Magnetism of Topological Boundary States Induced by Boron Substitution in Graphene Nanoribbons

Niklas Friedrich[®],¹ Pedro Brandimarte,² Jingcheng Li[®],¹ Shohei Saito,³ Shigehiro Yamaguchi[®],⁴ Iago Pozo[®],⁵ Diego Peña,⁵ Thomas Frederiksen[®],^{2,6} Aran Garcia-Lekue,^{2,6} Daniel Sánchez-Portal,^{2,7,*} and José Ignacio Pascual[®],^{1,6,†}

CIC nanoGUNE BRIA, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastian, Spain

²Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), 20018 Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain

³Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

⁴Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

⁵Centro de Investigación en Química Biolóxica e Materiais Moleculares (CiQUS) and Departamento de Química Orgánica,

Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

⁶Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science, 48013 Bilbao, Spain

¹Centro de Física de Materiales CSIC-UPV/EHU, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain

(Received 6 April 2020; accepted 10 August 2020; published 28 September 2020)

Graphene nanoribbons (GNRs), low-dimensional platforms for carbon-based electronics, show the promising perspective to also incorporate spin polarization in their conjugated electron system. However, magnetism in GNRs is generally associated with localized states around zigzag edges, difficult to fabricate and with high reactivity. Here we demonstrate that magnetism can also be induced away from physical GNR zigzag edges through atomically precise engineering topological defects in its interior. A pair of substitutional boron atoms inserted in the carbon backbone breaks the conjugation of their topological bands and builds two spin-polarized boundary states around them. The spin state was detected in electrical transport measurements through boron-substituted GNRs suspended between the tip and the sample of a scanning tunneling microscope. First-principle simulations find that boron pairs induce a spin 1, which is modified by tuning the spacing between pairs. Our results demonstrate a route to embed spin chains in GNRs, turning them into basic elements of spintronic devices.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.146801

In spite of being a diamagnetic material, graphene can develop a special class of magnetism via the polarization of its π -electron cloud. Such π paramagnetism is less localized than the more conventional d or f magnetism, and can interact over longer distances. Magnetic graphene nanostructures thus offer promising perspectives for à la carte engineering of interacting spin systems with applications in quantum spintronics devices [1–4]. The vision of graphene π paramagnetism has been recently boosted by the development of on-surface synthesis (OSS) as a versatile bottomup route. In OSS, nanoscale graphene flakes with customized shape and composition are fabricated over a metal substrate through the steered reactions between designed organic precursors [5,6]. Solid evidence of magnetism in flakes with zigzag edges has been revealed in scanning tunneling spectroscopy experiments [7-10].

Substituting one carbon atom of the graphene lattice by heteratoms is a potential route to induce magnetism [11,12]. A representative case is the doping of graphene with substitutional boron atoms, because it can be idealized as the removal of one electron from the conjugated bipartite lattice plus the energy upshift of a p_z state. However, boron atoms do not induce any spin imbalance in the graphene lattice, but simply behave as a point potential [11].

A prerequisite for the emergence of π paramagnetism is that the point defect also causes a sufficiently large rupture of the conjugated electron system, for example by completely removing lattice sites or saturating p_z orbitals [13–15], resulting in the localization of radical states.

Here we show that inserting a pair of boron atoms in the carbon lattice of graphene nanoribbons (GNRs) enables a magnetic ground state. Density Functional Theory (DFT) simulations shown in Fig. 1 reveal that a pair of



FIG. 1. (a) Structure of the 2B-7AGNR shown over a color map representing the spin polarization density map, computed by density functional theory simulations ([16]) (green represents the boron moiety). (b) Spin-resolved projected density of states (PDOS) over carbon atoms around the boron dimer. A net spin polarization of one kind confirms the ferromagnetic alignment of the two magnetic moments.



