Abstract

1 Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant adverse impact on the 2 delivery of weight management programmes (WMPs), in order to ensure the safety of 3 patients and healthcare professionals. Videoconferencing could provide safe remote 4 access to group WMPs during the COVID-19 pandemic. The objectives of this study 5 were to determine the uptake of a virtual group WMP and its predictors. 6 7 Materials and methods: All patients enrolled on a face-to-face group WMP, which 8 constitutes part of a Tier 3 WMP delivered by the NHS, at the time of the COVID-19 9 pandemic lockdown were invited to transfer to a virtual format of the group WMP. 10 11 Baseline data included weight, BMI, age, gender, ethnicity and Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) quintile score. The outcomes were accept/decline transfer to the 12 virtual group WMP. Logistic regression was performed to assess for predictors of 13 uptake. 14 15 Results: 315 participants were included, of which 72.1% (n= 227) accepted. After 16 adjusting for gender, deprivation and BMI; older patients (OR 0.966, [95% CI 0.944, 17 0.989]; p=0.003) and Black, Asian and Minority Ethnicity (BAME) patients (OR 0.460 18 [95% 0.248, 0.851]; p=0.023) were less likely to accept the virtual group WMP. 19 20 Conclusion: Strategies aimed at improving uptake of group WMP among BAME and 21 older adult groups are needed, particularly considering the increased risk of severe 22 COVID-19 in these two groups, and the links between obesity and poor COVID-19 23 outcomes. 24

Introduction

The outbreak of novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV2) was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organisation on 11 March
2020 ¹. On the 23 March 2020, the United Kingdom (UK) government released
29 guidelines on social distancing to reduce the spread of COVID-19 ².

A survey of UK adults ³ found that the COVID-19 lockdown has had a negative impact on eating and physical activity behaviours, with this impact being more pronounced among adults with a higher Body Mass Index (BMI). During the COVID-19 lockdown, a higher BMI was associated with lower levels of physical activity and dietary quality, and a greater reported frequency of overeating ³.

Therefore, the COVID-19 pandemic may have had a disproportionately large and negative influence on weight-related behaviours among adults with a higher BMI. This, combined with the evidence that patients with obesity remain at an increased risk of severe COVID-19 leading to hospitalisation and mortality ^{4–7}, means that remote approaches to WMPs that were previously delivered face-to-face are urgently needed.

Weight management programmes (WMPs) for obesity management across the UK and internationally were reduced or suspended due to the mobilisation of outpatient and community healthcare professionals to the front-line. A survey commissioned by Public Health England revealed that 25% of adult weight management services in England reduced to a 'skeleton service' during the COVID-19 pandemic ⁸.

One solution to enabling people with obesity to access group WMPs safely is via virtual videoconferencing. While some weight management services in England have adapted to providing some form of remote support, only 23% of adult weight management services report using virtual group support during the COVID-19 pandemic ⁸.

However, access to virtual group WMPs may be influenced by digital exclusion, defined as the lack of digital skills, lack of internet connectivity and/or lack of accessibility to assistive technology ⁹. Digital exclusion has been a reality long before the COVID-19 pandemic whereby 22% of the UK population lack basic digital skills for everyday life ¹⁰. Digital exclusion is most prominent among some sociodemographic groups; such as among adults aged ≥65 years old, minority ethnic groups and those not in employment ¹¹.

Most research to date has investigated the use of videoconferencing software for one-to-one weight management interventions and research published on the application of videoconferencing for remote participation in weight management in the group setting has been limited to a few studies ^{12–18}. The available evidence suggests that virtual group WMPs may be an effective means of allowing face-to-face group interaction, while overcoming barriers to access. However, none of these studies investigated the uptake of a videoconferencing group WMP intervention and were all published prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Hence, we conducted a study which aimed to assess the uptake of transfer from a face-to-face to a virtual group WMP during the COVID-19 pandemic. Our secondary aim was to investigate predictors of uptake of a virtual group WMP, including sociodemographic predictors.

