

Citation for published version:

Revilla-Guarinos, A, Zhang, Q, Loderer, C, Alcántara, C, Müller, A, Rahnamaeian, M, Vilcinskas, A, Gebhard, S, Zúñiga, M & Mascher, T 2020, 'The ABC transporter DerAB of *Lactobacillus casei* mediates resistance against insect-derived defensins', *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 86, no. 14, e00818. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00818-20

DOI: 10.1128/AEM.00818-20

Publication date: 2020

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Publisher Rights Unspecified

**University of Bath** 

# **Alternative formats**

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

- The ABC transporter DerAB of *Lactobacillus casei* mediates resistance against insect-derived
   defensins
- 3

4	Ainhoa Revilla-Guarinos <sup>1,#</sup> , Qian Zhang <sup>1</sup> , Christoph Loderer <sup>1</sup> , Cristina Alcántara <sup>2</sup> , Ariane Müller <sup>3</sup> ,
5	Mohammad Rahnamaeian <sup>4</sup> , Andreas Vilcinskas <sup>4,5</sup> , Susanne Gebhard <sup>6</sup> , Manuel Zúñiga <sup>2</sup> and
6	Thorsten Mascher <sup>1,#</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Institut für Mikrobiologie, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
9	<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Agroquímica y Tecnología de Alimentos (IATA-CSIC), Valencia, Spain
10	<sup>3</sup> Institut für Zoologie, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
11	<sup>4</sup> Fraunhofer Institute for Molecular Biology and Applied Ecology, Department of Bioresources,
12	Giessen, Germany
13	<sup>5</sup> Institute for Insect Biotechnology, Justus Liebig University Giessen, Giessen, Germany
14	<sup>6</sup> Department of Biology and Biochemistry, Milner Centre for Evolution, University of Bath, United
15	Kingdom
16	
17	RUNNING TITLE: Defensin resistance in Lactobacillus casei
18	
19	#Address correspondence to Ainhoa Revilla-Guarinos, ainhoa.revilla-guarinos@tu-dresden.de; or
20	to Thorsten Mascher, thorsten.mascher@tu-dresden.de.
21	

- 22 KEY WORDS: cell envelope stress response, antimicrobial peptide resistance, ABC transporter,
- 23 two-component system, defensins

#### 24 ABSTRACT

25 Bce-like systems mediate resistance against antimicrobial peptides in Firmicutes bacteria.

Lactobacillus casei BL23 encodes an 'orphan' ABC transporter that, based on homology to BceAB-26 27 like systems, was proposed to contribute to antimicrobial peptide resistance. A mutant lacking the permease subunit was tested for sensitivity against a collection of peptides derived from bacteria, 28 fungi, insects and humans. Our results show that the transporter specifically conferred resistance 29 30 against insect-derived cysteine-stabilized αβ-defensins, and it was therefore renamed DerAB for defensin resistance ABC transporter. Surprisingly, cells lacking DerAB showed a marked increase in 31 resistance against the lantibiotic nisin. This could be explained by significantly increased 32 expression of the antimicrobial peptide resistance determinants regulated by the Bce-like systems 33 PsdRSAB (formerly Module 09) and ApsRSAB (formerly Module 12). Bacterial two hybrid studies in 34 35 E. coli showed that DerB could interact with proteins of the sensory complex in the Psd resistance 36 system. We therefore propose that interaction of DerAB with this complex in the cell creates signaling interference and reduces the cell's potential to mount an effective nisin resistance 37 38 response. In the absence of DerB, this negative interference is relieved, leading to the observed 39 hyper-activation of the Psd-module and thus increased resistance to nisin. Our results unravel the 40 function of a previously uncharacterized Bce-like orphan resistance transporter with pleiotropic biological effects on the cell. 41

## 42 **IMPORTANCE**

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) play an important role in suppressing the growth of
microorganisms. They can be produced by bacteria themselves – to inhibit competitors – but are
also widely distributed in higher eukaryotes, including insects and mammals, where they form an
important component of innate immunity. In low GC Gram-positive bacteria, BceAB-like

47 transporters play a crucial role in AMP resistance but have so far been primarily associated with inter-bacterial competition. Here, we show that the orphan transporter DerAB from the lactic acid 48 bacterium Lactobacillus casei is crucial for high-level resistance against insect-derived AMPs. It 49 50 therefore represents an important mechanism for inter-kingdom defense. Furthermore, our 51 results support a signaling interference from DerAB on PsdRSAB module that might prevent the activation of a full nisin response. The Bce modules from L. casei BL23 illustrate a biological 52 paradox where the intrinsic nisin detoxification potential only arises in the absence of a defensin 53 54 specific ABC transporter.

#### 56 **INTRODUCTION**

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are found in all domains of life and constitute an important 57 aspect of the natural immune response of many organisms (1, 2). AMPs are considered as an 58 59 alternative to classical antibiotics, since the development of resistance has occurred to a lesser extent (3, 4). One prominent mechanism of action of AMPs is binding to lipid II, leading to the 60 inhibition of bacterial cell wall biosynthesis, often followed by pore formation with the 61 62 concomitant release of cell metabolites and the loss of membrane potential (5-7). Most AMPs are structurally very diverse, amphipathic molecules composed of 5-60 amino acids with a net positive 63 charge (http://aps.unmc.edu/AP/main.php (8)). Nisin and subtilin are elongated and flexible, 64 65 positively charged type A lantibiotics, while mersacidin is a more globular and rigid type B lantibiotic with net negative charge (9). Insect AMPs such as cecropins have an  $\alpha$ -helical structure, 66 67 while defensins have a cysteine-stabilized structure consisting of an N-terminal loop followed by 68 an  $\alpha$ -helix and an antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheet (CS $\alpha\beta$ ), which are linked by disulfide bridges (10, 11). 69 Since AMPs represent common threats in microbial habitats, bacteria have developed a

70 wide range of different AMP resistance mechanisms (3, 12). General or non-specific resistance is conferred by changing the bacterial surface properties. The *dlt* (D-alanyl-lipoteichoic acid) operon 71 mediates the D-alanylation of lipoteichoic and wall teichoic acids (13, 14), while expression of 72 *mprF* ("<u>multiple peptide resistance factor</u>") modifies anionic phospholipids of the membrane with 73 74 L-lysine or L-alanine (15, 16). In both cases, the resulting decrease in the net negative charge of 75 the bacterial envelope reduces the access of cationic AMPs to their surface targets, thereby conferring resistance. In contrast to this general AMP resistance, ABC transporters constitute 76 77 compound-specific resistance determinants for AMP detoxification by actively removing the 78 peptides from their site of action (17).

79 Bce-like systems, named after BceAB from *Bacillus subtilis*, represent a unique type of 80 AMP-detoxifying ABC transporters (18, 19). They most likely consist of two nucleotide-binding domain (NBDs) subunits (ATPases) and a membrane spanning domain (MSD) subunit (permease) 81 (20) and are functionally and genetically associated with BceRS-like two-component systems (TCS) 82 83 (21, 22). The transporter confers resistance by a target-protection mechanism, where constant 84 removal of the AMP from its cellular target keeps sufficient amounts of lipid II cycle intermediates 85 available to ensure continued cell wall synthesis (23, 24). The transporter works in a sensory 86 complex with the histidine kinase (HK), BceS (20). Signaling within this complex is activated in response to transport activity (25), which is achieved by the transporter directly controlling the 87 conformational state of the HK (26). Upon activation, BceS then phosphorylates BceR, its cognate 88 89 response regulator (RR), which in turn induces the expression of *bceAB* and sometimes additional 90 target genes, which ensures antibiotic resistance. Since this detoxification process also removes 91 the antibiotic from its site of detection (i.e., the transport activity of the resistance transporter 92 decreases), Bce-dependent signalling is gradually switched off again (25, 26).

So-called 'dual function' BceAB-like transporters are required both for sensing the presence
of the AMPs (input) and their detoxification (output). However, a division of labor between Bcelike transporters can be found in some systems: here, a specialized 'sensing transporter' perceives
the signal and passes this information on to its cognate TCS, which in turn leads to the expression
of a second 'resistance transporter' that removes the AMP from the cell surface (12, 27).

So far, the specificity of BceAB-like transporters could not be correlated with any particular characteristic feature of the AMPs, such as structure, origin, modification or mechanism of action (17, 21, 28). Some transporters can confer resistance against structurally very different AMPs, while at the same time being able to distinguish between very similar compounds. For example, the Psd system of *B. subtilis* responds to actagardine and enduracidin but not to mersacidin or

ramoplanin (29). Intriguingly, BceAB-like transporters can even be induced by AMPs against which
 they do not mediate any resistance: e.g. PsdAB is induced by actagardine but does not confer
 resistance against it (29). This behaviour indicates that sensing and removal of AMPs are two
 separable functions of BceAB-like transporters, an assumption that has been genetically verified
 for BceAB of *B. subtilis* (30).

108 L. casei BL23 encodes 17 TCSs (31), two of which, TCS09 and TCS12, are homologous to 109 BceRS from B. subtilis. Both systems are genomically and functionally associated with BceAB-like transporters, ABC09 and ABC12 respectively, and constitute functional Bce-like units that were 110 111 initially referred to as Module 09 and Module 12 (32). Module 09 is a stand-alone detoxification system, in which ABC09 is a dual function transporter and *abc09* is the only known target operon 112 of RR09. TCS09 responds to nisin via ABC09 and induces the expression of the transporter, which 113 114 then confers nisin resistance (Fig. 1). Module 09 additionally confers resistance against bacitracin, 115 plectasin and subtilin (32). Because its inducer/substrate spectrum and regulatory behaviour 116 resembles that of PsdRSAB from B. subtilis (29), we renamed Module 09 to PsdRSAB, for peptide 117 antibiotic sensing and detoxification. For Module 12, ABC12 is a sensing transporter that is not involved in the detoxification process. In response to nisin, the cognate RR12 instead induces the 118 expression of a larger regulon that includes the *dlt* operon, the *mprF* gene and an operon encoding 119 120 an orphan BceAB-like ABC transporter of unknown function (Fig. 1). Module 12 deletion mutants 121 are sensitive to bacitracin, nisin, subtilin, mersacidin, plectasin and vancomycin, mainly due to the impaired functionality of the Dlt system, which also renders these mutants acid sensitive (33). This 122 organisation and behaviour is similar to the Aps system of Staphylococcus epidermidis, which 123 124 regulates the expression of the *dlt* operon, the *mprF* gene and the *vraFG* ABC transporter in 125 response to AMPs (34). We therefore renamed Module 12 to ApsRSAB standing for antimicrobial 126 <u>p</u>eptide <u>s</u>ensor.

