

Occasional Publications in Scorpiology



A new cryptic species of *Scorpiops* Peters, 1861 (Scorpiones: Scorpiopidae) from the northern Western Ghats, India

Shauri Sulakhe, Shubhankar Deshpande, Nikhil Dandekar, Makarand Ketkar, Anand Padhye & Deshabhushan Bastawade

December 2020 — No. 327

Euscorpius

Occasional Publications in Scorpiology

EDITOR: Victor Fet, Marshall University, 'fet@marshall.edu' ASSOCIATE EDITOR: Michael E. Soleglad, 'msoleglad@gmail.com' TECHNICAL EDITOR: František Kovařík, 'kovarik.scorpio@gmail.com'

Euscorpius is the first research publication completely devoted to scorpions (Arachnida: Scorpiones). *Euscorpius* takes advantage of the rapidly evolving medium of quick online publication, at the same time maintaining high research standards for the burgeoning field of scorpion science (scorpiology). *Euscorpius* is an expedient and viable medium for the publication of serious papers in scorpiology, including (but not limited to): systematics, evolution, ecology, biogeography, and general biology of scorpions. Review papers, descriptions of new taxa, faunistic surveys, lists of museum collections, and book reviews are welcome.

Derivatio Nominis

The name *Euscorpius* Thorell, 1876 refers to the most common genus of scorpions in the Mediterranean region and southern Europe (family Euscorpiidae).

Euscorpius is located at: <u>https://mds.marshall.edu/euscorpius/</u> Archive of issues 1-270 see also at: <u>http://www.science.marshall.edu/fet/Euscorpius</u>

(Marshall University, Huntington, West Virginia 25755-2510, USA)

ICZN COMPLIANCE OF ELECTRONIC PUBLICATIONS:

Electronic ("e-only") publications are fully compliant with ICZN (*International Code of Zoological Nomenclature*) (i.e. for the purposes of new names and new nomenclatural acts) when properly archived and registered. All *Euscorpius* issues starting from No. 156 (2013) are archived in two electronic archives:

- **Biotaxa**, <u>http://biotaxa.org/Euscorpius</u> (ICZN-approved and ZooBank-enabled)
- Marshall Digital Scholar, <u>http://mds.marshall.edu/euscorpius/</u>. (This website also archives all *Euscorpius* issues previously published on CD-ROMs.)

Between 2000 and 2013, ICZN *did not accept online texts* as "published work" (Article 9.8). At this time, *Euscorpius* was produced in two *identical* versions: online (*ISSN 1536-9307*) and CD-ROM (*ISSN 1536-9293*) (laser disk) in archive-quality, read-only format. Both versions had the identical date of publication, as well as identical page and figure numbers. *Only copies distributed on a CD-ROM* from *Euscorpius* in 2001-2012 represent published work in compliance with the ICZN, i.e. for the purposes of new names and new nomenclatural acts.

In September 2012, ICZN Article 8. What constitutes published work, has been amended and allowed for electronic publications, disallowing publication on optical discs. From January 2013, *Euscorpius* discontinued CD-ROM production; only online electronic version (ISSN 1536-9307) is published. For further details on the new ICZN amendment, see http://www.pensoft.net/journals/zookeys/article/3944/.

Publication date: 19 December 2020

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:4C223102-4CE6-4440-910A-EDFD6A731715

Euscorpius – Occasional Publications in Scorpiology. 2020, No. 327

A new cryptic species of *Scorpiops* Peters, 1861 (Scorpiones: Scorpiopidae) from the northern Western Ghats, India

Shauri Sulakhe¹, Shubhankar Deshpande¹, Nikhil Dandekar¹, Makarand Ketkar¹, Anand Padhye^{1,2} & Deshabhushan Bastawade¹

- ¹ Institute of Natural History Education and Research (INHER), C26/9, Ketan Heights, Kothrud, Pune, Maharashtra 411038, India.
- ² Department of Zoology, MES' Abasaheb Garware College, Karve Road, Pune, Maharashtra 411004, India. Email (Corresponding author): adp.agc@mespune.in

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:4C223102-4CE6-4440-910A-EDFD6A731715

Summary

A new cryptic species of *Scorpiops* (Scorpiopidae) is described from northern Western Ghats of India with integrated taxonomic approach. *Scorpiops telbaila* **sp. n**. is closely related to *S. tenuicauda* and differs from all species of *Scorpiops* in morphological features and raw genetic divergence of 5.4-14.1 %.

Introduction

Recently, in a major taxonomic revision of family Scorpiopidae Kraepelin, 1905, all the genera, subgenera, and species were critically reviewed by Kovařík et al. (2020). In this study, genera *Neoscorpiops* Vachon, 1980, *Alloscorpiops* Vachon, 1980, *Dasyscorpiops* Vachon, 1974, *Euscorpiops* Vachon, 1980, *Plethoscorpiops* Lourenço, 2017, and *Vietscorpiops* Lourenço & Pham, 2015, and subgenus *Alloscorpiops* (*Laoscorpiops*) Lourenço, 2013, were all synonymized under *Scorpiops* Peters, 1861, based on detailed study of characters, variations in trichobothriotaxy and supported by strong statistical analysis. All main characters used for morphological comparisons are reviewed across genera and show congruence with the published DNA and cytogenetic analysis (Šťáhlavský et al., 2020).

After this revision, genus *Scorpiops* consists of 95 species distributed in Southeast Asia, out of which 22 are found in India.

