

1	Self-reported fatigue predicts incident stroke in a general population: EPIC-Norfolk prospective
2	population-based study
3	Self-reported fatigue and risk of incident stroke
4	
5	Genevieve Barlas MBChB, Robert L Luben PhD, Samuel R Neal, Nicholas J Wareham PhD, Kay-Tee
6	Khaw MBBChir, Phyo K Myint MD.
7	
8	Genevieve Barlas, Institute of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen
9	AB25 2ZD, Scotland, UK. 01224 437841
10	
11	Robert N Luben, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge,
12	Cambridge, UK. robert.luben@phpc.cam.ac.uk
13	
14	Samuel R Neal, Institute of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen
15	AB25 2ZD, Scotland, UK. s.neal.15@aberdeen.ac.uk.
16	
17	Nicholas J Wareham, MRC Epidemiology Unit, Cambridge, UK. nick.wareham@mrc-
18	epid.cam.ac.uk
19	
20	Kay-Tee Khaw, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge,
21	Cambridge, UK. kk101@medschl.cam.ac.uk
22	
23	Phyo K Myint, Institute of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen
24	AB25 2ZD, Scotland, UK. phyo.myint@abdn.ac.uk
25	

26	Correspondence to:
27	Dr Genevieve Barlas
28	Room 4.013, Polwarth Building
29	University of Aberdeen
30	Foresterhill, AB25 2ZD
31	Scotland, UK
32	Tel: +44 (0) 1224 437841
33	Fax: +44 (0) 1224 437911
34	Mail to: genevieve.barlas@abdn.ac.uk
35	
36	
37	Tables 2
38	Figures 1
39	
40	Index terms: Stroke, fatigue, psychosocial, stroke risk factors, non-traditional risk factors.
41	Word count: 6296

#### 42 ABSTRACT

### 43 Background and Purpose

Fatigue is a common symptom among stroke survivors and in general practice. However, the clinical significance of fatigue and its relationship to incident stroke is unclear. The aim of this study was examine the relationship between self-reported fatigue and the incidence of stroke in a general population.

### 48 Methods

49 This was a prospective population-based study. The study population was 15,654 men and 50 women aged 39-79 years recruited in 1993-1997 and followed till March 2016. Fatigue was 51 assessed at 18 months after baseline using the vitality domain of the Short Form 36 52 questionnaire (SF36-VT). Cox proportional hazard models were constructed to describe the 53 prospective relationship between baseline fatigue and incident stroke adjusting for age, sex, 54 systolic blood pressure, cholesterol, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, 55 fruit and vegetable consumption, diabetes mellitus, body mass index (BMI), vitamin 56 supplement use, education level, Townsend deprivation index and occupational social class. 57 Incident stroke was ascertained using death certificates and hospital record linkage data. Results 58 Through 249,248 person years of follow up, 1,509 incident strokes occurred. Participants 59 60 who reported the highest level of fatigue (Quartile 4) were more likely to be women, more 61 likely to be multi-morbid and to perceive their health as fair or poor. We observed 62 approximately 50% relative risk increase in stroke risk (HR 1.49 (95% CI 1.29-1.71)) in those who reported highest level of fatigue compared to those who reported the lowest level 63 64 of fatigue (Q4 vs. Q1). This relationship remained unaltered regardless of anaemia status, presence or absence of chronic bronchitis, thyroid dysfunction or depression. 65

66 <b>(</b>	Conclusions
-------------	-------------

67	Self-report fatigue assessed by vitality domain of SF-36 predicts risk of future stroke at the
68	general population level. Identifying and addressing stroke risk factors in those who report
69	fatigue in general practice may have substantial benefit at the population level.
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	
77	
78	
79	
80	
81	
82	
83	
84	
85	
86	
87	
88	
89	
90	

## 91 INTRODUCTION

Fatigue is defined as a subjective experience involving malaise and an aversion to mental or physical activity [1]. It is well established that stroke survivors experience significant fatigue [2]. Furthermore, among the general population fatigue is a common complaint, featuring in 25% of general practice consultations, and is associated with increased all-cause and cardiovascular mortality [3,4]. However, there are no studies which have focused the relationship between fatigue and incident stroke.

98 Nonetheless, there is indirect evidence for a relationship between fatigue and incident 99 stroke. Increased risk of stroke is associated with related factors such as; poor sleep quality 100 [5-7], chronic stress [8], depression [9] and vital exhaustion (a concept that incorporates 101 symptoms of both fatigue and anxiety) [10,11]. Furthermore, there are several plausible 102 mechanisms through which fatigue may influence stroke risk. Fatigue may be 'cause 103 specific', that is, a symptom of a specific disease process with shared risk factors for stroke 104 such as; cardiac failure, anaemia and thyroid disease [12-14] Fatigue may act as a marker for 105 a range of subclinical pathological processes relevant to stroke pathogenesis including; 106 chronic inflammation, metabolic derangement [15,16], damage to the cerebral 107 microvasculature and neuro-hormonal disturbance [17,18]. Fatigue may also exert a negative 108 influence on psychosocial function and motivation, thereby reducing physical activity 109 participation and impacting upon dietary choices [19-21]. 110 Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the prospective relationship between 111 self-reported general fatigue assessed using well validated SF-36 vitality domain and incident 112 stroke in a large population based study of apparently healthy men and women of the

