1 2	A Cambrian crown annelid reconciles phylogenomics and the fossil record
3	Hong Chen <sup>1,2,3*</sup> , Luke A. Parry <sup>4,5*</sup> , Jakob Vinther <sup>6,7</sup> , Dayou Zhai <sup>1,2</sup> , Xianguang Hou <sup>1,2**</sup> ,
4	Xiaoya Ma <sup>1,2,8**</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Yunnan Key Laboratory for Palaeobiology, Yunnan University, Kunming 650500, Yunnan
6	Province, China
7	<sup>2</sup> MEC International Joint Laboratory for Palaeobiology and Palaeoenvironment, Yunnan University,
8	Kunming 650500, Yunnan Province, China
9	<sup>3</sup> School of Biological Sciences and Technology, Liupanshui Normal University, Liupanshui 553004,
10	Guizhou Province, China
11	<sup>4</sup> Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, 210 Whitney Avenue, New Haven, CT,
12	06511, USA
13	<sup>5</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3AN, UK
14	<sup>6</sup> School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Wills Memorial Building, Queens Road, Bristol BS8
15	1RJ, UK
16	<sup>7</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Life Sciences Building, 24 Tyndall Avenue,
17	Bristol BS8 1TQ, UK
18 19	<sup>8</sup> Centre for Ecology & Conservation, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Cornwall TR10 9TA, UK
20	* These authors contributed equally to this work
21	**e-mail: <u>x.ma2@exeter.ac.uk</u> and <u>xghou@ynu.edu.cn</u>
22	
23	Annelids are among the most disparate animal phyla, encompassing ambush predators,
24	suspension feeders and terrestrial earthworms <sup>1</sup> . Early annelid evolution remains obscure

or controversial<sup>2,3</sup>, partly due to discordance between molecular phylogenies and 25 fossils<sup>2,4</sup>. Cambrian annelid fossils have morphologies indicating epibenthic lifestyles, 26 whereas phylogenomics recovers sessile, infaunal and tubicolous taxa as an early 27 diverging grade<sup>5</sup>. Magelonidae and Oweniidae (Palaeoannelida<sup>1</sup>) are the sister group of 28 all other annelids but contrast with Cambrian taxa in both lifestyle and gross 29 30 morphology<sup>2,6</sup>. We describe a new fossil polychaete, *Dannychaeta tucolus*, from the early 31 Cambrian Canglangpu Formation<sup>7</sup>, preserved within delicate, originally organic 32 dwelling tubes. The head has a well-defined spade-shaped prostomium with elongate ventrolateral palps. The body has a wide, stout thorax and elongate abdomen with 33 biramous parapodia with parapodial lamellae. This character combination is shared with 34 35 Magelonidae, and phylogenetic analyses recover Dannychaeta within extant Palaeoannelida. Dannychaeta is the oldest polychaete unambiguously belonging inside 36

37	crown annelids, providing a constraint on the tempo of annelid evolution and revealing
38	unrecognised ecological and morphological diversity in ancient annelids.
39	
40	Systematic Palaeontology
41	Phylum: Annelida Lamarck, 1809
42	Unranked: Palaeoannelida Weigert & Bleidorn, 2016
43	Family: Magelonidae Cunningham & Ramage, 1888
44	Dannychaeta tucolus gen. et sp. nov.
45	
46	Etymology: Danny: referring to Danny Eibye-Jacobsen, for his contributions to our
47	understanding of early annelids; chaeta (Latin): bristle; colus (Latin): dwelling in; tubus
48	(Latin): tube.
49	
50	Holotype: YKLP 11382 part and counterpart (Fig. 1, Extended Data Fig. 1)
51	
52	Referred material: YKLP 11383—11402 (Fig. 2-3, Extended Data Figs. 2-6).
53	
54	Locality and Horizon: Canglangpu Formation, Cambrian Stage 3, Hongjingshao Member
55	(~514 Ma), at southwest of Guanshan reservoir, Chenggong, Kunming, China <sup>7</sup> .
56	
57	Diagnosis for genus: Elongate, slender polychaetes with organic dwelling tubes. Head with
58	anteriorly tapering spade-shaped prostomium, with paired palps attached ventrolaterally near
59	the mouth. Body heteronomously segmented, with wider thorax containing at least 8
60	chaetigers. Parapodia with lateral lamellae in the posterior part of the abdomen. Abdominal
61	parapodia biramous, unknown in thorax. Capillary chaetae in both rami, occurring in tight
62	parallel bundles.
63	
64	Description: The holotype (Fig. 1, Extended Data Fig. 1) is incomplete posteriorly
65	(~40 mm long), showing the wider anterior region (thorax; max width 3.9 mm) (Fig. 1a-e) and
66	abdomen (~1.9 mm exclusive of parapodia) (Fig. 1h-i). The number of thoracic segments
67	would consist of $\geq 8$ chaetigers, extrapolating from segment spacing (15.5 mm length and 1
68	segment per 1.9 mm). The prostomium is a spade-shaped lobe (Figs 1c-e, 2a-h, Extended Data
69	Figs. 1a-g, 2a-h) and is longer (~4 mm) than wide (~2 mm). The relief of overlapping