Only five species of this genus are found in the state of Maharashtra, including the recent description of *Scorpiops phaltanensis* Sulakhe et al., 2020 from Phaltan, on the eastern fringes of Western Ghats of India. The remaining four species of *Scorpiops* from Maharashtra are: *S. deccanensis* Tikader & Bastawade, 1983 (type locality: Sinhagad Fort, Pune District), *S. maharashtraensis* Mirza et al., 2014 (Shidi Ghat near Wadali Village, Aurangabad District). *S. satarensis* Pocock, 1900 (Mahabaleshwar, Satara District), and *S. tenuicauda* Pocock, 1894 (Matheran, Raigad District). Only one more species, *Scorpiops pachmarhicus* Bastawade, 1992, is found in Pachamarhi, Madhya Pradesh, while all the remaining Indian species of *Scorpiops* are from the Himalayas. Doubts were raised by Mirza et al. (2014) regarding the taxonomic validity of *S. deccanensis*, however Sulakhe et al. (2020c) established taxonomic validity of *Scorpiops* species found in Maharashtra, based on broad morphological comparisons and preliminary molecular phylogeny with integrated taxonomic approach. In this study, we describe a new cryptic species of *Scorpiops* from the northern Western Ghats, India with integrated taxonomic approach.

Methods, Material & Abbreviations

Sampling was carried out in Saltar Khind Pass, near Ambawne Village (18.58°N 73.36°E, 743 m a. s. l.), Pune District, Maharashtra State, India. Specimens were located with the help of ultra violet light (AmiciVision 18w 100 LED UV Torch), and collected. A total of 8 specimens were collected (5 males and 3 females). Photographs of holotype and paratype were taken using Nikon D500, 105mm F2.8 micro lens and R1C1 flash kit. Specimens were euthanized and preserved in absolute ethanol, and later transferred to 70% ethyl alcohol in collection jars for long term preservation. Examination and morphological measurements were done using LEICA EZ4HD microscope with LEICA application suite. Morphometry was performed following Stahnke (1971); morphological terminology follows Hjelle (1990). Measurements were taken (in mm) for 47 morphological characters (Table 1). The trichobothrial



Figures 1–6: *Scorpiops telbaila* **sp. n. Figure 1**. Female, paratype, INHER-SC-126, in vivo habitus. **Figures 2–6**. Male, holotype, dorsal (2) and ventral (3) views, sternopectinal area (4), metasoma V and telson in lateral view (5), and movable finger of chelicera, ventral fang, internal view (6).



Figures 7-8. Scorpiops telbaila sp. n., male, holotype in dorsal (7) and ventral (8) views under UV light.

terminology follows Vachon (1974). Hemispermatophore was dissected using scalpel, pointed needles and was treated with 5% KOH and cleaned with clove oil. Hemispermatophore terminologies follow Monod et al. (2017) and Lamoral (1979). Specimens collected and studied are deposited in the museum collection of Bombay Natural History Society (BNHS), Mumbai and Institute of Natural History Education and Research (INHER), Research laboratory, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Comparative material examined.

Data used for comparison, affinities and statistical analysis of *S. deccanensis*, *S. tenuicauda*, *S. maharashtraensis*, *S satarensis*

and *S. phaltanensis* has been sourced from Sulakhe et al. (2020c). Data used for comparison of morphological characters of other *Scorpiops* species from Himalayas (India) and *S. pachmarhicus* has been sourced from Kovařík (2000, 2005, 2020), Mirza & Gowande (2016), and Kovařík et al. (2020).

Statistical analysis.

A Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) factors was conducted to assess the degree of morphological differentiation among the new species and their closest relatives. For multivariate PCA, a total of 19 characters of both sexes were transformed to their ratios to carapace median length (CML). Multivariate normality of the size corrected variable was checked following Doornik and Hansen (2008) omnibus. Sets of 19 predictor variables were generated from PCA and all PCA factor scores were used as input variables for DFA to determine the classification success of our samples (Sulakhe et al., 2020a). PCA and DFA were performed using the statistical software PAST 3.25 (Hammer et al., 2001).

Following characters were used for statistical analysis: L (Length), W (Width), D (Depth), Carapace (W), Mesosoma VII (L), Metasomal Segment I, II, III, IV and V (L), Femur and Patella (L/W), Pedipalp Chela (L), Pedipalp Manus (W), Telson (L), Pectine, and Genital Operculum (L/W).

MOLECULAR ANALYSIS

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing.

Protocol as per Sulakhe et al. (2020a) was followed. Whole genomic DNA was extracted from preserved (ethanol 99.9%) muscle tissue (leg fragment) of Scorpiops telbaila sp. n. (Voucher numbers of specimens used for DNA analysis are mentioned in Table 4 and Figs. 51, 52) with the help of MACHEREY-NAGEL NucleoSpin® DNA Insect kit as per manufacturer's protocols. A 550-600 base pair (bp) fragment of the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) mitochondrial gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the primers as per Table 2. A 25 µl PCR reaction (TaKaRa TaqTM DNA Polymerase) was set containing 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (0.2µL), 2.5µL of 10x buffer, 2 µl of dNTPs (2.5mM each), 2 µl (5mM) of each primer, 2µl template DNA, and 14.3 µl of water, carried out with an Miniamp Thermal Cycler. Thermal cycler profiles used for amplification were as follows: 95° C for 3 min (denaturation temperature 95° C for 30 seconds, annealing temperature 50° C for 30 seconds, elongation temperature 72° C for 1 minute) x 35 cycles, 72° C for 7 minutes, hold at 4° C. PCR product was cleaned through column purification method with Qiagen PCR Cleanup Kit and sequenced with a 3730 DNA Analyzer. The sequencing primers were the same as those used in the PCRs. All sequences were deposited in the GenBank® nucleotide sequence database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) under accession numbers as per Table 4.

The sequences were also checked in BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990) tool to find the closest available sequences in the GenBank[®] and the related ones were downloaded for analysis.

Sequence alignment. Generated sequences were cleaned manually in MEGA 7 (Kumar et al., 2016) using chromatograms visualised in Chromas V.2.6.5 (Technelysium PTY. Ltd.). Cleaned and downloaded sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) implemented in MEGA 7 (Kumar et al., 2016) using default parameters. The final alignment contained 16 sequences each of 519 bp length including 2 sequences of *Euscorpius phrygius* Bonacina, 1980 (Table 4) used as out-group to route the phylogenetic tree. This alignment was used in molecular phylogenetic analyses.

Molecular phylogenetic analysis.

Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) methods of phylogenetic analysis were implemented. The COI region was partitioned per codon position and the best substitution model for phylogenetic analysis was determined using PartitionFinderV.1.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2012). Model search was performed during the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) with a greedy search algorithm (Schwarz, 1978). Maximum Likelihood analysis was performed in raxmlGUI (Silvestro & Michalak, 2011) under the GTR + G + I model of sequence evolution, 1000 non-parametric bootstrap pseudo-replicates with rapid ML search were performed. Bayesian trees were generated using MrBayes V.3.2.6 (Ronquist et al., 2012). The models of sequence evolution were as follows: - F81+I for codon position 1, HKY+G for codon position 2 and HKY+I for codon position 3. Two simultaneous, independent analyses were run starting from different random trees. Three heated and one cold chain was used in the analysis. Markov chains were sampled every 500 generations for 5 million generations. At the end of the run we ensured convergence of the two MCMC runs by ensuring that the standard deviation of split frequencies was less than 0.002 and by checking the trace plots using Tracer v. 1.7 (Rambaut et al., 2003). We also ensured that the ESS values for all the parameters were above 200. A total of 25% trees were discarded as burn-in. The tree representing the best evolutionary hypothesis was selected using a 50% majority consensus rule. Un-corrected pairwise genetic divergence "p-distance" was calculated in MEGA 7 (Kumar et al., 2016).

Species delimitation analysis.

Species delimitation analysis was performed on the BI tree using Bayesian Poisson Tree Process using 500000 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) generations with thinning parameter of 100 and burn-in of 0.1 (Zhang et al. 2013).

Systematics

Family Scorpiopidae Kraepelin, 1905 Scorpiops Peters, 1861 (Figures 1–52, Tables 1–4) http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:45E3D60F-43C5-4655-9675-E8C72D771112

TYPE SPECIES. Scorpiops hardwickii Gervais, 1843

Scorpiops telbaila sp. n. (Figures 1–34, 40, 46–49, Tables 1–4) http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D907B140-9AA0-4572-AC3B-3853EDB431C8

TYPE LOCALITY AND TYPE REPOSITORY. **India**, Maharashtra State, Pune District, Saltar Khind Pass, near Ambawne Village, 18°34'38"N 73°21'25"E, 743 m a. s. l.; BNHS.

	Scorpiops telbaila sp. n.					
		👌 holotype	👌 paratype	👌 paratype	👌 paratype	∂ paratype
Dimensions (mm)		BNHS SC 175	BNHS SC 176	BNHS SC 177	INHER 123	INHER 223
Carapace	L / W	7.3 / 8.1	6.5 / 7.0	5.4 / 4.9	6.5 / 7.0	7.3 / 7.3
Mesosoma	L	19.2	18.2	15.6	15.4	19.6
Tergite VII	L / W	3.2 / 5.3	3.5 / 5.4	2.9 / 4.4	3.5 / 5.4	4.3 / 5.8
Metasoma and telson	L	22.5	20.9	15.5	20.1	22.4
Segment I	L / W / D	1.9 / 2.2 / 2.2	2.0 / 2.5 / 2.3	1.5 / 1.9 / 1.7	2.1 / 2.5 / 2.2	2.2 / 2.7 / 2.1
Segment II	L / W / D	2.4 / 2.2 / 2.0	2.3 / 2.3 / 2.0	1.6 / 1.7 / 1.4	2.2 / 2.2 / 1.9	2.4 / 2.3 / 1.9
Segment III	L / W / D	2.7 / 2.1 / 1.9	2.5 / 2.1 / 1.9	1.9 / 1.6 / 1.5	2.4 / 2.0 / 1.9	2.7 / 2.2 / 2.0
Segment IV	L / W / D	3.1 / 2.0 / 2.0	2.9 / 1.9 / 1.9	2.0 / 1.4 / 1.4	2.7 / 1.9 / 1.9	3.0 / 2.0 / 2.0
Segment V	L / W / D	5.1 / 1.9 / 1.9	4.9 / 1.8 / 1.8	3.7 / 1.4 / 1.4	4.7 / 1.9 /1.8	5.3 / 2.0 / 1.9
Telson	L / W / D	6.5 / 2.1 / 2.4	6.3 / 2.1 / 2.3	4.9 / 1.4 / 1.4	6.1 / 2.0 / 2.2	6.9 / 2.1 / 2.3
Pedipalp	L	32.6	31.4	24.0	30.9	33.7
Femur	L / W / D	9.0 / 3.4 / 1.6	8.7 / 3.1 / 1.4	6.1 / 2.5 / 1.2	8.1 / 3.2 / 1.5	8.8 / 3.5 / 1.7
Patella	L / W / D	7.7 / 4.2 / 2.1	7.4 / 3.9 / 2.0	5.8 / 3.1 / 1.5	7.2 / 3.9 / 2.0	7.7 / 3.4 / 2.2
Chela	L	15.9	15.3	12.2	15.6	17.2
Manus	W / D	4.7 / 2.9	4.3 / 2.6	3.1 / 1.8	4.1 / 2.6	4.8 / 3.3
Movable finger	L	7.6	7.2	5.6	7.0	8.2
Pectine	L / W	2.6 / 1.5	2.6 / 1.3	2.3 / 1.1	2.6 / 1.2	3.0 / 2.4
Genital Operculum	L / W	1.5 / 2.8	1.4 / 2.6	1.2 / 2.4	1.6 / 2.6	1.7 / 2.8
Total	L	47.6	45.6	36.4	42.5	49.4
Pectinal teeth count	PTC	7 / 7	7 / 7	8 / 8	7 / 8	7 / 6
Trichobothria count	TPV	15 / 14	15 / 16	15 / 16	15 / 16	16 / 16
Trichobothria count	TPE	24 / 25	25 / 27	26 / 26	26 / 26	23 / 24
Chelicera	DVC	6/6	6 / 6	5/6	8 / 8	6/6

