Biomarkers for CNS injury in CSF are elevated in COVID-19 and associated with neurological symptoms and disease severity

Johan Virhammar^{1*} MD PhD, Anja Nääs² MD, David Fällmar³ MD PhD, Janet L. Cunningham⁴ MD PhD, Andrea Klang⁵ MD, Nicholas J. Ashton^{6, 7, 8, 9} PhD, Sven Jackmann¹ MD PhD, Gabriel Westman² MD PhD, Robert Frithiof¹¹ MD PhD, Kaj Blennow^{6, 10} MD PhD, Henrik Zetterberg^{6,10,12, 13} MD PhD, Eva Kumlien¹† MD PhD, Elham Rostami¹⁴†MD PhD

- 1. Department of Neuroscience, Neurology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
- Department of Medical Sciences, Section of Infectious Diseases, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
- 3. Department of Surgical Sciences, Radiology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
- 4. Department of Neuroscience, Psychiatry, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
- Department of Neuroscience, Rehabilitation Medicine, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
- 6. Department of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry, Institute of Neuroscience & Physiology, the Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Mölndal, Sweden
- Wallenberg Centre for Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
- King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, Maurice Wohl Institute Clinical Neuroscience Institute, London, UK
- 9. NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health and Biomedical Research Unit for
 Dementia at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation, London, UK
- 10. Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Mölndal,
- Department of Surgical Sciences, Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
- 12. Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, UK
- 13. UK Dementia Research Institute at UCL, London, UK
- 14. Department of Neuroscience, Neurosurgery, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

[†]These authors contributed equally to the manuscript

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> <u>10.1111/ENE.14703</u>

*Corresponding author: Johan Virhammar, Department of Neuroscience, Neurology, Uppsala University, Akademiska Sjukhuset, ing 85, 751 85 Uppsala, Sweden (tel.: +46186110000; fax: +46186115027; e-mail: johan.virhammar@neuro.uu.se).

Character count title: 120 Word count abstract: 250 Word count paper: 3013 Number of references: 30 Number of Figures: 2 Number of tables: 4

Search terms: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, NfL, Total Tau, GFAp

Running title: CSF Biomarkers in patients with COVID-19

Study funding: Swedish Research Council and Open Medicine Foundation. SciLife/KWA, HZ is a Wallenberg Scholar. JV is supported by the Swedish Society for Medical Research. ER is a Wallenberg Clinical Fellow. KB is supported by the Swedish Research Council (#2017-00915) and under the agreement between the Swedish government and the County Councils, the ALF agreement (#ALFGBG-715986). RF is supported by the Swedish Research Council (2014-02569 and 2014-07606).

Disclosure: The authors report no disclosures relevant to this study.

Article type : Original Article

8 Abstract

10 Background

Neurological symptoms have been frequently reported in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and biomarkers of CNS injury are reported to be increased in plasma but not extensively studied in CSF. This study examines CSF for biomarkers of CNS injury and other pathology in relation to neurological symptoms and disease severity in patients with neurological manifestations of COVID-19.

16

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

17 Methods

18 Nineteen patients with neurological symptoms and mild to critical COVID-19 were

19 prospectively included. Extensive analysis of CSF, including measurement of biomarkers of

20 CNS injury (neurofilament light chain protein (NfL) glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAp)

21 and total tau) was performed and related to neurological features and disease severity.

22

23 **Results**

24 Neurological symptoms included altered mental status (42%), headache (42%), central (21%)

25 and peripheral weakness (32%). Two patients demonstrated minor pleocytosis and four

26 patients had increased immunoglobulin G levels in CSF. Neuronal autoantibody testing using

- 27 commercial tests was negative in all patients. Increased CSF levels of NfL, GFAp and total-
- 28 tau protein were seen in 63%, 37%, and 16% of patients, respectively. Increased NfL
- 29 correlated with disease severity, time in intensive care and level of consciousness. NfL in
- 30 CSF was higher in patients with central neurological symptoms.
- 31

32 Conclusion

Although limited by small sample size, our data suggest that levels of NfL, GFAp and total
 tau in CSF are commonly elevated in patients with COVID-19 with neurological symptoms.
 This is in contrast to the standard CSF work-up where pathological findings are scarce. NfL
 in particular, is associated with central neurological symptoms and disease severity.

9 Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), is a pandemic caused by the novel severe acute
respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). A significant number of case reports and
case series have described different types of neurological complications in COVID-19 [1-3].
The neurological manifestations are broad and may be caused by a direct effect of the virus
on the nervous system or by a para-infectious or post-infectious immune-mediated
inflammation [4]. However, neurological complications may also be secondary to critical
illness and a long stay in an intensive care unit (ICU).