127 In this report, we investigated the function of the ApsR-dependent orphan ABC transporter 128 from *L. casei* BL23 in response to AMPs. A mutational study demonstrated that the orphan transporter is a *defensin*-specific resistance transporter, which we therefore renamed DerAB, with 129 DerA (locus LCABL\_21680) forming the NBD (ATPase) and DerB (locus LCABL\_21670) the MSD 130 (permease) subunits of the system. Absence of a functional DerAB transporter not only increased 131 132 the sensitivity to defensins, but remarkably also resulted in a decreased sensitivity to nisin. This 133 surprising finding could be explained by gene expression studies in Δ*derB* mutant showing hyperactivation of the Psd and Aps regulated systems in response to nisin. Moreover, bacterial two-134 hybrid assays showed that DerB can interact with PsdS and PsdA. Based on these results, we 135 propose that non-productive protein-protein interactions of DerAB with the PsdRSAB sensory 136 137 complex might result in regulatory interference within Psd-module signal transduction that 138 modulates the induction of the nisin resistance response.

139 **RESULTS** 

#### 140 DerAB mediates resistance against insect-derived defensins but renders L. casei nisin sensitive

ApsRSAB from *L. casei* BL23 is involved in resistance against several bacteriocins and the 141 fungal peptide plectasin, and it controls the expression of the BceAB-like orphan transporter 142 DerAB (32), which has so far not been studied with respect to its physiological role. Since all 143 144 known BceAB-like transporters mediate AMP resistance (17), we tested the sensitivity of a  $\Delta derB$ 145 strain against a wide range of different AMPs. No differences in MIC values were obtained for 146 bacitracin, mersacidin and vancomycin when comparing the Δ*derB* strain with the parental strain BL23 (Table 1). While the absolute MIC values for plectasin (> 40  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) and subtilin (3% (v/v)) 147 were also identical, the *derB* mutant showed slightly reduced growth in the presence of these 148 peptides compared to the wild type (Fig. 2A and B, respectively). Surprisingly, the  $\Delta derB$  strain was 149

150 ten-fold more resistant against nisin than the parental strain (Fig. 2C). Since nisin and subtilin are structurally similar type A lantibiotics, these results were puzzling. We had previously shown that 151 PsdAB from BL23 is involved in nisin and subtilin resistance (32), therefore we reasoned that a 152 contribution to resistance/sensitivity mediated by DerAB should be revealed in a double mutant 153 154  $\Delta der B \Delta ps dB$ . For subtilin, we did not observe any significant contribution of DerAB to mediating 155 resistance (the MIC of subtilin was 0.5% (v/v) for both  $\Delta psdB$  and  $\Delta derB\Delta psdB$ ). In contrast, the MIC of nisin was 0.5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for BL23, 0.3  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for the more sensitive  $\Delta psdB$  and 5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for the 156 157 highly resistant strain  $\Delta derB$ , while the  $\Delta derB\Delta psdB$  mutant had an intermediate phenotype with an MIC of 1 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>, being this double mutant more resistant to nisin than the wild type. These 158 results demonstrate that a *derB* deletion could rescue the nisin sensitivity of a *psdB* mutant and 159 corroborated the relevance of DerAB in the induction of a full nisin resistance response. A more 160 161 detailed investigation of the nisin resistance phenotype of  $\Delta derB$  strain is described below. 162 Since L. casei strains can be found in the human gastrointestinal tract (35), where it is 163 exposed to AMPs of the innate immune defence (36), we next tested the sensitivity of the strains to human AMPs. LL37 is a human cathelicidin (37) that functions as a specific activator of the Bce-164 165 like ApeRSAB (formerly YxdJKLM) module of *B. subtilis* (38, 39), while hBD1 is a human defensin 166 that is activated by reduction of its disulfide bridges (40, 41). We did not observe any differences in sensitivity to LL-37 or hBD1 between BL23 and  $\Delta derB$  strains (Table 1). In contrast, strains 167 168 carrying  $\Delta apsR$ ,  $\Delta apsB$  and  $\Delta dltA$  mutations were more sensitive to LL-37 than the BL23 wild type (Fig. S1), indicating a role of ApsRSAB in resistance against LL-37, likely by regulating the activity of 169 170 the Dlt system.

171 Lactobacilli can also be found in the microbiota of insects (42, 43). Next, we tested the 172 sensitivity of BL23 and  $\Delta derB$  strains against a collection of 18 insect-derived AMPs. Both strains 173 were resistant against even the highest concentrations of most insect AMPs tested (Table 1).

174 However, for three defensins we could observe significant differences in resistance. The  $\Delta derB$ 175 mutant was slightly more sensitive to LSer-Def4 (BR090, Fig. 2D) and much more sensitive to sapecin A (BR080; MIC > 160  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for BL23, 80  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for  $\Delta derB$ ) and Lser-Def3 (BR092; MIC > 176 320  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for BL23, 320  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> for  $\Delta derB$ ) (Figs. 2E and F, respectively) than the parental strain, 177 indicating that DerAB mediates resistance against these compounds. To confirm that the 178 179 observed phenotype was specific to and directly caused by the loss of DerAB, we repeated the 180 sensitivity experiment for sapecin A on a strain carrying an ectopic copy of *derB* to complement 181 the  $\Delta derB$  mutation. Indeed, complementation restored much of the original resistance (Fig. S2). The slight remaining differences to the wild type are likely due to altered expression levels of *derB* 182 between the native and ectopic copy, as was observed for complementation of a *bceB* mutant of 183 B. subtilis (44). Taken together, the data indicate that DerAB specifically mediates resistance 184 185 against insect-derived AMPs.

Subsequent microscopic studies revealed significantly different morphological aberrations 186 187 in the  $\Delta derB$  strain when challenged with different AMPs (Fig. 3 and Sup. Table 1). No morphological differences were found between BL23 and *\Delta derB* strains after exposure to BR005 188 189 (Fig. 3C and D), BR081, BR087, BR088, BR091 or subtilin 2% (v/v) (data not shown). In contrast, the 190  $\Delta derB$  strain was strongly affected after exposure to 400 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> BR090, showing chaining (Fig. 3J and K), aberrant morphologies and cells becoming phase transparent (Fig. 3F). Although minor 191 192 changes were also observed in the wild type treated with BR090, these were far less pronounced 193 (Fig. 3E). After incubation with BR080 (Fig. 3G and H) or BR092 (data not shown), the chaining 194 phenotype of *derB* deletion mutant was even more pronounced (Fig. 3J and K), whilst the parental 195 strain remained unaffected. These morphological changes of the Δ*derB* strain after exposition to 196 BR080, BR090 and BR092 are in good agreement with the sensitivity phenotypes observed for 197 these AMPs (Fig. 2).

*L. casei* mutants in *apsRSAB* are defective in D-alanylation of teichoic acids, resulting in a more negative surface charge and a higher AMP sensitivity of  $\Delta apsR$ ,  $\Delta apsB$  and  $\Delta dlt$  compared to the parental strain (32). A possible explanation for the observed sensitivity of the  $\Delta derB$  strain could therefore be that DerAB somehow contributes to the regulation of the Dlt system through ApsRS. However, measurements of the cell surface charge by cytochrome *c* binding assays (32, 45) did not show any significant differences between BL23 and  $\Delta derB$  strain (Fig. S3), thereby ruling out this cause for the higher AMP sensitivity of the *derB* mutant.

Since the ΔderB strain showed the strongest sensitivity phenotypes when exposed to insect derived defensins (Fig. 2E and F), we additionally investigated the contribution of DerAB to
 resistance against crude extracts derived from larvae of the dipteran species black soldier fly
 *Hermetia illucens* (see Materials and Methods for their preparation), which are particularly prolific
 sources of broad-spectrum antimicrobial substances (46). A recent transcriptome study identified
 53 genes encoding putative AMPs from different families, half of which are putative defensins
 (47). Amongst those, the defensin-like peptide 2 (DLP2;

212 ATCDLLSPFKVGHAACALHCIAMGRRGGWCDGRAVCNCRR) and the defensin-like peptide 4 (DLP4; ATCDLLSPFKVGHAACAAHCIARGKRGGWCDKRAVCNCRK) have been characterized (48, 49). Both 213 defensing possess a CS $\alpha\beta$  structure and are active against Gram-positive bacteria. If DerAB is a 214 215 defensin-specific resistance transporter, its absence should render *L. casei* also sensitive to 216 *H. illucens* extracts. Indeed, the  $\Delta derB$  strain was over 100-fold more sensitive than the parental strain (Fig. 2G; MIC is 5 % (v/v) for BL23 and 0.04 % (v/v) for  $\Delta derB$ ), thereby confirming its role in 217 conferring resistance against AMPs produced by *H. illucens*. Besides the strong effect on 218 219 resistance, we observed some morphological differences in the form of elongated and chaining 220 cells in the  $\Delta derB$  strain after exposition to *Hermetia* larvae extracts (Fig. S4).

## 221 ApsRSAB regulates different layers of resistance against antibiotics produced by H. illucens

222 *larvae* 

The AMP resistance determinants of *L. casei* are controlled by the Psd and Aps modules: 223 the PsdRSAB system regulates the target operon *psdAB*, and the ApsRSAB system regulates the 224 225 expression of the *dlt* operon, *mprF* gene and *derAB* transporter (32) (Fig. 1). Because of the 226 potency of the *H. illucens* larval extracts and the strong difference in sensitivity between the *derB* 227 deletion mutant and the parental strain, we next aimed at analysing the hierarchy within the AMP 228 resistance network orchestrated by the Psd and Aps modules and the individual contributions of their target genes to protecting *L. casei* against the combined challenge of *H. illucens* extracts. 229 Towards this goal, we performed a comprehensive mutational study of the individual components 230 231 of the AMP resistance network of *L. casei* (Fig. 4).

The MIC values for strains  $\Delta psdR$  and  $\Delta psdB$  were similar to that of the wild type strain BL23 (5% (v/v)), indicating that the stand-alone Psd module is not involved in mediating resistance against larval extracts (Fig. 4). In contrast, both mutants that rendered the Aps system dysfunctional,  $\Delta apsR$  and  $\Delta apsB$  strains, were highly sensitive to *H. illucens* extracts, with an MIC of 0.01% (v/v) (500-fold more sensitive than the wild type).

