision is the choice between two options: accepting or rejecting an alternative. Such decisions are called binary decisions (Kellerer et al., 2004). An instance of binary decision making, the knapsack problem will subsequently be discussed. The vehicle routing problem, another instance of binary decision making, is detailed in Chapter 4.

Kellerer et al. (2004) define the knapsack problem (KP) as follows: "We are given an instance of the knapsack problem with item set $G$, consisting of $n_{K}$ items with profit $p_{j}$ and weight $w_{j}$, and the capacity value $A_{K}$. Then the objective is to select a subset of $G$ such that the total profit of the selected items is maximized and the total weight does not exceed $A_{K}$."

The knapsack problem is viewed as a simple non-trivial integer programming problem as it has only one constraint (the capacity constraint) and only positive coefficients. Its general formulation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{maximise} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{K}} p_{j} u_{j}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \text { subject to } \sum_{j=1}^{n_{K}} w_{j} u_{j} \leq A_{K} \text {, }  \tag{5.2}\\
& u_{j} \in\{0,1\}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{K} . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{j} \in\{0,1\}$ is a binary variable. If $u_{j}=1$ the alternative in question is accepted whereas $u_{j}=0$ indicates that the alternative is rejected.

Variations on the knapsack problem include the bounded and unbounded knapsack problems as well as the multidimensional knapsack problem. The bounded knapsack problem implies that there are $b_{j}$ identical copies of item type $j$ available. It is formulated as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { maximise } & \sum_{j=1}^{n_{K}} p_{j} u_{j} \\
\text { subject to } & \sum_{j=1}^{n_{K}} w_{j} u_{j} \leq A_{K}, \\
& 0 \leq u_{j} \leq b_{j}, \quad u_{j} \text { integer, } \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{K} . \tag{5.6}
\end{array}
$$

The unbounded knapsack problem implies that there are an unlimited amount of item $j$ available. Knapsack problems with more than one constraint are referred to as multidimensional knapsack problems (Kellerer et al., 2004).

### 5.3 Suggested algorithm for denomination dispensing

The bounded knapsack problem (BKP) lends itself beautifully to be used as an algorithm for denomination dispensing. The combination of notes dispensed will occasionally be constrained by the number of notes $b_{j}$ of a certain denomination $j$ that are available. Every denomination can be said to have a profit $p_{j}$ equal to the monetary (or face) value of the note. There are, however, significant differences between the BKP and the suggested algorithm below.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Minimise } \sum_{j=1}^{4} u_{j}  \tag{5.7}\\
& \text { subject to } \sum_{j=1}^{4} p_{j} u_{j}=A_{K},  \tag{5.8}\\
& p_{1}=20,  \tag{5.9}\\
& p_{2}=50,  \tag{5.10}\\
& p_{3}=100  \tag{5.11}\\
& p_{4}=200,  \tag{5.12}\\
& 0 \leq u_{j} \leq b_{j}, \quad u_{j} \text { integer, } \quad j=1,2,3,4 . \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the logic that dispensing as few notes as possible would lead to the fewest replenishment runs and subsequently to the lowest total cash management cost, the number of notes to be dispensed is minimised, instead of maximising profit to be achieved from a selection of items (as in the BKP). Given that a note is a note, irrespective of its denomination, every note dispensed effectively has the same weight: $w_{j}=1$. Minimising the number of notes versus maximising the profit of the selected items, results in differences between Equations 5.4 and 5.7.

It is also not acceptable for an ATM to dispense less (or more) than the amount requested by the customer $c$. (Using the ' $\leq$ '-operator whilst minimising the number of notes dispensed, would result in the ATM never dispensing any notes.) Instead, the ' $=$ '-operator is used in Equation 5.8.

Finally, there are only four types of notes. This is taken into account in Equation 5.13.

### 5.4 A numerical example of the denomination dispensing algorithm

The algorithm described above is an instance of least-note-picking denomination dispensing. The mathematical formulation laid out in Section 5.3 can easily be illustrated at the hand of a numerical example.

A customer demands R 350. Assuming that all denominations are in stock, the algorithm will dispense one R 200 , one R 100 and one R 50 note. If, however, R 200
notes are out of stock, the algorithm will dispense three R 100 and one R 50 notes. If R 100 notes are out, one R 200 and three R 50 notes will be dispensed. For the case where both R 200 and R 100 notes are depleted, seven R 50 notes will be dispensed. If there are no R 50 notes, a cash out will be registered as it is impossible to make up R 350 from only R 200, R 100 and R 20 notes.

### 5.5 Algorithms for solving integer programming problems

Several techniques for solving IP problems exist. The most intuitive methods are the greedy algorithm and linear programming relaxation (Kellerer et al., 2004). Even though both the methods are straightforward, these methods deliver solutions which may be far from the true optimum. To obtain the optimal solution to integer programming problems either dynamic programming or the branch-and-bound approach can be used (Kellerer et al., 2004).

Dynamic programming (DP) is an optimisation technique developed by Richard Bellman in the early 1950's. Kasana \& Kumar (2004) makes the remark that although dynamic programming is a beautifully simple technique, it lacks computational efficiency. As a result the branch-and-bound algorithm will be used for solving the knapsack problem in this project.

It is worth noting that several software packages capable of solving integer programming problems exist. Both the Lindo and Lingo packages have such capabilities. Microsoft Excel's Solver can also be used for solving IP problems.

### 5.6 Conclusion: An algorithm for dispensing denominations

This chapter discussed the necessity of clearly defining an algorithm according to which notes are dispensed. Given that partial notes should not be dispensed, integer programming was investigated as a solution area. The bounded knapsack problem was adapted to be used as the suggested algorithm. Finally, algorithms with which the BKP can be solved were mentioned.

The next chapter will provide information about the simulation model; its function as a decision support model and variables controlled during the simulation study.

## Chapter 6

## Simulation study

The previous chapters provided details on knowledge required for developing the simulation model. Details about the design, implementation and validation of the simulation model are provided in Appendices A, B and C respectively.

This chapter will provide insight into the simulation model developed for this project as well as its use as a decision support system. Variables that are controlled for the simulation study experiments will briefly be discussed. Lastly, information on the execution of these experiments will be provided.

### 6.1 The simulation model developed for the final year project

Chapter 1 specifies that du Toit (2011)'s work must be refined using discrete event simulation: a simulation model was to be built with which the effects of the VRP and $(s, S)$ policy on an ATM network could be investigated.

The simulation model built for the project is made up of two main and several secondary processes. The first primary process is the arrival and servicing of customers. The ATM network network modelled consists of 18 ATMs. Customers arrive at each ATM according to a unique, seasonal arrival rate. After arrival, customers demand an amount drawn from a distribution which is also unique for every ATM. Each customer is served using the note dispensing algorithm discussed in Chapter 5. With each customer arrival and service, inventory levels in an ATM drops. Once inventory levels in an ATM

### 6.2 A decision support model for vehicle routing in the retail banking industry

fall below $s$ the reorder point, a reorder flag is put up for said ATM, registering an order. The reorder point can easily be varied, so as to evaluate changes to it.

The second primary process is vehicle routing. The number of reorder flags are monitored periodically. At the beginning of each day all reorder flags are counted and if the number of flags is greater than the routing point, vehicles are routed. (The routing point is defined as the minimum number of reorder flags that have to be up before a replenishment route will be determined.) To be able to evaluate the effect of the VRP, there are three different methods according to which routes are determined. These methods are discussed in Section 6.3.1. Determining routes is only the first step in the replenishment process. After replenishment routes have been determined, vehicle availability must be taken into account: only vehicles that are at the count house can be loaded and sent on a route. The time required to complete a route must also be considered: a vehicle can only be sent out if there is enough time left in the day to complete a route. If the time available is too little, the route can only be completed the following day. Once these factors have been accounted for, available vehicles are loaded and sent out. Vehicles travel from the count house to all ATMs on an assigned route, replenishes the ATMs and then returns to the count house.

Secondary processes merely enable primary processes.
The simulation model supports MOO decision making as will be discussed in the section to follow.

### 6.2 A decision support model for vehicle routing in the retail banking industry

The aim of this project (as mentioned before) is to determine how the service level of an ATM network can be maximised, whilst keeping cost to a minimum. The nature of MOO problems is such that a Pareto optimal set of scenarios need to be found rather than a single optimal solution. Finding such a set requires changes to be made to the system so that the effects of these changes can be observed, evaluated and compared to one another.

Simulation modelling makes it possible to experiment with a model of a system rather than experimenting with the actual system. It enables the simulation analyst to ask what-if questions about the system (Bekker, 2011b).

Many what-if questions can be asked about the modelled ATM network. Naturally, all these questions can never be asked and answered. The Pareto principle is a concept well known to any fourth year Industrial engineering student. It states that $20 \%$ of causes are responsible for $80 \%$ of effects. The student hypothesises that, similarly, $80 \%$ of telling information about the system can be obtained by asking the most relevant $20 \%$ of the what-if questions.

Section 6.3 discusses the questions which the student deemed most important whereas Section 6.4 briefly looks at some questions that might also be asked.

Details of the simulation model are contained in the appendices: the functional specification can be found in Appendix A, key algorithms used for implementation are presented in Appendix B and results summaries are contained in Appendix D.

### 6.3 Controlled variables

There are many variables in the developed simulation model. Only the most significant ones were controlled during experiments. The student's arguments about the significance of these variables are discussed below.

### 6.3.1 Routing method

A secondary objective of the final year project was to evaluate the use of the VRP in the retail banking industry. In order to do this, the routing method should naturally be varied. An essential question to ask was: what routing alternatives should the VRP be compared to?

It was decided that the VRP (which is referred to as the near shortest path method) could effectively be compared to both an interpretation of the status quo and a second intuitive routing method: First-in-first-out routing. As discussed in Section 4.2, the routing method preferred by the bank is referred to as direct replenishment and dispatches vehicles on an one-ATM-at-a-time basis. Vehicles are dispatched directly to ATMs as the ATMs register orders.

First-in-first-out (FIFO) routing follows intuitively from direct replenishment as routes are determined based on the sequence in which ATMs register orders. Unlike the direct replenishment method, however, FIFO routes contain more than one ATM.

Finally, the near shortest path (NSP) method aims to minimise the length of routes.

### 6.3.2 Number of vehicles

The bank currently uses two dedicated CIT trucks for the ATM network in question. It seems sensible to investigate what the effect of adding or removing a vehicle would be. The student thus experimented with scenarios where one, two or three vehicles were available.

### 6.3.3 Routing point

Routing point refers to the minimum number of ATMs that need to have registered an order, before a route will be determined. It is largely dependent on the routing method: direct replenishment routes contain only one ATM and routes are subsequently created at a routing point equal to one. FIFO and near shortest path routing on the other hand have to contain more than one ATM and the routing point has to be greater or equal to two.

Whilst the student was developing the model, she varied the routing points for the FIFO and near shortest path method for testing purposes. She was curious as to what the effect of these alterations would be when made to the final model. Is it better to determine a route as soon as possible or wait just a bit longer?

The routing point is thus dependently varied based on the routing method, but for the two latter methods cases for routing points equal to two and three were set up.

### 6.3.4 Minimum number of ATMs on a route

The minimum number of ATMs on a route (MNAR) differs from the routing point in that the routing point refers to the number of ATMs that must have registered an order before a route can be determined, whereas the MNAR specifies a condition for route validity. If more ATMs require replenishment than can be handled with one route, the MNAR prevents routes that are too short to qualify as valid FIFO or near shortest path routes from being created.

Similar to the routing point, the minimum number of ATMs on a route is dependent on the routing method. If there are fewer than two ATMs on a route for the near
shortest path and FIFO methods, these methods effectively yield direct replenishment routes. Note that an exception is made for ATM 5 when using the near shortest path routing method (as discussed in Section 4.3). Likewise, if a direct replenishment route has to contain more than one ATM, it is effectively no longer a direct replenishment route.

For the direct replenishment method, then, the MNAR is equal to one. For the other two methods, the MNAR is equal to two. The MNAR was not varied for these two methods because of an observation the student made whilst developing the simulation model: the distances between ATMs are often too large for routes containing more than two ATMs to meet all requirements.

### 6.3.5 Reorder point

The reorder point refers to the inventory level at which an ATM triggers an order. As discussed in Section 3.3, many variations are possible for defining the reorder point as either the cash value or the number of notes in an ATM can be seen as the primary measurement of inventory level.

For the purposes of this project, the cash value of notes left in an ATM will serve as measurement of the inventory level in the ATM. The reorder point for all ATMs in the model are the same. Reorder points were varied from R 150000 to R 350000 to R 550000.

### 6.3.6 Cost structuring

The student ran 45 experiments set up for the vehicle cost structuring currently used by the bank. The results obtained from these experiments are discussed in Chapter 7. There were some patterns in these results which begged the question: what would happen if the cost structure was different?

The student then created an additional cost structure. This cost structure was meant to highlight variations that would take place due to big changes to the cost structure. It was decided to halve the cost of vehicles per month, whilst quadrupling the cost of kilometers falling outside of the free kilometers that make out part of the CIT service. The amount of free kilometers were reduced to compensate for the lower vehicle cost. The two cost scenarios are shown in Table 6.1. Forty-five additional experiments were run using the adjusted cost structure.

| Cost component | Status quo cost scenario | Adjusted cost scenario |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of vehicle per month | R 78710 | R 39355 |
| Free kilometers | 4500 | 2500 |
| Cost of additional kilometers | R 3.18 per km | R 12.72 per km |

Table 6.1: Status quo cost structure compared to additional adjusted cost structure.

### 6.4 Uncontrolled variables

Variables that were deemed less significant were not adjusted during experiments. Adjustments left uninvestigated include:

- The effect of changing the make up of notes inside an ATM: instead of filling two canisters with R 200 notes, rather fill three canisters with R 100 notes and so on.
- Using the number of notes in an ATM as measurement for inventory level: for example, registering an order when 2000 notes are left or when 750 R 200 notes remain.
- The algorithm used for dispensing notes could also be varied.


