

Citation for published version: Astorino, TA, Hicks, AL & Bilzon, JLJ 2021, 'Viability of high intensity interval training in persons with spinal cord injury-a perspective review', *Spinal Cord*, vol. 59, SC-2020-0032 RR, pp. 3-8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41393-020-0492-9

DOI: 10.1038/s41393-020-0492-9

Publication date: 2021

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Spinal Cord. The final authenticated version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41393-020-0492-9

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Viability of high intensity interval training in persons with spinal cord injury—A perspective
2	review
3	Running title: interval training in spinal cord injury
4	Todd A. Astorino; ¹ Audrey L. Hicks ² ; James L. J. Bilzon ³
5	
6	¹ Department of Kinesiology, CSU—San Marcos, USA; ² Department of Kinesiology, McMaster
7	University, Hamilton, Ontario, CA; ³ Department for Health, University of Bath, UK
8	
9	Corresponding author: Todd A. Astorino Ph.D FACSM, Professor, Department of Kinesiology
10	California State University, San Marcos
11	333. S. Twin Oaks Valley Road, UNIV 320
12	San Marcos, CA 92096-0001
13	Phone: (760) 750-7351
14	Fax: (760) 750-3237
15	Email: astorino@csusm.edu
16	
17	
18	

19

20 Abstract

21 Spinal cord injury (SCI) leads to loss of sensory and motor function below the level of injury leading to paralysis and limitations to locomotion. Therefore, persons with SCI face various 22 challenges in engaging in regular physical activity which leads to a reduction in physical fitness, 23 increases in body fat mass, and reduced physical and mental health status. Moderate intensity 24 25 continuous training (MICT) is recommended to enhance physical fitness and overall health status in this population, but it is not always effective in promoting these benefits. High intensity 26 interval training (HIIT) has been promoted as an alternative to MICT in individuals with SCI due 27 to its documented efficacy in healthy able-bodied individuals as well as those with chronic 28 29 disease. However, the body of knowledge concerning its application in this population is limited and mostly composed of studies with small and homogeneous samples. The aim of this review 30 was to summarize the existing literature regarding the efficacy of HIIT on changes in health- and 31 32 fitness-related outcomes in this population, denote potential adverse responses to HIIT, describe how participants perceive this modality of exercise training, and identify the overall feasibility of 33 interval training in persons with SCI. 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 Introduction

In the last decade, incidence of spinal cord injury (SCI) in the United States has increased 41 from about 12,000 new cases in 2010 to 17,500 in 2017 [1]. This trend is concerning 42 considering the dramatic decline in physical and psychological function occurring soon after SCI 43 as well as the huge economic costs of this injury, which can be more than \$1,000,000 in the first 44 12 months post-injury [1]. Occurrence of SCI typically reduces participation in physical activity, 45 leading to a decline in multiple indices of physical fitness and onset of skeletal muscle atrophy, 46 body fat accretion, and adverse lipid profiles and insulin resistance that are detrimental to 47 cardiometabolic health. It is evident that individuals with SCI are more deconditioned than other 48 disability groups [2], which in turn markedly enhances their risk of heart disease and diabetes 49 versus able-bodied populations (AB) [3]. This elevated risk warrants identification and 50 implementation of practical, accessible, and effective programs of physical activity to reverse the 51 decline in health status characteristic of SCI. 52

53 Physical Activity Guidelines for persons with SCI [4] recommend 20 min/d of moderate-tovigorous intensity continuous training on a minimum of 2 d/wk combined with 2 d/wk of 54 resistance training as a minimum threshold for achieving fitness benefits. It is noteworthy that 55 these guidelines have less volume than recommended for AB adults [5] (150 min/wk of MICT 56 and 2-3 d/wk of resistance training) despite the substantially lower fitness level and small 57 exercising muscle mass characteristic of people with SCI. A recent study [6] in individuals with 58 chronic SCI demonstrated significant increases in VO₂max and muscular strength versus controls 59 after a 16 wk exercise regimen following the guidelines, yet outcomes including fasting insulin 60 61 and blood lipids, vascular health, and body composition were unchanged with training [7]. These results suggest that this guideline has insufficient volume or intensity of exercise to modify 62

various risk factors for cardiovascular disease in persons with SCI. Indeed, the most recent evidence-based physical activity guidelines for adults with SCI [4] suggest that 3 d/wk of moderate-to-vigorous intensity aerobic training is necessary for improvements in cardiometabolic risk factors. However, these recommendations are still based on a relatively small number of predominantly under-powered studies, with little attempt to identify different training modes to achieve the moderate-vigorous intensity goals denoted in the recommendations.

