

THE ORIENTATION NUMBER OF THREE COMPLETE GRAPHS WITH LINKAGES

G. RAJASEKARAN¹, R. SAMPATHKUMAR², §

ABSTRACT. For a graph G , let $\mathcal{D}(G)$ be the set of all strong orientations of G . The *orientation number* of G is $\vec{d}(G) = \min\{d(D) \mid D \in \mathcal{D}(G)\}$, where $d(D)$ denotes the diameter of the digraph D . In this paper, we consider the problem of determining the orientation number of three complete graphs with linkages.

Keywords: complete graphs, orientation number

AMS Subject Classification: 05C20

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite undirected simple graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For a graph G and $x \in V(G)$, the degree of x in G is denoted by $d_G(x)$, and the maximum degree of G by $\Delta(G)$. For $v \in V(G)$, the *eccentricity* of v is $e_G(v) = \max\{d_G(v, x) \mid x \in V(G)\}$, where $d_G(v, x)$ denotes the length of a shortest (v, x) -path in G . The *diameter* of G is $d(G) = \max\{e_G(v) \mid v \in V(G)\}$.

Let D be a digraph with vertex set $V(D)$ and arc set $A(D)$ which has no loops and no two of its arcs have same tail and same head. The notions $e_D(v)$, for $v \in V(D)$, and $d(D)$ are defined as in the undirected graph.

An *orientation* of a graph G is a digraph D obtained from G by assigning a direction to each of its edge. A vertex v is *reachable* from a vertex u of a digraph D if there is a directed path in D from u to v . An orientation D of G is *strong* if any pair of vertices in D are mutually reachable in D . Robbins' one-way street theorem [7] states that a connected graph G has a strong orientation if and only if G is 2-edge-connected. For a 2-edge-connected graph G , let $\mathcal{D}(G)$ denote the set of all strong orientations of G . The *orientation number* of G is $\vec{d}(G) = \min\{d(D) \mid D \in \mathcal{D}(G)\}$. Any orientation D in $\mathcal{D}(G)$ with $d(D) = \vec{d}(G)$ is called an *optimal orientation* of G .

Given r fixed integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r with $n_r \geq n_{r-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 3$ and an integer m with $2 \leq r \leq m \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} n_i n_j$, the number of edges of the complete multipartite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} , let $\mathcal{G}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r; m)$ denote the family of 2-edge connected graphs that are obtained from the disjoint union of r complete graphs $K_{n_1}, K_{n_2}, \dots, K_{n_r}$ by adding

¹ Department of Mathematics, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, India.

e-mail: rajasekaran.ganapathy@vit.ac.in; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0837-2127>.

² Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, India.

e-mail: sampathmath@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4910-7074>.

§ Manuscript received: October 20, 2019; accepted: April 02, 2020.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.11, Special Issue © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2021; all rights reserved.

m edges so that each edge links a vertex of K_{n_i} to a vertex of K_{n_j} for some i and j with $i \neq j$.

Define $\mathcal{G}_m^r = \{G : G \in \mathcal{G}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r; m), \text{ where } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r \text{ are integers with } n_r \geq n_{r-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 3 \text{ and } 2 \leq r \leq m \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} n_i n_j\}$, $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}_m^r) = \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{G}_m^r} \mathcal{D}(G)$

and the parameter $\vec{d}(r; m) = \min\{\vec{d}(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}_m^r\}$. For a family of graphs \mathcal{G} , define $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}) = \min\{\vec{d}(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Hence, $\vec{d}(r; m) = \vec{d}(\mathcal{G}_m^r)$.

In [3], Koh and Ng considered the following problem: given a family of disjoint graphs, study the orientation number and design a corresponding optimal orientation for a resulting graph obtained by linking the given graphs with a set of additional edges.

For $r = 2$, Koh and Ng [3] proved the following:

- Let G_1 and G_2 be two bridgeless graphs of orders n_1 and n_2 , respectively, and \mathcal{G}_2^* be the family of graphs obtained by adding 2 edges to link G_1 and G_2 . If $\Delta(G_1) = n_1 - 1$ and $\Delta(G_2) = n_2 - 1$, then $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}_2^*) = 4$.
- $\min\{m : \vec{d}(2; m) = 3\} = 4$.
- For $p \geq 5$, $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(p, p; 2p)) = \vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(p, p+1; 2p)) = \vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(p, p+2; 2p+1)) = \vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(p, p+3; 2p+2)) = 2$.

Also, Ng [6] proved the following:

- $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(p, p+4; 2p+3)) = 2$.
- For $q \geq p+5$, $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(p, q; 2p+4)) = 2$.

In this paper, we focus on the orientation number and designing a corresponding optimal orientation for three complete graphs with linkages.

Let D be a digraph. For $x, y \in V(D)$, write $x \rightarrow y$ or $y \leftarrow x$ if (x, y) is an arc in D . More generally, for $X, Y \subseteq V(D)$ with $X \cap Y = \phi$, write $X \rightarrow Y$ if for every vertex x in X and for every vertex y in Y , we have $x \rightarrow y$. For simplicity, write $x \rightarrow Y$ for $\{x\} \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow y$ for $X \rightarrow \{y\}$. The *converse* of D , denoted by \tilde{D} , is the digraph obtained from D by reversing each arc in D . It is clear that $d(D) = d(\tilde{D})$. The subdigraph of D induced by $A \subseteq V(D)$ is denoted by $D[A]$.

We refer to [1] for notations and terminology not described here. For results on orientations of graphs, see a survey by Koh and Tay [4]. (Boesch and Tindell [2] and independently Maurer [5] proved that: $\vec{d}(K_n) = 2$ if $n \geq 3$ and $n \neq 4$, and $\vec{d}(K_4) = 3$. Soltés [8] proved that $\vec{d}(K_{p,q})$ is 3 if $2 \leq p \leq q \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$ and it is 4 if $q > \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding the real x .)

2. THREE COMPLETE GRAPHS WITH LINKAGES

In this section, we consider the orientation number for three complete graphs with linkages.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let G_i be a bridgeless graph of order $n_i \geq 3$ and let $\mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 3)$ be the family of 2-edge connected graphs obtained by adding 3 edges to link G_1, G_2 and G_3 . If $\Delta(G_i) = n_i - 1$, then $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 3)) = 6$.*

Proof: Let $x_i \in V(G_i)$ be a vertex such that $d_{G_i}(x_i) = n_i - 1$, A_i be a maximal independent subset of $G_i - x_i$, $G'_i = G_i - (A_i \cup \{x_i\})$ and $G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3 \cup \{x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_1x_3\}$. Then $G \in \mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 3)$. Orient the edges of G as follows:

- (i) $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow x_1$;
- (ii) $A_i \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow V(G'_i)$;
- (iii) $u \rightarrow a$ if $u \in V(G'_1)$, $a \in A_1$ and $ua \in E(G_1)$;
- $v \rightarrow b$ if $v \in V(G'_2)$, $b \in A_2$ and $vb \in E(G_2)$;

$w \rightarrow c$ if $w \in V(G'_3)$, $c \in A_3$ and $wc \in E(G_3)$;

(iv) orient the remaining edges of G arbitrarily.