### 6.5 Simulation study experiments

Simulation study experiments represent different system scenarios. Each scenario is made up of a set of conditions (controlled variables are set to a predetermined value). A scenario would for instance use NSP routing, with two vehicles available, a routing point equal to two and a reorder point of R 350000 whilst costs are determined using the status quo cost structure. The status quo cost structure might be used for a similar scenario which also uses NSP routing, with two available vehicles, a routing point of two ATMs but has a reorder point of R 550 000. 90 such scenarios were experimented with. The 90 scenarios are primarily categorised by the cost structure used. The first half of the experiments were done using the status quo cost structure, whereas the latter half experimented with the adjusted cost structure.

Conditions of all simulation study experiments are summarised in Tables 6.2 and 6.3. Table 6.2 shows the experiments for the status quo cost structure whereas Table 6.3 contains details of the adjusted cost scenario experiments.



A single replication of the simulation model takes about 20 seconds to run. To achieve satisfactory confidence interval half-widths, the student decided on running 80 replications per experiment. As a result, every experiment had a simulation run time of about 25 minutes. Including setups and a few reruns, running 90 experiments would take about 40 hours on a single computer. Three computers were available for experiments, so the run time of scenarios for the final year project added up to around 13 hours (excluding experimental runs and reruns).

### 6.6 Conclusion: Simulation study

This chapter started by illustrating how the simulation model serves as a decision support system. Next, the variables controlled for simulation study experiments were laid out. Comments were then made about the execution of these experiments. Finally, details on simulation study experiments were summarised in Tables 6.2 and 6.3.

Chapter 7 will provide an analysis of simulation study results, whilst Chapter 8 will conclude the final year project report.

## Chapter 7

## Analysis of simulation study results

The previous chapter discussed the simulation model as a decision support model and briefly laid out how simulation study experiments were set up. Conditions of all simulation study experiments were summarised in Tables 6.2 and 6.3. It was explained that the results yielded by the first 45 experiments led to an additional 45 experiments. Table 6.2 showed the experiments for the status quo cost structure whereas Table 6.3 contained details of the adjusted cost scenario experiments.

This chapter will provide analysis of the results of these experiments. The discussion of the results is structured so as to illustrate the effects of the cost structure, number of available vehicles, routing method, routing point and reorder point. A section is devoted to each of these control variables. There is a significant difference between the results obtained using the status quo cost structure and the results of the adjusted cost structure experiments. Each control variable section therefore discusses results for status quo cost structure experiments and the adjusted cost scenario experiments separately, before general conclusions are drawn about the effect of the control variable.

It is important to note that there were a total of 90 experiments. These experiments can be categorised according to any of the control variables. As mentioned already, 45 experiments were run using each of the two cost structures. 30 experiments used a reorder point equal to $R 150000$, another 30 were run with the reorder point set to R 350000 and the remaining 30 used a reorder point of R 550000 . Similarly, 36 experiments used near-shortest-path-routing, 36 experiments used FIFO routing
and for the remaining 18 experiments, vehicles were routed according to the direct replenishment approach. The plot sets presented all contain the same sets of data: all plots labelled "Status quo cost structure" contain the 45 experiments done using said structure, plots labelled "Adjusted cost structure" contain the 45 experiments done using this structure and plots labelled "Overall" show all 90 experiments. For each control variable, however experiments are colour coded to illustrate the effect of the control variable.

To further simplify this results analysis, two informal performance indicators need to be defined:

Routing efficiency (or replenishment efficiency) refers to the number of ATMs that are replenished using a single route. If many ATMs are replenished, routing efficiency is high.

Speed of service refers to the time elapsing between the moment an ATM registers an order and its replenishment. If little time elapsed, speed of service is high.

### 7.1 The effect of the cost structure

Figure ?? shows the difference between results for the status quo and the adjusted cost structures. The status quo cost structure resulted in three bundles of results. This is due to the fact that vehicle cost for this scenario is so large that adding a vehicle results in a giant step in cost. These steps made it especially difficult to analyse the effect of routing methods on the system.

The additional cost structure was thus created in such a manner that it would place greater emphasis on distance cost than the status quo. To shift the emphasis, vehicle cost in the new structure was reduced and the cost associated with distance covered increased greatly.

No adjustments were made to rebanking and opportunity costs. All differences observed between the two cost structures are a result of only the reduced vehicle and increased distance costs. There is no difference in operational performance measures


Figure 7.1: Overall difference between status quo and adjusted cost structures.
(such as service level, distance travelled, the number of routes determined, vehicle utilisation etc.) between the two cost scenarios.

There is more cost variation in the results obtained for the adjusted cost structure than for the status quo. Despite the higher levels of variation, the adjusted cost structure yields lower cost results than the status quo for the majority of the cases.

### 7.2 Varying the number of vehicles

Three different vehicle scenarios were experimented with (as explained in Chapter 6). One, two or three vehicles were available. The effect of the number of available vehicles will now be discussed.

### 7.2.1 Varying the number of vehicles: Status quo cost structure

The current cost structure has a large fixed vehicle cost component. Total cost jumps with every vehicle addition (see Figure 7.2). Service level also improves significantly for the cases where two or three vehicles are available. One vehicle is incapable of effectively servicing the entire ATM network.

The cost associated with operating only one vehicle varies little. This is due to the fact that rebanking cost and opportunity cost (which are variable components of total cost) is limited in a sense: due to the low service level achieved for all cases, there


Figure 7.2: Effect of number of vehicles available: Status quo cost structure.
is rarely any cash left in the ATM at time of replenishment (low rebanking cost) and ATMs are often empty (low opportunity cost). Note that there is a very slight increase in cost as service level goes up.

The range of service levels achieved for the one vehicle case is wide. This is primarily due to differences in the replenishment efficiencies of the various vehicle routing methods (discussed in Section 7.3.1). Routing methods with higher efficiencies are able to reach ATMs faster, resulting in higher service levels. ATMs are still not reached fast enough and the service level achievable for one vehicle is significantly lower than for two or three vehicles.

Because service levels are higher for the latter two cases, variable cost is larger (due to higher rebanking and opportunity costs) and there is more variation in the total cost.

### 7.2.2 Varying the number of vehicles: Adjusted cost structure

The leaps in total cost values observed when vehicles were added using the status quo cost structure lead to the addition of the adjusted cost structure. Results for this structure are shown in Figure 7.3. Cost no longer shows the drastic stepping visible for the status quo cost structure.

The fixed cost component (vehicle cost) of the adjusted structure is smaller than


Figure 7.3: Effect of number of vehicles available: Adjusted cost structure.
that of the status quo. The opposite is true of distance cost which is a variable cost component. Distance cost is larger for the adjusted structure than for the status quo. Due to higher variation in total cost, the steps in total cost are less significant and there is more of an overlap visible between the cases where two and three vehicles are available.

Similar to the status quo scenario, when only one vehicle is available, the total cost varies little due to low rebanking and opportunity costs. These low costs result from low service levels. Note that, compared to the current cost structure, there is more variance in total cost for one vehicle when the adjusted cost structure is used. This variance is due to the fact that distance cost becomes significant in the latter case.

### 7.2.3 Varying the number of vehicles: General observations

In general, distance cost increases from one vehicle to two, but drops from two to three vehicles. This can be explained by the fact that service level improves significantly when two vehicles are available instead of only one. Achieving the higher service level requires that a greater overall distance be covered (also note the increased number of routes completed). Two vehicles are able to cover this distance, where one vehicle was incapable. The increase in service level from two to three vehicles is not as great and subsequently the difference in distance covered is not as large either. Distance cost


Figure 7.4: Overall effect of the number of vehicles available.
drops because of the cost structuring: for every vehicle used, there are free kilometers available in a month. Adding a vehicle thus increases the amount of free kilometers which leads to a reduction in distance cost.

Average vehicle utilisation decreases as the number of vehicles increase. Vehicle utilisation is calculated in Equation 7.1. Workload per vehicle decreases as vehicles increase. It should be noted that the way average vehicle utilisation is calculated can be misleading. This is because vehicles can sometimes complete only one route in a day. There might be vehicle time left in the day, but not enough to complete another route. In this case vehicles will wait until the following day to perform a route. Vehicle utilisation is never near $100 \%$. The low utilisation does not mean that a vehicle did not complete all the routes it possibly could. For this reason vehicle utilisation should not be interpreted as absolute values, but rather for the trends that can be identified from these utilisation values.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Average vehicle utilisation }=\frac{\text { Total vehicle hours available }- \text { Total vehicle hours not used }}{\text { Number of vehicles } \times \text { Vehicle hours available }} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with trends in vehicle utilisation, trends in the number of routes completed for each vehicle scenario are also telling. The number of routes completed increases drastically from one vehicle to two. From two vehicles to three, the increase in number
of routes completed is less. This is an indication that for one vehicle, a lot of work exists which cannot be completed. For two vehicles, uncompleted work is less.

Figure 7.4 shows that, generally, using three vehicles achieves the highest service levels at very high cost. Having two vehicles available results in a slight decrease in service level at significantly reduced cost. Routing only one vehicle yields poor service levels at low cost.

### 7.3 Varying the routing method

An important objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of the VRP for use in the retail banking environment. Chapter 6 briefly discussed the three routing methods that are experimented with: near shortest path (NSP) routing (the implementation of the VRP), first-in-first-out (FIFO) routing and direct replenishment (DR). The respective effects of these routing methods on the system are discussed in this section.

### 7.3.1 Varying the routing method: Status quo cost structure

FIFO and NSP routes are primarily distance constrained: finding the shortest path between, for example, four ATMs might not exceed this constraint, whereas FIFO routing for the same four ATMs would. The NSP route determined would service four ATMs, whilst one FIFO route services only three (even two) ATMs. Direct replenishment routes contain only one ATM. On average, a single NSP route serves more ATMs than FIFO or DR routes.

Especially for the cases where one or two vehicles are available, NSP routing results in higher service levels than the other two methods. Thus, if vehicle availability is constrained, NSP routing achieves higher service levels due to its replenishment efficiency (an informal measurement of the number of replenishments done on a single route). For the case where three vehicles are available, vehicle availability is not limited and direct replenishment outperforms NSP on service level. For this case, there is a difference in the performance of FIFO and NSP. The one does not, however, perform better than the other.

Due to the fact that variable cost makes out a small part of the total cost for the status quo structure, the effect of the routing methods on cost is not clear. If three vehicles are available, the cost of direct replenishment routing is the highest: if


Figure 7.5: Effect of routing method: Status quo cost structure.
vehicle availability is not constrained, the distance covered by DR routing is significantly greater than the distance covered by the other two methods. Covering more kilometers results in an increased distance cost. The service levels achieved using this method are high when three vehicles are available. As previously discussed, achievement of high service levels leads to an increase in rebanking and opportunity cost.

### 7.3.2 Varying the routing method: Adjusted cost structure

Due to the fact that fixed vehicle cost makes out a smaller and variable distance a larger part of total cost for this the adjusted cost structure, differences due to routing methods are more pronounced. Figure 7.6 shows this.

For the cases where two or three vehicles are available, NSP routing consistently yields high service levels at comparatively low costs. Although the cost associated with DR is generally the highest, service levels are average. Direct replenishment effectively yields only one outstanding result: the experiment returning the highest service level was a DR experiment. The cost associated with this experiment is also the highest. FIFO routing yields results between those of NSP and direct replenishment.

As discussed in Sections 7.2.1 and 7.2.2, there is little variation in the costs associated with the scenario where only one vehicle is available. Direct replenishment yields the lowest service levels at the highest cost. On a cost basis FIFO slightly outperforms


Figure 7.6: Effect of routing method: Adjusted cost structure.

NSP, whilst NSP significantly outperforms FIFO when looking at service level.

### 7.3.3 Varying the routing method: General observations

Vehicle utilisation is highest when direct replenishment is used and lowest for near shortest path routing. Likewise, the number of routes completed using DR routing is the highest, whereas NSP requires the least number of routes.

Looking at Figure 7.7, it can generally be observed that near shortest path routing yields most of the high-service-level-at-low-cost results. The very best service level is achieved using DR. This very high service level (98.824\%) is achieved at a very high cost (R 1126000 ). The worst service level also results from DR. FIFO routing yields average results throughout.


Figure 7.7: Overall effect of routing method.

### 7.4 Varying the routing point

As discussed in Section 6.3.3, the routing point is dependent on the routing method. Results obtained for a routing point equal to one are the same as results obtained for DR routing. These results should therefore be interpreted for what they are: an indication of the effectiveness of direct replenishment. The scenarios where the routing point is greater than one are applicable only to the NSP and FIFO routing methods. Although results for all experiments are shown, only the NSP and FIFO results will be discussed.

### 7.4.1 Varying the routing point: Status quo cost structure

For the status quo cost structure, the difference between results achieved for routing points equal to two and three is small. This is shown in Figure 7.8.

For the scenarios were one vehicle is used, routing vehicles when at least three ATMs require replenishment yields higher service levels than a routing point equal to two. This can be explained by the fact that fewer routes are created for the former case and route efficiency (the number of replenishments done per route) tends to be higher. As mentioned in Section 7.3.1, when vehicle availability is constrained, route efficiency is critical. Section 7.3.1 pointed out that NSP is the most efficient routing method. A routing point equal to three is the most route effective routing point.


Figure 7.8: Effect of the routing point: Status quo cost structure.

For two and three vehicles, vehicle availability is less constrained and route efficiency is less important. For these cases a routing point equal to two yields better service levels. This is because (more) routes are constructed more often. As a result ATMs are replenished more often. The cost associated with a routing point equal to three is slightly less than that of a routing point equal to two. This can be attributed to the increased number of routes constructed for the latter case and the associated increase in covered distance (and distance cost). The fact that a routing point equal to three leads to ATMs not being serviced as soon as with a routing point equal to two, means that opportunity and rebanking costs are also slightly lower for the former case.

### 7.4.2 Varying the routing point: Adjusted cost structure

Except for a slight increase in cost variation (which is visible in Figure 7.9), the results obtained for the adjusted cost structure case are similar to those obtained for the status quo. There is no difference in the justification of the results. For the one vehicle scenario, a routing point equal to three yields better service level than a routing equal to two. The difference in cost between these two routing points are negligible.