		Scorpiops telbaila	S. montanus		
		$\stackrel{\bigcirc}{_{_{_{_{}}}}}$ paratype	$\stackrel{\bigcirc}{_{\sim}}$ paratype	$\stackrel{\bigcirc}{_{\rightarrow}}$ paratype	8
Dimensions (mm)		BNHS SC178	INHER 124	INHER 126	INHER 168
Carapace	L / W	6.9 / 7.1	6.4 / 6.5	4.7 / 4.7	7.1 / 4.5
Mesosoma	L	21.9	16.6	14.6	17.6
Tergite VII	L / W	4.0 / 6.0	3.1 / 5.5	2.3 / 4.3	3.7 / 5.2
Metasoma and telson	L	20.5	18.0	13.21	23.7
Segment I	L / W / D	2.0 / 2.3 / 2.0	1.7 / 2.1 / 1.7	1.2 / 1.7 / 1.5	2.3 / 2.6 / 2.2
Segment II	L / W / D	2.2 / 2.2 / 1.8	2.0 / 2.0 / 1.6	1.4 / 1.5 / 1.3	2.5 / 2.3 / 2.2
Segment III	L / W / D	2.4 / 2.0 / 2.0	2.3 / 1.7 / 1.6	1.6 / 1.5 / 1.3	2.6 / 2.3 / 2.2
Segment IV	L / W / D	2.8 / 1.8 / 1.9	2.4 / 1.6 / 1.7	1.9 / 1.4 / 1.3	3.0 / 2.1 / 2.2
Segment V	L / W / D	4.8 / 1.7 / 1.7	4.5 / 1.6 / 1.6	3.4 / 1.4 / 1.2	5.8 / 1.9 / 1.9
Telson	L / W / D	6.3 / 1.7 / 1.9	5.1 / 1.6 / 1.7	3.7 / 1.2 / 1.2	7.4 / 2.3 / 2.3
Pedipalp	L	30.5	28.2	19.9	33.8
Femur	L / W / D	8.2 / 3.0 / 1.6	7.5 / 2.8 / 1.6	5.1 / 2.1 / 1.0	9.2 / 3.6 / 2.0
Patella	L / W / D	7.3 / 3.9 / 2.4	6.9 / 3.7 / 1.9	4.7 / 2.7 / 1.5	7.9 / 3.4 / 2.8
Chela	L	15.0	13.7	10.1	16.8
Manus	W / D	4.5 / 3.3	3.9 / 2.5	2.8 / 1.7	4.8 / 3.3
Movable finger	L	7.0	6.4	4.7	7.7
Pectine	L / W	2.8 / 1.5	2.2 / 1.4	1.8 / 0.7	4.2 / 2.4
Genital Operculum	L / W	1.7 / 3.1	1.3 / 2.9	0.9 / 2.2	1.9 / 2.8
Total	L	49.4	47.6	32.6	48.4
Pectinal teeth count	PTC	6 / 6	7 / 7	6 / 6	8 / 8
Trichobothria count	TPV	16 / 16	14 / 16	16 / 16	15 / 16
Trichobothria count	TPE	25 / 25	27 / 27	25 / 26	17 / 17
Chelicera	DVC	6 / 7	6 / 6	6 / 6	6 / 6

Table 1. Comparative measurements of adults of *Scorpiops telbaila* **sp**. **n**. Abbreviations: length (L), width (W, in carapace it corresponds to median width), depth (D), holotype (HT), paratype (PT), pectinal teeth count (PTC), trichobothria on pedipalp patella ventral count (TPV), trichobothria on pedipalp patella external count (TPE), dentition on ventral fangs of chelicera count (DVC).



Figures 9–12. Scorpiops telbaila sp. n., female, paratype, BNHS SC 178, in dorsal (9) and ventral (10) views, sternopectinal area (11), and metasoma V and telson in lateral view (12).



Figures 13–16: *Scorpiops telbaila* sp. n. Figures 13–14. Male, holotype, carapace under white (13) and UV (14) light. Figures 13–14. Female, paratype, BHNS SC 178, carapace under white (15) and UV (16) light.

TYPE MATERIAL. **India**, Maharashtra State, Pune District, Saltar Khind Pass, near Ambawne Village, 18°34'38"N 73°21'25"E, 743 m a. s. l., 1♂ (holotype, BNHS SC 175), 16 August 2019, 3♂ (paratypes, INHER-123, BNHS SC 176, 177), 8 June 2020, 1♂ (paratype, INHER-223), 3♀ (paratypes, INHER-124, 126, BNHS SC 178), 16 August 2019. All specimens collected by

S. Sulakhe, S. Deshpande & M. Ketkar.

ETYMOLOGY. The species epithet is a noun in opposition, named after a famous hill fort "Telbaila", used as a watch tower by Maratha warriors from India. It is located in Pune District, northern Western Ghats, very close to the type locality of the new species.

DIAGNOSIS ($\mathcal{O}_{+}^{\mathbb{Q}}$). Total length 32–50 mm. Base color uniformly dark brownish to blackish. Pectinal teeth number 6-8 in both sexes, fulcra reduced to absent. Pectines have three marginal lamellae and three middle lamellae present. Patella of pedipalp with 23-27 (5 eb, 2 esb, 2 em, 9-11 est, 5-7 et) external and 14-16 ventral trichobothria. Chela of pedipalp with 4 ventral trichobothria located on ventral surface. Chelal trichobothrium Eb, is located in proximal half of manus between trichobothria Dt and Db. Fingers of pedipalps strongly undulate in male and margins undulate in female. Chela length to width ratio 3.6-4.0 in males. Pedipalp movable finger with ca 55-60 IAD, which form second row, parallel with MD (ca 80 in number); there are also 4-5 ID and 11-12 OD present. Tarsomere II of legs with 4-6 stout median ventral spinules and two pairs of flanking setae. Metasoma I with ten and metasoma II-IV with eight carinae. Telson elongate and smooth, length to depth ratio 2.7–3.5; annular ring developed.

DESCRIPTION ($\stackrel{\wedge}{\bigcirc}$ holotype, measurements in Table 1).

