THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Natural Resources Science Faculty Publications

Natural Resources Science

6-20-2020

Implementing adaptive management into a climate change adaptation strategy for a drowning New England salt marsh

Danielle C. Perry

Caitlin Chaffee

Cathleen Wigand

Carol S. Thornber University of Rhode Island, thornber@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/nrs_facpubs

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available. Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our Terms of Use.

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Perry DC, Chaffee C, Wigand C, Thornber C. Implementing adaptive management into a climate change adaptation strategy for a drowning New England salt marsh. J Environ Manage. 2020 Sep 15;270:110928. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110928. Epub 2020 Jun 20.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Natural Resources Science at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Natural Resources Science Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

1 Abstract

Due to climate change and other anthropogenic stressors, future conditions and impacts 2 facing coastal habitats are unclear to coastal resource managers. Adaptive management strategies 3 4 have become an important tactic to compensate for the unknown environmental conditions that coastal managers and restoration ecologists face. Adaptive management requires extensive 5 6 planning and resources, which can act as a barrier to achieve a successful project. These barriers 7 also create challenges in incorporating adaptive management into climate change adaptation strategies. This case study describes and analyzes the Rhode Island Coastal Resource 8 9 Management Council's approach to overcome these challenges to implement a successful adaptive management project to restore a drowning salt marsh using the climate adaptation 10 strategy, sediment enhancement, at Quonochontaug Pond in Charlestown, RI. Through effective 11 12 communication and active stakeholder involvement, this project successfully incorporated 13 interdisciplinary partner and stakeholder collaboration and developed an iterative learning 14 strategy that highlights the adaptive management method. 15 **Keywords** 16 Adaptive management; Climate change adaptation; Sediment enhancement; Salt marsh 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

1. Introduction

Much research has been conducted on climate change mitigation, but comparatively less 26 27 attention has focused on implementation of adaptive management strategies to protect 28 environments impacted by climate change (IPCC., 2014). Accelerated relative sea level rise (hereafter referred to as SLR) rates are a major effect of climate change and are a serious threat 29 30 to coastal environments throughout the Northeast USA (Ashton et al., 2008; Carey et al., 2017; Weston, 2014). New England itself is facing SLR rates that are three or four times the global 31 average (Sallenger et al., 2012). These elevated rates are likely to cause increased flooding, 32 33 damage to infrastructure in low-lying and coastal areas, decreased resiliency to storms, and loss 34 of coastal wetlands, including salt marshes (Ashton et al., 2008; Wigand et al., 2017). Climate adaptation focuses on enhancing resilience to current and future climate change impacts 35 including SLR, which will help in managing and maintaining coastal ecosystems such as salt 36 37 marshes (Stein et al., 2013; Wigand et al., 2017). 38 Climate change and other anthropogenic impacts have lowered the resiliency of Northeast coastal marshes. Salt marshes serve as a carbon sink, food source, breeding habitat, 39

40 and nursery ground for birds (including the endangered salt marsh sparrow, *Ammodramus*

41 *caudacutus*), fish, and shellfish (Bayard and Elphick, 2011; Hanson and Shriver, 2006; Raposa

42 and Roman, 2006). These environments also provide flood abatement and help prevent coastal

43 erosion (Barbier et al., 2011; Leonard and Luther, 1995). Historically, lateral transgression and

44 vertical accretion of New England marshes have been able to keep pace with SLR (Raposa et al.,

45 2017; Redfield, 1972). However due to increased coastal development, reduced sediment

46 supplies (caused by urbanization, dam construction, and reforestation), and accelerating rates of