18

25

5 6

7

8

10

Recently, neurochemical evidence of acute CNS injury in patients with COVID-19 was
shown in the form of increased plasma levels of neurofilament light chain protein (NfL), a
marker of axonal injury, and of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAp), a marker of astrocytic
injury [5, 6]. In this regard, few studies have investigated the CSF in patients with COVID-19
[7, 8], which is less sensitive than plasma to confounding release of neuromarkers, *e.g.*, from
peripheral nerves.

Lumbar puncture (LP) is an important tool to evaluate critically ill patients with neurological
symptoms, as it can reveal both the underlying pathology and the severity of injury to the
nervous system. There are few reports on results from CSF analysis in patients with COVID19 and prospective studies with comprehensive CSF and neurological investigations are rare.
The aim of this study was to describe clinical characteristics in relation to findings in CSF
analyses among patients with COVID-19 and neurological symptoms.

32

33 Methods

2 Patients and study design

3 This was a prospective single-center study. Patients with confirmed COVID-19 and at least

4 one new-onset neurological symptom were included from April until July 2020. Patients had

5 either a positive PCR for SARS-CoV-2 in upper and/or lower airway samples [9, 10] or

6 SARS-CoV-2-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) in serum. Clinical neurological evaluation

7 was performed by experienced neurologists. The following findings were documented:

8 cranial nerve affection, central and peripheral paralysis, extrapyramidal, cerebellar and

9 sensory symptoms and altered mental status including confusion, encephalopathy and

10 reduced level of consciousness graded with the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS). The findings

11 were documented at the worst time point during the disease before the LP and at the time of

12 the LP. Patients with $GCS \le 12$ or a central paralysis at any time before the LP were

13 categorized as patients with central neurological symptom.

14 Included patients were investigated with LP if this was required as part of their routine

15 evaluation. In patients without strong indication for LP, the procedure was optional. The NIH

16 criteria for COVID-19 severity grading were used to classify patients as mild, moderate,

17 severe or critical [11]. As a measure of respiratory status, the lowest PaO₂/FiO₂-ratio at any

18 time before the LP were documented for patients treated in the ICU.

19

1

20 Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consent

The study was approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (2020-01883). Informed
consent was obtained from each patient, or next-of-kin if a patient was unable give consent.
The Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent revisions were observed.

24

25 Biomarker analyses

SARS-CoV-2 PCR was performed on upper and/or lower airway samples using either the
Abbott RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay on the Abbott m2000 platform, or an in-house PCR
developed at the Laboratory of Clinical Microbiology, Uppsala University Hospital. SARSCoV-2 IgG antibodies were analyzed using the CE-labelled SARS-CoV-2 IgG kit with
nucleoprotein-based antigen on the Architect i2000SR Analyser (Abbott, Abbott Park, IL,
USA) at the Laboratory of Clinical Microbiology, Uppsala University Hospital, as previously

32 described [12].

All routine plasma and CSF analyses including interleukin-6 (IL-6) were performed at the
 Clinical Chemistry Laboratory at Uppsala University Hospital and analyses of NfL, T-tau,
 and GFAp at the Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory at the Sahlgrenska University Hospital.
 Analyses were performed by board-certified laboratory technicians blinded to clinical data.

- 5 CSF NfL and GFAp concentrations were measured using in-house enzyme-linked
- 6 immunosorbent assays, as previously described in detail [13, 14]. CSF total tau (T-tau)
- 7 concentration was measured using Lumipulse technology in accordance with the kit insert
- 8 from the manufacturer (Fujirebio, Ghent, Belgium).
- 9 Plasma NfL, GFAp and T-tau measurements were performed using single molecule array
- 10 (Simoa) assays on an HD-X Analyzer (Human Neurology 4-Plex A assay, N4PA advantage
- 11 kit, 102153), as previously described [5]. A single batch of reagents was used; intra-assay
- 12 coefficients of variation were < 8% for all analytes.
- 13 Autoantibodies in CSF and serum (NMDAR, LGI1, CASPR2, GABA_{B1}R, GABA_{B2}R,
- 14 AMPA1, AMPA2, Ri, Yo, Ma2, CV2, Hu, and amphiphysin) were analyzed using a
- 15 Commercial assay (Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany).
- 16

17 Statistics

18 Data are presented as median (IQR) or n (%). The Mann-Whitney U test was used for
19 comparing continuous parameters between groups. Correlations between clinical parameters
20 and CSF findings were tested using Spearman's rank correlation. In the figures, the
21 biomarker data have been log-transformed to achieve near-normal distribution. A p-value of
22 < 0.05 was considered significant. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version
23 27 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