anatomical features preserved on different planes indicates that the prostomium is dorsal of the
palps (Fig. 1d-f, 2b-d). The palps cross over each other in the holotype (Fig. 1d-f), are
incompletely preserved anteriorly, but are ≥30% the length of the thorax. A specimen in ventral
view shows palps inserting ventrolaterally, anterior of a putative burrowing organ (Fig. 2a-d).
The prostomium has a faint pair of tapering ridges (Fig. 2d). The gut is preserved as a
carbonaceous film (Fig. 3f) that terminates adjacent to palp bases (Fig. 1d-f), indicating palp
attachment near the mouth opening.

77 Abdominal parapodia are distinct lobes, projecting ~300 µm from the body (Fig. 3c-e). 78 Anterior abdominal chaetae in the holotype are  $\sim$ 500 µm long (Fig. 1h). In narrower midbody 79 fragments, chaetae are ~800 µm long (e.g. Fig. 3d), suggesting variable chaetation along the 80 body. Abdominal chaetae are in tight fascicles (Figs 1j, 3c-e, Extended Data Fig. 3k) and are 81 most clear using fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 3d). The chaetae are directed slightly anteriorly 82 (Fig. 1i) which is used to orient fragmentary specimens. In two specimens, lateral lamellae 83 occur adjacent to chaetal bundles (Fig. 3g). Lamellae are crescent-shaped and approximately 84 half the body width in length, with a dorsolateral (Extended Data Fig. 1k) to dorsal (Extended 85 Data Fig. 5f-h) placement. As the rami are often parallel, biramous morphology is revealed by subparallel chaetal bundles (Fig. 3d) or rare oblique views (Fig. 3h, Extended Data Fig. 5). 86 87 Fine details of chaetal morphology are obscure but are consistent with capillaries in the 88 abdomen (e.g. Fig. 3d).

89 The pygidium is never well-preserved, but one specimen has putative pygidial cirri
90 (Extended Data Fig. 5j, k). An ovoid structure between chaetiger one and three is of uncertain
91 identity but resembles a blood lacuna (Fig. 1d-f, Extended Data Figs. 1h-j, 4g).

92 Eight specimens are preserved within a structure that extends beyond the body margin and chaetae (Fig. 3a-f, i-k, Extended Data Figs. 2-4). This is parallel to the body axis, 93 approximately four times the body width (excluding parapodia) (Fig. 3a) with a sharp boundary 94 95 with the matrix, which is visible using light (Fig. 2f) and fluorescence (Fig. 3h) microscopy 96 and elemental maps for iron (Extended data Fig. 3f). This structure is consistent with a dwelling 97 tube, or tube-lined burrow. The tube has a slightly darker appearance relative to the matrix and lacks identifiable agglutinated bioclasts or grains. Tubes have slight relief (Extended Data Fig. 98 99 3g) and sometimes have thick walls at their margins (Extended Data Fig. 5c-e), due to 100 compaction. Like the body, the tube contains iron (Fig. 3f) localised to small grains in the 101 matrix that appear bright in SEM backscatter images (Extended Data Fig. 3f). This is consistent 102 with an organic composition, with organic material acting as a substrate for pyrite formation<sup>8</sup>. Specimens preserved in tubes vary from well-preserved with delineated parapodia/chaetae 103

(Fig. 3c-e, Extended Data Fig. 3) to highly effaced, indicating in situ decay<sup>9</sup> (Extended Data Tig. 4,6). Preservation quality varies along individual specimens (e.g. Extended Data Figs. 3ad).

107

### 108 Discussion

109

Due to low preservation potential<sup>9</sup>, annelid body fossils are rare and distributed discontinuously 110 and unevenly through geological time<sup>10</sup>. Diverse fossil polychaetes are known from the early 111 and middle Cambrian deposits (e.g. Sirius Passet<sup>3,11</sup> and Burgess Shale<sup>12</sup>), but rare from 112 China<sup>13,14</sup>. Cambrian annelids typically are generalised polychaetes in morphology, with well-113 developed biramous parapodia (suggesting motility<sup>15</sup>), elongate chaetae and a pair of 114 palps<sup>2,3,6,11</sup>. They cannot be assigned to extant annelid subclades<sup>6,12</sup> and lack proposed annelid 115 synapomorphies (e.g. the prostomium-peristomium head structure<sup>3</sup> and typically pygidial 116 117 cirri<sup>12</sup>) and are interpreted as stem-group annelids<sup>6</sup>. The lack of Cambrian crown annelids has prompted hypotheses of relatively late crown group diversification, perhaps late 118 Cambrian/Ordovician<sup>4</sup>, when jawed polychaetes become diverse and abundant<sup>16</sup>. 119

