

Article



# Geochemistry, Mineralogy and Microbiology of Cobalt in Mining-Affected Environments

Gabriel Ziwa<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Rich Crane<sup>1,2</sup> and Karen A. Hudson-Edwards<sup>1,2</sup>

- Environment and Sustainability Institute, University of Exeter, Penryn TR10 9FE, UK;
- r.crane@exeter.ac.uk (R.C.); k.hudson-edwards@exeter.ac.uk (K.A.H.-E.)
- <sup>2</sup> Camborne School of Mines, University of Exeter, Penryn TR10 9FE, UK
- \* Correspondence: gz239@exeter.ac.uk

Abstract: Cobalt is recognised by the European Commission as a "Critical Raw Material" due to its irreplaceable functionality in many types of modern technology, combined with its current high-risk status associated with its supply. Despite such importance, there remain major knowledge gaps with regard to the geochemistry, mineralogy, and microbiology of cobalt-bearing environments, particularly those associated with ore deposits and subsequent mining operations. In such environments, high concentrations of Co (up to 34,400 mg/L in mine water, 14,165 mg/kg in tailings, 21,134 mg/kg in soils, and 18,434 mg/kg in stream sediments) have been documented. Co is contained in ore and mine waste in a wide variety of primary (e.g., cobaltite, carrolite, and erythrite) and secondary (e.g., erythrite, heterogenite) minerals. When exposed to low pH conditions, a number of such minerals are known to undergo dissolution, typically forming  $\text{Co}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ . At circumneutral pH, such aqueous Co can then become immobilised by co-precipitation and/or sorption onto Fe and Mn(oxyhydr)oxides. This paper brings together contemporary knowledge on such Co cycling across different mining environments. Further research is required to gain a truly robust understanding of the Co-system in mining-affected environments. Key knowledge gaps include the mechanics and kinetics of secondary Co-bearing mineral environmental transformation, the extent at which such environmental cycling is facilitated by microbial activity, the nature of Co speciation across different Eh-pH conditions, and the environmental and human toxicity of Co.

Keywords: cobalt; mine waste; tailings; erythrite; heterogenite; biogeochemistry

### 1. Introduction

Cobalt (Co) is a d-block transition metal and appears in the fourth period of the Periodic Table between iron and nickel [1]. It is a naturally occurring element widely distributed in rocks, soils, sediments, water, plants, and animals [2–4]. Since 2011, Co has been recognised by the European Commission as a "Critical Raw Material" [5] and deemed strategically important [6,7] due to increasing demand and use in rechargeable batteries. Other uses of Co span multiple industries, from healthcare and as a high temperature alloy in combustion engines to renewable energy such as solar and power, and data storage. This is due to its many unique characteristics including magnetic properties and resistance to high temperatures, wear, and corrosion [8].

Cobalt is an essential element necessary for the formation of vitamin B12 (hydroxocobalamin) [2]. However, excessive Co exposure can result in a range of symptoms/ conditions in humans including goitre and reduced thyroid activity [9]. One major pathway of Co exposure to humans and plants is from mining activity and associated waste disposal/management. Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of the biogeochemistry of Co in mining-affected environments is crucial in order to achieve sustainable mining practices and remediation of Co contaminated soils and waters. This is important to safeguard against any potential adverse environmental and human health impacts that result from Co exposure [10]. It has become apparent, however, that there are only a limited



Citation: Ziwa, G.; Crane, R.; Hudson-Edwards, K.A. Geochemistry, Mineralogy and Microbiology of Cobalt in Mining-Affected Environments. *Minerals* **2021**, *11*, 22. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/min 11010022

Received: 20 November 2020 Accepted: 23 December 2020 Published: 27 December 2020

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/). number of studies devoted to understanding Co mobility within tailings, soils, smelter waste, and mine waters [10–12]. This paper will review the geochemistry, mineralogy, and microbiology of Co in mining-affected environments. Within this, the major controls on Co uptake and mobility in mine-affected waters, soils, sediments, plants, minerals, and microbes are described, and a synopsis of the key areas for future research are included.

#### 2. Geology and Characteristics of Co-Bearing Ore Deposits

The average crustal abundance of Co is approximately 25 ppm, making it one of the least abundant elements [1]. In spite of this, many geological processes have locally concentrated Co to form economically viable deposits. Such deposits are hosted in rocks ranging from Precambrian to Quaternary age and are typically between 0.1 and 0.4 wt. % Co grade. Cobalt is almost always mined as a by-product of copper and nickel [1,13] with the exception of the Bou Azzer deposit in Morocco, where it is the chief commodity [14,15]. According to Slack et al. (2017) [7], 34 Co minerals have been recognised in these deposits [7]. These are predominantly sulphides, arsenides, sulpharsenides, arsenates, cobaltiferous iron sulphides, sulphates, and carbonates. The major Co-bearing ores mined across the globe are cobaltite (CoAsS), cattierite (CoS<sub>2</sub>), carollite ((CuCo)<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>), sphaerocobaltite (CoAs<sub>2</sub>), cobaltpentlandite [(Co-Fe)<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub>], siegenite [(Ni,Co)<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>], linnaeite (Co<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>), smaltite (CoAs<sub>2</sub>), safflorite [(Co,Fe)As<sub>2</sub>], and skutterudite [(Co,Fe,Ni)As<sub>2-3</sub>] [16–19]. Four principal geological settings host the vast majority of currently economically viable Co deposits (Figure 1): hydrothermal, magmatic, laterites, and chemical precipitate deposits.



Figure 1. Global distribution of different major Co deposits (redrawn from Slack et al., 2017 [7]).

#### 2.1. Hydrothermal Deposits

These deposits are formed when hydrothermal fluids interact with basement rocks. These rocks are therefore invariably mafic and/or ultramafic [13] and rich in Fe–Mg [20]. In other cases, the deposits occur within sedimentary basins [21], in which they were most commonly formed by the leaching of Co, Ni, Fe, and As from ultramafic rocks (serpentinites, basalts, peridotites) by acidic magmatic fluids [14,22]. The principle Co-bearing ore minerals of hydrothermal deposits are arsenides, sulpharsenides, and sulphides [23].

#### 2.2. Magmatic Deposits

These deposits are produced by high-temperature magmatic processes in some mafic and/or ultramafic intrusions or in volcanic flows [1]. Cobalt is mined as a by-product of

#### 2.3. Laterites

Laterites are produced by deep humid weathering of bedrock, during which certain elements such as Co and Ni are removed and then enriched by supergene processes. These deposits typically contain 0.1–0.15 wt. % Co and range in age from mid-Tertiary to Quaternary [26–28]. The most significant and/or economic enrichments have been associated with ultramafic rocks [7]. The deposits range in thickness between 10 m and 40 m, and Co is hosted in the clays, goethite, erythrite, and heterogenite [29,30]. In a typical lateritic profile, the highest grades of Ni are found in the saprolite, whereas the highest grades of Co (~0.25 wt. %) are found in the oxidation zones [24,31].

#### 2.4. Chemical Precipitates

These are an emerging and potential source of Co in the future [7]. The deposits are associated with Fe and Mn precipitation [7] at the peripheries of seafloor hydrothermal systems, during the formation of Fe–Mn nodules and crusts on the ocean floor and seamounts [32], or during weathering as at Mt. Tabor in Australia [33].

#### 3. Geochemistry of Cobalt in Mine Wastes

#### 3.1. Cobalt in Mine Waters

A wide range of geochemical conditions have been recorded in mine waters, resulting in variable Co concentrations being reported (Table 1). High Co concentrations in groundwater, adit water, and runoff are mainly attributed to the weathering and dissolution of sulphide ores and secondary minerals in regions of acidic pH. The oxidation of pyrite, and conversely the reduction of Fe, can cause Co and other trace elements to be released from the tailings and other solid mine wastes. According to (Krupka and Serne, 2002) [34],  $Co^{2+}$  (aq) tends to be the most dominant aqueous species under geochemical conditions, typically encountered in the natural environment (Figure 2). Studies conducted in Kabwe, central Zambia, where local Pb and Zn ores were mined and processed from 1903 to 1994, have indicated that high concentrations of Co (34,400 mg/L) occur in water flowing from the leach plant at pH 2.89 [35]. At such pH, the oxidation of carrolite ( $(CuCo)_2S_4$ ) can act as a source of dissolved Co in mine waters [35]. High concentrations of Co (0.5–2028  $\mu$ g/L) also have been recorded in surface waters in Cobalt (Canada) [36]. These have been attributed to the dissolution of secondary minerals such as erythrite and annabergite at pH ~2 [36,37]. According to Zhu et al., (2013) [37], erythrite solubility typically exhibits a strong inverse correlation with solution pH. Cobalt and As dissolution is suggested to proceed via the following reactions [37]:

$$Co_3(AsO_4)_2 \cdot 8H_2O + 2H^+ = 3Co^{2+} + 2HAsO_4^{2-} + 8H_2O$$
(1)

$$Co^{2+} + HAsO_4^{2-} = CoAsO_4^{-} + H^+$$
 (2)

$$Co^{2+} + 2OH^{-} = Co(OH)_2$$
 (3)



Figure 2. Eh-pH diagram showing the dominant Co species (redrawn from Krupka and Serne, 2002 [34]).

In a separate study conducted at the Idaho Cobalt Belt (USA), aqueous Co concentrations were also significantly higher in acidic mine water than those of adjacent circumneutral pH stream waters (e.g., at Blackbird: 75,000  $\mu$ g/L at pH 2.7, compared to <1.2  $\mu$ g/L at pH 7.4) [38]. The low pH in the waters proximal to the mines and tailings facilities has been attributed to the weathering of pyrite and pyrrhotite and the subsequent dissolution of cobaltite (CoAsS) [22,38]. In the Central African Copperbelt (Katanga, Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)), Co concentrations in mining effluent and water (pH ~6) from the Co–Cu deposits have been reported to be as high as 3164  $\mu$ g/L [39]. The study revealed that the highest concentrations of Co were found in waters close to mining effluent discharge zones [39]. Within this, it has been highlighted that a key mechanism that underpins such Co release to the aqueous phase is heterogenite dissolution, which is particularly prevalent in waters at pH < 6 [34].

