

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

# Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Compression experiments to 126 GPa and 2500 K and thermal equation of state of Fe3S: Implications for sulphur in the Earth's core

#### Citation for published version:

Thompson, S, Komabayashi, T, Breton, H, Suehiro, S, Glazyrin, K, Pakhomova, A & Ohishi, Y 2020, 'Compression experiments to 126 GPa and 2500 K and thermal equation of state of Fe3S: Implications for sulphur in the Earth's core', *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, vol. 534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116080

#### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116080

#### Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

Published In: Earth and Planetary Science Letters

#### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



#### Compression experiments to 126 GPa and 2500 K and thermal equation of state of

#### Fe<sub>3</sub>S: Implications for sulphur in the Earth's core

Samuel Thompson<sup>1</sup>, Tetsuya Komabayashi<sup>1</sup>, Helene Breton<sup>1</sup>, Sho Suehiro<sup>2</sup>, Konstantin Glazyrin<sup>3</sup>, Anna Pakhomova<sup>3</sup>, and Yasuo Ohishi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of GeoSciences and Centre for Science at Extreme Conditions, University of Edinburgh EH9 3FE, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Photon Science, Notkestrasse 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

<sup>4</sup>SPring-8, Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute, 1-1-1 Kouto, Sayo-cho, Sayo-gun, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan

\*corresponding author: Samuel Thompson

E-mail: s1335012@sms.ed.ac.uk

#### 1 Abstract

Pressure-volume-temperature (P-V-T) experiments on Fe<sub>3</sub>S were conducted to 126 2 GPa and 2500 K in laser-heated diamond anvil cells (DAC) with in-situ X-ray diffraction 3 (XRD). Seventy nine high-T data as well as four 300-K data were collected, based on which 4 new thermal equations of state (EoS) for  $Fe_3S$  were established. The room-T data together 5 with existing data were fitted to the third order Birch-Murnaghan EoS, which yielded,  $K_0 =$ 6  $126 \pm 2$  GPa and  $K' = 5.1 \pm 1$  with  $V_0$  fixed at 377.0 Å<sup>3</sup>. A constant  $\alpha K_T$  term in the thermal 7 8 pressure equation,  $Pth = \alpha K_T(T-300K)$ , fitted the high-T data well to the highest temperature, which implies that the contributions from the anharmonic and electronic terms should be 9 10 minor in the thermal pressure term. The high-T data were also fitted to the Mie-Grüneisen-Debye model;  $\gamma_0 = 1.01 \pm 0.03$  with  $\theta_0$  and q fixed at 417 K and 1 respectively. Calculations 11 from the EoS show that crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S at 4000-5500 K is denser than the Earth's outer core 12 13 and less dense than the inner core. Assuming a density reduction due to melting, liquid Fe<sub>3</sub>S would meet the outer core density profile, which however suggests that no less than 16 wt%S 14 is needed to reconcile the observed outer core density deficit. The S-rich B2 phase, which 15 was suggested to be a potential liquidus phase of an Fe<sub>3</sub>S-outer core above 250 GPa, namely 16 the main constituent of its solid inner core, would likely be less dense than the Earth's inner 17 core. As such, while the outer core density requires as much sulphur as 16 wt%, the resulting 18 liquidus phase cannot meet the density of the inner core. Any sulphur-rich composition 19 should therefore be rejected for the Earth's core. 20

21

*Key words*: Earth's core, Fe-S alloys, equation of state, high-pressure, diamond anvil cell, insitu XRD

24

26 Seismological observations of the Earth's interior indicate that the Earth's core is composed of a solid inner core and liquid outer core. The major component of the cores is 27 believed to be iron while a 4-7 % density deficit is observed compared to pure iron at the 28 relevant pressure (P) and temperature (T) conditions (Birch, 1952; Komabayashi, 2014). This 29 density deficit has been associated with the presence of light elements in the core (Poirier, 30 1994). Over 60 years since the first proposition by Birch (1952), the light element in the core 31 is yet to be defined. Estimates of core composition have been made through study of iron 32 meteorites (Goldstein et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2006), which are thought to be 33 representative of the cores of small terrestrial bodies. Geochemical estimates were also made 34 through study of mantle-derived samples and chondritic meteorites (McDonough and Sun, 35 1995; Palme and O'Neill, 2003), which provided information on the relative depletion of 36 37 elements in the mantle versus solar abundances. In all lines of study, a small number of candidate elements have been identified: Si, S, O, C, and H (Hirose et al., 2013; Poirier, 38 1994). Of these candidate elements, sulphur has been most extensively studied (Hirose et al., 39 2013); the system Fe-S is currently of significant interest (Campbell et al., 2007; Ozawa et al., 40 2013; Mori et al., 2017; Tateno et al., 2019a). Nevertheless, recent core formation models 41 included silicon and oxygen as the major light elements in the core as a consequence of 42 metal-silicate partitioning of elements, leaving little room for other light elements, i.e., 43 sulphur, carbon, and hydrogen (Wade and Wood, 2005; Siebert et al., 2013). Under 44 equilibrium core formation processes, sulphur might be partitioned to the core, but the 45 resulting amount in the core is expected to be no more than 2 wt.% (e.g., Boujibar et al., 46 2014). This implies that the core formation should have been processed under disequilibrium 47 conditions if there is a larger amount of sulphur in the core. 48

The presence of sulphur in the core needs to be tested by Mineral Physics which 49 includes establishing phase diagrams of relevant systems and measuring physical properties 50 of candidate phases such as equation of state (EoS). The Fe-S system has shown a series of 51 52 phase changes at relatively low pressures (Fei et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2008). Above 21 GPa the sulphide phase stable with Fe under subsolidus condition is Fe<sub>3</sub>S which takes a tetragonal 53 system (Fei et al, 2000) forming a simple eutectic system. The eutectic system between Fe 54 and Fe<sub>3</sub>S was recently examined under pressure by Mori et al. (2017), which confirmed the 55 stability of Fe<sub>3</sub>S to 250 GPa. As such the Fe<sub>3</sub>S phase is stable over 200 GPa and constraining 56 57 its physical properties provides vital information about a hypothetical sulphur-bearing core. The pressure-volume-temperature (P-V-T) or thermal EoS is a fundamental property when 58 one considers the density profile of a core. In the present study, we focus on the P-V-T EoS of 59 60 crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S. The established EoS will also be of use to predict the nature of a breakdown reaction of Fe<sub>3</sub>S at pressures above 250 GPa where tetragonal Fe<sub>3</sub>S decomposes into an Fe-61 rich hexagonal close-packed (hcp) and S-rich cubic B2 phases (Ozawa et al. 2013). The 62 63 breakdown of Fe<sub>3</sub>S should change the eutectic relationship of the system Fe-S and the nature of the S-rich B2 phase needs to be clarified. The thermal EoS of Fe<sub>3</sub>S will place tight 64 constraints on the volume of the B2 phase by examining the volume change of the reaction. 65 Moreover, a high-temperature compression curve of a solid phase can be the reference for 66 estimating the properties of the counterpart liquid phase (Komabavashi, 2014). The first 67 principles calculations predicted the density and velocity for Fe-S liquids under core 68 pressures (Badro et al., 2014; Umemoto et al., 2014), which need to be tested by another 69 approach. We will estimate the density of liquid Fe<sub>3</sub>S under core pressures from the 70 constructed EoS for solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S. 71

The latest 300-K EoS for solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S was reported by Kamada et al. (2014) based on their compression data up to 200 GPa. In order to extrapolate their EoS to high temperature, they employed a thermal pressure model:

75 P(V,T) = P(V,300K) + Pth (V,T)....(1)

where P(V,T), P(V,300K), and Pth (V,T) are the total pressure, pressure at 300 K at a given sample volume, and thermal pressure at a given temperature. Kamada et al. (2014) adopted the thermal pressure part proposed by Seagle et al. (2006) as