FIG. 2. (a) Organic precursors mixed in the experiments. (b) STM constant current topography image of a 2B-7AGNR $(V_b = -300 \text{ mV}, I = 30 \text{ pA})$. The green cross indicates the position from where the GNR is lifted. (right) Constant height current scan $(V_b = 2 \text{ mV})$ using a CO-functionalized tip [38] of the region indicated by the dashed rectangle. (c) Tunneling current I at $V_b = 25 \text{ mV}$ as a function of z for a borylated (red) and a pristine (orange) GNR, for comparison. The grey region indicates where spectra in Fig. 3(b) were measured. The background shows results of atomistic simulations of a retraction stage shown in Fig. 4, for illustration.

substitutional B atoms in a 7-carbon-atom wide armchair GNR (7AGNR) can build up a net magnetic moment of $2\mu_{\rm B}$ (two Bohr magnetons). This contrasts with the complete absence of magnetism when the pair is inserted in extended graphene [see Supplementary Material (SM) in [16]]. The spin polarization, shown in Fig. 1(a), decays towards the pristine segments with the characteristic shape of the 7AGNR end states [28] (SM, [16] for a comparison). In fact, the spin cloud emerges from the rupture of the conjugated system imposed by the borylated ring and the two neighboring Clar sextets [green in Fig. 1(a)]. This moiety behaves as a highly reflective barrier for valence band electrons [29,30], thus inducing localized end states associated with the termination of the topological 7AGNR valence band [31]. This striking result offers the vision of combining band topology of nanoribbons [31–33] and heteroatoms for shaping spin textures in graphene ribbons.

In our experiments, we substitutionally inserted boron pairs (2B) inside 7AGNRs (2B-7AGNRs) by adding a small fraction of 2B-doped trianthracene organic precursors [2 in Fig. 2(a)] [29,30,34–37] during the OSS of 7AGNRs using precursor 1 [5] [as schematically shown in Fig. 2(a), see Methods in SM [16]]. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) images of the fabricated ribbons [Fig. 2(b)] resolved the 2B unit as a topography depression at varying positions inside the GNR . Tunneling spectra showed no fingerprint of magnetism around the 2B moieties due to the strong interaction between boron and metal states [30,34,37], which quenches the eventual magnetic ground state. Therefore, to detect their intrinsic magnetic state the 2B moieties had to be removed from the metal substrate.

We used the STM tip to pick individual 2B-7AGNRs from one end [cross in Fig. 2(b)] and lift them off to lie freestanding between the tip and sample [7,39]. The (twoterminal) electrical transport through the suspended 2B-7AGNR was monitored during tip retraction z. At the initial stages of suspension (2B unit still on the surface), the current through the ribbon showed a weak exponential decrease with z [Fig. 2(c)], as for pristine GNRs [39]. However, at a certain retraction length z_p , the current exhibited a pronounced peak, returning afterwards to the previous exponential decay. The peak and its position z_n were reproduced for several retraction and approach cycles of the same ribbon, and appeared in all 2B-7AGNRs studied. In every case, the value of z_p correlated with the distance between the 2B site and the contacted GNR end (see SM [16]), proving that the current peaks were caused by the detachment of a 2B moiety from the surface.

To explore the origin of the anomalous current peak, we measured differential conductance (dI/dV) spectra at positions around z_p (Fig. 3). The dI/dV plots show the emergence of a narrow zero-bias resonance in the spectra at $z_p = 2.7$ nm, which gradually decreases its amplitude with



FIG. 3. (a) Spectrum over a larger bias interval, taken at z = 2.70 nm. The dotted grey line is a fitted Frota function [44] with HWHM = 6 ± 0.4 mV. The fitting interval is $|V_b| < 20$ mV. The inset shows the evolution of the resonance's HWHM as a function of z. (b) Conductance through the GNR as a function of V_b and z. The corresponding height interval is the gray region indicated in Fig. 2(c). A narrow, zero-bias resonance is observed for 2.7 nm < z < 2.9 nm.



FIG. 4. (a)–(c) Relaxed structures of three different configurations of a 2B-7AGNR bridging a gold tip and a Au(111) surface (red arrows indicate the position of the B heteroatoms). Constant spin density isosurfaces are shown over the atomic structure $(1.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ e/Å}^3, \text{ spin up in blue and down in red})$. Insets compare spin PDOS over C atoms within the boxed regions around each boron atom for each bridge geometry (solid lines), with the corresponding one of a free 2B-7AGNR (dashed lines). The GNR zigzag termination on the surface holds a spin-polarized radical state, absent at the contacted end due to the bond formed with the tip's apex [7]. The equivalent PDOS are provided for a wider energy window in the SM.

tip retraction, and disappears for z > 2.9 nm. The resonance remained pinned at zero bias in all the z range observed. Its narrow line width reached a maximum value of $\Gamma_{\text{HWHM}} \approx 6 \pm 0.4$ mV at z_p , and evolved nonmonotonously with retraction z [Fig. 3(a)] until disappearing. When the tip was approached below z_p , the resonance vanished abruptly, but it was recovered by increasing z back above the $z_p = 2.7$ nm onset. From its narrow line shape and fixed zero-bias alignment, we conclude that the resonance is a manifestation of the Kondo effect [40–42]. A Kondo-derived resonance appears in dI/dV spectra when a spin polarized state weakly interacts with the conduction electrons of an underlying metal [43].