Materials and Methods

Our centre is a Tier 3 medical WMP, for adults with obesity with a BMI ≥40 kg/m2, or ≥35 kg/m2 with comorbidities ¹⁹ situated in a tertiary centre in the UK. Our Tier 3 WMP is multi-disciplinary including physicians, dietitians, specialist nurses and a clinical psychologist ¹⁹. Our centre is located within the ethnically diverse city of Birmingham (UK), where 42.1% of residents identify as Black, Asian or Minority Ethnicity (BAME)

Within our Tier 3 WMP, we run a course of structured patient education and self-management group sessions, comprising of six one-hour sessions which run monthly over a 6-month period and are led by a Specialist Weight Management Dietitian and a Dietetic Assistant Practitioner. We have previously published the outcomes of our face-to-face group WMP ²¹. Our face-to-face group WMP had a 56% completion rate with the majority (78.6%) achieving weight loss and nearly a third (32.3%) achieved a ≥5% weight loss.

On the 13th March 2020, all face-to-face weight management clinics at our centre, including our group WMP, were suspended due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Hence, we designed a virtual format of our group WMP using the real-time videoconferencing

software 'VidyoConnect' ²², which allows patients with obesity to attend the group WMP remotely.

We conducted a prospective cross-sectional study to determine the uptake of the virtual group WMP during the COVID-19 pandemic. All patients who were enrolled on the face-to-face format of the group WMP on 13th March 2020 were contacted via telephone and invited to transfer to the virtual group WMP.

Baseline data were collected from electronic patients records and included anthropometrics (weight and BMI), demographics (age, gender, ethnicity) and patients' home address postcodes, which were used to obtain Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) quintile scores using the English Indices of Deprivation (2019) dataset ²³. Outcome data included a binary outcome of acceptance or declination of transfer to the virtual group WMP and were asked an open-ended question about their reason for declination. Reasons for declination were then categorised as either barriers to access (i.e. lack of internet access or poor digital skills) or due to a personal preference to wait for face-to-face services to resume.

All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0. Data were presented as frequencies and mean (±SD). Differences between groups were assessed using the Independent Student's t-test and the chi-squared test for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. To assess for predictors of uptake of a virtual group WMP, binary logistic regression analysis (using the "Enter" method) was performed. Logistic regression assumptions of multicollinearity were assessed and not violated. The

dependent variable was accepting invitation to transfer to the virtual format. Independent variables included in the model were age, gender, ethnicity, BMI and IMD quintile. Variables were chosen for the model based upon epidemiological plausibility. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

A total of 330 patients were enrolled on the face-to-face group WMP at the time of the COVID-19 lockdown. After excluding participants who could not be contacted (n= 15, 4.5%), 315 patients were invited to attend the virtual format and were included in the analyses. Overall, 27.9% (n= 88) declined and 72.1% (n= 227) accepted the invitation to transfer to the virtual group WMP. The most frequent reason for declining was lack of internet access and/or lack of digital skills (89.8%, n= 79), while 10.2% (n=9) patients declined as they only wished to partake in face-to-face sessions.

The baseline characteristics of patients who accepted transfer to the virtual group WMP versus those who declined are summarised in Table 1. Data on age, gender, weight, BMI and IMD quintile score were available for all patients, while ethnicity data were available for 86.3% (n= 272) of patients. Most patients were from postcodes associated with quintile 1 of IMD.

Patients who accepted the virtual group WMP were younger (mean -4.0 years, 95% CI -7.6 to -0.4; p=0.032) than those who declined. A greater proportion of those aged ≥60 year olds declined the virtual group WMP compared to other age groups: 48% (n=23) of ≥60 year olds declined the virtual group WMP, compared to 22.8% (n=34) of 40-59

year olds and 26.3% (n=31) of <40 year olds (p=0.003). There were also significant differences in the uptake of the virtual group WMP by ethnicity, whereby 35% (n=27) of all BAME patients compared to 22.8% (n=45) of all Caucasian patients declined uptake of the virtual group WMP (p=0.002). There was no statistical difference between genders, weight, BMI or level of deprivation of those who accepted compared with those who declined the virtual group WMP.