Coloration (in preservation) (Figs. 2, 3, 9, 10). Overall body color dark brownish to blackish. Legs uniformly brownish. Telson brownish orange on vesicle and dark brown on aculeus. Ventral portion of body yellowish brown.Carapace and fingers of manus blackish. Pedipalps dark brown, darker on carinae. Chelicera basal segment blackish brown. Fingers of chelicera dark brown.

Carapace (Figs. 13–17). Anterior margin of carapace with deep emargination in the middle. Entire surface of carapace mixed with fine and coarse granules. Anterior margin of carapace with strong tuberculate granules. Lateral ocular tubercles granular with three pairs of lateral eyes. Anterior two pairs larger and third pair smaller in size. Median ocular tubercle granular on dorsal portion with a pair of median eyes situated in the ratio of 1:2.1 (ratio of median eyes to anterior margin and median eyes to posterior margin).

Chelicerae (Fig. 6). Proximal portion with reticulated mosaic design. Fixed finger of chelicera with 3 large triangular teeth on inner margin. Ventral fang of movable finger with a row of 6 minute teeth on inner margin. Dorsal fang of movable finger with 4 teeth on inner margin.

Pedipalp (Figs. 20–26, 34). Femur and patella dorsoventrally flattened and evenly carinated. Intercarinal space finely and

almost evenly granular. Internal surface of patella with one large posterio-ventral tubercle (Fig. 19, tubercle 1) and with one small, thick adjacent bulge, one adjacent small posteriodorsal tubercle (Fig. 19, tubercle 1a) and one small, anterioventral tubercle (Fig. 19, tubercle 2). Manus elongated, carinated and intercarinal space coarsely granular on inner and outer surface. Dorsal exterior carina evenly granular, running anteriorly up to the base of fixed finger. Both fingers with two rows of dentitions, scalloped deeply at the base. Trichobothrial pattern typical of the genus.

Legs (Figs. 2, 3, 7, 8, 27–30). Femur and patella carinated, intercarinal space almost smooth. Tarsomere I provided with three rows of spinules and tarsomere II with single ventral row of spinules.

Sternum, genital operculum and pectines (Figs. 4, 11). Broad, pentagonal and finely granular only on anterior middle portion. Genital operculum with a pair of strongly protruding genital papillae. Basal piece with slight depression on middle portion. Pectines with 7/7 pectinal teeth.

Mesosoma (Figs. 2, 3, 7–10). All tergites finely granular, with median carina absent on segment I. Tergite VII additionally with a pair of lateral granular carina present only on half posterior portion. All sternites entirely smooth. Sternite VII finely granular only on lateral portion.

Metasoma (Figs. 2–5, 7–10, 18, 40). Metasomal segments I-V with 10-8-8-8-5 carinae. Intercarinal space densely granular. Dorsal carination on segments III and IV ending posteriorly into a strong tuberculate spine, more pointed on segment IV. Anal rim of segment V evenly crenulated. A pair of dorsolateral granules of anal rim weakly tuberculate.

Telson (Figs. 5, 12). Elongated and almost entirely smooth. A prominent depression present in between vesicle and at the base of aculeus.

Hemispermatophore (Figs. 31–32). Lamelliform; lamina well sclerotized, curved inwardly and roundish on distal portion. Outer margin of lamina evenly notched on middle portion. Capsular portion appears to be simple and bulged. Trunk is narrow with an exterior sclerotized margin and capped with a ridge. Pedicel (foot) region short and transparent. Pedicel 0.49 mm long and 0.36 mm wide; stem 2.85 mm long and 0.22 mm wide; capsule 1.48 mm long and 0.57 mm wide; stalk 2.39 mm long and 0.78 mm wide.

SEXUAL DIMORPHISM. Male genital operculum partially exposed on posterior portion, from which a pair of small genital papillae is seen. In females, the genital operculum is separated with a median suture covering the female genital opening. Movable finger of chela in males with a curved scallop on the internal margin (Figs 4, 11).

AFFINITIES. Scorpiops telbaila sp. n. (which has trichobothrial counts on patella: 23–27 external, 14–16 ventral) differs from all other species of Scorpiops from India (which have a range of trichobothrial counts on patella 22–29 external, 12–19 ventral) by a raw genetic divergence of 5.4-14.1 % (Table 3). It is also distinguished from its congeners based on the following key of morphological characters



Figures 17–19. *Scorpiops telbaila* sp. n., male, holotype, anterior margin of carapace (17), metasoma I-IV lateral (18), and pedipalp patella dorsal view with internal aspect tubercles (19) under UV light.



Figures 20–32: *Scorpiops telbaila* **sp. n**. **Figures 20–26**. Male, holotype, pedipalp chela dorsal (20), dorsoexternal (21, 22) and ventral (23), patella external (24), dorsal (25), and ventral (26) views. Trichobothrial pattern indicated by yellow circles. **Figures 27–30**. Left legs I-IV, retrolateral aspect. **Figures 31–32**. Male paratype, INHER-223, right hemispermatophore internal (31) and external (32) views. Abbrevations: F, foot/pedicel; T, trunk; C, capsule; S, stalk/lamina. Scale bar: 1 mm (31–32).



Figure 33. Distribution of Scorpiops species from Maharashtra (India).

Key to *Scorpiops* species from northern Western Ghats and northern Maharashtra, India.

- 1. Trichobothria on patella ventral 15–19. 2
- Trichobothria on patella ventral 12–16. 4
- Trichobothria on patella ventral 17–19; anterio-ventral tubercle on interior surface of patella medium to almost equal compared to posterior-ventral tubercle.
 S. phaltanensis (Sulakhe et al., 2020)
- Trichobothria on patella ventral 15–17; anterio-ventral tubercle on interior surface of patella very small compared to posterior-ventral tubercle.
 3
- Carapace with moderate U shaped emargination.
 S. maharashtraensis (Mirza et al., 2013)
- Trichobothria on patella ventral 12–14; a pair of dorsolateral granules of anal rim strongly tuberculate.
 S. satarensis Pocock, 1900
- Trichobothria on patella ventral 14–16; a pair of dorsolateral granules of anal rim weakly tuberculate.