237 Next, we determined the MIC for all three Aps-dependent AMP resistance determinants 238 deleted individually. While both the  $\Delta mprF$  and  $\Delta dltA$  mutants showed a slight increase in 239 sensitivity compared to the wild type, the most marked effect was again observed in the  $\Delta derB$ 240 strain (Fig. 4). These data clearly show that the DerAB transporter, provides the primary resistance layer against the AMPs present in the larval extract, while D-alanylation of teichoic acids and – to a 241 242 smaller extent – the L-lysinylation of phospholipids represent a secondary layer of resistance. In 243 agreement with this hierarchy, the MIC of a  $\Delta der B\Delta dltA$  double mutant (0.02% (v/v)) was further 244 increased compared to the single mutants, that is, 16-fold more sensitive than ΔdltA strain and 2-

fold more sensitive than  $\Delta derB$  strain. To rule out any minor contributions to resistance by the Psd system, we also tested a  $\Delta derB\Delta psdB$  double mutant, but could not detect any changes relative to the  $\Delta derB$  single mutant. Taken together, our results demonstrate that a clear hierarchical organization of multiple layers ensure protection of *L. casei* against insect-derived AMPs, and all resistance determinants relevant for counteracting the AMPs contained in *H. illucens* extract are under control of a single module: ApsRSAB.

# Resistance determinant genes are transcriptionally over-expressed in ΔderB strain in response to nisin.

253 As mentioned above, the  $\Delta derB$  strain unexpectedly showed a ten-fold increase in resistance against nisin (MIC 5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>; MIC for parental strain 0.5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 1 and Fig. 2C). 254 PsdAB is involved in nisin resistance and partially contributes to the hyper-resistance of  $\Delta derB$ 255 256 (MIC value for double mutant  $\Delta der B \Delta ps dB$  of 1 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>). However, the main nisin resistance 257 determinant of *L. casei* is the Dlt system, since the  $\Delta dltA$  mutant was 12.5-fold more sensitive to nisin than the wild type (MIC for  $\Delta dltA$  strain is 0.04 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) (32). We have previously shown that 258 259 transcription of the *psdAB* and *dltA* genes is induced in a nisin-dependent manner in BL23, as are the genes *derAB* and *mprF* (32). We therefore hypothesized that the increased resistance of  $\Delta derB$ 260 strain might be due to a higher expression level of the nisin resistance determinants in this 261 262 mutant. Thus, we tested the response of these genes following nisin exposure in the  $\Delta derB$  strain 263 background compared to the wild type, following the previously established procedure (32). 264 Expression of *psdR*, *apsB*, *apsA* and *apsR* was not induced by nisin in either strains (Fig. 5A), in agreement with the role of PsdRS and ApsRSAB in signaling rather than resistance (32). 265

266

resistance genes compared to the BL23 wild type (Fig. 5A): the genes encoding the transporters

Strikingly, nisin exposure of the  $\Delta derB$  strain led to a notable increase of induction of nisin

268 (*psdA*, *psdB* and *derA*) were up to ten-fold stronger induced in the  $\Delta derB$  strain than in the wild

269 type. Likewise, *dltA* and *mprF* also responded more strongly ( $\sim$  2.5-fold and  $\sim$  3.5-fold, 270 respectively) in the mutant. Importantly, no significant differences in gene expression were found between  $\Delta derB$  and the parental strain in reference conditions (Fig. S5), showing that the 271 272 increased induction was due to a hyper-response to nisin exposure and not general changes in 273 gene expression upon *derB* deletion. Moreover, since the systems involved in nisin resistance in 274 L. casei BL23 also mediate subtilin resistance (32), we next tested the response of these genes 275 following subtilin exposure in the  $\Delta derB$  strain background compared to the wild type. Noticeably, 276 no significant differences in gene expression were found between  $\Delta derB$  and the parental strain in response to subtilin (Fig. 5B and Fig. S5). We therefore concluded that the increased nisin 277 278 resistance of  $\Delta derB$  strain was due to an over-expression, compared to the parental strain, of the 279 nisin resistance determinants *psdAB*, *mprF* and the *dlt* operon, and that this response was specific 280 for nisin.

#### 281 **DerAB** may interfere with signaling through spurious protein-protein interactions.

282 We next investigated the molecular basis for the observed hyper-induction of nisin resistance 283 genes in the  $\Delta derB$  strain. For the BceRSAB system of *B. subtilis*, it is known that signaling depends on a sensory complex formed between the transport permease BceB and the histidine kinase BceS 284 285 (20). Moreover, signaling requires a functional transporter that is capable of ATP hydrolysis (25, 44), i.e. correct interaction between the permease BceB and the ATPase (BceA) subunits. Since it 286 287 could be possible that DerAB may somehow interfere with one or more of the nisin-responsive 288 signaling pathways in the cell, we performed bacterial two-hybrid experiments of all involved protein partners to test if the permease DerB is able to interact with any of the components of the 289 290 Psd or Aps resistance systems (Fig. 6 and Fig. S6). In addition to the expected interaction between 291 DerB and DerA, we also obtained positive results for DerB paired with PsdA (Fig. 6). It is possible 292 that such non-cognate binding of the ATPase PsdA by DerB may reduce the ability of the PsdAB

transporter to trigger signaling via PsdS. Moreover, we observed clear interactions between DerB
 and PsdS (Fig. 6). It is likely that such non-cognate interactions between the permease DerB and
 the Psd histidine kinase would interfere with native signaling. Relief of this interference in the
 *ΔderB* strain could then explain the observed increase in the amplitude of the nisin response and
 resulting nisin resistance.

Unfortunately, similar bacterial two-hybrid experiments with the Aps proteins were less conclusive
because several of the fusion constructs did not even give positive results with their known
interaction partners (Fig. S6); consequently, these assays were not informative for potential
interactions between DerAB and the Aps system.

#### 302 DISCUSSION

ABC transporters acting as detoxification mechanisms are of major importance as AMP resistance systems in Firmicutes (17). In this study, we unraveled the function of DerAB in *L. casei* BL23, which belongs to the BceAB-like group of transporters.

306 Our results demonstrate that from the available panel of antimicrobial compounds, four CSαβ defensins (plectasin, sapecin A, LSer-Def4 and LSer-Def3) are the most relevant substrates of 307 308 DerAB. Such defensins are ubiquitously produced in plants, insects, mussels and fungi and form an important component of the innate immunity (10). In addition to defined compounds, we also 309 310 demonstrated the relevance of DerAB for the survival of *L. casei* when challenged with a potent 311 mix of insect AMPs from *H. illucens* larvae. Lactobacilli grow in a variety of nutrient-rich 312 carbohydrate-containing habitats. In addition to its well-known roles in fermentation and spoilage 313 of food, L. casei is also found in the oral cavity, gastrointestinal and genital tracts of humans and 314 other animals (50). Moreover, different Lactobacillus species have been found in the microbiota of insects (42, 43). It is usually assumed that lactobacilli present in food come from the food 315

316 processing equipment, raw foodstuffs such as plant material or faecal contamination, but it may appear that insects may play a role in the dissemination of *L. casei*. A recent study has provided 317 evidence suggesting that insects are the natural reservoir of *Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis*, an 318 organism involved in sourdough fermentation (51). Interestingly, in this study, Operational 319 320 Taxonomic Units (OTUs) belonging to *L. casei* group were the second most numerous after 321 L. sanfranciscensis within lactobacilli OTUs (51). Our results suggest that possession of DerAB may 322 protect L. casei in different AMP-enriched environments of eukaryotic origin, including insects, 323 and thereby enable it to colonise its hosts.

Furthermore, by testing the sensitivity of our collection of mutants to extracts from 324 H. illucens larvae, which contain a very potent mixture of AMPs (46, 47), we showed that BL23 325 possesses several layers of AMP resistance. In this network, DerAB is the defensin-specific primary 326 327 resistance mechanism, while the Dlt system and MprF protein constitute the secondary, more 328 general resistance layer by altering the bacterial surface charge (Fig. 1). Remarkably, in 329 Bacillus subtilis, the response to bacitracin also consists of a hierarchy of different layers of 330 resistance: the BceAB transporter constitutes the primary (drug-sensing and highly efficient) 331 resistance determinant, and the LiaIH system together with the BcrC phosphatase constitute the 332 secondary (damage-sensing and less efficient) layer of resistance (52). Thus, a clear hierarchical organization of multiple layers ensure protection of both *L. casei* and *B. subtilis* against AMPs. 333 334 Interestingly, not only the individual components of the resistance networks are different between 335 L. casei (DerAB transporter, Dlt operon and MprF protein) and B. subtilis (BceAB transporter, LiaIH system and BcrC phosphatase) (52), also, the resistance layers are controlled by separate cell 336 337 envelope stress systems in B. subtilis (52), whereas all resistance determinants relevant for 338 counteracting the AMPs contained in H. illucens extract are under control of a single module, 339 ApsRSAB, in *L. casei*.

340 So far, the substrate specificity of BceAB-like transporters could not be related with any particular feature of the respective AMPs (17, 21, 28). Our results for DerAB indicated a 341 preference for CSαβ defensins, e.g. plectasin, sapecin A (BR080), LSer-Def4 (BR090) and LSer-Def3 342 (BR092). Surprisingly, DerAB does not confer resistance against the structurally closely related 343 344 defensins lucifensin (BR088), BR081, BR087, LSer-Def7 (BR089) and LSer-Def6 (BR091) (Table 1). 345 This indicates that DerAB can distinguish between very similar CSαβ defensin substrates, a 346 situation reminiscent of the results obtained for the Psd module of *B. subtilis* (29). We performed 347 a structural analysis of all tested candidates mentioned above to further investigate this apparent specificity of DerAB for some defensins (Fig. S7). The investigated defensins show a moderate 348 sequence conservation with an average sequence identity of 50 % (Fig. S8). The overall structure 349 350 of the defensins is well conserved with all available structures harboring a cysteine-stabilized α-351 helix  $\beta$ -sheet (CS $\alpha\beta$ ) motif. The main structural deviations are in the N-terminal loop region, which is also least well conserved, both with respect to its size and amino acid sequence (Fig. S7). No 352 353 obvious pattern of structural determinants distinguishing substrates from non-substrates could be extracted, in line with previous studies on the substrate specificity of BceAB-like transporters (21). 354 355 The most puzzling result that we obtained during our initial sensitivity screen was the 356 hyper-resistance of the *derB* deletion mutant against the lantibiotic nisin. Transcriptional studies in the  $\Delta derB$  strain in response to nisin demonstrated that the absence of this transporter resulted in 357 358 an over-induction of *mprF*, the *dlt* operon and *psdAB*. Both the Dlt system and the PsdAB 359 transporter are crucial for nisin resistance in *L. casei* (32). Our results strongly suggest that their over-expression accounts for the hyper-resistance of the  $\Delta derB$  strain to nisin. This hypothesis is 360 361 supported by independent studies in other Firmicutes bacteria that demonstrated the role of *dlt* 362 expression levels for AMP resistance. Acquisition of nisin resistance in Lactococcus lactis IL1403 363 was partly due to higher expression levels of the *dlt* operon (53). Similarly, strains of

Staphylococcus aureus Sa113 bearing additional copies of the *dlt* operon showed an increased
 level of D-alanine in LTA and WTA, which led to an increased nisin resistance (45).

