

University of Massachusetts Medical School  
**eScholarship@UMMS**

---

Open Access Publications by UMMS Authors

---

2021-01-01


## Religion and Measles Vaccination in Indonesia, 1991-2017

Harapan Harapan  
*Syiah Kuala University*

*Et al.*

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: <https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/oapubs>

 Part of the [Community Health and Preventive Medicine Commons](#), [International Public Health Commons](#), [Preventive Medicine Commons](#), [Religion Commons](#), and the [Virus Diseases Commons](#)

---

### Repository Citation

Harapan H, Shields N, Kachoria AG, Shotwell A, Wagner AL. (2021). Religion and Measles Vaccination in Indonesia, 1991-2017. Open Access Publications by UMMS Authors. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2020.07.029>. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/oapubs/4448>

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by UMMS Authors by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact [Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu](mailto:Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu).

Religion and Measles Vaccination in Indonesia,  
1991–2017



Harapan Harapan, MD, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Noelle Shields<sup>2</sup>, Aparna G. Kachoria, MPH,<sup>3</sup> Abigail Shotwell, MPH,<sup>2</sup>  
Abram L. Wagner, PhD, MPH<sup>2</sup>

**Introduction:** Some Muslim religious councils in Indonesia have ruled that measles vaccines contain *haram* (i.e., forbidden materials). This study evaluates the changes in measles vaccination coverage between 1991 and 2017 and compares vaccination coverage between Muslims and non-Muslims in Indonesia.

**Methods:** A total of 7 cross-sectional in-person surveys of mothers in 1991–2017 in Indonesia were analyzed in 2019. Participants were asked about religion in 1991–2007, and 100 data sets of religion were imputed for 2012 and 2017. In this multiple imputation analysis, binomial regression models output prevalence differences adjusted for wealth, education, child's sex, and mother's age. A quadratic term for year (year X year) and an interaction term between year and religion evaluated changes in vaccination over time by religion.

**Results:** The 7 data sets included 23,106 children aged 12–23 months, with the proportion of those who were Muslims ranging between 85% and 89% across the survey years. Between 1991 and 2017, measles vaccination coverage increased from 57% to 79% among non-Muslims and from 59% to 79% among Muslims. In the multivariable regression model, measles vaccination coverage increased by 1.6% each year (with a quadratic term of  $-0.05\%$ , indicating some leveling over time). At baseline in 1991, non-Muslims had a vaccination coverage of 6.2% higher than that of Muslims, but this disparity decreased by  $-0.2\%$  each year.

**Conclusions:** Measles vaccination increased in both Muslims and non-Muslims in Indonesia but has stagnated in recent years. Because of increased attention among Muslim groups on *haram* materials in vaccines since 2017, future studies should continue to examine the relationship between religion and vaccine uptake in Indonesia.

**Supplement information:** This article is part of a supplement entitled Global Vaccination Equity, which is sponsored by the Global Institute for Vaccine Equity at the University of Michigan School of Public Health.

*Am J Prev Med* 2021;60(1S1):S44–S52. © 2020 American Journal of Preventive Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, global vaccination coverage has been increasing.<sup>1</sup> However, vaccination coverage is not uniform across various socioeconomic groups,<sup>2</sup> and vaccine uptake can be impacted by access, affordability, and acceptance.<sup>3</sup> Indonesia has a large annual birth cohort of around 5 million—the fifth largest in the world after India, China, Nigeria, and

From the <sup>1</sup>Medical Research Unit, School of Medicine, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Banda Aceh, Indonesia; <sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan; and <sup>3</sup>Research and Evaluation Department, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts

Address correspondence to: Abram L. Wagner, PhD, MPH, Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan, 1415 Washington Heights, Ann Arbor MI 48109. E-mail: [awag@umich.edu](mailto:awag@umich.edu)

0749-3797/\$36.00

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2020.07.029>

Pakistan—and it has been able to progressively increase vaccination coverage, with the uptake of diphtheria–tetanus–pertussis (DTP) vaccine Dose 3 (DTP3) reaching 83% in 2012.<sup>1</sup>

Indonesia's estimated population as of 2020 was 267 million.<sup>4</sup> Overall, 87% of Indonesians identify as Muslims, although several provinces have non-Muslim majorities (4 being majority Christian and 1 majority Hindu).<sup>5</sup> According to the 2010 Census, Aceh has the highest percentage of Muslims compared with all other Indonesian provinces<sup>5</sup> and, as of 2005, is the only Indonesian province officially allowed to practice Shari'ah law.<sup>6</sup>