79  $P \text{th} = \alpha K_T^* (T-300)$  .....(2)

where  $\alpha$  is the thermal expansion coefficient and  $K_T$  is the isothermal bulk modulus. The  $\alpha K_T$ 80 81 value can be assumed to be constant above the Debye temperature. Therefore, a simple relation of  $\alpha K_T = \alpha_0 K_0$  holds for a material with low Debye temperature such as metals, where 82 the subscript 0 indicates at 1 bar and 300 K. Seagle et al. (2006) obtained a value of 0.011 83 GPa/K for  $\alpha K_T = \alpha_0 K_0$ . If  $K_0$  for Fe<sub>3</sub>S is assumed to range from 122.4 to 156 GPa (Seagle et 84 al., 2006; Kamada et al., 2014), the resulting  $\alpha_0$  would range from 7.05 to 8.99\*10<sup>-5</sup>/K. This 85  $\alpha_0$  value is however a factor of two larger compared to 3.84\*10<sup>-5</sup>/K which was constrained by 86 low pressure experiments (Chen et al., 2007). Moreover, combining the 300-K parameters 87 and the thermal pressure parameters based on different pressure scales would not make a 88 consistent thermal EoS (Kamada et al., 2014). As such, a consistent thermal EoS of 89 crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S needs to be precisely determined under simultaneous high *P*-*T* conditions. 90

In the present study, we collected the unit-cell volume data of crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S under
simultaneous high-*P*-*T* conditions and constructed its thermal EoS. One of the challenges that
previous works encountered was the difficulty of synthesising single phase of crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S
in the diamond anvil cell (DAC) when starting with a powder mixture of Fe and FeS (Seagle

et al., 2006; Kamada et al., 2012). In our experiments we used a flake with a composition of Fe<sub>3</sub>S made by physical vapour deposition. It shows very homogeneous composition on the nanometre scale which enabled us to synthesise crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S in the DAC. Based on the constructed thermal EoS, we will discuss the nature of a sulphur-rich core.

99

#### 100 2. Experimental procedure

High pressures were generated in a DAC with a pair of opposed diamond anvils and 101 rhenium gasket. The culet size of the diamond was 300 or 150 µm bevelled depending on the 102 pressure range. The starting material was an amorphous metal flake with a composition of 103 Fe<sub>3</sub>S, produced by Dephis using a Physical Vapour Deposition technique. The flake was 3-4 104 um thick and was homogeneous in composition on the nm scale (Morard et al., 2017) (Fig. 105 106 S1). The starting material was sandwiched between layers of compressed pellets of KCl or SiO<sub>2</sub>. The layer of KCl served as a pressure calibrant. Potassium chloride is known to show 107 very low thermal expansivity and therefore can be used as a pressure marker even at high 108 temperature by estimating the temperature gradient in the pressure medium (Dewaele et al., 109 2012; Tateno et al., 2019b). Runs where SiO<sub>2</sub> was used as a pressure medium also contained 110 a pellet of Fe<sub>0.93</sub>O (McCammon and Liu, 1984) as a pressure calibrant, namely the sample 111 and Fe<sub>0.93</sub>O layer were sandwiched between SiO<sub>2</sub> layers. Thanks to the double-sided heating 112 by laser, the sample and Fe<sub>0.93</sub>O layer should be under the same temperature condition. 113

In-situ XRD experiments were performed at beamlines P02.2 at PETRA III (Liermann et al., 2015) and BL10XU at SPring-8 (Ohishi et al., 2008). Monochromatic Xrays of 0.2907 Å (P02.2) and 0.4134 Å (BL10XU) were focused on sample position with areas of  $2*2 \ \mu m^2$  (P02.2) and of approximately 6– $\mu m$  full-width at half maximum (FWHM) (BL10XU). The experiments using KCl were performed at the P02.2 whereas the experiments with SiO<sub>2</sub> and FeO were performed at the BL10XU. At both beamlines,
diffraction data were collected on flat panel detectors (Perkin Elmer XRD 1621 at P02.2 and
Perkin Elmer XRD 0822 at BL10XU), with an exposure time of 1 second. The diffraction
data were processed to conventional one-dimension patterns using software packages Dioptas
(Prescher and Prakapenka, 2015) and IPAnalyzer (Seto et al., 2010) for P02.2 and BL10XU
respectively.

High temperatures were generated with double-sided laser-heating systems at P02.2 and BL10XU. The diameter of the laser beam was about 20 μm. Temperatures were measured with a spectroradiometric method. See Liermann et al. (2010) and Ohishi et al. (2008) for details of the laser-heating setup at each beamline. As will be discussed later, the temperatures for KCl were corrected as it was used as a pressure transmitting medium.

The samples were first compressed to target pressures at room temperature based on 130 the Raman shift of the diamond anvils (Akahama and Kawamura, 2004). The samples were 131 132 then heated to approximately 1500 K to crystallise solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S from the amorphous starting 133 material. In-situ pressures were obtained from the lattice constants and the equation of state for B2 KCl (Tateno et al., 2019b) or for B1 Fe<sub>x</sub>O (Fischer et al., 2011). The EoS for Fe<sub>x</sub>O by 134 Fischer et al. (2011) was constructed based on available experimental data with x ranging 135 from 0.94 to 0.955 which is fairly close to the x value in this study (0.93). In addition, 136 McCammon and Liu (1984) reported that the bulk modulus of  $Fe_xO$  is insensitive to the x 137 value when it is smaller than 0.96. Hereafter  $Fe_{0.93}O$  will be referred to as FeO for simplicity. 138 The temperature was held until no further change was observed in the XRD pattern, ca. 10 to 139 140 15 minutes. The sample material was then further heated by increasing the laser power. Diffraction patterns were repeatedly collected during each heating cycle. The samples were 141 then quenched by turning the laser power off. 142

#### 144 **3. Results**

#### 145 3.1. High-*P*-*T* experiments

146 We conducted six sets of heating cycles at 43 GPa to 126 GPa at two synchrotron beamlines. The sample unit-cell volume data were collected under high pressures at 4 room-T147 and 79 high-T conditions. The experimental P-T conditions and results are summarised in Fig. 148 1 and Table S1. Typical diffraction patterns are shown in Fig. 2, which demonstrate that 149 single phase of Fe<sub>3</sub>S was synthesised from the amorphous flake starting material. Both 150 beamlines used double-sided laser heating with laser diameters of about 20 µm. A 151 temperature distribution profile compared with X-ray position at BL10XU is shown in Fig. 152 S2. As no other iron-alloy phases were observed, the sample was homogeneously composed 153 of Fe<sub>3</sub>S at least across the X-ray spot, ca. 6 µm. 154

At P02.2, four runs were conducted with the KCl pressure marker at 43 to 70 GPa. At 155 the beginning of each run, the amorphous starting material was heated to 1500 K and the 156 synthesis of crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S was confirmed (Fig. 2). Diffraction lines of (002), (321), (112), 157 (141), and (510) were used, when available, for the unit-cell volume calculations. At 158 BL10XU, two runs were conducted with the FeO pressure marker at 118 to 126 GPa. We 159 used diffraction lines (002), (321), (112), (420), (141), (222), (510), and (312), when 160 applicable, for calculating the unit-cell volume of Fe<sub>3</sub>S. In the 5<sup>th</sup> run, a quenched XRD 161 162 pattern only was collected after synthesising Fe<sub>3</sub>S by laser.