In the case of the *\Delta derB* strain investigated here, increased nisin resistance could, however, 366 not be explained by acquisition of additional copies of resistance genes. Instead, we could show 367 that DerB has the ability to interact with components of the Psd resistance system. We propose 368 369 that such spurious interactions with non-cognate protein partners likely have a negative impact on 370 signal transduction. That is, in the presence of nisin, not all PsdS histidine kinases receive a signal from their cognate transporter PsdAB, because a proportion is in a non-productive complex with 371 372 DerB. This interference is removed upon *derB* deletion, allowing the full potential activation of psdAB expression and thus a greater level of resistance against the AMP. Of note, whereas the 373 strain C-derB, carrying an ectopic copy of derB in the derB deletion mutant background, 374 375 complemented sensitivity to the defensin sapecin A, the restoration of nisin sensitivity was only 376 very weak (Fig. S2). Likely the ectopic copy of *derB* did not result in the same protein levels of DerB 377 in the cell and therefore the interference with normal Psd signaling was not observed. However, 378 indirect evidence supporting this interference model is available. Results of gene expression 379 previously reported (32) for mutants defective in the ApsRSAB system, and results reported here 380 for *\Delta derB* (Fig. 5A and Fig. S5), showed an increase in expression of *psdAB* in the presence of nisin, suggesting that a decrease in the ratio of DerAB over PsdAB results in increased PsdRSAB signal 381 382 transduction. Furthermore, results obtained with subtilin (Fig. 5B and Fig. S5) show that this is a nisin-specific effect. To our knowledge, such a negative effect of one Bce-like resistance system on 383 384 the function of another has not been previously reported. Also, why this phenotype is observed 385 with nisin and not with a closely related lantibiotic such as subtilin, is still unclear.

We previously showed that Bce-like systems are wide-spread among Firmicutes bacteria, and that many species contain multiple copies with different substrate specificities (21). Often, the

388 closest homolog to a given system is found in a different species, while the paralogs within a single species can be quite distantly related (21). For the Bce-like systems characterized to date, signaling 389 between transporter and two-component system appears to be highly specific and no accidental 390 cross-talk has been reported. The example of DerAB reported here may constitute an interesting 391 392 evolutionary intermediate where the interaction specificity is not yet completely insulated, 393 allowing non-productive interference with the Psd system. In this scenario, in the presence of 394 nisin, the interference by DerAB should pose a significant fitness burden on the cell. However, we 395 did not observe any effects of a derB deletion on gene expression when cells were grown in unchallenged reference conditions or after subtilin exposure (Fig. 5B and S5), suggesting that DerAB 396 has no negative effect in most situations. An alternative scenario could then be that the 397 interference by DerAB is in fact beneficial to prevent an over-reaction to nisin that could also pose 398 399 a fitness burden to the cell. Interestingly, a similar hidden potential in the response to nisin mediated by Bce-like systems was also previously reported in S. aureus (54). While beyond the 400 401 scope of the present study, it would be interesting to investigate if, under suitable experimental pressure, L. casei BL23 could evolve increased signaling specificity and circumvent the interference 402 403 from DerAB.

In summary, in this work we demonstrated that DerAB is a defensin-specific resistance transporter that constitutes a primary layer of the *L. casei* cell envelope stress response. Its expression is controlled by the Aps module that also regulates the secondary, more general, layers of resistance, the DIt system and MprF. Given the high degree of protection against insect-derived AMPs, it appears likely that the physiological role of DerAB is in host-microbe interactions and may allow *L. casei* to avoid innate immune defenses to colonise its eukaryotic hosts.

#### 410 **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**:

#### 411 Bacterial strains, plasmids and grown conditions

412 Table 2 and 3 list the strains and plasmids, respectively, used in this study. Escherichia coli DH10β and *Lactococcus lactis* MG1363 were used as intermediate hosts for cloning purposes. 413 E. coli strains were grown in LB medium at 37°C with aeration. L. lactis strains were grown in M17 414 415 medium supplemented with 0.5 % (w/v) glucose at 30°C under static conditions. L. casei strains 416 were grown in MRS broth (Oxoid) at 37°C under static conditions. 1.5 % (w/v) agar was added to 417 prepare the corresponding solid media. Strains were stored at -80°C in their corresponding growth media containing 20 % (v/v) glycerol. Ampicillin 100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> was added to *E. coli*, and erythromycin 418 5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> was added to *L. lactis* and *L. casei*, when required. 419

#### 420 Construction of mutants

Oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table 2. Cloning in *E. coli* was performed following standard methods (55). *E. coli* strains were transformed by electroporation with a Gene Pulser apparatus (Bio-Rad), as recommended by the manufacturer; *L. lactis* strains were transformed by electroporation (56) and *L. casei* strains were transformed as described previously (57).

For a complete deletion of gene LCABL\_21670 (Δ*derB*) from *L. casei* BL23, two DNA 426 fragments of approximately 700 bp upstream and downstream of the target gene were amplified 427 428 from genomic DNA using primers pairs RG062-063 and RG064-065 (see Sup. Table 2). All 429 subsequent steps of mutant construction were performed as previously described (32). Strain CderB with the complementation of the derB deletion was achieved by cloning the corresponding 430 gene and potential ribosome binding site (12 pb intergenic region between *derA-derB*), with 431 432 primer pair RG220-221 (Spel and Bglll restriction sites; Sup. Table 2), under the constitutive P1 433 promoter in the expression vector pT1NX (58). The resulting plasmid pT1-RBS<sub>derB</sub>derB (Table 3) was 434 used to transform L. lactis MG1363, and transformants were checked by sequencing of the

inserted fragment. Subsequently, plasmid *pT1-RBS<sub>derB</sub>derB* was used to transform *L. casei* Δ*derB*,
resulting in strain *L. casei C-ΔderB* (Table 2) which was maintained with erythromycin selection.
Double mutant strains Δ*derB*Δ*dltA* and Δ*derB*Δ*psdB* were obtained by insertional
inactivation of genes LCABL\_08550 (*dltA*) and LCABL\_16400 (*psdB*), respectively, in *L. casei* Δ*derB*mutant background. A procedure similar to the previously described to obtain insertionally
inactivated single mutants of genes LCABL\_08550 and LCABL\_16400 was followed (32).

#### 441 Bacterial two-hybrid assays

We constructed C-terminal and N-terminal translational fusions of the T18 and T25 442 domains of the adenylate cyclase CyaA of Bordetella pertussis for each protein individually (40 443 constructs; Table 3), to test protein-protein interactions between DerAB and PsdRSAB module, 444 445 and between DerAB and ApsRSAB module. Fusions were tested in pairwise combinations in E. coli 446 BTH101 (59). For each co-transformation mixture, 3 times 10 μl were spotted onto LB agar plates 447 containing 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thio-galactopyranoside (IPTG) and 100 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> 5-bromo-4-chloro-448 3-indolyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) with selection for ampicillin and kanamycin resistance. 449 Plates were incubated at 30 °C for 48 h. Positive interaction results were identified by the formation of blue colonies. 450

#### 451 Source or preparation of eukaryotic AMPs and subtilin

HBD-1 and LL-37 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich<sup>™</sup> (SRP3011 and 94261, respectively)
and were reconstituted according to manufacturer instructions. The insect AMPs shown in Table 1
were selected according to their reported structural and functional properties, synthesized and
purified to >95%. Cecropin 1 (from *Eristalis*) was synthesized by PepMic (Pepmic Suzhou, Jiangsu,
China). Cecropin A (from *Hyalophora* and *Aedes*), Stomoxyn (from *Stomoxys*) and Sarcotoxin IA
were synthesized by JPT (JPT Peptide Technologies GmbH, Berlin, Germany). The rest of the
peptides were synthesized by Covalab (Covalab S.A.S., Villeurbanne, France), based on the

459 sequence of the mature peptides. All peptides were lyophilized for storage and were resuspended
460 and diluted in double-distilled water. Excluding *Aedes* and *Eristalis* cecropins, all other cecropins
461 and *Stomoxys* stomoxyn were modified by the C-terminal amidation.

Larvae of the black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) were obtained from a pilot rearing plant 462 in Grimma, Saxony, Germany, and grown at 24°C in the laboratory. H. illucens larvae were induced 463 464 as previously described (39, 46) by injecting a fungal spore suspension (spores of 465 *Verticillium lecanii* and *Metarhizium anisopliae*) into the haemolymph and by feeding a bacterial mix (E. coli, Micrococcus luteus, Pseudomonas fluorescens, B. subtilis) to simulate an infection and 466 boost AMP production. The larvae were pricked as previously described (46). Aqueous larval 467 extract from H. illucens was prepared as previously described (46) and was considered to be at a 468 469 concentration of 100% (v/v) for the MIC assays.

*B. subtilis* ATCC 6633 was grown in medium A to induce subtilin production as in (60).
Culture supernatant was collected and was considered to be at a concentration of 100% (v/v) for
MIC assays.

#### 473 Antibiotic sensitivity testing

MIC assays were performed in 96-well microtiter plates as previously described (32).
Overnight cultures of the strains under study were prepared with antibiotic selection when
required but, no antibiotic was added for the MIC assays. The 96-well plates were incubated at
37°C under static conditions in a Synergy<sup>™</sup> NEOALPHAB multi-mode microplate reader (BioTek<sup>®</sup>,
Winooski, VT, USA). Growth was monitored by changes in OD<sub>595</sub>. For each strain, the MIC at 15 h
was defined as the lowest antibiotic concentration where the final OD was at or below the starting
OD. All experiments were performed at least in duplicate.

481 *Microscopy* 

Stationary phase cell cultures assessed in MIC assays were photographed. Morphology of
cultures grown for 24 h in MRS was taken as reference. Possible morphological changes on
bacteria were checked after exposition for 24 h to BR005, BR080, BR081, BR087, BR088, BR090,
BR091, BR092, subtilin and *H. illucens* larval extracts. The cells were observed in an Olympus AX70
microscope with phase contrast at 40x magnification. Pictures were taken with an Olympus UTV1XC camera. Pictures were analysed using the tools implemented in the Olympus Cells Sens
Dimensions 1.14 software and Corel Photo Paint X5.