24

25 Data availability

- 26 Anonymized data from the present study can be made available to researchers with well-
- 27 designed and defined research questions after contact with the corresponding author.
- 28

29 **Results**

- 30 Covid-19 was confirmed in 32 patients through positive PCR for SARS-CoV-2 in upper
- 31 and/or lower airway samples and in one patient with IgG for SARS-CoV-2 in serum. Twelve

patients had contraindications for LP (all related to high doses of low molecular weight
 heparin or oral anticoagulants) and one patient declined the investigation. Therefore, LPs
 could be performed in 20 out of 33 patients. One patient suffered from a small traumatic
 subarachnoid hemorrhage and a skull fracture after a head trauma two days before the LP and
 was excluded. The remaining 19 patients were the main focus of this study. The median time
 between onset of symptoms and LP was 23 days (IQR: 6–43). Descriptive data are presented
 in Table 1 and detailed characteristics of each case are given in Table 2.

9 The most common neurological symptoms at the time of LP were altered mental status (n = 10 8, 42%) and headache (n = 8, 42%), followed by and peripheral weakness (n = 6, 32%) and 11 anosmia (n = 5, 26%). All neurological symptoms and respiratory support are presented in 12 Table 3.

13

8

14 CSF findings

PCR for SARS-CoV-2 was positive in one patient (5%) and there was a pleocytosis in two 15 patients (11%). CSF albumin level was increased in one patient (5%), denoting disruption of 16 17 the blood-CSF barrier. Four patients (21%) had elevated intrathecal IgG levels, with normal 18 blood IgG levels and one patient (5%) had CSF-specific oligoclonal IgG bands, denoting 19 intrathecal IgG production. Test for autoimmune encephalitis antibodies was negative in CSF 20 (and serum) of all patients. A majority of patients had increased IL-6 levels (> 0.05 ng/mL), 21 but values were below 20 ng/mL in most cases (14 out of 17 tested, 82%). NfL was above the 22 age-adjusted reference range in 63% of patients, while the corresponding figure for T-tau was 23 37% and that for GFAp was 16%; for details, see Tables 2 and 4.

24

There was a correlation between number of days in the ICU and NfL (r = 0.72, p < 0.001) but not for T-tau and GFAp, Fig. 1A. NfL correlated with level of consciousness on the GCS at time of LP (r = -0.55, p < 0.05), and worst GCS before LP (r = -0.64, p < 0.01), Fig. 1B. Ttau and GFAp did not correlate with GCS at time of LP. Worst GCS correlated with T-tau (r= -0.50, p < 0.05) and GFAp (r = -0.48, p < 0.05). None of the markers of neuronal injury correlated with time between symptom onset and LP.

31

32 NfL were higher in patients with central neurological symptoms (GCS \leq 12 or central

33 weakness, n = 10), 3250 ng/L (IQR = 1940–5490) compared to patients with other

neurological symptoms, 950 ng/L (IQR = 405-1783, p < 0.05), Fig. 2. NfL correlated with

COVID-19 severity grade (r = 0.56, p < 0.05) and were higher in patients with severe or
 critical COVID-19 (2610 ng/L (IQR = 1280–4705)) compared with mild and moderate
 disease (420 (IQR = 385–1640, p < 0.05)). The PaO₂/FiO₂-ratio did not correlate with any
 marker of neuronal injury. IL6 did not correlate with disease severity.

If two patients with concomitant diseases with possible effect on the markers of neuronal
injury were excluded (one with epilepsy and one with cardiac arrest and resuscitation during
ICU-care), all results reported above were still significant except for the correlation between
T-tau and GFAp with worst GCS.

10

5

11 Correlation between CSF and plasma biomarkers

12 In 11 patients, plasma samples were analyzed for NfL, T-tau and GFAp in plasma. There was 13 a strong correlation between CSF and plasma levels for both NfL (r = 0.98, p < 0.001) and 14 GFAp (r = 0.97, p < 0.001). For T-tau, no correlation could be demonstrated.

15

16 Neuroimaging

A head CT scan or MRI was performed in 17 patients as part of the clinical work-up. No
pathological findings could be detected in 8 (47%) patients. A more detailed description of
the pathological findings is presented in Table 2.

20

21 **Discussion**

In this prospective study, we present data on biochemical, inflammatory, and neuronal injury
biomarkers in the CSF and plasma of patients with COVID-19 and neurological symptoms.
The main finding is that a majority of patients had a negative standard CSF work-up, while
markers of neuronal injury were increased.