113 European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC)-Norfolk cohort.

#### 114 MATERIALS AND METHODS

115 Because of the sensitive nature of the data collected for this study, requests to access the dataset should be made only by qualified researchers trained in human subject 116 117 confidentiality protocols and should be directed to the corresponding author. The study 118 population was drawn from the EPIC-Norfolk study. This is a prospective cohort study of a 119 sample of 39-79 year olds in the general population in Norfolk, UK. All GP practices were 120 contacted in the Norfolk area and participants were recruited from the registers of the 35 121 participating practices between 1993 and 1997. Baseline data were collected at recruitment 122 using postal questionnaires and participants were followed until death or the latest data extraction, 2<sup>nd</sup> of March 2016. A study flow diagram is available in supplementary material, 123 124 Figure I. Ethical approval was obtained from the Norwich Ethics Committee. To be eligible 125 in the current study, participants were required to give signed informed consent, have no 126 history of stroke or transient ischaemic attack (TIA) at baseline health check or before the 127 completion of the SF36-VT questionnaire, which was collected 18 months after enrolment. 128 Participants were also required to have complete data for all key confounders (cholesterol 129 levels, smoking status, systolic blood pressure and body mass index). All data were analysed 130 anonymously. Due to hospital record and stroke register linkage outcome data were available 131 for all patients. Further details concerning the recruitment methods of the EPIC-Norfolk 132 study have been described in detail elsewhere [22].

Participants were asked to complete the Short Form 36 (SF36) questionnaire 18 months after enrolment. Of the eight domains within the SF36 the vitality domain (SF36-VT) was used as the method for the evaluation of self-reported fatigue. This method has been validated against comparable generic health surveys (such as the Nottingham health questionnaire) in studies of both diseased and general populations [23, 24]. Participants were asked to rate "how much of the time over the past 4 weeks... 1) Did you feel full of life? 2)

Did you have a lot of energy? 3) Did you feel worn out? 4) Did you feel tired?" Participant responses were collated and transformed into a scale ranging from 1-100 and divided into vitality quartiles designated 1-4, with quartile 1 representing the group with the most vitality (henceforth referred to as the least fatigued quartile) and quartile 4 representing the group with the least vitality (henceforth referred to as the most fatigued quartile).

144 Incident stroke was ascertained by identifying the ICD 9 codes, 430-438 or ICD 10, 145 60-69 on death certificates and hospital record linkage data through East Norfolk 146 Commission Record (ENCORE). This method has been shown to be highly sensitive and 147 specific for stroke case ascertainment [25]. Covariates commonly associated with fatigue and 148 stroke in general practice were assessed at baseline. Height, weight and systolic blood 149 pressure were measured at the first health check (1993-1997). Body mass index was 150 calculated using the formula (weight[kg]/(height[m<sup>2</sup>]) and categorised according to World 151 Health Organisation definitions. Non-fasting blood samples were also collected, including 152 non-fasting cholesterol, TSH (values >4.0 mU/l were considered indicative of low thyroid 153 dysfunction) and haemoglobin (anaemia was defined as Hb <14.0 g/dL in men and <12.0 154 g/dL in women). All covariates were collected by trained staff according to standardised 155 protocols within the EPIC Norfolk study [22].

The Health and Lifestyle questionnaire was administered at baseline and included 156 157 detailed questions on demographic information, health behaviours and past medical history. 158 Social class was categorised according to the Registrar General's occupation classification 159 scheme and sub-divided into manual or non-manual categories. Education status of 160 participants was defined according to completion of secondary education examinations 161 (O'level or A'level) or degree status. Participants were asked to describe their smoking habits and classified as current, non-current and never smokers. Baseline comorbidities were 162 163 assessed through the question 'Has your doctor ever told you have the following?' followed

by a list of conditions which included cancer, diabetes, heart attack and stroke. Medication
use was also captured using this survey. Use of antidepressant medications was used to
identify those individuals with comorbid depression. In addition, the EPIC Physical Activity
Questionnaire (EPAQ2) was used to categorise individuals as inactive, moderately inactive,
moderately active or active and the EPIC Food Frequency Questionnaire was used to quantify
participant's intake of fruit, vegetables and alcohol.

170 Data were analysed using SPSS version 25.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). 171 Descriptive statistics are presented for the sample across fatigue quartiles, quartile one (Q1) represents SF36 scores 100-76, quartile two (Q2) represents SF36 scores 75-66, quartile 3 172 173 (Q3) represents SF36 scores 65-51 and quartile 4 (Q4) represents SF36 scores 50-0. Sample 174 characteristics was compared between fatigue quartiles using the ANOVA test for normally 175 distributed data, Kruskal-Wallis test for non-normally distributed data and Chi-squared test 176 for categorical data. The association between covariates and incident stroke was tested using 177 the same methods. Potentially significant confounders (using 80% significance level) and 178 clinically relevant stroke risk factors were chosen and brought forward into the final models. 179 Despite evidence of an association between physical and social functioning domains of the 180 SF36 and stroke, these were not included in the final models due to a high level of collinearity with fatigue scores. Cox proportional hazards models were constructed to 181 182 examine the association between fatigue (defined as a reduced vitality reported using the 183 SF36-VT) and incident stroke using the first quartile (least fatigued group) as the reference 184 category. The first of these was unadjusted (model A). The second included the traditional 185 risk factors for stroke; age, sex, systolic blood pressure, cholesterol, self-reported diabetes 186 mellitus, body mass index (BMI) (model B). Behavioural risk factors were added in the subsequent model, including; self-reported smoking status, alcohol intake, fruit and vegetable 187 188 consumption and physical activity (model C). The penultimate model additionally included

189 measures of socioeconomic status; education, social class, Townsend Score (model D). The 190 final model included all elements of model D plus vitamin supplement usage (model E). 191 Effect sizes are expressed as hazard ratios (HR) with 95 % confidence intervals (CI). 192 Sensitivity analysis were carried out by excluding participants who experienced 193 stroke in the first two years of the study to rule out reverse causality. In addition, two 194 additional models were created further adjusting for conditions that may cause fatigue, model 195 F which is model E + haemoglobin values and model H which is model E + comorbidities 196 (cancer, chronic bronchitis, past-history of myocardial infarction). Stratified analyses were 197 carried out to examine the impact of presence or absence of co-morbidities that cause fatigue 198 (anaemia, cancer and COPD) and by factors previously found to affect the association 199 between vital exhaustion and stroke (sex and smoking status). Finally, the analysis was 200 carried out for specific stroke subtype (ischaemic and haemorrhagic) and outcome (fatal and 201 non-fatal stroke). A Kaplan Meier curve was constructed to examine the relationship between 202 fatigue and incident stroke over time. The relationship between the vitality and all other 203 domains within the SF36 was examined using Spearman rank correlation.