Let D be the resulting digraph. We claim that $d(D) \leq 6$. By the nature of the orientation, we compute eccentricities only for vertices of G_1 .

- Clearly, $x_1 \rightarrow V(G'_1)$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow V(G'_2)$, and $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow V(G'_3)$. Let $a \in A_1$, $b \in A_2$, $c \in A_3$ be arbitrary. As each G_i is 2-edge-connected, there exist $u \in V(G'_1)$, $v \in V(G'_2)$, $w \in V(G'_3)$ such that $ua \in E(G_1)$, $vb \in E(G_2)$, $wc \in E(G_3)$. Then $u \rightarrow a$, $v \rightarrow b$, $w \rightarrow c$. This shows that $e_D(x_1) \leq 4$.

- Let $u \in V(G'_1)$. By the choice of A_1 , there exists $a \in A_1$ such that $ua \in E(G_1)$. Then $u \rightarrow a$. As $A_1 \rightarrow x_1$, $u \rightarrow a \rightarrow x_1$. This together with $e_D(x_1) \leq 4$ implies that $e_D(u) \leq 6$.

- Let $a \in A_1$. $A_1 \rightarrow x_1$ and $e_D(x_1) \leq 4$ implies that $e_D(a) \leq 5$.

Hence, $d(D) \leq 6$, and therefore $\vec{d}(G) \leq 6$. Consequently, $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 3)) \leq 6$.

We next prove $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 3)) \geq 6$ by the method of contradiction. Suppose there exists a graph G_0 in $\mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 3)$ and an orientation D_0 in $\mathcal{D}(G_0)$ such that $d(D_0) \leq 5$. Since G_0 is 2-edge connected, the three edges added to $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3$ to obtain G_0 must be $x'y'$, $y''z'$, $z''x''$ for some $x', x'' \in V(G_1)$, $y', y'' \in V(G_2)$, $z', z'' \in V(G_3)$. As $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}(G_0)$, in D_0 , we have either $x' \rightarrow y'$, $y'' \rightarrow z'$, $z'' \rightarrow x''$ or $x' \leftarrow y'$, $y'' \leftarrow z'$, $z'' \leftarrow x''$. By symmetry, assume that $x' \rightarrow y'$, $y'' \rightarrow z'$, $z'' \rightarrow x''$. We consider three cases.

Case 1. Among the three pairs $\{x', x''\}$, $\{y', y''\}$, $\{z', z''\}$, at least two satisfy $x' = x''$, $y' = y''$, $z' = z''$, respectively.

Assume, by symmetry, that $x' = x''$ and $z' = z''$.

If there exists $x_0 \in V(G_1) \setminus \{x'\}$ such that $x' \rightarrow x_0$, then $y' = y''$. (Otherwise, $y' \neq y''$, and there is no directed path from x_0 to any vertex of $V(G_3) \setminus \{z'\}$, a contradiction.) For any $z_0 \in V(G_3) \setminus \{z'\}$, since $d_{D_0}(x_0, z_0) \leq 5$, we have $x_0 \rightarrow x'_0 \rightarrow x' \rightarrow y' \rightarrow z' \rightarrow z_0$ for some $x'_0 \in V(G_1) \setminus \{x', x_0\}$. Hence, $z' \rightarrow (V(G_3) \setminus \{z'\})$. Consequently, there is no directed path from any vertex of $V(G_3) \setminus \{z'\}$ to z' , a contradiction.

This contradiction shows that for any $x_0 \in V(G_1) \setminus \{x'\}$, we have $x' \leftarrow x_0$. Hence, $(V(G_1) \setminus \{x'\}) \rightarrow x'$. Then, there is no directed path from x' to any vertex of $V(G_1) \setminus \{x'\}$, once again a contradiction.

Case 2. Among the three pairs $\{x', x''\}$, $\{y', y''\}$, $\{z', z''\}$, exactly one satisfy $x' = x''$, $y' = y''$, $z' = z''$, respectively.

Assume, by symmetry, that $x' = x''$.

If $x_0 \in V(G_1) \setminus \{x'\}$ and $z_0 \in V(G_3) \setminus \{z', z''\}$, then since $d_{D_0}(x_0, z_0) \leq 5$, $x_0 \rightarrow x' \rightarrow y' \rightarrow y'' \rightarrow z' \rightarrow z_0$. Hence, $(V(G_1) \setminus \{x'\}) \rightarrow x'$ and $z' \rightarrow (V(G_3) \setminus \{z', z''\})$. Then, there is no directed path from x' to any vertex in $V(G_1) \setminus \{x'\}$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $x' \neq x''$, $y' \neq y''$, $z' \neq z''$.

If $x_0 \in V(G_1) \setminus \{x', x''\}$ and $z_0 \in V(G_3) \setminus \{z', z''\}$, then since $d_{D_0}(x_0, z_0) \leq 5$, $x_0 \rightarrow x' \rightarrow y' \rightarrow y'' \rightarrow z' \rightarrow z_0$. Hence, $(V(G_1) \setminus \{x', x''\}) \rightarrow x'$ and $z' \rightarrow (V(G_3) \setminus \{z', z''\})$. $d_{D_0}(z', y'') \leq 5$ implies that $z' \rightarrow z'' \rightarrow x'' \rightarrow x' \rightarrow y' \rightarrow y''$. Now $d_{D_0}(z_0, z') \geq 6$, a contradiction. This contradiction shows that for any $x_0 \in V(G_1) \setminus \{x'\}$, we have $x' \leftarrow x_0$. Hence, $(V(G_1) \setminus \{x'\}) \rightarrow x'$. Then, there is no directed path from x' to any vertex of $V(G_1) \setminus \{x'\}$, once again a contradiction.

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let G_i be a bridgeless graph of order $n_i \geq 3$ and let $\mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 4)$ be the family of 2-edge connected graphs obtained by adding 4 edges to link G_1 , G_2 and G_3 . If $K_{1,1,n_i-2} \subseteq G_i$, then $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 4)) = 4$.*

Proof: Let $V(G_i) = \{x_j^i | j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i\}$, $V_i = \{x_j^i | j = 3, 4, \dots, n_i\}$, $d_{G_i}(x_1^i) = d_{G_i}(x_2^i) = n_i - 1$, and $G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3 \cup \{x_1^1 x_1^2, x_2^1 x_1^2, x_1^2 x_1^3, x_1^2 x_2^3\}$. Then $G \in \mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 4)$. Orient the edges of G as follows:

- (i) $\{x_1^1, x_1^3\} \rightarrow x_1^2 \rightarrow \{x_2^1, x_2^3\}$;
- (ii) $x_2^1 \rightarrow \{x_1^1\} \cup V_1$, $V_1 \rightarrow x_1^1$, $x_1^2 \rightarrow x_2^2 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow x_1^2$, $\{x_2^3\} \cup V_3 \rightarrow x_1^3$, $x_2^3 \rightarrow V_3$;
- (iii) orient the remaining edges of G arbitrarily.

Let D be the resulting digraph. We claim that $d(D) \leq 4$.