26

27 Even though the neurological symptoms were severe in some of the patients, the standard

- 28 CSF work-up tended to be negative in a majority of patients and no specific pattern for
- 29 COVID-19 could be identified. Only a few cases had mild pleocytosis and increased IgG in
- 30 CSF and one patient had oligoclonal bands, which is in line with recent reports [15-18].
- 31
- 32 Animal models in mice of coronaviruses suggest that viral entry into the CNS can occur [19,
- 33 20]. SARS-CoV-2 is known to have a neuro-invasive propensity and there are case reports

1 with RNA detection in CSF that indicate a direct invasion of the virus into the CNS [21, 22]. 2 In our study, we could detect viral RNA in only one patient, which is in parity with recent 3 reports [8, 22]. The low numbers with detected viral RNA in CSF, in addition to the few 4 findings of inflammatory signs, may suggest that direct CNS invasion is not the main 5 pathogenic mechanism of neurological effects of COVID-19, or at least not for a majority of 6 patients. However, methods such as immunohistochemistry or analysis for detection of spike 7 proteins in CSF might reveal components of autoimmunity and/or CNS invasion which are 8 not possible to detected with the methods we used. The divergent neuroimaging findings does 9 not indicate a common mechanism of neuronal injury related to COVID-19.

10

11 A substantial proportion of the patients had increased CSF levels of the neuronal injury 12 markers NfL (63%) and T-tau (37%) and, to a lesser extent, the glial activation marker GFAp 13 (16%). Increased levels of NfL and GFAp in plasma have recently been reported in 14 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 and in a group of patients with mild to moderate 15 disease. [5, 6]. NfL were higher in patients with central neurological symptom and correlated with disease severity, level of consciousness and time at ICU. However, NfL did not correlate 16 17 with lowest PaO₂/FiO₂-ratio at time in ICU before the LP, indicating that the increased levels 18 of NFL was not only directly attributable to severity of respiratory deficit

19

20 In the absence of direct findings of viral meningitis or encephalitis in the vast majority of 21 patients with COVID-19, the mechanism of the brain injury implied by increased NfL and T-22 tau remains to be elucidated. Increased plasma and CSF levels of NfL have previously been 23 shown in patients with sepsis-associated encephalopathy [23]. Surgery and anesthesia may 24 cause increased plasma levels of both NfL and T-tau [24]. No patient in the study underwent 25 surgery the weeks before inclusion and only three patients were anesthetized and treated with 26 invasive ventilation at the time of LP but 10 patients at some point before the LP. However, 27 respiratory dysfunction as measured by the lowest PaO₂/FiO₂-ratio during invasive ventilation before the LP did not correlate with any of the markers of neuronal injury. Other 28 29 confounders such as co-morbidity may also be an issue. One patient had epilepsy and autism 30 but continuous EEG did not reveal seizure activity at the time of the study. Another patient 31 suffered from a short cardiac arrest in the ICU (33 days before LP) but were resuscitated 32 within 60 seconds. Exclusion of these two patients from statistical analysis did not alter any 33 of the main results or conclusions.

Previous studies on herpes encephalitis (HSE) have shown that NfL levels often far more
elevated than what is seen in this study [25]. Further, the time-series data recently published
by Westman et. al [26] illustrate that the kinetics of NfL after acute infectious encephalitis is
relatively slow with a peak approximately one month after onset of disease. This means that
timing of the CSF sampling in relation to onset of disease (as well as in relation to ICU care
and other confounders) is an important covariate when assessing NfL levels.

9 We found a strong correlation between plasma and CSF levels of NfL and GFAp, suggesting 10 that plasma levels of these biomarkers parallel CSF levels in patients with COVID-19. The 11 strong correlation indicates a steady-state passage across the blood-CSF barrier irrespective 12 of disease severity, and is consistent with a negligible barrier injury. This is further supported 13 by the findings that only one patient had increased albumin in CSF. This is in contrast to in 14 other viral meningitis or encephalitis, where differences in disease severity cause the level of 15 barrier injury to vary between patients [27].

16

1

8

The raised levels of T-tau in CSF and plasma have only rarely been explored in relation to
COVID-19 [8]. Since T-tau indicate cortical neuronal injury [28] the increase suggests
ongoing neuronal damage in some of our patients. We found no correlation between T-tau in
CSF and plasma, and in other studies the correlation have varied depending on the underlying
neurological disorder [28].