Both molecular<sup>1,5,17</sup> and morphological<sup>18</sup> phylogenies have converged on scenarios 120 121 where annelids evolved from polychaete-like ancestors. However, reconciling fossil and phylogenomic evidence has been challenging<sup>2,6,12,15</sup>. Molecular phylogenies recover a grade of 122 infaunal, sessile and tube-dwelling taxa as deep branches<sup>1,5</sup>, including Magelonidae, 123 Oweniidae, Chaetopteriformia and Sipuncula. These groups differ from Cambrian polychaetes 124 125 in terms of gross morphology and inferred mode of life<sup>6</sup>. A tube-dwelling annelid ancestor has been proposed<sup>19</sup> (although see<sup>20</sup>), which is contradicted by interpretations of the fossil record<sup>15</sup>, 126 and morphological hypotheses regarding the origin of annelid body plan features, e.g. 127 segmentation and parapodia<sup>18,20</sup>. Fossil specimens interpreted as sipunculans (which 128 phylogenomic studies recover within Annelida<sup>1,5</sup>) are known from the early Cambrian 129 Chengjiang Lagerstätte<sup>21</sup> but are rare and poorly known. If correctly interpreted, the oldest 130 fossil crown annelids therefore belong to taxa that have lost most annelid synapomorphies<sup>6</sup>, 131 including segmentation<sup>22</sup>. Magelonidae and Oweniidae (Palaeoannelida<sup>1</sup>) are recovered as the 132 sister group of all other annelids and so have featured prominently in recent discussions of the 133 annelid ancestor<sup>15,17,19</sup>. These families are unusual among polychaetes, as they lack nuchal 134 organs, possess monociliated epidermal cells and simple nervous systems<sup>1,17</sup>. 135

Dannychaeta is dissimilar in gross morphology to that of previously known Cambrian
 polychaetes, but shares derived characters with extant Magelonidae. A spade-shaped

prostomium with ventrolateral palps (Fig. 2) is characteristic for Magelonidae, which also have 138 a differentiated thorax composed of eight or nine chaetigers<sup>23,24</sup>. Other well-known Cambrian 139 annelids lack a clearly demarcated head and prostomial lobe<sup>3</sup> (but have lateral palps<sup>15</sup>), 140 suggesting the typical annelid head structure evolved after the origin of a segmented body, 141 parapodia and palps<sup>3</sup>. The presence of a differentiated head in *Dannychaeta* is unique among 142 Cambrian annelid fossils, indicating in itself a phylogenetic position proximal to or within the 143 annelid crown group<sup>3</sup>. The significance of the putative blood lacuna is uncertain, but small ring 144 shaped vessels occur in *Magelona*<sup>23</sup> and a larger lacuna occurs in *Poecilochaetus*<sup>25</sup>. However, 145 146 both are placed more anteriorly, nearer the prostomium.

Although not widespread (~7% of species<sup>24</sup>), several magelonid species live in tubelined burrows<sup>26</sup> with an organic/parchment-like composition, some adhered sediment grains/bioclasts. These tubes are similar in inferred construction materials and dimensions relative to the body as in *Dannychaeta*. The presence of both obliquely and parallelly oriented specimens (e.g. Extended Data Figs. 2, 4) suggests at least some transport prior to burial.

We reconstruct Dannvchaeta as a sessile, infaunal polychaete that fed in the water 152 column using elongate palps (as in extant Magelonidae<sup>24,26</sup>; Fig. 4a, b). Our phylogenetic 153 analyses recover Dannychaeta in the magelonid stem group (Fig. 4c, Extended Data Figs. 6, 154 155 7). Parapodial and chaetal morphology differ in some details in *Dannychaeta* and Magelonidae. 156 In extant Magelona, the chaetae of the abdomen are hooded hooks, which are generally shorter than the thoracic capillary chaetae and occur in rows<sup>24</sup>, whereas abdominal chaetae in 157 Dannychaeta resemble capillaries held in bundles (Fig. 3c-e). Hooded hooks in certain families 158 share details of ultrastructure and formation<sup>27</sup> resulting in a proposed close relationship 159 between Capitellidae, Spionidae and Magelonidae<sup>27</sup>, which is not supported by 160 phylogenomics<sup>1</sup>. The absence of hooded hooks in *Dannychaeta* may therefore provide 161 consilient evidence of convergent chaetal evolution in these families. Parapodial lamellae also 162 differ in some details in *Dannychaeta*, as they are dorsolaterally placed, but occur partially in 163 inter-ramal space in some species in *Magelona*<sup>24</sup>. *Dannychaeta* is larger than extant magelonids 164 that are typically less than 1 mm wide<sup>24</sup>, although tubicolous species (e.g. *M. alleni*) achieve 165 the largest widths and share a more robust anterior region (thorax) with  $Dannychaeta^{24}$ . 166

167 Other non-pleistoannelid polychaetes also share some characters with *Dannychaeta*. 168 *Spiochaetopterus* has elongate palps, a differentiated anterior region and organic tubes<sup>28</sup>. 169 However, chaetopterids have ridge-like parapodia (tori) with short, hooked chaetae (uncini<sup>2</sup>), 170 which are distinct from laterally projecting, lobate parapodia in *Dannychaeta*. The head of 171 *Spiochaetopterus* is neither a distinct anterior lobe nor spade shaped. Nevertheless, chaetopterids branch proximally to Palaeoannelida<sup>5</sup>. A close relationship between *Dannychaeta* and chaetopterids would also suggest the presence of tubicolous, early branching
crown group annelids in the early Cambrian.