A logistic regression was performed to ascertain the associations of age, gender, ethnicity, deprivation and BMI with acceptance of transfer to the virtual group WMP. The logistic regression model was statistically significant, $\chi 2(4) = 18.427$, p=0.018. Older age (OR 0.966, [95% CI 0.944, 0.989]; p=0.003) and identifying as BAME compared to Caucasian (OR 0.460 [95% CI 0.248, 0.851]; p=0.023) were associated with a decreased likelihood of uptake of the virtual group WMP (Table 2).

Discussion

To our knowledge this is the first study to examine the uptake of a virtual group WMP during the COVID-19 pandemic and found that the uptake was high, with nearly three quarters of patients with obesity transferring to the virtual group WMP.

However, the invitation to transfer to a virtual group WMP was declined by over a quarter of patients in our study. Our findings suggest that older patients and patients identifying as BAME were less likely to accept transfer to the virtual group WMP. This may provide evidence of inequity of access to virtual obesity treatments among vulnerable patient groups, which is particularly worrisome considering that older age

^{24–26}, BAME ^{27,28} and obesity ^{4–7} are shown to increase risk of severe illness from COVID-19. Therefore, it would be important to explore this further in future research.

It is plausible that poorer uptake of a virtual group WMP may be attributed to digital exclusion. In the UK, digital exclusion is most prominent among adults aged ≥65 years old, minority ethnic groups and those not in employment ¹¹. Most of our patients were living in quintile 1 IMD which is consistent with the data showing the association between obesity and social deprivation ^{29,30}. Despite that, the uptake of the virtual group WMP was high overall in our study. However, digital exclusion may explain our findings that patients who are older or identify as BAME are less likely to access a virtual group WMP. However, we did not have the data granularity to differentiate between patients who did not have physical access to the internet compared to those that do not have the digital skills to utilise the internet.

There is concern that the increasing use of digital health tools during the COVID-19 pandemic might exacerbate health inequalities if patient are unable to use or access digital interventions ³¹. Our data demonstrate a need to engage with people with obesity of older age and BAME communities in order to understand how we can improve the uptake of virtual group WMPs, including how we can enhance digital literacy skills to allow participation. Weight management services may benefit from being able to refer patients with poor digital literacy to 'Digital Health Champions' ³², who could engage with patients to learn basic digital skills; thereby enabling access to virtual group WMPs.

The main limitation of our study is that it is a single centre analysis. Our findings suggest that wider examinations of variations of virtual group WMP uptake by age and ethnicity need to be explored in larger multi-centre studies to confirm where there is a national inequity of access to virtual group WMPs among older and BAME populations. Our study collated quantitative categorical data on reasons for declining uptake of the virtual group WMP. However, future research should explore patient's reasons for declining transfer to a virtual group WMP through qualitative data, in order to gain a deeper understanding of barriers to participation.

In conclusion, most patients opted to transfer to a virtual format of our group WMP in our Tier 3 weight management service. However, older age and identifying as BAME were associated with reduced likelihood of uptake of a virtual group WMP. There is a need address factors such as improving digital literacy to ensure safe and equitable access to virtual group WMPs during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond, particularly considering the links between obesity and COVID-19, and the increased risk of severe COVID-19 among older patients and BAME groups.