In the last two decades, there has been tremendous interest in the utility and efficacy of high 70 intensity interval training (HIIT) in healthy AB individuals [8] as well as those with diabetes [9] 71 72 or heart disease [10]. High intensity interval training differs from MICT as bouts are discontinuous, consisting of repeated 1 - 4 min bouts at workloads equal to 85 - 95 %HRmax 73 separated by recovery ranging from $1 - 3 \min [9]$. In a systematic review of 28 studies 74 containing 723 participants, Milanovic et al. [11] demonstrated small but superior increases in 75 VO₂max in response to chronic HIIT compared to MICT in AB adults. Based on a review of 65 76 studies, Batacan et al. [12] reported significant increases in outcomes related to cardiometabolic 77 health in overweight and obese AB individuals. Across studies, training volume is not typically 78 considered, although frequently volume is significantly lower with HIIT compared to MICT. A 79 more intense modality of HIIT referred to as sprint interval training (SIT), constituting repeated 80 efforts at intensities above that associated with VO₂max or peak power output (PPO), has also 81 been shown to elicit similar outcomes in AB as MICT [13] while being more time efficient, as 82 the actual exercise volume per session ranges from 40 s to 3 min in duration. Together, these 83 data support the efficacy of interval training in various groups of healthy AB adults as well as 84 those at risk for chronic disease. 85

As a recent review [14] established the rationale for employing HIIT in persons with SCI, we 86 believe it is unnecessary to further emphasize the potential for this type of exercise training in 87 this population. Consequently, the focus of this review is to summarize the available evidence 88 concerning the efficacy of interval training on changes in VO2max, exercise tolerance, and 89 health-related markers in wheelchair-dependent persons with SCI by examining results from 90 91 various training studies. In addition, a secondary aim is to establish the tolerability of HIIT by summarizing how persons with SCI perceive this mode of training, which sheds light on the 92 'real-world' application of interval training in this population. 93

94 What is the cardiometabolic stress of interval training in persons with SCI?

Examining efficacy of interval training in a person with SCI requires that these bouts actually 95 96 elicit intensities characteristic of near-maximal exercise. Consequently, it is merited to describe various physiological responses to this modality, as the acute physiological response to HIIT and 97 SIT obtained in AB adults performing lower-body exercise cannot automatically be applied to 98 99 individuals with SCI, based on marked differences in autonomic function and size of muscle mass activated which is dependent on exercise mode (arm ergometry versus cycle ergometry or 100 treadmill). Data from eight men and one woman with SCI (age = 33 ± 10 yr, 2 with tetraplegia 101 and 7 with paraplegia) revealed that HIIT (eight 60 s bouts at 70 %PPO) and SIT (eight 30 s "all-102 103 out" efforts at 105 %PPO) performed on an arm ergometer elicit relative intensities equal to 90 104 %HRmax [15], which is similar to values obtained in active AB participants [16]. More recent 105 data from this laboratory [17] reveal that slightly different bouts of acute HIIT and SIT elicit approximately 87 – 88 %HRmax. Results from these studies also demonstrated significantly 106 107 higher blood lactate concentration (BLa) in response to HIIT and SIT (4 - 8 mmol/L) versus MICT, reflecting enhanced contribution of glycolysis to ATP supply. Unfortunately, many 108

studies employing interval-based exercise in persons with SCI did not report the HR response to training. For example, Harnish et al. [18] denoted that "the subject achieved a HR near agepredicted maximum HR on several occasions." In other studies [19,20], the authors only reported absolute HR values in response to training rather than as a %HRmax.