Annelid tube fossils are well-documented<sup>10</sup>. Some late Ediacaran and early Cambrian fossil tubes are tentatively assigned to annelids or described as of 'annelid grade'<sup>30</sup>, but lack diagnostic features that would allow confident phylogenetic placement. Tube dwelling has evolved several times among extant annelids, and 'annelid mimicking' taxa with lophophorate affinities are well known from the early Palaeozoic<sup>29</sup>, indicating caution should be exercised when assigning fossil tubes. The controversial late Ediacaran tubular fossil *Cloudina* has been tentatively reconstructed as an annelid based on the presence of a tubular gut<sup>30</sup>.

Regardless of the phylogenetic position and fossil record of sipunculans<sup>4,21,22</sup>, *Dannychaeta* confirms crown annelids as minimally early Cambrian in age, revealing early exploration of sessile ecological niches, over a hundred million years prior to other unambiguous examples of tubicolous annelids<sup>10,29</sup>. *Dannychaeta* reveals that stem-group annelids coexisted with members of the crown group in the early Cambrian and exhibited a diversity of life modes, including epibenthic<sup>15</sup> and sessile forms.

188

Weigert, A. & Bleidorn, C. Current status of annelid phylogeny. *Organisms Diversity & Evolution*, 1-18 (2016).

Eibye-Jacobsen, D. & Vinther, J. Reconstructing the ancestral annelid. *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research* 50, 85-87, doi:10.1111/j.1439-0469.2011.00651.x (2012).

- 1943Parry, L., Vinther, J. & Edgecombe, G. D. Cambrian stem-group annelids and a195metameric origin of the annelid head. *Biology Letters* **11**, 20150763 (2015).
- Sperling, E. A. *et al.* MicroRNAs Resolve an Apparent Conflict Between Annelid
  Systematics and Their Fossil Record. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 276,
  4315-4322 (2009).
- Weigert, A. *et al.* Illuminating the base of the annelid tree using transcriptomics. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**, 1391-1401 (2014).
- Parry, L. A., Edgecombe, G. D., Eibye-Jacobsen, D. & Vinther, J. The impact of fossil
  data on annelid phylogeny inferred from discrete morphological characters. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 283, 20161378 (2016).
- Zeng, H., Zhao, F., Yin, Z., Li, G. & Zhu, M. A Chengjiang-type fossil assemblage
  from the Hongjingshao Formation (Cambrian Stage 3) at Chenggong, Kunming,
  Yunnan. *Chinese science bulletin* 59, 3169-3175 (2014).
- 207 8 Gabbott, S. E., Xian-Guang, H., Norry, M. J. & Siveter, D. J. Preservation of Early
  208 Cambrian animals of the Chengjiang biota. *Geology* 32, 901-904 (2004).
- Briggs, D. E. G. & Kear, A. J. Decay and preservation of polychaetes; taphonomic
  thresholds in soft-bodied organisms. *Paleobiology* 19, 107-135 (1993).
- Parry, L. A., Eriksson, M. & Vinther, J. in *Handbook of Zoology : Annelida Volume 1: Annelida Basal Groups and Pleistoannelida, Sedentaria I Annelida Volume 1:*