Transparency: The lead author affirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported. The reporting of this work is compliant with STROBE guidelines. The lead author affirms that no important aspects of the study have been omitted and that there are no discrepancies from the study as planned.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

218

В	_£	_		_	_	_	_
ĸ	ef	e	re	n	C	е	S

219	1.	world Health Organisation. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the
220		media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020. Published 2020. Accessed June
221		16, 2020. https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-
222		opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-1911-march-2020
223	2.	Public Health England. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Keeping Away from Other
224		People: New Rules to Follow from 23 March 2020 .; 2020. Accessed June 16,
225		2020.
226		https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/at
227		tachment_data/file/876699/COVID-
228		19_Keeping_away_from_other_people_20200328.pdf
229	3.	Robinson E, Boyland E, Chisholm A, et al. Obesity, eating behavior and
230		physical activity during COVID-19 lockdown: A study of UK adults. Appetite.
231		Published online October 7, 2020:104853. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2020.104853
232	4.	Kass DA, Duggal P, Cingolani O. Obesity could shift severe COVID-19 disease
233		to younger ages. Lancet. 2020;395(10236):1544-1545. doi:10.1016/S0140-
234		6736(20)31024-2
235	5.	Caussy C, Pattou F, Wallet F, et al. Prevalence of obesity among adult
236		inpatients with COVID-19 in France. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.
237		2020;8(7):562-564. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30160-1
238	6.	Williamson E, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran KJ, et al. OpenSAFELY: factors

239

240

associated with COVID-19-related hospital death in the linked electronic health

records of 17 million adult NHS patients. medRxiv. Published online May 7,

- 241 2020:.06.20092999. doi:10.1101/2020.05.06.20092999
- 242 7. Ho FK, Celis-Morales CA, Gray SR, et al. Modifiable and non-modifiable risk
- factors for COVID-19: results from UK Biobank. *medRxiv*. Published online
- May 2, 2020:28.20083295. doi:10.1101/2020.04.28.20083295
- 245 8. Public Health England. Weight management services during COVID-19: phase
- 1 insights. Published 2020. Accessed September 9, 2020.
- 247 https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/weight-management-services-
- 248 during-covid-19-phase-1-insights
- 9. NHS Digital. What we mean by digital inclusion. Published 2020. Accessed
- September 9, 2020. https://digital.nhs.uk/about-nhs-digital/our-work/digital-
- inclusion/what-digital-inclusion-is
- 10. Lloyds Bank. UK Consumer Digital Index 2020. Published 2020. Accessed
- September 9, 2020. https://www.lloydsbank.com/banking-with-us/whats-
- 254 happening/consumer-digital-index.html
- 11. Office for National Statistics. Internet users, UK: 2019. Office for National
- Statistics. Published May 24, 2019. Accessed June 19, 2020.
- 257 https://www.ons.gov.uk/businessindustryandtrade/itandinternetindustry/bulletin
- s/internetusers/2019
- 12. Azar KMJ, Aurora M, Wang EJ, Muzaffar A, Pressman A, Palaniappan LP.
- Virtual small groups for weight management: an innovative delivery mechanism
- for evidence-based lifestyle interventions among obese men. *Transl Behav*
- 262 *Med.* 2015;5(1):37-44. doi:10.1007/s13142-014-0296-6
- 13. Ahrendt AD, Kattelmann KK, Rector TS, Maddox DA. The Effectiveness of
- Telemedicine for Weight Management in the *MOVE!* Program. *J Rural Heal*.