Aqueous Co concentrations up to about 18,689  $\mu$ g/L were reported for acidic (pH 0.6–0.8) and hypersaline leachate seeping from a pyrite pile in the San Telmo mine, Spain [40]. In the same region, Co concentrations in stream waters from the Peña de Hierro abandoned pyrite–Cu mine area (Spain), displayed similar amounts (599–26,100  $\mu$ g/L in the most acidic (pH 0.7–3.5) streams) [41]. It has been suggested that this high Co concentration comes from Co-bearing pyrite oxidation [41,48].

Mine/Region	Ore/Deposit Type	Period of Mining	Туре	Mean/Range Co Concentration (μg/L)	Reference
Kabwe mine, Zambia	Pb–Zn	1903–1994	Sludge resulting from chemical leaching	34,400,000	Sracek et al., 2010 [35]
Cobalt, Ontario, Canada	Ag–As–Bi–Co	Not recorded	Ground water samples	140–1800	Percival, et al., 1996 [36]
Cobalt, Ontario, Canada	Ag–As–Bi–Co	Not recorded	Surface water samples	0.5–2028	Percival, et al., 1996 [36]
Idaho Cobalt Belt (ICB), USA	Co–Cu–Au	Early 1900s–1967	Mine water (adits and open pits)	11,000	Gray and Eppinger, 2012 [38]
San Telmo mine, Spain	pyrite	1970–1989	Pyrite leachate, pH 0.61–0.82	18,689	España et al., 2008 [40]
Peña de Hierro mine, Spain	Pyrite-Cu	Mid-19th century–1966	Stream water from the mine	599–6100	Romero et al., 2011 [41]
Savage River mine, Tasmania, Australia	magnetite	1967–1982	Pore waters from old tailings	5000	Jackson and Parbhakar-Fox, 2016 [42]
Katanga province, DRC	Co–Cu	Before 1960–present	Mining effluent and water	3164	Atibu et al., 2013 [39]
Rio Piscinas area, Italy	Pb–Zn	beginning of 19th century–1992	Groundwater samples	1500–2900	Concas et al., 2006 [43]
Pyrite–uranium mine at Rudki, Poland	pyrite–U	Early 1900–1968	Acid pool waters from the mine tailings	303–1439	Migaszewski et al., 2015 [44]
Darrehzar porphyry copper mine, Iran	Cu	Not recorded	Mine water flowing from the mine	831	Soltani et al., 2014 [45]
Haveri mine, Finland	Au–Cu	1942–1961	Ground and surface water	10-866	Parviainen, 2009 [46]
Banjas area, northern Portugal	As–Au	1864–1890	Spring and groundwater proximal to the mine	11	Carvalho et al., 2014 [47]

Table 1. Concentrations of Co i	in mining-affected waters.
---------------------------------	----------------------------

Acidic Co-bearing mine waters which undergo pH neutralisation have been recorded to result in Co precipitation via a range of reactions including co-precipitation with iron hydroxides and structural substitution onto Fe (oxyhydr)oxides and clay minerals [44,49,50]. For example, groundwater from a legacy Pb–Zn mine in the Rio Piscinas area (Sardinia, Italy) exhibited Co concentrations (2900  $\mu$ g/L) higher than those of stream waters flowing from the tailings at pH <4.73 (1500–2700  $\mu$ g/L), whereas even lower values (<1  $\mu$ g/L) were recorded in distal stream samples (pH 7.67-8.02) [43]. In south-central Poland, acid mine tailings pool waters (pH 2.6–4.0) at a legacy low-grade pyrite–uranium tailings repository had significantly higher concentrations of Co (303–1439  $\mu$ g/L) than those in adjacent farmers' wells (pH 7.2–8.0; 0.134–0.466 µg/L) [44]. Soltani et al., (2014) [45] reported average Co concentrations of 831.55  $\mu$ g/L in mine water flowing from the Darrehzar porphyry Cu mine, Kerman province, Iran. Carvalho et al. (2014) [47] tabulated lower Co concentrations of up to 11.91  $\mu$ g/L in spring and groundwater (pH ~6.1) proximal to the abandoned As-Au mine (Banjas area, Portugal). In SW Finland, at the abandoned Haveri Au-Cu mine, most samples from ground and surface waters had a Co concentration less than 10  $\mu$ g/L, while some had higher dissolved concentrations of up to 866  $\mu$ g/L [46]. These variations

between mine site and downstream water were attributed to (a) dilution by surface and groundwater, (b) precipitation or co-precipitation of metallic cations as hydroxides and sulphates; and (c) adsorption of metallic cations by organic and inorganic sediments and aquatic plants [51].

Existing guidelines for Co in irrigation, surface, and ground water are summarized in Table 2. These are relatively limited at present. For example, the World Health Organisation drinking water guidelines for Co are yet to be established [36,52–54]. Co concentrations of the mine waste-affected waters presented exceed guideline values for aquatic life in surface irrigation by many orders of magnitude. Only a few are listed below in the guidelines set for livestock watering.

Type of Limit	Limit Value (mg/kg)	Organisation	Reference	
Drinking water	No data	CCME	CCME, 2010 [53]	
Surface water	5	NYSDEC	NYSDEC, 1986 [55]	
Freshwater for aquatic life	5	NYSDEC	NYSDEC, 1986 [55]	
Agriculture	50 Irrigation 1000 Livestock	CCME	CCME, 2010 [53]	
Residential soil quality guidelines	23	USEPA	USEPA, 2011 [56]	
Industrial soil quality guidelines	300	USEPA	USEPA, 2011 [56]	
Sediment Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life	35	ССМЕ	CCME, 2010 [53]	
CCME: Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment				

#### Table 2. Environmental guideline values for Co.

NYSDEC: New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

USEPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency

#### 3.2. Cobalt in Tailings and Mine-Affected Soils and Sediments

Concentrations of Co in mine tailings also vary, and selected examples are summarised in Table 3. These variations have been attributed predominantly to the differences in the processing technologies used and to variations in the geology of the ore deposit. For example, in the Central African Copperbelt, Co was recovered historically from Cu flotation concentrates by a Roast-Leach-Electrowin (RLE) process. This technology was ineffective for Co, with recoveries typically from 40 wt. % up to 80 wt. % for oxides and sulphides, respectively [57]. This resulted in substantial amounts of Co being lost to the tailings [58] in dams in the DRC and Zambia (Table 3). Geogenic factors account for the lower Co concentrations reported in Table 3. For example, 57.8 mg/kg Co has been recorded in the tailings resulting from mining Zn–Cu in the Skellefte district sulphide ore field (Sweden) [59]. According to Gavelin (1955) [60], these deposits predominantly contained up to 2 wt. % Zn and 0.001–0.01 wt. % Co. In contrast, higher Co concentrations were found in tailings derived from the mining, processing, and treatment of Co-bearing ores such as the Cu-Co stratiform deposits of DRC and Zambia at 1.9 wt. % Co [16] and 0.5 wt. % Co [17], respectively.

Table 4 summarises the concentrations of Co in mine-affected soils and sediments. Most of these exceed residential soil guideline values (Table 2). According to Pourret et al. (2016) [61], soils accumulate Co due to one or more of the following processes: (i) weathering of soil metal-bearing minerals; (ii) weathering of Co bearing deposits; and (iii) deposition of atmospheric fall-out from ore smelters. The highest concentrations of Co (6.4-21,134 mg/kg) in mining-affected soils occur in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), the largest producer of Co in the world. Narendrula et al. (2012) [62] concluded that due to the mining and processing of Cu and Co, this region is one of the most contaminated mining areas in the world.

Mine/Region	Ore/Deposit Type	Period of Mining	Tonnage/Type	Mean/Range Co Concentration (mg/kg)	Reference
Kabwe mine, Zambia	Pb–Zn	1903–1984	Oxidised tailings pond	14,165	Sracek et al., 2010 [35]
Katanga province, DRC	Co–Cu	Before 1960–present	Freshly processed tailings	6100	Lutandula and Maloba, 2013 [58]
Haveri mine, Finland	Au–Cu	1942–1961	1.5 Mt Oxidised, weathered	24–329	Parviainen 2009 [46]
Algares area, Portugal	Pb-As sulphides	1963–1971	Oxidised zone	97–157	Bobos et al., 2006 [63]
pyrrhotite mine, Morocco	pyrrhotite	1964–1981	>0.4 Mt Oxidised tailings	60–80	Hakkou et al., 2008 [64]
Azegour mine, Morocco	Cu–Mo–W	1932–1971	850,000 t oxidised tailing impoundments,	40-440	Goumih et al., 2013 [65]
Skellefte district sulphide ore field, Sweden	Zn–Cu	Not recorded	Freshly processed tailings	57.8	Gleisner and Herbert, 2002 [59]
The Aljustrel mine (SW Portugal	pyrite	Not recorded	Tailings from roasting pyrite	59	Candeias et al., 2011 [66]
Virgina Au–pyrite belt, USA	Au-pyrite	1909–1945	120,000 t primary unoxidised and oxidised	44	Seal II et al., 2008 [67]
Rio Piscinas area, Italy	Pb–Zn	beginning of 19th century–1992	Not described	15–43	Concas et al., 2006 [43]
Kidston gold mine, Australia	Au	1985–2001	Un-oxidised tailings	2.32–29.20	Edraki et al., 2019 [68]

 Table 4. Concentrations of Co in mining-affected soils and sediments.