47 SLR, marshes are no longer able to migrate or accrete at a rate fast enough to withstand SLR

impacts (Sallenger et al., 2012; Weston, 2014; Watson et al., 2017). As a result of these impacts, 48 Northeast marshes, including those in New England, have suffered from increased dieback areas, 49 50 vegetation loss, peat subsidence, waterlogged soils, and ponding (Hartig et al., 2000; Alber et al., 2008; Raposa et al., 2017). SLR has also exacerbated salt marsh erosion as a result of increased 51 crab burrows in high marsh areas, due to waterlogged soils (Crotty et al., 2017; Raposa et al., 52 53 2018). These combined effects further decrease salt marsh resiliency in light of storms and climate change impacts, which the Northeast is particularly susceptible to (Frumhoff et al., 2007; 54 55 Kirwan and Megonigal, 2013; Crotty et al., 2017). Climate change adaptation is a management strategy that addresses climate-related 56 vulnerabilities of susceptible habitats and focuses on preparing for, coping with, and responding 57 to the impacts of current and future system changes (Stein et al., 2013; Wigand et al., 2017). 58 Investing in climate change adaptation projects can increase coastal resiliency to environmental 59 threats and minimize damages (monetary and environmental) from storm events (Narayan et al., 60 61 2017; Sutton-Grier et al., 2015) Climate adaptation strategies have been implemented across the U.S. (including living shorelines, green infrastructure, green roofs, flood abatement strategies, 62 irrigation efficiency for agricultural practices, etc.) on the federal, state, local/regional, and 63 64 private sectors (Bierbaum et al., 2013). One climate adaptation approach to build salt marsh resiliency is sediment enhancement (SE), also known as thin layer deposition where dredged 65 66 sediment material is added to the salt marsh surface (Cahoon et al., 2019). The purpose of this 67 technique is to raise the salt marsh platform to an elevation that can withstand future projections 68 of SLR. Although climate adaptation strategies have been adopted nationwide, the incorporation 69 of adaptive management within these projects is uncommon.

Adaptive management incorporates learning-based decision making into management 70 actions (Salafsky et al., 2001; Allen and Gunderson, 2010; Williams, 2011). This strategy is an 71 72 iterative learning process that allows management actions to proceed despite uncertainty and requires changes in action to improve the management strategy as knowledge and understanding 73 increases (Allen and Gunderson, 2010; Williams, 2011). There is a benefit to this strategy that 74 75 accounts for uncertain and unexpected responses of a management action, but adaptive 76 management involves challenges that must be overcome. Lack of resources and communication, 77 disorganized coordination and leadership, inherent lack of flexibility within institutions, 78 minimized stakeholder engagement, and action procrastination and avoidance can inevitably lead to adaptive management failure (Adger et al., 2009; Allen and Gunderson, 2011; Bierbaum et al., 79 2013; McNeeley, 2012). Since adaptive management requires a monitoring component, a larger 80 commitment of time and resources is needed, which can pose an additional challenge. These 81 82 challenges provide barriers to incorporating adaptive management into climate adaptation 83 projects and require intensive planning to overcome. The Quonochontaug (Quonnie) project located in Charlestown, RI, a state-run and 84 federally funded initiative lead by the Coastal Resource Management Council (CRMC), 85 86 incorporates the SE climate change adaptation strategy and adaptive management while integrating lessons learned from past SE projects. This paper describes the successful 87 88 incorporation of adaptive management into the Quonnie SE project and highlights the use of 89 collaboration and outreach in restoration initiatives. We analyze how adaptive management 90 components: 1) Create a project model 2) Establish a clear and common purpose/action 3) 91 Develop and implement a management and monitoring plan 4) Analyze results and iterate 5) 92 Communicate results, were applied for the successful implementation of the Quonnie climate

change adaptation project (Salafsky et al., 2001). Through this analysis, we intend to identify
best practices in planning and implementing an adaptive management strategy for a climate
change adaptation project.

96 2. Establishing the Climate Change Adaptation Project: Identifying Stakeholders and
 97 Partners

98 *3.1 Establishing the salt marsh climate change adaptation and adaptive management team*

For the Quonnie sediment enhancement adaptive management (Q-SEAM) project, the initial goal was to gather together organizations and people dedicated to salt marsh protection, including agencies experienced in assessing salt marsh vulnerability and condition and implementing restoration actions. This required the expertise of federal, state, and local agencies, as well as non-profit and non-government organizations (NGOs); all held specific roles and responsibilities (Table 1). The creation of this team occurred during the stage of initial

assessment of salt marsh condition, prior to the SE implementation.

106 *3.2 Initial salt marsh condition assessment*

Rhode Island follows the Salt Marsh Monitoring and Assessment Program (SMMAP) 107 108 (Raposa et al., 2016). SMAPP monitoring helped identify the degrading marsh conditions and provided the necessary data to support the SE initiative at the Quonnie Pond site and funding 109 provided by the NOAA Resiliency Grant (Figure 1). The funding supported CRMC staff time, 110 111 monitoring, construction, and materials for the project (Table 2). This monitoring involved the rapid assessment of marsh conditions with marsh site visits across the state. Monitoring showed 112 an abundance of ponding and vegetation die-off areas and the displacement of high marsh plants 113 114 by low marsh plant species within the Quonnie salt marsh (Cole Ekberg et al., 2017; Kutcher, 2019). This site was also identified to have relatively low surface elevation within the tidal frame 115

and was characterized as an area of high disturbance (i.e. high density of human-made ditches,crab burrows, and edge erosion) (Kutcher, 2019).