For the cases where more than one vehicle is available, routing vehicles when at least two ATMs require replenishment yields better service levels. Routing vehicles only when at least three ATMs require replenishment, results in lower costs for these


Figure 7.9: Effect of the routing point: Adjusted cost structure.
vehicle scenarios.

### 7.4.3 Varying the routing point: General observations

Vehicle utilisation is higher for a routing point equal to two than it is for three. As mentioned in Section 6.3.3, the number of routes completed is higher for the former case.

Although the effect of the routing point is noticeable in Figure 7.10, it is small. The routing point clearly affects service level, but its effect on cost is minimal. In short, to obtain as high a service level as possible, a higher routing point should be used if vehicle availability is heavily constrained, whilst a low routing point yields better results if vehicles are available. If cost is a big concern, high routing points result in lower costs as distance cost decreases as the routing point is incremented.


Figure 7.10: Overall effect of the routing point.

### 7.5 Varying the reorder point

The reorder point was varied from R 150000 , R 350000 to R 550000 . The results of these different scenarios are discussed below.

### 7.5.1 Varying the reorder point: Status quo cost structure

The higher the reorder point, the earlier an order is placed. High reorder points therefore result in high service levels. High reorder points also result in high total costs. This can be seen in Figure 7.11 and is due to the fact that opportunity and rebanking costs increase drastically as the reorder point increases. As the reorder point goes up, routes are determined more frequently and the number of routes that are covered rise. The distance covered increases and the associated cost as well.

### 7.5.2 Varying the reorder point: Adjusted cost structure

Similar to the status quo cost scenario, higher reorder points yield better service levels at greater cost. The adjusted cost structure shown in Figure 7.12 accentuates this.

### 7.5.3 Varying the reorder point: General observations

The reorder point has a significant effect on both service level and total cost. It is directly related to both.


Figure 7.11: Effect of the reorder point: Status quo.


Figure 7.12: Effect of the reorder point: Adjusted cost structure.


Figure 7.13: Overall effect of the reorder point.

As the the reorder point rises, the number of routes determined and covered escalates. Due to the fact that more routes need to be executed, vehicle utilisation goes up as the reorder point gets bigger. As the number of routes that are executed goes up, the total distance covered increases. Increased distance leads to higher distance cost.

An increase in service level leads to higher rebanking and opportunity costs.

### 7.6 Summary of global observations

To the student's mind the scenarios discussed above provide a thorough indication of the nature of the ATM network modelled and the effect of certain variables thereon.

An interesting total cost $\rightarrow$ service level $\rightarrow$ total cost cycle was observed: adjusting the routing variables (increasing the number of vehicles or lowering the routing point) increases total cost due to higher transportation costs but leads to a service level improved. The improved service level, in turn, results in an escalation of opportunity and rebanking costs.

Other than this observation, main points that deserve to be highlighted are:

- Total cost and service level are both directly related to the number of vehicles available. For one available vehicle, vehicle availability is heavily constrained and results exhibit characteristics that differ from scenarios where more than one vehicle is available.
- Near shortest path routing consistently provides high service levels at low costs (when compared to cost resulting from the other two routing methods). If vehicle availability is not heavily constrained, direct replenishment is able to yield very high service levels at high total costs.
- Although the routing point has an effect on results, there is no definite relation between it and service level or total cost.
- The reorder point is directly related to both service level and total cost.

The observation that the system reacts differently when vehicle availability is heavily constrained and when it is not is important. For the heavily constrained case, routing efficiency is of utmost importance. For the less constrained scenarios, high speed of delivery (a measure of the time between order placement and order fulfilment) yields good results.

### 7.7 Conclusion: Analysis of results

This chapter provided an analysis of the simulation study results. The effect of the cost scenario used was discussed first. Next, the effect of the number of vehicles on service level and total cost was considered. Having determined that the number of vehicles available has a significant effect, the influence of the routing method was evaluated. After this, the deduction that the routing point has little effect was made. The reorder point, however, has a significant direct relation to both service level and cost.

The next chapter will provide a summary of the project and serve as conclusion the project report.

## Chapter 8

## Summary and conclusions

Previous chapters contain, amongst others, literature studies, suggested routing and inventory management models, details on the simulation model and, finally, an analysis of the results. This chapter will summarise the final year project and recommend several cash management strategies. It will also show that the work done for this final year project can be of great value of society and that the final year project enriched the student on several levels.

### 8.1 Project summary

The primary objective of this final year project was to refine work done by du Toit (2011) for his masters. Secondary objectives included evaluating the effect of applying the VRP and continuous review inventory management to the retail banking industry. The project had to deliver a multi-objective optimisation decision support system with which a Pareto optimal set of solutions can be determined.

The student studied MOO problems and discrete-event simulation as documented in Chapter 2. She also researched inventory management (summarised in Chapter 3), the VRP (discussed in Chapter 4), and integer programming (laid out in Chapter 5). The knowledge obtained from this research was used to develop a simulation model of the ATM network. The simulation model is discussed throughout the document but Chapter 6 focused specifically on the model and the simulation experiments that were drawn up. Finally, Chapter 7 provides an analysis of the results of the simulation experiments. Results in Chapter 7 are presented graphically. A Pareto optimal set of solutions can be identified from these plots.

Analysis of experimental results indicate that the application of the VRP to the retail banking industry results in a low cost, high service level vehicle routing strategy. It is also clear that the continuous review reorder point $s$ is directly related to both cost and service level. The adjusted cost scenario provides the majority of the Pareto optimal solutions.

### 8.2 Recommended cash management strategy

Looking at the simulation results, the adjusted cost structure yields the lowest cost results. Renegotiating the cost structure to look exactly like the one experimented with, is not necessarily feasible. The concept illustrated is important, though: renegotiating the cost structure so that the variable component of the transportation cost is larger and the fixed component smaller, would provide the bank with more control over transportation cost. This could result in significant cost savings, depending on how this additional control is managed.

The nature of the MOO problem is such that there is no one optimal cash management strategy: improving service level leads to escalated total cost. Decision makers therefore need to decide on a service level required and shove their hands deep in their pockets or decide on a total cost level and live with the associated service level. The Pareto plot in Figure 8.1 shows the Pareto optimal set of solutions from which decision makers need to choose a scenario best suited to their requirements. Note that all members of the Pareto optimal set result from the adjusted cost scenario. This reinforces the recommendation made above that greater control over transportation cost can lead to a significant reduction in total cost.

Recognising the fact that the status quo cost structure is the reality, Table 8.1 shows the experiments resulting in the lowest cost or highest service level for both cost scenarios. A comparison of these values further illustrates the difference between results of the two cost scenarios. Table 8.1 also shows the conditions of the scenario the student recommends: a scenario where service level is a little more than $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ less than the maximum, at $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ lower cost. The adjusted cost scenario would, of course, be preferred. This is not necessarily possible, but the retail bank would do very well implementing the recommended status quo scenario.


Figure 8.1: The set of Pareto optimal solutions for the MOOP.

|  |  | STATUS QUO | ADJUSTED COST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum cost | Service level <br> Total cost <br> Number of vehicles <br> Routing method <br> Reorder point <br> Routing point | $\begin{gathered} \text { Experiment } 19 \\ \mathbf{0 . 6 4 9 3} \\ \text { R } \mathbf{3 3 8} \mathbf{5 4 0} \\ 1 \\ \text { FIFO } \\ \text { R } 150000 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Experiment 49 $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 1 9}$ R $\mathbf{3 2 2} \mathbf{7 3 0}$ 1 NSP R 150000 3 |
| Maximum service level | Service level <br> Total cost <br> Number of vehicles <br> Routing method <br> Reorder point <br> Routing point | Experiment 45 0.9882 <br> R 1126000 <br> 3 <br> Direct replenishment <br> R 550000 <br> 1 | Experiment 90 <br> 0.9882 <br> R 1018500 <br> 3 <br> Direct replenishment <br> R 550000 <br> 1 |
| Suggested compromise | Service level <br> Total cost <br> Number of vehicles <br> Routing method <br> Reorder point <br> Routing point | Experiment 9 $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 1 9}$ $\mathbf{R 7 9 4} \mathbf{1 9 0}$ 2 NSP R 550000 2 | Experiment 54 $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 1 9}$ R $\mathbf{7 1 0} \mathbf{7 6 0}$ 2 NSP R 550000 2 |

Table 8.1: Scenarios resulting in lowest cost, highest service level and a good compromise.

### 8.3 How this final year project benefits society

In spite of the drive of retail banks toward a cashless society, cash is still the preferred transaction medium of South Africa's poor. Retail banks now offer services affordable to them and as a result many more wage earners make use of ATMs for receiving their wages. The larger the number of wage earners using bank accounts, the smaller the risk of either their employers or themselves getting robbed, becomes.

The nature of business, however, is such that economic feasibility is always a key consideration. The service level provided by the bank will therefore always be limited by the economic feasibility of the associated cost. If ATM service levels in rural areas are too low, wage earners cannot access money in their accounts and thus face a decision: continue to bank their wages and run the risk of being unable to access their money, or stop banking and run the risk of being a victim of crime.

The decision support model developed for this final year project and the findings that resulted would enable retail banks to provide higher service levels at economically justifiable costs. These higher service levels would improve the lives of the millions of South Africans whose livelihoods depend on being able to draw their wages.

### 8.4 What the student learned

Executing the final year project was an educational experience on both personal and academic levels. On an academic level the student learned more than can be written down in a paragraph or two. Some of the lessons that stand out are listed below.

- Given time and resources, most problems encountered are solvable.
- Often, time and resources are limited and finding a perfect solution is impossible. Under these circumstances it is necessary to find as good a solution as possible and live with it.
- Finding the solution to a problem can be easy; implementing the solution is much more difficult.
- First and second year programming subjects do not make a programmer.
- The answer is seldom found in the first place searched.
- Teamwork also has advantages: the final year project is an individual assignment and, at times, the student wished she had a team mate who would have pointed out things she missed and had to rework.
- Everything takes longer than estimated.
- Donald Knuth is a programming genius.

The student also acquired valuable new skills:

- The simulation package Arena was used for the project. The student had never worked with any simulation software before.
- The study leader required the use of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ instead of MS Word for typesetting the final year document. This is another new software package which the student learned from scratch.

In essence, the student learned that she still has a lot to learn but that she has been well equipped to find the information she requires and make educated assumptions where no information exists.

The student also learned that she likes learning and as a result will start with a masters degree in multi-objective optimisation at the beginning of 2012.

### 8.5 Conclusion: Summary and conclusions

This chapter provided a summary of the objectives, methodology and results of the final year project. Cash management strategies for different service level and cost outcomes were suggested. The possible enriching effect the work done for the final year project can have on South African society was pointed out. Finally, the lessons and skills acquired by the student as a result of the project were briefly discussed.

## Appendix A

## Model conceptualisation and translation

This appendix introduces and contains the functional specification used for model conceptualisation and translation.

## A. 1 Introduction: Functional specification

Model conceptualisation and translation form part of the simulation process discussed by Banks et al. (1998) in Chapter 2. Model conceptualisation refers to the abstraction of a real-world system investigated by a series of mathematical and logical relationships. Model translation involves coding the conceptual model developed in Chapters 3, 4 and 5 into computer recognizable form.

Kelton et al. (2010) recommend creating a functional specification early in the simulation modelling process. The functional specification assists the modeller in the conceptualisation and translation phases by asking and answering questions regarding the system description, input data needed and output data to be produced.

This appendix contains the functional specification developed for the simulation model, assumptions made (and not included in the rest of the report) whilst developing the conceptual model and during the translation of the conceptual model to an operational model.

## A. 2 The functional specification

The functional specification details the system under investigation by looking at equipment, product types, operations and transportation involved. It also describes the input data available in the system the output data to be produced by the simulation model.

## A.2.1 Equipment

The system in question comprises of a network of ATMs, a count house (equivalent to a distribution center) and delivery vehicles distributing cash from the count house to the ATMs.

## ATMs

Automated teller machines serve as service points for customers wanting do draw money. A customer selects the amount of cash required, and the ATM dispenses the required amount as a combination of denominations. The ATMs in question carry four denominations (R20, R50, R100, and R200), even though there are enough cash holding canisters to carry five denominations. The exact algorithm according to which realworld ATMs dispense denominations is unknown at present. A theoretical algorithm is suggested in Chapter 5, whilst its implementation is detailed in Appendix B. This algorithm will be used for the simulated system.

There are 18 ATMs in the network.

## Count house

The count house serves as a cash distribution center. Cash is delivered to the count house from a bulk cash supplier situated in East London. From the count house, delivery vehicles distribute cash to the ATM network. This model will include only the transportation of cash from the count house to ATMs.

There is only one count house.

## Delivery vehicles

Cash-in-transit (CIT) vehicles perform deliveries to ATMs. Vehicle details are shown in Table A.1. For the simulation study it is assumed that delivery vehicles

| STRUCTURE OF VEHICLE COST |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost of a dedicated CIT vehicle | R 78710 per month |
| Number of free kilometers | 4500 |
| Cost of additional kilometers | R 3.18 per km |
| OPERATIONAL DETAIL | 50 km per hour |
| Vehicle speed | Monday to Friday from 8:00-17:00 <br> Saturdays from 8:00 to 13:00 |
| CIT delivery time | Monday to Friday from 8:00-17:00 <br> Saturdays from 8:00 to 13:00 |
| Branch hours |  |

Table A.1: ATM cash management: Operational and cost details.
can carry all the cash they can deliver in one day. In other words,

Vehicle capacity $\gg$ ATM replenishment load $\times$ Maximum \# of ATMs replenished in a day.

The simulation model should allow for one, two or three vehicles to be used.

## A.2.2 Product types

Product types that should be considered include the denominations kept in each ATM and the load delivered at time of replenishment.

## Denominations

As discussed above, each ATM can hold five canisters of notes. Theoretically, the maximum number of notes per canister is 2500 . Due to the fact that dispensing problems occur when canisters are topped up completely, canisters are not filled to the brim. In practice each canister contains 2000 notes.