The average cell length of the bacteria (n = 200), the average cell-chains length (n = 40 cell-chains) 489 and the average number of cells per chain (n = 40 cell-chains) of the stationary phase cell cultures 490 491 photographed were determined using the tools implemented in the ImageJ 1.52i software (61). Unpaired t-tests (two-tailed P value, 95% confidence intervals) comparing the values of BL23 and 492 493 △derB under the reference condition indicated means significantly different (P<0.05) for the cell-494 chains length (P value= 0.023) and the number of cells per chain (P value= 0.002). To determine 495 whether the morphological phenotypes of the mutant strain upon exposition to each insect 496 derived AMP assayed were significantly different from that of the wild type, pairwise two-way ANOVA analyses were run with GraphPad Prism 5 software, testing the values of both strains 497 under the reference condition and after each AMP exposition. A significant difference was 498 499 considered when the analysis estimated P values were below of 0.01.

#### 500 Cytochrome c binding assay

501 Comparison of the whole-cell surface charge of the wild-type strain and mutant  $\Delta derB$  was 502 performed by a cytochrome *c* binding assay as in (32). Briefly, cells in stationary phase at  $10^{10}$ 503 CFU ml<sup>-1</sup> were incubated with 150 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> cytochrome *c* (Sigma) in 20 mM MOPS

504 (morpholinepropanesulfonic acid), pH 7, for 10 min at room temperature. The mixture was

505 centrifuged twice, and the absorbance of the supernatant (containing unbound cytochrome *c*) was

determined at 530 nm. The binding ratio was calculated by comparing the absorbance of each
supernatant after incubation with the cells relative to the absorbance of the cytochrome *c* solution
without bacterial cells.

#### 509 Antimicrobial peptide information and structure predictions

510 The Antimicrobial Peptide Database (APD) (http://aps.unmc.edu/AP/main.php) (8) was 511 used as a reference for the information listed in Table 1. For structural information the Protein 512 Data Bank (www.rcsb.org) (62) or UniProt (http://www.uniprot.org/) (63) were used. The structures of Plectasin (PDB ID 1ZFU (64)), BR080 (sapecin A; PDB ID 1L4V (65)) and BR088 513 514 (lucifensin, PDB ID 2LLD (66)) were used. If no structure was available, the Swiss-Model webpage was used to generate structural predictions (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/) (67-70). The 515 structures of BR081, BR087, BR089, BR090, BR091 and BR092 were modelled applying the 516 517 Sapecin A structure (PDB: 1L4V) as template. Structural comparison was performed with YASARA 518 View (www.yasara.org) program. Multiple sequence alignment of the defensins was performed with the CLC Main Workbench 7.7.3 program. 519

#### 520 *Reverse-transcription and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)*

Samples for RNA isolation were collected as previously described (32). Nisin 22.5 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> 521 and subtilin 1 % were used for the induction assays. Isolation of total RNA from *L. casei* strains, 522 synthesis of cDNA, primer design and qRT-PCR were carried out as described previously (71). 523 524 Primers used are listed in Sup. Table 2. *lepA*, *ileS*, *pyrG* and *pcrA* were used as constitutive 525 reference genes (71). Linearity and amplification efficiency for each primer pair were previously determined (32). The relative expression based on the expression ratio between the target genes 526 and reference genes was calculated using the software tool REST (72). Every real-time PCR 527 determination was performed at least six times. 528

#### 529 **AKNOWLEDGMENTS**:

530 This work was financially supported by the DFG grant MA2837/3-2 (to T.M.), and funds from the former Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation and FEDER (AGL2010-15679) and the 531 Generalitat Valenciana (ACOMP2012/137) (to M.Z.). A.R.-G. thanks the Federation of European 532 Microbiological Societies for the Research Grant FEMS-RG-2014-0067. Q.Z. is financially supported 533 by a stipend from the China Scholarship Council (CSC). A.M. thanks Herwig Gutzeit (TU Dresden) 534 535 for his encouragement regarding the Hermetia illucens projects and Bio.S Biogas GmbH (Grimma, 536 Germany), where Hermetia illucens cultures were maintained under the supervision of Dennis Hluschi. 537

538 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## 539 **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS:**

540 A.R.-G., M.Z. and T.M. conceived the study and planned the experiments. A.R-G analyzed the data

and wrote the manuscript under the supervision of T.M.; M.Z. and S.G. contributed to discussing

the results and the final manuscript. A.R.-G. carried out most of the experiments with *L. casei* and

543 designed the B2H constructs; C.A. contributed to the construction of the mutant strains and the

qRT-PCR experiments; Q.Z. performed the B2H cloning and experiments. C.L. performed the

545 structural analysis of defensins and contributed to writing of the manuscript. A.M. prepared

546 *H. illucens* larvae extracts. M.R and A. V. prepared insect derived AMPs. All authors read the paper

547 and commented on the final manuscript.

548

#### 549 **REFERENCES**

Ageitos JM, Sánchez-Pérez A, Calo-Mata P, Villa TG. 2016. Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs): Ancient
 compounds that represent novel weapons in the fight against bacteria. Biochem Pharmacol
 doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2016.09.018.

Hancock REW, Chapple DS. 1999. Peptide Antibiotics. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy
 43:1317-1323.

555 3. Draper LA, Cotter PD, Hill C, Ross RP. 2015. Lantibiotic resistance. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 79:171-91.

- 5564.Perron GG, Zasloff M, Bell G. 2006. Experimental evolution of resistance to an antimicrobial557peptide. Proc Biol Sci 273:251-6.
- 5585.Brogden KA. 2005. Antimicrobial peptides: pore formers or metabolic inhibitors in bacteria? Nat559Rev Micro 3:238-250.
- 560 6. Brötz H, Josten M, Wiedemann I, Schneider U, Götz F, Bierbaum G, Sahl HG. 1998. Role of lipid561 bound peptidoglycan precursors in the formation of pores by nisin, epidermin and other
  562 lantibiotics. Mol Microbiol 30:317-27.
- 5637.Oppedijk SF, Martin NI, Breukink E. 2016. Hit 'em where it hurts: The growing and structurally564diverse family of peptides that target lipid-II. Biochim Biophys Acta 1858:947-57.
- 5658.Wang G, Li X, Wang Z. 2015. APD3: the antimicrobial peptide database as a tool for research and566education. Nucleic Acids Res doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1278.
- McAuliffe O, Ross RP, Hill C. 2001. Lantibiotics: structure, biosynthesis and mode of action. FEMS
   Microbiol Rev 25:285-308.
- 56910.Dias Rde O, Franco OL. 2015. Cysteine-stabilized alphabeta defensins: From a common fold to570antibacterial activity. Peptides 72:64-72.
- 571 11. Yi HY, Chowdhury M, Huang YD, Yu XQ. 2014. Insect antimicrobial peptides and their applications.
  572 Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98:5807-22.
- Revilla-Guarinos A, Gebhard S, Mascher T, Zúñiga M. 2014. Defence against antimicrobial peptides:
   different strategies in Firmicutes. Environ Microbiol 16:1225-37.
- 57513.Neuhaus FC, Baddiley J. 2003. A continuum of anionic charge: structures and functions of D-alanyl-576teichoic acids in gram-positive bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 67:686-723.
- 57714.Neuhaus FC, Heaton MP, Debabov DV, Zhang Q. 1996. The *dlt* operon in the biosynthesis of D-578alanyl-lipoteichoic acid in *Lactobacillus casei*. Microb Drug Resist 2:77-84.
- 57915.Ernst CM, Peschel A. 2011. Broad-spectrum antimicrobial peptide resistance by MprF-mediated580aminoacylation and flipping of phospholipids. Mol Microbiol 80:290-9.
- Peschel A, Jack RW, Otto M, Collins LV, Staubitz P, Nicholson G, Kalbacher H, Nieuwenhuizen WF,
   Jung G, Tarkowski A, van Kessel KP, van Strijp JA. 2001. *Staphylococcus aureus* resistance to human
   defensins and evasion of neutrophil killing via the novel virulence factor MprF is based on
   modification of membrane lipids with I-lysine. J Exp Med 193:1067-76.
- 58517.Gebhard S. 2012. ABC transporters of antimicrobial peptides in Firmicutes bacteria phylogeny,586function and regulation. Mol Microbiol 86:1295-317.
- 58718.Mascher T, Margulis NG, Wang T, Ye RW, Helmann JD. 2003. Cell wall stress responses in *Bacillus*588subtilis: the regulatory network of the bacitracin stimulon. Mol Microbiol 50:1591-604.
- 589 19. Ohki R, Giyanto, Tateno K, Masuyama W, Moriya S, Kobayashi K, Ogasawara N. 2003. The BceRS
   590 two-component regulatory system induces expression of the bacitracin transporter, BceAB, in
   591 Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol 49:1135-44.
- 59220.Dintner S, Heermann R, Fang C, Jung K, Gebhard S. 2014. A sensory complex consisting of an ATP-593binding cassette transporter and a two-component regulatory system controls bacitracin resistance594in Bacillus subtilis. J Biol Chem 289:27899-910.
- 595 21. Dintner S, Staroń A, Berchtold E, Petri T, Mascher T, Gebhard S. 2011. Coevolution of ABC
   596 transporters and two-component regulatory systems as resistance modules against antimicrobial
   597 peptides in *Firmicutes* Bacteria. J Bacteriol 193:3851-62.
- Joseph P, Fichant G, Quentin Y, Denizot F. 2002. Regulatory relationship of two-component and
   ABC transport systems and clustering of their genes in the Bacillus/Clostridium group, suggest a
   functional link between them. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 4:503-13.
- Kobras CM, Piepenbreier H, Emenegger J, Sim A, Fritz G, Gebhard S. 2020. BceAB-Type Antibiotic
   Resistance Transporters Appear To Act by Target Protection of Cell Wall Synthesis. Antimicrobial
   Agents and Chemotherapy 64:e02241-19.
- Piepenbreier H, Sim A, Kobras CM, Radeck J, Mascher T, Gebhard S, Fritz G. 2020. From Modules to
   Networks: a Systems-Level Analysis of the Bacitracin Stress Response in *Bacillus subtilis*. mSystems
   5:e00687-19.