118 The Sea Level Affecting Marshes Model (SLAMM) simulates the response of salt marsh 119 areas to varying SLR rate scenarios (SLAMM, 2009). Results of the SLAMM model simulations 120 help evaluate marsh migration potential and prioritize appropriate marsh adaption and restoration 121 efforts (Cole Ekberg et al., 2017; Wigand et al., 2017). The Quonnie SLAMM results predicted significant marsh loss with 1m of SLR within the next 40-50 years and recognized limited 122 potential for salt marsh migration 123 (http://www.crmc.ri.gov/maps/maps_slamm/20150331_RISLAMM_Summary.pdf). These 124 125 results and the SMMAP monitoring helped determine the SE treatment as an appropriate climate 126 adaptation strategy for this site. 3. Quonnie Sediment Enhancement Adaptive Management Project 127 4.1 Quonnie project model 128 129 Iteration is a major theme in adaptive management; Q-SEAM incorporated methods and lessons learned from a previous SE project at Ninigret Pond in Charlestown, RI. Q-SEAM 130 131 adapted the same Before, After, Control, Impact (BACI) experimental design model as the Ninigret project, where the control (area where no management action took place) and impact 132 (sediment enhancement) sites were monitored before and after treatment (Smith, 2014). The 133 134 model incorporated monitoring that would occur for at least five years after sediment placement. 135 It was hypothesized that the control would show signs of degradation (displacement of high marsh plants by low marsh plants, increase in vegetation die-off areas, loss of soil organic 136 137 carbon, loss of habitat value) over time, while the impact area would gradually recolonize vegetation and nekton communities and accumulate soil organic matter over the five-year 138

monitoring period. Project targets and metrics (Table 3) were incorporated into the BACI model
to guide learning. To optimize results and enhance the project, communication, construction, and
monitoring techniques learned from the Ninigret project were incorporated in the Q-SEAM plans
(Table 4). Results learned from the BACI monitoring and analyses would inform future decision
making for Quonnie maintenance as well as future SE projects.

144 Important stakeholder communication techniques and construction and field strategies were learned and adapted for Q-SEAM to help gain project support and improve management 145 146 strategies (Table 4). For example, dredging methods used at Ninigret were altered and improved 147 for the Quonnie project (RTK mounted equipment and amphibious and low ground pressure equipment). Earlier monitoring at Ninigret taught the Q-SEAM team that intensive post-148 construction sediment grading (to ensure target elevations were met and establish drainage) was 149 150 needed, that geese would use the area for foraging, and that excessive wind and sediment movement could impact the target elevations. By being aware of these potential issues, Q-SEAM 151 152 project managers were able to incorporate actions (i.e. goose fencing; beach grass and dune fencing placement for wind protection and sediment stabilization) into the management plan, 153 which were expected to have positive results on maintaining target elevations and subsequent 154 155 plant colonization.

156 *4.2 Establish a common purpose/action*

An important initial adaptive management step was to create a clear project mission that was discussed and agreed upon by all stakeholders. Addressing and recognizing stakeholder goals early on helped to avoid future complications, and it held the partners accountable and committed to their project responsibilities. While addressing the major goals of the project stakeholders, the mission statement was manageable and conveyed realistic expectations (Figure 2). CRMC leaders ensured they were clear and forthcoming about the roles of each stakeholder, the logistics of the project and their impacts on stakeholders' goals, which was an importantcomponent of their management technique and helped to manage stakeholder expectations.

165 CRMC and the monitoring partners had a pre-existing relationship due to similar past projects that involved the same partners as Q-SEAM. Due to these pre-existing relationships, 166 group trust and working dynamics had already been established, which aided in the effective 167 168 communication and coordination of agreed upon actions that occurred for Q-SEAM. The 169 substantial funding provided by NOAA along with matching funds from Town of Charlestown 170 and Salt Ponds Coalition supported these relationships as well as alleviated financial and 171 resource stressors that could have impacted these collaborations. Compromises needed to be made between CRMC and the Town of Charlestown to achieve an agreed upon action. CRMC 172 went through a negotiation process with the Town of Charlestown and the Salt Ponds Coalitions 173 174 before agreeing on the amount of sediment to be dredged. Although concessions and 175 compromises were made (Town of Charlestown provided more funds to dredge additional 176 sediment and determined the dredging areas), CRMC ensured that the stakeholders' needs were heard and considered, which further helped to establish trust and commitment amongst the 177 stakeholders and partners. 178

179 *4.3 Development and implementation of a management and monitoring plan*

180 CRMC and the monitoring partners collaborated to create the Quonnie Quality Assurance 181 Project Plan (QAPP), which included a flexible management and monitoring plan that allowed 182 for learning and monitoring plan adjustments, highlighting the adaptive management approach. 183 The QAPP included project targets and metrics such as elevation, vegetation community, and 184 wildlife community (Table 3) and methods to assess these targets. Monitoring these targets was essential to evaluate marsh function and restoration progress as well as for the learning needed tosupport future decision-making and management plan adjustments.