## 204 **RESULTS**

205 In total 25,636 participants attended the baseline health assessment, of these 7536 206 were excluded due to missing SF36 vitality data. This was because not all participants who 207 returned SF36 at 18 months after enrolment attended health assessment and vice versa. A 208 further 375 were excluded due to prevalent stroke and 2071 were excluded due to missing 209 data for key confounders. Most variables were missing data for less than 1% of participants, 210 however cholesterol values and fruit and vegetable intake were missing for a larger 211 proportion. Variables with a high proportion (>10%) of missing data not included in the principle analysis including; haemoglobin levels and thyroid function (quantified by thyroid 212 213 stimulating hormone). In total 15,654 participants were included in the analysis (see Figure

214 1). There was no material difference between the baseline characteristics of the participants 215 included and those who were excluded (Supplementary Table I). In this sample, there were a 216 total of 1,509 cases of incident stroke captured through 249,248 person years of follow-up 217 (mean 17.77 years). Outcome data were available for all participants. SF36 vitality scores 218 were correlated with all other SF36 domains. However, the correlation was strongest for the 219 relationship between fatigue and mental health domains (mental health component summary 220 score Spearman's rho= 0.64, p=0.000) and less for physical domains (physical component 221 summary score rho=0.458, p=0.000) (see Supplementary table II).

222 Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics across the fatigue quartiles. Participants 223 who reported the greatest level of fatigue (quartile 4) were more likely to be female and more 224 likely to be obese or overweight. Participants in this group were more likely to have 225 comorbidities including COPD, diabetes mellitus, history of cancer and cardiovascular 226 disease. There was no significant difference between the mean ages across four quartiles. 227 Higher fatigue score was also associated with lower Townsend score and educational 228 attainment. Poor scores within physical and social functioning domains of the SF36 were 229 highly correlated with fatigue and incident stroke. Self-reported general health was also worst 230 in those who reported the highest level of fatigue.

231 Figure 1 illustrates the unadjusted relationship between stroke incidence and fatigue 232 quartile, fatigue was associated with an excess risk of stroke throughout the follow up period. 233 Table 2 shows results of the Cox proportional hazards models. The hazard ratio for incident 234 stroke was 1.49 (95% CI 1.29-1.71) in the fully adjusted model (model E). This increased to 235 1.59 (95% CI 1.35-1.86) when analyses were confined to the ischaemic stroke. Exclusion of 236 those who had stroke within 2 years of reporting fatigue did not change the effect size. The 237 effect of fatigue in haemorrhagic stroke was limited (a non-significant 12% increase in post 238 estimate hazard ratio). The effect of fatigue on stroke fatality also did not reach the level of

statistical significance. Additional adjustment for comorbidities in Model G (cancer, COPD,
prior myocardial infarction, thyroid dysfunction and anaemia) marginally increased the effect
size to 1.55 (1.26-1.91) (see Table 1).

242 The effect size was similar between never smokers compared to smokers, and 243 between men and women. The analysis was also stratified by comorbidities which are known 244 to be associated with fatigue. The presence of anaemia, depression, thyroid dysfunction, 245 COPD and cancer did not attenuate the effect of fatigue, although the precision of all 246 estimates was affected by the low event rates in these subgroups. However, the effect size 247 was lower amongst participants who had previously experienced myocardial infarction 248 HR1.27 [95% CI 0.60-2.70]) and sub-analysis of participants reporting poor or moderate 249 health (HR 1.16 [95% CI 0.93-1.44]) (see Supplementary Table III).

## 250 **DISCUSSION**

251 In this population-based prospective cohort study we demonstrate the independent 252 association between self-reported fatigue and the risk of incident stroke in a general 253 population. This effect was large and remained persistant over more than 20 years of follow 254 up. It represented a 59% increase in the relative risk of ischaemic stroke in those who 255 reported greatest fatigue (Q4) compared to those in the lowest level of fatigue with a clear linear dose response relationship. Those in the most fatigued group were more likely to; be 256 257 female, suffer from comorbidities and report lower scores in all domains of the SF36, 258 particularly the mental health domain. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report 259 demonstrating the link between self-reported fatigue and stroke in a general population which 260 may have clinical implication in preventing stroke.

Notwithstanding the paucity in research into general fatigue, there is some evidence that supports the plausibility of our findings. Several have evaluated the relationship between exhaustion or vital exhaustion (a triad of depression, demoralisation and irritability) and

264 stroke [10]. Three of these studies were evaluated within a meta-analysis of 17 papers 265 concerning vital exhaustion and cardiovascular events. This concluded that vital exhaustion increased the risk of all cardiovascular disease by 53% and of stroke by 46% although the 266 267 latter relationship was not statistically significant [26]. The studies were limited by low event 268 rates and this association was found only to be significant amongst women and smokers 269 [10,11, 27]. A separate study concerning vital exhaustion in Russia found that exhausted men 270 aged 25–64-years were at a substantially higher risk of stroke than non-exhausted men over 271 14 years of follow-up (HR 2.6) [28]. Furthermore, we have previously shown that fatigue 272 increased the hazards of cardiovascular mortality by 45% [4].