22

IL-6 levels are commonly analyzed in CSF from patients with COVID-19. In line with earlier
findings, a minority (18%) of our patients displayed values above 20 ng/ml in CSF. In
addition, IL-6 could neither discriminate between mild/moderate and severe/critical COVID19, nor was there any correlation between plasma and CSF IL-6 levels. Measurement of IL-6
in CSF therefore appears to be of limited value when assessing neurological damage in
patients with COVID-19.

29

Our study has several limitations. It included a small number of patients and since the
 inclusion was not consecutive, some inclusion bias is possible. All care units in the study
 hospital treating COVID-19 patients were screened at least once every week for patients who
 fulfilled inclusion criteria. Even so, some patients had been discharged before they could be
 included causing a selection bias. Approximately 470 patients with COVID-19 were treated

1 at the hospital of the study during the time of inclusion. Thirteen of the included patients did 2 not undergo LP thereby making the cohort less representative for the COVID-19 disease. 3 Importantly, the study was not designed to assess incidence or prevalence of neurological 4 symptoms related to COVID-19 – but rather to select patients with whom we had optimal 5 access to information on the clinical course and were able to perform LP. Still, not all 6 patients had the exact same set of investigations performed due to the clinical situation. 7 Furthermore, the effective half-life is reported to be 12–24 hours for GFAp, about 10 hours 8 for T-tau but several weeks for NfL and patients were included at different timepoints along 9 the disease trajectory, which may have affected the results [29, 30]. The study is cross-10 sectional without longitudinal follow-up data from CSF, final neurological diagnosis and 11 outcome.

12

In conclusion, our results show that the standard CSF work-up is normal in a majority of patients with COVID-19 and new onset neurological symptoms. CSF biomarkers related to CNS injury are increased indicating COVID-19-related brain damage. NfL in particular is indicative of disease severity and may be a valuable tool for monitoring neuro-protective effects of new therapies. Future studies in larger samples are needed to explore and understand the genesis of neurological injury in COVID-19 patients.

19

20

Disclosure: The authors report no disclosures relevant to this study.

21

22 **Study funding:** Swedish Research Council and Open Medicine Foundation.

23 SciLife/KWA, HZ is a Wallenberg Scholar. JV is supported by the Swedish Society for

24 Medical Research. ER is a Wallenberg Clinical Fellow. KB is supported by the Swedish

25 Research Council (#2017-00915) and under the agreement between the Swedish government

and the County Councils, the ALF agreement (#ALFGBG-715986). RF is supported by the

- 27 Swedish Research Council (2014-02569 and 2014-07606).
- 28 29

30 **References**

Filatov A SP, Hindi F, Espinosa PS. Neurological Complications of Coronavirus
Disease (COVID-19): Encephalopathy. *Cureus* 2020 12: e7352.

[2]. Ellul MA, Benjamin L, Singh B, et al. Neurological associations of COVID-19.
 Lancet Neurol. 2020 19: 767-783.

3 [3]. Virhammar J, Kumlien E, Fällmar D, *et al.* Acute necrotizing encephalopathy
4 with SARS-CoV-2 RNA confirmed in cerebrospinal fluid. *Neurology*. 2020:

5 10.1212/WNL.000000000010250.

6 [4]. Nordvig AS, Rimmer KT, Willey JZ, *et al.* Potential neurological manifestations
7 of COVID-19. *Neurology: Clinical Practice*. 2020: 10.1212/CPJ.0000000000897.

8 [5]. Kanberg N, Ashton NJ, Andersson L-M, *et al.* Neurochemical evidence of

9 astrocytic and neuronal injury commonly found in COVID-19. *Neurology*. 2020:

10 10.1212/WNL.000000000010111.

Ameres M, Brandstetter S, Toncheva AA, *et al.* Association of neuronal injury
 blood marker neurofilament light chain with mild-to-moderate COVID-19. *J Neurol.* 2020.

Edén A, Kanberg N, Gostner J, *et al.* CSF biomarkers in patients with COVID-19
 and neurological symptoms: A case series. *Neurology*. 2020.

15 [8]. Espíndola OM, Brandão CO, Gomes YCP, *et al.* Cerebrospinal fluid findings in
16 neurological diseases associated with COVID-19 and insights into mechanisms of disease
17 development. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2020.

18 [9]. Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, *et al.* Neurologic Manifestations of Hospitalized Patients
19 With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Neurol.* 2020.

20 [10]. Rogers JP, Chesney E, Oliver D, et al. Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric

21 presentations associated with severe coronavirus infections: a systematic review and meta-

22 analysis with comparison to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020 **7**: 611-627.