- Fritz G, Dintner S, Treichel NS, Radeck J, Gerland U, Mascher T, Gebhard S. 2015. A New Way of
  Sensing: Need-Based Activation of Antibiotic Resistance by a Flux-Sensing Mechanism. MBio
  609 6:e00975.
- Koh A, Gibbon MJ, Van der Kamp MW, Pudney CR, Gebhard S. 2020. How to make a dial not a
  switch: Control of histidine kinase conformation by an ABC-transporter facilitates need-based
  activation of antibiotic resistance. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2020.02.28.969956:2020.02.28.969956.
- 613 27. Gebhard S, Fang C, Shaaly A, Leslie DJ, Weimar MR, Kalamorz F, Carne A, Cook GM. 2014.
- 614Identification and Characterization of a Bacitracin Resistance Network in *Enterococcus faecalis*.615Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 58:1425-1433.
- 616 28. Gebhard S, Mascher T. 2011. Antimicrobial peptide sensing and detoxification modules: unravelling
   617 the regulatory circuitry of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Mol Microbiol 81:581-7.
- Staroń A, Finkeisen DE, Mascher T. 2011. Peptide antibiotic sensing and detoxification modules of
   *Bacillus subtilis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:515-25.
- Kallenberg F, Dintner S, Schmitz R, Gebhard S. 2013. Identification of regions important for
  resistance and signalling within the antimicrobial peptide transporter BceAB of *Bacillus subtilis*. J
  Bacteriol 195:3287-97.
- Alcántara C, Revilla-Guarinos A, Zúñiga M. 2011. Influence of Two-Component Signal Transduction
   Systems of *Lactobacillus casei* BL23 on Tolerance to Stress Conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol
   77:1516-1519.
- 82. Revilla-Guarinos A, Gebhard S, Alcántara C, Staroń A, Mascher T, Zúñiga M. 2013. Characterization
  of a Regulatory Network of Peptide Antibiotic Detoxification Modules in *Lactobacillus casei* BL23,
  2013/03/05 ed doi:10.1128/AEM.00178-13.
- 83. Revilla-Guarinos A, Alcántara C, Rozès N, Voigt B, Zúñiga M. 2014. Characterization of the response
  to low pH of Lactobacillus casei △RR12, a mutant strain with low D-alanylation activity and
  sensitivity to low pH. J Appl Microbiol 116:1250-61.
- 63234.Li M, Lai Y, Villaruz AE, Cha DJ, Sturdevant DE, Otto M. 2007. Gram-positive three-component633antimicrobial peptide-sensing system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:9469-74.
- 63435.Heeney DD, Gareau MG, Marco ML. 2018. Intestinal *Lactobacillus* in health and disease, a driver or635just along for the ride? Curr Opin Biotechnol 49:140-147.
- 63636.Dommett R, Zilbauer M, George JT, Bajaj-Elliott M. 2005. Innate immune defence in the human637gastrointestinal tract. Mol Immunol 42:903-12.
- Hase K, Murakami M, Iimura M, Cole SP, Horibe Y, Ohtake T, Obonyo M, Gallo RL, Eckmann L,
  Kagnoff MF. 2003. Expression of LL-37 by human gastric epithelial cells as a potential host defense
  mechanism against *Helicobacter pylori*. Gastroenterology 125:1613-25.
- 88. Pietiäinen M, Gardemeister M, Mecklin M, Leskela S, Sarvas M, Kontinen VP. 2005. Cationic
  antimicrobial peptides elicit a complex stress response in *Bacillus subtilis* that involves ECF-type
  sigma factors and two-component signal transduction systems. Microbiology 151:1577-92.
- 644 39. Müller A, Wolf D, Gutzeit HO. 2017. The black soldier fly, *Hermetia illucens* a promising source for 645 sustainable production of proteins, lipids and bioactive substances. Z Naturforsch C 72:351-363.
- Schroeder BO, Wu Z, Nuding S, Groscurth S, Marcinowski M, Beisner J, Buchner J, Schaller M,
  Stange EF, Wehkamp J. 2011. Reduction of disulphide bonds unmasks potent antimicrobial activity
  of human beta-defensin 1. Nature 469:419-23.
- 64941.Zhao C, Wang I, Lehrer RI. 1996. Widespread expression of beta-defensin hBD-1 in human secretory650glands and epithelial cells. FEBS Lett 396:319-22.
- 65142.Matos RC, Leulier F. 2014. Lactobacilli-Host mutualism: "learning on the fly". Microb Cell Fact 13652Suppl 1:S6.
- 43. Singh B, Crippen TL, Zheng L, Fields AT, Yu Z, Ma Q, Wood TK, Dowd SE, Flores M, Tomberlin JK,
  Tarone AM. 2015. A metagenomic assessment of the bacteria associated with *Lucilia sericata* and *Lucilia cuprina (Diptera: Calliphoridae*). Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99:869-83.
- 65644.Rietkötter E, Hoyer D, Mascher T. 2008. Bacitracin sensing in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 68:768-65785.

- 45. Peschel A, Otto M, Jack RW, Kalbacher H, Jung G, Götz F. 1999. Inactivation of the *dlt* operon in *Staphylococcus aureus* confers sensitivity to defensins, protegrins, and other antimicrobial
  peptides. J Biol Chem 274:8405-10.
- 66146.Park S-I, Chang BS, Yoe SM. 2014. Detection of antimicrobial substances from larvae of the black662soldier fly, *Hermetia illucens* (Diptera: Stratiomyidae). Entomological Research 44:58-64.
- 47. Vogel H, Müller A, Heckel DG, Gutzeit H, Vilcinskas A. 2018. Nutritional immunology: Diversification
  and diet-dependent expression of antimicrobial peptides in the black soldier fly *Hermetia illucens*.
  Dev Comp Immunol 78:141-148.
- 66648.Park SI, Kim JW, Yoe SM. 2015. Purification and characterization of a novel antibacterial peptide667from black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae. Dev Comp Immunol 52:98-106.
- 49. Li Z, Mao R, Teng D, Hao Y, Chen H, Wang X, Yang N, Wang J. 2017. Antibacterial and
  immunomodulatory activities of insect defensins-DLP2 and DLP4 against multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Sci Rep 7:12124.
- 67150.Hammes WP, Hertel C. 2006. The Genera Lactobacillus and Carnobacterium. In Dr. MDP, Falkow S,672Rosenberg E, Schleifer K-H, Stackebrandt E (ed), The Prokaryotes, vol Vol. 4. Springer US.
- 51. Boiocchi F, Porcellato D, Limonta L, Picozzi C, Vigentini I, Locatelli DP, Foschino R. 2017. Insect frass
  in stored cereal products as a potential source of *Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis* for sourdough
  ecosystem. J Appl Microbiol 123:944-955.
- 67652.Radeck J, Gebhard S, Orchard PS, Kirchner M, Bauer S, Mascher T, Fritz G. 2016. Anatomy of the677bacitracin resistance network in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 100:607-20.
- 53. Kramer NE, van Hijum SA, Knol J, Kok J, Kuipers OP. 2006. Transcriptome analysis reveals
  mechanisms by which *Lactococcus lactis* acquires nisin resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
  50:1753-61.
- 54. Randall CP, Gupta A, Utley-Drew B, Lee SY, Morrison-Williams G, O'Neill AJ. 2018. Acquired Nisin
  Resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* Involves Constitutive Activation of an Intrinsic Peptide
  Antibiotic Detoxification Module. mSphere 3.
- 68455.Sambrook J, E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. . 1989. Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual. Cold685Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY).
- 56. Holo H, Nes IF. 1989. High-Frequency Transformation, by Electroporation, of *Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris* Grown with Glycine in Osmotically Stabilized Media. Appl Environ Microbiol
   55:3119-23.
- 57. Posno M, Leer RJ, van Luijk N, van Giezen MJ, Heuvelmans PT, Lokman BC, Pouwels PH. 1991.
  690 Incompatibility of *Lactobacillus* Vectors with Replicons Derived from Small Cryptic *Lactobacillus*691 Plasmids and Segregational Instability of the Introduced Vectors. Appl Environ Microbiol 57:1822692 1828.
- 69358.Schotte L, Steidler L, Vandekerckhove J, Remaut E. 2000. Secretion of biologically active murine694interleukin-10 by Lactococcus lactis. Enzyme Microb Technol 27:761-765.
- 69559.Karimova G, Pidoux J, Ullmann A, Ladant D. 1998. A bacterial two-hybrid system based on a696reconstituted signal transduction pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:5752-6.
- 69760.Banerjee S, Hansen JN. 1988. Structure and expression of a gene encoding the precursor of subtilin,698a small protein antibiotic. J Biol Chem 263:9508-14.
- 699 61. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C,
  700 Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012.
  701 Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods 9:676.
- Berman HM, Westbrook J, Feng Z, Gilliland G, Bhat TN, Weissig H, Shindyalov IN, Bourne PE. 2000.
  The Protein Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res 28:235-242.
- 70463.TheUniProtConsortium. 2017. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res70545:D158-D169.
- Mygind PH, Fischer RL, Schnorr KM, Hansen MT, Sönksen CP, Ludvigsen S, Raventos D, Buskov S,
  Christensen B, De Maria L, Taboureau O, Yaver D, Elvig-Jorgensen SG, Sorensen MV, Christensen BE,
  Kjaerulff S, Frimodt-Moller N, Lehrer RI, Zasloff M, Kristensen HH. 2005. Plectasin is a peptide
  antibiotic with therapeutic potential from a saprophytic fungus. Nature 437:975-80.