213		Annelida Basal Groups and Pleistoannelida, Sedentaria I. (eds Günter, Purschke
214		Markus Böggemann, & Wilfried Westheide) 69-88 (De Gruyter, 2019).
215	11	Vinther, I., Eibye-Jacobsen, D. & Harper, D. A. An Early Cambrian stem polychaete
216		with pygidial cirri. <i>Biol Lett</i> <b>7</b> , 929-932, doi:10.1098/rsbl.2011.0592 (2011).
217	12	Eibve-Jacobsen, D. A reevaluation of <i>Wiwaxia</i> and the polychaetes of the Burgess
218		Shale. Lethaia <b>37</b> , 317-335, doi:10.1080/00241160410002027 (2004).
219	13	Liu, J. <i>et al.</i> Lower Cambrian polychaete from China sheds light on early annelid
220		evolution. The Science of Nature <b>102</b> , 1-7 (2015).
221	14	Han, J., Morris, S. C., Cuthill, J. F. H. & Shu, D. Sclerite-bearing annelids from the
222		lower Cambrian of South China. <i>Scientific Reports</i> <b>9</b> , 4955 (2019).
223	15	Parry, L. & Caron, JB. <i>Canadia spinosa</i> and the early evolution of the annelid
224		nervous system. Science advances 5, eaax5858 (2019).
225	16	Parry, L. A., Edgecombe, G. D., Sykes, D. & Vinther, J. Jaw elements in Plumulites
226		bengtsoni confirm that machaeridians are extinct armoured scaleworms.
227		Proceedings of the Royal Society B <b>286</b> , 20191247 (2019).
228	17	Helm, C. <i>et al.</i> Convergent evolution of the ladder-like ventral nerve cord in
229		Annelida. <i>Frontiers in zoology</i> <b>15</b> , 36 (2018).
230	18	Westheide, W. The direction of evolution within the Polychaeta. Journal of
231		Natural History <b>31</b> , 1-15, doi:10.1080/00222939700770011 (1997).
232	19	Beckers, P. et al. The central nervous system of Oweniidae (Annelida) and its
233		implications for the structure of the ancestral annelid brain. <i>Frontiers in Zoology</i>
234		<b>16</b> , 6 (2019).
235	20	Struck, T. Direction of evolution within Annelida and the definition of
236		Pleistoannelida. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 49,
237		340-345, doi:10.1111/j.1439-0469.2011.00640.x (2011).
238	21	Huang, D. Y., Chen, J. Y., Vannier, J. & Salinas, J. I. S. Early Cambrian sipunculan
239		worms from southwest China. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological
240		<i>Sciences</i> <b>271</b> , 1671-1676, doi:10.1098/rspb.2004.2774 (2004).
241	22	Carrillo-Baltodano, A. M., Boyle, M. J., Rice, M. E. & Meyer, N. P. Developmental
242		architecture of the nervous system in Themiste lageniformis (Sipuncula): New
243		evidence from confocal laser scanning microscopy and gene expression. Journal
244		of morphology (2019).
245	23	Jones, M. L. On the morphology, feeding, and behavior of <i>Magelona sp. The</i>
246		Biological Bulletin <b>134</b> , 272-297 (1968).
247	24	Mortimer, K. in <i>Handbook of Zoology</i> (eds W Westheide & G Purschke) (De
248		Gruyter, 2019).
249	25	Allen, E. The anatomy of <i>Poecilochaetus</i> , Claparede. <i>Quarterly Journal of</i>
250		Microscopical Science 48, 79 (1904).
251	26	Mills, K. & Mortimer, K. Observations on the tubicolous annelid <i>Magelona alleni</i>
252		(Magelonidae), with discussions on the relationship between morphology and
253		behaviour of European magelonids. <i>Journal of the Marine Biological Association</i>
254	07	of the United Kingdom, 1-13 (2018).
255	27	Hausen, H. Chaetae and chaetogenesis in polychaetes (Annelida). <i>Hydrobiologia</i>
256	20	<b>535</b> , 37-52 (2005).
257	28	Barnes, K. D. Tube-building and feeding in the chaetopterid polychaete,
258	20	Spiocnaetopterus oculatus. <i>The Biological Bulletin</i> <b>12</b> 7, 397-412 (1964).
259	29	Ippolitov, A., vinn, O., Kupriyanova, E. & Jager, M. Vol. /1 ( <i>Memoirs of Museum</i>
260		<i>victoria</i> , 2014J.

263 264

Schiffbauer, J. D. *et al.* Discovery of bilaterian-type through-guts in
cloudinomorphs from the terminal Ediacaran Period. *Nature Communications* 11,
1-12 (2020).

## 265 Acknowledgements.

266 This work was supported by NSFC grant 41861134032, and Yunnan Provincial grants 2015HC029, 2018FA025 and 2018IA073. LAP was supported by a YIBS Donolley 267 Postdoctoral Fellowship. DYZ is supported by the Key Research Program of the Institute of 268 269 Geology & Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IGGCAS-201905). XYM is supported by a Natural Environment Research Council Independent Research Fellowship 270 (NE/L011751/1). We thank Kunhong Chen and colleagues from the Xiazhuang subdistrict 271 office in Chenggong for generous support in field collection. Thanks to Peiyun Cong, Di Wu, 272 273 Ting Zhao, Yang Zhao and Mengying Yin for help in fieldwork, to Xiaoyu Yang and Huijuan 274 Mai for SEM-EDX technical support, to Runging Zhou for micro-CT scanning. We thank Danny Eibye-Jacobsen for providing detailed comments on an earlier version of this 275 276 manuscript and for his continued support and valuable mentorship. We thank Robert Nicholls 277 for the artistic reconstruction of Dannychaeta tucolus.