Overall, these data show that when persons with SCI perform acute bouts of upper-body 113 dependent HIIT or SIT at near-maximal to supramaximal effort, they likely do elicit relative 114 intensities characteristic of high intensity interval training observed in AB adults performing 115 large muscle mass exercise such as running or cycling. Nevertheless, we encourage scientists to 116 report the relative HR response to acute sessions of interval training as a %HRmax to confirm 117 118 that participants are truly engaging in this modality of exercise. If these values are impractical to use, as in the case of persons with tetraplegia who have a blunted response to exercise, we 119 recommend that Rating of Perceived Exertion be used to set intensity, and that participants be 120 121 exercising at power outputs eliciting values above 5 on the Borg [21] 1 - 10 scale, or 15 on the 6 - 20 scale [22] representing "hard." This recommendation is based on prior data showing that 122 RPE is suitable to prescribe interval exercise in AB adults [23] and vigorous exercise in persons 123 with SCI [24]. 124

125 Does high intensity interval training actually work in persons with SCI?

Table 1 summarizes data from eight studies including 43 men and women who performed
chronic interval training exercise for 4 – 12 weeks. These studies were accessed from the
Authors' personal collections as well as through a literature search on PubMed using the terms
'high intensity interval training' and 'spinal cord injury.' Results were obtained from case studies
[18], single group designs [25-27], and randomized controlled trials comparing effects of interval

training to MICT. Participants included those with acute and chronic SCI who were classified 131 with paraplegia or tetraplegia. These data show significant increases in VO₂max, insulin 132 sensitivity, and PPO in response to various interval training regimes. Hasnan et al. [25] and 133 Brurok et al. [26] reported a 20 to 24 % increase in VO₂max in response to hybrid-based HIIT, 134 similar to that reported by Tordi et al. [27] (19%) in response to 4 wk of wheelchair ergometry. 135 136 However, these improvements are lower than the 52 % increase in VO₂max demonstrated in a case study [18] that is superior to recent results [19] showing an 8 % increase in VO₂max in 137 response to 6 wk of SIT, or an 18 % increase in response to 16 wk of MICT [6]. In response to 138 139 home-based wheelchair interval training, Gauthier et al. [28] demonstrated no change in VO₂max, which suggests that this regimen was inadequate to elicit increases in cardiorespiratory 140 fitness. Overall, it seems that HIIT or SIT significantly increase VO₂max and PPO in persons 141 with SCI, although it is unclear if these adaptations are superior to those accrued with high-142 volume MICT as seen in AB adults [11]. Nevertheless, there are currently no standardized 143 guidelines for prescribing HIIT or SIT in persons with SCI, so it is difficult to compare results 144 obtained from studies that use entirely different protocols for implementing HIIT or SIT. 145

146 *How is high intensity interval training perceived in persons with SCI?*

Adoption and widespread use of a new exercise paradigm such as HIIT or SIT require that the training is well-tolerated and that the patient will be willing to perform it, irrespective of the potential health and fitness-related benefits that it may elicit. One barrier to regular physical activity in AB adults is a lack of enjoyment [29], and Hagberg et al. [30] exhibited that enjoyment measured with a visual analogue scale was positively related to exercise frequency in primary care patients. Findings from a recent systematic review in AB adults revealed that acute bouts of HIIT elicit favorable perceptual responses including enjoyment and affective valence

154	that are not different than those obtained from bouts of MICT [31]. Unfortunately, there is a
155	paucity of data concerning enjoyment responses to interval training in persons with SCI. One
156	study in nine habitually active men and women with chronic SCI reported higher post-exercise
157	enjoyment in response to acute sessions of HIIT and SIT versus MICT despite the higher HR and
158	BLa response inherent with interval training [15]. A more recent study [20] in persons with
159	acute SCI showed high enjoyment scores (106 out of 126) in response to SIT that were similar to
160	those seen with MICT (20). These results can be explained by the intermittent pattern of interval
161	training as well as the degree of accomplishment characteristic of these bouts that is not
162	experienced during MICT until the end of the session [32].
163	Another outcome related to adherence to physical activity is the level of pleasure: displeasure
164	experienced during exercise, which is widely assessed using the Feeling Scale [33], a validated
165	measure of affective valence. This survey is an 11-point scale with anchors of "very good" (+5),
166	neutral (0), and "very bad" (-5). When MICT is performed below the lactate threshold, affective
167	valence is typically positive, yet as intensity surpasses this threshold, affective valence declines
168	due to onset of interoceptive cues related to hyperventilation and blood lactate accumulation
169	(BLa) [34]. As interval training is at near-maximal work rates, it would be expected to elicit an
170	aversive response. Data from AB adults showed that affective valence measured during a brief
171	session of aerobic exercise was predictive of exercise behavior 6 and 12 mo later [35] which
172	emphasizes the importance of this measure in promoting long-term exercise adherence. To our
173	knowledge, only one study has examined changes in this outcome during interval exercise in
174	persons with SCI, and results showed similar affective valence (~3, "good") between HIIT, SIT,
175	and MICT despite the higher BLa attendant with acute bouts of interval exercise [15]. More