273 There is also evidence available to support the association between the factors that 274 underlie fatigue and incident stroke. Fatigue therefore, may be used as an umbrella concept 275 that can capture the experience of multiple interrelated adverse health states relevant to the 276 pathogenesis of stroke. Fatigue in this study was associated with worse mental and physical 277 health. It has previously been established that fatigue may be a result of sleep disorder [29] or 278 psychosocial stress [30], which have been associated with incident stroke under various 279 labels including: non-restorative sleep [5-7] work pressure [31], major life events [11], 280 chronic stress [8] and depression [9]. A meta-analysis of 14 studies involving a total of 10,130 strokes found a 33% increased risk of incident stroke in those reporting perceptions of 281 282 psychosocial stress [32]. The mechanisms behind these associations are unclear, however it 283 has been proposed that both poor sleep and chronic stress may lead to measurable 284 disturbances in normal homeostatic mechanisms, such as endocrine dysfunction, metabolic 285 syndrome [33] and hypertension [34] which may contribute to the pathogenesis of stroke. 286 Furthermore, psychosocial stress and poor sleep may mediate stroke risk by adversely affecting dietary choices and participation in physical activity [20, 21]. 287

288 In addition to the role of psychosocial factors it is likely that poor physical health 289 accounts for a portion of the observed relationship between fatigue and stroke. In this context 290 fatigue may be a useful composite marker for the presence and severity of comorbidity. 291 Furthermore, fatigue may be a symptom of disturbances of physical function that are often 292 undiagnosed and unaccounted for in risk quantification such as metabolic syndrome [15] and 293 chronic inflammatory states [16]. It is also possible that fatigue may be a premonitory 294 symptom of stroke. For example, there is some evidence that cardiovascular events are 295 preceded by fatigue [17] and it is possible that stroke sufferers experience subclinical infarcts 296 prior to the major event, resulting in fatigue [35, 36]. However, exclusion of incident strokes 297 occurring within the first two years of follow up reduces the likelihood that our results are 298 due to reverse causality.

299 Our study has several strengths. Unlike previous studies on this topic we chose a 300 broad definition of fatigue which could be assessed using an intuitive tool (SF36-VT). The 301 SF36 has been extensively evaluated in the British population and has excellent internal 302 consistency [24, 37, 38, 39, 40], test re-test reliability (correlation coefficient 0.84) [38] and 303 construct validity when compared with alternative fatigue scales [24, 40] While 304 comprehensive fatigue scales may allow fatigue to be quantified with greater precision, the 305 brevity and simplicity of this scale may make it a more suitable tool in clinical practice [40]. 306 This study drew from a large population and included a higher number of incident strokes 307 than observed in previous studies. Furthermore, the detail available in this prospective cohort 308 allow us to control for various relevant confounders and examine the mediating effect of 309 known causes of fatigue including anaemia and depression. We also had complete follow up 310 in through data linkage and use of disease registries. Most importantly, participants in our 311 cohort were recruited from general practice registries similar baseline characteristics to the

312 national population (with the exception of a slightly lower prevalence of smokers) [25]. 313 Therefore, it is likely that these results are applicable across other Caucasian populations. 314 There are a number of noteworthy limitations to this study. As a prospective cohort 315 study, we cannot exclude the impact of residual confounding by known or unknown 316 confounders. Secondly, it should be noted that this study measured fatigue only at baseline 317 and cannot account for changes in fatigue status or severity over time. However, such random 318 variation is likely to result in an underestimation of the effect size. We excluded a proportion 319 due to missing data on SF-36VT, however, there was no material difference between sample 320 characteristics of those included and excluded. We didn't distinguish individuals with chronic 321 fatigue syndrome, which is a distinct disease entity and these individuals may have different 322 risk profile than the general population. While causality cannot be directly implied, we have 323 demonstrated the prospective relationship through several sensitivity and mediating analyses 324 as well as provided plausible biomedical causal mechanisms.

325 The increase in ischaemic stroke risk associated with fatigue is substantial. However, 326 at present, fatigue is a neglected symptom in clinical practice [1,4]. Therefore, these findings 327 may provide impetus for consideration of fatigue as an important risk marker. Unlike 328 traditional biomarkers, fatigue may facilitate a more holistic evaluation of an individual's wellbeing [29] and could be considered alongside other 'non-disease specific' facets of health 329 330 such as cognitive impairment, isolation, frailty and polypharmacy [37, 38]. This may identify 331 individuals with a high cardiovascular risk who would be overlooked by existing scoring 332 systems and facilitate early detection of modifiable risk factors [41].

Furthermore, fatigue itself could be approached as a modifiable risk factor [4]. There are a number of effective management strategies for fatigue designed for specific patient populations that may be applicable for the general population. Non-pharmacological management, such as graded exercise programs, fatigue management education and

psychotherapy have been found to be safe and effective in multiple chronic conditions [4245]. Insomnia may also be treated effectively using similar measures [46]. Pharmacological
intervention, be it through de-prescribing culprit drugs or prescribing drugs such as
antidepressants are likely to be less widely applicable [42, 44]. At a societal level, addressing
issues such as income inequality and long working hours may be important to address root
causes of fatigue [47, 48]. Further research is required to examine the potential effect of
fatigue treatment on preventing stroke.

### 344 CONCLUSIONS

The recognition that fatigue is an important adverse health state, may be used to improve risk quantification in stroke and incentivise physicians to identify and treat relevant risk factors in fatigued individuals. Therefore, assessment of fatigue via SF-36 vitality domain as part of may provide an opportunity to reduce future burden of stroke. Future studies should focus on further elucidating the mechanisms underlying fatigue in the general population. We recommend that fatigue be considered as part of a holistic assessment of cardiovascular disease risk in general practice.