The relationship between religion and vaccination acceptance and therefore uptake has recently come to the forefront in Indonesia. In response to community concerns about vaccines, specifically that immunization may interfere with an individual's destiny and that vaccines may contain *haram* (i.e., forbidden material), the Indonesian Council of Ulama issued a *fatwa* about vaccination in 2016.<sup>7</sup> In Indonesia, *fatwas* are rulings under Islamic law that are not legally binding but highly influential among the Muslim population.<sup>8</sup> The ruling stated that immunizations were allowed but that vaccines should be certified as *halal*. In response to a new measles vaccine (a measles–rubella combination vaccine) introduced in 2017, the Indonesian Council of Ulama issued a *fatwa* that the new vaccine was *haram* because some porcine components are used in the manufacturing process.<sup>9</sup> In case of a medical emergency or recommendation by a doctor, they argued it is permissible to get a measles vaccine. The *fatwa* also stated that because there is no *halal* alternative to the vaccine, the version produced with pork is an acceptable vaccine for the time being. However, it is important to consider that, globally, other Muslim organizations have issued statements promoting vaccines. For example, in 2017, the Dakar Declaration of Vaccination signed by African Islamic Leaders explains why parents need to vaccinate their children.<sup>10</sup> It directly states that vaccines do not cause sterility—a concern among some Muslim groups. The declaration does nevertheless support the creation of a certification body to determine which vaccines should be considered *halal*. However, a religious expression may not necessarily have a negative impact on health.<sup>11</sup> Practicing religion may give women, often the primary medical decision makers within families, space to express spirituality and ideas. Rinaldo<sup>12</sup> argues that the Islamic revival in Indonesia has led to economic and social opportunities for Muslim women. However, the association between religion and public health and vaccination specifically is understudied.

Previous studies have examined vaccination coverage in Indonesia<sup>13</sup> but, instead of considering religion, have focused on other explanatory variables such as

urbanicity.<sup>14</sup> Using several waves of the Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey (DHS), this study (1) describes the changes in demographic makeup between Muslims and non-Muslims in Indonesia over time and (2) characterizes the impact of religion on measles vaccination coverage in Indonesia.

## METHODS

### Study Population

Cross-sectional data from the DHS program in 1991–2017 were analyzed in 2019. Between 1987 and 2017, there have been 8 Indonesia DHSs conducted: 1987, 1991, 1994, 1997, 2002, 2007, 2012, and 2017. The 1987 survey lacks certain variables including measles vaccination and so was excluded from this analysis. The U.S. Agency for International Development funds the DHS program. The DHS is designed to generate national and subnational estimates of vital statistics as well as maternal and child health characteristics through a 2-stage cluster design: the first level comprises census enumeration areas, and the second stage comprises the households selected from an updated list of households in that enumeration area. DHSs are available for many countries. For example, a recent publication details the differences in vaccination coverage between Muslims and Christians in African countries.<sup>15</sup> Details about the survey and access to the survey data are available at [dhsprogram.com/](https://dhsprogram.com/). The results in this study are limited to children aged 12–23 months who were alive at the time of the study. The surveys are not necessarily conducted within the same communities, and it is not known whether individuals were resampled over time. In addition, not all provinces and areas are included in every year: East Timor became independent and was therefore not in any data set from 2002 onward, and Aceh, Maluku, and Papua were not included in 2002 because of security concerns. A sensitivity analysis was conducted by removing East Timor and the year 2002 from the data set, and the results were substantially the same as that of the main analysis.

This study was limited to a secondary data analysis. It has been deemed exempt and not regulated by the University of Michigan Health Sciences and Behavioral Science IRB (HUM00162698).

### Measures

The variables used in this study included religion, wealth index, child's sex, and respondent's (mother's) education and age. Most of these variables are directly available on the DHS questionnaire with the following exceptions. Wealth index was not calculated in 1991, 1994, and 1997. The index in these years was created by conducting a principal components analysis on the following variables (not all variables were available for all data sets): source of drinking water, source of nondrinking water, electricity, radio, TV, refrigerator, bicycle, motorcycle or boat, automobile, main floor material, main wall material, main roof material, and type of stove. Religion was asked in 1991–2007 but not in 2012 and 2017. For these years, 100 values were imputed for every individual, where the respondents' religious affiliation (Muslim versus non-Muslim) was randomly generated on the basis of the distribution of Muslims in that respondent's province according to the 2007 DHS. In comparing the imputed and observed values in 2007, the imputation method was highly sensitive—87.8% of those who

were Muslims were imputed to be Muslims. Moreover, a majority (56.3%) of those who were non-Muslims were identified as such. Religious affiliation was based on the mothers' self-report; inter-faith marriages are relatively rare in Indonesia.<sup>16</sup>