studies are needed to better understand perceptual responses to interval training due to theirassociation with adherence to physical activity.

178 Feasibility and accessibility of high intensity interval training in persons with SCI

The majority of studies employing HIIT or SIT were performed in a laboratory or 179 rehabilitation center with expensive equipment (arm ergometer, metabolic cart, etc.) and trained 180 personnel overseeing exercise [15,18-20,26]. In these facilities, intensity can be prescribed based 181 on indices including %HRmax or %PPO obtained from a baseline graded exercise test to 182 183 exhaustion. Relative exercise intensity can also be carefully monitored and adjusted by trained 184 professional staff, where the participant only has to be concerned with performing the bout. However, this paradigm may not translate well outside of a clinic to the "real-world" where 185 186 individuals with SCI are responsible for performing physical activity on their own. In this setting, we recommend that intensity be prescribed based on RPE as denoted above, in which the 187 exerciser should attain a value representing "hard." Prior data in inactive AB adults [36] 188 189 showed that home-based HIIT consisting of various body weight exercises performed "all-out" led to significant increases in VO₂max. In active persons with chronic SCI, Gauthier et al. [28] 190 examined the feasibility and efficacy of home-based HIIT performed using their own wheelchair 191 via completing repeated 30 s bouts at RPE between 6 - 8 ("very hard"). Although neither 192 193 VO₂max nor muscular strength were improved in response to this 6 wk intervention, participants 194 did not report any serious adverse events, deemed training to be feasible, and reported significant subjective improvements in health. However, training was not supervised, intensities were not 195 matched, and participants completed amounts of habitual activity during this regimen, which 196 197 likely led to dissimilar training loads amongst participants. In sedentary AB men and women, Relic et al. [37] reported significant increases in VO₂max and reductions in total low-density 198

lipoprotein in response to an 8 wk regimen of HIIT performed in group fitness classes. This
finding reveals the promise of employing group-based HIIT in persons with SCI, although this is
likely only practical in specialized rehabilitation centers or adapted fitness facilities with
specialist supervision.

Whether high intensity interval training is actually feasible or acceptable in persons with SCI 203 has been examined in two recent studies. Astorino and Thum [15] reported that all participants 204 preferred HIIT or SIT versus an acute session of MICT, although these individuals were all 205 habitually active. When participants with chronic SCI were asked to perform self-managed 206 interval training in their wheelchair, they reported that the regimen was satisfactory, feasible, and 207 208 that they intended to continue this training in the future [28]. In this study, adherence to training was 86 %, which is similar to another study from this group performed in persons undergoing 209 acute rehabilitation (86 %) [20], yet slightly lower than that reported in other studies (92 %, [38]; 210 211 100 %, [19]. Although preliminary, these data suggest that persons with SCI find HIIT or SIT to be tolerable and that they can comply to the rigors of this training modality. The implementation 212 of SIT is extremely attractive considering its similar efficacy compared to MICT in persons with 213 SCI [19,20] and minimal time commitment, as lack of time has been cited as a barrier to physical 214 activity in persons with SCI [39]. In addition, the rapid gains in exercise tolerance and 215 cardiorespiratory fitness demonstrated in response to SIT may lower the economic cost of 216 217 exercise training when employed in inpatient or outpatient rehabilitation by reducing the number of visits (and time) needed to improve function. Future interval training studies are necessary to 218 219 determine whether such exercise protocols improve exercise adherence and to confirm whether the associated adaptations lead to sustained improvements in biomarkers of cardiometabolic 220 disease. 221