Mine/Region	Ore/Deposit Type	Period of Mining	Material Type	Mean/Range Co Concentration (mg/kg)	Reference
Kolwezi district, Province of Lualaba, DRC	Co–Cu	Before 1960–present	Stream sediments	19.4–18,434	Atibu et al., 2018 [69]
Kolwezi district, Province of Lualaba, DRC	Co–Cu	Before 1960–present	Soil samples	6.4–21,134	Atibu et al., 2018 [69]
Katanga province, DRC	Co–Cu	Before 1960–present	Stream sediments	59.7–13,199	Atibu et al., 2013 [39]
Idaho Cobalt Belt (ICB), USA	Co–Cu–Au	Early 1900s–1967	Stream sediments	14–520	Gray and Eppinger, 2012 [38]
Idaho Cobalt Belt (ICB), USA	Co–Cu–Au	Early 1900s–1967	Soil samples	29–940	Gray and Eppinger, 2012 [38]
Rio Piscinas area, Italy	Pb–Zn	beginning of 19th century–1992	Stream sediments	9–38	Concas et al., 2006 [43]

Mine/Region	Ore/Deposit Type	Period of Mining	Material Type	Mean/Range Co Concentration (mg/kg)	Reference
The Kettara Mine, Morocco	Ochre-pyrrhotite	1933–1982	Soil samples	25.14	El Amari et al., 2014 [70]
The Kettara Mine, Morocco	Ochre-pyrrhotite	1933–1982	Stream sediments	27.62	El Amari et al., 2014 [70]
Maldon, Victoria, Australia	Au	1850s-not reported	Soil samples	25	Abraham et al., 2018 [71]
Hagan Mine, Egypt Bay, Maine, USA	Cu–Ag	1877–1885	Soil samples	1.9–21.3	Osher et al., 2006 [72]
Panasqueira mine area, Portugal	Sn-W	1898–2001	Soil samples	7–8	Candeias et al., 2015 [73]
Alto da Várzea radium mine, Portugal	Ra–U	1911–1922	Stream sediments	3.8-4.8	Antunes et al., 2018 [74]

Table 4. Cont.

Such contamination has in part been attributed to extensive exploitation and smuggling of secondary Cu–Co ores by artisanal and unlicensed miners [10] and to a lack of land reclamation programs to address environmental degradation [10]. The few results that do not exceed guidelines include soils from around Hagan Mine, Egypt Bay, Maine, USA (1.9–21.3 mg/kg) [72], and the Panasqueira mine area, Portugal (7–8 mg/kg) [73]. These lower concentrations can be attributed to the fact Co is not enriched in the parent rocks and ore minerals of these regions [72,73]. According to Bradl (2004) [75], adsorption to Fe and Mn (oxyhydr)oxides also plays an important role in metal(loid) retention in soils. Generally, Co metal adsorption is negligible at low pH and then increases at near neutral pH (~5.5) to almost complete adsorption over a relatively small pH range (up to 8) [75]. This phenomena is observed, for example, in the Sudbury region, Canada where Co concentrations in the soils (pH < 4.5) range from 1.6 to 37.9 mg/kg, whereas soils in the DRC region have higher pH (5.7 to 7) with a higher concentration of Co [62].

The sediment quality guideline set by CCME (2010) [53] for the protection of aquatic life is 35 mg/kg Co (Table 2). Cobalt concentrations in stream sediments from the mining regions of DRC [39,69] and the Idaho Cobalt Belt in the USA [38] are many orders of magnitudes higher than this guideline value. Some of the stream sediments from mining regions reported in Table 4 do not exceed this guideline. Studies have shown that concentrations of Co in stream sediment decrease with increasing distance from the mines (Figure 3), suggesting considerable downstream sediment dilution [38,69]. Adsorption onto river beds and accumulation into the hyporheic zone as a function of low pH [76] have also been used to explain downstream decreases in Co concentrations in stream sediments. Fuller and Harvey (2000) [77] demonstrated that about 52% Co was removed from stream sediments into the hyporheic zone by sorption to manganese oxides.



Distance from mines (Km)

**Figure 3.** Stream sediment concentration of Co decreasing with increasing distance from mines in the ICB (redrawn after Gray and Eppinger, 2012 [38]).

#### 3.3. Cobalt in Mine-Affected Plants

Very few studies have reported concentrations of Co in mining-affected plants. Some existing data are presented in Table 5. A study in the DRC showed that concentrations of Co as high as 5050 mg/kg had accumulated in the leaves of the plant *Phalaris arundinacea* L. Such plants are also known to thrive in pH ranges between 7.3 and 8.8 and to survive persistent anoxic phases [78]. As such, these have been suggested to be potentially useful for the remediation of Co contaminated soils [69]. Their relatively shallow root systems (often <60 cm depth), however, dictate that such technology may only be useful for near-surface Co extraction (Comes, 1971) [79]. These plants have been classified as hyperaccumulators because the dry weight Co concentration in their tissue exceeds 1000 mg/kg (Zayed et al., 1998) [80]. Other Co hyperaccumulators have been reported including *Crotalaria cobalticola, Crassula vaginata*, and *Haumaniatrum robertii* (Bakkaus et al., 2005) [81].

In a separate study, Kříbek et al. (2014) [82] compared the concentration of Co in Cassava leaves grown on contaminated and uncontaminated soils in the Copperbelt, Zambia. The study showed that concentrations of Co were up to three times higher in the plants grown on contaminated soils. In Morocco, El Hamiani et al. (2015) [83] noted that Co concentrations were also higher in plants growing on soils within the vicinity of an old Co–Ni mine than those growing in the vicinity of an Mn mine and a Cu mine. According to Luo et al. (2010) [84], the soil-to-plant transfer factor for Co was also higher for the Co–Ni mine and in certain plant species (*Vicia faba* and *Rosmarinus officinalis*), exceeding the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) range of 0.01–0.3 [2].

#### 4. Mineralogy of Cobalt in Mine Wastes

Co-bearing minerals most commonly detected in mine waste are summarised in Table 6. Amongst the most frequently identified is the sulpharsenide cobaltite. Cobaltite is one of the major minerals found in the wastes of epigenetic Cu–Co–Ni deposits [85,86], the five-element (Ag–Bi–Co–Ni–As) vein deposits [87,88] and many massive sulphide deposits such as the Lousal Mine in the Iberian Pyrite Belt, Portugal [89], in Cu–Au–Ag deposits of greenstone belts [90], and in Au–As–Cu polymetallic deposits of Poland [91]. Soils affected by mine activities in Spain were found to contain small cobaltite crystals (<10  $\mu$ m) at concentrations of up to 1.8% Co [86]. Using QEMSCAN<sup>®</sup> with energy dispersive spectrometer (SEM-EDS) analysis, Rollinson et al. (2018) [92] documented primary cobaltite (0.41–50.05 volume/area %) and a secondary phase, erythrite, up to 5.9 volume/area % on the northeastern coast of Cornwall, UK. The cobaltite was mainly associated with chalcopyrite and erythrite [92]. Other Co-bearing minerals found in mine wastes elsewhere include primary arsenides safflorite and skutterudite [93]. In mine tailings in Cobalt, Canada, Clarke (2017) [93] observed these mineral phases as fine grained aggregates.

At low pH, Co-bearing arsenides and sulpharsenides undergo oxidative dissolution, releasing aqueous Co into the environment. When the pH is increased to near neutral by the presence of, for example, carbonates, the dissolved Co reacts with soluble As oxyanions to form the secondary arsenate phase erythrite [93,94]. Clarke (2017) [93] observed this phase as precipitation rims on silicates and carbonates in a sample taken from high grade tailings from Cobalt, Ontario, Canada. The oxidation of cobaltite resulting in the formation of secondary erythrite has been suggested to follow the reaction steps summarised in Equations (4) and (5) [94].

$$4CoAsS + 13O_2 + 6H_2O = 4CoSO_4 + 4H_3AsO_4$$
(4)

$$3C_{0}SO_{4} + 2H_{3}AsO_{4} + 8H_{2}O = C_{03}(AsO_{4})_{2} \cdot 8H_{2}O \text{ (erythrite)} + 3H_{2}SO_{4}$$
 (5)

Markl et al. (2014) [95] demonstrated, using PHREEQC modelling, the precipitation of erythrite from dissolution of Co arsenide phases (safflorite-skutterudite) and concluded that this reaction could scavenge Co from natural solutions. This can be summarised in a two-step reaction as follows [95]:

$$CoAs_2 (safflorite) + 2H_2O + 3O_2 = Co^{2+} + 2H_2AsO_4^-$$
 (6)

$$3Co^{2+} + 2H_2AsO_4^- + 8H_2O = Co_3(AsO_4)_3.8H_2O$$
 (erythrite) +  $4H^+$  (7)

A number of studies have reported that Co precipitates as a trace element in other mineral phases such as Fe(III) oxyhydroxides and Cu sulphides (Table 7) in acid oxidising mine wastes. Sracek et al. (2010b) [96] demonstrated that Fe(III) oxyhydroxides in tailings contained up to 1.89 wt. % Co. With the aid of X-ray diffraction, Sracek et al. (2010a) [35] studied precipitated efflorescent salts resulting from chemical leaching of Cu–Co concentrates.

It was shown that Co was present in bloedite (2.28 wt. %) and also in moorhouseite  $(CoSO_4 \cdot H_2O)$ , but the concentration of Co in the latter was not reported. In a similar study in the DRC, crusts of the pinkish efflorescent salt hexahydrite (MgSO<sub>4</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O) had high Co/(Co + Mg) values up to 25 at. % [104]. Between 0.005 and 0.03 wt. % Co has also been recorded in Fe oxyhydroxides in sulphide tailings at Stekenjokk in northern Sweden [105]. Trace amounts of Co (0.030, 0.121, and 0.175 wt. %) were detected in bornite, chalcocite, and covellite, respectively, in Texeo mine waste in Spain [85]. About 0.66 wt. % Co was also detected in the grains of Fe metal, 0.83 wt. % Co in pyrite and 0.08 wt. % Co in sphalerite in the slag at Hopewell mine, Pennsylvania, USA [111].