To correlate these observations with the 2B-induced spin polarization predicted in Fig. 1, we performed DFT simulations of a finite 2B-7AGNR suspended between a model gold tip and the surface of a Au(111) slab [16]. Figure 4 shows the relaxed atomic structures of the GNR junctions before, while, and after detachment of a 2B unit, and includes the computed constant spin density isosurfaces. Before 2B-detachment from the surface $[z < z_p]$ Fig. 4(a)], the intrinsic magnetism around the 2B units is quenched: the projected density of states (PDOS) in the regions 1 and 2 around each boron atom is broad and spin unpolarized, contrasting with the clear spin polarization of free ribbons (shown as dashed plots). This is caused by the strong hybridization of the B atoms with the gold surface [30,34,37], which appear 0.6 Å closer to the surface than the carbon backbone.

The detachment of the 2B moiety from the metal surface causes the emergence of a net spin polarization, clearly reflected in the PDOS and spin density isosurfaces [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)]. At the intermediate snapshot of Fig. 4(b), only one of the two B heteroatoms is detached from the surface, and the ribbon hosts a net spin S = 1/2extending towards the freestanding segment (region 4). For the fully detached 2B case [Fig. 4(c)], both regions around the two B atoms (regions 5 and 6) are spin polarized, recovering the S = 1 state of the isolated 2B-7AGNR [Fig. 1(b)]. Based on these simulations, we interpret that the most probable origin of the experimental Kondo resonance is the intermediate configuration pictured in Fig. 4(b). There, the Kondo effect is caused by the spin 1/2of region 4 interacting weakly with the surface when the first boron atom is detached. Although the S = 1 state of Fig. 4(c) could also produce a Kondo state [9], one would expect that it shows a larger extension and is accompanied by inelastic triplet-singlet side bands. Instead, the zero-bias resonance in the experiments disappears abruptly after a second kink ~ 2 Å higher [Figs. 2(c) and 3(b)] that we associate with the cleave of the second B atom, in consistency with the B-B distance. The experimental results are thus consistent with the spin polarization around freestanding 2B moieties.

Although the Kondo signal vanishes quickly with retraction, DFT finds that the S = 1 state of the free ribbon remains, and is clearly favored over an antiparallel alignment by ~14 meV per isolated 2B pair. The presence of a triplet state is striking; the two spin clouds at each side of the 2B center extend symmetrically over opposite sublattices of the 7AGNR, what usually favors an antiparallel kinetic exchange [15]. A detailed analysis reveals that the



FIG. 5. (a) (Left) Constant current STM image of a borylated GNR ($V_b = -300$ mV, I = 30 pA). (Right) Constant height current image of the marked rectangular region ($V_b = 2$ mV) using a CO-functionalized tip. (b) DFT simulation of the magnetization of a (2B)₂-7AGNR. (c) Cotunneling current I vs z through the ribbon in (a) suspended between tip and sample. (d) Normalized differential conductance of the suspended (2B)₂-7AGNR as a function of V_b and z (see SM [16]). Two zero-bias resonances are observed. (e) Representative dI/dV spectra measured at the indicated z positions, with fits (dashed) using Frota functions [44]. (f) DFT relaxed structure of a suspended (2B)₂-7AGNR. Constant spin-density isosurfaces are shown over the atomic structure; red arrows indicate the position of the B atoms. The inset shows the indicated region of the suspended GNR from a different angle.

hopping matrix elements between the two localized states at the sides of one 2B unit are very small ($t_{intra} \sim 18 \text{ meV}$, see SM [16]). Consequently, the moiety formed by a 2B-doped ring surrounded by two Clar sextets is a very stable element that blocks conjugated electrons from hopping across. This explains the presence of a magnetic state because the borylated element acts as a barrier for valence band electrons of the 7AGNR segments [29], and induces spin polarized boundary states due to the nontrivial topology of this band [31]. Additionally, the 2B barrier also disconnects the boundary states at each side, and hinders the (antiparallel) kinetic exchange between them. The stabilization of the triplet configuration is then the result of the weak direct overlap between both spin-polarized boundary states through the 2B barrier, which, due to the tiny hopping between them, dominates the exchange interaction and induces the ferromagnetic alignment of the spins according to Hund's rule.