The existence of the paths from: $x_1^2 \rightarrow x_2^2 \rightarrow V_2$, $x_1^2 \rightarrow x_2^2 \rightarrow V_1 \cup \{x_1^1\}$, and $x_1^2 \rightarrow x_2^2 \rightarrow V_3 \cup \{x_1^3\}$ shows that $e_D(x_1^2) \leq 2$. This together with: $x_2^2 \rightarrow x_1^1 \rightarrow x_1^2$ imply that $e_D(x_1^1) \leq 3$ and $e_D(x_2^2) \leq 4$; $x_2^2 \rightarrow x_2^3 \rightarrow x_1^2$ imply that $e_D(x_2^2) \leq 4$; for any $x_2^i \in V_2$, $x_2^i \rightarrow x_1^2$ imply that $e_D(x_2^i) \leq 3$. For any $x_1^i \in V_1$, $x_1^i \rightarrow x_1^1$ and $e_D(x_1^1) \leq 3$ implies that $e_D(x_1^i) \leq 4$. By the nature of the orientation, the bounds for the eccentricities of the vertices x_1^3, x_2^3, x_3^3 , where $x_3^3 \in V_3$, are equal to the bounds of the eccentricities of the vertices x_1^1, x_2^1, x_3^1 , where $x_3^1 \in V_1$.

This shows that $d(D) \leq 4$, and hence $\vec{d}(G) \leq 4$. Consequently, $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 4)) \leq 4$.

We next prove $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 4)) \geq 4$ by the method of contradiction. Suppose there is a G_0 in $\mathcal{G}(G_1, G_2, G_3; 4)$ and an orientation D_0 of G_0 such that $d(D_0) \leq 3$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. There is no edge with one end in G_r and other end in G_s for some $r, s \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $r \neq s$.

Since G_0 is 2-edge-connected, assume that the linked edges added to be $x_{r_1}^1 x_{r_1}^2$, $x_{r_2}^1 x_{r_2}^2$, $x_{r_3}^2 x_{r_3}^3$ and $x_{r_4}^2 x_{r_4}^3$. As $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}(G_0)$, without loss of generality, assume that, in D_0 , we have $x_{r_1}^1 \rightarrow x_{r_1}^2$, $x_{r_2}^2 \rightarrow x_{r_2}^1$, $x_{r_3}^2 \rightarrow x_{r_3}^3$, $x_{r_4}^3 \rightarrow x_{r_4}^2$. Then, for any $x_p^1 \in V(G_1) \setminus \{x_{r_1}^1\}$ and for any $x_q^3 \in V(G_3) \setminus \{x_{r_3}^3\}$, $d_{D_0}(x_p^1, x_q^3) \geq 4$, a contradiction.

Case 2. For every $r, s \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $r \neq s$, there exists at least one edge with one end in G_r and other end in G_s .

Since G_0 is 2-edge-connected, assume that the linked edges added to be $x_{r_1}^1 x_{r_1}^2$, $x_{r_2}^2 x_{r_2}^3$, $x_{r_3}^1 x_{r_3}^2$ and $x_{r_4}^1 x_{r_4}^3$. As $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}(G_0)$, without loss of generality, assume that, in D_0 , we have $x_{r_1}^1 \rightarrow x_{r_1}^2$, $x_{r_2}^2 \rightarrow x_{r_2}^3$, $x_{r_3}^3 \rightarrow x_{r_3}^1$ and either $x_{r_4}^1 \rightarrow x_{r_4}^3$ or $x_{r_4}^3 \rightarrow x_{r_4}^1$. Then, for any $x_p^3 \in V(G_3) \setminus \{x_{r_3}^3\}$ and for any $x_q^2 \in V(G_2) \setminus \{x_{r_2}^2\}$, $d_{D_0}(x_p^3, x_q^2) \geq 4$, a contradiction.

This completes the proof.

Recall that: $\mathcal{G}_m^3 = \{G : G \in \mathcal{G}(n_1, n_2, n_3; m)\}$, where n_1, n_2, n_3 are integers with $n_3 \geq n_2 \geq n_1 \geq 3$ and $3 \leq m \leq n_1 n_2 + n_1 n_3 + n_2 n_3$. Set $\mathcal{G}_m^{3*} = \{G : G \in \mathcal{G}(n_1, n_2, n_3; m)\}$, where n_1, n_2, n_3 are integers with $n_3 \geq n_2 \geq n_1 \geq 3$, $3 \leq m \leq n_1 n_2 + n_1 n_3 + n_2 n_3$, $n_1 \neq 4$, $n_2 \neq 4$ and $n_3 \neq 4$.

Theorem 2.3. $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}_9^{3*}) \leq 3$.

Proof: Let $V(K_{n_1}) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}\}$, $V(K_{n_2}) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n_2}\}$, $V(K_{n_3}) = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n_3}\}$; $V_1 = \{x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n_1}\}$, $V_2 = \{y_3, y_4, \dots, y_{n_2}\}$, $V_3 = \{z_3, z_4, \dots, z_{n_3}\}$; G_1, G_2 and G_3 be the complete subgraphs of K_{n_1}, K_{n_2} and K_{n_3} induced by the sets V_1, V_2 and V_3 , respectively; and $G = K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup K_{n_3} \cup \{x_1 y_2, x_1 z_2, x_2 y_1, x_2 z_1, x_2 y_2, x_2 z_2, y_1 z_2, y_2 z_1, y_2 z_2\}$. Then $G \in \mathcal{G}_9^{3*}$. Orient the edges of G as follows:

- (i) $x_1 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow x_2$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2, z_1\}$;
- (ii) $y_1 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow y_2$, $y_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_2, x_1\}$;
- (iii) $z_1 \rightarrow V_3 \rightarrow z_2$, $z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, y_1\}$; and
- (iv) orient the edges of G_1, G_2 and G_3 such that $\vec{d}(G_1) \leq 3$, $\vec{d}(G_2) \leq 3$ and $\vec{d}(G_3) \leq 3$.

Let D be the resulting digraph. We claim that $d(D) \leq 3$. By the nature of the orientation, we compute eccentricity only for the vertices of K_{n_1} . The existence of the paths from: $x_1 \rightarrow V_1$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow y_2$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow V_2$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow \{z_2\} \cup V_3$, in D , shows that $e_D(x_1) \leq 3$; $x_2 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow V_1$, $x_2 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow V_2$, $x_2 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow \{z_2\} \cup V_3$, in D , shows that $e_D(x_2) \leq 3$; $V_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow \{x_1, z_2\}$, $V_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow V_2$, $V_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow V_3$, in D , and $\vec{d}(G_1) \leq 3$, shows that for every $x_i \in V_1$, $e_D(x_i) \leq 3$. Thus $d(D) \leq 3$, and hence $\vec{d}(G) \leq 3$. Consequently, $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}_9^{3*}) \leq 3$.

Theorem 2.4. $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(4, 4, 4; 12)) \leq 3$.