187 CRMC sought partner and stakeholder feedback and input throughout the development of 188 the adaptive management plan via meetings and public presentations to municipal commissions. This allowed for stakeholders to voice concerns and identify issues early, and for the project 189 190 team to address them in a manner that aligned with the project's goals and targets. CRMC maintained open and frequent communication with the project stakeholders, and shared project 191 designs and plans as they were developed. This transparency aspect of the CRMC management 192 193 technique built trust within the stakeholders, and also allowed CRMC to address concerns early and rectify issues to prevent future conflict. 194

195 Having a clear management and construction plan to convey to the dredging company, J. F. Brennan Company, Inc. (hereafter J. F. Brennan), helped with communication and 196 197 collaboration. CRMC ensured that the construction plans for J. F. Brennan were detailed enough for design implementation, but were flexible enough to incorporate contractor expertise and 198 methodologies. CRMC and J. F. Brennan went through an iterative process throughout 199 200 construction, where adjustments to the construction plan and design were made as necessary and as the project progressed. J. F. Brennan appreciated having their inputs valued. One of the lead 201 constructors in an interview said, "They [CRMC] look to us for ideas and value our opinion...the 202 203 process is made easier because they are open and upfront." Establishing two-way communication between hired contractors, where contractors' ideas and expertise were respected, considered, 204 205 and incorporated, enhanced the outcome of Q-SEAM and highlights the learning/adaptive 206 component of adaptive management.

The monitoring plan was helpful in establishing goals and parameters as well as the 207 responsibilities of each partner, which in turn kept the partners accountable. Monitoring occurred 208 209 during the peak growing season, between mid-August and mid-September before sediment placement and the first season after placement and was intended to continue for four additional 210 growing seasons thereafter. Monitoring partner meetings were held before each salt marsh 211 212 growing season to discuss the parameters that would be measured, monitoring methods, and timelines as well as a meeting after the growing season to discuss monitoring results and 213 214 adjustments for the next season. Meetings were then scheduled as needed throughout the 215 growing season to address unexpected issues and adjustments to the original monitoring/management plans. Outside of these meetings, the monitoring partners were in open 216 and continuous communication to address questions as they arose. 217

218 *4.4 Analyze results and iterate*

As data was interpreted and field conditions became clearer, CRMC and partners had to 219 220 adapt and learn from unexpected challenges, which sometimes called for adjustments to the QAPP and data collection methods. For example, the Quonnie site was more accessible than 221 222 previous SE sites and civilians used the area as a recreational space. In response to this, signage and fencing were placed on the borders of the site and a separate area was designated as a 223 recreational location (Figure 3a &b). Monitoring changes were needed as well, which included 224 225 adjusted pH and soil salinity sampling methods due to the low moisture content of the dredge material. During construction, the Q-SEAM team learned that the use of one dredge versus two 226 227 dredges would make the handling/distribution of dredge material more manageable and prevent 228 sediment buildup. As adaptive management calls for, management and monitoring plans were adjusted accordingly as this new information arose. The flexibility of each monitoring partner 229

and efficient communication allowed for quick responses to these unexpected outcomes andadjustments to original methods.

232 *4.5 Communicate results*

233 The Q-SEAM monitoring data were made available throughout the monitoring process to

234 provide transparency, cultivate public engagement, and provide project updates, via the CRMC

235 ArcGIS Online Quonochontaug Data Gallery

236 (https://crmcgis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MinimalGallery/index.html?appid=bfda4d36733c43fa938

237 <u>74e09414457e4</u>). The CRMC communicated SE project results through regional conference

238 presentations and site visits with the community and regional agencies, and is currently

239 developing supplemental material such as restoration guidance and lessons learned documents.

240 Making information readily available helped maintain public involvement and interest in the

241 project as well as educated other agencies that were interested in learning more about the SE

242 restoration technique. Agencies including NBNERR and EPA Atlantic Coastal Environmental

243 Sciences Division, communicate with other NERRs and EPA facilities across the country to help

to further develop SE best practices and apply them to other sites.