23 [11]. COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)

24 Treatment Guidelines. National Institutes of Health. Available

25 at https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/. Accessed Oct 22, 2020.

26 [12]. Lidström A-K, Sund F, Albinsson B, Lindbäck J, Westman G. Work at inpatient

27 care units is associated with an increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection; a cross-sectional

study of 8679 healthcare workers in Sweden. Upsala Journal of Medical Sciences. 2020: 1-6.

29 [13]. Gaetani L, Hoglund K, Parnetti L, *et al.* A new enzyme-linked immunosorbent

30 assay for neurofilament light in cerebrospinal fluid: analytical validation and clinical

31 evaluation. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2018 **10**: 8.

[14]. Rosengren LE, Ahlsen G, Belfrage M, Gillberg C, Haglid KG, Hamberger A. A
 sensitive ELISA for glial fibrillary acidic protein: application in CSF of children. *J Neurosci Methods*. 1992 44: 113-119.

4 [15]. Chougar L, Shor N, Weiss N, *et al.* Retrospective Observational Study of Brain
5 Magnetic Resonance Imaging Findings in Patients with Acute SARS-CoV-2 Infection and
6 Neurological Manifestations. *Radiology*. 2020: 202422.

7 [16]. Helms J, Kremer S, Merdji H, *et al.* Neurologic Features in Severe SARS-CoV-2
8 Infection. *N Engl J Med.* 2020.

9 [17]. Senel M, Abu-Rumeileh S, Michel D, *et al.* Miller-Fisher syndrome after COVID10 19: neurochemical markers as an early sign of nervous system involvement. *Eur J Neurol*.
11 2020.

12 [18]. Bellon M, Schweblin C, Lambeng N, *et al.* Cerebrospinal fluid features in SARS13 CoV-2 RT-PCR positive patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020.

14 [19]. Netland J, Meyerholz DK, Moore S, Cassell M, Perlman S. Severe acute

15 respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection causes neuronal death in the absence of

16 encephalitis in mice transgenic for human ACE2. *J Virol*. 2008 82: 7264-7275.

17 [20]. Li K, Wohlford-Lenane C, Perlman S, *et al.* Middle East Respiratory Syndrome
18 Coronavirus Causes Multiple Organ Damage and Lethal Disease in Mice Transgenic for

19 Human Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4. J Infect Dis. 2016 213: 712-722.

20 [21]. Moriguchi T, Harii N, Goto J, *et al.* A first case of meningitis/encephalitis 21 associated with SARS-Coronavirus-2. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2020 **94:** 55-58.

22 [22]. Helms J, Kremer S, Merdji H, *et al.* Delirium and encephalopathy in severe
23 COVID-19: a cohort analysis of ICU patients. *Critical Care*. 2020 24: 491.

Ehler J, Petzold A, Wittstock M, *et al.* The prognostic value of neurofilament
levels in patients with sepsis-associated encephalopathy - A prospective, pilot observational
study. *PLoS One.* 2019 14: e0211184.

[24]. Evered L, Silbert B, Scott DA, Zetterberg H, Blennow K. Association of Changes
in Plasma Neurofilament Light and Tau Levels With Anesthesia and Surgery: Results From
the CAPACITY and ARCADIAN Studies. *JAMA Neurol.* 2018 **75:** 542-547.

30 [25]. Studahl M, Rosengren L, Günther G, Hagberg L. Difference in pathogenesis

31 between herpes simplex virus type 1 encephalitis and tick-borne encephalitis demonstrated

	1	by means of	f cerebrospinal fluid markers of glial and neuronal destruction. J Neurol. 2000
	2	247: 636-64	2.
-	3	[26].	Westman G, Aurelius E, Ahlm C, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of brain
	4	injury, inflar	mmation and synaptic autoimmunity predict long-term neurocognitive outcome
	5	in herpes si	mplex encephalitis. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020.
	6	[27].	Salimi H, Klein RS. Disruption of the Blood-Brain Barrier During
	7	Neuroinflan	nmatory and Neuroinfectious Diseases. Neuroimmune Diseases: From Cells to
	8	the Living B	rain. 2019: 195-234.
	9	[28].	Zetterberg H. Review: Tau in biofluids - relation to pathology, imaging and
	10	clinical feat	ures. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 2017 43: 194-199.
	11	[29].	Hepner A, Porter J, Hare F, et al. Serum Neurofilament Light, Glial Fibrillary
	12	Acidic Prote	in and Tau Are Possible Serum Biomarkers for Activity of Brain Metastases and
	13	Gliomas. Wo	orld J Oncol. 2019 10: 169-175.
	14	[30].	Thelin EP, Zeiler FA, Ercole A, et al. Serial Sampling of Serum Protein
	15	Biomarkers	for Monitoring Human Traumatic Brain Injury Dynamics: A Systematic Review.
	16	Front Neuro	bl. 2017 8: 300.
	17		
	18 19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
Ì	23 24		
	25		
	26		
	27 28		
	20		
	30		
	31		
	32 33		
	34	7	
	35		
	36		

 Table 1. Patient characteristics.