DISTRIBUTION AND ECOLOGY. Presently, S. telbaila sp. n. is only known from its type locality, Saltar Khind Pass, near Ambawne Village, Pune District, Maharashtra State, India. The specimens were observed in the rock crevices in the semi-evergreen forest patches around this pass. A few specimens were also observed in the rock crevices on the basaltic rock walls on top of Telbaila fort. The type locality (Saltar Khind) is surrounded by a mountain range with basaltic rock cliffs where the species may be occurring, however this needs to be confirmed with further surveys. Individuals were found to be active at night sitting at the openings of very narrow rock crevices on the edges of the road and also on the boulders inside the thick forest. Individuals were less active during rains, mostly hiding inside the crevices, and ambushing for prey with pedipalps projecting outside the crevices during the dry time. The ecology and morphology of the new species is congruent with the lithophilic scorpions. Cooccurring scorpions observed at the type locality of S. telbaila





Figures 34–47: Figures 34–39: Comparison of left pedipalps. Figures 40–45: Comparison of anal rim of metasoma V in dorsal view. Figures 34, 40. *Scorpiops telbaila* sp. n., male, holotype. Figures 35, 41. *S. phaltanensis*, male, holotype. Figures 36, 42. *S. deccanensis*, male, INHER-SC-86. Figures 37, 43. *S. maharashtraensis*, male, INHER-SC-180. Figures 38, 44. *S. satarensis*, male, INHER-SC-213. Figures 39, 45. *S. tenuicauda*, male, INHER-SC-214. Figures 46–47. Type locality of *S. telbaila* sp. n., basaltic boulder inside the dense semi-evergreen forest from where the type series is collected (46), view of Saltar khind (pass) and surrounding mountain range, exact locality of collection marked with black arrow on the image (47).



Figures 48-49: Figure 48. View of the Telbaila fort after which the new species is named Figure 49. *Scorpiops telbaila* sp. n. on the Telbaila fort under UV light (specimen not collected).



Figures 50-51: Figure 50. Discriminant function analyses projection on first two factor planes explaining 87.82% of variation among the five species. Figure 51. Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree (ML) for *Scorpiops*. Values along the nodes are bootstraps for 1000 iterations.



Figure 52. Bayesian phylogenetic tree for *Scorpiops*. Values along the nodes are Bayesian posterior probabilities for Bayesian Inference and Bayesian Poisson Tree Process (bPTP) respectively.

sp. n. were *Hottentota* sp., *Isometrus tamhini* Sulakhe et al., 2020 and *Hetrometrus* spp. (Figs. 46–49).

Statistical Analysis

Size corrected morphometric data was not significantly different from multivariate normal (Doornik and Hansen omnibus, within group Ep = 145.8, P <0.0001). First five PCA factors with eigenvalues more than 1.0 explained 90.37% of variation among the species. The DFA using all the PCA factors as input resulted in 100% individuals being classified into their respective species. The four discriminant functions with eigenvalues greater than 1.0 explained 97.54% of variation among these species, all the species formed distinct clusters on the factor plane using the first two DFA axes (Fig 50) (PCA data available from the authors).

Molecular Analysis

Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian analysis generated trees with minor differences in topologies. The two sequences of *Scorpiops telbaila* **sp. n.** clustered together (ML bootstrap values=99, BI posterior probabilities=0.99) and were recovered sister to *S. tenuicauda* (ML bootstrap values=94, BI posterior probabilities=1) (Figs. 51, 52). Nevertheless *S. deccanensis* was recovered as sister to *S. satarensis* in ML tree (Fig. 51) whereas *S. satarensis* was recovered sister to *S. phaltanensis* in BI tree (Fig. 52) although the relationship was not very well supported (ML bootstrap values=37, BI posterior probabilities=0.79). Interestingly *S. montanus* was recovered as sister to *S. maharashtraensis* in ML tree as well as BI tree with moderate support values (ML bootstrap values=56, BI posterior probabilities=0.75).

Primers- Cytochrome	mers- Cytochrome 5' –3' Primer Sequence	
c Oxydaise I		
HCO2198	TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA	Folmer et al. (1994)
HCOoutout	GTAAATATATGRTGDGCTC	Folmer et al. (1994)
LCO1490	GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG	Folmer et al. (1994)
Nancy	CCCGGTAAAATTAAAATATAAACTTC	Simon et al. (1994)
Chelicerate F1	TACTCTACTAATCATAAAGACATTGG	Barrett & Hebert (2005)
Chelicerate R1	CCTCCTCCTGAAGGGTCAAAAAATGA	Barrett & Hebert (2005)
Chelicerate R2	GGATGGCCAAAAAATCAAAATAAATG	Barrett & Hebert (2005)

Table 2. Primers used for PCR amplification and sequencing of (COI) mitochondrial gene.

Species	SD	ST	SMH	SS	SP	STB	SM	EP
S. deccanensis (SD)	(0-2.1)							
S. tenuicauda (ST)	11.2-11.8	(0-0.2)						
S. maharashtrensis (SMH)	11.9-12.1	11.8-11.9	(0)					
S. satarensis (SS)	6.9-7.7	11.6-11.9	12.7-12.9	(0-0.2)				
S. phaltanensis (SP)	8.9-9.1	11.4-11.8	12.1-12.3	6.4-6.7	(0-0.2)			
S. telbaila sp. n. (STB)	10.6-11.2	5.4-5.6	11.2	10.8-11.0	10.8-11.0	(0)		
S. montanus (SM)	13.9-14.3	13.3-13.7	11.9-12.1	12.1-12.5	13.5-13.9	13.9-14.1	(0-0.4)	
Euscorpius phrygius (EP)	14.1-14.8	14.6-14.8	15.2	15.8-16.0	15.0-15.2	14.5	17.3-17.5	(0)

Table 3. Pairwise uncorrected raw distances (%) expressed as minimum-maximum based on COI gene sequence for *Scorpiops* species. Values in brackets are intra-clade distances.