Proof: Let $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, $\{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}$, $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$ be the vertex sets of three disjoint copies of K_4 and from $3K_4$ obtain G by adding the 12 edges: $x_1y_1, y_1z_1, z_1x_1, x_1y_4, x_1z_4, y_1x_4, y_1z_4, z_1x_4, z_1y_4, x_4y_3, y_4z_3, z_4x_3$. Then $G \in \mathcal{G}(4, 4, 4; 12)$. Orient the edges of G as follows:

$x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $x_3 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2\}$, $x_2 \rightarrow x_1$,
 $y_4 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, $y_3 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2\}$, $y_2 \rightarrow y_1$,
 $z_4 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$, $z_3 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_2\}$, $z_2 \rightarrow z_1$,
 $x_1 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_4, z_4\}$, $y_1 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_4, x_4\}$, $z_1 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_4, y_4\}$,
 $x_4 \rightarrow y_3$, $y_4 \rightarrow z_3$, and $z_4 \rightarrow x_3$.

Let D be the resulting digraph. Direct verification shows that $d(D) = 3$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.5. Let $n_3 \geq 5$ or $n_3 = 3$. Then $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(4, 4, n_3; 11)) \leq 3$.

Proof: Let $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, $\{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}$ and $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n_3}\}$ be, respectively, the vertex sets of two disjoint copies of K_4 and K_{n_3} ; let $V' = V(K_{n_3}) \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$; and let $G = K_4 \cup K_4 \cup K_{n_3} \cup \{x_1y_1, x_1y_4, x_1z_1, x_1z_2, x_3z_2, x_4y_1, x_4y_3, x_4z_1, y_1z_1, y_1z_2, y_4z_1\}$. Then $G \in \mathcal{G}(4, 4, n_3; 11)$. Orient the edges of G as follows:

- (i) $x_1 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_4, z_2\}$, $y_1 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_2, x_4\}$, $z_1 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_4, y_4\}$, $x_4 \rightarrow y_3$, $z_2 \rightarrow x_3$;
- (ii) $x_4 \rightarrow \{x_3, x_2, x_1\}$, $\{x_3, x_2\} \rightarrow x_1$, $x_3 \rightarrow x_2$;
- (iii) $y_4 \rightarrow \{y_3, y_2, y_1\}$, $\{y_3, y_2\} \rightarrow y_1$, $y_3 \rightarrow y_2$;
- (iv) $z_2 \rightarrow z_1$, $z_2 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow z_1$; and
- (v) orient the edges of $G[V']$ such that $\vec{d}(G[V']) \leq 3$.

Let D be the resulting digraph. We claim that $d(D) \leq 3$. We show this by computing upper bounds for eccentricities of the vertices.

Let $z_i \in V'$ be arbitrary. In D , the existence of the paths from: $x_1 \rightarrow y_4 \rightarrow \{y_2, y_3\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_i\}$, and $x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_2, x_3\}$ shows that $e_D(x_1) \leq 3$; $x_2 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \{x_3, z_i\}$, $x_2 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \{z_1, x_4\}$, and $x_2 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_4 \rightarrow \{y_2, y_3\}$ shows that $e_D(x_2) \leq 3$; $x_3 \rightarrow x_2$, $x_3 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x_4$, $x_3 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_4 \rightarrow \{y_2, y_3\}$, and $x_3 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_i\}$ shows that $e_D(x_3) \leq 3$; $x_4 \rightarrow \{x_2, x_3\}$, $x_4 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_1$, $x_4 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_4 \rightarrow \{y_2, y_3\}$, and $x_4 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_i\}$ shows that $e_D(x_4) \leq 3$; $y_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $y_1 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow y_4 \rightarrow \{y_2, y_3\}$, and $y_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_i$ shows that $e_D(y_1) \leq 3$; $y_2 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_3\}$, $y_2 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow y_4$, and $y_2 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_i$ shows that $e_D(y_2) \leq 3$; $y_3 \rightarrow y_2$, $y_3 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow y_4$, $y_3 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, and $y_3 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_i$ shows that $e_D(y_3) \leq 3$; $y_4 \rightarrow y_2$, $y_4 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_1$, $y_4 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_3\}$, and $y_4 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_i$ shows that $e_D(y_4) \leq 3$; $z_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $z_1 \rightarrow y_4 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, and $z_1 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_i$ shows that $e_D(z_1) \leq 3$; $z_2 \rightarrow z_i$, $z_2 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, and $z_2 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow y_4 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ shows that $e_D(z_2) \leq 3$; $z_i \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $z_i \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow y_4 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, and $z_i \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow z_2$ together with $\vec{d}(G[V']) \leq 3$

shows that $e_D(z_i) \leq 3$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $n_2 \geq 5$ or $n_2 = 3$, and let $n_3 \geq 5$ or $n_3 = 3$. Then $\vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(4, n_2, n_3; 10)) \leq 3$.*

Proof: Let $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n_2}\}$, and $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n_3}\}$ be, respectively, the vertex sets of K_4 , K_{n_2} and K_{n_3} ; let $V' = V(K_{n_2}) \setminus \{y_1, y_2\}$ and $V'' = V(K_{n_3}) \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$; and let $G = K_4 \cup K_{n_2} \cup K_{n_3} \cup \{x_1y_1, x_1y_2, x_4y_1, x_1z_1, x_1z_2, x_4z_1, y_1z_1, y_1z_2, y_2z_1, x_3z_2\}$. Then $G \in \mathcal{G}(4, n_2, n_3; 10)$. Orient the edges of G as follows:

- (i) $x_1 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_2, z_2\}$, $y_1 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_2, x_4\}$, $z_1 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_4, y_2\}$, $z_2 \rightarrow x_3$;
- (ii) $x_4 \rightarrow \{x_3, x_2, x_1\}$, $x_3 \rightarrow \{x_2, x_1\}$, $x_2 \rightarrow x_1$;
- (iii) $y_2 \rightarrow y_1$, $y_2 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow y_1$, $z_2 \rightarrow z_1$, $z_2 \rightarrow V'' \rightarrow z_1$; and
- (iv) orient the edges of $G[V']$ and that of $G[V'']$ such that $\vec{d}(G[V']) \leq 3$ and $\vec{d}(G[V'']) \leq 3$.

Let D be the resulting digraph. We claim that $d(D) \leq 3$. We show this by computing upper bounds for eccentricities of the vertices.

Let $y_i \in V'$ and $z_j \in V''$ are arbitrary. In D , the existence of the paths from: $x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_2, x_3\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow y_i$, and $x_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_j\}$ shows that $e_D(x_1) \leq 3$; $x_2 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x_4$, $x_2 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow y_i$, and $x_2 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \{x_3, z_1, z_j\}$ shows that $e_D(x_2) \leq 3$; $x_3 \rightarrow x_2$, $x_3 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \{z_1, x_4\}$, $x_3 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow y_i$, and $x_3 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_j$ shows that $e_D(x_3) \leq 3$; $x_4 \rightarrow \{x_2, x_3\}$, $x_4 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_1$, $x_4 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow y_i$, and $x_4 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_j$ shows that $e_D(x_4) \leq 3$; $y_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $y_1 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow y_i$, and $y_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_j$ shows that $e_D(y_1) \leq 3$; $y_2 \rightarrow y_i$, $y_2 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $y_2 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_1$, and $y_2 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_j$ shows that $e_D(y_2) \leq 3$; $y_i \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $y_i \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_j$ and $y_i \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow y_2$, together with $\vec{d}(G[V']) \leq 3$ shows that $e_D(y_i) \leq 3$; $z_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $z_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_i\}$, and $z_1 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow z_j$ shows that $e_D(z_1) \leq 3$; $z_2 \rightarrow z_j$, $z_2 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, and $z_2 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_i\}$ shows that $e_D(z_2) \leq 3$; $z_j \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow \{x_2, x_3\}$, $z_j \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow \{y_1, y_i\}$, and $z_j \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow z_2$, together with $\vec{d}(G[V'']) \leq 3$ shows that $e_D(z_j) \leq 3$.