	Patients with LP, n = 19
Age, median (range), years	64 (34–76)
ICU days, median (IQR)	8 (0–25)
NIH severity: n (%)	
Mild Covid-19	2 (11)
Moderate Covid-19	4 (21)
Severe Covid-19	4 (21)
Critical Covid-19	9 (47)
Comorbidity: n (%)	
Diabetes mellitus	2 (11)
Obesity	9 (47)
Hypertension	8 (42)
Smoking	4 (21)
Cardiac disease	2 (11)
Chronic lung disease	4 (21)
Cerebrovascular disease	1 (5)
Chronic kidney disease	1 (5)
Immunosuppression	2 (11)

LP, lumbar puncture; ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range.

Age	LP at	ICU	NIH-	Respiration *	Primary neurological	Neuroimaging	WBC	NfL	Tau	GFAp	IL-6
(years)	COVID	No.	score		symptom*		(10 ⁶ /L)	(ng/L)	(ng/L)	(ng/L)	(ng/L)
	day	days									
49	30	39	Critical	Invasive vent	Central & peripheral weakn	See A) below	0	219000	11900	4200	35
55§	18	25	Severe	Invasive vent	Central weakness	ANE WMC	3	32800	2900	3800	19
64	43	42	Critical	Invasive vent	Central & peripheral weakn	See B) below	8	5490	333	760	8
67	73	20	Critical	Invasive vent	Altered mental status	Negative CT	0	3920	249	2900	6
75	29	10	Critical	HFNO	Altered mental status	Negative CT	1	3710	794	333	11
73	22	27	Critical	Invasive vent	Peripheral weakness	Microbleed WMC	1	3250	397	500	11
64	23	4	Severe	HFNO	Altered mental status	See C) below	1	2610	546	840	54
74	44	29	Critical	Invasive vent	Central & peripheral weakn	Infarcts & hemorrhages	0	2210	229	700	7
76	33	16	Critical	Invasive vent	Central & peripheral weakn	Unspecific WMC	3	1940	449	300	10
75	5	0	Moderate	Air	Confusion	N/A	3	1860	486	620	8
71	4	0	Severe	HFNO	Altered mental status	Negative CT	13	1550	602	810	11
71	4	0	Moderate	Air	Altered mental status	Unspecific WMC	0	1420	771	710	6
39	37	21	Critical	Invasive vent	Peripheral weakness	Negative CE-MRI	4	1010		220	6
34	78	8	Critical	Invasive vent	Cranial nerve affection	Negative CE-MRI	2	890	307	530	5
39	12	0	Mild	Air	Headache	N/A	0	420	150	190	15
47	75	0	Moderate	Air	Headache	Unspecific WMC†	0	400	168	360	4
41	6	0	Severe	HFNO	Headache	Negative CE-CT	1	370	244	250	
40	15	5	Moderate	Invasive vent	Central weakn	Negative CE-MRI	31‡	370	150	250	102
54	4	0	Mild	Air	Sensory symptoms	Negative CT	1				

Table 2. Characteristics, neuroimaging findings, and biomarkers in CSF in each case.

LP, lumbar puncture; ICU, intensive care unit; WBC, white blood cell count; NfL, neurofilament light protein; GFAp, glial fibrillary acidic protein; IL, interleukin; O₂, oxygen with nasal cannula or mask; HFNO, high-flow oxygen; ANE, acute necrotizing encephalopathy; WMC, white matter change; CE, contrast-enhanced; N/A, not available; vent., ventilator; weakn., weakness.

Reference ranges: NfL: age 30–40 years, < 560 ng/L; age 40–60 years, < 890 ng/L; age > 60 years, < 1,850 ng/L; Tau: age < 50 years, < 360 ng/L; age > 50 years, < 479 ng/L; GFAp: age 20–60 years, < 750 ng/L, age > 60 years, < 1,250 ng/L; WBC: < $5 \ 10^{6}$ /L.

Bold numbers are values above reference range.