For the simulation model, the canisters are filled as follows:

- Canister A: R 20-notes
- Canister B: R 50-notes
- Canister C: R 100-notes
- Canister D: R 200-notes
- Canister E: R 200-notes


## ATM replenishment load

A replenishment load contains 2000 R 20-, R 50 - and R 100 notes and 4000 R 200 notes.

## A.2.3 Operations

Three main types of operations stand out: a customer demands money, an ATM is replenished and the count house is restocked.

## A customer draws money

Customers drawing money lowers inventory level in ATM $i$ according to the algorithm developed in Chapter 5.

The retail bank in question installs all its ATMs inside branches. Customers can therefore only make withdrawals during branch hours. The branch hours are shown in Table A.1.

## ATM replenishment

ATM replenishment increases inventory level in ATM $i$ by the ATM replenishment load.

## Count house replenishment

For the purpose of the simulation study, infinite inventory in the count house is assumed and the effect of count house replenishment can be ignored.

## A.2.4 Transport

Cash needs to be transported. Due to the scope of the final year project, only transportation from the count house to ATMs need be considered. This type of transport is handled by CIT vehicles. Distances between ATMs are assumed to be symmetrical and are shown in the distance matrix in Table A.2.

## A.2.5 Input data

The two main inputs into the simulation model are customer demand and logistics costs.

## Customer demand

| ATM | CH | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CH | 0 | 96 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 173 | 82 | 80 | 116 | 85 | 96 | 118 | 50 | 15 | 144 | 12 | 80 | 116 | 96 |
| 1 |  | 0 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 227 | 85 | 174 | 209 | 156 | 106 | 81 | 144 | 96 | 216 | 96 | 174 | 209 | 106 |
| 2 |  |  | 0 | 14 | 15 | 173 | 82 | 80 | 116 | 85 | 96 | 118 | 50 | 9 | 144 | 1 | 80 | 116 | 96 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 8 | 173 | 82 | 80 | 116 | 85 | 96 | 118 | 50 | 7 | 144 | 14 | 80 | 116 | 96 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 173 | 82 | 80 | 116 | 85 | 96 | 118 | 50 | 11 | 144 | 15 | 80 | 116 | 96 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 148 | 131 | 125 | 88 | 268 | 290 | 166 | 173 | 53 | 173 | 131 | 125 | 268 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 132 | 163 | 88 | 167 | 162 | 123 | 15 | 144 | 82 | 132 | 163 | 167 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 35 | 65 | 170 | 194 | 45 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 1 | 35 | 170 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 85 | 201 | 223 | 75 | 116 | 75 | 116 | 35 | 1 | 201 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 180 | 204 | 86 | 85 | 59 | 85 | 65 | 85 | 180 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 37 | 125 | 96 | 240 | 96 | 170 | 201 | 1 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 147 | 118 | 262 | 118 | 194 | 223 | 37 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 50 | 117 | 50 | 45 | 75 | 125 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 144 | 9 | 80 | 116 | 96 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 144 | 80 | 75 | 240 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 80 | 116 | 96 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 35 | 170 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 201 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

Table A.2: ATM locations: Symmetrical distance matrix.

The student had access to very limited amounts of input data. From du Toit (2011)'s work, the daily amounts withdrawn from each ATM is known for 36 months. All ATMs were not operational for this entire period. Data for all 18 ATMs are only available for six weeks. It was decided to double the data available for these six weeks to obtain a three month simulation period. This should provide a clear enough indication of the effect of vehicle routing and inventory management on fluctuating demand.

The simulation model is designed in such a way that individual customers arrive and demand cash. Information on the total daily amount withdrawn is therefore not sufficient. The student requires the customer arrival rate and a customer demand profile. To obtain the required input data, 18 fictitious customer demand profiles were manufactured. 18 arrival rates were made up as well. These arrival rates and customer demand profiles were adjusted until the amounts demanded $\mu$ at the interarrival rate $\beta$ for one day approximates the total daily amount withdrawn.

## Costs

Vehicle costs are shown in Table A.1. Additionally, rebanking cost must be taken into account at R 0.21 per R 100 rebanked. Opportunity cost will be estimated at $6 \%$ annual nominal interest, compounded continuously.

## A.2.6 Output data

The output statistics required are:

- Service level
- Total cost
- Number of cash outs
- The total distance covered
- The number of routes completed
- The number of routes determined
- Average vehicle utilisation
- Vehicle cost
- Distance cost
- Rebanking cost
- Opportunity cost

This concludes the functional specification which was used for implementation of the simulation model. Next, algorithms used for simulation model implementation will be discussed in Appendix B.

## Appendix B

## Simulation model implementation

This appendix contains the important algorithms used to implement the simulation model. The work described below should be useful to interested parties.

## B. 1 An algorithm for dispensing notes

Implementation of cash dispensing was done using building blocks in Arena 9.0. Algorithm 1 was developed entirely by the student and is based on Winston (2004)'s branch-and-bound adaption for solving the knapsack problem. As discussed in Chapter 5 , notes are dispensed in such a manner that the number of notes dispensed are kept to a minimum and the algorithm below can therefore be seen as a "least-note picking" algorithm.

```
Algorithm 1 An algorithm for combining notes to meet customer demand
    [Input.] Customer demand D, inventory level for ATM \(i \operatorname{CashOnHand}(4, i)\) and number of unhelped
    customers CashOuts(i).
    [Initialise.]
    Set Retraced \(\leftarrow 0\);
    NoteWeight \((1) \leftarrow 20\);
    NoteWeight \((2) \leftarrow 50\);
    NoteWeight \((3) \leftarrow 100\);
    NoteWeight \((4) \leftarrow 200\);
    Note \(\leftarrow 4\);
    NotesRemoved \((j) \leftarrow 0\);
    NotesAdded \((j) \leftarrow 0\);
    NoteCombination \((j) \leftarrow 0\) for \(1 \leq j \leq 4\).
    [Equal to demand?]
    If \(\left.\sum_{j=1}^{4} \operatorname{NoteCombination(~} j\right) \times \operatorname{NoteWeight}(j)=D\) then
        [Done.] Remove notes:
        for \(1 \leq j \leq 4\)
            set CashonHand \((j, i) \leftarrow \operatorname{CashOnHand}(j, i)-\) NoteCombination \((j)\)
        next \(j\)
    else
        [Current denomination not available?]
        If CashOnHand(Note, i) - NoteCombination(Note) \(<0\) then
            [Remove note.]
            Set NotesRemoved \((\) Note \() \leftarrow\) NotesRemoved(Note) +1 ;
            NoteCombination(Note) \(\leftarrow\) NotesAdded(Note) - NotesRemoved(Note);
            go to 12
        else
            [Too many notes?]
            If \(\sum_{j=1}^{4}\) NoteCombination \((j) \times \operatorname{NoteWeight}(\mathrm{j})>D\) then
            go to 21
            else
                    [Note previously removed?]
            If NotesRemoved(Note) \(\geq 1\) then
                    [Remove one more?]
                    If (NotesRemoved(Note) \(\geq 1\) AND
                    \(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{4}\right.\) NoteCombination \((j) \times\) NoteWeight \(\left.(j)>D\right)\) )
                    OR (NotesRemoved(Note) \(\geq 1\) AND
                    \(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{4}\right.\) NoteCombination \((j) \times\) NoteWeight \(\left.(j)+\operatorname{NoteWeight}(1)>D\right)\) AND
                    \(\left.\left(\sum_{j=1}^{4} \operatorname{NoteCombination}(j) \times N o t e W e i g h t(j)\right)+2 \times N o t e W e i g h t(1)>D\right)\) then
                    go to 21
                    else
                            [Does smaller note exist?]
                    If Note \(>1\) then
                                set Note \(\leftarrow\) Note - 1 ;
                                go to 59
                                else
                            [Adjusted combination already?]
                                    If Retraced \(=1\) then
                                    CashOuts \((i) \leftarrow\) CashOuts(i) +1
                                    else
                                    [Adjust combination.]
                                    Set NotesAdded \((\) Note \() \leftarrow 0\);
                    NotesRemoved (Note) \(\leftarrow 0\);
                    Note \(\leftarrow\) Note +1 ;
                    Retraced \(\leftarrow 1\);
                    go to 12
                    end if
                    end if
                end if
            else
                                    [Add note of current combination.]
                    Set NotesAdded (Note) \(\leftarrow\) NotesAdded(Note) +1 ;
                    NoteCombination(Note) \(\leftarrow\) NotesAdded(Note) - NotesRemoved(Note);
                    go to 12
                end if
            end if
        end if
    end if.

\section*{B. 2 Vehicle routing}

Implementation of vehicle routing was done using a combination of Arena 9.0 and its VBA interface. Blocks in Arena trigger one of three different sets of code depending on the routing method selected.

The algorithms included for vehicle routing are not as detailed as the note picking algorithm.

\section*{B.2.1 Near shortest path routing}

The implementation of the near shortest path routing method has to be described as the most difficult part of the final year project. Pseudocode for the algorithms developed, adapted and abused are shown below.
```

Algorithm 2 Main function for NSP routing
[Initialise.]
Set necessary variables to 0;
CountROFs $\leftarrow$ Number of ATMs in need of replenishment.
[Continue?]
If CountROFs $\geq$ RoutingPoint then
continue
else
break
end if.
[Find initial lower bound.]
Call DETERMINELOWERBOUND.
[Solve the VRP.]
Call BRANCHANDBOUND.
[Write VBA solution to Arena.]
If solutionfound $=$ true then
call COMPLETEROUTEARRAYS
else
break
end if.

```

The algorithm used to determine the lower bound is a version of the Greedy algorithm.

The BACKTRACK algorithm was expanded from an algorithm provided by Naverniouk \& Chu (2008).

The COMBINATION algorithm was adapted from an algorithm for lexicographic combinations suggested by Knuth (2005).
```

Algorithm 3 Subfunction: DETERMINELOWERBOUND
[Initialise.]
Set necessary variables to 0;
CheapestEdge $\leftarrow 1000000$;
CurrentPosition $\leftarrow$ CountHouse;
SequencePosition $\leftarrow 1$.
[All ATMs to be replenished?]
If SequencePosition $=$ NumberOfATMsToVisit then
return to main
else
continue
end if.
[Consider all options for next station.]
For Option $=1$ to NumberOfATMsToVisit - OptionsLost
[Evaluate current option.]
If EdgeCost < CheapestEdge then
CheapestEdge $\leftarrow$ EdgeCost;
BestOption $\leftarrow$ ThisOption;
remove ThisOption from FutureOptions;
next Option.
[Move to next station.]
Set CurrentPosition $\leftarrow$ BestOption;
LowerBound $\leftarrow$ LowerBound + CheapestEdge;
OptionsLost $\leftarrow$ OptionsLost +1 ;
SequencePosition $\leftarrow$ SequencePosition +1 ;
go to 6 .

```
```

Algorithm 4 Subfunction: BRANCHANDBOUND
[Initialise.]
Set necessary variables to 0 .
[Using lower bound, is one route enough?]
If RoutesRequired $=1$ then
BestComboCost $\leftarrow$ LowerBound;
Subset $\leftarrow$ AllATMstoVisit;
NumberOfATMsToVisit $\leftarrow$ CountAllATMstoVisit;
Call INITIALISEBACKTRACK(Subset, NumberOfATMsToVisit)
else if ATM 5 requires replenishment then
determine route that services ATM 5
else
Call VARYSIZE
end if.
[Return.]
Return to main.

```
```

Algorithm 5 Subfunction: INITIALISEBACKTRACK
[Arguments required.]
Subset, NumberOfATMsToVisit.
[Initialise.]
Set necessary variables to 0 .
[Assign values to arguments for BACKTRACK.]
Set Path $\leftarrow$ Subset ;
draw up SpecificDistanceMatrix using Subset;
set array seenATM $\leftarrow$ False;
CheapestRouteCost $\leftarrow$ BestComboCost (Global variable);
$i \leftarrow 0 ; t \leftarrow$ CountHouse;
FeasibleRouteFound $\leftarrow$ False.
[Find initial lower bound.]
Call BACKTRACK(Path, SpecificDistanceMatrix, SeenATM, CheapestRouteCost, i, t, FeasibleRoute-
Found).
[Return.]
Return to calling function.

```
```

Algorithm 6 Subfunction: BACKTRACK
[Arguments required.]
Path, SpecificDistanceMatrix, SeenATM, CheapestRouteCost, i, t, FeasibleRouteFound.
[Initialise.]
Set necessary variables to 0 ; set $u \leftarrow \operatorname{path}(i)$.
[Full route?]
If $u=t$ then
[A feasible route was found.]
set FeasibleRouteFound $\leftarrow$ True
if CurrentRouteCost $<$ CheapestRouteCost then
set BestRoute $\leftarrow$ CurrentRoute
end if
end if.
[Start with station 0.]
Set $V \leftarrow 0$.
[No route between $u$ and V?]
If SpecificDistanceMatrix $(u, V)=0$ then
go to 37
end if.
[Visited V before?]
If SeenATM (V) True then
go to 37
end if.
[Make V next station.]
Set $\operatorname{Path}(i+1)=V$.
[Determine time required for path thus far.]
Set RouteTime $=f($ CheapestRouteCost $)$.
[Continue with route?]
If RouteTime $>$ AvailableTime then
[Branch will not yield an optimal solution.]
go to 37
end if.
[Recurse.]
Set SeenATM $(V) \leftarrow$ True;
call BACKTRACK(Path, SpecificDistanceMatrix, SeenATM, CheapestRouteCost, i+1, t, FeasibleRoute-
Found).
[Recursion done.]
Set SeenATM $(V) \leftarrow$ False.
[Next station.]
Next $V$.
[Return.]
Return to INITIALISEBACKTRACK.

```
```