This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.1.

- (i) $\min\{m : \vec{d}(3; m) = 6\} = 3$.
- (ii) $\min\{m : \vec{d}(3; m) = 4\} = 4$.
- (iii) $\min\{m : \vec{d}(\mathcal{G}_m^{3*}) = 3\} \leq 9$.
- (iv) $\min\{m : \vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(4, 4, 4; m)) \leq 3\} \leq 12$.
- (v) Let $n_3 \in \{3, 5, 6, 7, \dots\}$. $\min\{m : \vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(4, 4, n_3; m)) \leq 3\} \leq 11$.
- (vi) Let $n_2, n_3 \in \{3, 5, 6, 7, \dots\}$. $\min\{m : \vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(4, n_2, n_3; m)) \leq 3\} \leq 10$.
- (vii) $\min\{m : \vec{d}(3; m) = 3\} \leq 12$.

Proof: Proofs of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), and (vi) follows by Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6. Proof of (vii) follows from (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi).

Problem 2.1. *Find $\min\{m : \vec{d}(3; m) = 3\}$.*

Theorem 2.7. *For $n \geq 5$ or $n = 3$, there exists a graph G in $\mathcal{G}(n, n, n; 6n)$ with $\vec{d}(G) = 2$.*

Proof: Let m be odd and let $V = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$ be the vertex set of the complete graph K_m . Orient the edges of K_m as follows:

- (i) $\{v_2, v_4, v_6, \dots, v_{m-1}\} \rightarrow v_0 \rightarrow \{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{m-2}\}$;

- (ii) $\{v_0\} \cup \{v_3, v_5, v_7, \dots, v_{m-2}\} \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \{v_2, v_4, v_6, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$;
- (iii) $\{v_0, v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{m-3}\} \rightarrow v_{m-2} \rightarrow \{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{m-4}\} \cup \{v_{m-1}\}$;
- (iv) $\{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{m-2}\} \rightarrow v_{m-1} \rightarrow \{v_0, v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{m-3}\}$;
- (v) when $i \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-3\}$,
 $(\{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{i-1}\} \cup \{v_{i+2}, v_{i+4}, v_{i+6}, \dots, v_{m-1}\}) \rightarrow v_i \rightarrow$
 $(\{v_0, v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{i-2}\} \cup \{v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}, v_{i+5}, \dots, v_{m-2}\})$;
- (vi) when $i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-4\}$,
 $(\{v_0, v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{i-1}\} \cup \{v_{i+2}, v_{i+4}, v_{i+6}, \dots, v_{m-2}\}) \rightarrow v_i \rightarrow$
 $(\{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{i-2}\} \cup \{v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}, v_{i+5}, \dots, v_{m-1}\})$.

Let D be the resulting digraph. We claim that $d(D) = 2$. We show this by computing eccentricities for the vertices of D .

The existence of the paths, in D , from: $v_0 \rightarrow \{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{m-2}\}$ and $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \{v_2, v_4, v_6, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$ shows that $e_D(v_0) \leq 2$; $v_1 \rightarrow \{v_2, v_4, v_6, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$ and $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \{v_0\} \cup \{v_3, v_5, v_7, \dots, v_{m-2}\}$ shows that $e_D(v_1) \leq 2$; for $i \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-5\}$, $v_i \rightarrow \{v_0, v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{i-2}\} \cup \{v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}, v_{i+5}, \dots, v_{m-2}\}$, $v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1} \rightarrow \{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{i-1}\} \cup \{v_{i+2}, v_{i+4}, v_{i+6}, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$ shows that $e_D(v_i) \leq 2$; for $i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-4\}$, $v_i \rightarrow \{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{i-2}\} \cup \{v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}, v_{i+5}, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$, $v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1} \rightarrow \{v_0, v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{i-1}\} \cup \{v_{i+2}, v_{i+4}, v_{i+6}, \dots, v_{m-2}\}$ shows that $e_D(v_i) \leq 2$; $v_{m-3} \rightarrow \{v_0, v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{m-5}\} \cup \{v_{m-2}\}$ and $v_{m-3} \rightarrow v_{m-2} \rightarrow \{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{m-4}\} \cup \{v_{m-1}\}$ shows that $e_D(v_{m-3}) \leq 2$; $v_{m-2} \rightarrow \{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{m-4}\}$ and $v_{m-2} \rightarrow v_{m-1} \rightarrow \{v_0, v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{m-3}\}$ shows that $e_D(v_{m-2}) \leq 2$; $v_{m-1} \rightarrow \{v_0, v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{m-3}\}$ and $v_{m-1} \rightarrow v_0 \rightarrow \{v_1, v_3, v_5, \dots, v_{m-2}\}$ shows that $e_D(v_{m-1}) \leq 2$.

We consider two cases.

Case 1. $n = m$ is odd.

Let $V_1 = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$, $V_2 = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}\}$, and $V_3 = \{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}\}$ be the vertex sets of three disjoint complete graphs K_m .

Let $G = 3K_m \cup \{x_i y_i, x_{i+1} y_i, y_i z_i, y_i z_{i+1}, x_i z_{m-1-i}, x_{m-i} z_i : i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}\}$, where suffixes are reduced modulo m . Then $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, m, m; 6m)$. Orient the edges of G as follows:

- (i) if $v_i \rightarrow v_j$, then $x_i \rightarrow x_j$, $y_i \leftarrow y_j$ and $z_i \rightarrow z_j$;
- (ii) $x_i \rightarrow \{y_i, z_{m-1-i}\}$, $y_i \rightarrow \{x_{i+1}, z_{i+1}\}$, and $z_i \rightarrow \{y_i, x_{m-i}\}$.

Let D' be the resulting digraph. We claim that $d(D') = 2$. We show this by computing eccentricities for the vertices of D' . Let $D'_i = D'[V_i]$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. As $D'_1 \cong \widehat{D}'_2 \cong D'_3 \cong D$, $d(D'_i) = 2$.

The existence of the paths: $x_0 \rightarrow y_0$, $x_0 \rightarrow y_0 \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, $x_0 \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-2\}$, $x_0 \rightarrow z_{m-1} \rightarrow z_j$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, $x_0 \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow z_{m-1-j}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-2\}$, and $x_0 \rightarrow z_{m-1}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_1}(x_0) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(x_0) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths: $x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{0\} \cup \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-2\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow y_1$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow z_{m-2} \rightarrow z_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow z_{m-1-j}$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, and $x_1 \rightarrow z_{m-2}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_1}(x_1) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(x_1) \leq 2$.