Species	Voucher	GeneBank Accession Number	Source
Scorpiops telbaila sp. n.	INHER 123*	MT537138	Type material
Scorpiops telbaila sp. n.	INHER 126*	MT537155	Type material
S. phaltanensis	INHER 220	MT385736	Sulakhe et al. (2020b)
S. phaltanensis	INHER 221	MT385737	Sulakhe et al. (2020b)
S. deccanensis	INHER 85	MT385719	Sulakhe et al. (2020b)
S. deccanensis	INHER 86	MT385718	Sulakhe et al. (2020b)
S. tenuicauda	INHER 101	MT385723	Sulakhe et al. (2020b)
S. tenuicauda	INHER 102	MT385739	Sulakhe et al. (2020b)
S. maharashtraensis	INHER 179	MT385738	Sulakhe et al. (2020b)
S. maharashtraensis	INHER 180	MT385721	Sulakhe et al. (2020b)
S. satarensis	INHER 211	MT385722	Sulakhe et al. (2020b)
S. satarensis	INHER 213	MT385720	Sulakhe et al. (2020b)
S. montanus	INHER 168*	MW266127	Comparative material
S. montanus	INHER 166*	MW266128	Comparative material

Table 4: Voucher numbers and GenBank accession numbers for the sequence data used for the phylogenetic analysis.

Scorpiops telbaila **sp. n**. differs from *S. montanus* by a raw genetic distance of 13.9-14.1 %, from *S. maharashtraensis* by 11.2%, from *S. satarensis* and *S. phaltanensis* by 10.8-11.0%, from *S. deccanensis* by 10.6-11.2% and from *S. tenuicauda* by 5.4-5.6% (Table 3).

Bayesian Poisson Tree Process (bPTP) result supported the distinctness of *Scorpiops telbaila* **sp. n**. (posterior probability 0.99) (Fig. 52).

Discussion

This study has elevated the number of species of Scorpiops found in the Maharashtra State, India to six. The phylogenetic analysis based on CO1 mitochondrial gene in this study is limited to Scorpiops species found in Maharashtra, India, with only S. montanus from the Himalayas. Further molecular studies are essential to understand the interrelationships within Scorpiops species from India and the placement of species from Maharashtra in the larger phylogenetic tree of Indian Scorpiops. Considering the overall low dispersal ability of lithophilic scorpions and local endemism due to limited biotic interchange within Western Ghats (Bossuyt et al., 2004), it can be arguably predicted that there could be point endemic populations of scorpions in this genus with several cryptic species. Some scorpion studies in the past have supported this hypothesis (Gantenbein & Keightley, 2004). Recent studies from northern Western Ghats with integrated taxonomic approach and with molecular tools have revealed the same (Mirza et al., 2019; Mirza, 2020; Sulakhe et al. 2020a, 2020b, 2020c). With this high level of endemicity of fauna in Western Ghats a more localised conservation plan is necessary for its protection.

It is important to also note that all the *Scorpiops* populations in northern Western Ghats are found in unprotected or informal protected areas. *Scorpiops telbaila* **sp**. **n**. is found very close to Tamhini Wildlife Sanctuary. This emphasizes the need to draft conservation strategies considering not only protected habitats but also informal protected areas surrounded by matrix of cultivated land (Bhagwat et al., 2005).

The recent descriptions of many new species of scorpions from India is a growing evidence that India and especially the Western Ghats are a hub of scorpion diversity and endemism, nonetheless, species diversity in several genera of this region still remains poorly explored. Therefore, large-scale integrated studies based on extensive sampling and multiple specimens are still necessary to understand their existing diversity in the Western Ghats global biodiversity hotspot.

Acknowledgements

Shauri Sulakhe is thankful to Institute of Natural History Education and Research (INHER), Pune for the funding he received for this study. We are thankful to Gaurang Gowande and Pushkar Phansalkar their help in this study. Shubhankar Deshpande is thankful to Hemant Karmarkar, Swati Athavale, Sunil Shilimkar, Chandrashekhar Ranjekar, Vishwanath Gosavi, Sachin Gosavi, Shrikant Abhyankar, Surendra Kulkarni, Varsha Agashe, Ravindra Joshi, Dhundiraj Gosavi, Sayali Ware, Priyanka Ahire, Madhuri Ganu, Shailaja Gore, Chaitali Hasbnis, Parag Atre, Jagannath Ahire, Mohini Gokhale and Neelam Adake for the INHER-Student's Crowd Fund he received for this study. We are grateful to Sarang Gosavi for the support he extended for this study. We are thankful to the President of INHER, Pune for the institutional support and encouragement. We also thank Pushkar Phansalkar for kindly giving permission to use his photographs herein as Figures 46–48. We are thankful to Rahul Khot for his help during the registration of specimens at the Bombay Natural History Society (BNHS), Mumbai and Chaitanya Risbud for his help during the study of museum specimens and registration of specimens at the Institute of Natural History, Education and Research (INHER), Pune. We are thankful to František Kovařík for the technical help in improving the MS. We thank two anonymous reviewers for their comments.