Algorithm 7 Subfunction: VARYSIZE
[Adjust BestComboCost.]
Set BestComboCost $\leftarrow$ GreedyCost.
[Assign values to arguments required for COMBINATION.]
Set CombinationArray $\leftarrow(0,1,2,3,4, \ldots)$;
if CountAllATMstoVisit $<4$ then
set CombinationSize $\leftarrow$ CountAllATMstoVisit
else
set CombinationSize $\leftarrow 4$;
MinATMsOnRoute $\leftarrow 2$;
GoodCombinations $\leftarrow 0$
end if.
[Find initial lower bound.]
While GoodCombinations $=0$ AND CombinationSize $\geq$ MinATMsOnRoute
Call COMBINATION(CombinationArray, CombinationSize, CountAllATMstoVisit, MinATMsOn-
Route);
set CombinationSize $\leftarrow$ CombinationSize - 1 .
[Return.]
Return to BRANCHANDBOUND.

```
```

Algorithm 8 Subfunction: COMBINATION
[Initialise.]
For $1 \leq j \leq t$
$\operatorname{set} c_{j} \leftarrow j-1$
next $j$;
set $c_{t+1} \leftarrow n$;
$c_{t+2} \leftarrow 0$.
[Visit.]
Set inSubset $\leftarrow$ the combination $c_{t} \ldots c_{2} c_{1}$
notInSubset $\leftarrow$ the remaining ATMs.
Call BESTCOMBINATION(inSubset, notInSubset).
[Find $j$.]
Set $j \leftarrow 1$
While $c_{j}+1=c_{j+1}$
set $c_{j} \leftarrow j-1$;
$j \leftarrow j+1$;
repeat until $c_{j}+1 \neq c_{j+1}$
[Done?]
Terminate the algorithm if $j>t$.
Return to VARYSIZE
[Increase $c_{j}$.]
Set $c_{j} \leftarrow c_{j}+1$;
return to 7 .

```
```

Algorithm 9 Subfunction: BESTCOMBINATION
[Arguments required.]
inSubset, notInSubset.
[Initialise.]
Set necessary variables to 0
[Assign values to arguments required by INITIALISEBACKTRACK.]
Set Subset $\leftarrow$ inSubset;
NumberOfATMstoVisit $\leftarrow$ CombinationSize.
[Pass arguments.]
CallINITIALISEBACKTRACK(Subset, NumberOfATMsto Visit).
[Keep best route.]
If CostOfRouteReturned $<$ BestComboCost then
set BestComboCost $\leftarrow$ CostOfRouteReturned;
BestRoute $\leftarrow$ RouteReturned
end if.
[Return.]
Return to COMBINATION.

```
```

Algorithm 10 Subfunction: COMPLETEROUTEARRAYS
[Convert VBA route to Arena.]
For $i=0$ to RouteLength
write BestRoute(i) to ArenaRoute(i+1)
next $i$.
[Count ATMs not in route.]
Set UnservicedATMs $\leftarrow 0$.
For $j=1$ to CountAllATMstoVisit
if ATMIncluded False then
set UnservicedATMs $\leftarrow$ UnservicedATMs +1
end if
next $j$.
[Continue?]
Set Arena variable BuildSequenceNow $\leftarrow 1$
[Return.]
Return to main

```

\section*{B.2.2 First-in-first-out routing}

The programming required for FIFO routing was much simpler than the implementation of the NSP.
```

Algorithm 11 Main function for FIFO routing
[Initialise.]
Set necessary variables to 0 .
[Continue?]
If ATMs in need of replenishment $\geq$ RoutingPoint then
continue
else
break
end if.
[Sort ATM orders in order of registration.] Call QUICKSORT.
[Determine a FIFO route.] Call FIFOROUTE.

```

\section*{B.2.3 Direct replenishment}

The implementation of direct replenishment routing, is a trivial case of FIFO routing as only one ATM is included in every route and the array used for route determination.
```

Algorithm 12 Subfunction: QUICKSORT
[Arguments required.]
sortArray, startSort, endSort.
[Initialise.]
Set $i \leftarrow$ startSort;
$k \leftarrow$ endSort.
[Sort not complete yet?]
If endSort - startSort $\geq 1$ then
set pivot $\leftarrow \operatorname{sortArray(startSort);~}$
continue
else
break
end if.
[Terminate search?]
If $k \leq i$ then
go to 28
end if.
[Find next value to swap.]
While $\operatorname{sortArray}(i) \leq$ pivot AND $i \leq e n d S o r t$ AND $k>i$
set $i \leftarrow i+1$
loop.
While $\operatorname{sortArray}(k)>\operatorname{pivot}$ AND $k \geq \operatorname{startSort~AND~} k \geq i$
set $k \leftarrow k-1$
loop.
If $k>i$ then
swap sortArray, $i, k$.
Go to 13
end if.
[Alternative swap.]
Swap sortArray, startSort, $k$.
[Recurse.]
Call QUICKSORT(sortArray, startSort, $k-1$ ).
Call QUICKSORT(sortArray, $k+1$, endSort).
[Return.]
Return to main.

```
```

Algorithm 13 Subfunction: FIFOROUTE
[Arguments required.]
DemandArray.
[Initialise.]
Set necessary variables to 0
All ATMs considered?]
If ATMConsidered $=$ NumberOfATMstoVisit then
break, returning to main
else
continue
end if.
[Can ATM be added to route?]
If RouteTime $\leq$ TimeAvailable then
add ATM
end if.
[One ATM too many?]
If RouteTime $\geq$ TimeAvailable then
remove ATM
end if.
[Try another ATM]
Set ATMConsidered $\leftarrow$ ATMConsidered +1 .
Go to 5.

```
```

Algorithm 14 Main function for direct replenishment
: [Initialise.]
Set necessary variables to 0
[Determine a FIFO route.]
4: Call DIRECTREPLENISHMENTROUTE

```
```