Let $i \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-3\}$. The existence of the paths from: $x_i \rightarrow y_i$, $x_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow \{y_1, y_3, y_5, \dots, y_{i-1}\} \cup \{y_{i+2}, y_{i+4}, y_{i+6}, \dots, y_{m-1}\}$, $x_i \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-2\}$, $x_i \rightarrow z_{m-1-i} \rightarrow \{z_0, z_2, z_4, \dots, z_{m-i-3}\} \cup \{z_{m-i}, z_{m-i+2}, z_{m-i+4}, \dots, z_{m-2}\}$, $x_i \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow z_{m-1-j}$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-2\}$, and $x_i \rightarrow z_{m-1-i}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_1}(x_i) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(x_i) \leq 2$.

Let $i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-4\}$. The existence of the paths from: $x_i \rightarrow y_i$, $x_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow \{y_0, y_2, y_4, \dots, y_{i-1}\} \cup \{y_{i+2}, y_{i+4}, y_{i+6}, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, $x_i \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, i-$

$2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-1\}$, $x_i \rightarrow z_{m-i-1} \rightarrow \{z_1, z_3, z_5, \dots, z_{m-i-3}\} \cup \{z_{m-i}, z_{m-i+2}, z_{m-i+4}, \dots, z_{m-1}\}$, $x_i \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow z_{m-j-1}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-1\}$, and $x_i \rightarrow z_{m-i-1}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_1}(x_i) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(x_i) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $x_{m-2} \rightarrow y_{m-2}, x_{m-2} \rightarrow y_{m-2} \rightarrow \{y_0, y_2, y_4, \dots, y_{m-3}\}$, $x_{m-2} \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, $x_{m-2} \rightarrow z_1, x_{m-2} \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow \{z_2, z_4, z_6, \dots, z_{m-1}\}$, and $x_{m-2} \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow z_{m-1-j}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_1}(x_{m-2}) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(x_{m-2}) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $x_{m-1} \rightarrow y_{m-1}, x_{m-1} \rightarrow y_{m-1} \rightarrow \{y_1, y_3, y_5, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, $x_{m-1} \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, $x_{m-1} \rightarrow z_0, x_{m-1} \rightarrow z_0 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_3, z_5, \dots, z_{m-2}\}$, and $x_{m-1} \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow z_{m-1-j}$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_1}(x_{m-1}) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(x_{m-1}) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $y_0 \rightarrow x_1, y_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \{x_2, x_4, x_6, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$, $y_0 \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, $y_0 \rightarrow z_1, y_0 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow \{z_2, z_4, z_6, \dots, z_{m-1}\}$, and $y_0 \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow z_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_2}(y_0) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(y_0) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $y_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow \{x_0\} \cup \{x_3, x_5, x_7, \dots, x_{m-2}\}$, $y_1 \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{0\} \cup \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-2\}$, $y_1 \rightarrow x_2, y_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \{z_0\} \cup \{z_3, z_5, z_7, \dots, z_{m-2}\}$, $y_1 \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow z_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{0\} \cup \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-2\}$, and $y_1 \rightarrow z_2$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_2}(y_1) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(y_1) \leq 2$.

Let $i \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-5\}$. The existence of the paths from: $y_i \rightarrow x_{i+1}, y_i \rightarrow x_{i+1} \rightarrow \{x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{i-1}\} \cup \{x_{i+2}, x_{i+4}, x_{i+6}, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$, $y_i \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, i-1\} \cup \{i+2, i+4, i+6, \dots, m-1\}$, $y_i \rightarrow z_{i+1}, y_i \rightarrow z_{i+1} \rightarrow \{z_1, z_3, z_5, \dots, z_{i-1}\} \cup \{z_{i+2}, z_{i+4}, z_{i+6}, \dots, z_{m-1}\}$, and $y_i \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow z_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, i-1\} \cup \{i+2, i+4, i+6, \dots, m-1\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_2}(y_i) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(y_i) \leq 2$.

Let $i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-4\}$. The existence of the paths from: $y_i \rightarrow x_{i+1}, y_i \rightarrow x_{i+1} \rightarrow \{x_0, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{i-1}\} \cup \{x_{i+2}, x_{i+4}, x_{i+6}, \dots, x_{m-2}\}$, $y_i \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, i-1\} \cup \{i+2, i+4, i+6, \dots, m-2\}$, $y_i \rightarrow z_{i+1}, y_i \rightarrow z_{i+1} \rightarrow \{z_0, z_2, z_4, \dots, z_{i-1}\} \cup \{z_{i+2}, z_{i+4}, z_{i+6}, \dots, z_{m-2}\}$, and $y_i \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow z_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, i-1\} \cup \{i+2, i+4, i+6, \dots, m-2\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_2}(y_i) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(y_i) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $y_{m-3} \rightarrow x_{m-2}, y_{m-3} \rightarrow x_{m-2} \rightarrow \{x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{m-4}\} \cup \{x_{m-1}\}$, $y_{m-3} \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, $y_{m-3} \rightarrow z_{m-2}, y_{m-3} \rightarrow z_{m-2} \rightarrow \{z_1, z_3, z_5, \dots, z_{m-4}\} \cup \{z_{m-1}\}$, and $y_{m-3} \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow z_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_2}(y_{m-3}) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(y_{m-3}) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $y_{m-2} \rightarrow x_{m-1}, y_{m-2} \rightarrow x_{m-1} \rightarrow \{x_0, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{m-3}\}$, $y_{m-2} \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, $y_{m-2} \rightarrow z_{m-1}, y_{m-2} \rightarrow z_{m-1} \rightarrow \{z_0, z_2, z_4, \dots, z_{m-3}\}$, and $y_{m-2} \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow z_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_2}(y_{m-2}) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(y_{m-2}) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $y_{m-1} \rightarrow x_0, y_{m-1} \rightarrow x_0 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{m-2}\}$, $y_{m-1} \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow x_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-2\}$, $y_{m-1} \rightarrow z_0, y_{m-1} \rightarrow z_0 \rightarrow \{z_1, z_3, z_5, \dots, z_{m-2}\}$, and $y_{m-1} \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow z_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-2\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_2}(y_{m-1}) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(y_{m-1}) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $z_0 \rightarrow x_0, z_0 \rightarrow x_0 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{m-2}\}$, $z_0 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-j}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-2\}$, $z_0 \rightarrow y_0, z_0 \rightarrow y_0 \rightarrow \{y_2, y_4, y_6, \dots, y_{m-1}\}$, $z_0 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-2\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_3}(z_0) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(z_0) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $z_1 \rightarrow x_{m-1} \rightarrow \{x_0, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{m-3}\}$, $z_1 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-j}$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, $z_1 \rightarrow x_{m-1}, z_1 \rightarrow y_1, z_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \{y_0\} \cup$

$\{y_3, y_5, y_7, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, and $z_1 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_3}(z_1) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(z_1) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $z_2 \rightarrow x_{m-2}, z_2 \rightarrow x_{m-2} \rightarrow \{x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{m-4}\} \cup \{x_{m-1}\}$, $z_2 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-j}$ for $j \in \{0\} \cup \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-2\}$, $z_2 \rightarrow y_2, z_2 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow \{y_1\} \cup \{y_4, y_6, y_8, \dots, y_{m-1}\}$, and $z_2 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{0\} \cup \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-2\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_3}(z_2) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(z_2) \leq 2$.