## 352 CONTRIBUTORSHIP

PKM conceived the study. GB performed literature review, data analysis under
supervision by SRN and PKM. RNL is responsible for data linkage. NJW and KTK are PIs of
EPIC-Norfolk Study. GB, SRN and PKM drafted the manuscript and all authors contributed
to the writing of the paper. PKM is the guarantor.

## 357 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

358 The authors would like to thank the participants and staff of the EPIC-Norfolk cohort 359 and collaborating GP practices in Norfolk, UK.

## **360 SOURCES OF FUNDING**

- 361 The EPIC-Norfolk study (DOI 10.22025/2019.10.105.00004) has received funding
- 362 from the Medical Research Council (MR/N003284/1 and MC-UU\_12015/1) and Cancer
- 363 Research UK (C864/A14136). We are grateful to all the participants who have been part of
- the project and to the many members of the study teams at the University of Cambridge who
- 365 have enabled this research. The first author is also grateful for the support of the Flora-Gow
- 366 Murray Scholarship which allowed this project to be undertaken.

## 367 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

- 368 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
- 369
- 370

3/1 REFERENCES	371	REFERENCES	3
----------------	-----	------------	---

372 1. Sharpe M, Wilks D. Fatigue. BMJ. 2002;325:480–3.

373

Staub F, Bogousslavsky J. Fatigue after Stroke: A Major but Neglected Issue.
 Cerebrovasc Dis. 2001;15:75–81.

376

377 3. Cullen W, Kearney Y, Bury G. Prevalence of fatigue in general practice. Irish Ir J
378 Med Sci. 2002;171:10-12.

379

Basu N, Yang X, Luben RN, Whibley D, 5<sup>th</sup> author, 6<sup>th</sup> author et al. Fatigue is
associated with excess mortality in the general population: results from the EPIC-Norfolk
study. BMC Medicine. 2016;20:122.

383

Sofi F, Cesari F, Casini A, Macchi C, Abbate R, Gensini GF. Insomnia and risk of
cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. Insomnia and risk of cardiovascular disease: a metaanalysis. Eur J Prev Cardiolog. 2014;21:57–64.

387

388 6. Wu M-P, Lin H-J, Weng S-F, Ho C-H, Wang J-J, Hsu Y-W. Insomnia Subtypes and
389 the Subsequent Risks of Stroke: Report From a Nationally Representative Cohort. Stroke.
390 2014;45:1349-54

391

392 7. Hsu C-Y, Chen Y-T, Chen M-H, Huang C-C, Chiang C-H, Huang P-H, et al. The
393 association between insomnia and increased future cardiovascular events: a nationwide
394 population-based study. Psychosomatic Medicine. 2015;77:743-51.

396	8.	May M, McCarron P, Stansfeld S, Ben-Shlomo Y, Gallacher J, Yarnell J, Smith G,
397	Elwoo	od P, Ebrahim S. Does psychological distress predict the risk of ischemic stroke and
398	transie	ent ischemic attack? The Caerphilly study. Stroke. 2002;33:7–12.
399		
400	9.	Pan A, Sun Q, Okereke OI, Rexrode KM, Hu FB. Depression and risk of stroke
401	morbi	dity and mortality: a meta-analysis and systematic review. JAMA. 2011;306:1241–9.
402		
403	10.	Schwartz SW, Carlucci C, Chambless LE, Rosamond WD. Synergism between
404	smoki	ng and vital exhaustion in the risk of Ischemic stroke: evidence from the ARIC study.
405	Ann E	pidemiology. 2004;14:416–24.
406		
407	11.	Kornerup H, Marott JL, Schnohr P, Boysen G, Barefoot J, Prescott B. Vital
408	exhau	stion increases the risk of ischemic stroke in women but not in men: results from the
409	Coper	hagen City Heart Study., Vital exhaustion increases the risk of ischemic stroke in
410	wome	n but not in men: Results from the Copenhagen City Heart Study. J Psychosom Res.
411	2010;	58:131-7.
412		
413	12.	Kirk J, Douglass R, Nelson E, Jaffe J, Lopez A, Ohler J, et al. Chief complaint of
414	fatigu	e: a prospective study. J Fam Pract. 1990;30:33-39
415		
416	13.	Squizzato A, Gerdes VEA, Brandjes DPM, Büller HR, Stam J. Thyroid Diseases and
417	Cereb	rovascular Disease. Stroke. 2005;36:2302–10.
418		
419	14.	Haeusler K, Laufs U, Endres M. Chronic Heart Failure and Ischemic Stroke. Stroke
420	2011;4	42:2977-82.

421	
-----	--

422	15.	Maloney EM, Boneva RS, Lin J-MS, Reeves WC. Chronic fatigue syndrome is
423	associ	ated with metabolic syndrome: results from a case-control study in Georgia.
424	Metab	oolism. 2010;59:1351–7.
425		
426	16.	Solenski NJ. Emerging risk factors for cerebrovascular disease. Curr Drug Targets.
427	2007;	8:802–16.
428		
429	17.	Appels, A. Exhaustion and coronary heart disease: the history of a scientific quest.
430	Patien	t Educ Couns 2004;55:223–9.
431		
432	18.	Cheung N, Rogers S, Mosley TH, Klein R, Couper D, Wong TY. Vital exhaustion
433	and re	tinal microvascular changes in cardiovascular disease: atherosclerosis risk in
434	comm	unities study. Psychosom Med. 2009;71:308–12.
435		
436	19.	Chaput J-P. Sleep patterns, diet quality and energy balance. Physiol Behav.
437	2014;	134:86–91.
438		
439	20.	Ament W, Verkerke GJ. Exercise and fatigue. Sports Med 2009;39:389-422.
440		
441	21.	Myint PK, Luben RN, Wareham NJ, Bingham SA, Khaw K-T. Combined effect of
442	health	behaviours and risk of first ever stroke in 20 040 men and women over 11 years'
443	follow	y-up in Norfolk cohort of European Prospective Investigation of Cancer (EPIC
444	Norfo	lk): prospective population study. BMJ. 2009.20;338:b349.
445		