The outcome, measles vaccination, was determined from either the mother's report or from vaccination cards provided by community health centers, or *Puskesmas*. *Puskesmas* were first introduced in the late 1960s<sup>17</sup> and have been the primary source of vaccinations because the national immunization program was started in 1977. The vaccination program initially included polio, DTP, and measles vaccines. In 1997, the hepatitis B vaccine was brought into the program at the national level, and in 2013, the pentavalent vaccine (diphtheria–tetanus–pertussis–hepatitis B–*Haemophilus influenzae* Type b) was introduced.<sup>18</sup> All these vaccines are freely available in the *Puskesmas*. Indonesia switched from using a measles to a measles–rubella vaccine in 2017, and a national measles mass vaccination campaign was ongoing in 2017 and 2018, with >60 million children targeted for vaccination.<sup>19</sup> Vaccinations administered during mass campaigns were counted (but had not been differentiated from routine vaccinations) in this analysis. Records of measles versus measles–rubella vaccination were not distinguished in the data set. DTP or pentavalent Dose 3 vaccination coverage was also tabulated as an example of a vaccine used in many cross-country comparisons.<sup>1</sup>

### Statistical Analysis

Observed measles vaccination coverage in 2017 was mapped by province. Estimates of vaccination coverage were modeled with a binomial regression model in a generalized estimating equations framework clustered by household and the survey cluster and accounting for an independent covariance matrix. A total of 2 sets of models were estimated. The first set only included religion and wealth index. Estimates of vaccine coverage by survey year were derived from the intercept of the model and are graphically depicted along with 95% CIs. The second set was another binomial regression model, which included year, religion, child's sex, mother's age, mother's education level, and the family wealth index. A quadratic term for year (year X year) and an interaction term between year and religion evaluated the changes in vaccination over time by religion.

The code used to generate the derived variables and conduct the statistical analysis is available on figshare: [figshare.com/articles/Indonesia\\_DHS\\_code/12042855](https://figshare.com/articles/Indonesia_DHS_code/12042855).

## RESULTS

Across the 7 data sets, there were 122,068 children aged 0–59 months who had mothers aged 15–49 years who were interviewed (15,708 in 1991, 18,196 in 1994, 17,444 in 1997, 16,206 in 2002, 18,645 in 2007, 18,021 in 2012, and 17,848 in 2017). In total, 97,826 children who were not aged 12–23 months were excluded (by year: 12,553, 14,755, 13,921, 12,967, 15,008, 14,406, and 14,215), and 1,136 children who had died were also removed (by year: 239, 200, 194, 142, 150, 113, and 98). The remaining data set included 23,106 children, with the proportion who were Muslims ranging between 85% and 89% across the survey years (Table 1). SES improved over

this timeframe but was generally lower among non-Muslims. For example, the proportion of mothers without any education in 1991 was 22% among non-Muslims and 12% among Muslims. By 2017, these numbers were shifted to 2% and 1%, respectively. For wealth index, a greater proportion of non-Muslims were in the poorest category than Muslims (31% vs 17% in 1991), with this disparity slightly increasing by 2017 (38% of non-Muslims in the poorest category compared with 17% of Muslims).

Overall, measles and DTP3 vaccination coverage increased between 1991 and 2017. For measles vaccination, it increased from 58% in 1991 to 53% in 1994, 72% in 1997, 73% in 2002, and 77% in 2007. Thereafter, gains were minimal (80% in 2012 and 79% in 2017). DTP3 vaccination followed a similar pattern (at the 7 time-points: 56%, 60%, 65%, 59%, 67%, 73%, and 77%, respectively).

Between 1991 and 2017, the crude, unadjusted measles vaccination coverage increased from 57% to 79% among non-Muslims and from 59% to 79% among Muslims. Figure 1 shows the geographic distribution of measles vaccination coverage in 2017. Overall, measles vaccination coverage was 79%, but this ranged from 54% in Aceh and 55% in Riau to 91% in South Kalimantan, 92% in Gorontalo, and 94% in North Sulawesi.

Estimated disparities by religion and wealth index, according to models adjusted for both variables, are shown in Figure 2. There was a substantial reduction in disparities across the wealth index over time. In the poorest quintile, vaccination coverage increased steadily from 40% to 73% over time. In the richest quintile, coverage increased from 70% to 84% between 1991 and 1997 and has since hovered between 83% and 86%. Among non-Muslims, vaccination coverage increased from 55% in 1991 to 83% in 2007 onward. For Muslims, vaccination coverage increased from 49% in 1991 to 82% in 2012 before decreasing to 79% in 2017.

In the multivariable regression model (Table 2), measles vaccination coverage increased by 1.6% each year (95% CI=1.4%, 1.9%) with a quadratic term of  $-0.05\%$  (95% CI=  $-0.05\%$ ,  $-0.04\%$ ), indicating some leveling over time. At baseline in 1991, non-Muslims had a vaccination coverage of 6.2% higher than that of Muslims (95% CI=3.8%, 8.6%), but this disparity decreased by  $-0.2\%$  each year (95% CI=  $-0.4\%$ , 0.0%).