Algorithm 15 Subfunction: DIRECTREPLENISHMENTROUTE
[Arguments required.]
DemandArray.
[Initialise.]
Set necessary variables to 0 .
[All ATMs considered?]
If ATMConsidered $=$ NumberOfATMstoVisit then
break, returning to main
else
continue
end if.
[Can ATM be added to route?]
If Route Time $\leq$ TimeAvailable then
add ATM
end if.
[One ATM too many?] If RouteTime $\geq$ TimeAvailable then
remove ATM
end if.
[Try another ATM]
Set ATMConsidered $\leftarrow$ ATMConsidered +1 .
Go to 5 .

```

\section*{Appendix C}

\section*{Simulation model verification and validation}

Bekker (2011b) states that "verification allows us to confirm that we have built the model right, whereas validation allows us to confirm that we have built the right model".

Model verification consists in large part of debugging and is therefore done throughout model development.

The student validated the majority of the simulation model only once it was "completed". Apostrophes because the validation lead to a significant amount of rework and even after this was done, the model can still not be described as "complete" - there will always be something that can be added or improved.

The student specifically searched for answers to certain questions during model validation. These questions are:
1. Does the actual number of customers created match the expected number of customers created?
2. Are all created customers disposed?
3. Does the actual amount of cash dispensed match the expected amount?
4. Is vehicle cost what it is expected to be? For all three cases?
5. Does the total distance travelled make sense?
6. Is the associated distance cost what it is expected to be?
7. Does the value returned for rebanking cost make sense?
8. Does the value returned for opportunity cost add up?
9. Are the routes determined, the routes used? Or are vehicles doing something else?
10. Do the created routes obey constraints?
11. Are CIT vehicles back at the count house at the end of a day?
12. Do CIT vehicles get "stuck" waiting for work or on route?
13. Does adding or subtracting a truck make a difference to results?
14. Does the algorithm for dispensing notes behave as expected?

These questions were answered satisfactorily for various scenarios spanning the different routing methods, number of vehicles available, routing points and reorder points.

Once the student was satisfied that the model imitated the network to an acceptable extent, the initial experiments used to determine the number of replications required were started. After determining the number of replications required to yield satisfactory confidence interval half-widths, the 90 experiments of which the results are shown in Appendix D were run.

\section*{Appendix D}

\section*{Results of the simulation study}

Summaries of the results of all 90 simulation experiments are shown below.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 1} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & & 2 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.7058 & 0.006 & Total cost & 344900 & 1297.6 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 33822 & 690.08 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled (km) & 15868 & 108.53 & Cost of distance travelled & 7674.9 & 327.32 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 67.987 & 0.57377 & Opportunity cost & 99537 & 967.36 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 65.3 & 0.52356 & Rebanking cost & 1553.9 & 160.83 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.54378 & 0.00434 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 2} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.73737 & 0.0066 & Total cost & 360190 & 2067 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 30204 & 761.91 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16745 & 137.49 & Cost of distance travelled & 10321 & 436.96 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 72.7 & 0.75207 & Opportunity cost & 105770 & 1134.6 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 68.85 & 0.67664 & Rebanking cost & 7966.9 & 738.94 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.57788 & 0.00502 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 3} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 1 \\
\hline Routing point & & 2 & Re-order point for ATMs & & 550000 \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.74169 & 0.00621 & Total cost & 367250 & 2479.8 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 29705 & 717.92 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16807 & 100.91 & Cost of distance travelled & 10516 & 320.9 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 74.425 & 0.6591 & Opportunity cost & 108080 & 1246.5 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 69.562 & 0.60347 & Rebanking cost & 12526 & 1233 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.58248 & 0.00374 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 4} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.73187 & 0.00482 & Total cost & 347290 & 1162.6 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 30821 & 552.91 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 15348 & 114.98 & Cost of distance travelled & 6319.7 & 321.31 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 61.162 & 0.4327 & Opportunity cost & 102850 & 795.6 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 58.65 & 0.44674 & Rebanking cost & 1986.4 & 205.29 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.5302 & 0.00441 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 5} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.75933 & 0.00389 & Total cost & 362750 & 1588.1 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 27674 & 448.64 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16169 & 94.161 & Cost of distance travelled & 8509.2 & 298.3 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 66 & 0.41545 & Opportunity cost & 108750 & 762.65 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 62.4 & 0.39913 & Rebanking cost & 9369.4 & 755.65 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.56346 & 0.00356 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 6} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.7684 & 0.00501 & Total cost & 375510 & 2332.7 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 26631 & 583.06 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16671 & 103.53 & Cost of distance travelled & 10084 & 329.24 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 69.075 & 0.52887 & Opportunity cost & 112230 & 1013.2 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 64.812 & 0.50325 & Rebanking cost & 17073 & 1194.8 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.58244 & 0.00388 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 7} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.88915 & 0.00327 & Total cost & 609960 & 666.77 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 12749 & 377.09 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 20573 & 188.49 & Cost of distance travelled & 9.2617 & 13.124 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 85.362 & 0.69724 & Opportunity cost & 126560 & 449.21 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 84.262 & 0.73169 & Rebanking cost & 11136 & 301.4 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.33356 & 0.00309 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 8} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94346 & 0.00241 & Total cost & 686960 & 1952 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 6497.5 & 277.09 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 23635 & 197.54 & Cost of distance travelled & 371.14 & 123.84 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 98.787 & 0.90152 & Opportunity cost & 147550 & 601.99 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 97.912 & 0.84327 & Rebanking cost & 66773 & 1384 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.39424 & 0.00371 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 9} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & & 2 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.96191 & 0.00192 & Total cost & 794190 & 3764 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 4380 & 220.11 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 27285 & 212.64 & Cost of distance travelled & 3568.8 & 424.89 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 115.9 & 0.94575 & Opportunity cost & 165140 & 669.52 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 114.35 & 0.81309 & Rebanking cost & 153220 & 3007.3 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.46303 & 0.00371 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 10} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.86673 & 0.00401 & Total cost & 603850 & 789.18 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 15323 & 461.32 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 18653 & 125.13 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 73.762 & 0.59537 & Opportunity cost & 123080 & 542.88 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 72.575 & 0.60446 & Rebanking cost & 8510 & 328.8 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.30157 & 0.0024 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 11} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.92459 & 0.00237 & Total cost & 668190 & 1700.9 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 8673.3 & 272.78 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 21794 & 139.51 & Cost of distance travelled & 33.549 & 26.366 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 86.587 & 0.63832 & Opportunity cost & 142440 & 566.23 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 84.8 & 0.61455 & Rebanking cost & 53454 & 1174.5 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.36332 & 0.00267 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 12} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & & 3 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.95519 & 0.00185 & Total cost & 762760 & 3038.1 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 5154.4 & 213.3 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 25914 & 124.28 & Cost of distance travelled & 1395.2 & 204.03 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 102.76 & 0.66917 & Opportunity cost & 159960 & 622.84 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 100.6 & 0.62813 & Rebanking cost & 129140 & 2387.7 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.43788 & 0.0025 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 13} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{c} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.91763 & 0.00219 & Total cost & 852110 & 626.87 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 9469.8 & 250.4 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 21150 & 198.02 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 88.037 & 0.77472 & Opportunity cost & 130040 & 405.4 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 87.275 & 0.78581 & Rebanking cost & 13677 & 338.62 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.21228 & 0.00238 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 14} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.96023 & 0.00179 & Total cost & 939310 & 1508 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 4572.9 & 205.84 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 24575 & 220.21 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 102.07 & 0.98038 & Opportunity cost & 151430 & 473.44 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 101.36 & 0.92846 & Rebanking cost & 79490 & 1094.7 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.25732 & 0.00284 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 15} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & & 2 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.98046 & 0.00111 & Total cost & 1020100 & 2396.8 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 2246.5 & 127.98 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 29665 & 300.26 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 125.03 & 1.2623 & Opportunity cost & 173860 & 405.66 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 124.18 & 1.152 & Rebanking cost & 197820 & 2030.7 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.32007 & 0.00339 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 16} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & & 3 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.89513 & 0.00236 & Total cost & 845710 & 602.59 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 12061 & 273.89 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 19485 & 100.66 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 76.862 & 0.38963 & Opportunity cost & 126490 & 399.69 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 75.787 & 0.39928 & Rebanking cost & 10829 & 311.95 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.19544 & 0.00189 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 17} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94323 & 0.00231 & Total cost & 920940 & 1876.2 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 6528.7 & 265.73 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 22634 & 164.48 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 90.15 & 0.73287 & Opportunity cost & 147060 & 624.92 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 88.325 & 0.74984 & Rebanking cost & 65491 & 1310.3 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.238 & 0.00261 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 18} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & & 3 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.97741 & 0.00128 & Total cost & 1052100 & 3339.7 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 2597 & 146.82 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 28238 & 172.52 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 111.75 & 0.78297 & Opportunity cost & 169340 & 604.02 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 109.88 & 0.79311 & Rebanking cost & 174360 & 2763.4 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.30318 & 0.00217 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 19} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.64934 & 0.00474 & Total cost & 338540 & 1256.2 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 40318 & 543.44 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16362 & 113.29 & Cost of distance travelled & 9147.7 & 354.24 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 77.45 & 0.69325 & Opportunity cost & 92731 & 893.92 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 72.875 & 0.69461 & Rebanking cost & 535.14 & 95.164 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.55212 & 0.00452 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 20} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.66141 & 0.00488 & Total cost & 344500 & 1343.8 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 38932 & 564.17 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16832 & 111.36 & Cost of distance travelled & 10600 & 353.4 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 81 & 0.75766 & Opportunity cost & 95265 & 856.22 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 74.912 & 0.71886 & Rebanking cost & 2503.4 & 288.84 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.56873 & 0.00419 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 21} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.66136 & 0.00467 & Total cost & 347670 & 1322.5 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 38942 & 542.24 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16984 & 108.15 & Cost of distance travelled & 11079 & 343.93 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 83.65 & 0.80121 & Opportunity cost & 95953 & 798.91 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 76.487 & 0.80986 & Rebanking cost & 4504.4 & 391.59 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.5715 & 0.00376 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 22} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.68068 & 0.00475 & Total cost & 342550 & 1090.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 36716 & 549.45 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 15953 & 102.85 & Cost of distance travelled & 7964.7 & 294.35 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 65.25 & 0.52975 & Opportunity cost & 97802 & 828.47 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 62.025 & 0.52669 & Rebanking cost & 656.8 & 103.03 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.54462 & 0.00373 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 23} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & & 3 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.70025 & 0.00397 & Total cost & 351330 & 1207.2 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 34459 & 462.48 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16562 & 88.606 & Cost of distance travelled & 9747 & 280 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 69.575 & 0.52645 & Opportunity cost & 101630 & 700.89 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 64.837 & 0.47898 & Rebanking cost & 3818.1 & 460.84 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.56732 & 0.00344 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 24} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.69781 & 0.00409 & Total cost & 357260 & 1331.9 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 34752 & 471.17 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 17010 & 101.35 & Cost of distance travelled & 11165 & 321.58 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 72.437 & 0.5797 & Opportunity cost & 102100 & 717.09 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 67.15 & 0.54239 & Rebanking cost & 7865.8 & 493.89 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.58013 & 0.00379 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 25} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.87831 & 0.004 & Total cost & 608380 & 877.5 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 13993 & 461.15 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 23371 & 138.69 & Cost of distance travelled & 432.91 & 130.36 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 106.53 & 0.77924 & Opportunity cost & 127160 & 564.7 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 103.68 & 0.72365 & Rebanking cost & 8534.3 & 313.08 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.37917 & 0.00264 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 26} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & & 2 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.93434 & 0.0026 & Total cost & 678570 & 2027.7 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 7550.7 & 298.9 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 27422 & 147.25 & Cost of distance travelled & 3942.1 & 325.89 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 126.62 & 0.90484 & Opportunity cost & 146530 & 622.81 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 123.45 & 0.86476 & Rebanking cost & 55840 & 1319.7 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.45318 & 0.00263 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 27} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94962 & 0.00223 & Total cost & 747620 & 2857.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 5791.8 & 256.25 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 30695 & 156.65 & Cost of distance travelled & 12001 & 481.65 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 142.93 & 1.0109 & Opportunity cost & 157570 & 576.92 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 137.97 & 0.97332 & Rebanking cost & 105790 & 2046.7 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.51466 & 0.00275 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 28} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 2 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.84216 & 0.00318 & Total cost & 597800 & 590.18 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 18153 & 368.02 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 20409 & 141.98 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 81.9 & 0.61476 & Opportunity cost & 121700 & 504.18 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 79.275 & 0.64854 & Rebanking cost & 3842.7 & 175.79 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.33058 & 0.00289 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 29} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 2 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.91626 & 0.0029 & Total cost & 652640 & 1593.3 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 9626.6 & 334.58 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 24174 & 142.03 & Cost of distance travelled & 503.91 & 159.4 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 97.55 & 0.73531 & Opportunity cost & 141530 & 613.41 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 94.862 & 0.71579 & Rebanking cost & 38343 & 1053.8 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.39875 & 0.00294 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 30} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94812 & 0.00225 & Total cost & 736140 & 3092.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 5969.4 & 259.6 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 28380 & 14782 & Cost of distance travelled & 6007.4 & 424.64 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 115.55 & 0.81607 & Opportunity cost & 157420 & 669.46 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 111.86 & 0.79773 & Rebanking cost & 100450 & 2178 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.47612 & 0.0027 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 31} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & & 2 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.92357 & 0.00217 & Total cost & 854340 & 571.19 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 8786.9 & 248.44 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 24811 & 122.35 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 114.03 & 0.73404 & Opportunity cost & 133620 & 355.92 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 111.76 & 0.73561 & Rebanking cost & 12328 & 313.78 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.25191 & 0.00181 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 32} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 3 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.96501 & 0.00142 & Total cost & 941970 & 1287.1 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 4024.4 & 163.42 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 29371 & 140.24 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 135.75 & 0.96971 & Opportunity cost & 154800 & 379.25 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 132.78 & 0.91629 & Rebanking cost & 78787 & 980.11 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.30846 & 0.00211 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 33} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.98118 & 0.00111 & Total cost & 1064100 & 2936.