446	22.	Day N, Oakes S, Luben R, Khaw K-T, Welch A, Wareham N. EPIC-Norfolk: study
447	design	and characteristics of the cohort. European Prospective Investigation of Cancer. Br J
448	Cancer	:. 1999;80(Suppl 1):95–103.
449		
450	23.	Jenkinson C, Wright L, Coulter A. Criterion validity and reliability of the SF-36 in a
451	popula	tion sample. Qual Life Res. 1994;3:7–12.
452		
453	24.	O'Connor PJ. Evaluation of four highly cited energy and fatigue mood measures. J
454	Psycho	osom Res. 2004;57:435–41.
455		
456	25.	Sinha S, Myint PK, Luben RN, Khaw KT. Accuracy of death certification and
457	hospital record linkage for identification of incident stroke. BMC Med Res Methodol.	
458	2008;8	:74.
459		
460	26.	Cohen R, Bavishi C, Haider S, Thankachen J, Rozanski A. Meta-Analysis of
461	Relatio	on of Vital Exhaustion to Cardiovascular Disease Events. Am J of Cardiol.
462	2017;1	19:1211–6.
463		
464	27.	Schuitemaker G, Dinant G, Van Der Pol G, Verhelst A, Appels A. Vital Exhaustion
465	as a Ri	sk Indicator for First Stroke. Psychosomatics. 2004;45:114-118.
466		
467	28.	Gafarov V, Voevoda M, Gromova E, four five six et al. Cardiovascular Diseases and
468	Vital E	Exhaustion: Longitudinal study in Russia/Siberia (WHO Monica-Psychosocial
469	Progra	m). Russian Journal of Cardiology. 2016;4:115–23.
470		

471	29. Elwood P, Hack M, Pickering J, four five six et al. Sleep disturbance, stroke, and
472	heart disease events: evidence from the Caerphilly cohort. J Epidemiol Community Health.
473	2006;60:69–73.
474	
475	30. Jason LA, Evans M, Brown M, four, five, six et al. What is Fatigue? Pathological and
476	Nonpathological Fatigue. PM R. 2010;2:327-31.
477	
478	31. Suadicani P, Andersen LL, Holtermann A, four, five six et al. Perceived
479	psychological pressure at work, social class, and risk of stroke: A 30-year follow-up in
480	Copenhagen male study. J Occup Environ Med. 2011;53:1388–95.
481	
482	32. Booth J, Connelly L, Lawrence M, Chalmers C, Joice S, Becker Cet al. Evidence of
483	perceived psychosocial stress as a risk factor for stroke in adults: a meta-analysis. BMC
484	Neurology. 2015;15:233.
485	
486	33. Jennings JR, Muldoon MF, Hall M, Buysse DJ, Manuck SB. Self-reported Sleep
487	Quality is Associated With the Metabolic Syndrome. Sleep. 2007;30:219–23.
488	
489	34. Sparrenberger F, Cichelero FT, Ascoli AM, Foneseca F, Weiss G, Berwanger Oet al.
490	Does psychosocial stress cause hypertension? A systematic review of observational studies. J
491	Hum Hypertens. 2009;23:12–9. Appels A, Höppener P, Mulder P. A questionnaire to assess
492	premonitory symptoms of myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol. 1987;17:15-24.
493	
494	35. Fanning JP, Wong AA, Fraser JF. The epidemiology of silent brain infarction: a
495	systematic review of population-based cohorts. BMC Med. 2014;12:119.

497	36.	Bang OY, Ovbiagele B, Kim JS. Nontraditional Risk Factors for Ischemic Stroke: An
498	Updat	e. Stroke. 2015;46:3571–8.
499		
500	37.	Jenkinson C, Wright L, Coulter A. Criterion validity and reliability of the SF-36 in a
501	popula	ation sample. Quality of Life Research. 1994;3:7-12.
502		
503	38.	Ruta D, Abdalla M, Garratt A, Coutts A, Russell I. SF 36 health survey questionnaire:
504	I. Reli	ability in two patient based studies. Quality and Safety in Health Care. 1994;3:180-185.
505		
506	39.	Burholt V, Nash P. Short Form 36 (SF-36) Health Survey Questionnaire: normative
507	data fo	or Wales. Journal of Public Health. 2011;33:587-603.
508		
509	40. W	hitehead L. The Measurement of Fatigue in Chronic Illness: A Systematic Review of
510	Unidir	nensional and Multidimensional Fatigue Measures. J Pain Symptom Manage.
511	2009;3	37:107-128.
512		
513	41. Bo	wling CB, Booth I, Safford MM, Whitson HE, Ritchie CS, Wadley VG, et al.
514	Nondi	sease-specific problems and all-cause mortality in the REasons for Geographic and
515	Racial	Differences in Stroke study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2013;61:73.
516		
517	42. Sn	nith MEB, Haney E, McDonagh M, Pappas M, Daeges M, Wasson N, et al. Treatment
518	of My	algic Encephalomyelitis/Chronic Fatigue Syndrome: A Systematic Review for a
519	Natior	al Institutes of Health Pathways to Prevention Workshop. Ann Intern Med.
520	2015;1	62(12):841.