Let $i \in \{4, 6, 8, \dots, m-3\}$. The existence of the paths from: $z_i \rightarrow x_{m-i}, z_i \rightarrow x_{m-i} \rightarrow \{x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{m-i-2}\} \cup \{x_{m-i+1}, x_{m-i+3}, x_{m-i+5}, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$, $z_i \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-j}$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-2\}$, $z_i \rightarrow y_i, z_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow \{y_1, y_3, y_5, \dots, y_{i-1}\} \cup \{y_{i+2}, y_{i+4}, y_{i+6}, \dots, y_{m-1}\}$, and $z_i \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-2\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_3}(z_i) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(z_i) \leq 2$.

Let $i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-4\}$. The existence of the paths from: $z_i \rightarrow x_{m-i}, z_i \rightarrow x_{m-i} \rightarrow \{x_0, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{m-i-2}\} \cup \{x_{m-i+1}, x_{m-i+3}, x_{m-i+5}, \dots, x_{m-2}\}$, $z_i \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-j}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-1\}$, $z_i \rightarrow y_i, z_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow \{y_0, y_2, y_4, \dots, y_{i-1}\} \cup \{y_{i+2}, y_{i+4}, y_{i+6}, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, $z_i \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-1\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_3}(z_i) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(z_i) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $z_{m-2} \rightarrow x_2, z_{m-2} \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow \{x_0\} \cup \{x_3, x_5, x_7, \dots, x_{m-2}\}$, $z_{m-2} \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-j}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, $z_{m-2} \rightarrow y_{m-2}, z_{m-2} \rightarrow y_{m-2} \rightarrow \{y_0, y_2, y_4, \dots, y_{m-3}\}$, and $z_{m-2} \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_3}(z_{m-2}) \leq 2$ shows that $e_{D'}(z_{m-2}) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $z_{m-1} \rightarrow x_1, z_{m-1} \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \{x_2, x_4, x_6, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$, $z_{m-1} \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-j}$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, $z_{m-1} \rightarrow y_{m-1} \rightarrow \{y_1, y_3, y_5, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, $z_{m-1} \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, and $z_{m-1} \rightarrow y_{m-1}$, in D' , together with $e_{D'_3}(z_{m-1}) \leq 2$, shows that $e_{D'}(z_{m-1}) \leq 2$.

This completes the proof of the claim $d(D') = 2$.

Case 2. $n = m + 1$ is even.

Let $V'_1 = V_1 \cup \{x\}$, $V'_2 = V_2 \cup \{y\}$, and $V'_3 = V_3 \cup \{z\}$, where V_1, V_2, V_3 are as in Case 1; let $G = 3K_n \cup \{xy, yz, zx, xz_{m-1}, yz_{m-1}, yx_{m-1}\} \cup \{xy_i, zx_i, zy_i, x_iy_i, y_iz_i, x_iz_{m-i-1} : i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}\}$, where suffixes are reduced modulo m . Then $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, n, n; 6n)$. Orient the edges of G as follows:

- (i) if $v_i \rightarrow v_j$, then $x_i \rightarrow x_j, y_i \leftarrow y_j$ and $z_i \rightarrow z_j$;
- (ii) $x \rightarrow V_1, \{y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m-3}\} \rightarrow y \rightarrow \{y_{m-2}, y_{m-1}\}$, and $z \rightarrow V_3$;
- (iii') $y \rightarrow x, x \rightarrow z, y \rightarrow z,$

$$z_{m-1} \rightarrow x, z_{m-1} \rightarrow y, x_{m-1} \rightarrow y,$$

$$\{y_i \rightarrow x, x_i \rightarrow z, y_i \rightarrow z, x_i \rightarrow y_i, z_i \rightarrow y_i, z_{m-i-1} \rightarrow x_i : i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}\}.$$

Let D' be the resulting digraph. We claim that $d(D') = 2$. We show this by computing eccentricities for the vertices of D' .

The existence of the paths from: $x \rightarrow V_1, x \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow y_i$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $x \rightarrow x_{m-1} \rightarrow y, x \rightarrow z \rightarrow V_3$, and $x \rightarrow z$, in D' , shows that $e_{D'}(x) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $y \rightarrow x \rightarrow V_1, y \rightarrow x, y \rightarrow y_{m-2} \rightarrow \{y_0, y_2, y_4, \dots, y_{m-3}\}$, $y \rightarrow y_{m-1} \rightarrow \{y_1, y_3, y_5, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, $y \rightarrow y_{m-1}, y \rightarrow z \rightarrow V_3$, and $y \rightarrow z$, in D' , shows that $e_{D'}(y) \leq 2$.

The existence of the paths from: $z \rightarrow z_{m-i-1} \rightarrow x_i$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $z \rightarrow z_{m-1} \rightarrow x, z \rightarrow z_i \rightarrow y_i$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $z \rightarrow z_{m-1} \rightarrow y$, and $z \rightarrow V_3$, in D' , shows that $e_{D'}(z) \leq 2$.

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $x_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow x$ shows that $d_{D'}(x_i, x) \leq 2$, $y_i \rightarrow x$ shows

that $d_{D'}(y_i, x) = 1$, and $z_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow x$ shows that $d_{D'}(z_i, x) \leq 2$. $y \rightarrow x$ shows that $d_{D'}(y, x) = 1$. $z \rightarrow z_{m-1} \rightarrow x$ shows that $d_{D'}(z, x) \leq 2$.

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-3\}$, $x_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(x_i, y) \leq 2$, $y_i \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(y_i, y) = 1$, and $z_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(z_i, y) \leq 2$. $x_{m-2} \rightarrow x_{m-1} \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(x_{m-2}, y) \leq 2$. $x_{m-1} \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(x_{m-1}, y) = 1$. $y_{m-2} \rightarrow y_0 \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(y_{m-2}, y) \leq 2$. $y_{m-1} \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(y_{m-1}, y) \leq 2$. $z_{m-2} \rightarrow z_{m-1} \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(z_{m-2}, y) \leq 2$. $z_{m-1} \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(z_{m-1}, y) = 1$. $x \rightarrow x_{m-1} \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(x, y) \leq 2$. $z \rightarrow z_{m-1} \rightarrow y$ shows that $d_{D'}(z, y) \leq 2$.

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $x_i \rightarrow z$ shows that $d_{D'}(x_i, z) = 1$, $y_i \rightarrow z$ shows that $d_{D'}(y_i, z) = 1$, and $z_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow z$ shows that $d_{D'}(z_i, z) \leq 2$. $x \rightarrow z$ shows that $d_{D'}(x, z) = 1$. $y \rightarrow z$ shows that $d_{D'}(y, z) = 1$.