Mother's age, education, and wealth index were also significant predictors of a child's vaccination coverage. Respondents aged 35–49 years had  $-2.9\%$  (95% CI=  $-4.3\%$ ,  $-1.4\%$ ) lower coverage than respondents aged 25–34 years. There was a dose–response relationship by education, whereas coverage was substantially lower among those with no education than among those with


**Table 1.** Demographic Characteristics, Stratified by Religion, Among Children Aged 12–23 Months and Their Mothers, Indonesia DHS

Characteristic	1991 (n=2,915)		1994 (n=3,241)		1997 (n=3,329)		2002 (n=3,097)		2007 (n=3,487)		2012 (n=3,502)		2017 (n=3,535)	
	Non-Muslim, %	Muslim, %	Non-Muslim, %	Muslim, %	Non-Muslim, %	Muslim, %	Non-Muslim, %	Muslim, %	Non-Muslim, %	Muslim, %	Non-Muslim, %	Muslim, %	Non-Muslim, %	Muslim, %
Overall (row %)	13	87	13	87	13	87	11	89	15	85	14	86	13	87
Proportion female	51	50	54	50	55	52	50	48	48	47	47	49	48	50
Respondent's age, years														
15–24	25	36	23	33	24	34	21	33	19	31	27	25	22	22
25–34	56	48	58	50	55	48	53	50	57	50	51	54	53	52
35–49	19	16	19	17	21	18	27	17	24	19	22	21	24	27
Respondent's education														
None	22	12	15	10	14	8	6	4	6	2	6	1	2	1
Primary	45	66	43	62	38	59	38	45	33	42	29	30	25	24
Secondary	26	20	35	25	41	30	48	46	49	48	53	57	55	59
Tertiary	7	2	8	3	7	4	7	6	12	9	12	13	18	16
Wealth index, quintile														
Poorest	31	17	29	10	30	9	47	20	42	17	39	17	38	17
Poorer	15	21	15	19	15	17	11	19	15	20	22	22	19	20
Middle	14	18	16	22	17	20	10	21	14	22	18	20	16	20
Richer	16	22	11	23	14	27	11	21	13	22	11	21	14	22
Richest	24	22	28	26	23	27	20	19	16	20	11	20	12	20
Child had DTP3 vaccine	55	56	64	59	67	65	63	59	57	69	68	73	76	77
Child had measles vaccine	57	59	67	63	75	71	70	73	73	78	75	81	79	79

DHS, Demographic and Health Surveys; DTP3, diphtheria–tetanus–pertussis vaccine Dose 3.



**Figure 1.** Measles vaccination coverage in children aged 12–23 months by Indonesian province, 2017 DHS. DHS, Demographic and Health Survey.



**Figure 2.** Measles vaccination coverage in children aged 12–23 months over time and by religion and wealth index. Note: Estimates from binomial models conditioned on religion and wealth index. Wealth index is by quintile. The x-axis indicates year; the y-axis indicates measles vaccination coverage.

secondary education (−26.6%, 95% CI= −29.5%, −23.8%), with an attenuated disparity between those with a primary and those with secondary education (−12.2%, 95% CI= −13.6%, −10.8%). Those in the

poorest quintile had −9.3% lower coverage than those in the middle quintile (95% CI= −11.1%, −7.4%), whereas those in the richest quintile were 5.7% higher (95% CI=3.9%, 7.4%) than those in the middle quintile.