In the runs at BL10XU, although Fe<sub>3</sub>S and FeO layers were in contact, we consider that there have been no chemical reactions between those layers for the following reasons. (1) All the diffractions peaks were indexed with the expected phases (Fig. 2). (2) As will be

shown below, the unit-cell volumes of the Fe<sub>3</sub>S phase at 300 K taken after heating cycles are 166 consistent with the existing data, implying that the Fe<sub>3</sub>S phase was not contaminated. (3) The 167 pressures calculated from FeO and SiO<sub>2</sub> after heating cycle 6 (i.e., at 300 K) are 125.5 GPa 168 (from one peak for FeO) and  $120 \pm 8$  GPa (Grocholski et al., 2013), respectively, which are 169 consistent. This implies that FeO was not contaminated. (4) Pommier et al. (2018) conducted 170 high-P-T experiments in the system Fe-S-O and reported that FeO as a liquidus phase little 171 accommodated S (up to 0.024 wt%) at 14 GPa and 1673 K, indicating that FeO can hardly be 172 contaminated by sulphur even above the solidus temperature. As such, it is likely that no 173 174 observable chemical contaminations took place in the Fe<sub>3</sub>S and FeO phases.

175 For the calculation of the experimental pressures, the measured temperatures were adopted when employing an EoS of FeO as it was sandwiched between layers of SiO<sub>2</sub> and in 176 contact with the sample and therefore it is expected that the FeO layer was under the same 177 temperature condition as the sample. On the other hand, the temperatures for the KCl layers 178 needed to be corrected following the method by Campbell et al. (2007) as KCl was used as a 179 pressure medium and it was placed under a temperature gradient upon heating between high 180 temperature (at the sample) and 300 K (in contact with diamond). Thanks to the very low 181 thermal expansivity of KCl, the temperature difference would not yield a significant 182 uncertainty in pressure (Table S1) (Dewaele et al., 2012; Tateno et al., 2019b). 183

The EoS proposed by Fischer et al. (2011) and Tateno et al. (2019b) were used for the pressure calculations with B1 FeO and B2 KCl respectively. The EoS for FeO was largely based on the EoS of Fe by Dewaele et al. (2006). The unit-cell volume of KCl at 300 K calculated with the EoS by Tateno et al. (2019b) is consistent with that of Dewaele et al. (2006) up to 60-70 GPa. Both EoS by Dewaele et al. (2006; 2012) were calibrated against Dorogokupets and Oganov (2007) and therefore the two pressure markers used in this study should give consistent pressures. As discussed below, the two datasets are consistent and 191 produce consistent EoS for Fe<sub>3</sub>S and combining the two data sets collected at different 192 pressure ranges (43-70 GPa and 118-126 GPa) enabled us to place tight constraints on the 193 EoS parameters.

194

195 3.2. Room temperature EoS of Fe<sub>3</sub>S

The obtained unit-cell volume data were used to establish an EoS for crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S.
For the room temperature data, the third-order Birch-Murnaghan EoS was employed:

where  $V_0$ ,  $K_0$ , and K' are the unit-cell volume, the bulk modulus and its pressure derivative at 199 1 bar and 300 K respectively. A least square fitting of our 300 K data yielded  $K_0 = 119 \pm 19$ 200 GPa,  $K' = 5.6 \pm 1.1$  when  $V_0$  was fixed at 377.0 Å<sup>3</sup> (Fei et al., 2000), which is fairly 201 consistent with results of Seagle et al. (2006), Chen et al. (2007), and Kamada et al. (2014) 202 (Table 1). Fig. 3 shows all these existing data together with our own. The pressure values in 203 the existing datasets were recalculated based on consistent pressure scales (see Table 1). 204 Since the parameters can be tightly constrained with a wide experimental pressure range, we 205 fitted all the data listed above simultaneously, which yielded  $K_0 = 126 \pm 2$  GPa ,  $K' = 5.1 \pm 1$ 206 with  $V_0$  fixed at 377.0 Å<sup>3</sup>. A calculated compression curve is shown in Fig. 3 which agrees 207 well with all the data. This is the reference line when we extended the EoS towards high 208 temperature. 209

The presence of a magnetic transition in Fe<sub>3</sub>S was discussed in the vicinity of 20 GPa at 300 K (Lin et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2007; Kamada et al., 2014). Although we did not collect the data below 43 GPa at 300 K, we made separate fittings of the available P-V data up to 20 GPa and higher to examine how the magnetic transition may affect the EoS parameters. With fixing  $V_0$  and K' at 377 Å<sup>3</sup> and 5.1, a fitting of the data below 20 GPa (Chen et al., 2007) yielded  $K_0 = 124 \pm 8$  GPa. Assuming the non-magnetic high-pressure phase would have different  $V_0$  and  $K_0$ , a fitting of the data at greater pressures (Seagle et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2007; Kamada et al., 2014; this study) with fixing K' at 5.1 yielded  $V_0 = 377 \pm 1$ Å<sup>3</sup> and  $K_0 = 125 \pm 2$  GPa. As such there is no observable difference in the EoS between the two pressure ranges.

220

#### 221 3.3. Thermal EoS of $Fe_3S$

The room-*T* EoS constructed above was extended to include high-*T* data with the thermal pressure model, equation (1). The thermal pressure part, *P*th, was obtained with two different approaches: (i) the  $\alpha K_T$  model and (ii) the Mie-Grüneisen Debye (MGD) model.

(i)A least square fitting to our high-*T* data yielded  $\alpha K_T$  of 0.0035 ± 0.0001 GPa/K. From  $K_0$  of 126 GPa, this gives  $\alpha_0 = 2.7*10^{-5}/K$ , which is slightly smaller than, but close to the experimental measurements made by Chen et al. (2007) of  $\alpha_0 = 3.84*10^{-5}/K$ , compared to  $\alpha_0 = 7.1*10^{-5}/K$  by Seagle et al. (2006). This confirms  $\alpha K_T \sim \alpha_0 K_0$ , which implies that 300 K is close to the Debye temperature.

# (ii)In order to better understand the thermal properties of Fe<sub>3</sub>S, we also employed the MGD model (Jackson and Rigden, 1996):

232  $P \text{th} = \frac{\gamma}{V} \Delta E_{th}(\theta, T)$ .....(4)

where  $\gamma$  is the Grüneisen parameter,  $\Delta E_{th}$  is the change in thermal energy, and  $\theta$  is the Debye temperature. The thermal energy was calculated from the Debye approximation:

235 
$$Eth = \frac{9nRT}{(\theta/T)^3} \int_0^{\theta/T} \frac{\xi^3}{e^{\xi} - 1} d\xi \dots (5)$$

where n,  $\theta$ , and R are the number of atoms per formula unit. Debye temperature, and gas

constant, respectively. The Debye temperature and Grüneisen parameter were assumed to be

238 functions of the volume as: 239  $\theta = \theta_0 \exp[(\gamma_0 - \gamma)/q]$ .....(6) 240 and 241  $\gamma = \gamma_0 (V/V_0)^q$ ....(7)

where  $\theta_0$ ,  $\gamma_0$ , and q are the Debye temperature, Grüneisen parameter at 1 bar and 300 K, and a dimensionless parameter.

As mentioned above,  $\theta_0$  is likely close to the room temperature. Assuming  $\theta_0$  for Fe<sub>3</sub>S was the same as for pure iron of 417 K (Dewaele et al., 2006), a least square fitting of our high-*T* data yielded  $\gamma_0 = 0.9 \pm 0.1$  and  $q = 0.7 \pm 0.5$  (Table 1). This corresponds to  $\alpha_0 =$ 2.3\*10<sup>-5</sup>/K from the following equation,

248  $\gamma = \alpha K_T V/Cv....(8)$ 

236

237

where  $C_v$  is the heat capacity at constant volume, which can be derived from the Debye 249 model (e.g., Poirier, 2000). The value for q shows a large uncertainty which can make it to 1. 250 In the case of q = 1, y becomes a simple function of the volume in equation (7). Therefore, we 251 made another fitting of the high-T data with q fixed at 1. The result yielded  $\gamma_0 = 1.01 \pm 0.03$ 252 corresponding to  $\alpha_0 = 2.6*10^{-5}/K$  (Table 1). The derived  $\alpha_0$  value is close to the result of the 253 fitting with the  $\alpha K_T$  model of 2.7\*10<sup>-5</sup>/K. Therefore, we consider  $\gamma = 1.01 \pm 0.03$  with q = 1 as 254 the best-fit parameters. The results are listed in Table 1 and its compression curves are shown 255 256 in Fig. 4.