245

4. Community Outreach and Engagement

Throughout the Quonnie project, outreach and community engagement was a continuous priority. During the early stages of the project, Charlestown members were brought in for site visits, and CRMC presented SE plans at town council meetings to help gain support for the project and improve understanding of the project's purpose. A Quonnie planting event, organized and facilitated by Save the Bay, was one of the largest outreach initiatives that occurred after sediment placement in the early spring of 2019. This event brought together school groups, Save

252	the Bay volunteers as well as volunteers from various town organizations, project stakeholders
253	and partners, and Charlestown citizens. Planting events allowed citizen volunteers to make a
254	physical contribution and connection to the project (Figure 3c &d). CRMC sponsored short
255	promotional videos to highlight the restoration that occurred in the state
256	(http://www.crmc.ri.gov/). The Salt Ponds Coalition published an article about the project in its
257	newsletter, Tidal Page, as well as produced videos focused on the SE projects within the state.
258	CRMC and monitoring partners continue to present at local, regional and national meetings to
259	share their experiences and results with the SE technique.

260 **5.** Conclusions

The Q-SEAM project demonstrated that effective collaboration, efficient communication, 261 262 community involvement, and outreach were necessary to overcome adaptive management challenges and achieve success. Collaboration was an integral part of the adaptive management 263 approach as the Quonnie project required the expertise of multiple disciplines. Partnership and 264 collaboration came with benefits including resource and cost sharing, division of responsibilities, 265 development of management plans, and implementation of monitoring. However, challenges 266 267 were associated with collaboration, which CRMC was able to overcome with compromise, frequent and open communication with partners, and guided, productive monitoring and project 268 meetings. The partners established and held similar goals, which led to accountability, 269 270 commitment, and timely follow through with actions. Due to the nature of the small state of RI, CRMC has the capacity to work closely and develop strong ongoing relationships with key 271 272 scientists and coastal managers within the state. In cases where this type of involvement is not 273 feasible, the use of third-party cross-boundary management agencies can help to oversee these types of adaptive management initiatives as well as other interdisciplinary projects. 274

Community involvement and outreach were instrumental components of the Q-SEAM 275 project. Therefore, establishing trust and actively involving the community in the adaptive 276 management approach was essential for the success of the project. CRMC operated under full 277 transparency with the Town of Charlestown and other stakeholders, addressing their concerns 278 279 early on and managing expectations. Establishing trust early with the stakeholders, through site 280 visits, town and project planning meetings, was essential to gain stakeholder support and assistance. Involving the community throughout the project grants the public an invested 281 interested in its success. 282

283 Rhode Island's use of an adaptive management strategy to implement the SE climate change adaptation project is expected to influence future decision-making on coastal marsh 284 285 restoration in the Northeast USA and beyond. Adaptive management worked well for the Q-SEAM project due to the relatively new application of the sediment enhancement method in New 286 England and its flexible nature that accounts for unexpected results and adjustable management 287 288 and monitoring plans to account for outcome uncertainty. Incorporating adaptive management strategies within climate change adaptation and resiliency projects becomes increasingly 289 important as climate change progresses and future conditions are more uncertain. 290

Acknowledgements

Funding: Implementation of sediment enhancement project was supported by the NOAA Coastal Resilience Grants (FFO #: NOAA-NOS-NRPO-2017-2005159), Town of Charlestown, and Salt Ponds Coalition. Research also supported by National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship (grant number DGE-1747454; awarded to Danielle Perry).

We would like to thank all monitoring partners and stakeholders of the Quonnie Project. Special thanks to Save the Bay's W. Ferguson and D. Prescott for construction and field location oversite.