Mine/Region	Ore/Deposit Type	Period of Mining	Туре	Mean/Range Co Concentration (mg/kg)	Reference
Kolwezi district, Province of Lualaba, DRC	Co–Cu	Before 1960–present	Phalaris arundinacea L.	9–5050.80	Atibu et al., 2018 [69]
Copperbelt Province, Zambia	Co–Cu	Before 1960–present	Cassava leaves (Manihot esculenta crantz)	24	Kříbek et al., 2014 [82]
Co-Ni-mine,	Co-Ni	Not reported	Parsley (Petroselinum vulgare)	20.2–69.4	El Hamiani et al.,
Soutient Worocco			Rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis)	39.1–54.4	
			Fava bean ( <i>Vicia</i> faba)	74.6	-
Ishiagu, South East Nigeria	Pb–Zn	Not reported	Roots (Clotalariaretusa and Andropogontec- torum)	13.40–89.75	Ogbonna et al., 2015 [97]
			Stems (Imperatacylindrica and Alchorneacordifolia)	2.20–78.20	-
Palão and Pinheiro mines, Portugal	Pb–Zn	Not reported	Elatine macropoda	127.8	Prasad et al., 2006 [98]
Shangla District, Pakistan	Cr	Not reported	Roots (N. cataria)	23	Nawab et al., 2015 [99]
Sukinda chromite mine, India	Cr	Not reported	Solanum surattense	9.9	Samantaray et al., 2001 [100]

# Table 5. Concentrations of Co in mining-affected plants.

# Table 6. Co-bearing minerals in mine wastes.

Mineral Name	Elemental Composition	References
Cobaltite	(Co,Fe)AsS	Harris et al., 2003 [101]; Kelly et al., 2007 [87]; Percival et al., 2007 [88]; Loredo et al., 2008 [85]
Carrollite	CoCu <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	Chen et al., 2016 [102]
Sphaerocobaltite	CoCO <sub>3</sub>	Vítková et al., 2010 [103]
Cobaltpentlandite	(Co-Fe) <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	Vítková et al., 2010 [103]
Safflorite	(Co,Fe,Ni)As <sub>2</sub>	Clarke, 2017 [93]
Skutterudite	(Co,Ni,Fe)As <sub>3-x</sub>	Clarke, 2017 [93]
Erythrite	$Co_3(AsO_4)_2 \cdot 8H_2O$	Percival et al., 2007 [88]; Loredo et al., 2008 [85]; Clarke, 2017 [93]
Bieberite	CoSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O	Sracek et al., 2010 [35]; Mees et al., 2013 [104]
Moorhouseite	CoSO <sub>4</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	Sracek et al., 2010 [35]

Mineral Name	Elemental Composition	References
Fe oxyhydroxides	FeOOH	Holmström and Öhlander, 2001 [105]; Sracek et al., 2010 [96]; Queiroz et al., 2018 [106]
Pyrite	FeS <sub>2</sub>	Moncur et al., 2005 [107]; Jackson and Parbhakar-Fox, 2016 [42]; Zhang et al., 2020 [108]
Arsenopyrite	FeAsS	Assawincharoenkij et al., 2018 [109]
Pyrrhotite	Fe <sub>(1-x)</sub> S	Moncur et al., 2005 [107]; Heikkinen and Räisänen, 2008 [110]
Co-poor bloedite	Na <sub>2</sub> (Co,Mg)(SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ·4H <sub>2</sub> O	Sracek et al., 2010 [35]
Bornite	Cu <sub>5</sub> FeS <sub>4</sub>	Loredo et al., 2008 [85]
Chalcocite	Cu <sub>2</sub> S	Loredo et al., 2008 [85]
Covellite	CuS	Loredo et al., 2008 [85]
Chalcopyrite	CuFeS <sub>2</sub>	Assawincharoenkij et al., 2018 [109]

Table 7. Common minerals in mine waste containing Co as a trace element.

### 5. Microbiology of Cobalt in Mine Wastes

Due to the limited sources and supply of, and the increasing demand for Co [112], there is a significant global interest in applying bioleaching techniques to recover Co from mine waste [113]. This is because conventional pyrometallurgy and hydrometallurgy methods can, under certain circumstances, be less efficient for Co recovery, and as such, require greater energy input, ongoing management, and/or leave behind a legacy of waste [114,115]. Microorganisms capable of oxidizing Fe or S have been identified in tailings and other sulphide-bearing mine wastes [116]. The Fe- and S-oxidising bacteria *Thiobacillus (T.) ferrooxidans, Acidithiobacillus (A.) ferrooxidans,* and *A. thiooxidans* have been studied for their ability to oxidise sulphide ores that in turn results in substantial acid generation [113,114,117]. These bacteria do not oxidise Co sulphide ore minerals (CoAsS,  $Co_9S_8$ ,  $CoS_2$ , (Co,Fe)AsS, etc.) directly. Instead, they gain energy by oxidizing the S<sup>2–</sup>, S<sup>0</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>2–</sup>, and other transitional S species of the minerals and thereby liberate Co in the process [117].

In a study to determine whether *T. ferrooxidans* could dissolve Co from sulphidic smelter waste, it was noted that the reaction rate increased after adding pyrite to the fine grained <270 µm material. It was concluded that substantial (up to 70% recovery) amounts of Co can be released from sulfidic mine waste [113]. Coto et al. (2007) [114] used *A. thiooxidans* to leach Co from laterite tailings containing about 890 mg/kg Co. The tailings were waste resulting from the extraction and processing of lateritic Co and Ni. The *A. thiooxidans* cell were inoculated in 0 K medium with an initial pH of 3.0 and 2% w/v S as the source of energy. After 48 h, the pH decreased to 1 due to the acidity produced by the bacteria. By adding tailings to a pulp density of 2.5% w/v, 80% of their included Co was extracted after 15 d. Cabrera et al. (2011) [118] built on this work by extending the time and increasing the pulp density to >10% w/v. A recovery of 86% and 89% Co was obtained after 65 and 83 d, respectively.

A number of studies have been conducted on Co using a mixed culture of Fe- and S-oxidising microorganisms. For example, Ahmadi et al. (2015) [119] used a mixed culture consisting of *Leptospirillum ferriphilum, Acidithiobacillus caldus, Sulfobacillus,* sp. and *Ferroplasma* sp. to recover Co from low-grade Cu–Co–Ni bearing sulfidic tailings (0.044 wt. % Co) from Kerman Province, Iran. In this experiment, about 59.5% of the Co was extracted through the bioleaching process using a pulp density of 5% (w/v), pH 1.8, and at a temperature of 45 °C. In another study in Germany, Zhang et al. (2020) [109] used a microbial consortium of *At. thiooxidans, At. ferrooxidans, Leptospirillum ferrooxidans,* and *Ferroplasma acidiphilum* to extract 91% Co from the Bollrich tailings pond (0.02 wt. % Co).

The study also observed that Co occurred on the surface of framboidal pyrite and was leached by microbial attack.

Overall, optimum conditions for bioleaching of Co from sulphide ores are proposed to be: growth temperature of 35–46 °C, solids concentration (pulp density) 10–15 wt. %, particle size <65  $\mu$ m, and pH between 1.3 and 2.0 [120].

Other studies have investigated Co and Ni dissolution from laterites and pyritic ores by use of specific fungi species [121–123]. Such processes are currently considered cost-effective due to the fact that fungi can often be grown cheaply and with limited environmental impact, however, studies that have quantified this remain limited [124,125]. In a study to establish whether fungi could be used for bioleaching of metals from mine tailings, Ilyas et al. (2013) [126] observed that 60% Co was solubilised after 24 d at pH (5–7.9). Similarly, Newsome et al. (2020) [127] used the fungal community to recover Co from laterites and recorded that up to 64% Co could be recovered via microbial reduction of Mn(IV)- to Mn(II)-oxides, releasing Co(III) from the crystal structure.

# 6. Geochemical-Mineralogical-Microbiological Controls on Cobalt Mobility in Mining-Affected Environments

The geochemical behaviour of Co is generally similar to that of Fe and Mn, and its concentration in mine affected waters, stream sediments, and soil is primarily controlled by adsorption and co-precipitation reactions with Mn and Fe (oxyhydr)oxide minerals [128]. Cobalt aqueous geochemistry is dominated by +2 and +3 oxidation states [13], with  $Co^{3+}$  being thermodynamically unstable and changing under Eh-pH conditions prevalent in most natural waters. However, the presence of certain complexing ligands such as EDTA and NH<sub>3</sub> can stabilize  $Co^{3+}$  and allow it to persist in aqueous solutions [34]. Figure 4 summarises the geochemical and mineralogical relationships between these aqueous Co species and the various Co-bearing minerals found in mine wastes.



**Figure 4.** A schematic illustration of the key mechanisms that govern Co dissolution and secondary mineral precipitation from mine waste as a function of pH. Rectangular and oval boxes correspond to solid minerals and aqueous species, respectively.

#### 6.1. Impact of Eh-pH on Co Geochemistry

The dominant Co-bearing minerals expected to be present in mine waste under reducing conditions and over a wide pH range are cattierite and cobaltite [34,93]. At low pH (<4) the speciation of cobalt is dominated by  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  and  $\text{CoSO}_4^0$  [96]. At near neutral to alkaline pH and under oxidising conditions, the  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  will react with As oxyanions to produce secondary erythrite in an environment saturated with As oxyanions [93]. However, when oxidation is prolonged at pH ~6, Co may be remobilised in the mine water [94]. When the solution pH increases to >7,  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  can also be rapidly polymerized, leading to the formation of colloidal Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>, which can be readily oxidised by aqueous oxygen, as in reactions (8) and (9) [129];

$$Co^{2+} + 2H_2O = Co(OH)_{2(c)} + 2H^+$$
 (8)

$$Co(OH)_{2(c)} + \frac{1}{4}O_{2(aq)} = CoOOH_{(c)} + \frac{1}{2}H_2O$$
 (9)

The remobilized Co in surface waters re-precipitates as heterogenite (CoOOH) as soon as the environment becomes less oxidizing and more alkaline [130,131]. In alkaline pH environments,  $Co^{2+}$  is oxidised to, and adsorbed on, Mn(IV) oxides as less soluble  $Co^{3+}$ , as in Equation (10) [132,133].

$$2Co^{2+}_{(aq)} + 2MnO_{2(s)} + 3H_2O_{(l)} \rightarrow 2CoOOH_{(s)} + Mn_2O_{3(s)} + 4H^+_{(aq)}$$
(10)

Relatively insoluble  $Co^{3+}$ , for example, in heterogenite, can be solubilised as  $Co^{2+}$  by reductive dissolution in the presence of reducing agents such as sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and ferrous ions (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) under reducing and acidic conditions [134]. Reduction of  $Co^{3+}$  to  $Co^{2+}$  by sulphite is represented by the Equation (11) [134].

$$SO_3^{2-}(aq) + 2Co^{3+}(s) + H_2O_{(l)} \rightarrow SO_4^{2-}(aq) + 2Co^{2+}(aq) + 2H^+(aq)$$
 (11)

Adsorption on, or co-precipitation with Fe(III) and Mn(IV) (oxyhydr)oxides, as a function of pH, metal concentration, and temperature are other important processes influencing Co behaviour in the environment [96,130]. Cobalt is known to be adsorbed onto secondary Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxides that are characteristic of mining-affected environments under neutral to moderately acidic pH conditions [135]. In the presence of Fe(III), and at pH between 5.5 and 8, Co<sup>2+</sup> will be depleted from mine waters and adsorbed onto minerals such as magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), hematite (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), and goethite ( $\alpha$ FeOOH) [136,137].