References

- ALTSCHUL, S. F., W. GISH, W. MILLER, E. W. MYERS & D. J. LIPMAN. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 215: 403–410.
- BARRETT, R. D. H. & P. D. N. HEBERT. 2005. Identifying spiders through DNA barcodes. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 83: 481–491.
- BHAGWAT, S. A., C. G. KUSHALAPPA, P. H. WILLIAMS & N. D. BROWN. 2005. The role of informal protected areas in maintaining biodiversity in the Western Ghats of India. *Ecology and Society*, 10: 8.
- BOSSUYT, F., M. MEEGASKUMBURA, N. BEENAERTS, D. J. GOWER, R. PETHIYAGODA & E. T. ROELANTS K. 2004. Local endemism within the Western Ghats-Sri Lanka biodiversity hotspot. *Science*, 306: 479–481.
- DOORNIK, J. A. & H. HANSEN. 2008. An Omnibus Test for Univariate and Multivariate Normality. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 70: 927–939.
- EDGAR, R. C. 2004. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32(5): 1792–1797.
- FOLMER, O., M. BLACK, W. HOEH, R. LUTZ & R. VRIJENHOEK. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology*, 3: 294–299.
- GANTENBEIN, B. & P. D. KEIGHTLEY. 2004. Rates of molecular evolution in nuclear genes of East Mediterranean scorpions. *Evolution*, 58: 2486–2497.
- HAMMER, Ø., D. A. T. HARPER & P. D. RYAN. 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Paleontologia Electronica, 4(1): 9 pp.
- HJELLE, J. T. 1990. Anatomy and morphology. Pp. 9–63 in Polis, G. A. (ed.), *The Biology of Scorpions*. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.

- KOVAŘÍK, F. 2005. Three new species of the genera Euscorpiops Vachon, 1980 and Scorpiops Peters, 1861 from Asia (Scorpiones: Euscorpiidae, Scorpiopinae). Euscorpius, 27: 1–10.
- KOVAŘÍK, F. 2020. Nine new species of *Scorpiops* Peters, 1861 (Scorpiones: Scorpiopidae) from China, India, Nepal, and Pakistan. *Euscorpius*, 302: 1–43.
- KOVAŘÍK, F., LOWE, G., STOCKMANN, M., & F. ŠŤÁHLAVSKÝ. 2020. Revision of genus-group taxa in the family Scorpiopidae Kraepelin, 1905, with description of 15 new species (Arachnida: Scorpiones). *Euscorpius*, 325: 1–140.
- KUMAR, S., G. STECHER & K. TAMURA. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33: 1870–1874.
- LAMORAL, B. H. 1979. The scorpions of Namibia (Arachnida: Scorpionida). Annals of the Natal Museum, 23 (3): 497–784.
- LANFEAR, R., B. CALCOTT, S.Y.W. HO & S. GUINDON. 2012. PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29 (6): 1695–1701.
- MIRZA, Z. A. 2020. Two new species of buthid scorpion of the genus *Janalychas* Kovařík, 2019 (Arachnida: Scorpiones: Buthidae) from the Western Ghats, India. *Arachnology*, 18 (4): 316–324.
- MIRZA, Z., M. AMBEKAR & N. U. KULKARNI. 2019. A new species of scorpion of the genus *Hottentotta* Birula, 1908 from the Western Ghats, India (Scorpiones: Buthidae). *Arachnida - Rivista Aracnologica Italiana*, 5 (12): 2–16.
- MONOD, L., L. CAUWET, E. GONZÁLEZ-SANTILLÁN & S. HUBER. 2017. The male sexual apparatus in the order Scorpiones (Arachnida): a comparative study of functional morphology as a tool to define hypotheses of homology. *Frontiers in Zoology*, 14: 51.
- RAMBAUT, A., A. J. DRUMMOND, D. XIE, G. BAELE & M. A. SUCHARD. 2018. Posterior summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. Systematic Biology, 67(5): 901–904. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syy032syy032.
- RONQUIST, F., M. TESLENKO, P.V.D. MARK, D.L. AYRES, A. DARLING, S. HÖHNA, B. LARGET, L. LIU, M.A. SUCHARD & J.P. HUELSENBECK. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology*, 61 (3): 539–542.

- SCHWARTZ, G. 1978. Estimating the dimentions of a model. *Annals of statistics*, 6: 461–464.
- SILVESTRO, D. & I. MICHALAK. 2012. raxmlGUI: a graphical front-end for RAxML—Springer. *Organisms Diversity & Evolution*, 12 (4): 335–337.
- SIMON C., F. FRATI, A. BECKENBACH, B. CRESPI, H. LIU & P. FLOOK. 1994. Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved PCR primers. *Annals of the Entomological. Society of America*, 87: 651–701.
- ŠŤÁHLAVSKÝ, F., F. KOVAŘÍK, F., M. STOCKMANN & V. OPATOVA. 2020. Karyotype evolution and preliminary molecular assessment of genera in the family Scorpiopidae (Arachnida: Scorpiones). *Zoology*, 144 (2021): 125882. Available online 21 November 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2020.125882.
- STAHNKE, H. L. 1971. Scorpion nomenclature and mensuration. *Entomological News*, 81: 297–316.
- SULAKHE, S., N. DANDEKAR, A. PADHYE & D. BASTAWADE. 2020a. Two new cryptic species of *Isometrus* (Scorpiones: Buthidae) from the northern Western Ghats, India. *Euscorpius*, No. 305: 1–24.
- SULAKHE, S., S. DESHPANDE, N. DANDEKAR, M. KETKAR, G. GOWANDE, A. PADHYE & D. BASTAWADE. 2020b. Two new species of *Chiromachetes* (Scorpiones: Hormuridae) from the northern Western Ghats, India. *Euscorpius*, 320: 1–27.
- SULAKHE, S., A. SAYYED, S. DESHPANDE, N. DANDEKAR, A. PADHYE & D. BASTAWADE. 2020c. Taxonomic validity of *Neoscorpiops deccanensis*, *N. tenuicauda*, *N. satarensis* and *N. maharashtraensis* with description of a new species of *Neoscorpiops* Vachon, 1980 (Scorpiones: Euscorpiidae) from India. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 117: 1–21.
- VACHON, M. 1974. Études des caractères utilisés pour classer les familles et les genres de Scorpions (Arachnides). 1. La trichobothriotaxie en arachnologie. Sigles trichobothriaux et types de trichobothriotaxie chez les Scorpions. Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, 3e série, 140 (Zoologie, 104): 857–958.
- ZHANG, J., P. KAPLI, P. PAVLIDIS & A. STAMATAKIS. 2013. A general species delimitation method with applications to phylogenetic placements. *Bioinformatics* (Oxford, England), 29 (22): 2869–2876.