References

- Adger, W.N., Dessai, S., Goulden, M., Hulme, M., Lorenzoni, I., Nelson, D.R., Naess, L.O., Wolf, J., Wreford, A., 2009. Are there social limits to adaptation to climate change? Clim. Change. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-008-9520-z
- Alber, M., Swenson, E.M., Adamowicz, S.C., Mendelssohn, I.A., 2008. Salt Marsh Dieback: An overview of recent events in the US. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 80, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2008.08.009
- Allen, C.R., Gunderson, L.H., 2011. Pathology and failure in the design and implementation of adaptive management. J. Environ. Manage. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.10.063
- Ashton, A.D., Donnelly, J.P., Evans, R.L., 2008. A discussion of the potential impacts of climate change on the shorelines of the Northeastern USA. Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-007-9124-3
- Barbier, E.B., Hacker, S.D., Kennedy, C., Kock, E.W., Stier, A.C., Sillman, B.R., 2011. The value of estuarine and coastal ecosystem services. Ecol. Monogr. 81, 169–193. https://doi.org/10.1890/10-1510.1
- Bayard, T.S., Elphick, C.S., 2011. Planning for Sea-level Rise: Quantifying Patterns of Saltmarsh Sparrow (Ammodramus Caudacutus) Nest Flooding Under Current Sea-level Conditions . Auk 128, 393–403. https://doi.org/10.1525/auk.2011.10178
- Bierbaum, R., Smith, J.B., Lee, A., Blair, M., Carter, L., Chapin, F.S., Fleming, P., Ruffo, S., Stults, M., McNeeley, S., Wasley, E., Verduzco, L., 2013. A comprehensive review of climate adaptation in the United States: More than before, but less than needed. Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-012-9423-1
- Cahoon, D.R., Lynch, J.C., Roman, C.T., Schmit, J.P., Skidds, D.E., 2019. Evaluating the Relationship Among Wetland Vertical Development, Elevation Capital, Sea-Level Rise, and Tidal Marsh Sustainability. Estuaries and Coasts. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-018-0448-x
- Carey, J.C., Moran, S.B., Kelly, R.P., Kolker, A.S., Fulweiler, R.W., 2017. The Declining Role of Organic Matter in New England Salt Marshes. Estuaries and Coasts 40, 626–639. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-015-9971-1
- Cole Ekberg, M.L., Raposa, K.B., Ferguson, W.S., Ruddock, K., Watson, E.B., 2017. Development and Application of a Method to Identify Salt Marsh Vulnerability to Sea Level Rise. Estuaries and Coasts. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-0219-0
- Crotty, S.M., Angelini, C., Bertness, M.D., 2017. Multiple stressors and the potential for synergistic loss of New England salt marshes. PLoS One. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183058
- Frumhoff, P.C., McCarthy, J.J., Melillo, J.M., Moser, S.C., Wuebbles, D.J., 2007. Confronting Climate Change in the US Northeast: Science, Impacts and Solutions. Synth. Rep. Northeast Clim. Impacts Assess.
- Hanson, A.R., Shriver, W.G., 2006. Hanson, A.R., Shriver, W.G., 2006. Breeding birds of

northeast salt marshes: Habitat use and conservation. *Stud. in Avian Biol.* Conserv. 32, 141–154.

- Hartig, E.K., Kolker, A.S., Gornit, V.C., 2000. Climate change impacts on saltmarsh morphology in Jamaica Bay, New York City. 11th Int. Peat Congr.
- IPCC., 2014. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report. Annex 1 1–85. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.Summary
- Kirwan, M.L., Megonigal, J.P., 2013. Tidal wetland stability in the face of human impacts and sea-level rise. Nature. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12856
- Kutcher, T., 2019. Salt marsh rapid assessment methods, MarshRAM: Analysis and Application. Technical report prepared for the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management and the Rhode Island Coastal Resources Management Council
- Leonard, L.A., Luther, M.E., 1995. Flow hydrodynamics in tidal marsh canopies. Limnol. Oceanogr. 40, 1474–1484. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1995.40.8.1474
- McNeeley, S.M., 2012. Examining barriers and opportunities for sustainable adaptation to climate change in Interior Alaska. Clim. Change. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0158-x
- Narayan, S., Beck, M.W., Wilson, P., Thomas, C.J., Guerrero, A., Shepard, C.C., Reguero, B.G., Franco, G., Ingram, J.C., Trespalacios, D., 2017. The Value of Coastal Wetlands for Flood Damage Reduction in the Northeastern USA. Sci. Rep. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09269-z
- Raposa, K.B., Kutcher, T., Ferguson, W., Cole Ekberg, M., Weber, R.L.J., Chaffee, C., 2016. A strategy for developing a salt marsh monitoring and assessment program for the state of Rhode Island. Prepared by Narragansett Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve and Save the Bay
- Raposa, K.B., McKinney, R.A., Wigand, C., Hollister, J.W., Lovall, C., Szura, K., Gurak, J.A., McNamee, J., Raithel, C., Watson, E.B., 2018. Top-down and bottom-up controls on southern New England salt marsh crab populations. PeerJ. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4876
- Raposa, K.B., Roman, C.T., 2006. Seasonal habitat-use patterns of nekton in a tide-restricted and unrestricted New England salt marsh. Wetlands 21, 451–461. https://doi.org/10.1672/0277-5212(2001)021[0451:shupon]2.0.co;2
- Raposa, K.B., Weber, R.L.J., Ekberg, M.C., Ferguson, W., 2017. Vegetation Dynamics in Rhode Island Salt Marshes During a Period of Accelerating Sea Level Rise and Extreme Sea Level Events. Estuaries and Coasts 40, 640–650. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-015-0018-4
- Redfield, A.C., 1972. Development of a New England Salt Marsh. Ecol. Monogr. 42, 201–237. https://doi.org/10.2307/1942263
- Salafsky, N., Margoluis, R., Redford, K., 2001. Adaptive Management: A tool for conservation practitioners. Washington, D.C.: Biodiversity Support Program. Adaptive Management:

- Sallenger, A.H., Doran, K.S., Howd, P.A., 2012. Hotspot of accelerated sea-level rise on the Atlantic coast of North America. Nat. Clim. Chang. 2, 884–888. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1597
- SLAMM, version 6. 2009. Sea level affecting marshes model. Warren Pinnacle Consulting, Inc. P.O. Box 315, Waitsfield, VT, 05673.
- Smith, E.P., 2014. BACI Design, in: Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat07659
- Stein, B.A., Staudt, A., Cross, M.S., Dubois, N.S., Enquist, C., Griffis, R., Hansen, L.J., Hellmann, J.J., Lawler, J.J., Nelson, E.J., Pairis, A., 2013. Preparing for and managing change: Climate adaptation for biodiversity and ecosystems. Front. Ecol. Environ. https://doi.org/10.1890/120277
- Sutton-Grier, A.E., Wowk, K., Bamford, H., 2015. Future of our coasts: The potential for natural and hybrid infrastructure to enhance the resilience of our coastal communities, economies and ecosystems. Environ. Sci. Policy. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.04.006
- Watson, E.B., Wigand, C., Davey, E.W., Andrews, H.M., Bishop, J., Raposa, K.B., 2017. Wetland Loss Patterns and Inundation-Productivity Relationships Prognosticate Widespread Salt Marsh Loss for Southern New England. Estuaries and Coasts. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-016-0069-1
- Weston, N.B., 2014. Declining Sediments and Rising Seas: An Unfortunate Convergence for Tidal Wetlands. Estuaries and Coasts 37, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-013-9654-8
- Wigand, C., Ardito, T., Chaffee, C., Ferguson, W., Paton, S., Raposa, K., Vandemoer, C., Watson, E., 2017. A Climate Change Adaptation Strategy for Management of Coastal Marsh Systems. Estuaries and Coasts 40, 682–693. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-015-0003-y
- Williams, B.K., 2011. Adaptive management of natural resources-framework and issues. J Environ Manage. 92, 1346-1353

Figure and Table Legend

Figure 1: Describes agencies' roles in the initial assessment and proposal development of the Q-SEAM project

Figure 2. Describes the main goals of the project stakeholders and the derived overall project mission

Figure 3. a. Signage placed at Quonnie restoration site b. Save the Bay designated recreational area for civilians at the Quonnie restoration site. c & d. Quonnie salt marsh planting community event organized by Save the Bay

Stakeholders	Agency Type	Role of Partners
Coastal Resource Management Council (CRMC)	State	Lead and supervisory organization; Responsible for planning and implementation of the project; Performed dredge sediment testing for hazardous material; Applied for funding, permitting; Legal responsibility; Organizer of stakeholder meetings; Executed and managed contracts for construction, planting, adaptive management and monitoring
RI Department of Environmental Management	State	Property owner, manager of public fishing and boating access, permitting entity, provided equipment for post- construction excavation (Office of Mosquito Abatement).
Town of Charlestown	State	Dredge permit applicant, provided non-federal match funding, some technical and conceptual design assistance, coordination with Harbor Master and Police Department re: public safety during construction
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)	Federal	Lead funder from NOAA Coastal Resilience Grants (FFO #: NOAA-NOS-NRPO-2017- 2005159)
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	Federal	Funder; Award from Hurricane Sandy Coastal Resiliency Program (leveraged federal construction funding)
Salt Ponds Coalition	Non- Governmental Watershed	Provided non-federal matching funds, public outreach and education, letters of support for funding application

Table 1. Partners, stakeholders, and decision-makers and their roles for the Quonnie sediment enhancement project