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 2164.4 & 127.82 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 35502 & 187.18 & Cost of distance travelled & 381.75 & 129.15 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 164.77 & 0.9779 & Opportunity cost & 173840 & 498.84 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 161.13 & 0.97906 & Rebanking cost & 181510 & 2432.8 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.38224 & 0.00224 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 34} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.87795 & 0.00285 & Total cost & 840110 & 569.56 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 14036 & 326.53 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 21353 & 129.79 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 85.1 & 0.69389 & Opportunity cost & 126450 & 450.7 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 83.2 & 0.68723 & Rebanking cost & 5271.7 & 211.05 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.21704 & 0.00226 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 35} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 3 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.93706 & 0.00244 & Total cost & 903940 & 1841.1 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 7236.5 & 282.02 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 25197 & 162.56 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 101.61 & 0.77641 & Opportunity cost & 145990 & 670.15 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 98.762 & 0.81634 & Rebanking cost & 49554 & 1239.1 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.26445 & 0.00227 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 36} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.97236 & 0.00151 & Total cost & 1017400 & 2849.9 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 3179.2 & 174.35 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 30973 & 169.01 & Cost of distance travelled & 2.385 & 4.7938 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 124.71 & 0.93186 & Opportunity cost & 167930 & 585.26 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 122 & 0.92345 & Rebanking cost & 141050 & 2311.3 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.32956 & 0.00203 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 37} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.63491 & 0.00495 & Total cost & 343250 & 1411.6 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 41987 & 572.59 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 18245 & 148.08 & Cost of distance travelled & 15091 & 470.9 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 128.67 & 1.0429 & Opportunity cost & 91314 & 933.79 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 120 & 1.0927 & Rebanking cost & 712.03 & 88.05 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.61111 & 0.00574 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 38} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.63739 & 0.00446 & Total cost & 346570 & 1309.3 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 41709 & 511.64 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 18486 & 142.23 & Cost of distance travelled & 15856 & 452.29 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 129.97 & 1.0125 & Opportunity cost & 92436 & 806.8 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 120.3 & 1.08 & Rebanking cost & 2142.1 & 178.47 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.61984 & 0.00531 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 39} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.63922 & 0.00478 & Total cost & 350070 & 1487.8 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 41486 & 548.2 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 18634 & 136.6 & Cost of distance travelled & 16326 & 434.41 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 133.73 & 0.97841 & Opportunity cost & 93496 & 888.78 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 121.56 & 0.98368 & Rebanking cost & 4120.2 & 306 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.62579 & 0.00512 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 40} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & & 1 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 1 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.91086 & 0.00304 & Total cost & 630350 & 955.57 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 10253 & 350 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 27878 & 116.67 & Cost of distance travelled & 6375.4 & 346.96 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 189.87 & 1.1069 & Opportunity cost & 134740 & 451.68 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 186.06 & 0.98336 & Rebanking cost & 16973 & 423.39 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.45314 & 0.00227 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 41} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94987 & 0.0021 & Total cost & 713440 & 2294.6 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 5765.1 & 242.15 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 32313 & 141.36 & Cost of distance travelled & 16945 & 440.79 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 218.13 & 1.1716 & Opportunity cost & 152560 & 579.03 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 212.93 & 1.16 & Rebanking cost & 71672 & 1439.2 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.53652 & 0.00254 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Experiment 42} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94747 & 0.00205 & Total cost & 767430 & 3207.3 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 6037.8 & 236.07 & Vehicle cost & 472260 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 34408 & 167.19 & Cost of distance travelled & 23578 & 529.25 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 235.16 & 1.3942 & Opportunity cost & 159490 & 622.56 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 225.58 & 1.359 & Rebanking cost & 112100 & 2191.1 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.57679 & 0.00292 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 43} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & & 3 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.95281 & 0.00165 & Total cost & 874290 & 705.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 5428 & 189.64 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 29381 & 111.97 & Cost of distance travelled & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 198.28 & 1.1183 & Opportunity cost & 140370 & 366.48 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 196.55 & 1.134 & Rebanking cost & 25532 & 416.81 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.30211 & 0.00134 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 44} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.97505 & 0.00128 & Total cost & 979310 & 1425.5 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 2868.9 & 146.95 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 35004 & 133.62 & Cost of distance travelled & 314.66 & 96.757 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 234.77 & 1.3554 & Opportunity cost & 161620 & 381.91 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 232.15 & 1.3283 & Rebanking cost & 108980 & 1091.9 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.36641 & 0.00145 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 45} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & & 1 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 1 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.98824 & 0.00106 & Total cost & 1126000 & 3321.2 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 1352.3 & 121.68 & Vehicle cost & 708390 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 42550 & 194.69 & Cost of distance travelled & 8397.1 & 501.12 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 289.62 & 1.7014 & Opportunity cost & 181320 & 442.69 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 286.02 & 1.6571 & Rebanking cost & 227940 & 2519.6 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.4611 & 0.00247 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 46} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.7058 & 0.006 & Total cost & 325600 & 2189.5 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 33822 & 690.08 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled (km) & 15868 & 108.53 & Cost of distance travelled & 106440 & 327.32 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 67.987 & 0.57377 & Opportunity cost & 99537 & 967.36 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 65.3 & 0.52356 & Rebanking cost & 1553.9 & 160.83 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.54378 & 0.00434 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 47} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & & 2 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.73737 & 0.0066 & Total cost & 349410 & 3147.2 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 30204 & 761.91 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled (km) & 16745 & 137.49 & Cost of distance travelled & 117600 & 1748.8 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 72.7 & 0.75207 & Opportunity cost & 105770 & 1134.6 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 68.85 & 0.67664 & Rebanking cost & 7966.9 & 738.94 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.57788 & 0.00502 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 48} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.74169 & 0.00621 & Total cost & 357050 & 3025 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 29705 & 717.92 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled (km) & 16807 & 100.91 & Cost of distance travelled & 118390 & 128306 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 74.425 & 0.6591 & Opportunity cost & 108080 & 1246.5 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 69.562 & 0.60347 & Rebanking cost & 12526 & 1233 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.58248 & 0.00374 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 49} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.73187 & 0.00482 & Total cost & 322730 & 2235.8 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 30821 & 552.91 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 15348 & 114.98 & Cost of distance travelled & 99827 & 1462 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 61.162 & 0.4327 & Opportunity cost & 102850 & 795.6 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 58.65 & 0.44674 & Rebanking cost & 1986.4 & 205.29 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.5302 & 0.00441 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 50} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 1 \\
\hline Routing point & & 3 & Re-order point for ATMs & & 350000 \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.75933 & 0.00389 & Total cost & 346450 & 2326 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 27674 & 448.64 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16169 & 94.161 & Cost of distance travelled & 110270 & 1197.7 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 66 & 0.41545 & Opportunity cost & 108750 & 762.65 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 62.4 & 0.39913 & Rebanking cost & 9369.4 & 755.65 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.56346 & 0.00356 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 51} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & & 3 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.7684 & 0.00501 & Total cost & 364020 & 3128.1 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 26631 & 583.06 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16671 & 103.53 & Cost of distance travelled & 116660 & 1316.9 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 69.075 & 0.52887 & Opportunity cost & 112230 & 1013.2 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 64.812 & 0.50325 & Rebanking cost & 17073 & 1194.8 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.58244 & 0.00388 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 52} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.88915 & 0.00327 & Total cost & 444980 & 2731.3 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 12749 & 377.09 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 20573 & 188.49 & Cost of distance travelled & 71156 & 2318.7 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 85.362 & 0.69724 & Opportunity cost & 126560 & 449.21 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 84.262 & 0.73169 & Rebanking cost & 11136 & 301.4 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.33356 & 0.00309 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 53} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94346 & 0.00241 & Total cost & 560300 & 3576.3 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 6497.5 & 277.09 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 23635 & 197.54 & Cost of distance travelled & 109840 & 2512.7 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 98.787 & 0.90152 & Opportunity cost & 147550 & 601.99 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 97.912 & 0.84327 & Rebanking cost & 66773 & 1384 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.39424 & 0.00371 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 54} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.96191 & 0.00192 & Total cost & 710760 & 4945.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 4380 & 220.11 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 27285 & 212.64 & Cost of distance travelled & 156270 & 2704.7 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 115.9 & 0.94575 & Opportunity cost & 165140 & 669.52 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 114.35 & 0.81309 & Rebanking cost & 153220 & 3007.3 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.46303 & 0.00371 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 55} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 2 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.86673 & 0.00401 & Total cost & 415010 & 2094.8 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 15323 & 461.32 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 18653 & 125.13 & Cost of distance travelled & 47285 & 0 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 73.762 & 0.59537 & Opportunity cost & 123080 & 542.88 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 72.575 & 0.60446 & Rebanking cost & 8510 & 328.8 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.30157 & 0.0024 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 56} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 2 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.92459 & 0.00237 & Total cost & 518450 & 2989.2 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 8673.3 & 272.78 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 21794 & 139.51 & Cost of distance travelled & 86423 & 1774.6 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 86.587 & 0.63832 & Opportunity cost & 142440 & 566.23 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 84.8 & 0.61455 & Rebanking cost & 53454 & 1174.5 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.36332 & 0.00267 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 57} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.95519 & 0.00185 & Total cost & 664060 & 4038.6 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 5154.4 & 213.3 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 25914 & 124.28 & Cost of distance travelled & 133830 & 1580.9 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 102.76 & 0.66917 & Opportunity cost & 159960 & 622.84 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 100.6 & 0.62813 & Rebanking cost & 129140 & 2387.7 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.43788 & 0.0025 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 58} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{c} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 3 \\
\hline Routing point & & 2 & Re-order point for ATMs & & 150000 \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.91763 & 0.00219 & Total cost & 505630 & 1385.5 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 9469.8 & 250.4 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 21150 & 198.02 & Cost of distance travelled & 7711.6 & 1081.4 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 88.037 & 0.77472 & Opportunity cost & 130040 & 405.4 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 87.275 & 0.78581 & Rebanking cost & 13677 & 338.62 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.21228 & 0.00238 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 59} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & & 2 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 2 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.96023 & 0.00179 & Total cost & 617000 & 3173.7 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 4572.9 & 205.84 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 24575 & 220.21 & Cost of distance travelled & 31883 & 2250.3 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 102.07 & 0.98038 & Opportunity cost & 151430 & 473.44 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 101.36 & 0.92846 & Rebanking cost & 79490 & 1094.7 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.25732 & 0.00284 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 60} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.98046 & 0.00111 & Total cost & 817240 & 4954.5 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 2246.5 & 127.98 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 29665 & 300.26 & Cost of distance travelled & 91360 & 3763.1 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 125.03 & 1.2623 & Opportunity cost & 173860 & 405.66 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 124.18 & 1.152 & Rebanking cost & 197820 & 2030.7 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.32007 & 0.00339 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 61} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.89513 & 0.00236 & Total cost & 493400 & 745.25 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 12061 & 273.89 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 19485 & 100.66 & Cost of distance travelled & 1890.8 & 421.4 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 76.862 & 0.38963 & Opportunity cost & 126490 & 399.69 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 75.787 & 0.39928 & Rebanking cost & 10829 & 311.95 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.19544 & 0.00189 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 62} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 3 \\
\hline Routing point & & 3 & Re-order point for ATMs & & 350000 \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94323 & 0.00231 & Total cost & 582050 & 3033.9 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 6528.7 & 265.73 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 22634 & 164.48 & Cost of distance travelled & 15298 & 1437 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 90.15 & 0.73287 & Opportunity cost & 147060 & 624.92 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 88.325 & 0.74984 & Rebanking cost & 65491 & 1310.3 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.238 & 0.00261 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 63} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Near-shortest path routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 3 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.97741 & 0.00128 & Total cost & 771020 & 5150.8 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 2597 & 146.82 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 28238 & 172.52 & Cost of distance travelled & 73127 & 2168.8 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 111.75 & 0.78297 & Opportunity cost & 169340 & 604.02 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 109.88 & 0.79311 & Rebanking cost & 174360 & 2763.4 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.30318 & 0.00217 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 64} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.64934 & 0.00474 & Total cost & 324070 & 2280.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 40318 & 543.44 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16362 & 113.29 & Cost of distance travelled & 112730 & 1441 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 77.45 & 0.69325 & Opportunity cost & 92731 & 893.92 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 72.875 & 0.69461 & Rebanking cost & 535.14 & 95.164 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.55212 & 0.00452 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 65} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.66141 & 0.00488 & Total cost & 334550 & 2288.3 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 38932 & 564.17 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16832 & 111.36 & Cost of distance travelled & 118710 & 1416.5 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 81 & 0.75766 & Opportunity cost & 95265 & 856.22 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 74.912 & 0.71886 & Rebanking cost & 2503.4 & 288.84 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.56873 & 0.00419 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 66} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 1 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.66136 & 0.00467 & Total cost & 339160 & 2255.5 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 38942 & 542.24 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16984 & 108.15 & Cost of distance travelled & 120640 & 1375.7 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 83.65 & 0.80121 & Opportunity cost & 95953 & 798.91 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 76.487 & 0.80986 & Rebanking cost & 4504.4 & 391.59 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.5715 & 0.00376 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 67} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 1 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.68068 & 0.00475 & Total cost & 324050 & 2001.1 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 36716 & 549.45 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 15953 & 102.85 & Cost of distance travelled & 107520 & 1308.3 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 65.25 & 0.52975 & Opportunity cost & 97802 & 828.47 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 62.025 & 0.52669 & Rebanking cost & 656.8 & 103.03 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.54462 & 0.00373 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 68} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 1 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.70025 & 0.00397 & Total cost & 338790 & 1913.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 34459 & 462.48 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 16562 & 88.606 & Cost of distance travelled & 115270 & 1127 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 69.575 & 0.52645 & Opportunity cost & 101630 & 700.89 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 64.837 & 0.47898 & Rebanking cost & 3818.1 & 460.84 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.56732 & 0.00344 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 69} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.69781 & 0.00409 & Total cost & 349010 & 2157.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 34752 & 471.17 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 17010 & 101.35 & Cost of distance travelled & 120980 & 1289.1 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 72.437 & 0.5797 & Opportunity cost & 102100 & 717.09 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 67.15 & 0.54239 & Rebanking cost & 7865.8 & 493.89 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.58013 & 0.00379 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 70} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.87831 & 0.004 & Total cost & 478300 & 2356.6 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 13993 & 461.15 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 23371 & 138.69 & Cost of distance travelled & 106480 & 1764.1 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 106.53 & 0.77924 & Opportunity cost & 127160 & 564.7 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 103.68 & 0.72365 & Rebanking cost & 8534.3 & 313.08 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.37917 & 0.00264 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 71} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 2 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.93434 & 0.0026 & Total cost & 596500 & 3277.1 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 7550.7 & 298.9 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 27422 & 147.25 & Cost of distance travelled & 158010 & 1873 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 126.62 & 0.90484 & Opportunity cost & 146530 & 622.81 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 123.45 & 0.86476 & Rebanking cost & 55840 & 1319.7 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.45318 & 0.00263 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 72} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 2 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94962 & 0.00223 & Total cost & 699130 & 4055.9 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 5791.8 & 256.25 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 30695 & 156.65 & Cost of distance travelled & 199650 & 1992.6 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 142.93 & 1.0109 & Opportunity cost & 157570 & 576.92 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 137.97 & 0.97332 & Rebanking cost & 105790 & 2046.7 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.51466 & 0.00275 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 73} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.84216 & 0.00318 & Total cost & 430720 & 2071.5 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 18153 & 368.02 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 20409 & 141.98 & Cost of distance travelled & 69048 & 1762.7 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 81.9 & 0.61476 & Opportunity cost & 121700 & 504.18 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 79.275 & 0.64854 & Rebanking cost & 3842.7 & 175.79 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.33058 & 0.00289 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 74} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.91626 & 0.0029 & Total cost & 532700 & 2928.3 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 9626.6 & 334.58 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 24174 & 142.03 & Cost of distance travelled & 116700 & 1806.6 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 97.55 & 0.73531 & Opportunity cost & 141530 & 613.41 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 94.862 & 0.71579 & Rebanking cost & 38343 & 1053.8 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.39875 & 0.00294 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 75} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & & 2 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94812 & 0.00225 & Total cost & 664210 & 4286.2 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 5969.4 & 259.6 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 28380 & 14782 & Cost of distance travelled & 170200 & 1880.3 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 115.55 & 0.81607 & Opportunity cost & 157420 & 669.46 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 111.86 & 0.79773 & Rebanking cost & 100450 & 2178 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.47612 & 0.0027 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 76} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.92357 & 0.00217 & Total cost & 537590 & 1806.