522	43.	Wu S, Kutlubaev MA, Chun H-YY, Cowey E, Pollock A, et al. Interventions for post-
523	stroke	fatigue. Cochrane Database of Syst Rev? 2015;7: CD007030.
524		
525	44.	Khan F, Amatya B, Galea M. Management of Fatigue in Persons with Multiple
526	Sclero	osis. Front Neurol. 2014 ;5:177.
527		
528	45.	Cramp F, Hewlett S, Almeida C, Kirwan JR, Choy EH, Chalder T, et al. Non-
529	pharm	acological interventions for fatigue in rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database of Syst
530	Rev?.	2013;8: CD008322
531		
532	46.	Unbehaun T, Spiegelhalder K, Hirscher V, Riemann D. Management of insomnia:
533	update	e and new approaches. Nat Sci Sleep. 2010;2:127–38.
534		
535	47.	Kuo FE, Sullivan WC. Aggression and Violence in the Inner City: Effects of
536	Envir	onment via Mental Fatigue. Environment and Behavior. 2001;33:543–71.
537		
538	48.	Hickie IB, Hooker AW, Bennett BK, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Wilson AJ, Lloyd AR.
539	Fatigu	e in selected primary care settings: sociodemographic and psychiatric correlates.
540	Medic	cal Journal of Australia. 1996;164:585–8.
541		
542		
543		
544		
545		

## 546 FIGURE 1

## 

Years	0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21
Total Event/N	72/15654	92/15337	143/14899	186/14351	241/13690	302/12897	332/11987	140/7770
Q1 Event/N	17/3879	16/3822	27/3735	34/3635	48/3502	84/3316	88/3087	31/2012
Q2 Event/N	9/3007	8/2973	27/2918	30/2706	49/2557	47/2397	65/1566	31/253
Q3 Event/N	17/4077	36/4010	34/3867	45/3727	62/3544	77/3337	87/3112	42/1964
Q4 Event/N	29/4691	32/4532	55/4379	77/4175	82/3938	94/3687	92/3391	36/2228

## 550 Kaplan Meier graph and lifetable demonstrating stroke events vs number at risk in

- 551 each fatigue quartile.

- - -

558 TABLES

# Table 1: Baseline sample characteristics by SF36-VT quartiles of 15,654 EPIC-Norfolk participants (1993-1997).

Characteristic	SF-36 vitality score quartiles				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
	(100-76)	(75-66)	(65-51)	(50-0)	
Total N (%)	3879	3007	4077	4691	
Age (years) mean (SD)	59.25 (8.67)	58.97 (0.09)	59.29 (9.25)	58.80 (9.42)	
Sex					
Male N (%)	1935 (49.88)	1380 (45.89)	1770 (43.41)	1821 (38.82)	
Female N (%)	1944 (50.12)	1627 (54.11)	2307 (56.59)	2870 (61.18)	
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )					
< 18.5	12 (0.31)	12 (0.40)	19 (0.47)	31 (0.66)	
18.5 – 24.9	1701 (43.85)	1275 (42.40)	1599 (39.22)	1815 (38.69)	
25.0 - 30.0	1783 (45.96)	1376 (45.76)	1861 (45.65)	2021 (43.08)	
Over 30.0	383 (9.87)	344 (11.44)	598 (14.67)	824 (17.57)	
Systolic BP (mmHg) mean (SD)	135.38 (17.74)	134.57 (18.04)	134.99 (18.54)	134.47 (18.11)	

Serum cholesterol (mmol/l) mean (SD)	6.15 (1.12)	6.16 (1.16)	6.18 (1.17)	6.18 (1.16)
Diabetes Mellitus (self-reported)	64 (1.65)	49 (1.63)	69 (1.69)	130 (2.77)
Use of antidepressant drugs	75 (1.93)	81 (2.69)	184 (4.51)	400 (8.53)
Myocardial Infarction (self-reported)	60 (1.55)	67 (2.23)	112 (2.75)	202 (4.31)
Cancer (self-reported)	167( 4.31)	149 (4.96)	207 (5.08)	332 (7.08)
COPD (self-reported)	231 (5.96)	209 (6.95)	410 (10.06)	539 (11.49)
Fruit intake (g/day) median (IQR)	222.55 (196.00)	224.40 (191.55)	217.10 (190.93)	203.90 (191.75)
Vegetable intake (g/day) median (IQR)	230.55 (157.80)	228.63 (143.68)	229.04 (138.69)	217.12 (141.66)
Alcohol consumption (g/day) median (IQR)	4.50 (8.50)	4.00 (9.00)	4.00 (8.50)	3.00 (8.00)
Smoking Status (self-reported)				
Current	372 (9.59)	261 (8.68)	415 (10.18)	599 (12.77)
Former	1586 (40.89)	1259 (41.87)	1756 (43.07)	1936 (41.27)
Never	1921 (49.52)	1487 (49.45)	1906 (46.75)	2156 (45.96)
Physical Activity (self-reported)				
Inactive	858 (22.12)	754 (25.07)	1124 (27.57)	1569 (33.45)