278

279 Figure 1 | Dannychaeta tucolus, holotype specimen YKLP 11382. a, b, part and counterpart, 280 direct light. c, interpretative drawing of counterpart, colour scheme detailed in f. d, anterior 281 region of part. e, anterior region of counterpart under fluorescent light. Specimen mirrored for 282 easier comparison with part. f, interpretative drawing of the anterior, based on both part and counterpart. g, Fe map from SEM-EDX analysis. h, i, abdominal region, counterpart, direct 283 284 light (h), fluorescent light (i). j, close-up of parapodium and chaetae. Abbreviations: ch chaetae, gu - gut, mo - mouth, pl - palp, pal - parapodium of segment one, pa8/9 -285 parapodium of segment eight or nine, pa – parapodium. 286

287

Figure 2 | *Dannychaeta tucolus*, anterior region. a, YKLP 11390b, anterior fragment with
head and palps. b, anterior region of YKLP 11390b, low angle illumination from the northwest.
c, region as in b, fluorescent light. d, interpretative drawing outlining anatomical features
(colour scheme as in Fig. 1f). e, YKLP 11393b specimen preserving anterior region, dwelling
tubes and a partial abdomen. f, YKLP 11393a, part. g, YKLP 11393b, details of anterior region,
white arrowheads indicate tube margins. h, interpretative drawing of g. Abbreviations: pl –
palps, pa – parapodium, pro- prostomium, bo – burrowing organ, rd – prostomial ridge.

295

296 297

Figure 3 | Dannychaeta tucolus, morphological details. a-f, YKLP 11383. a, abdominal 298 299 region within dwelling tube **b**, interpretative drawing of **a** (colours as in Fig 1). Anteriorly, black filled arrowheads indicate the body margin and white filled arrowheads indicate the tube 300 301 edge. c, close up showing the segmented body and parapodia. d, close up of chaetal fascicle. e, interpretative drawing outlining chaetal fascicles. f close up and SEM-EDX maps. g-h, 302 303 YKLP 11389. g, close up showing parapodia and lateral lamellae. h, close up of YKLP 11384a 304 showing biramous parapodia, chaetae (white arrowhead indicates tube edge). Abbreviations: 305 ch – chaetae, gu – gut, pa – parapodium, plm – parapodial lamella.

- 306
- 307

308 Figure 4 | Reconstruction of *Dannychaeta*. a, technical drawing showing proportions, body 309 regions and gross anatomy, colour scheme is as in Fig 1f, dorsal view (left) and right lateral 310 view (right). Parapodia in the thorax not observed but inferred shown in grey. b, life 311 reconstruction showing *Dannychaeta tucolus* living in buried tubes, artwork by Bob Nicholls. 312 c, Bayesian phylogenic analysis (365 characters, 143 taxa, mki + gamma model) incorporating 313 Dannychaeta tucolus. Numbers at nodes are posterior probabilities with scalebar in number of substitutions per site (see Extended Data figure 7 + 8 for full results and additional 314 315 information).

316

#### 317 Methods

The specimens were studied, photographed at the Yunnan Key Laboratory for Palaeobiology, 318 319 Yunnan University (YKLP) and at the University of Exeter, and are deposited in YKLP. 320 Photographs were taken using a Canon EOS 5DSR coupled with a MACRO 100 mm lens, and 321 a Leica DFC7000T linked to a Leica M205 FA fluorescent microscope. The excitation 322 wavelength of GFPL is 480 nm, and the excitation wavelength of RFPL is 546 nm. Images 323 used a gain value of 3.3, saturation value of 52.00, gamma value of 0.92. The external light source of the fluorescence microscope was a LEICA KL 300 LED, used for taking white light 324 325 images. Scanning electron microscope images were collected using a FEI Quanta 650 FEG 326 using an accelerating voltage of 25kv and a working distance of 12.4 mm. Energy dispersive 327 X-ray (EDX) analyses used an EDAX Pegasus using accelerating voltages of 25-30kv with a 328 working distance of 12.4-13 mm.

Phylogenetic analyses were based on a previously published character matrix for 329 annelids and their close relatives<sup>6</sup> which has been updated successively with the addition of 330 new taxa and fossil data<sup>14-16,31</sup>. We performed Bayesian analyses using MrBayes 3.2.6 using 331 the mki model with the Lewis correction for the scoring only of informative characters<sup>32</sup>, with 332 333 default priors for all parameters (ie.g.e. all trees given equal prior probability). Bayesian analyses with and without topological constraints based on phylogenomic trees were used to 334 335 investigate if the conflicting topologies recovered from morphological and molecular data impacted the phylogenetic position of Dannychaeta tucolus. These constraints were 336 337 constructed by incorporating results from the most recent<sup>17</sup> and taxon rich<sup>33,34</sup> phylogenomic analyses of annelids and are outlined in detail in the supporting information. We followed ref<sup>15</sup> 338 by excluding Arkonips and Guanshanchaeta from the character matrix as they contain 339 340 redundant character scores. For both analyses 100 million generations were requested, with the analysis stopping once the average deviation of split frequencies dropped below 0.01. 341 342 Convergence was then assessed using ESS (>200) and PSRF (~1.0) values for all model parameters. Parsimony analyses without topological constraints were conducted in TNT 1.5<sup>35</sup> 343 344 (courtesy of the Willi Hennig Society), using both equal weights and implied weights with k=10. Bremer support and jackknife and bootstrap frequencies from 1000 replications were 345 346 inferred for equal weights trees and frequency differences were inferred from 1000 replicates of symmetric resampling for the implied weights trees. 347

348

## 349 References

31 Nanglu, K. & Caron, J.-B. A new Burgess Shale polychaete and the origin of the
annelid head revisited. *Current Biology* 28, 319-326. e311 (2018).