- Hanzawa H, Shimada I, Kuzuhara T, Komano H, Kohda D, Inagaki F, Natori S, Arata Y. 1990. 1H
  nuclear magnetic resonance study of the solution conformation of an antibacterial protein, sapecin.
  FEBS Letters 269:413-420.
- 71366.Nygaard MK, Andersen AS, Kristensen HH, Krogfelt KA, Fojan P, Wimmer R. 2012. The insect714defensin lucifensin from Lucilia sericata. J Biomol NMR 52:277-82.
- 67. Biasini M, Bienert S, Waterhouse A, Arnold K, Studer G, Schmidt T, Kiefer F, Cassarino TG, Bertoni
  716 M, Bordoli L, Schwede T. 2014. SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure
  717 using evolutionary information. Nucleic Acids Res 42:W252-W258.
- 71868.Arnold K, Bordoli L, Kopp J, Schwede T. 2006. The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based719environment for protein structure homology modelling. Bioinformatics 22:195-201.
- Guex N, Peitsch MC, Schwede T. 2009. Automated comparative protein structure modeling with
   SWISS-MODEL and Swiss-PdbViewer: a historical perspective. Electrophoresis 30 Suppl 1:S162-73.
- 70. Kiefer F, Arnold K, Kunzli M, Bordoli L, Schwede T. 2009. The SWISS-MODEL Repository and
   associated resources. Nucleic Acids Res 37:D387-92.
- 71. Landete JM, García-Haro L, Blasco A, Manzanares P, Berbegal C, Monedero V, Zúñiga M. 2010.
   725 Requirement of the *Lactobacillus casei* MaeKR two-component system for L-malic acid utilization
   726 via a malic enzyme pathway. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:84-95.
- 727 72. Pfaffl MW, Horgan GW, Dempfle L. 2002. Relative expression software tool (REST) for group-wise
   728 comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. Nucleic Acids Res
   729 30:e36.
- 730 73. Wang G. 2015. Improved Methods for Classification, Prediction and Design of Antimicrobial
   731 Peptides. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, NJ) 1268:43-66.
- 732 74. Gasson MJ. 1983. Plasmid complements of *Streptococcus lactis* NCDO 712 and other lactic
   733 streptococci after protoplast-induced curing. J Bacteriol 154:1-9.
- 734 75. Leloup L, Ehrlich SD, Zagorec M, Morel-Deville F. 1997. Single-crossover integration in the
   735 *Lactobacillus sake* chromosome and insertional inactivation of the *ptsl* and *lacL* genes. Appl Environ
   736 Microbiol 63:2117-23.
- 737

# **TABLES**

# Table 1: Antimicrobial peptides used in this study and MIC values against *L. casei* BL23 and Δ*derB*.

						-	
AMP Class		AP	AP		MIC <sup>c</sup> (15h)		
		Class	Database	Sequence	3D structure <sup>b</sup>	DI 22	AdorP
			ID <sup>a</sup>				
Bacteri	ocins						
Bacitrad	cin	cyclic peptide	n. r.	ICLEIKOrnIFHDN <sup>d</sup> (bond between Lys <sub>6</sub> and Asn <sub>12</sub> )	cyclic	10	10
Nisin A		type A	AP00205	ITSISLCTPGCKTGALMGCNMKTATCHCSIHVSK	non-αβ	0.5	5
		lantibiotic					
Mersac	idin	type B	AP01206	CTFTLPGGGGVCTLTSECIC	non-αβ	10	10
		lantibiotic					
Subtilin		type A	AP00206	WKSESLCTPGCVTGALQTCFLQTLTCNCKISK	unknown	3 <sup>c</sup>	3° <b>(¶)</b>
		lantibiotic				4.70	4.70
vancon	nycin	giycopeptide	n. r.	n.r.	branched	1./°	1./*
					tricyclic		
Fungi A	IMPs						
Plectasi	in	defensin	AP00549	GFGCNGPWDEDDMQCHNHCKSIKGYKGGYCAKGGFVCKCY	combine-αβ	>40	>40 (¶)
Insect A	AMPs						
BR001	Cecropin A	cecropin	AP00139	KWKLFKKIEKVGQNIRDGIIKAGPAVAVVGQATQIAK*-NH2	α	>320	>320
BR002	Sarcotoxin IA	cecropin A2	AP00230	GWLKKIGKKIERVGQHTRDATIQGLGIAQQAANVAATAR*-NH2	α	>320	>320
BR003	Cecropin A (insect: Aedes	cecropin	n.r.	GGLKKLGKKLEGAGKRVFNAAEKALPVVAGAKALRK	(α)	>320	>320
DDOOF	aegypti)		1000404				
BROOS	Stomoxyn	stomoxyn	AP00484	RGFRKHFNKLVKKVKHTISETANVKKDTAVIAGSGAAVVAAT	α	>320	>320
BR044	LSerStomox2	stomoxyn	AP02513	GFRKRFINKLVKKVKHTIKETANVSKDVAIVAGSGVAVGAAMG	(α)	>320	>320
BR080	Sapecin A	defensin	AP00227		combine-ap	>160	80
BR081	(insect: Aeschna cyanea)	defensin	AP00182	GFGCPLDQMQCHRHCQTTGRSGGYCSGPLKLTCTCYR	bridge	>320	>320
BR087	(insect: Lucilia sericata)	defensin	n. r.	ATCDLLSATGFSGTACAAHCLLIGHRGGYCNTKSVCVCRD	(combine-αβ)	>400	>400
BRU88		defensin	AP01532		combine-αβ	>400	>400
BR089	LSer-Def/	defensin	AP02507	FICNSYACKAHCILQGHKSGSCARINLCKCQR	bridge	>320	>320
BR090	LSer-Det4	defensin	AP02505		bridge	>400	>400 (¶)
BR091	I Ser-Def6	defensin	AP02506	GTCSESSALCVVHCRVRGYPDGYCSRKGICTCRR	bridge	>400	>400
BR092	LSer-Def3	defensin	AP02504	ATCDLLSGTGANHSACAAHCLLRGNRGGYCNSKAVCVCRN	bridge	>320	320
BR097	Cecropin A (Galleria	cecropin	AP03067	KWKIFKKIEKAGRNIRDGIIKAGPAVSVVGEAATIYKTG*-NH2	(α)	>320	>320
	mellonella)				\/		
BR098	Cecropin B (G. mellonella)	cecropin	AP03068	KWKFFKKIERVGQNIRDGIIKAGPAVQVVGQAATIYKGK*-NH2	(α)	>320	>320
BR099	Cecropin C (G. mellonella)	cecropin	AP03069	RWKVFKKIERMGQHIRDGIIKAGPAVAVVGQASTIISG*-NH2	(α)	>320	>320

BR100	Gm cecropin D-like pept	cecropin D	AP00755	ENFFKEIERAGQRIRDAIISAAPAVETLAQAQKIIKGGD*-NH2	(α)	>320	>320
BR101 Et-Cec1 (Eristalis tenax)		cecropin	n. r.	GFLKKIGKKLEGAVQRTRDATIQTIAVAQAAANVAATAKQG	(α)	>320	>320
Human	Human AMPs						
LL37		cathelicidin	AP00310	LLGDFFRKSKEKIGKEFKRIVQRIKDFLRNLVPRTES	α-helix	>27	>27
hBD-1		defensin	AP00451	DHYNCVSSGGQCLYSACPIFTKIQGTCYRGKAKCCK	combine-αβ	>20	>20

<sup>741</sup> <sup>*a*</sup> Antimicrobial Peptide Database (APD3) (8) identification number. n.r., not registered in APD3; n.f., not found. <sup>*b*</sup> 3D structure as annotated in

742 APD3 based in (73): **α**, AMPs with helical structures; **combine-αβ**, AMPs with α-helical and β-strands in the 3D structure; **non-αβ**, contains neither

743 α-helical nor β-strands; **bridge**, AMPs without 3D structure determined, disulfide-linked, usually β-structure. When no structural data was

available, structure prediction was made using the Swiss-Model webpage (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/) (67) and is indicated in parenthesis.

<sup>745</sup> <sup>c</sup>MIC in μg ml<sup>-1</sup> except for: subtilin (% (v/v)) and vancomycin (mg/ml). (¶) indicates that the mutant is more sensitive when assays for final cell

746 densities (see Fig. 2). <sup>*d*</sup> Sequence of linear peptide precursor.

# 747 TABLE 2. Bacterial strains used in this study

Strains	Description <sup>a</sup>	Source or reference
<i>E. coli</i> DH10β	$F^{-}$ mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) Φ80dlacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 endA1 recA1 deoR Δ(ara,leu)7697 araD139 galU galK nupG rpsL $\lambda^{-}$	Stratagene
E. coli BTH101	F-, cya-99, araD139, galE15, galK16, rpsL1 (Str '), hsdR2, mcrA1, mcrB1.	Lab collection
L. lactis MG1363	Plasmid-free derivative of NCDO712	(74)
L. casei BL23	Wild type	B. Chassy, U. Illinois
L. casei ∆psdR	BL23 ΔLCABL_16430	(32)
L. casei ∆apsR	BL23 Δ <i>rrp1</i> ( <i>LCABL_19600</i> )	(31)
L. casei ∆derB	BL23 ΔLCABL_21670	This study
L. casei C-∆derB	BL23 ΔLCABL_21670 harbouring plasmid pT1-RBS <sub>derB</sub> derB Ery <sup>r</sup>	This study
L. casei ∆psdB	LCABL_16400 mutant; pRV16400 Ery <sup>r</sup>	(32)
L. casei ∆apsB	LCABL_19580 mutant; pRV19580 Ery <sup>r</sup>	(32)
L. casei ∆dltA	LCABL_08550 (dltA) mutant; pRV08550 Ery <sup>r</sup>	(32)
L. casei ∆mprF	LCABL_24490 mutant; pRV24490 Ery <sup>r</sup>	(32)
L. casei ∆derB∆psdB	BL23 ΔLCABL_21670; LCABL_16400 mutant, pRV16400 Ery <sup>r</sup>	This study
L. casei ∆derB∆dltA	BL23 ΔLCABL_21670; LCABL_08550 (dltA) mutant, pRV08550 Ery'	This study

*<sup>a</sup> Amp<sup>r</sup>*, ampicillin resistance; *Ery<sup>r</sup>*, erythromycin resistance; *Str<sup>r</sup>*, streptomycin resistance.

# **TABLE 3. Vectors and plasmids used in this study**

Vector or	Description <sup>a</sup>	Source or reference
plasmid		
pRV300	Insertional vector for Lactobacillus, Amp <sup>r</sup> , Ery <sup>r</sup>	(75)
pT1NX	Expression vector for Gram-positive bacteria harboring the constitutive P1 promoter; <i>Ery</i> <sup>r</sup>	(58)
pRVOrPe-del	<i>pRV300</i> containing fused flanking fragments upstream and downstream of <i>LCABL_21670</i>	This study
pRV08550	<i>pRV300</i> containing a 679-pb internal fragment of LCABL_08550 (dltA)	(32)
pRV16400	pRV300 containing a 975-pb internal fragment of LCABL_16400 (psdB)	(32)
pT1-RBS <sub>derB</sub> derB	<i>pT1NX</i> with cloned <i>LCABL_21670</i> ( <i>derB</i> ) and its ribosome binding site ( <i>derA-derB</i> 12 pb intergenic region)	This study
pUT18	Vector for B2H, Amp <sup>r</sup>	
pUT18C	Vector for B2H, Amp <sup>r</sup>	
pUT18C zip	Control plasmid for B2H, Amp <sup>r</sup>	Euromedex, BACTH
рКТ25	Vector for B2H, Kan <sup>r</sup>	System Kit Manual
pKNT25	Vector for B2H, Kan <sup>r</sup>	
pKT25 zip	Control plasmid for B2H, Kan <sup>r</sup>	
pUT18C-HK9	pUT18C containing histidine kinase psdS LCABL_16420	This study
рКТ25-НК9	pKT25 containing histidine kinase psdS LCABL_16420	This study
рU-НК9-Т18	pUT18 containing histidine kinase psdS LCABL_16420	This study
рКN-НК9-Т25	pKNT25 containing histidine kinase psdS LCABL_16420	This study
pUT18C-RR9	pUT18C containing response regulator psdR LCABL_16430	This study
pKT25-RR9	pKT25 containing response regulator psdR LCABL_16430	This study
pU-RR9-T18	pUT18 containing response regulator psdR LCABL_16430	This study
pKN-RR9-T25	pKNT25 containing response regulator psdR LCABL_16430	This study
pUT18C-Per9	pUT18C containing permease psdB LCABL_16400	This study
pKT25-Per9	pKT25 containing permease psdB LCABL_16400	This study
pU-Per9-T18	pUT18 containing permease psdB LCABL_16400	This study
pKN-Per9-T25	pKNT25 containing permease psdB LCABL_16400	This study
pUT18C-ATP9	pUT18C containing ATPase psdA LCABL_16410	This study
pKT25-ATP9	pKT25 containing ATPase psdA LCABL 16410	This study