We also studied GNRs with two consecutive 2B moieties like in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), spaced by 1.2 nm. Transport experiments through these GNRs (i.e. $(2B)_2$ -7AGNRs) as a function of tip-sample distance [Fig. 5(c)] also reveal deviations from an exponential decay with z, but now showing two peak features at retraction distances $z_{p1} \approx$ 1.60 nm and $z_{p2} \approx 3.05$ nm. These values are related to the positions of the 2B units (nominally \sim 2.0 and \sim 3.2 nm from the contact point, respectively). A map of (normalized) differential conductance as a function of bias and z[Fig. 5(d)] shows that both current features are also caused by narrow zero-bias dI/dV resonances appearing at ranges z < 1.9 nm and 2.9 nm < z < 3.5 nm, respectively. Their line shape [Fig. 5(e)] is similar to the resonances observed for the single 2B case, and can also be attributed to Kondo states, which reflect the emergence of spin polarization in the ribbon as each 2B unit is detached from the surface.

Although these results apparently suggest that each 2B behaves as an independent spin center, DFT simulations of free $(2B)_2$ -7AGNRs [Fig. 5(b)] find that the two singly occupied boundary states between neighboring 2B elements interact strongly and open a large hybridization gap [30], forcing them into a closed shell configuration. As a consequence, the spin polarization vanishes between two 2B sites, but persists outside this region as two uncompensated spin 1/2 clouds [Fig. 5(b)] with barely no preferred relative spin alignment. From our electronic structure calculations [16,30] we can characterize this hybridization by a relatively large effective hopping term t_{inter} between boundary states of neighboring 2B units, which contrasts with the weak hopping t_{intra} across each 2B unit. In fact, the electronic structure close to the Fermi level of a sequence of borylated units can be mapped onto the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model [45], characterized by two alternating hoppings along a 1D wire. Since $t_{inter} > t_{intra}$, an alternative way to understand the spin-polarized states in Fig. 5(b) is as zero-energy topological modes of a very short SSH chain. These simulations allow us to predict that a S = 1 spin chain will emerge for larger inter-2B spacing, when both hopping terms become smaller than the Coulomb charging energy U of the boundary states [16].

To explore if inter-2B interactions survive in the experimental geometry, we simulated a $(2B)_2$ -7AGNR suspended between a tip and sample. Figure 5(f) shows the spin polarization of a snapshot with one 2B moiety completely detached and the second partially bound to the surface. In contrast with the large spin cloud around the lifted single 2B in Fig. 4(c), here there is no spin density between the two 2B moieties, but a net S = 1/2 cloud

above, confirming the presence of interactions between 2B units. The second S = 1/2 boundary cloud is expected to appear below the lower 2B only after the last boron atom is detached, this being responsible for the more extended Kondo effect observed in the experiment above z_{p2} . These results confirm the spin polarization predicted at the interface between $(2B)_2$ units and pristine 7AGNR segments [31], which in essence are zero-energy modes of the 7AGNR valence band of similar nature than those created by a single 2B unit at every side.

The peculiar spin polarization of single 2B units and dimers is a remarkable consequence of the large and longrange exchange interactions present in GNRs [7,8,31]. Our results support that spins survive in freestanding GNRs and can form S = 1 spin chains for low concentrations. Tuning the separation between 2B units is a promising strategy to control spin polarization through a change in the correspondence between inter-2B and intra-2B interactions. Furthermore, the stronger sensitivity of substitutional boron heteroatoms to chemical bonding endows these systems with ideal properties to manipulate complex spin states in chains.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) (MAT2016-78293, PID2019-107338RB, FIS2017-83780-P, and the Maria de Maeztu Units of Excellence Programme MDM-2016-0618), from the European Union (EU) through Horizon 2020 (FET-Open project SPRING Grant. No. 863098), the Basque Departamento de Educación through the PhD fellowship No. PRE_2019_2_0218 (S. S.), the Xunta de Galicia (Centro de Investigación de Galicia accreditation 2019–2022, ED431G 2019/03), the University of the Basque Country (Grant IT1246-19), and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). I. P. also thanks Xunta de Galicia and European Union (European Social Fund, ESF) for the award of a predoctoral fellowship.