352 32 Lewis, P. O. A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete
353 morphological character data. *Systematic Biology* 50, 913-925 (2001).

- 354 33 Struck, T. H. *et al.* The evolution of annelids reveals two adaptive routes to the
  interstitial realm. *Current Biology* 25, 1993-1999 (2015).
- 34 Andrade, S. C. *et al.* Articulating "archiannelids": Phylogenomics and annelid
  relationships, with emphasis on meiofaunal taxa. *Molecular biology and evolution*, msv157 (2015).
- 359 35 Goloboff, P. A., Farris, J. S. & Nixon, K. C. TNT, a free program for phylogenetic
  analysis. *Cladistics* 24, 774-786 (2008).
- 361 362

# 363 Author contributions

LAP, HC, JV and XYM designed the study and interpreted the fossil specimens and theiranatomy. HC, DYZ and XGH collected the specimens. HC prepared and photographed all

366	specimens and performed the EDAX elemental analysis. LAP made the figures, performed the
367	phylogenetic analyses and composed the first draft of the manuscript with substantial input
368	from all co-authors.
369	
370	Corresponding authors
371	
372	Correspondance should be addressed to Xiaoya Ma ( <u>x.ma2@exeter.ac.uk</u> ) or Xianguang Hou
373	(xghou@ynu.edu.cn).
374	
375	ETHICS DECLARATIONS
376	
377	Competing interests.
378	The authors declare no competing interests.
379	
380	DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
381	
382	All data analysed in this paper are available as part of the published paper, the extended data
383	or supplementary information. The phylogenetic dataset and commands and topological
384	constraints necessary to run the MrBayes analyses are included as NEXUS formatted files. The
385	nomenclatural acts in this publication have been registered at ZooBank (LSID:
386	urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:5BC89E47-2955-4539-94FD-D400E8C947FB).
387	
388	Extended Data Figure Captions
389	
390	Extended data Figure 1   Additional details of holotype specimen. a, YKLP 11382a entire
391	specimen, including possible posterior region. b, possible posterior region from region shown

in a. c, YKLP 11382a, box indicates region used for fluorescence microscopy. d, interpretative 392 drawing of specimen shown in c. e, YKLP 11382b showing regions used in SEM-EDX 393 mapping and fluorescence microscopy. f, close up of anterior region showing prostomial lobe 394 395 and palp attachment. g, interpretative drawing of region shown in f showing major anatomical 396 features, colour scheme as in Fig 1, and palp attachment inferred also with additional information from the counterpart. h, SEM-EDX elemental maps, element names for each map 397 are shown in the bottom right corner. i, fluorescence image showing the anterior region of the 398 399 body in YKLP 11382b including the gut, palps and putative blood lacuna. j, fluorescence image of putative blood lacuna in YKLP 11382a. k, close up of posterior fragment associated with
the holotype with parapodial lamellae. Abbreviations: bl – blood lacuna, ch – chaetae, gu –
gut, mo – mouth, pl – palps, plm – parapodial lamella, pro – prostomium.

403

404 Extended data Figure 2 | Specimen of Dannychaeta tucolus YKLP 11393 preserved in 405 dwelling tube. a, YKLP 11393b specimen preserving anterior region, dwelling tube and a 406 partial abdomen. b, YKLP 11393a specimen preserving anterior region, dwelling tubes and a 407 partial abdomen. c, YKLP 11393b, close up of anterior region (boxed area shown in a), white 408 arrowheads indicate the tube margins. d, interpretative drawing of region shown in c. e close 409 up of prostomium and palps of c. f, YKLP 11393a, close up of anterior region (boxed area 410 shown in **b**), white arrowheads indicate the tube margins. **g**, close up of same region as in **f**, 411 using fluorescence microscope. h, interpretative drawing of region shown in f and g. i, poorly 412 preserved abdominal region, from region shown in **a**, direct light. **j**, same region as in **i**, using 413 fluorescence microscope. k, poorly preserved abdominal region, from region shown in b, direct light. I, same region as in k, using fluorescence microscope. m, thoracic chaetiger showing 414 parapodia and chaetae from region shown in **b**. **n**, thoracic chaetiger showing parapodia and 415 chaetae from region shown in a. Abbreviations: ch -chaetae, gu - gut, pa - parapodium, pro -416 417 prostomium, pl – palp.