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 8786.9 & 248.44 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 24811 & 122.35 & Cost of distance travelled & 37449 & 1590.6 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 114.03 & 0.73404 & Opportunity cost & 133620 & 355.92 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 111.76 & 0.73561 & Rebanking cost & 12328 & 313.78 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.25191 & 0.00181 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 77} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.96501 & 0.00142 & Total cost & 675420 & 2421.5 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 4024.4 & 163.42 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 29371 & 140.24 & Cost of distance travelled & 87638 & 1748.1 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 135.75 & 0.96971 & Opportunity cost & 154800 & 379.25 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 132.78 & 0.91629 & Rebanking cost & 78787 & 980.11 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.30846 & 0.00211 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 78} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.98118 & 0.00111 & Total cost & 874940 & 4716.9 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 2164.4 & 127.82 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 35502 & 187.18 & Cost of distance travelled & 165390 & 2381 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 164.77 & 0.9779 & Opportunity cost & 173840 & 498.84 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 161.13 & 0.97906 & Rebanking cost & 181510 & 2432.8 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.38224 & 0.00224 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 79} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.87795 & 0.00285 & Total cost & 496270 & 1355.2 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 14036 & 326.53 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 21353 & 129.79 & Cost of distance travelled & 10350 & 1028.5 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 85.1 & 0.69389 & Opportunity cost & 126450 & 450.7 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 83.2 & 0.68723 & Rebanking cost & 5271.7 & 211.05 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.21704 & 0.00226 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.93706 & 0.00244 & Total cost & 587850 & 3241.6 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 7236.5 & 282.02 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 25197 & 162.56 & Cost of distance travelled & 38106 & 1834.5 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 101.61 & 0.77641 & Opportunity cost & 145990 & 670.15 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 98.762 & 0.81634 & Rebanking cost & 49554 & 1239.1 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.26445 & 0.00227 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 81} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{First-in-first-out routing} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.97236 & 0.00151 & Total cost & 770980 & 4449.4 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 3179.2 & 174.35 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 30973 & 169.01 & Cost of distance travelled & 107800 & 2148.2 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 124.71 & 0.93186 & Opportunity cost & 167930 & 585.26 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 122 & 0.92345 & Rebanking cost & 141050 & 2311.3 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.32956 & 0.00203 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 82} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.63491 & 0.00495 & Total cost & 346780 & 2791.5 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 41987 & 572.59 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 18245 & 148.08 & Cost of distance travelled & 136690 & 1883.6 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 128.67 & 1.0429 & Opportunity cost & 91314 & 933.79 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 120 & 1.0927 & Rebanking cost & 712.03 & 88.05 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.61111 & 0.00574 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 83} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.63739 & 0.00446 & Total cost & 352390 & 2638.9 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 41709 & 511.64 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 18486 & 142.23 & Cost of distance travelled & 139750 & 1809.1 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 129.97 & 1.0125 & Opportunity cost & 92436 & 806.8 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 120.3 & 1.08 & Rebanking cost & 2142.1 & 178.47 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.61984 & 0.00531 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 84} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & & 1 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.63922 & 0.00478 & Total cost & 357310 & 2739.6 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 41486 & 548.2 & Vehicle cost & 118070 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 18634 & 136.6 & Cost of distance travelled & 141630 & 1737.6 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 133.73 & 0.97841 & Opportunity cost & 93496 & 888.78 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 121.56 & 0.98368 & Rebanking cost & 4120.2 & 306 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.62579 & 0.00512 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 85} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & & 1 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 1 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.91086 & 0.00304 & Total cost & 551660 & 2007.2 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 10253 & 350 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 27878 & 116.67 & Cost of distance travelled & 163810 & 1484.1 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 189.87 & 1.1069 & Opportunity cost & 134740 & 451.68 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 186.06 & 0.98336 & Rebanking cost & 16973 & 423.39 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.45314 & 0.00227 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 86} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & & 2 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94987 & 0.0021 & Total cost & 680600 & 3486.1 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 5765.1 & 242.15 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 32313 & 141.36 & Cost of distance travelled & 220230 & 1798.1 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 218.13 & 1.1716 & Opportunity cost & 152560 & 579.03 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 212.93 & 1.16 & Rebanking cost & 71672 & 1439.2 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.53652 & 0.00254 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Experiment 87} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2} \\
\hline Routing point & & 1 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 1 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.94747 & 0.00205 & Total cost & 754600 & 4644.1 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 6037.8 & 236.07 & Vehicle cost & 236130 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 34408 & 167.19 & Cost of distance travelled & 246880 & 2126.6 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 235.16 & 1.3942 & Opportunity cost & 159490 & 622.56 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 225.58 & 1.359 & Rebanking cost & 112100 & 2191.1 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.57679 & 0.00292 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 88} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & & 3 \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{150000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.95281 & 0.00165 & Total cost & 607800 & 1948.6 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 5428 & 189.64 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 29381 & 111.97 & Cost of distance travelled & 87699 & 1399.1 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 198.28 & 1.1183 & Opportunity cost & 140370 & 366.48 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 196.55 & 1.134 & Rebanking cost & 25532 & 416.81 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.30211 & 0.00134 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 89} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1} & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{350000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{1} & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.97505 & 0.00128 & Total cost & 783850 & 2831 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 2868.9 & 146.95 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 35004 & 133.62 & Cost of distance travelled & 159050 & 1699.7 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 234.77 & 1.3554 & Opportunity cost & 161620 & 381.91 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 232.15 & 1.3283 & Rebanking cost & 108980 & 1091.9 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.36641 & 0.00145 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXPERIMENT 90} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Details} \\
\hline Routing method & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Direct replenishment} & Number of vehicles used & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3} \\
\hline Routing point & & 1 & Re-order point for ATMs & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{550000} \\
\hline Minimum number of ATMs in route & & 1 & Number of replications & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{80} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Results} \\
\hline Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width & Output & Average & 95\% CI half-width \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{OPERATIONAL} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COST} \\
\hline Service level & 0.98824 & 0.00106 & Total cost & 1018500 & 5147 \\
\hline Number of cash-outs & 1352.3 & 121.68 & Vehicle cost & 354200 & 0 \\
\hline Total distance travelled & 42550 & 194.69 & Cost of distance travelled & 255040 & 2476.5 \\
\hline Number of routes determined & 289.62 & 1.7014 & Opportunity cost & 181320 & 442.69 \\
\hline Number of routes completed & 286.02 & 1.6571 & Rebanking cost & 227940 & 2519.6 \\
\hline Average vehicle utilisation & 0.4611 & 0.00247 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix E}

\section*{Delyno du Toit: Meeting agenda and minutes}

The agenda and minutes for the official meeting held with Delyno du Toit follows.

\section*{Agenda and Minutes:}

\section*{Meeting with Delyno du Toit (Card Services and Business Development at a Retail Bank)}

Meeting on: 14 June 2011 at 13:00 at The Bank's Head Office, South Africa

+ R50 or R100 + R100 + R50 or \(5 \times\) R50
as riel
and so on?
3) Report:

I am using LaTeX for my report as well.
There is a very nice table of the operational
data you used in your study (Table 3.1). It
looks as if you made it in LaTeX. Do you still
have the code? If yes, can I use it for my
report? I will reference the table
appropriately, of course.
4) Data:

If I understood correctly, I am allowed to use
Ask J Becker
the data used for your thesis. Is this true?
If so, I need to get it from you sometime
please. In electronic format.

Information such as:
- Customer demand data.
- The locations of the ATM/ the distances from the count house.
- Lead times.
5) Since writing your thesis...

Has anything changed? The number of delivery vehicles, for example.

Not in EC, no
Except for frost at CH.
5) Anything from your side:

Is there anything else you want to comment
on?
Nat really, no.
prediction not newssony
Any tips or hints? ()

Figure E.1: Agenda and minutes for meeting held with Delyno du Toit.

\section*{Appendix F}

\section*{Documentation of meetings with study leader}

The study leader recommended that agendas be drawn up for project meetings to ensure that meetings are effective. The compilation of periodic time sheets was also recommended. These agendas and time sheets could be used to solve disputes that might arise during project execution. The agendas and time sheets also illustrate the student's individual project management capability.

The meeting documents for two project meetings are contained in this appendix. The first set was drawn up for one of the very first meetings, whilst the second set was used for one of the last. Due to space constraints, all agendas, time sheets, and supplements cannot be included. The reader is, however, invited to request these as the student has kept and filed agendas, minutes, and time sheets for all official project meetings.

\section*{Agenda and Minutes: Final Year Report Meeting with Study Leader}

\section*{Esmarie Scholtz}

Meeting on: \(\mathbf{4}\) March 2011 at 11:00 in M407
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Time Sheet & Issue & Response/Decision \\
\hline 1) & Nr. 6 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Will the retail bank involved with Delyno's thesis allow access to information? \\
To what extent? How will this work?
\end{tabular} & NO. WE MATY USE THE DHTA IN THE THESN ONLY. Delyar aal die Exuelblauie gee. \\
\hline 2) & Nr. 5 & \begin{tabular}{l}
From the Inventory Control Models: \\
Does the ATM problem qualify as "multiitem" - different denominations? \\
Or not "multi-item"- all cash. \\
Or is this something I will have to make an assumption about when I have more information?
\end{tabular} & Dots \\
\hline 3) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
How do I find out about the algorithms according to which an ATM dispenses denominations? If there is such a thing? Searching "ATM Algorithms" on the Library's databases does not produce great results. \\
(I'm not sure how relevant this is and it might even be better to not know - no preconceptions - but I'm intrigued by this nonetheless)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
DELYNO SAID there ar- algniten ILP cea help. \\
Dhinisinze the number of rotes s.t. notes suin.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 4) & Nr. 6 & Why can the retail bank only make use of 4 canisters if there is space for 5 ? Because only 4 denominations are dispensed? & Yes. Huy doít use Rro. I dont luow liky. \\
\hline 5) & & Literature study: I quite like the approach Cobus Pieterse used, although I have not spent a lot of time looking at his skripsie. In at least one of his literature study chapters he has a "Rationale for studying simulation". Reading a skripsie, I feel that I would like to know why a specific topic was researched and also what the knowledge gained led to. "The student studied DDP's... a description of what was learnt... because DDP's deal with certain demand and the demand in the problem is not certain, PDP's would have to be investigated." Is this information overload? & \\
\hline 6) & Nr. 6 & What are the major differences between a skripsie and a thesis? & \\
\hline 7) & & In the "Guidelines for your skripsie"document one of the immediate tasks is to "Formulate and finalise URS with lecturer". Is the URS the problem statement? & User requeriment taternent. Prollem statements. Lemms of reference. \\
\hline 8) & Nr. 7 \& & Feedback on Agenda and Minutes/Time & Eseallint staff. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure F.1: Page one of agenda and minutes for meeting on 4 March 2011.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 8 & Sheet? & \\
\hline 9) & Nr. 6 & If I notice typing errors in Delyno's thesis, must I make a note of it? Or is it too late to change these? & Too late! \\
\hline 10) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 April 2011 submission date: \\
2 weeks earlier is 18 March 2011. This is the end of test week. Can (Sulene and) । perhaps submit to Mr. Bekker one (instead of 2) week(s) earlier? Monday 28 March?
\end{tabular} & Sedrnit on the moneal date. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure F.2: Page two of agenda and minutes for meeting on 4 March 2011.

\section*{Time Sheet for Final Year Report}

Esmarie Scholtz

11 February - 3 March 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Nr. & Description & Estimate of Hours & Agenda \\
\hline & The student borrowed books from the University library as a basic starting point. These books were not studied extensively but rather scanned to determine if a specific book would be of future use. Scanning through the books also helped the student in gaining a better grasp of the problem on hand. The books examined follow from points 1 to 4: & & \\
\hline 1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
From Engineering Library: \\
The vehicle routing problem \\
Paolo Toth, Daniele Vigo \\
Call no: ING 519.72 VEH \\
Useful for: Vehicle Routing Problems - the book covers this topic very thoroughly.
\end{tabular} & 1 hr & \\
\hline 2) & \begin{tabular}{l}
From JS Gericke: \\
Metaheuristics in the service industry \\
Martin Josef Geiger ... [et al.] \\
Call no: 006.3 MET \\
Useful for: Interesting articles on "Bicriteria TSP with Sequence Priorities" and "Solving Fuzzy Multi-item Economic Order Quantity Problems via Fuzzy Ranking Functions"
\end{tabular} & 0.5 hr & \\
\hline 3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
From JS Gericke: \\
Financial innovation in retail and corporate banking Luisa Anderloni, David T. Llewellyn, Reinhard H. Schmidt \\
Call no: 332.17 FIN \\
Useful for: Basic background of the importance of ATM's in retail banking. Not majorly relevant. Delyno's thesis might provide better references on this.
\end{tabular} & 0.5 hr & \\
\hline 4) & \begin{tabular}{l}
From JS Gericke: \\
South African Reserve Bank: history, functions and institutional structure Jannie Rossouw \\
Call no: 332.110968 ROS \\
Useful for: Not very relevant. The Reserve Bank is responsible for wholesale distribution. Banks distribute banknotes and coins to branches.
\end{tabular} & 0.25 hr & \\
\hline 5) & \begin{tabular}{l}
The student also scanned through her \(3^{\text {rd }}\) year Operations Research handbook: \\
Operations Research: Applications and Algorithms Wayne L. Winston \\
Can be found on bookshelf or underneath bed Useful for: Basic introductory information on OR applications and algorithms. For the final year project: Probabilistic Inventory Models (News Vendor, EOQ), DDP's and PDP's.
\end{tabular} & 1 hr & Issue 2 \\
\hline 6) & The student started working through Delyno du Toit's thesis: ATM Cash Management for a South African Retail Bank & 3-4 hrs & Issues
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1,4,(6,) \\
& 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 7) & Minutes and Time Sheet procedures planned. & 0.25 hr & Issue 8 \\
\hline 8) & Agenda and Time Sheet compiled. This includes working through handwritten and typed notes to check for questions/uncertainties. & 2 hrs & Issue 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure F.3: Time sheet for meeting on 4 March 2011.

\section*{Agenda and Minutes: Final Year Report Meeting with Study Leader}

\section*{Esmarie Scholtz}

Meeting on: \(\mathbf{1 5}\) August 2011 at 9:00 in M407
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Time Sheet & Issue & Response/Decision \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{1)} & Data & \\
\hline & The data at the student's disposal are for a 35 month period starting January 2007 and ending November 2009. & Hacle the data \\
\hline & Data for all 18 ATMs are not available for the entire period. This came to light after the previous discussion about data to be used. & \\
\hline & Taking this into consideration, it might be more sensible to manufacture similar data for all 18 ATMs over a long period? Thus examining a steady-state system rather than the transient one at hand? & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{1)} & Arrival Rate & \\
\hline & The arrival rate is now set up for a constant daily arrival rate, varying from day to day. & \\
\hline & The Excel-sheet with this data needs to be connected to Arena. The student only knows how to use read/write to access data in Excel from Arena. She is not sure how to use external data for a "Create" module. & \\
\hline & Will Mr Bekker please advise? & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{2)} & Average Amount Withdrawn & \\
\hline & The student set up a cumulative probability matrix taking R400 as an estimation of the average amount withdrawn ( \(\mu\) ). & \\
\hline & This yields inter-arrival rates below a minute (below half a minute even) on some days. This seems unlikely. & \\
\hline & The student suggests that R400 might not be a good approximation of mu for this specific bank's customer profile. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure F.4: Page one of agenda and minutes for meeting on 15 August 2011.

The student has identified the variables that need to be measured. These are set out in the supplement attached.

Will Mr Bekker kindly show her how to set up "Outputs" in Arena?

Figure F.5: Page two of agenda and minutes for meeting on 15 August 2011.

\section*{Time Sheet for Final Year Report}

Esmarie Scholtz
8-13 August 2011
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline Nr. & Description & Estimate of \\
\hline 1) & Debugging some errors identified. & Hours \\
\hline 2) & Fitting a discrete distribution to the amount one customer requests. & 5 hrs \\
3) & Starting to fit an appropriate distribution to the customer arrival rate. & 5 hrs \\
4) & Identify variables to be measured. & 1.5 hrs \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure F.6: Time sheet for meeting on 15 August 2011.

\section*{Supplement to Agenda: Required Outputs}

Esmarie Scholtz
Meeting on: 15 August 2011 at 9:00 in M407
What do I need to measure?
Multi-objective optimisation for the ATM-network problem involves a Pareto front plotting "Total Cost" vs. "Service Level".

Defining "Service Level" as the ratio of the total number of customers served to total number of customers requiring service, requires the following variables for calculation:

Table 1: Variables required for calculating Service Level
\(\left.\begin{array}{lll}\hline \text { Nr. } & \text { Description } & \text { From where? } \\
\hline \text { 1) } & \text { The total number of customers generated = } & \text { Measured then } \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{18} \text { Customers created for ATM } i\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { calculated }\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
Measured then \\
2) \\
The total number of customers served = \# of customers created - customers
\end{tabular}

Wagner (2007) recommended work done by Daganzo (2005) as "the most detailed and comprehensive framework for the classification and analysis of logistics costs to date". The model is shown in figure 1.

Not all the elements taken into account in Daganzo's model are applicaple to the current problem. The following elements do not have to be taken into consideration:
- Overcoming "Time" - Holding - Rent: There is no indication in du Toit's work that the cash in ATMs is insured or protected. The cost associated with renting facilities are will not be influenced by either the inventory or routing models.
- Overcoming "Time" - Waiting - Loss of Value: Lost interest will be considered as "Cost of Capital".
- Overcoming "Distance" - Transportation - Mode: Only the scenario where dedicated vehicles are used will be considered.
- Overcoming "Distance" - Handling - Ordering: It is assumed that there is no special ordering cost involved with orders from ATMs to the counthouse. Costs involved with ordering are primarily administrative and therefore "fixed" irrespective of the inventory and routing models in use.

Costs which have to be taken into consideration are:
- Overcoming "Time" - Waiting - Cost of Capital: Cash kept in ATMs earn no interest. This should be taken into account.
- Overcoming "Distance" - Transportation - Capacity: Increasing capacity (adding an extra vehicle) will impact total cost significantly. A dedicated vehicle costs R 78710 per month.
- Overcoming "Distance" - Transportation - Distance: The first 4500 km covered by a vehicle in a month is "free". After that every kilometre costs R 3.18.

Figure F.7: Page one of the supplement to the agenda for meeting on 15 August 2011.
- Overcoming "Distance" - Handling - Packing: A rebanking cost of R 0.21 per R 100 re-banked needs to be taken into consideration.

An adjusted version of Daganzo's framework is shown in figure 2. This version takes into account only applicable costs.


Figure F.9: Page three of the supplement to the agenda for meeting on 15 August 2011.


Figure 1: Applicable costs to be considered
To calculate total cost, several variables are required. These variables are listed below.
Table 1: Variables required for calculating Total Cost
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Nr. Description & From where? \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1) Cost of Capital-component \\
\(\Rightarrow\) "banknote-days" as the average holding time per banknote. \\
\(\Rightarrow\) The cost of a banknote waiting one day.
\end{tabular} & Measured (and the average calculated?) Calculated \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
2) Capacity-component \\
\(\Rightarrow\) Number of vehicles. \\
\(\Rightarrow\) Cost per vehicle.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Adjusted depending on scenario. \\
Given
\end{tabular} \\
\hline ```
3) Distance-component
     Distance covered by vehicle per month.
    C Cost per kilometre.
``` & Measured Given \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
4) Packing-component \\
\(\Rightarrow\) Number and denomination of notes left in an ATM at time of replenishment. \\
\(\Rightarrow\) Re-banking cost
\end{tabular} & Measured
Given \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure F.10: Page four of the supplement to the agenda for meeting on 15 August 2011.

\section*{Appendix G}

\section*{Project plan}

The final year project plan is shown in Figure G.1. This idea of this Gantt chart plan was to give the student an indication of the progress of the final year project. It was last updated as a final adjustment to the project report.


Figure G.1: Project plan for the final year project.
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