Fig. 5 shows the misfit of the fitting with (a) the  $\alpha K_T$  model and (b) MGD model. In both fittings, most of the data points fall within ± 5 % and do not show clear pressure or temperature dependence.

260

#### 261 **4. Discussions**

262 4.1. Non-hydrostatic stress

The non-hydrostatic stress state inside the sample chamber could have affected the 263 unit-cell volume measurement. While the data with the KCl pressure medium would have 264 been less affected by the stress as KCl is a soft material and we conducted laser annealing 265 (Tateno et al., 2019b), the data with the FeO pressure marker and SiO<sub>2</sub> pressure medium 266 might have be more affected. Before heating cycle 6, the unit-cell volume of Fe<sub>3</sub>S was 262.3 267 Å<sup>3</sup> at 122.4 GPa. The misfit of the volume to a 300 K EoS is 7.4 GPa which is fairly large. As 268 shown above, the 300 K EoS was well constrained by the literature and the large misfit is 269 likely due to the deviatoric stress. In addition, the unit-cell volume showed a large error of  $\pm$ 270 1.7  $Å^3$  which is also due to the presence of the stress. Then, upon laser heating, the error 271 value was drastically reduced to  $\pm 1.0$  Å<sup>3</sup> at 1920 K (Table S1). The error did not improve 272 upon further heating to the highest temperature of 2530 K and remained almost constant to 273 the end of the heating cycle even after quenching. The misfit of the unit-cell volume of the 274 quenched Fe<sub>3</sub>S phase was 2.5 GPa which is a reasonable value. As such, the high temperature 275 laser annealing practically released the deviatoric stress and the remaining stress inside the 276 277 sample chamber should have been minimal as we did not see further reduction in the error of the unit-cell volume of  $Fe_3S$  when we increased the laser power. Those high-T and quenched 278 data were used for the fitting to the EoS (Table S1). 279

280

#### 281 4.2.Thermal EoS of crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S

The pressures in our experiments were calibrated against the consistent pressure 282 scales of KCl and FeO as discussed above. Both scales were based on a self-consistent set of 283 scales by Dorogokupets and Oganov (2007). In the 300 K fitting for Fe<sub>3</sub>S, we also used data 284 by Seagle et al. (2006), Chen et al. (2007), and Kamada et al. (2014), all of which were based 285 on a set of pressure scales by Fei et al. (2007) (Table 1). At 300 K, Fei et al. (2007)'s scales 286 are consistent with the EoS of Fe by Dewaele et al. (2006) which was calibrated against 287 Dorogokupets and Oganov (2007) (Fei et al., 2016). In summary, the EoS for Fe<sub>3</sub>S we 288 propose here was constructed based on the consistent pressure scales. 289

Table 1 lists the high-temperature parameters of this study with those of existing 290 literatures. As mentioned above, the  $\alpha K_T$  value of this study implies  $\alpha K_T = \alpha_0 K_0$ , which is 291 consistent with the low Debye temperature common to the metals. As such, the parameters 292 set established in this study provides a more consistent and reasonable thermal EoS for 293 crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S. Our  $\alpha K_T$  value is significantly smaller than that in Seagle et al. (2006), 294 which leads to a denser Fe<sub>3</sub>S phase. Here we consider two major sources for the discrepancy 295 296 in the  $\alpha K_T$  term: (1) the 300 K compression curve by Seagle et al. (2006) and (2) the pressure scale. (1) Seagle et al. (2006) obtained the 300 K EoS parameters for Fe<sub>3</sub>S against an EoS for 297 298 Fe mixed with Fe<sub>3</sub>S. However, as they pointed out themselves thus-obtained 300 K EoS for Fe<sub>3</sub>S was not consistent with their another one based on a NaCl EoS. NaCl which served as a 299 300 pressure medium is much softer than Fe, so that it should have been under better hydrostatic conditions and produced more reliable sample pressure values. As a matter of fact, the NaCl 301 302 pressure values (recalculated in this study, see Table 1) are consistent with other existing studies (Chen et al., 2007; Kamada et al., 2014; this study) and used for our 300 K EoS fitting 303

(Fig. 3). As such their unit-cell volumes of Fe at 300 K might not be reliable. (2) The pressure values of their high-temperature data were recalculated using Dewaele et al. (2006)'s EoS for Fe to be consistent with our 300 K compression curve. Then we fitted those high-*T* data to the  $\alpha K_T$  term, yielding  $\alpha K_T = 0.0060$  GPa/K which was significantly reduced from their original value of 0.011 GPa/K. The above considerations partially solve the discrepancy between Seagle et al. (2006) and this study.

The thermal pressure term for a metal may include additional terms under the core 310 temperatures, ca. 4000-6000 K, namely the anharmonic term and electronic term (e.g., Alfè et 311 al., 2001). If a material shows significant contributions from the anharmonic and electronic 312 terms, the  $\alpha K_T$  value should show a positive temperature dependence (Alfè et al., 2001). Fig. 313 5a shows the misfit of the fitting with a single  $\alpha K_T$  value of 0.0035 GPa/K and there is no 314 clear temperature dependence up to the highest temperature studied here. Note that runs at 315 BL10XU at 118-126 GPa may seem to show a weak temperature dependence (Fig. 5a). 316 However this should not be caused by either the anharmonic or electronic contribution as 317 those terms would be more pronounced under lower compression at the same temperature 318 (Dewaele et al., 2006) and the runs at P02.2 at 43-70 GPa clearly show no temperature 319 dependence. Therefore, solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S would show negligible contributions from the anharmonic 320 321 and electronic terms. As such the MGD model with only the vibrational term would suffice for examining the density of the phase even under the core temperature conditions. 322

Fig. 6 compares compression curves of various iron alloys (Fe, Dewaele et al., 2006; Fe<sub>3</sub>S, this study; Fe<sub>3</sub>C, FeSi, FeS VI, and FeS VII, Sata et al., 2010; Fe-9wt%Si hcp Fe, Tateno et al., 2015; FeO B1, Fischer et al., 2011). Fe<sub>3</sub>S shows almost the same average atomic volume as Fe under core pressures. Table 2 shows selected physical properties of pure Fe and Fe<sub>3</sub>S under core *P-T* conditions calculated from the EoS (Dewaele et al., 2006; this study). The volume difference between the phases is a little enhanced at 5500 K because of
the negligible anharmonic and electronic terms in the thermal pressure term in Fe<sub>3</sub>S.