418

419 Extended data Figure 3 | Additional details of specimen YKLP 11383, preserved inside dwelling tube parallel to bedding. a, YKLP 11383a midbody fragment preserved inside 420 421 dwelling tube, part. b, interpretative drawing of specimen shown in a, regions demarcated by black and blue brackets represent decayed and well preserved regions of the body fossil, 422 423 respectively. c, YKLP 11383b, midbody fragment preserved inside dwelling tube, counterpart. 424 d, interpretative drawing of specimen shown in c. e, close up of well preserved region of YKLP 425 11383a in a, showing 11 chaetigers preserved inside the dwelling tube. f, SEM backscatter 426 image of similar region to EDX maps shown in Figure 3f, showing bright grains associated 427 with the tube and body fossil, arrowheads indicate the left tube margin and pyritised tube wall. 428 g, section of fossil shown in a photographed under low angle light to indicate the relief of the 429 dwelling tube. h, section of fossil shown in c photographed under low angle light to indicate the relief of the dwelling tube. i, close up of three chaetiger region of region shown in e. j, same 430 region as in i, photographed using fluorescence microscope. k, close up of individual 431

432 parapodium shown in j, photographed using fluorescence microscope. Abbreviations: ch –
433 chaetae, gu – gut, pa – parapodium.

434

Extended data Figure 4 | Specimens YKLP 11384a, YKLP 11387 and YKLP 11401 435 436 showing effaced specimens preserved in dwelling tubes. a, YKLP 11384a, anterior fragment preserving thorax and abdomen preserved inside dwelling tube. b, YKLP 11387a, anterior 437 438 fragment preserving thorax and abdomen. c, YKLP 11387b, anterior fragment preserving thorax and abdomen. d, close up of abdominal chaetigers in YKLP 11387a, from region shown 439 440 in **b**, white filled arrows indicate the tube margins. **e**, same region as in **d** photographed using fluorescence microscope. f, YKLP 11401, effaced specimen preserved in dwelling tube 441 442 preserving the putative blood lacuna. g, close up of region shown in f, showing gut and possible 443 blood lacuna. Brackets on a, b, c and f indicate the position of the thoracic region. 444 Abbreviations: bl – blood lacuna, ch – chaetae, gu – gut.

445

Extended data Figure 5 | Additional details of specimen YKLP 11389 showing details of 446 447 parapodia, parapodial lamellae and the posterior region. a, YKLP 11389b, counterpart, 448 posterior fragment preserving parapodia and chaetae. b, YKLP 11389a, part showing 449 preservation of lateral parapodial lamellae. c, close up of five chaetigers from region shown in 450 a. d, close up of chaetiger from region shown in c. e, close up of chaetiger in d. f, close up of chaetiger preserving parapodial lamellae from region shown in **b.** g, chaetigers preserving 451 parapodial lamellae from region shown in **b**. **h**, same region as in **g** showing parapodial 452 453 lamellae, photographed using fluorescence microscope. i, posterior region as shown in a, with 454 putative pygidial cirri. j, close up of putative pygidial cirri in i. k, same region as in j, photographed with fluorescence microscope. Abbreviations: ch - chaetae, gu - gut, plm -455 456 parapodial lamella, pyc – pygidial cirri.

457

### 458 Extended data Figure 6 | Specimen YKLP 11384, a decayed specimen prerved in dwelling

459 tube. a, YKLP 11384a, whole specimen in dwelling tube, white arrowheads indicate the tube 460 margin. b, YKLP 11384b, whole specimen in dwelling tube, white arrowheads indicate the 461 tube margin. c, details of YKLP 11384b, showing putative blood lacuna and gut, white and 462 black arrowheads indicate the tube and body margins respectively. d, same view as in c, but 463 imaged using fluorescence microscopy, note the thick appearance of the tube margin. e, detail 464 of YKLP 11384a, showing preservation of the tube wall, indicated by white arrowheads.

465

466

Extended data Figure 7 | Full results of Bayesian phylogenetic analyses. a, full results of 467 unconstrained analysis under the mki + gamma model, topology is a majority rule consensus 468 469 tree and the scale bar is in units of expected number of substitutions per site, numbers at nodes are posterior probabilities. **b**, full results of analysis with constraints from phylogenomics under 470 471 the mki + gamma model, topology is a majority rule consensus tree and the scale bar is in units 472 of expected number of substitutions per site, numbers at nodes are posterior probabilities. 473 474 Extended data Figure 8 | Results of parsimony analyses under equal and implied weighting. a, Strict consensus tree (length 1071) of parsimony analysis without topological 475 constraints, numbers at nodes are support from bootstrapping, jacknifing and Bremer decay. b, 476 strict consensus of trees inferred using implied weighting at k=10 (tree score 46.14190), 477

478 numbers at nodes are relative frequencies from symmetric resampling.

- 479
- 480
- 481