**Table 2.** Measles Vaccination Coverage in Indonesian Children Aged 12–23 Months, 1991–2017 DHS

Variable	Across all available data (n=23,106) <sup>a</sup>		Excluding 2002 and East Timor (n=19,672) <sup>a</sup>	
	$\beta$ (95% CI), %	p-value	$\beta$ , %	p-value
Intercept	<b>69.3 (67.0, 71.5)</b>	<0.0001	<b>68.9</b>	<0.0001
Years since 1991 (continuous)	<b>1.6 (1.4, 1.9)</b>	<0.0001	<b>1.6</b>	<0.0001
Years <b>X</b> years	<b>-0.05 (-0.05, -0.04)</b>	<0.0001	<b>0.0</b>	<0.0001
Non-Muslim versus Muslim	<b>6.2 (3.8, 8.6)</b>	<0.0001	<b>7.0</b>	<0.0001
Non-Muslim <b>X</b> years	<b>-0.2 (-0.4, 0.0)</b>	<b>0.0309</b>	<b>-0.2</b>	<b>0.0257</b>
Child's sex: male versus female	-0.2 (-1.2, 0.9)	0.7757	-0.4	0.5527
Respondent's age, years (ref: 25–34 years)				
15–24	-0.7 (-2.0, 0.6)	0.2736	-0.1	0.8757
35–49	<b>-2.9 (-4.3, -1.4)</b>	<b>0.0002</b>	<b>-2.9</b>	<b>0.0004</b>
Educational attainment (ref: secondary school)				
No formal education	<b>-26.6 (-29.5, -23.8)</b>	<0.0001	<b>-26.5</b>	<0.0001
Primary	<b>-12.2 (-13.6, -10.8)</b>	<0.0001	<b>-11.6</b>	<0.0001
Tertiary	1.1 (-0.6, 2.9)	0.2105	0.6	0.5519
Respondent's wealth index, quintile (ref: middle)				
Poorest	<b>-9.3 (-11.1, -7.4)</b>	<0.0001	<b>-10.2</b>	<0.0001
Poorer	<b>-2.3 (-4.1, -0.4)</b>	<b>0.0153</b>	<b>-2.8</b>	<b>0.0045</b>
Richer	<b>3.8 (2.1, 5.6)</b>	<0.0001	<b>3.7</b>	<b>0.0001</b>
Richest	<b>5.7 (3.9, 7.4)</b>	<0.0001	<b>5.8</b>	<0.0001

Note: Boldface indicates statistical significance ( $p < 0.05$ ).

<sup>a</sup>Multivariable binomial regression model; 100 multiple imputations to account for missing data on religion in the 2012 and 2017 surveys.

## DISCUSSION

Across almost 3 decades of vaccination and religion data in Indonesia, there was a substantial increase in vaccination coverage, although uptake in the past 10 years was stagnant among higher wealth groups and Muslims, and there was a slight decline among Muslims between 2012 and 2017.

The disparity of vaccination coverage by wealth index shrank from 1991 to 2017; wealth index and mother's education were positively associated with a child's measles vaccination coverage during this time period. Associations between socioeconomic factors and immunization status may have attenuated over time because of a strong network of public clinics, the *Puskesmas*, in Indonesia that freely offer vaccines to all children.<sup>20</sup> That there still is a disparity by wealth index could be a function of time costs and convenience—how many hours a day or how many days a week a *Puskesmas* is open can vary across the country<sup>21</sup>—and could explain low vaccination coverage in some more remote regions. Parents in certain socioeconomic strata may also be more hesitant toward vaccination. A study of parents in Malaysia found employment status but not educational level or monthly household income to be associated with vaccine hesitancy.<sup>22</sup> In Indonesia, a study in Aceh found socioeconomic status to be associated with

acceptance of a hypothetical dengue vaccine,<sup>23</sup> and another study in West Sumatra and Aceh found that having a diploma certificate was associated with nonhesitant vaccine attitudes among parents.<sup>24</sup> Finally, it is possible that certain vaccination providers at *Puskesmas* may consider some vaccines to be more of a priority than other vaccines.<sup>25</sup>

Over time, the disparity in measles vaccination coverage between Muslims and non-Muslims decreased. For 2002, this may have resulted from some provinces being excluded from sampling that year. Measles vaccination coverage among Muslims stagnated between 2012 (81%) and 2017 (79%). This coincides with the issuance of the *fatwa* in 2016, although it excludes the more recent *fatwa* in 2018. There is also a large variation in the proportion of children who received the measles vaccine by province in 2017. Aceh, the only province allowed to practice Shari'ah law, had the lowest measles vaccination coverage at 54%. Further research will be needed to understand the potential link between the *fatwa* and the decline in measles vaccination among Muslims after the more recent *fatwa* in 2018 as well as the low coverage in Aceh. This relationship may be more discernible in the future. Understanding parental attitudes toward vaccination will be useful for understanding whether the *fatwa* and concerns that the

measles vaccine is *haram* had any bearing on the decision to vaccinate.

The experiences of other Muslim-majority countries in the region show diversity in the presentation of vaccine hesitancy and the relationship between religion and vaccination uptake. Malaysia, which neighbors Indonesia, has had high vaccination coverage, but childhood vaccines are not compulsory,<sup>26</sup> and the prevalence of vaccine hesitancy was 11.6% among Malaysian parents in 2016.<sup>22</sup> Interestingly, the study in Malaysia found hesitancy higher among non-Muslims than among Muslims. More parents received information about vaccines from the Internet than from doctors.<sup>22</sup> Pakistan, which has the world's second largest Muslim population after Indonesia, has recently struggled with polio eradication because its citizens are wary of the oral polio vaccine owing to rumors spread by local news. Some common rumors have religious undertones and include that oral polio vaccine causes sterilization and contains porcine products and so is not *halal*.<sup>27,28</sup> Small outbreaks of polio have been seen since.<sup>28</sup> In Saudi Arabia, an Islamic theocracy, parents in a survey were highly confident in vaccines, and even vaccine-hesitant parents did not see religion as prohibiting vaccination.<sup>29</sup> A study of religion and vaccination in African countries found that in most countries, vaccination coverage was higher among Christians than among Muslims, but the reverse was true in other countries, and there was substantial diversity in coverage between countries.<sup>15</sup> The findings from these countries show that it may not be possible to generalize the relationship between religion and vaccination beyond 1 country.