330

4.3. The nature of the high-pressure breakdown reaction of Fe<sub>3</sub>S

332 Solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S is stable to 250 GPa and undergoes a decomposition reaction into an Ferich hcp phase and a S-rich B2 phase at a higher pressure (Ozawa et al., 2013), which should 333 modify the eutectic relationship of the system Fe-S. The nature of the reaction of  $Fe_3S = Fe$ -334 rich hcp + S-rich B2 however was not well understood. Here we examine properties of the B2 335 phase, assuming the composition of the hcp phase is pure Fe. Ozawa et al. (2013) reported 336 the lower bound for the sulphur content of the B2 phase was 33.5 atm% which is close to 337 Fe<sub>2</sub>S. We therefore tentatively assume the composition of the B2 phase is Fe<sub>2</sub>S. As such the 338 339 discussions below will provide a case for the B2 phase with the least possible sulphur content, although the detailed analyses of the chemical composition of the phase should be made in 340 the future. 341

Fig. 7a plots the average atomic volumes for Fe and Fe<sub>3</sub>S at 250 GPa and 300 K, 342 based on which the volume for Fe<sub>2</sub>S is estimated. The laws of thermodynamics require that 343 the average atomic volume for the B2 phase needs to be below the Fe-Fe<sub>3</sub>S line (Fig. 7a), 344 because a first-order pressure-induced transition must be accompanied with a volume 345 reduction. We here consider two possible cases: (i) the volume change of the reaction  $(\Delta Vr)$ 346 of Fe<sub>3</sub>S = Fe + Fe<sub>2</sub>S is zero, and (ii)  $\Delta Vr$  = -1.5%. The volume for Fe<sub>2</sub>S is then obtained for 347 348 each case in Fig. 7a. Employing the same calculations at different pressures produces compression curves for Fe<sub>2</sub>S (Fig. 7b) with the parameters,  $V_0 = 11.963$  Å<sup>3</sup>/atom,  $K_0 = 115.0$ 349 GPa, and K' = 5.18 for  $\Delta Vr = 0$ , and  $V_0 = 11.729$  Å<sup>3</sup>/atom,  $K_0 = 114.8$  GPa, and K' = 5.18 for 350  $\Delta Vr = -1.5\%$ . Since the volumes of Fe<sub>3</sub>S and Fe are similar at 300 K, the resulting volume of 351

Fe<sub>2</sub>S is not very sensitive to the  $\Delta Vr$  value (Fig. 7b) and therefore, the predicted compression 352 behaviour here would be fairly reliable. Very recently Tateno et al. (2019a) has reported 353 experimental data on the unit-cell volume of the Fe<sub>2</sub>S phase at pressures greater than 180 GPa. 354 Their volume of Fe<sub>2</sub>S is plotted in Fig. 7a, with the pressure values corrected to be consistent 355 with the pressure scale in this study. Their data shows 1.0 % volume reduction on the 356 breakdown reaction of  $Fe_3S = Fe+Fe_2S$ , which is within our prediction (0-1.5%). Any future 357 measurements of the volume of the S-rich B2 phase should be compared with the curves in 358 Fig. 7b. 359

360

361 4.3. Sulphur in the Earth's core

Fig. 8a shows density profiles of solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S from the EoS established in this study at 362 363 300, 4000, and 5500 K compared with a seismologically constrained density model (PREM, Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981) over the core pressure range. Isothermal compression 364 curves at 4000 and 5000 K from Kamada et al. (2014) are also plotted. Our EoS calculates 365 the density of solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S greater than Kamada et al. (2014)'s EoS since Kamada et al. (2014) 366 included the large  $\alpha K_T$  value proposed by Seagle et al. (2006). At 330 GPa and 5500 K which 367 is a relevant condition to the inner core-outer core boundary (ICB), our Fe<sub>3</sub>S is 2.9 % denser 368 than Kamada et al. (2014)'s. 369

The density of solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S at 300 K is calculated to be smaller than the inner core PREM (Fig. 8a). As the compression behaviour of Fe<sub>3</sub>S at 300 K is well constrained to 200 GPa (Seagle et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2007; Kamada et al., 2014; this study), the uncertainty of the calculated unit-cell volume when extrapolated to 330 GPa is  $\pm$  0.2 %. This ensures that solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S is less dense than the inner core at core temperatures due to thermal expansion.

We here estimate the density profile of liquid Fe<sub>3</sub>S. As discussed above, the average 375 atomic volume is similar between Fe and Fe<sub>3</sub>S under the core pressure conditions (Fig. 6), 376 which means that replacing an Fe atom for a S atom would little change the volume of the 377 alloy although the structure is slightly distorted from hcp to tetragonal. This suggests that 378 other physical properties of Fe<sub>3</sub>S could also be more similar to those of Fe compared with the 379 other Fe-light element alloys. We therefore assume  $\Delta V$  upon melting ( $\Delta Vm$ ) for Fe<sub>3</sub>S to be the 380 same as for pure iron under core pressures (Table 2). It is expected that the temperature 381 profile over the outer core would be adiabatic due to the convection. However, since the 382 Grüneisen parameter for liquid Fe<sub>3</sub>S is not available, we assume a temperature gradient by 383 1500 K through the outer core, i.e, 4000 K at 140 GPa and 5500 K at 330 GPa. This 384 385 temperature gradient is consistent with pure Fe with a Grüneisen parameter of about 1.5 (Vočadlo et al., 2003; Komabayashi, 2014). Thus-calculated density profile for liquid Fe<sub>3</sub>S 386 matches the outer core density within an uncertainty of 1 % (Fig. 8b). This discussion is more 387 sensitive to  $\Delta Vm$  than the value of the adiabatic temperature gradient over the outer core, 388 namely, under any reasonable core temperatures (4000-6000 K). The sulphur content in the 389 outer core needs to be as much as 16 wt% (Fe<sub>3</sub>S) if it is the sole light element in the core. 390

Our data for the liquid density is compared with existing first principles calculations 391 (Badro et al., 2014; Umemoto et al., 2014). We adopted Badro et al. (2014)'s sulphur 392 concentration dependence of the density of iron liquid (Komabayashi, 2014) and found that 393 Fe-12 wt%S at 6300 K and Fe-10 wt%S at 4300 K could match the PREM values at 330 GPa 394 and 135 GPa respectively. Umemoto et al. (2014) calculated the density of Fe<sub>3</sub>S liquid along 395 396 an isentrope with 5400 K at 330 GPa. The data of Umemoto et al. (2014) and this study were obtained at similar temperature ranges and the results are fairly consistent (Fig. 8b). The 397 amount of a light element required for the PREM density increases with reducing the core 398 temperature, and therefore, the results of Badro et al. (2014) can be qualitatively consistent 399

with ours. However, for more precise comparison, the temperature dependence of the liquiddensity needs to be clarified in the future.

The eutectic composition in the system Fe-Fe<sub>3</sub>S was reported to become close to the 402 Fe side with increasing pressure (Mori et al., 2017). At 250 GPa, it would be about 6 wt%S, 403 which means a S-richer liquid crystallises Fe<sub>3</sub>S at liquidus. On the other hand, as we 404 discussed above, crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S is not stable in the inner core as it breaks down to the 405 mixture of Fe-rich hcp phase and S-rich B2 phase above 250 GPa (Ozawa et al., 2013). This 406 results in a formation of the S-rich B2 phase from a liquid with S > 6wt% at ICB at 330 GPa. 407 Assuming that the B2 phase has a composition of Fe<sub>2</sub>S and causes  $\Delta Vr = 0 \sim -1.5$  % as 408 discussed above (Fig. 7a), the density profile of B2 Fe<sub>2</sub>S for the inner core range is calculated 409 along a 5500 K isotherm (Fig. 8b). The density of Fe<sub>2</sub>S is likely less dense than solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S 410 and cannot match the inner core density. As such, the liquidus phase at Fe-16wt%S (Fe<sub>3</sub>S) is 411 412 not the constituent phase of the inner core. In summary, while the outer core density requires as much sulphur as 16 wt%, the resulting liquidus phase cannot meet the density of the inner 413 core. We therefore suggest that any sulphur-rich iron composition should be rejected for the 414 Earth's core. Nevertheless, this does not exclude the possibility of sulphur in the core. If the 415 sulphur content in the outer core is less than 6 wt%, the crystallising liquidus phase at the 416 ICB would be an Fe-rich hcp phase which can match the density of the inner core (Mori et al., 417 2017), although this requires the presence of other light elements in the outer core. Metal-418 silicate partitioning experiments under high pressure demonstrated that sulphur can be 419 partitioned into core melt up to 2 wt% (e.g., Boujibar et al., 2014), which is smaller than 6 420 wt% for the eutectic composition (Mori et al., 2017). If the amount of sulphur in Earth's core 421 is between 2 and 6 wt%, the core formation process might have been, at least partly, 422 processed under disequilibrium conditions. 423