- 265 2014;30(1):113-119. doi:10.1111/jrh.12049
- 14. Das SK, Brown C, Urban LE, et al. Weight loss in videoconference and in-
- person iDiet weight loss programs in worksites and community groups.
- 268 Obesity. 2017;25(6):1033-1041. doi:10.1002/oby.21854
- 15. Clark DO, Keith N, Weiner M, Xu H. Outcomes of an RCT of videoconference
- vs. in-person or in-clinic nutrition and exercise in midlife adults with obesity.
- Obes Sci Pract. 2019;5(2):111-119. doi:10.1002/osp4.318
- 16. van Beurden SB, Simmons SI, Tang JCH, Mewse AJ, Abraham C, Greaves
- CJ. Informing the development of online weight management interventions: A
- qualitative investigation of primary care patient perceptions. *BMC Obes*.
- 275 2018;5(1). doi:10.1186/s40608-018-0184-6
- 17. Little P, Stuart B, Richard Hobbs FD, et al. Randomised controlled trial and
- economic analysis of an internet-based weight management programme:
- 278 POWeR+ (Positive Online Weight Reduction). Health Technol Assess (Rockv).
- 279 2017;21(4):1-61. doi:10.3310/hta21040
- 18. Vakil RM, Doshi RS, Mehta AK, et al. Direct comparisons of commercial
- weight-loss programs on weight, waist circumference, and blood pressure: a
- systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:460. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-
- 283 3112-z
- 19. Anderson B, Attwood B, Baird G, et al. *Obesity: Clinical Assessment and*
- 285 Management Quality Standard.; 2016. Accessed June 15, 2020.
- 286 www.nice.org.uk/guidance/qs127
- 287 20. Office for National Statistics. 2011 Census.; 2011. Accessed March 31, 2019.
- 288 https://www.ons.gov.uk/census/2011census

- 289 21. Brown A, Gouldstone A, Fox E, et al. Description and preliminary results from a
- structured specialist behavioural weight management group intervention:
- Specialist Lifestyle Management (SLiM) programme. *BMJ Open*.
- 292 2015;5(4):e007217. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007217
- 293 22. Enghouse Systems Limited. VidyoConnect. Published online 2020.
- 294 23. Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government. English Indices of
- 295 Deprivation 2019.; 2019. Accessed May 4, 2020.
- 296 https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2019
- 297 24. Dowd JB, Andriano L, Brazel DM, et al. Demographic science aids in
- understanding the spread and fatality rates of COVID-19. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
- 299 S A. 2020;117(18):9696-9698. doi:10.1073/pnas.2004911117
- 300 25. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult
- inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study.
- 302 Lancet. 2020;395(10229):1054-1062. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3
- 26. Davies NG, Klepac P, Liu Y, Prem K, Jit M, Eggo RM. Age-dependent effects
- in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. *Nat Med.* Published
- online June 16, 2020:1-7. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0962-9
- 27. Public Health England. Beyond the Data: Understanding the Impact of COVID-
- 307 19 on BAME Communities.; 2020. Accessed June 17, 2020.
- 308 www.facebook.com/PublicHealthEngland
- 309 28. Harrison EM, Docherty AB, Barr B, et al. Ethnicity and Outcomes from COVID-
- 19: The ISARIC CCP-UK Prospective Observational Cohort Study of
- Hospitalised Patients. SSRN Electron J. Published online May 31, 2020.
- 312 doi:10.2139/ssrn.3618215

313	29.	NHS Digital. Statistics on Obesity, Physical Activity and Diet, England, 2019 -
314		NHS Digital.; 2019. https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-
315		information/publications/statistical/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-
316		diet/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet-england-2019
317	30.	El-Sayed AM, Scarborough P, Galea S. Unevenly distributed: A systematic
318		review of the health literature about socioeconomic inequalities in adult obesity
319		in the United Kingdom. BMC Public Health. 2012;12(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/1471
320		2458-12-18
321	31.	Watts G. COVID-19 and the digital divide in the UK. Lancet Digit Heal.
322		2020;2(8):e395-e396. doi:10.1016/s2589-7500(20)30169-2
323	32.	NHS Digital. Digital Champions for Health: A Blueprint for Success.; 2020.
324		Accessed November 1, 2020. https://digital.nhs.uk/about-nhs-digital/our-
325		work/transforming-health-and-care-through-technology/empower-the-person-
326		formerly-domain-a/widening-digital-participation/digital-champions-for-health

328 Table legends

- Table 1: Baseline Characteristics. Data presented as mean (SD) or n= (%)
- Table 2: Binary logistic regression analysis of uptake of virtual group weight
- 331 management programme