The nature of Islam in Indonesia is complex and changing, although at its basis, religion is a core part of identity for many in Indonesia, as symbolized by the national identification card stating the citizen's religion.<sup>6</sup> Indonesia in some respects has experienced a rise in religiosity, specifically in Islam. An increasing number of mosques has coincided with faith politics becoming more popular in recent elections.<sup>30</sup> Although Indonesia on a national level is not a theocracy, the government does ban blasphemy and, in 2008, decided to punish members of the Islamic movement Ahmadiyya from spreading their religious ideas.<sup>6</sup> However, this rise in Islam has overlapped with improved social positioning of women. For example, the proportion of women who have control over their own earnings is relatively high and has increased in recent years, from 65% in 2012 to 73% in 2017.<sup>31</sup> Other countries in Asia with large Muslim populations show a much lower proportion for this number: 49% in Pakistan,<sup>32</sup> 32% in Bangladesh,<sup>33</sup> and 26% among Muslims in India.<sup>34</sup> Indonesia is diverse not only in terms of religion but also in terms of language,

ethnicity, and cultural backgrounds. A multitude of factors beyond religion could be impacting perceptions of vaccines.

It is also important to recognize that despite improvements over time, vaccine coverage in 2017 for both Muslims and non-Muslims in Indonesia was far lower than the 90% goal outlined in WHO's Global Vaccine Action Plan.<sup>35</sup> Both Muslim and non-Muslim communities in Indonesia are potentially at risk of a measles outbreak, such as the one experienced by Papua in 2017 and 2018.<sup>36</sup> The government has already enlisted religious and community leaders to promote vaccines,<sup>19</sup> and continued efforts to improve vaccination coverage in both Muslims and non-Muslims will be needed to protect against such outbreaks. Integration of religious leaders into the promotion of new vaccines could mitigate future conflicts with religious councils.<sup>37</sup>

This study has a number of strengths, including the use of DHS, a set survey used nationally that asks in-depth questions on daily life and health. This survey is an accurate depiction of the viewpoints of survey participants nationwide and allows for comparisons across regions of the country.

### Limitations

This study also has a number of limitations. More in-depth questions based on religion and adherence to religious guidelines could have been added. Further questions regarding women's empowerment and health education could be beneficial in providing stronger connections among vaccination, religions, and maternal autonomy. In addition, other mediators of the relationship between religion and vaccination status, for example, family size or birth order, may be important. The absence of surveying religion from 2012 onward is also limiting. Although multiple imputation was able to recapture some of these data, the underlying assumptions (using province-level data related to religion from 2007 to project onto 2012 and 2017) may be overly simplistic, and the power to detect differences in 2012 and 2017 was lost. Imputed data in 2012 and 2017 may not reflect the changing religious trends over time in different areas. Although all non-Muslims were combined into 1 category for this analysis, Indonesia is a religiously diverse country, with Christians, Hindus, Buddhists, and Confucians having a presence in the Indonesian Archipelago for hundreds of years. A 2010 estimate puts Muslims as the majority (87.2%), with Protestants (7%), Roman Catholics (2.9%), and Hindus (1.7%) as the predominant religious groups.<sup>4</sup> Over time, there are a number of other trends that could affect vaccination coverage, for instance, declines in infant mortality, especially in the vaccinated group.



## CONCLUSIONS

In the past few decades, in Indonesia, measles vaccination coverage has increased. Disparities across SES and religion may have diminished over time: individuals in the lowest quintile of wealth have seen extraordinary gains in measles vaccine uptake. There is evidence that this disparity is also decreasing between Muslims and non-Muslims, although the recent *fatwas* issued regarding *haram* content in vaccines may reduce vaccination coverage in the near future. The picture of immunization in Indonesia is complex, with geography and SES as well as religion playing a role. Ultimately, understanding religious influence in a parent's decision to vaccinate their child will be important to ensure coverage rates increase, and vaccine manufacturers should consider the use of *halal* products.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge and thank the Global Institute for Vaccine Equity at the University of Michigan School of Public Health for financial support of this supplement. The findings and conclusions of articles in this supplement are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the University of Michigan.

The authors appreciate the work of the data collectors who work in the Demographic and Health Surveys program.

The content of this manuscript is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of NIH.

ALW's salary was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of NIH under Award Number [K01AI137123](https://doi.org/10.1371/K01AI137123). The study sponsor did not have any role in study design; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing the report; or the decision to submit the report for publication.