424

#### 425 **5.** Conclusions and future perspective

A new thermal EoS for crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S has been established based on 83 new 426 experimental *P-V-T* data together with the existing 300-K data. A constant  $\alpha K_T$  value well fits 427 the high-*T* data to the highest experimental temperature, which implies that the contributions 428 from the anharmonic and electronic terms would be minor in the thermal pressure term. The 429 average atomic volume of Fe<sub>3</sub>S is similar to that of pure Fe under the core pressures. The 430 density of crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S is greater than of the Earth's outer core, and assuming a density 431 reduction due to melting, liquid Fe<sub>3</sub>S would match the outer core density profile within the 432 uncertainty of 1 %. However, the volume of Fe<sub>2</sub>S B2 phase which is assumed to be a 433 434 breakdown product of Fe<sub>3</sub>S above 250 GPa is likely less dense than Fe<sub>3</sub>S and does not match the PREM model in the inner core. An S-rich bulk (e.g., Fe<sub>3</sub>S) composition would crystallise 435 the less dense S-rich B2 phase upon entering the inner core conditions. Therefore, any S-rich 436 437 outer core is rejected for the Earth.

The above discussions can be applicable to other terrestrial planetary cores, such as Martian core, which properties may be explored by seismometers deployed by the InSight mission (Banerdt et al., 2013). The pressure conditions for its iron-rich core were estimated to be 19-38 GPa and it may contain sulphur of 11-17 wt% (Helffrich, 2017). The eutectic compositions should be between Fe and Fe<sub>3</sub>S and therefore the liquidus phase, i.e., the constituent of a solid core (if any) would be Fe<sub>3</sub>S phases. The data presented in this study will provide the key information to interpret the seismological data by the InSight mission.

The current limitations include that there are no experimental data reported on the velocity of the Fe-S liquids under core pressures. A promising approach is the construction of a self-consistent thermodynamic model based on experimental data (e.g., melting temperatures, EoS for solid phases) to derive the EoS of the liquid phase, which allows us to calculate the liquid velocity as well as density (Komabayashi, 2014). A further comparison of
the liquid properties between experimentally-derived model and the first principles
calculations will bring us a better understanding of the planetary cores' properties.

452

#### 453 Acknowledgements

454 We acknowledge DESY (Hamburg, Germany), a member of the Helmholtz Association HGF,

455 for the provision of experimental facilities (proposal no. I-20160586 EC; I-20170740 EC).

456 Parts of this research were carried out at PETRA III. DESY also financially supports our visit

457 to the beamline. SPring-8 (Hyogo, Japan) is also acknowledged for the provision of

458 experimental facilities at BL10XU (proposal no. 2017B1338). Two anonymous reviewers are

459 acknowledged for their constructive comments which improved the quality of the paper. This

460 research was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) Consolidator Grant to TK

461 (Earth core #647723).

#### 462 **References**

- Akahama, Y., Kawamura, H., 2004. High-pressure Raman spectroscopy of diamond anvils to
  250 GPa: Method for pressure determination in the multimegabar pressure range. J.
  Appl. Phys. 96, 3748-3751.
- Alfè, D., Price, G.D., Gillan, M.J., 2001. Thermodynamics of hexagonal-close-packed iron
  under Earth's core conditions. Phys. Rev. B 64, 045123.
- Badro, J., Cote, A.S., Brodholt, J.P., 2014. A seismologically consistent compositional model
  of Earth's core. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111, 7542-7545.
  Doi/10.1073/pnas.1316708111.
- Banerdt, W., Smrekar, S., Lognonné, P., Spohn, T., Asmar, S., Banfield, D., Boschi, L.,
  Christensen, U., Dehant, V., Folkner, W., Giardini, D., Goetze, W., Golombek, M.,
  Grott, M., Hudson, T., Johnson, C., Kargl, G., Kobayashi, N., Maki, J., Mimoun, D.,
  Mocquet, A., Morgan, P., Panning, M., Pike, W., Tromp, J., van Zoest, T., Weber, R.,
  Wieczorek, M., Garcia, R., Hurst, K., 2013. InSight: a discovery mission to explore
  the interior of Mars., 44th Lunar and Planetary Science, Conference, Houston, p. 1915.
  Birch, F., 1952. Elasticity and constitution of the Earth's interior. J. Geophys. Res. 57, 227–
- **478 286**.

Boujibar, A., Andrault, D., Bouhifd, M.A., Bolfan-Casanovaa, N., Devidal, J.-L., Trcera, N.,
2014. Metal-silicate partitioning of sulphur, new experimental and thermodynmaic
constraints on planetary accretion. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 391, 42-54.

Campbell, A.J., Seagle, C.T., Heinz, D.L., Shen, G., Prakapenka, V.B., 2007. Partial melting
in the iron-sulfur system at high pressure: A synchrotron X-ray diffraction study. Phys.
Earth Planet. Inter. 162, 119–128.

- Chen, B., Gao, L., Funakoshi, K., Li, J., 2007. Thermal expansion of iron-rich alloys and
  implications for the Earth's core. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104, 9162–9167.
- Chen, B., Li, J., Hauck, S.A., 2008. Non-ideal liquidus curve in the Fe-S system and
  Mercury's snowing core. Geophys. Res. Lett. 35.
- Dewaele, A., Belonoshko, A.B., Garbarino, G., Occelli, F., Bouvier, P., Hanfland, M.,
  Mezouar, M., 2012. High-pressure-high-temperature equation of state of KCl and KBr.
  Phys. Rev. B Phys. 85, 1–7.
- Dewaele, A., Loubeyre, P., Occelli, F., Mezouar, M., Dorogokupets, P.I., Torrent, M., 2006.
  Quasihydrostatic equation of state of Iron above 2 Mbar. Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 29–32.
- 494 Dorogokupets, P.I., Oganov, A.R., 2007. Ruby, metals, and MgO as alternative pressure
  495 scales: a semiemprical description of shock-wave, ultrasonic, x-ray, and
  496 thermochemical data at high temperatures and pressures. Phys. Rev. B 75, 024115.
- 497 Dziewonski, A.M., Anderson, D.L., 1981. Preliminary reference Earth model. Phys. Earth
  498 Planet. Inter. 25, 297-356.
- Fei, Y., LI, J., BERTKA, C.M., PREWITT, C.T., 2000. Structure type and bulk modulus of
  Fe 3 S , a new iron-sulfur compound. Am. Mineral. 85, 1830–1833.
- Fei, Y., Ricolleau, A., Frank, M., Mibe, K., Shen, G., Prakapenka, V., 2007. Toward an
  internally consistent pressure scale. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. doi:
  10.1073/pnas.0609013104.
- Fei, Y., Murphy, C., Shibazaki, Y., Shahar, A., Huang, H., 2016. Thermal equation of state of
  hcp-iron: constraint on the density deficit of Earth's solid inner core. Geophy. Res.
  Lett. 43, 6837-6843.

507	Fischer, R.A., Campbell, A.J., Shofner, G.A., Lord, O.T., Dera, P., Prakapenka, V.B., 2011.
508	Equation of state and phase diagram of FeO. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 304, 496–502.