11

As previously stated, no exercise-based intervention will be widely instituted in patient 223 populations if it causes severe side effects or adverse responses. Because of the limited data 224 concerning HIIT in persons with SCI, it is relatively premature to conclude that it is safe to 225 implement in all individuals with SCI. Even if it were, questions remain concerning how long 226 following an SCI it would be safe to start implementing such vigorous exercise, particularly 227 given the demands on the core stabilizing muscles around the trunk and spine. However, 228 existing data do not reveal severe side effects from participating in HIIT or SIT. McLeod et al. 229 [20] reported no change in pain in response to 6 wk of SIT in persons with sub-acute SCI, and 230 231 only one incidence of post-exercise hypotension which occurred during the first of 15 sessions. Two of six patients with SCI undergoing hybrid interval training reported shoulder dysfunction, 232 yet this was diminished with rest and therapy [26]. Similarly, shoulder pain was also reported by 233 234 participants performing wheelchair interval training [28]. It is possible that the low volume nature of interval training, especially characteristic of SIT, may be useful in alleviating onset of 235 shoulder discomfort. Astorino and Thum [15] reported one case of autonomic dysreflexia in a 236 participant with SCI performing a single session of interval training, yet it did not alter his 237 overall tolerance to exercise. In AB individuals with heart disease undergoing supervised 238 cardiac rehabilitation, Rognmo et al. [40] reported no deaths and two nonfatal cases of cardiac 239 arrest in response to more than 20,000 hours of interval training, which would suggest that it is 240 relatively safe in persons with low fitness and impaired health. The timing of exercise 241 intervention post-injury remains the most challenging issue, but this is no different than for any 242 other form of upper body exercise and should be based on clinical judgment. 243

244 *Areas of future study and conclusions*

Although there is a large and expanding body of evidence supporting various benefits of HIIT 245 and SIT in able-bodied populations, only limited data exist in persons with SCI. Until 246 substantially more work is performed testing the efficacy and acceptability of interval training in 247 persons with SCI, it seems premature to universally recommend its implementation in this 248 population despite promising data obtained in a few studies. Greater attention is also merited to 249 250 study the effects of interval training in persons with SCI who are ambulatory, as their greater exercise tolerance may modify resultant adaptations to HIIT. Despite this, existing data show 251 that it is equally effective as MICT in enhancing cardiorespiratory fitness and peak power output 252 253 which augment exercise tolerance, both of which will improve ability to perform activities of daily living. Early indications that interval training enhances exercise enjoyment and promotes 254 improvements in some biomarkers of cardiometabolic risk are promising and worthy of further 255 study. 256

257 Acknowledgements

The Authors extend gratitude to Dr. Sonja de Groot and Dr. Rachel Cowan for extending us the invitation to prepare this review. In addition, the Authors thank our collaborators which allowed much of this work to be done as well as hundreds of participants for being willing to serve in our research studies generating these data.

262 References

1. National Spinal Cord Injury Statistical Center, Facts and Figures at a Glance. Birmingham,
 AL: University of Alabama at Birmingham, 2017.

265 2. Haisma JA, van der Woude LH, Stam HJ, Bergen MP, Sluis TA, Bussmann JB. Physical
266 capacity in wheelchair-dependent persons with a spinal cord injury: a critical review of the
267 literature. Spinal Cord 2006; 44(11):642-652.

3. Bauman WA, Spungen AM. Carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in chronic spinal cord injury.
J Spinal Cord Med 2001:24(4):266-277.

4. Martin Ginis KA, van der Scheer JW, Latimer-Cheung AE, Barrow A, Bourne C, Carruthers
P et al. Evidence-based scientific exercise guidelines for adults with spinal cord injury: an update
and a new guideline. 2018;56(4):308-321.

5. Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR, Franklin BA, Lamonte MJ, Lee I-M, et al. Quantity
and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and
neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. Med Sci
Sports Exerc 2011;43:1334-1359.