HH contributed to conceptualization and writing—review and editing; NS contributed to writing—original draft; AGK contributed to writing—review and editing; AS contributed to data curation, formal analysis, and writing—review and editing; and ALW contributed to methodology, formal analysis, and writing—original draft of the manuscript.

No financial disclosures were reported by the authors of this paper.

## SUPPLEMENT NOTE

This article is part of a supplement entitled Global Vaccination Equity, which is sponsored by the Global Institute for Vaccine Equity at the University of Michigan School of Public Health.

## REFERENCES

- VanderEnde K, Gacic-Dobo M, Diallo MS, Conklin LM, Wallace AS. Global routine vaccination coverage - 2017. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2018;67(45):1261–1264. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6745a2>.
- Ndwanwe D, Uthman OA, Adamu AA, et al. Decomposing the gap in missed opportunities for vaccination between poor and non-poor in sub-Saharan Africa: a multicountry analyses. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2018;14(10):2358–2364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2018.1467685>.
- Thomson A, Robinson K, Vallée-Tourangeau G. The 5 As: a practical taxonomy for the determinants of vaccine uptake. *Vaccine*. 2016;34(8):1018–1024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.11.065>.
- The World Factbook: east Asia/southeast Asia: Indonesia. Central Intelligence Agency. <https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/id.html>. Updated September 10, 2020. Accessed September 17, 2020.
- Indonesia Census. Population according to region and religion advised Indonesia [in Indonesian]. <https://sp2010.bps.go.id/index.php/site/tabel?tid=321&wid=0>. Published 2010. Accessed July 30, 2019.
- U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom. United States Commission on International Religious Freedom: 2019 annual report. Washington, DC: U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom. <https://www.uscirf.gov/sites/default/files/2019USCIRFAnnualReport.pdf>. Published April 2019. Accessed July 30, 2019.
- Hasanuddin H, Asrorun Ni'am Sholeh HM. *Fatwa Majelis Ulama Indonesia (MUI) tentang imunisasi*. Jakarta, Indonesia: Majelis Ulama Indonesia; 2016. <http://www.halalmui.org/images/stories/pdf/Fatwa-MUI-No.4-Tentang-Imunisasi.pdf>. Published 2016. Accessed September 17, 2020.
- Mann T. What is a fatwa and what does it mean for Indonesian policy? *Indonesia Melbourne*. March 30, 2016. <https://indonesiaatmelbourne.unimelb.edu.au/what-is-a-fatwa-and-what-does-it-mean-for-indonesian-policy/>. Accessed December 2, 2019.
- Rochmyaningsih D. Indonesian fatwa causes immunization rates to drop. *Science*. 2018;362(6415):628–629. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.362.6415.628>.
- WHO, Regional Office for Africa. Dakar declaration on vaccination. Congo, Africa. WHO, Regional Office for Africa. <https://www.afro.who.int/sites/default/files/2017-09/Religious%20Leaders%20Declaration.pdf>. Published March 25, 2014. Accessed July 30, 2020.
- Foegen WH. Positive and negative influences of religion, culture, and tradition in public health. *Am J Public Health*. 2019;109(3):378. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304921>.
- Rinaldo R. The Islamic revival and women's political subjectivity in Indonesia. *Womens Stud Int Forum*. 2010;33(4):422–431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2010.02.016>.
- Brown DW, Gacic-Dobo M. Home-based record prevalence among children aged 12–23 months from 180 Demographic and Health Surveys. *Vaccine*. 2015;33(22):2584–2593. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.03.101>.
- Fernandez RC, Awofeso N, Rammohan A. Determinants of apparent rural–urban differentials in measles vaccination uptake in Indonesia. *Rural Remote Health*. 2011;11(3):1702.
- Costa JC, Weber AM, Darmstadt GL, Abdalla S, Victora CG. Religious affiliation and immunization coverage in 15 countries in sub-Saharan Africa. *Vaccine*. 2020;38(5):1160–1169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.11.024>.
- RRT research response: IDN34011. Refugee Review Tribunal Australia (December 4, 2008). <https://www.refworld.org/pdfid/4b6fe1fe25.pdf>. Accessed June 9, 2020.
- Benotti E, Hirschhorn L, Sugiyarso S, Ahmad J. Indonesia: Puskesmas and the road to equity and access. <https://improvingphc.org/indonesia-puskesmas-and-road-equity-and-access>. Accessed June 9, 2020.
- Hadisoemarto PF, Reich MR, Castro MC. Introduction of pentavalent vaccine in Indonesia: a policy analysis. *Health Policy Plan*. 2016;31(8):1079–1088. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czw038>.
- Pronyk P, Sugihantono A, Sitohang V, et al. Vaccine hesitancy in Indonesia [published correction appears in *Lancet Planet Health*. 2019;3(7):e294]. *Lancet Planet Health*. 2019;3(3):e114–e115. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(18\)30287-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30287-0).