According to Hem et al. (1985) [129], reactions involving Mn promote the oxidation of Co. Co<sup>2+</sup>(aq) reacts with Mn oxides (Equation (10)) to precipitate heterogenite and release Mn<sup>2+</sup>(aq) [130]. Mn-oxide colloids scavenge Co via adsorption and/or co-precipitation reactions in which hydrated Co cations are attracted to the negatively charged surfaces of Mn-oxides like manganite (MnOOH), birnessite ( $\delta$ -MnO2) [44,131].

## 6.2. Impact of Microbial Activity

Microbial interactions are widely regarded as exhibiting a central role in controlling Co environmental mobility [127]. Co mobilisation in mine waste rocks and minerals, tailings, soils, and stream sediment can occur via a number of processes such as redox processes, protonolysis, complexation by excreted metabolites and Fe(III)-binding siderophores, and indirect Fe(III) attack [138]. Microbial activity reduces Fe(III) and Mn(IV) to Fe(II) and Mn(II), respectively [139]. This results in an increase in solubility and consequently, release of the Co adsorbed to Fe(III) and Mn(IV) oxides [127,132,138,139]. Bacteria such as *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans, Leptospirillum ferrooxidans, Sulfolobus spp.*, and *Acidianus brierleyi* can also oxidise Co-bearing sulphides [138,140]. This microbial activity can cause the release of Co by either direct oxidative attack (of the crystal lattice of the Co-bearing sulphides) or indirect oxidative attack by generating acid ferric sulphate, which then oxidizes the Co-bearing sulphide [138,140]. Biosorption processes also play an important role in controlling Co mobility in mining affected environments [141]. Depending on the pH conditions, Co can sorb to biosorbents such as algae, fungi, and bacteria via a number of mechanisms including electrostatic (physical) adsorption, ion exchange, precipitation, and co-precipitation [125,142]. In low pH environments, biosorption of Co is usually low. This is due to increased protonation, which results in the repulsion between the metal ions (Co<sup>2+</sup>) and the functional group (carboxyl, hydroxyl) at the binding sites of the biosorbent [141]. Increasing the pH deprotonates the functional groups, leaving them excited to attract Co ions, thereby increasing the rate and biosorption capacity [143].

#### 7. Conclusions

In this review, Co geochemistry, mineralogy, and microbiology in mine-affected environments were summarised. Many studies have provided information on Co concentrations and geochemical behaviour across mine waters, tailings, stream sediments, soils, and plant environments. There remains a lack of comprehensive data, however, and as such, a reliable understanding of Co distribution across different mining environments is yet to be established. A key area within this is a current lack of Co speciation data, particularly across the entire breadth of Eh-pH conditions commonly encountered within mining environments, and a subsequent lack of kinetic and mechanistic understanding of the specific hydrogeochemical conditions under which secondary Co-bearing mineral precipitates form including their stability thresholds. There is also a lack of Co geochemistry data from the Central African Copperbelt, which is amongst the most Co-contaminated mining environments in the world. This is therefore likely the result of the implementation of imperfect Co mining and/or waste disposal techniques with resultant environmental and human health damage. Another area of major knowledge gap is a lack of regulatory framework for Co in waste and permitted environmental discharge. For example, the WHO drinking water guidelines for Co are yet to be established. Finally, the role of bacteria and other microorganisms in the cycling of Co in different mining environments has recently received some attention, but the specific conditions and mechanisms under which these microbes reduce Co(III) to Co(II) remain relatively poorly understood. Overall, it is argued that further research is urgently required on both fundamental Co hydrogeochemistry and geomicrobiology, but also more targeted studies that link such data to the establishment of new regulatory frameworks and management/remediation policies across different mining environments are needed. This will help limit the likely increase in environmental and human health damage as Co mining activities continue to expand this century.

**Author Contributions:** G.Z. collected the data; G.Z., R.C. and K.A.H.-E. formulated and wrote the review paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the UK Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO) through the Commonwealth Scholarship Commission, grant number ZMCS-2018-855.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data sharing not applicable. No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their guidance throughout the processes of developing this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Smith, C.G. Always the bridesmaid, never the bride: Cobalt geology and resources. Appl. Earth Sci. 2001, 110, 75–80. [CrossRef]
- Faroon, O.M.; Abadin, H.; Keith, S.; Osier, M.; Chappell, L.L.; Diamond, G.; Sage, G. *Toxicological Profile for Cobalt*; US Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2004. Available online: https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/tp33.pdf (accessed on 5 November 2020).
- 3. Gál, J.; Hursthouse, A.; Tatner, P.; Stewart, F.; Welton, R. Cobalt and secondary poisoning in the terrestrial food chain: Data review and research gaps to support risk assessment. *Environ. Int.* **2008**, *34*, 821–838. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Leyssens, L.; Vinck, B.; Van Der Straeten, C.; Wuyts, F.; Maes, L. Cobalt toxicity in humans—A review of the potential sources and systemic health effects. *Toxicology* 2017, 387, 43–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- European Commission. Critical Raw Materials Resilience: Charting a Path towards Greater Security and Sustainability. COM 474 Final. 2020. Available online: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52020DC0474 (accessed on 7 November 2020).
- 6. Barton, I.F.; Yang, H.; Barton, M.D. The mineralogy, geochemistry, and metallurgy of cobalt in the rhombohedral carbonates. *Can. Mineral.* **2014**, *52*, 653–670. [CrossRef]
- 7. Slack, J.F.; Kimball, B.E.; Shedd, K.B. Cobalt (No. 1802-F); US Geological Survey: Reston, VA, USA, 2017.
- 8. Hannis, S.; Bide, T. Cobalt; British Geological Survey: Nottingham, UK, 2009.
- 9. Barceloux, D.G.; Barceloux, D. Cobalt. J. Toxicol. Clin. Toxicol. 1999, 37, 201–216. [CrossRef]
- 10. Banza, C.L.N.; Nawrot, T.S.; Haufroid, V.; Decrée, S.; De Putter, T.; Smolders, E.; Nemery, B. High human exposure to cobalt and other metals in Katanga, a mining area of the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Environ. Res.* **2009**, *109*, 745–752. [CrossRef]
- 11. Cheyns, K.; Nkulu, C.B.L.; Ngombe, L.K.; Asosa, J.N.; Haufroid, V.; De Putter, T.; Nemery, B. Pathways of human exposure to cobalt in Katanga, a mining area of the DR Congo. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2014**, *490*, 313–321. [CrossRef]
- 12. Pourret, O.; Lange, B.; Houben, D.; Colinet, G.; Shutcha, M.; Faucon, M.P. Modeling of cobalt and copper speciation in metalliferous soils from Katanga (Democratic Republic of Congo). *J. Geochem. Explor.* **2015**, *149*, 87–96. [CrossRef]
- 13. Roberts, S.; Gunn, G. Cobalt. In Critical Metals Handbook; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 2014; pp. 122–149.
- 14. Ahmed, A.H.; Arai, S.; Ikenne, M. Mineralogy and paragenesis of the Co-Ni arsenide ores of Bou Azzer, Anti-Atlas, Morocco. *Econ. Geol.* 2009, 104, 249–266. [CrossRef]
- 15. Mudd, G.M.; Weng, Z.; Jowitt, S.M.; Turnbull, I.D.; Graedel, T.E. Quantifying the recoverable resources of by-product metals: The case of cobalt. *Ore Geol. Rev.* 2013, *55*, 87–98. [CrossRef]
- 16. Van Langendonck, S.; Muchez, P.; Dewaele, S.; Kalubi, A.K.; Cailteux, J. Petrographic and mineralogical study of the sedimenthosted Cu-Co ore deposit at Kambove West in the central part of the Katanga Copperbelt (DRC). *Geol. Belg.* **2013**, *16*, 91–104.
- 17. Annels, A.E.; Simmonds, J.R. Cobalt in the Zambian copperbelt. Precambrian Res. 1984, 25, 75–98. [CrossRef]
- Nold, J.L. The Idaho cobalt belt, northwestern United States—A metamorphosed Proterozoic exhalative ore district. *Miner. Depos.* 1990, 25, 163–168. [CrossRef]
- Slack, J.F.; Johnson, C.A.; Causey, J.D.; Lund, K.; Schulz, K.J.; Gray, J.E.; Eppinger, R.G. Descriptive and Geoenvironmental Model for Co-Cu-Au Deposits in METASEDIMENTARY Rocks: Chapter G in Mineral Deposit Models for Resource Assessment (No. 2010-5070-G); US Geological Survey: Reston, VA, USA, 2013.
- 20. Carr, M.H.; Turekian, K.K. The geochemistry of cobalt. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 1961, 23, 9-60. [CrossRef]
- Schmandt, D.; Broughton, D.; Hitzman, M.W.; Plink-Bjorklund, P.; Edwards, D.; Humphrey, J. The Kamoa copper deposit, Democratic Republic of Congo: Stratigraphy, diagenetic and hydrothermal alteration, and mineralization. *Econ. Geol.* 2013, 108, 1301–1324. [CrossRef]
- Slack, J.F. Strata-bound Fe-Co-Cu-Au-Bi-Y-REE deposits of the Idaho cobalt belt: Multistage hydrothermal mineralization in a magmatic-related iron oxide copper-gold system. *Econ. Geol.* 2012, 107, 1089–1113. [CrossRef]
- Cailteux, J.L.H.; Kampunzu, A.B.; Lerouge, C.; Kaputo, A.K.; Milesi, J.P. Genesis of sediment-hosted stratiform copper–cobalt deposits, central African Copperbelt. J. Afr. Earth Sci. 2005, 42, 134–158. [CrossRef]
- Hitzman, M.W.; Bookstrom, A.A.; Slack, J.F.; Zientek, M. Cobalt—Styles of Deposits and the Search for Primary Deposits; U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report: Reston, VA, USA, 2017; p. 47.
- 25. Eckstrand, O.R.; Hulbert, L.J. Magmatic nickel-copper-platinum group element deposits. Mineral deposits of canada: A synthesis of major deposit types, district metallogeny, the evolution of geological provinces, and exploration methods. *Geol. Assoc. Can. Miner. Depos. Div. Spec. Publ.* **2007**, *5*, 205–222.
- 26. Butt, C.R.; Cluzel, D. Nickel laterite ore deposits: Weathered serpentinites. *Elements* 2013, 9, 123–128. [CrossRef]
- 27. Elias, M. Overview of the Australian Nickel-Cobalt Industry. AUSIMM Monogr. 2013, 2, 1723–1727.
- 28. Dublet, G.; Juillot, F.; Brest, J.; Noël, V.; Fritsch, E.; Proux, O.; Morin, G. Vertical changes of the Co and Mn speciation along a lateritic regolith developed on peridotites (New Caledonia). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2017**, 217, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- 29. Berger, V.I.; Singer, D.A.; Bliss, J.D.; Moring, B.C. *Ni-Co Laterite Deposits of the World; Database and Grade and Tonnage Models;* U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report: Reston, VA, USA, 2017; p. 26.
- 30. Dzemua, G.L.; Gleeson, S.A. Petrography, mineralogy, and geochemistry of the Nkamouna serpentinite: Implications for the formation of the Cobalt-Manganese Laterite Deposit, Southeast Cameroon. *Econ. Geol.* **2012**, *107*, 25–41. [CrossRef]
- Dzemua, G.L.; Gleeson, S.A.; Schofield, P.F. Mineralogical characterization of the Nkamouna Co–Mn laterite ore, Southeast Cameroon. *Miner. Depos.* 2013, 48, 155–171. [CrossRef]
- 32. Glasby, G.P.; Ren, X.; Shi, X.; Pulyaeva, I.A. Co–Rich Mn crusts from the Magellan Seamount cluster: The long journey through time. *Geo Mar. Lett.* 2007, 27, 315–323. [CrossRef]
- 33. Canterford, J.H. Sulphuric acid leaching of cobalt-bearing manganese wad. *Hydrometallurgy* **1985**, *14*, 35–46. [CrossRef]
- 34. Krupka, K.M.; Serne, R.J. *Geochemical Factors Affecting the Behaviour of Antimony, Cobalt, Europium, Technetium, and Uranium in Vadose Zone Sediments (No. PNNL-14126)*; Pacific Northwest National Lab (PNNL): Richland, WA, USA, 2002.
- 35. Sracek, O.; Veselovský, F.; Kříbek, B.; Malec, J.; Jehlička, J. Geochemistry, mineralogy and environmental impact of precipitated efflorescent salts at the Kabwe Cu–Co chemical leaching plant in Zambia. *Appl. Geochem.* **2010**, *25*, 1815–1824. [CrossRef]