N. F. and P. B. contributed equally to this work.

^{*}daniel.sanchez@ehu.eus [†]ji.pascual@nanogune.eu

[1] D. D. Awschalom, L. C. Bassett, A. S. Dzurak, E. L. Hu, and

- J. R. Petta, Science 339, 1174 (2013).
 [2] M. Slota, A. Keerthi, W.K. Myers, E. Tretyakov,
- [2] M. Stota, A. Keetuli, W. K. Myels, E. Hetyakov, M. Baumgarten, A. Ardavan, H. Sadeghi, C. J. Lambert, A. Narita, K. Müllen, and L. Bogani, Nature (London) 557, 691 (2018).
- [3] F. Lombardi, A. Lodi, J. Ma, J. Liu, M. Slota, A. Narita, W. K. Myers, K. Müllen, X. Feng, and L. Bogani, Science 366, 1107 (2019).
- [4] A. L. Sharpe, E. J. Fox, A. W. Barnard, J. Finney, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. A. Kastner, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Science 365, 605 (2019).
- [5] J. Cai, P. Ruffieux, R. Jaafar, M. Bieri, T. Braun, S. Blankenburg, M. Muoth, A.P. Seitsonen, M. Saleh,

X. Feng, K. Mullen, and R. Fasel, Nature (London) **466**, 470 (2010).

- [6] M. Corso, E. Carbonell-Sanromà, and D. G. de Oteyza, in On-Surface Synthesis II (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018), pp. 113–152.
- [7] J. Li, S. Sanz, M. Corso, D. J. Choi, D. Peña, T. Frederiksen, and J. I. Pascual, Nat. Commun. 10, 200 (2019).
- [8] S. Mishra, D. Beyer, K. Eimre, S. Kezilebieke, R. Berger, O. Gröning, C. A. Pignedoli, K. Müllen, P. Liljeroth, P. Ruffieux, X. Feng, and R. Fasel, Nat. Nanotechnol. 15, 22 (2020).
- [9] J. Li, S. Sanz, J. Castro-Esteban, M. Vilas-Varela, N. Friedrich, T. Frederiksen, D. Peña, and J. I. Pascual, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 177201 (2020).
- [10] J. Lawrence, P. Brandimarte, A. Berdonces-Layunta, M. S. G. Mohammed, A. Grewal, C. C. Leon, D. Sánchez-Portal, and D. G. de Oteyza, ACS Nano 14, 4499 (2020).
- [11] X. Wang, G. Sun, P. Routh, D. H. Kim, W. Huang, and P. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev. 43, 7067 (2014).
- [12] P. Błoński, J. Tuček, Z. Sofer, V. Mazánek, M. Petr, M. Pumera, M. Otyepka, and R. Zboil, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 3171 (2017).
- [13] E. H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1201 (1989).
- [14] J. J. Palacios, J. Fernández-Rossier, and L. Brey, Phys. Rev. B 77, 195428 (2008).
- [15] H. Gonzalez-Herrero, J. M. Gomez-Rodriguez, P. Mallet, M. Moaied, J. J. Palacios, C. Salgado, M. M. Ugeda, J.-Y. Veuillen, F. Yndurain, and I. Brihuega, Science **352**, 437 (2016).
- [16] See Supplemental Material http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.146801 for experimental and theoretical methods, and complementary measurements and simulations, which includes Refs. [17–27].
- [17] I. Horcas, R. Fernández, J. Gomez-Rodriguez, J. Colchero, J. Gómez-Herrero, and A. Baro, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 78, 013705 (2007).
- [18] J.D. Hunter, Comput. Sci. Eng. 9, 90 (2007).
- [19] P. Kovesi, arXiv:1509.03700.
- [20] E. Artacho, D. Sánchez-Portal, P. Ordejón, A. García, and J. M. Soler, Phys. Status Solidi B 215, 809 (1999).
- [21] J. M. Soler, E. Artacho, J. D. Gale, A. García, J. Junquera, P. Ordejón, and D. Sánchez-Portal, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 14, 2745 (2002).
- [22] N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B 43, 1993 (1991).
- [23] S. García-Gil, A. García, N. Lorente, and P. Ordejón, Phys. Rev. B 79, 075441 (2009).
- [24] M. Kolmer, P. Brandimarte, J. Lis, R. Zuzak, S. Godlewski, H. Kawai, A. Garcia-Lekue, N. Lorente, T. Frederiksen, C. Joachim, D. Sánchez-Portal, and M. Szymonski, Nat. Commun. 10, 1573 (2019).
- [25] M. Dion, H. Rydberg, E. Schröder, D. C. Langreth, and B. I. Lundqvist, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 246401 (2004).
- [26] J. Klimeš, D. R. Bowler, and A. Michaelides, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 22, 022201 (2010).
- [27] N. Gonzalez-Lakunza, I. Fernández-Torrente, K. J. Franke, N. Lorente, A. Arnau, and J. I. Pascual, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 156805 (2008).
- [28] M. Ijäs, M. Ervasti, A. Uppstu, P. Liljeroth, J. Van Der Lit, I. Swart, and A. Harju, Phys. Rev. B 88, 075429 (2013).