Specific imaging findings: A) Cortical and subcortical infarcts with contrast enhancement and hemorrhagic components; B) Numerous punctate findings in deep white matter on susceptibility weighted imaging, representing microbleeds or microthrombosis; C) Focal cortical diffusion restriction attributable to epileptic seizures, and faint meningeal enhancement after LP.

* = Neurological findings and highest respiratory support during the patient's disease course before the lumbar punctures

 \dagger = Imaging was performed several weeks after hospitalization.

 \ddagger = Traumatic lumbar puncture with 19 000 10⁶/L erythrocytes. Not considered as a pleocytosis.

§ = Patient published as a case report (ref 3)

Respiratory support, n (%)	At time of LP	Most severe before LP*
None	8 (42)	4 (21)
O_2	5 (26)	1 (5)
HFNO	3 (16)	4 (21)
Invasive ventilation	3 (16)	10 (53)
Neurological symptoms, n (%)		
Cranial nerve affection	2 (11)	4 (21)
Central paralysis	4 (21)	6 (32)
Peripheral paralysis	6 (32)	6 (32)
Extrapyramidal symptoms	0 (0)	0 (0)
Cerebellar symptoms/ataxia	1 (5)	0 (0)
Sensory symptoms	2 (11)	4 (21)
Altered mental status	8 (42)	13 (68)
Headache	8 (42)	
Vertigo	4 (21)	
Anosmia	5 (26)	
GCS, median (IQR)	14 (8–15)	13 (7–15)

Table 3. Respiratory support and neurological symptoms among the 19 patients investigated with LPs.

LP, lumbar puncture; O₂, oxygen with nasal cannula or mask; HFNO, high-flow oxygen; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; IQR, interquartile range.

* = Highest respiratory support or most severe neurological symptom during the patient's disease course before the lumbar puncture.

Table 4. Laboratory findings.

		Above reference range n (%)					
CSF (n = 19)							
WBC (n = 19), $10^6/L$	1 (0–3)	3 (15)					
Albumin (n = 19), mg/L	206 (175–285)	1 (5)					
IgG (n = 17), mg/L	34 (27–57.5)	4 (21)					
T-tau (n = 17), ng/L	397 (237–687)	7 (37)					
GFAp (n = 18), ng/L	660 (288–930)	3 (16)					
NfL (n = 18), ng/L	1,900 (773–3,763)	12 (63)					
IL-6 (n = 17), ng/L	9.6 (6.3–17.2)	†					

Autoantibodies (n = 18), n	0	0 (0)	
OCB (n =18), n	1	1 (5)	
Blood			
GFAp (n = 10), pg/L	152 (97–384)	†	
NfL (n = 11), pg/L	42 (11–103)	6 (55)	
T-tau (n = 10), pg/L	2.2 (0.9–3.3)	†	
IL-6 (n = 16), ng/L	17.8 (4.7–31.8)	†	
CRP (n = 16), mg/L	64 (8–1114)	13 (81)	
WBC (n = 16), $10^9/L$	7.7 (4.7–11.4)	6 (38)	
Autoantibodies (n = 14), n	0	0 (0)	

CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; WBC, white blood cell count; NfL, neurofilament light protein; GFAp, glial fibrillary acidic protein; IL, interleukin; OCB, oligoclonal bands; CRP, C-reactive protein.

Continuous variables are presented as median (interquartile range); categorical variables as number (%).

Reference ranges – CSF-WBC: $< 5\ 10^6$ /L; Albumin: age $< 45\ years$, $< 320\ mg/L$, age $> 45\ years$, $< 420\ mg/L$; IgG: $< 56\ mg/L$; CSF-NfL: age 30–40 years, $< 560\ ng/L$, age 40–60 years, $< 890\ ng/L$, age $> 60\ years$, $< 1,850\ ng/L$; CSF-Tau: age $< 50\ years$, $< 360\ ng/L$, age $> 50\ years$, $< 479\ ng/L$; CSF-GFAp:, age 20–60 years, $< 750\ ng/L$, age $> 60\ years$, $< 1,250\ ng/L$; plasma-NfL: age $< 61\ years$, $< 20\ ng/L$, age $61-76\ years$, $< 35\ ng/L$, age $> 76\ years$, $< 55\ ng/L$; CRP: $< 5\ mg/L$; WBC: $< 5\ 10^9$ /L.

† Reference range not established.

Figure legends

Figure 1.

Correlation between neurofilament light protein (NfL) in cerebrospinal fluid and (A) number of days in intensive care unit (ICU), and (B) worst Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) before the lumbar puncture.

Figure 2.

The levels of NfL in CSF given as logarithmic data, in patients with central neurological symptoms and other neurological symptoms.