- 36. Percival, J.B.; Dumaresq, C.G.; Kwong, Y.T.J.; Hendry, K.B.; Michel, F.A. Arsenic in surface waters, Cobalt, Ontario. *Curr. Res.* **1996**, *100*, 137–146.
- 37. Zhu, Y.N.; Zhang, X.H.; Chen, Y.D.; Zeng, H.H.; Liu, J.; Liu, H.L.; Wang, X.M. Characterisation, dissolution and solubility of synthetic erythrite [Co3 (AsO4) 2.8 H2O] and annabergite [Ni3 (AsO4) 2.8 H2O] at 25 °C. Can. Metall. Q. 2013, 52, 7–17. [CrossRef]
- 38. Gray, J.E.; Eppinger, R.G. Distribution of Cu, Co, As, and Fe in mine waste, sediment, soil, and water in and around mineral deposits and mines of the Idaho Cobalt Belt, USA. *Appl. Geochem.* **2012**, *27*, 1053–1062. [CrossRef]
- Atibu, E.K.; Devarajan, N.; Thevenon, F.; Mwanamoki, P.M.; Tshibanda, J.B.; Mpiana, P.T.; Poté, J. Concentration of metals in surface water and sediment of Luilu and Musonoie Rivers, Kolwezi-Katanga, Democratic Republic of Congo. *Appl. Geochem.* 2013, 39, 26–32. [CrossRef]
- España, J.S.; Toril, E.G.; Pamo, E.L.; Amils, R.; Ercilla, M.D.; Pastor, E.S.; San Martín-Úriz, P. Biogeochemistry of a hyperacidic and ultraconcentrated pyrite leachate in San Telmo mine (Iberian Pyrite Belt, Spain). *Water Air Soil Pollut*. 2008, 194, 243–257. [CrossRef]
- 41. Romero, A.; González, I.; Galán, E. Stream water geochemistry from mine wastes in Peña de Hierro, Riotinto area, SW Spain: A case of extreme acid mine drainage. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 2011, 62, 645–656. [CrossRef]
- 42. Jackson, L.M.; Parbhakar-Fox, A. Mineralogical and geochemical characterization of the Old Tailings Dam, Australia: Evaluating the effectiveness of a water cover for long-term AMD control. *Appl. Geochem.* **2016**, *68*, 64–78. [CrossRef]
- 43. Concas, A.; Ardau, C.; Cristini, A.; Zuddas, P.; Cao, G. Mobility of heavy metals from tailings to stream waters in a mining activity contaminated site. *Chemosphere* 2006, *63*, 244–253. [CrossRef]
- Migaszewski, Z.M.; Gałuszka, A.; Dołęgowska, S.; Hałas, S.; Krzciuk, K.; Gebus, B. Assessing the impact of Serwis mine tailings site on farmers' wells using element and isotope signatures (Holy Cross Mountains, south-central Poland). *Environ. Earth Sci.* 2015, 74, 629–647. [CrossRef]
- 45. Soltani, N.; Moore, F.; Keshavarzi, B.; Sharifi, R. Geochemistry of trace metals and rare earth elements in stream water, stream sediments and acid mine drainage from Darrehzar Copper Mine, Kerman, Iran. *Water Qual. Expo. Health* **2014**, *6*, 97–114. [CrossRef]
- 46. Parviainen, A. Tailings mineralogy and geochemistry at the abandoned Haveri Au–Cu mine, SW Finland. *Mine Water Environ*. **2009**, *28*, 291. [CrossRef]
- 47. Carvalho, P.C.; Neiva, A.M.; Silva, M.M.; da Silva, E.A.F. Geochemical comparison of waters and stream sediments close to abandoned Sb-Au and As-Au mining areas, northern Portugal. *Geochemistry* **2014**, *74*, 267–283. [CrossRef]
- Hubau, A.; Guezennec, A.G.; Joulian, C.; Falagán, C.; Dew, D.; Hudson-Edwards, K.A. Bioleaching to reprocess sulfidic polymetallic primary mining residues: Determination of metal leaching mechanisms. *Hydrometallurgy* 2020, 197, 105484. [CrossRef]
- Cornell, R.M. Simultaneous incorporation of Mn, Ni and Co in the goethite (α-FeOOH) structure. *Clay Miner*. **1991**, *26*, 427–430.
   [CrossRef]
- 50. Ohnuki, T.; Kozai, N. Sorption behavior of cobalt on manganese dioxide, smectite and their mixture. *Radiochim. Acta* **1995**, *68*, 203–207. [CrossRef]
- 51. Favas, P.J.; Pratas, J.; Gomes, M.E.P. Hydrochemistry of superficial waters in the Adoria mine area (Northern Portugal): Environmental implications. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 2012, 65, 363–372. [CrossRef]
- 52. Nagpal, N.K. *Technical Report, Water Quality Guidelines for Cobalt;* Water Protection Section, Water, Air and Climate Change Branch, Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection: Victoria, BC, Canada, 2004; p. 59.
- 53. CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines Summary Table. 2010. Available online: http://st-ts.ccme.ca (accessed on 26 August 2020).
- 54. World Health Organization (WHO). *Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality: First Addendum to The Fourth Edition;* World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
- 55. NYSDEC. Water Quality Regulations: Surface and Groundwater Classifications and Standards. New York State Codes, Rules and Regulations; Title 6, Chapter X, Parts 700–705; NY State Department of Conservation: Albany, NY, USA, 1986.
- 56. USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). Cleanup Regulations and Standards. 2011. Available online: http://www.epa.gov/cleanup/regs.htm (accessed on 26 August 2020).
- 57. Fisher, K.G. Cobalt processing developments. In Proceedings of the Sixth Southern African Base Metals Conference, Phalaborwa, South Africa, 18–20 July 2011; Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy: Johannesburg, South Africa, 2011; pp. 237–258.
- 58. Lutandula, M.S.; Maloba, B. Recovery of cobalt and copper through reprocessing of tailings from flotation of oxidised ores. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2013**, *1*, 1085–1090. [CrossRef]
- Gleisner, M.; Herbert Jr, R.B. Sulfide mineral oxidation in freshly processed tailings: Batch experiments. J. Geochem. Explor. 2002, 76, 139–153. [CrossRef]
- 60. Gavelin, S. Sulphide mineralization in the Skellefte district, Northern Sweden, and its relation to regional granitization. *Econ. Geol.* **1955**, *50*, 814–831. [CrossRef]
- 61. Pourret, O.; Lange, B.; Bonhoure, J.; Colinet, G.; Decrée, S.; Mahy, G.; Faucon, M.P. Assessment of soil metal distribution and environmental impact of mining in Katanga (Democratic Republic of Congo). *Appl. Geochem.* **2016**, *64*, 43–55. [CrossRef]
- 62. Narendrula, R.; Nkongolo, K.K.; Beckett, P. Comparative soil metal analyses in sudbury (Ontario, Canada) and lubumbashi (Katanga, DR-Congo). *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **2012**, *88*, 187–192. [CrossRef]