- [29] E. Carbonell-Sanromà, P. Brandimarte, R. Balog, M. Corso, S. Kawai, A. Garcia-Lekue, S. Saito, S. Yamaguchi, E. Meyer, D. Sánchez-Portal, and J. I. Pascual, Nano Lett. 17, 50 (2017).
- [30] E. Carbonell-Sanromà, A. Garcia-Lekue, M. Corso, G. Vasseur, P. Brandimarte, J. Lobo-Checa, D. G. De Oteyza, J. Li, S. Kawai, S. Saito, S. Yamaguchi, J. E. Ortega, D. Sánchez-Portal, and J. I. Pascual, J. Phys. Chem. C 122, 16092 (2018).
- [31] T. Cao, F. Zhao, and S. G. Louie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 076401 (2017).
- [32] D. J. Rizzo, G. Veber, T. Cao, C. Bronner, T. Chen, F. Zhao, H. Rodriguez, S. G. Louie, M. F. Crommie, and F. R. Fischer, Nature (London) 560, 204 (2018).
- [33] O. Gröning, S. Wang, X. Yao, C. A. Pignedoli, G. Borin Barin, C. Daniels, A. Cupo, V. Meunier, X. Feng, A. Narita, K. Müllen, P. Ruffieux, and R. Fasel, Nature (London) 560, 209 (2018).
- [34] S. Kawai, S. Saito, S. Osumi, S. Yamaguchi, A.S. Foster, P. Spijker, and E. Meyer, Nat. Commun. 6, 8098 (2015).

- [35] R. R. Cloke, T. Marangoni, G. D. Nguyen, T. Joshi, D. J. Rizzo, C. Bronner, T. Cao, S. G. Louie, M. F. Crommie, and F. R. Fischer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 8872 (2015).
- [36] Z. Pedramrazi, C. Chen, F. Zhao, T. Cao, G. D. Nguyen, A. A. Omrani, H.-z. Tsai, R. R. Cloke, T. Marangoni, D. J. Rizzo, T. Joshi, C. Bronner, W. Choi, F. R. Fischer, S. G. Louie, and M. F. Crommie, Nano Lett. 18, 3550 (2018).
- [37] B. V. Senkovskiy et al., ACS Nano 12, 7571 (2018).
- [38] G. Kichin, C. Weiss, C. Wagner, F. S. Tautz, and R. Temirov, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 16847 (2011).
- [39] M. Koch, F. Ample, C. Joachim, and L. Grill, Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 713 (2012).
- [40] J. Kondo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 32, 37 (1964).
- [41] R. Temirov, A. Lassise, F.B. Anders, and F.S. Tautz, Nanotechnology 19, 065401 (2008).
- [42] M. Ternes, A. J. Heinrich, and W.-D. Schneider, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 21, 053001 (2009).
- [43] M. Ternes, New J. Phys. 17, 063016 (2015).
- [44] H. O. Frota, Phys. Rev. B 45, 1096 (1992).
- [45] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 1698 (1979).