- Goldstein, J.I., Scott, E.R.D., Chabot, N.L., 2009. Iron meteorites: Crystallization, thermal
  history, parent bodies, and origin. Chemie der Erde 69, 293–325.
- Grocholski, B., Shim, S.H., Prakapenka, V.B., 2013. Stability, metastability, and elastic
  properties of a dense silica polymorph, seifertite. J Geophys Res-Solid Earth 118,
  4745-4757.
- Helffrich, G., 2017. Mars core structure-concise review and anticipated insights from InSight.
  Prog. Earth Planet. Sci. 4(24), doi: 10.1186/s40645-017-0139-4.
- Hirose, K., Labrosse, S., Hernlund, J., 2013. Composition and State of the Core. Annu. Rev.
  Earth Planet. Sci. 41, 657–691.
- Jackson, I., Rigden, S.M., 1996. Analysis of P-V-T data: constraints on the thermoelastic
  properties of high-pressure minerals. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 96, 85-112.
- Kamada, S., Ohtani, E., Terasaki, H., Sakai, T., Miyahara, M., Ohishi, Y., Hirao, N., 2012.
  Melting relationships in the Fe-Fe<sub>3</sub>S system up to the outer core conditions. Earth
  Planet. Sci. Lett. 359–360, 26–33.
- Kamada, S., Ohtani, E., Terasaki, H., Sakai, T., Takahashi, S., Hirao, N., Ohishi, Y., 2014.
  Equation of state of Fe3S at room temperature up to 2megabars. Phys. Earth Planet.
  Inter. 228, 106–113.
- Komabayashi, T., 2014. Thermodynamics of melting relations in the system Fe-FeO at high
  pressure: Implications for oxygen in the Earth's core. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth
  4164–4177.

Liermann, H-P., Morgenroth, W., Ehnes, A., Berghauser, A., Winkler, B., Franz, H., Weckert,
E., 2010. The extreme conditions beamline at PETRA III, DESY: possibilities to
conduct time resolved monochromatic diffraction experiments in dynamic and laser
heated DAC. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 215, 012029, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/215/1/012029.

- Lin, J.F., Fei, Y., Sturhahn, W., Zhao, J., Mao, H., Hemley, R.J., 2004. Magnetic transition
  and sound velocities of Fe<sub>3</sub>S at high pressure: implications for Earth and planetary
  cores. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 226, 33-40.
- Mccammon, C.A., Liu, L.G., 1984. The Effects of Pressure and Temperature on
  Nonstoichiometric Wustite, FexO -the Iron-Rich Phase-Boundary. Phys. Chem.
  Mineral 10, 106-113.
- McDonough, W.F., Sun, S. s., 1995. The composition of the Earth, in: Chemical Geology. pp.
  223–253.
- Morard, G., Andrault, D., Antonangeli, D., Nakajima, Y., Auzende, A.L., Boulard, E.,
  Cervera, S., Clark, A., Lord, O.T., Siebert, J., Svitlyk, V., Garbarino, G., Mezouar, M.,
  2017. Fe-FeO and Fe-Fe<sub>3</sub>C melting relations at Earth's core-mantle boundary
  conditions: Implications for a volatile-rich or oxygen-rich core. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.
  473, 94-103.
- Mori, Y., Ozawa, H., Hirose, K., Sinmyo, R., Tateno, S., Morard, G., Ohishi, Y., 2017.
  Melting experiments on Fe–Fe3S system to 254 GPa. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 464,
  135–141.
- Ohishi, Y., Hirao, N., Sata, N., Hirose, K., Takata, M., 2008. Highly intense monochromatic
  X-ray diffraction facility for high-pressure research at SPring-8. High Press. Res. 28,
  163–173.

- Ozawa, H., Hirose, K., Suzuki, T., Ohishi, Y., Hirao, N., 2013. Decomposition of Fe<sub>3</sub>S above
  250 GPa. Geophys. Res. Lett. 40, 4845–4849.
- Palme, H., O'Neill, H., 2003. Cosmochemical Estimates of Mantle Composition. Treatise
  Geochemistry Second Ed. 3, 1–39.
- Poirier, J.P., 1994. Light elements in the Earth's outer core: A critical review. Phys. Earth
  Planet. Inter. 85, 319–337.
- Poirier, J.P., 2000. Introduction to the Physics of the Earth's Interior, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Cambridge Univ.
  Press. 312pp.
- Pommier, A., Laurenz, V., Davies, C.J., Frost, D.J., 2018. Melting phase relations in the Fe-S
  and Fe-S-O systems at core conditions in small terrestrial bodies. Icarus 306, 150-162.
- Prescher, C., Prakapenka, V.B., 2015. DIOPTAS: A program for reduction of twodimensional X-ray diffraction data and data exploration. High Press. Res. 35, 223–
  230.
- Sata, N., Hirose, K., Shen, G., Nakajima, Y., Ohishi, Y., Hirao, N., 2010. Compression of 565 FeSi, Fe<sub>3</sub>C, Fe<sub>0.95</sub>O, and FeS under the core pressures and implication for light 566 Earth's Geophys. 115, B09204, 567 element in the core. J. Res. doi:09210.01029/02009JB006975. 568
- Seagle, C.T., Campbell, A.J., Heinz, D.L., Shen, G., Prakapenka, V.B., 2006. Thermal
  equation of State of Fe<sub>3</sub>S and implications for sulfur in Earth's core. J. Geophys. Res.
  Solid Earth 111, 1–7.
- Seto, Y., Nishio-Hamane, D., Nagai, T., Sata, N., 2010. Development of a Software Suite on
  X-ray Diffraction Experiments. Rev. High Press. Sci. Technol. 20, 269–276.

- Siebert, J., Badro, J., Antonangeli, D., Ryerson, F.J., 2013. Terrestrial accretion under
  oxidizing conditions. Science 339, 1194-1197.
- Tateno, S., Kuwayama, Y., Hirose, K., Ohishi, Y., 2015. The structure of Fe-Si alloy in
  Earth's inner core. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 418, 11-19.
- Tateno, S., Ozawa, H., Hirose, K., Suzuki, T., Kawaguchi, S.I., Hirao, N., 2019a. Fe<sub>2</sub>S: The
  most Fe-rich iron sulfide at the Earth's inner core pressures. Geophys. Res. Lett.
  10.1029/2019GL085248.
- Tateno, S., Komabayashi, T., Hirose, K., Hirao, N., Ohishi, Y., 2019b. Static compression of
  B2 KCl to 230 GPa and its *P-V-T* equation of state. Am. Mineral. 104, 718-723.
- Umemoto, K., Hirose, K., Imada, S., Nakajima, Y., Komabayashi, T., Tsutsui, S., Baron,
  A.Q.R., 2014. Liquid iron-sulfur alloys at outer core conditions by first-principles
  calculations. Geophys. Res. Lett. 41, 6712-6717. Doi:10.1002/2014GL061233.
- Vočadlo, L., Alfé, D., Gillan, M.J., Price, G.D., 2003. The properties of iron under core
  conditions from first principles calculations. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 140, 101–125.
- Wade, J., Wood, B.J., 2005. Core formation and the oxidation state of the Earth. Earth Planet.
  Sci. Lett. 236, 78-95.
- Williams, H.M., Markowski, A., Quitté, G., Halliday, A.N., Teutsch, N., Levasseur, S., 2006.
  Fe isotope fractionation in iron meteorites: New insights into metal-sulphide
  segregation and planetary accretion. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 250, 486–500.
- 593

594

595

#### 596 Figure captions

Figure 1. Experimental *P-T* conditions of this study and selected existing reports (Seagle et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2007; Kamada et al., 2014; this study). The pressures in this study were
based on the EoS of FeO (Fischer et al., 2011) and KCl (Tateno et al., 2019b). The pressures
in Seagle et al. (2006) at 300 K and Chen et al. (2007) were recalculated; see Table 1.