20. Holipah Maharani A, Kuroda Y. Determinants of immunization status among 12- to 23-month-old children in Indonesia (2008-2013): a multilevel analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2018;18(1):288. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5193-3>.
21. World Bank Group. Is Indonesia ready to serve? An analysis of Indonesia's primary health care supply-side readiness. <https://doi.org/10.1596/30623>. Published November 5, 2018. Accessed June 9, 2020.
22. Mohd Azizi FS, Kew Y, Moy FM. Vaccine hesitancy among parents in a multi-ethnic country, Malaysia. *Vaccine*. 2017;35(22):2955–2961. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.04.010>.
23. Harapan H, Anwar S, Setiawan AM, Sasmono RT, Study Aceh Dengue. Dengue vaccine acceptance and associated factors in Indonesia: a community-based cross-sectional survey in Aceh. *Vaccine*. 2016;34(32):3670–3675. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.05.026>.
24. Yufika A, Wagner AL, Nawawi Y, et al. Parents' hesitancy towards vaccination in Indonesia: a cross-sectional study in Indonesia. *Vaccine*. 2020;38(11):2592–2599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.01.072>.
25. Seale H, Sitaresmi MN, Atthobari J, et al. Knowledge and attitudes towards rotavirus diarrhea and the vaccine amongst healthcare providers in Yogyakarta Indonesia. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2015;15:528. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-1187-3>.
26. Binti Mustafa Khan NJ, Binti Zulkipli ZN. Compulsory vaccination for children in Malaysia: legislation and realisation. *Yuridika*. 2018;33(3):402. <https://doi.org/10.20473/ydk.v33i3.9826>.
27. Basharat S, Shaikh BT. Polio immunization in Pakistan: ethical issues and challenges. *Public Health Rev*. 2017;38:6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-017-0049-4>.
28. Bin AB. Polio eradication: our culture is the biggest impediment. *Dawn*. July 8, 2019 <https://www.dawn.com/news/1491880/polio-eradication-our-culture-is-the-biggest-impediment>. Accessed January 10, 2020.
29. Alshammari TM, Subaiea GM, Hussain T, Moin A, Yusuff KB. Parental perceptions, attitudes and acceptance of childhood immunization in Saudi Arabia: a cross sectional study. *Vaccine*. 2018;36(1):23–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.11.050>.
30. Beech H, Suhartono M. Faith politics on the rise as Indonesian Islam takes a hard-line path. *The New York Times*. April 15, 2019. <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/04/15/world/asia/indonesia-election-islam.html>. Accessed July 30, 2019.
31. National Population and Family Planning Board (BKKBN), Statistics Indonesia (BPS), Ministry of Health (Kemenkes), and ICF. Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey 2017. Jakarta, Indonesia: National Population and Family Planning Board (BKKBN), Statistics Indonesia (BPS), Ministry of Health (Kemenkes), and ICF. <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR342/FR342.pdf>. Published September 2018. Accessed January 10, 2020.
32. National Institute of Population Studies (NIPS) [Pakistan] and ICF. Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18. Islamabad, Pakistan, and Rockville, MD: National Institute of Population Studies (NIPS) [Pakistan] and ICF. <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR354/FR354.pdf>. Published January 2019. Accessed July 30, 2019.
33. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), Mitra and Associates, and ICF International. Bangladesh demographic and health survey 2014. Dhaka, Bangladesh, and Rockville, MD: National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), Mitra and Associates, and ICF International. <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR311/FR311.pdf>. Published March 2016. Accessed July 30, 2019.
34. International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) and ICF. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4), 2015-16: India. Mumbai, India: International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) and ICF. <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR339/FR339.pdf>. Published December 2017. Accessed January 10, 2020.
35. WHO. Global vaccine action plan 2011–2020. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO. [https://www.who.int/immunization/global\\_vaccine\\_action\\_plan/GVAP\\_doc\\_2011\\_2020/en/](https://www.who.int/immunization/global_vaccine_action_plan/GVAP_doc_2011_2020/en/). Published May 2012. Accessed September 9, 2020.
36. Indonesia declares end to deadly Papua measles outbreak. *The Straits Times*. February 6, 2018. <https://www.straitstimes.com/asia/se-asia/indonesia-declares-end-to-deadly-papua-measles-outbreak>. Accessed March 27, 2020.
37. Padmawati RS, Heywood A, Sitaresmi MN, et al. Religious and community leaders' acceptance of rotavirus vaccine introduction in Yogyakarta, Indonesia: a qualitative study. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):368. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6706-4>.