- 6. Pelletier CA, Totosy de Zepetnek JO, MacDonald MJ, Hicks AL. A 16-week randomized
 controlled trial evaluating the physical activity guidelines for adults with spinal cord injury.
 Spinal Cord 2014;52:1-5.
- 7. Totosy de Zepetnek JO, Pelletier CA, Hicks AL, MacDonald MJ. Following the physical
 activity guidelines for adults with spinal cord injury for 16 weeks does not improve vascular
 health: a randomized controlled trial. 2015 Arch Phys Med Rehabil 96(9):1566-1575.
- 8. Astorino TA, Allen RP, Roberson DW, Jurancich M, Lewis R, McCarthy K, Trost E.
 Adaptations to high-intensity training are independent of gender. Eur J Appl Physiol 2011;
 111(7):1279-1286.
- 286 9. Little JP, Gillen JB, Percival ME, Safdar A, Tarnopolsky MA, Punthakee Z, et al. Low-
- volume high-intensity interval training reduces hyperglycemia and increases muscle
- mitochondrial capacity in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Appl Physiol 2011;111(6): 1554-1560.
- 10. Rognmo O, Hetland E, Helgerud J, Hoff J, Slordahl SA.. High intensity aerobic interval
 exercise is superior to moderate intensity exercise for increasing aerobic capacity in patients with
 coronary artery disease. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2004;11(3):216-222.
- 11. Milanovic Z, Sporis G, Weston M. Effectiveness of high-intensity interval training (HIT)
 and continuous endurance training for VO₂max improvements: a systematic review and meta-
- analysis of controlled trials. Sports Med 2015;45(10):1469-1481.
- 12. Batacan RB, Duncan MJ, Dalbo VJ, Tucker PS, Fenning AS. Effects of high-intensity
 interval training on cardiometabolic health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
- intervention studies. Br J Sports Med 2017;51:494-503.
- 298 13. Gillen JB, Martin BJ, MacInnis MJ, Skelly LE, Tarnopolsky MA, Gibala MJ. Twelve weeks
- of sprint interval training improves indices of cardiometabolic health similar to traditional
- 300 endurance training despite a five-fold lower exercise volume and time commitment. PLoS One
- 301 2016;11(4):e0154075.

- 14. Nightingale TE, Metcalfe RS, Vollaard NB, Bilzon JL. Exercise guidelines to promote
- cardiometabolic health in spinal cord injured humans: time to raise the intensity? Arch Phys MedRehabil 2017; 98(8):1693-1704.
- 15. Astorino TA, Thum JS. Higher enjoyment in response to high intensity interval training in
 spinal cord injury. J Spinal Cord Med 2018; 41(1):77-84.
- 16. Wood KM, Olive B, LaValle K, Thompson H, Greer K, Astorino TA. Dissimilar
- physiological and perceptual responses between sprint interval training and high-intensity
 interval training. J Str Cond Res 2016;30(1):244-250.
- 17. Astorino TA. Hemodynamic and cardiorespiratory responses to various arm cycling
 regimens in men with spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord Ser Cases 2019;5:2.
- 18. Harnish CR, Daniels JA, Caruso D. Training response to high-intensity interval training in a
- 42-year-old man with chronic spinal cord injury. J Spinal Cord Med 2017;40(2):246-249.
- 19. Graham K, Yarar-Fisher C, Li J, McCully KM, Rimmer JH, Powell D, Bickel CS et al.
- Effects of high-intensity interval training versus moderate-intensity training on cardiometabolic health markers in individuals with spinal cord injury: a pilot study. Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil 2019;25(3):248-259.
- 20. McLeod JC, Diana H, Hicks AL. Sprint interval training versus moderate-intensity
- 319 continuous training during inpatient rehabilitation after spinal cord injury: a randomized trial.
- 320 Spinal Cord 2020;58(1):106-115.
- 21. Borg G. Borg's perceived exertion and pain scales. 1998; Human Kinetics, Champaign, IL.
- 322 22. Borg G. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1982;14(5):377323 381.
- 23. Ciolac EG, Mantuani SS, Neiva CM, Verardi C, Pessôa-Filho DM, Pimenta L. Rating of
- perceived exertion as a tool for prescribing and self regulating interval training: a pilot study.
- Biol Sport. 2015 Jun;32(2):103-8.
- 24. Goosey-Tolfrey V, Lenton J, Goddard J, Oldfield V, Tolfrey K, Eston R. Regulating
- intensity using perceived exertion in spinal cord-injured participants. Med Sci Sports Exerc
 2010;42(3):608-613.
- 330 25. Hasnan N, Engkasan JP, Husain R, Davis GM. High-intensity virtual-reality arm plus FES-
- leg interval training in individuals with spinal cord injury. Biomed Tech 2013;58(S1):
- 26. Brurok B, Helgerud J, Karlsen T, Leivseth G, Hoff J. Effect of aerobic high-intensity hybrid
- training on stroke volume and peak oxygen consumption in men with spinal cord injury. Amer J
- 334 Phys Med Rehabil 2011;90:407-414.