Moderately Inactive	1104 (28.46)	931 (30.96)	1201 (29.46)	1372 (29.25)
Moderately Active	976 (25.16)	720 (23.94)	1035 (25.39)	1009 (21.51)
Active	941 (24.26)	602 (20.02)	717 (17.59)	741 (15.79)
Townsend score median (IQR)	-2.69 (2.34)	-2.81 (2.00)	-2.67 (2.63)	-2.54 (2.55)
Social Class				
Professional	280 (7.22)	279 (9.28)	306 (7.51)	310 (6.61)
Managerial	1563 (40.29)	1176 (39.11)	1558 (38.21)	1678 (35.77)
Skilled non-manual	636 (16.40)	485 (16.13)	711 (17.44)	828 (17.65)
Skilled manual	848 (21.86)	665 (22.12)	850 (20.85)	1043 (22.23)
Semi-skilled manual	444 (11.45)	321 (10.68)	541 (13.27)	647 (13.79)
Non-skilled	108 (2.78)	81 (2.69)	111 (2.72)	185 (3.94)
Educational Attainment				
Degree or Higher	545 (14.05)	436 (14.50)	573 (14.05)	606 (12.92)
A-Level	1673 (43.13)	1282 (42.63)	1665 (40.84)	1863 (39.71)
O-Level	403 (10.39)	318 (10.58)	439 (10.77)	531 (11.32)
No Qualification	1258 (32.43)	971 (32.29)	1400 (34.34)	1691 (36.05)

Vitamin use	1652 (42.59)	1318 (43.83)	1811 (44.42)	2138 (45.58)
Anaemia N (%)	767 (29.23)	583 (27.64)	768 (26.6)	844 (25.39)

- The data presented as mean and (SD) for normally distributed continuous variables, median and interquartile range (IQR) for non-normally distributed variables, and number (N) and percentage (%) for categorical variables. P values indicate levels of significance across all quartiles, these were calculated using student's T test and T test for unequal variance for normally distributed data and the Kruskal-Wallis test for nonnormally distributed data. P values for categorical variables were calculated using the Chi squared test. †The following data had missing values: anaemia (30% missing).
- 568
- Table 2: Cox proportional hazards model and hazard ratios (with corresponding 95% confidence intervals) for incident stroke of any type, and by
- 570 stroke sub-type and fatal strokes in the EPIC Norfolk study.

Hazard Ratios		P value*					
Model	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4			
	(100-75)	(75-66)	(65-51)	(50-0)			
All strokes							
Events/population	345/3879	267/3007	400/4077	497/4691	1509/15654		

Model A HR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.01 (0.86-1.18)	1.15 (1.00-1.33)	1.29 (1.13-1.48)	<0.001		
Model B HR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.02 (0.87-1.20)	1.19 (1.03-1.38)	1.49 (1.30-1.72)	< 0.001		
Model C HR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.04 (0.88-1.22)	1.20 (1.03-1.38)	1.49 (1.29-1.71)	< 0.001		
Model D HR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.04 (0.88-1.22)	1.19 (1.03-1.38)	1.48 (1.28-1.70)	< 0.001		
Model E HR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.04 (0.89-1.22)	1.20 (1.04-1.39)	1.49 (1.29-1.71)	<0.001		
Model F HR (95 % CI)*	1.00	1.02 (0.84-1.25)	1.16 (0.96-1.39)	1.52 (1.27-1.80)	<0.001		
Model G HR (95 % CI)*	1.00	1.12 (0.88-1.41)	1.20 (0.96-1.49)	1.55 (1.26-1.91)	<0.001		
Ischaemic stroke							
Events	272/3879	218/3007	327/4077	416/4691	1233/15654		
Model A HR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.04 (0.87-1.24)	1.197 (1.02-1.41)	1.37 (1.18-1.60)	< 0.001		
Model B HR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.06 (0.89-1.27)	1.233 (1.05-1.45)	1.59 (1.36-1.85)	<0.001		
Model C HR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.08 (0.90-1.29)	1.244 (1.06-1.46)	1.59 (1.36-1.86)	< 0.001		
Model D HR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.08 (0.90-1.29)	1.241 (1.06-1.46)	1.58 (1.35-1.84)	<0.001		
Model E HR (95 % CI)	1.00	1.08 (0.91-1.29)	1.247 (1.06-1.47)	1.59 (1.36-1.86)	<0.001		
	1	Haemorha	gic stroke	1	1		
Events/ Population	73/3879	49/3007	73/4077	81/4691	276/15654		

Model A HR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.87 (0.61-1.25)	0.99 (0.72-1.37)	0.99 (0.72-1.36)	0.87
Model B HR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.89 (0.62-1.28)	1.03 (0.75-1.43)	1.14 (0.83-1.57)	0.50
Model C HR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.88 (0.62-1.27)	1.02 (0.73-1.41)	1.11 (0.80-1.53)	0.67
Model D HR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.88 (0.61-1.27)	1.02 (0.74-1.41)	1.11 (0.81-1.54)	0.65
Model E HR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.88 (0.62-1.27)	1.02 (0.74-1.41)	1.12 (0.81-1.55)	0.64
		Fatal	stroke	1	1
Events/ Population	145/3879	95/3007	162/4077	216/4691	618/15654
Model A HR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.95 (0.73-1.23)	1.01 (0.80-1.26)	1.22 (0.99-1.50)	0.106
Model B HR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.91 (0.70-1.18)	0.96 (0.76-1.20)	1.23 (1.00-1.53)	0.030
Model C HR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.89 (0.69-1.16)	0.88 (0.70-1.11)	1.14 (0.92-1.42)	0.065
Model D HR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.93 (0.71-1.21)	0.89 (0.71-1.13)	1.16 (0.93-1.45)	0.078
Model E HR (95 % CI)	1.00	0.93 (0.71-1.21)	0.89 (0.71-1.12)	1.16 (0.93-1.44)	0.083

572 Model A: unadjusted; Model B: age, sex (first man) systolic, BP, cholesterol, DM (first), BMI; Model C: B + smoking, alcohol intake, fruit and

573 vegetable intake, physical activity; Model D: C+ education, social class (first), Townsend Score; Model E: D +vitamin use; Model F: E +

574 haemaglobin levels; Model G: F+prior MI, cancer, chronic bronchitis and high TSH. \*Model F and Model G include data with missing values. \*

575 P test for trend across vitality quartiles.