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(x_0, y_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths: $x_0 \rightarrow y_0$, $x_0 \rightarrow y_0 \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, and $x_0 \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-2\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(x_1, y_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths: $x_1 \rightarrow y_1$, $x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{0\} \cup \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-2\}$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-3\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(x_i, y_j) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $x_i \rightarrow y_i$, $x_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow \{y_1, y_3, y_5, \dots, y_{i-1}\} \cup \{y_{i+2}, y_{i+4}, y_{i+6}, \dots, y_{m-1}\}$, and $x_i \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow y_k$ for $k \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-2\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-4\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(x_i, y_j) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $x_i \rightarrow y_i$, $x_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow \{y_0, y_2, y_4, \dots, y_{i-1}\} \cup \{y_{i+2}, y_{i+4}, y_{i+6}, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, and $x_i \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow y_k$ for $k \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-1\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(x_{m-2}, y_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $x_{m-2} \rightarrow y_{m-2}$, $x_{m-2} \rightarrow y_{m-2} \rightarrow \{y_0, y_2, y_4, \dots, y_{m-3}\}$, and $x_{m-2} \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(x_{m-1}, y_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $x_{m-1} \rightarrow y_{m-1}$, $x_{m-1} \rightarrow y_{m-1} \rightarrow \{y_1, y_3, y_5, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, and $x_{m-1} \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, in D' .

For $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(x_i, z_j) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the path: $x_i \rightarrow z \rightarrow z_j$, in D' .

For $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(y_i, x_j) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the path: $y_i \rightarrow x \rightarrow x_j$, in D' .

For $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(y_i, z_j) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the path: $y_i \rightarrow z \rightarrow z_j$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_0, x_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths: $z_0 \rightarrow x_{m-1} \rightarrow x_j$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, $z_0 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-1-j}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-2\}$, and $z_0 \rightarrow x_{m-1}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_1, x_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths: $z_1 \rightarrow x_{m-2} \rightarrow x_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, $z_1 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-1-j}$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, and $z_1 \rightarrow x_{m-2}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-3\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_i, x_j) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_i \rightarrow x_{m-1-i} \rightarrow \{x_0, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{m-i-3}\} \cup \{x_{m-i}, x_{m-i+2}, x_{m-i+4}, \dots, x_{m-2}\}$, $z_i \rightarrow z_k \rightarrow x_{m-1-k}$ for $k \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-2\}$, and $z_i \rightarrow x_{m-1-i}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-4\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_i, x_j) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_i \rightarrow x_{m-i-1} \rightarrow \{x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{m-i-3}\} \cup \{x_{m-i}, x_{m-i+2},$

$x_{m-i+4}, \dots, x_{m-1}$, $z_i \rightarrow z_k \rightarrow x_{m-k-1}$ for $k \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-1\}$, and $z_i \rightarrow x_{m-i-1}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_{m-2}, x_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_{m-2} \rightarrow x_1, z_{m-2} \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \{x_2, x_4, x_6, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$, and $z_{m-2} \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-1-j}$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_{m-1}, x_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_{m-1} \rightarrow x_0, z_{m-1} \rightarrow x_0 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{m-2}\}$, and $z_{m-1} \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow x_{m-1-j}$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_0, y_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_0 \rightarrow y_0, z_0 \rightarrow y_0 \rightarrow \{y_2, y_4, y_6, \dots, y_{m-1}\}$, and $z_0 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-2\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_1, y_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_1 \rightarrow y_1, z_1 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \{y_0\} \cup \{y_3, y_5, y_7, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, and $z_1 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, m-1\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_2, y_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_2 \rightarrow y_2, z_2 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow \{y_1\} \cup \{y_4, y_6, y_8, \dots, y_{m-1}\}$, and $z_2 \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{0\} \cup \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-2\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{4, 6, 8, \dots, m-3\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_i, y_j) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_i \rightarrow y_i, z_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow \{y_1, y_3, y_5, \dots, y_{i-1}\} \cup \{y_{i+2}, y_{i+4}, y_{i+6}, \dots, y_{m-1}\}$, and $z_i \rightarrow z_k \rightarrow y_k$ for $k \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-2\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots, m-4\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_i, y_j) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_i \rightarrow y_i, z_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow \{y_0, y_2, y_4, \dots, y_{i-1}\} \cup \{y_{i+2}, y_{i+4}, y_{i+6}, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, $z_i \rightarrow z_k \rightarrow y_k$ for $k \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, i-2\} \cup \{i+1, i+3, i+5, \dots, m-1\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_{m-2}, y_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_{m-2} \rightarrow y_{m-2}, z_{m-2} \rightarrow y_{m-2} \rightarrow \{y_0, y_2, y_4, \dots, y_{m-3}\}$, and $z_{m-2} \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-4\} \cup \{m-1\}$, in D' .

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $d_{D'}(z_{m-1}, y_i) \leq 2$ follows from the existence of the paths from: $z_{m-1} \rightarrow y_{m-1} \rightarrow \{y_1, y_3, y_5, \dots, y_{m-2}\}$, $z_{m-1} \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow y_j$ for $j \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, m-3\}$, and $z_{m-1} \rightarrow y_{m-1}$, in D' .

This completes the proof of the claim $d(D') = 2$.

Corollary 2.2. *If $n \geq 5$ or $n = 3$, $\min\{m : \vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(n, n, n; m)) = 2\} \leq 6n$.*

Problem 2.2. *Find $\min\{m : \vec{d}(\mathcal{G}(n, n, n; m)) = 2\}$.*

Problem 2.3. *Find $\min\{m : \vec{d}(3; m) = 2\}$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Bang-Jensen, J., and Gutin, G. (2009), *Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications*, Second Edition, Springer, London.
- [2] Boesch, F., and Tindell, R. (1980), Robbin's theorem for mixed multigraphs, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 87, 716-719.
- [3] Koh, K. M., and Ng, K. L. (2005), The orientation number of two complete graphs with linkages, *Discrete Math.*, 295, 91-106.
- [4] Koh, K. M., and Tay, E. G. (2002), Optimal orientations of graphs and digraphs: A survey, *Graphs and Combin.*, 18, 745-756.
- [5] S.B. Maurer, S. B. (1980), The king chicken theorems, *Math. Mag.*, 53, 67-80.
- [6] Ng, K. L. (2009), On a conjecture concerning the orientation number of a graph, *Discrete Math.*, 309, 1603-1610.

- [7] Robbins, H. (1939), A theorem on graphs with an application to a problem of traffic control, Amer. Math. Monthly, 46, 281-283.
- [8] Soltés, L. (1986), Orientations of graphs minimizing the radius or the diameter, Math. Slovaca, 36, 289-296.
-
-



Dr. G. Rajasekaran received his Master's degree in the year 2007, Ph. D degree in 2014 from Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, India. His area of interest mainly on graph theory. He is currently working as Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, India. He has more than 5 years of teaching experience and 9 years of research experience. He published research articles in international journals.



Prof. R. Sampathkumar graduated from Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, India in 1995. He received his M.Sc. degree and Ph.D. in Mathematics from Annamalai University in year 1987 and 1995, respectively. He is currently a Professor and Head, Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, India since 1995. He has more than 26 years teaching experience and more than 30 years research experience. He was a Fellow of the Insitute of Combinatorics and its Applications. He published more than 40 research articles in reputed graph theory journals. His research interests focus mainly on Graphs and Combinatorics.