- 27. Tordi N, Dugue B, Klupzinski D, Rasseneuer L, Rouillon JD, Lonsdorfer J. Interval training
 program on a wheelchair ergometer for paraplegic subjects. Spinal Cord 2001;39:532-537.
- 28. Gauthier C, Brosseau ER, Hicks AL, Gagnon DH. Feasibility, safety, and preliminary
- 338 effectiveness of a home-based self-managed high intensity interval training program offered to
- long-term manual wheelchair users. Rehabil Res Pract 2018;8209360:1-15.
- 29. Trost SG, Owen N, Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Brown W. Correlates of adults' participation in
 physical activity: Review and update. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002; 34:1996–2001.
- 30. Hagberg LA, Lindahl B, Nyberg L, Hellenius M-L. Importance of enjoyment when
 promoting physical exercise. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2009;19:740-747.
- 344 31. Oliveira BRR, Santos TM, Kilpatrick M, Pires FO, Deslandes AC. Affective and enjoyment
- responses in high intensity interval training and continuous training: A systematic review and
 meta-analysis. PLoS One 2018. 13(6):e0197124.
- 347 32. Jung ME, Bourne JE, Little JP. Where does HIT fit? An examination of the affective
- 348 response to high-intensity intervals in comparison to continuous moderate-and continuous
- vigorous-intensity exercise in the exercise intensity-affect continuum. PLoS One2014;9:e114541.
- 33. Hardy CJ, Rejeski WJ. Not what, but how one feels: the measurement of affect during
 exercise. J Sport Exerc Psychol 1989;11:304-317.
- 353 34. Ekkekakis P, Parfitt G, Petruzzello SJ. The pleasure and displeasure people feel when they 354 exercise at different intensities:decennial update and progress towards a tripartite rationale for 355 exercise intensity prescription. Sports Med 2011;41:641–671.
- 356 35. Williams DM, Dunsiger S, Ciccoli JT, Lewis BA, Albrecht AE, Marcus BH. Acute affective
- responses to a moderate-intensity exercise stimulus predicts physical activity participation 6 and 12 months later. Psychol Sport Exerc 2008;9:231-245.
- 36. Blackwell J, Atherton PJ, Smith K, Doleman B, Williams JP, Lund JN et al. The efficacy of
 unsupervised home-based exercise regimens in comparison to supervised laboratory-based
 exercise training upon cardio-respiratory health facets. Physiol Rep 2017;5:17.
- 362 37. Reljic D, Wittmann F, Fischer JE. Effects of low-volume high-intensity interval training in a
 363 community setting: a pilot study. Eur J Appl Physiol 2018;118:1153-1167.
- 364 38. de Groot PCE, Hjeltnes N, Heijboer AC, Stal W, Birkeland K. Effect of training intensity on
 physical capacity, lipid profile and insulin sensitivity in early rehabilitation of spinal cord injured
 individuals. Spinal Cord 2003;41:673-679.

- 367 39. van den Akker LE, Holla JFM, Dadema T, Visser B, Valent LJ, de Groot S et al. WHEELS-
- 368 study group. Determinants of physical activity in wheelchair users with spinal cord injury or
- lower limb amputation: perspectives of rehabilitation professionals and wheelchair users. DisabilRehabil 2019; (in press).
- 40. Rognmo Ø, Moholdt T, Bakken H, Hole T, Mølstad P, Myhr NE, Grimsmo J, Wisløff U.
- 372 Cardiovascular risk of high- versus moderate-intensity aerobic exercise in coronary heart disease
- 373 patients. Circulation 2012;126(12):1436-1440.