- 63. Bobos, I.; Durães, N.; Noronha, F. Mineralogy and geochemistry of mill tailings impoundments from Algares (Aljustrel), Portugal: Implications for acid sulfate mine waters formation. *J. Geochem. Explor.* **2006**, *88*, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- 64. Hakkou, R.; Benzaazoua, M.; Bussiere, B. Acid mine drainage at the abandoned Kettara mine (Morocco): 2. Mine waste geochemical behavior. *Mine Water Environ.* 2008, 27, 160–170. [CrossRef]
- 65. Goumih, A.; El Adnani, M.; Hakkou, R.; Benzaazoua, M. Geochemical behaviour of mine tailings and waste rock at the abandoned Cu–Mo–W Azegour mine (Occidental High Atlas, Morocco). *Mine Water Environ.* **2013**, *32*, 121–132. [CrossRef]
- Candeias, C.; Da Silva, E.F.; Salgueiro, A.R.; Pereira, H.G.; Reis, A.P.; Patinha, C.; Avila, P.H. Assessment of soil contamination by potentially toxic elements in the Aljustrel mining area in order to implement soil reclamation strategies. *Land Degrad. Dev.* 2011, 22, 565–585. [CrossRef]
- 67. Seal, R.R., II; Hammarstrom, J.M.; Johnson, A.N.; Piatak, N.M.; Wandless, G.A. Environmental geochemistry of a Kuroko-type massive sulfide deposit at the abandoned Valzinco mine, Virginia, USA. *Appl. Geochem.* **2008**, *23*, 320–342. [CrossRef]
- 68. Edraki, M.; Baumgartl, T.; Mulligan, D.; Fegan, W.; Munawar, A. Geochemical characteristics of rehabilitated tailings and associated seepages at Kidston gold mine, Queensland, Australia. *Int. J. Min. Reclam. Environ.* **2019**, *33*, 133–147. [CrossRef]
- Atibu, E.K.; Lacroix, P.; Sivalingam, P.; Ray, N.; Giuliani, G.; Mulaji, C.K.; Poté, J. High contamination in the areas surrounding abandoned mines and mining activities: An impact assessment of the Dilala, Luilu and Mpingiri Rivers, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Chemosphere* 2018, 191, 1008–1020. [CrossRef]
- 70. El Amari, K.; Valera, P.; Hibti, M.; Pretti, S.; Marcello, A.; Essarraj, S. Impact of mine tailings on surrounding soils and ground water: Case of Kettara old mine, Morocco. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 2014, 100, 437–449. [CrossRef]
- 71. Abraham, J.; Dowling, K.; Florentine, S. Assessment of potentially toxic metal contamination in the soils of a legacy mine site in Central Victoria, Australia. *Chemosphere* **2018**, *192*, 122–132. [CrossRef]
- 72. Osher, L.J.; Leclerc, L.; Wiersma, G.B.; Hess, C.T.; Guiseppe, V.E. Heavy metal contamination from historic mining in upland soil and estuarine sediments of Egypt Bay, Maine, USA. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 2006, 70, 169–179. [CrossRef]
- Candeias, C.; Ávila, P.F.; Da Silva, E.F.; Teixeira, J.P. Integrated approach to assess the environmental impact of mining activities: Estimation of the spatial distribution of soil contamination (Panasqueira mining area, Central Portugal). *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2015, 187, 135. [CrossRef]
- Antunes, I.M.H.R.; Neiva, A.M.R.; Albuquerque, M.T.D.; Carvalho, P.C.S.; Santos, A.C.T.; Cunha, P.P. Potential toxic elements in stream sediments, soils and waters in an abandoned radium mine (central Portugal). *Environ. Geochem. Health* 2018, 40, 521–542.
   [CrossRef]
- 75. Bradl, H.B. Adsorption of heavy metal ions on soils and soils constituents. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2004, 277, 1–18. [CrossRef]
- Gandy, C.J.; Smith, J.W.N.; Jarvis, A.P. Attenuation of mining-derived pollutants in the hyporheic zone: A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2007, 373, 435–446. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Fuller, C.C.; Harvey, J.W. Reactive uptake of trace metals in the hyporheic zone of a mining-contaminated stream, Pinal Creek, Arizona. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2000, 34, 1150–1155. [CrossRef]
- 78. Apfelbaum, S.I.; Sams, C.E. Ecology and control of reed canary grass (Phalaris arundinacea L.). Nat. Areas J. 1987, 7, 69–74.
- 79. Comes, R.D. The Biology and Control of Reed Canary Grass (*Phalaris arundinacea* L.) on Irrigation Ditchbanks. Ph.D. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA, 1971.
- Zayed, A.; Gowthaman, S.; Terry, N. Phytoaccumulation of trace elements by wetland plants: I. Duckweed. J. Environ. Qual. 1998, 27, 715–721. [CrossRef]
- Bakkaus, E.; Gouget, B.; Gallien, J.P.; Khodja, H.; Carrot, F.; Morel, J.L.; Collins, R. Concentration and distribution of cobalt in higher plants: The use of micro-PIXE spectroscopy. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. Beam Interact. Mater. At.* 2005, 231, 350–356. [CrossRef]
- Kříbek, B.; Majer, V.; Knésl, I.; Nyambe, I.; Mihaljevič, M.; Ettler, V.; Sracek, O. Concentrations of arsenic, copper, cobalt, lead and zinc in cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) growing on uncontaminated and contaminated soils of the Zambian Copperbelt. J. Afr. Earth Sci. 2014, 99, 713–723. [CrossRef]
- 83. El Hamiani, O.; El Khalil, H.; Sirguey, C.; Ouhammou, A.; Bitton, G.; Schwartz, C.; Boularbah, A. Metal concentrations in plants from mining areas in South Morocco: Health risks assessment of consumption of edible and aromatic plants. *CLEAN Soil Air Water* 2015, *43*, 399–407. [CrossRef]
- 84. Luo, D.; Zheng, H.; Chen, Y.; Wang, G.; Fenghua, D. Transfer characteristics of cobalt from soil to crops in the suburban areas of Fujian Province, southeast China. J. Environ. Manag. 2010, 91, 2248–2253. [CrossRef]
- 85. Loredo, J.; Álvarez, R.; Ordóñez, A.; Bros, T. Mineralogy and geochemistry of the Texeo Cu–Co mine site (NW Spain): Screening tools for environmental assessment. *Environ. Geol.* 2008, 55, 1299–1310. [CrossRef]
- Álvarez, R.; Ordóñez, A.; Pérez, A.; De Miguel, E.; Charlesworth, S. Mineralogical and environmental features of the asturian copper mining district (Spain): A review. *Eng. Geol.* 2018, 243, 206–217. [CrossRef]
- 87. Kelly, J.; Champagne, P.; Michel, F. Assessment of metal attenuation in a natural wetland system impacted by alkaline mine tailings, Cobalt, Ontario, Canada. *Mine Water Environ.* **2007**, *26*, 181–190. [CrossRef]
- Percival, J.B.; Kwong, Y.J.; Dumaresq, C.G.; Michel, F.A. Distribution of As, Ni and Co in tailings and surface waters in the cobalt area, Ontario. In Proceedings of the Mining and the Environment IV Conference, Sudbury, ON, Canada, 19–26 October 2007; pp. 19–27.