601

Figure 2. Representative XRD patterns with KCl pressure marker collected at P02.2 (top) and
with FeO pressure marker at BL10 XU (bottom).

604

Figure 3. Unit-cell volumes for Fe<sub>3</sub>S at 300 K (Seagle et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2007; Kamada
et al., 2014; this study). A compression curve fitted to all the data is also shown. The
parameters set for the EoS is given in Table 1.

608

Figure 4. Unit-cell volumes for Fe<sub>3</sub>S under high temperatures collected in this study.
Compression curves based on the thermal EoS constructed in this study are shown together
with those by Kamada et al. (2014).

612

Figure 5. Misfit of high-temperature data to the EoS with the  $\alpha K_T$  model and MGD model.

614

Figure 6. Comparison of compression curves of different iron alloys at 300 K. Data sources
are Fe, Dewaele et al. (2006); Fe<sub>3</sub>S, this study; Fe-9wt%Si, Tateno et al. (2015); FeSi, Fe<sub>3</sub>C,

FeS VI and FeS VII, Sata et al. (2010); FeO, Fischer et al. (2011). The average atomic
volumes of Fe and Fe<sub>3</sub>S are similar under the core pressures. CMB, core-mantle boundary.

619

Figure 7. (a) Volume relationships for the reaction  $Fe_3S = Fe$ -rich hcp phase + S-rich B2 620 phase (Ozawa et al., 2013). The composition of the hcp phase is assumed to be pure Fe. The 621 volumes were calculated from Dewaele (2006) for Fe and this study for Fe<sub>3</sub>S. The star 622 represents a hypothetical B2 phase with a composition of Fe<sub>2</sub>S (Ozawa et al., 2013). The 623 volumes of the hypothetical Fe<sub>2</sub>S phase was estimated for the cases of  $\Delta Vr = 0$  and -1.5% 624 respectively. The open square indicates the volume of Fe<sub>2</sub>S constrained by experiment 625 (Tateno et al., 2019a) with the pressure value recalculated based on Dorogokupets and 626 Oganov (2007). (b) Compression curves of the hypothetical  $Fe_2S$  at 300 K. 627

628

Figure 8. (a) Isothermal density profiles of solid Fe<sub>3</sub>S based on this study at 300 (black 629 dashed), 4000 (black solid), and 5000 K (green solid), compared with those at 4000 and 5000 630 K by Kamada et al. (2014). For reference, a compression profile of hcp Fe (Dewaele et al., 631 2006) along a 5500 K isotherm and the seismologically constrained density profiles (PREM, 632 Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981) are also plotted. Due to the low thermal expansivity, the 633 EoS of this study produces a denser Fe<sub>3</sub>S profile than of Kamada et al. (2014). (b) Density of 634 liquid Fe<sub>3</sub>S estimated from the solid phase EoS along an isentrope starting with 5500 K at the 635 ICB (red). An isentropic density profile of liquid Fe is also shown (dashed line, Komabayashi, 636 637 2014) starting with 6400 K at ICB which is the liquidus temperature. Results from the first principles calculations are also shown (blue line for Fe<sub>3</sub>S along an isentrope with 5400 K at 638 ICB, Umemoto et al., 2014; squares for Fe-10wt%S at 4300 K, and for Fe-12wt%S at 6300 K, 639 640 modified after Badro et al., 2014). Note that we adopted Badro et al. (2014)'s sulphur

- 641 concentration dependence of the density of iron liquid (Komabayashi, 2014) for the squares.
- 642 An estimated density range of  $Fe_2S$  B2 phase for the inner core range at 5500 K is shown: the
- lower and upper bounds are for  $\Delta Vr = 0$  and -1.5% respectively.

	$V_{0}$	$K_{0}$	K'	γo	q	$ heta_{0}$	$\alpha_0 K_0$	$\alpha_0$	Ref
	(Å <sup>3</sup> )	(GPa)				(K)	(GPa K <sup>-1</sup> )	$(*10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1})$	
Room-T	377.0(2)	170(8)	2.6(5)	-	-	-	-	_	Fei et al. (2000)
	377.0 (fixed)	156(7)	3.8(3)	-	-	-	-	-	Seagle et al. (2006)
	377.0 (fixed)	136(5)	4.2(3)	-	-	-	-	-	Seagle et al. (2006) <sup>a</sup>
	377.0 (fixed)	134(11)	5.1(10)	-	-	-	-	-	Chen et al. (2007)
	377.0 (fixed)	125.3(1.7)	5.1(1)	-	-	-	-	-	Kamada et al. (2014)
	377.0 (fixed)	119(19)	5.6(1.1)	-	-	-	-	-	This study
	377.0 (fixed)	126(2)	5.1(1)	-	-	-	-	-	This study, Seagle et al. (2006) <sup>a</sup> , Chen et al. (2007) <sup>b</sup> , Kamada et al. (2014)
High-T	377.0 (fixed)	113 (fixed)	5.2 (fixed)	-	-	-	0.011(2)	7.1 <sup>°</sup> 3.84	Seagle et al. (2006) <sup>d</sup> Chen et al. (2007)
	377.0 (fixed)	126 (fixed)	5.1(fixed)	-	-	-	0.0035(1)	2.7 <sup>c</sup>	This study
	377.0 (fixed)	126 (fixed)	5.1(fixed)	0.9(1)	0.6(5)	417 (fixed)		2.3 <sup>e</sup>	This study
	377.0 (fixed)	126 (fixed)	5.1(fixed)	1.01(3)	1(fixed)	417 (fixed)		2.6 <sup>e</sup>	This study

## Table 1. Parameters for equation of state for crystalline Fe<sub>3</sub>S

<sup>a</sup> The experimental pressure values were recalculated based on NaCl-B2 by Fei et al. (2007)

<sup>b</sup> The experimental pressure values were recalculated based on Au by Fei et al. (2007)

<sup>c</sup>  $\alpha_0$  was calculated from the  $\alpha_0 K_0$  and  $K_0$  values.

<sup>d</sup> The EoS was calibrated against a thermal EoS of hcp Fe.

<sup>e</sup>  $\alpha_0$  was calculated from equation (8) and  $\gamma_0$  value.

		300 K							
		ρ	V	$K_T$		ρ	V	$K_T$	$\Delta V$ on melting
		$(g/cm^3)$	(Å <sup>3</sup> /atom)	(GPa)		$(g/cm^3)$	(Å <sup>3</sup> /atom)	(GPa)	(Å <sup>3</sup> /atom)
Fe <sub>3</sub> S	140 GPa	10.48	7.907	715		10.20	8.123	643	
	250 GPa	11.83	7.004	1132		11.63	7.122	1063	
	330 GPa	12.60	6.577	1427		12.43	6.664	1360	
<b>Fe (hcp)</b> <sup>a</sup>	140 GPa	11.73	7.908	745		10.76	8.615	550	0.21
_	250 GPa	13.21	7.022	1130		12.50	7.420	954	0.16
	330 GPa	14.07	6.589	1394		13.46	6.892	1225	0.14

## Table 2. Physical properties of Fe<sub>3</sub>S and Fe

<sup>a</sup> Fe properties are calculated from the EoS by Dewaele et a. (2006) except for  $\Delta V$  on melting (Komabayashi 2014).



Figure 1





Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6







Figure 8



Fig. S1. Back scattered electron image of starting material with a composition of  $Fe_3S$ . The texture is highly homogeneous in composition.



Fig. S2. Temperature distribution profile across the heated spot during laser heating in heating cycle 6. The laser heating was conducted on both sides. Upstream/downstream refers to the X-ray path. The X-rays probed much smaller areas than the heating laser spots to minimise the temperature gradient for XRD measurements.