	Organization (NGO)			
J. F. Brennan Company, Inc.	Contractor	Contractor for dredging and placement of material		
Monitoring Partners				
Save The Bay (Narragansett Bay)	Non-profit, NGO	Construction oversite, Vegetation monitoring; Habitat restoration expertise; Volunteer coordination; Planting; Adaptive management in coordination with RIDEM		
Rhode Island Natural History Survey	NGO	Initial MarshRAM site assessment of salt marsh condition (pre-dredge placement); Monitoring of vegetation community recovery and rare plant species		
Environmental Protection Agency, Atlantic Ecology Division	Federal	Soils monitoring; Technical support on salt marsh monitoring and assessment; Consulted through US Army Core of Engineers permit process.		
University of Connecticut's Saltmarsh Habitat and Avian Research Program	Educational Institution	Avian monitoring		
University of Rhode Island Environmental Data Center	Educational Institution	Elevation monitoring; Hydrology monitoring; Acquisition of Unmanned Aerial Systems (drone) imagery; Development of ArcGIS online- based project data portal		

Table 2. Approximate costs for Quonnie sediment enhancement project

Expenditures	Approximate Granted Funds	
Lead Organization Staff Time	\$89,200.00	
Contractual	\$2,091,000	
Engineering and design services	\$116,328	
Monitoring Services	\$85,200	
Supplies and Equipment	\$2,700.00	
Approximate total	\$2,384,428	

Monitoring Metric	Target/ Monitoring Goals
Saltmarsh habitat restored	30 acres
Eelgrass habitat restored	3 acres
Low marsh plant community elevation range	0.15-0.23m (0.5-0.75ft NAVD88)
High marsh plant (Spartina patens, Juncus gerardii, Distichlis spicata) community elevation range	0.23-0.46m (0.75-1.5ft NAVD88)
Iva frutescens community elevation range	0.38-0.53m (1.25-1.75ft NAVD88)
Nekton species	Summer flounder, winter flounder, striped bass, river herring, menhaden, tautog, American eel, bluefish, and scup

 Table 3. Monitoring targets for Quonnie sediment enhancement project

Table 4. Communication tips for working with the town, public, and other stakeholders

- 1. Make clear how the project's goals align with their goals
- 2. Avoid the use of jargon and use terms they are familiar with
- 3. Explain how the project will benefit them. Relate the project to issues they care about.
- 4. When speaking with legislature, highlight how the project will address public health and safety
- 5. Listen to and address concerns. Make their voices and needs heard, which helps to establish trust.
- 6. Engage the community throughout the process with site visits, updates, and town meetings.
- 7. Communicate often with stakeholders and partners with meetings and updates

Agency Issued	Permit
US Army Corps of Engineers	Section 404 Category II General Permit
RI Department of Environmental Management	Dredging Permit (includes Section 401 Water Quality Certification)
Coastal Resource Management Council	Dredging Permit / Coastal Assent
NOAA served as lead federal agency	National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Compliance (includes sign-off from State and Tribal Historic Preservation Officers)

Table 5. Permits needed for the 30-acre Quonnie sediment enhancement dredge project

Table 6. Quonnie and Ninigret timeline of major events and project progression.

August 2011- Salt marsh condition assessment by Save The Bay

September 2012- Meeting with National Park Service about Jamaica Bay Thin

Layer Deposition Project (Big Egg)

2013- Meetings with town, Salt Ponds Coalition and partners; Ninigret funding

proposal development

May 2013- Funding proposal submitted for Ninigret construction, Quonnie design

July 2013- Site visit to Ninigret and Quonochontaug (Quonnie) with partners

January 2014- Regional thin layer deposition meeting on Long Island

October 2014- Ninigret award accepted

August 2015- Ninigret pre-restoration monitoring

September 2015- Ninigret consultant contracted for permitting and design

December 2016- Ninigret project designed, permitted and implemented

2017- Quonnie designs developed; Project team meetings for design review;

Quonnie permit applications developed

July 2017- Applied for NOAA funding for Quonnie construction

November 2017- NOAA funding awarded

2018- Quonnie permits received

June 2018- Request for Proposal (RFP) issued for Quonnie construction work

August 2018- Quonnie pre-restoration monitoring

October 2018- Quonnie contractor hired, contract executed

November 2018- Mobilization of dredging equipment at Quonnie

December 2018- Quonnie dredging and placement

January 2019- Demobilization of dredging equipment at Quonnie; Quonnie Asbuilt surveys

March 2019- Post-construction adaptive management (excavation to ensure

target elevations; drainage establishment)

May 2019- Quonnie planting event

August 2019- Quonnie post-restoration monitoring

Monitoring Activity

Outreach and Coordination Activity

Project Implementation Activity