- Luís, A.T.; Teixeira, P.; Almeida, S.F.P.; Matos, J.X.; da Silva, E.F. Environmental impact of mining activities in the Lousal area (Portugal): Chemical and diatom characterization of metal-contaminated stream sediments and surface water of Corona stream. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2011, 409, 4312–4325. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 90. Hebbar, A.; Janardhan, M.R. Arsenic contamination in groundwater of the areas surrounding Ingaldhal, Chitradurga district, Karnataka state. *Int. J. Geol. Earth Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *6*, 1–7.
- 91. Parafiniuk, J.; Siuda, R. Schwertmannite precipitated from acid mine drainage in the Western Sudetes (SW Poland) and its arsenate sorption capacity. *Geol. Q.* 2006, *50*, 475–486.
- 92. Rollinson, G.; Le Boutillier, N.; Selley, R. Cobalt mineralisation in Cornwall—A new discovery at Porthtowan. *Geosci. South West Engl.* 2018, 14, 176–187.
- 93. Clarke, J. The Characterization of Arsenic Mineral Phases from Legacy Mine Waste and Soil near Cobalt, Ontario. Master's Thesis, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada, 2017.
- 94. Boyle, R.W.; Dass, A.S. The geochemistry of the supergene processes in the native silver veins of the Cobalt-South Lorrain area, Ontario. *Can. Mineral.* **1971**, *11*, 358–390.
- Markl, G.; Marks, M.A.; Derrey, I.; Gühring, J.E. Weathering of cobalt arsenides: Natural assemblages and calculated stability relations among secondary Ca-Mg-Co arsenates and carbonates. *Am. Mineral.* 2014, 99, 44–56. [CrossRef]
- Sracek, O.; Mihaljevič, M.; Kříbek, B.; Majer, V.; Veselovský, F. Geochemistry and mineralogy of Cu and Co in mine tailings at the Copperbelt, Zambia. J. Afr. Earth Sci. 2010, 57, 14–30. [CrossRef]
- 97. Ogbonna, C.E.; Otuu, F.C.; Ugbogu, O.C.; Nwaugo, V.O.; Ugbogu, A.E. Public health implication of heavy metal contamination of plants growing in the Lead Zinc Mining Area of Ishiagu, Nigeria. *Int. J. Biodivers. Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *7*, 76–86.
- Prasad, M.N.V.; Pratas, J.; Freitas, H. Trace Elements in Plants and Soils of Abandoned Mines in Portugal: Significance for Phytomanagement and Biogeochemical Prospecting; CRC Press-Taylor & Francis Group, LLC: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2006; pp. 507–521.
- 99. Nawab, J.; Khan, S.; Shah, M.T.; Khan, K.; Huang, Q.; Ali, R. Quantification of heavy metals in mining affected soil and their bioaccumulation in native plant species. *Int. J. Phytoremediation* **2015**, *17*, 801–813. [CrossRef]
- Samantaray, S.; Rout, G.R.; Das, P. Heavy metal and nutrient concentration in soil and plants growing on a metalliferous chromite minespoil. *Environ. Technol.* 2001, 22, 1147–1154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 101. Harris, D.L.; Lottermoser, B.G.; Duchesne, J. Ephemeral acid mine drainage at the Montalbion silver mine, north Queensland. *Aust. J. Earth Sci.* 2003, *50*, 797–809. [CrossRef]
- 102. Chen, G.; Yang, H.; Li, H.; Tong, L. Recovery of cobalt as cobalt oxalate from cobalt tailings using moderately thermophilic bioleaching technology and selective sequential extraction. *Minerals* **2016**, *6*, 67. [CrossRef]
- 103. Vítková, M.; Ettler, V.; Johan, Z.; Kribek, B.; Sebek, O.; Mihaljevic, M. Primary and secondary phases in copper-cobalt smelting slags from the Copperbelt Province, Zambia. *Mineral. Mag.* **2010**, *74*, 581–600. [CrossRef]
- Mees, F.; Masalehdani, M.N.N.; De Putter, T.; D'Hollander, C.; Van Biezen, E.; Mujinya, B.B.; Van Ranst, E. Concentrations and forms of heavy metals around two ore processing sites in Katanga, Democratic Republic of Congo. J. Afr. Earth Sci. 2013, 77, 22–30. [CrossRef]
- 105. Holmström, H.; Öhlander, B. Layers rich in Fe-and Mn-oxyhydroxides formed at the tailings-pond water interface, a possible trap for trace metals in flooded mine tailings. *J. Geochem. Explor.* **2001**, *74*, 189–203. [CrossRef]
- 106. Queiroz, H.M.; Nóbrega, G.N.; Ferreira, T.O.; Almeida, L.S.; Romero, T.B.; Santaella, S.T.; Otero, X.L. The Samarco mine tailing disaster: A possible time-bomb for heavy metals contamination? *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 637, 498–506. [CrossRef]
- 107. Moncur, M.C.; Ptacek, C.J.; Blowes, D.W.; Jambor, J.L. Release, transport and attenuation of metals from an old tailings impoundment. *Appl. Geochem.* 2005, 20, 639–659. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, R.; Hedrich, S.; Römer, F.; Goldmann, D.; Schippers, A. Bioleaching of cobalt from Cu/Co-rich sulfidic mine tailings from the polymetallic Rammelsberg mine, Germany. *Hydrometallurgy* 2020, 197, 105443. [CrossRef]
- Assawincharoenkij, T.; Hauzenberger, C.; Ettinger, K.; Sutthirat, C. Mineralogical and geochemical characterization of waste rocks from a gold mine in northeastern Thailand: Application for environmental impact protection. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2018, 25, 3488–3500. [CrossRef]
- 110. Heikkinen, P.M.; Räisänen, M.L. Mineralogical and geochemical alteration of Hitura sulphide mine tailings with emphasis on nickel mobility and retention. *J. Geochem. Explor.* 2008, 97, 1–20. [CrossRef]
- 111. Piatak, N.M.; Seal II, R.R. Mineralogy and environmental geochemistry of historical iron slag, Hopewell Furnace National Historic Site, Pennsylvania, USA. *Appl. Geochem.* **2012**, *27*, 623–643. [CrossRef]
- 112. Campbell, G.A. The cobalt market revisited. Miner. Econ. 2020, 33, 21–28. [CrossRef]
- 113. Olson, G.J.; Sakai, C.K.; Parks, E.J.; Brinckman, F.E. Bioleaching of cobalt from smelter wastes by Thiobacillus ferrooxidans. *J. Ind. Microbiol.* **1990**, *6*, 49–52. [CrossRef]
- 114. Coto, O.; Galizia, F.; Hernandez, I.; Marrero, J.; Donati, E. Cobalt and nickel recoveries from laterite tailings by organic and inorganic bio-acids. *Hydrometallurgy* **2007**, *94*, 18–22. [CrossRef]
- 115. Brisson, V.L.; Zhuang, W.Q.; Alvarez-Cohen, L. Bioleaching of rare earth elements from monazite sand. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2016, 113, 339–348. [CrossRef]
- Lindsay, M.B.; Moncur, M.C.; Bain, J.G.; Jambor, J.L.; Ptacek, C.J.; Blowes, D.W. Geochemical and mineralogical aspects of sulfide mine tailings. *Appl. Geochem.* 2015, 57, 157–177. [CrossRef]

- Marrero, J.; Coto, O.; Goldmann, S.; Graupner, T.; Schippers, A. Recovery of nickel and cobalt from laterite tailings by reductive dissolution under aerobic conditions using Acidithiobacillus species. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2015, 49, 6674–6682. [CrossRef]
- Cabrera, G.; Gómez, J.M.; Hernández, I.; Coto, O.; Cantero, D. Different strategies for recovering metals from CARON process residue. J. Hazard. Mater. 2011, 189, 836–842. [CrossRef]
- 119. Ahmadi, A.; Khezri, M.; Abdollahzadeh, A.A.; Askari, M. Bioleaching of copper, nickel and cobalt from the low grade sulfidic tailing of Golgohar Iron Mine, Iran. *Hydrometallurgy* **2015**, *154*, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 120. Morin, D.H.R.; d'Hugues, P. Bioleaching of a cobalt-containing pyrite in stirred reactors: A case study from laboratory scale to industrial application. In *Biomining*; Rawlings, D.E., Johnson, D.B., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2007; pp. 35–55.
- 121. Gadd, G.M. Heavy metal accumulation by bacteria and other microorganisms. Experientia 1990, 46, 834–840. [CrossRef]
- 122. Valix, M.; Usai, F.; Malik, R. Fungal bio-leaching of low grade laterite ores. *Miner. Eng.* 2001, 14, 197–203. [CrossRef]
- 123. Yang, Y.; Ferrier, J.; Csetenyi, L.; Gadd, G.M. Direct and indirect bioleaching of cobalt from low grade laterite and pyritic ores by Aspergillus niger. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 2019, *36*, 940–949. [CrossRef]
- 124. Pal, A.; Ghosh, S.; Paul, A.K. Biosorption of cobalt by fungi from serpentine soil of Andaman. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2006, 97, 1253–1258. [CrossRef]
- 125. Ali Redha, A. Removal of heavy metals from aqueous media by biosorption. Arab J. Basic Appl. Sci. 2020, 27, 183–193. [CrossRef]
- 126. Ilyas, S.; Chi, R.A.; Lee, J.C. Fungal bioleaching of metals from mine tailing. *Mineral. Process. Extr. Metall. Rev.* 2013, 34, 185–194. [CrossRef]
- 127. Newsome, L.; Arguedas, A.S.; Coker, V.S.; Boothman, C.; Lloyd, J.R. Manganese and cobalt redox cycling in laterites; Biogeochemical and bioprocessing implications. *Chem. Geol.* 2020, *531*, 119330. [CrossRef]
- 128. Hem, J.D. *Study and Interpretation of the Chemical Characteristics of Natural Water;* Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey: Alexandria, VA, USA, 1985.
- 129. Hem, J.D.; Roberson, C.E.; Lind, C.J. Thermodynamic stability of CoOOH and its coprecipitation with manganese. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1985**, *49*, 801–810. [CrossRef]
- De Putter, T.; Decrée, S.; Banza, C.L.N.; Nemery, B. Mining the Katanga (DRC) Copperbelt: Geological aspects and impacts on public health and the environment—Towards a holistic approach. In Proceedings of the Mining and the Environment in Africa, Inaugural Workshop, IGCP/SIDA, Kitwe, Zambia, 17–18 October 2011; pp. 14–17; No. 594.
- 131. Decree, S.; Pourret, O.; Baele, J.M. Rare earth element fractionation in heterogenite (CoOOH): Implication for cobalt oxidized ore in the Katanga Copperbelt (Democratic Republic of Congo). J. Geochem. Explor. 2015, 159, 290–301. [CrossRef]
- 132. Crowther, D.L.; Dillard, J.G.; Murray, J.W. The mechanisms of Co (II) oxidation on synthetic birnessite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 1983, 47, 1399–1403. [CrossRef]
- Tanaka, K.; Yu, Q.; Sasaki, K.; Ohnuki, T. Cobalt (II) oxidation by biogenic Mn oxide produced by Pseudomonas sp. strain NGY-1. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 2013, 30, 874–885. [CrossRef]
- 134. Mwema, M.D.; Mpoyo, M.; Kafumbila, K. Use of sulphur dioxide as reducing agent in cobalt leaching at Shituru hydrometallurgical plant. *J. South. Afr. Inst. Min. Metall.* **2002**, *102*, 1–4.
- 135. Singh, S.K.; Subramanian, V.; Gibbs, R.J. Hydrous Fe and Mn oxides—Scavengers of heavy metals in the aquatic environment. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Control* **1984**, *14*, 33–90. [CrossRef]
- 136. Ardizzone, S.; Formaro, L. Cobalt (II) adsorption on haematite at room temperature. Surf. Technol. 1983, 19, 283–288. [CrossRef]
- 137. Kobal, I.; Hesleitner, P.; Matijević, E. Adsorption at solid/solution interfaces. 6. Interactions of Co<sup>2+</sup> ions with spherical hematite particles. *Colloids Surf.* **1988**, *33*, 167–174. [CrossRef]
- 138. Gadd, G.M. Metals, minerals and microbes: Geomicrobiology and bioremediation. Microbiology 2010, 156, 609–643. [CrossRef]
- 139. Lovley, D.R. Fe (III) and Mn (IV) reduction. In *Environmental Microbe-Metal Interactions*; American Society of Microbiology: Washington, DC, USA, 2000; pp. 3–30.
- 140. Nordstrom, D.K.; Southam, G. Geomicrobiology of sulfide mineral oxidation. Rev. iMineral. 1997, 35, 361–390.
- 141. Gadd, G.M. Biosorption: Critical review of scientific rationale, environmental importance and significance for pollution treatment. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. Int. Res. Process Environ. Clean Technol.* **2009**, *84*, 13–28. [CrossRef]
- 142. Medyńska-Juraszek, A.; Ćwieląg-Piasecka, I.; Jerzykiewicz, M.; Trynda, J. Wheat straw biochar as a specific sorbent of cobalt in soil. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 2462. [CrossRef]
- 143. Cárdenas González, J.F.; Rodríguez Pérez, A.S.; Vargas Morales, J.M.; Martínez Juárez, V.M.; Rodríguez, I.A.; Cuello, C.M.; Muñoz Morales, A. Bioremoval of Cobalt (II) from aqueous solution by three different and resistant fungal biomasses. *Bioinorg. Chem. Appl.* 2019, 2019, 1–8. [CrossRef]