pU-ATP9-T18	pUT18 containing ATPase psdA LCABL_16410	This study
pKN-ATP9-T25	pKNT25 containing ATPase psdA LCABL_16410	This study
рUT18C-НК12	pUT18C containing histidine kinase apsS LCABL_19610	This study
рКТ25-НК12	pKT25 containing histidine kinase apsS LCABL_19610	This study
рU-НК12-Т18	pUT18 containing histidine kinase apsS LCABL_19610	This study
pKN-HK12-T25	<i>pKNT25</i> containing histidine kinase <i>apsS LCABL_19610</i>	This study
pUT18C-RR12	pUT18C containing response regulator apsS LCABL_19600	This study
pKT25-RR12	pKT25 containing response regulator apsS LCABL_19600	This study
pU-RR12-T18	pUT18 containing response regulator apsS LCABL_19600	This study
pKN-RR12-T25	pKNT25 containing response regulator apsS LCABL_19600	This study
pUT18C-Per12	pUT18C containing permease apsB LCABL_19580	This study
pKT25-Per12	pKT25 containing permease apsB LCABL_19580	This study
pU-Per12-T18	pUT18 containing permease apsB LCABL_19580	This study
pKN-Per12-T25	pKNT25 containing permease apsB LCABL_19580	This study
pUT18C-ATP12	pUT18C containing ATPase apsA LCABL_19590	This study
pKT25-ATP12	pKT25 containing ATPase apsA LCABL_19590	This study
pU-ATP12-T18	pUT18 containing ATPase apsA LCABL_19590	This study
pKN-ATP12-T25	pKNT25 containing ATPase apsA LCABL_19590	This study
pUT18C-OrPe	pUT18C containing permease derB LCABL_21670	This study
pKT25-OrPe	pKT25 containing permease derB LCABL_21670	This study
pU-OrPe-T18	pUT18 containing permease derB LCABL_21670	This study
pKN-OrPe-T25	pKNT25 containing permease derB LCABL_21670	This study
pUT18C-OrATP	pUT18C containing ATPase derB LCABL_21680	This study
pKT25-OrATP	pKT25 containing ATPase derB LCABL_21680	This study
pU-OrATP-T18	pUT18 containing ATPase derB LCABL_21680	This study
pKN-OrATP-T25	pKNT25 containing ATPase derB LCABL_21680	This study

<sup>*a*</sup> Amp<sup>r</sup>, ampicillin resistance; Ery<sup>r</sup>, erythromycin resistance; Kan<sup>r</sup>, kanamycin resistance.

755 Supplemental Table 1. Quantification of the different sensitivity phenotypes of L. casei BL23 and **AderB strains after exposition to insect derived AMPs.** Stationary phase cell cultures of BL23 and 756 △ *derB* grown for 24 hours under reference conditions or in the presence of insect derived AMPs 757 were photographed (see Figure 3 in the main text). The bacterial length, cell-chain length and 758 number of cells per chain values were measured for each strain under the reference and stress 759 760 conditions. Pairwise two-way ANOVA statistical analysis were applied to determine the 761 contribution of the treatment and the strain to the morphological phenotypes (see Materials and 762 methods in the main text for further details).

		Str	ain		ANOVA <sup>d</sup>	
Condition	Phenotype	BL23	∆derB	Cond.	Strain	Int.
Deference	Bacterial length (µm) <sup>a, b</sup>	3,22 ± 1,00	3,30 ± 0,83			
conditions	Cell-chain length (µm) <sup>a, c</sup>	7,43 ± 2,74	9,92 ± 6,19			
conditions	Number of cells/chain <sup>a, c</sup>	2,25 ± 0,54	3,03 ± 1,39			
DDOOF	Bacterial length (µm) <sup>a, b</sup>	2,80 ± 0,68	2,69 ± 0,64	< 0,0001	0,8318	0,0929
	Cell-chain length (µm) <sup>a, c</sup>	6,82 ± 2,65	7,59 ± 3,12	0,0200	0,0100	0,1711
520 µg/111	Number of cells/chain <sup>a, c</sup>	2,45 ± 1,01	2,9 ± 1,15	0,8362	0,0004	0,3304
PROOD	Bacterial length (µm) <sup>a, b</sup>	2,85 ± 0,87	2,90 ± 1,10	< 0,0001	0,3104	0,8299
400 ug/ml	Cell-chain length (µm) <sup>a, c</sup>	8,37 ± 4,22	21,1 ± 10,2	< 0,0001	< 0,0001	< 0,0001
400 µg/111	Number of cells/chain <sup>a, c</sup>	2,93 ±1,25	7,30 ± 3,55	< 0,0001	< 0,0001	< 0,0001
00000	Bacterial length (µm) <sup>a, b</sup>	3,07 ± 0,83	2,57 ± 0,86	< 0,0001	0,0008	< 0,0001
	Cell-chain length (µm) <sup>a, c</sup>	7,82 ± 3,13	30,6 ± 21,7	< 0,0001	< 0,0001	< 0,0001
ου μg/III	Number of cells/chain <sup>a, c</sup>	2,55 ± 0,90	11,4 ± 7,46	< 0,0001	< 0,0001	< 0,0001

<sup>a</sup> The values represent means and standard deviations. <sup>b</sup> For bacterial length determination,
n = 200 cells per condition; <sup>c</sup> for the determination of the cell-chain length and the number of cells
per chain, n = 40 cell-chains per condition. <sup>d</sup> P values from pairwise two-way ANOVA analyses
testing the growth of BL23 and *derB* mutant strain under the reference condition and in the
presence of each of the three AMPs (BR005, BR090 and BR080) characterized microscopically in
Figure 3. Significant differences (P value <0.01) are indicated in bold characters. Cond., condition;</li>
Int., interaction.

770

# 772 Sup. Table 2. Primers used in this study

Primer	Gene	Sequence (5´- 3´) <sup>a</sup>
Cloning		
RG037	LCABL_08550	AGTC <u>AAGCTT</u> GTTCAGATTATTCGCGCACC
RG038	LCABL_08550	GACT <u>ACTAGT</u> CTGACACTTGATTGCCTTGC
RG047	LCABL_16400	CTATAGGGCGAATTG <u>GGTACC</u> GCAAGCCTTCAGTATCGCCG
RG048	LCABL_16400	CTCGAGGGGGGGCCC <u>GGTACC</u> TCAGCCGCGTTTTGATAGCG
RG062	LCABL_21680	TTTT <u>CTCGAG</u> TCAGGTTCAGGGAAAACGAC
RG063	LCABL_21680	GTGCGACCTAAAGGATCTTTTCTAGACGACGCCCCTTACTTTTG
RG064	Intergenic region	CAAAAGTAAGGGGCGTCGTCTAGAAAAGATCCTTTAGGTCGC
	LCABL_21670 and	AC
	LCABL_21660	
RG065	LCABL_21660	AAAA <u>GAATTC</u> CGCCTCAAAAGACTTCATGC
RG220	LCABL_21670	AAAA <u>ACTAGT</u> TTAGGCTTTTTCCGCTAAGTTCTTATTG
RG221	LCABL_21670	AAAA <u>AGATCT</u> GGGGCGTCGTCTATGTTAACG
Cloning checking	_	
pRV300.fw	pRV300 vector	GTTTTCCCAGTCACGAC
pRV300.rv	pRV300 vector	CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC
pT1NX.fwd	pT1NX vector	TGGATTGGATTAGTTCTTGTGG
pT1NX.rev	pT1NX vector	CTTCTCTGTCGCTATCTGTTG
RG068	LCABL_21660	TATGAAGTCGGCTTCCCGCATG
RG069	LCABL_21680	GTGAATTCGTCGGCATCATG
RG076	LCABL_21680	AACACCCGCATTGAAAGGTG
RG077	LCABL_21660	TCAGCAAAAACGTCACTGGC
LSEI1418R		GTCAACATTACTTAAAATTAAAAA
qRT-PCR	_	
lepA-F	lepA	CACATTGATCACGGGAAGTC
lepA-R	lepA	GTAATGCCACGTTCACGTTC
ileS-F	ileS	ACCATTCCGGCTAACTATGG
ileS-R	ileS	TCAGGATCTTCGGATTTTCC
pcrA-F	pcrA	CGGCCAATAATGTGATTCAG
pcrA-R	pcrA	TCATCAGTTTCGCTTTGAGC
pyrG-F	pyrG	AATTGCGCTTTTCACTGATG
pyrG-R	pyrG	CGAAATGATCGACCACAATC
RG006	LCABL_19580	GGGAACGCGCATTCATTGTG
RG007	LCABL_19580	TCTCGCGCTGAACAAGATCC
RG008	LCABL_21670	TTGCCGGTATTTTGGTCGGG
RG009	LCABL_21670	ATGTCCACAATACGGCTGGC
RG019	LCABL_08550	TGGTCGAGGTTTTCTTGGGC
RG020	LCABL_08550	CCGGTGTATGGGCAACATCC
RG021	LCABL_24490	GCCGGATCAGCCAAGACTTG
RG022	LCABL_24490	TTAGCATCGGTGTAACGGCG
RG027	LCABL_19590	TAGCTTTCAGGTCAACGCGG
RG028	LCABL_19590	CTTGGCGTCTCAATCGTTGC
RG029	LCABL_19600	GGCAATGAATATGGGCGCTG
RG030	LCABL_19600	TAGGTTCGTCGAAGCAAGGC
RG031	LCABL_21680	CACCCGCATTGAAAGGTGTC
RG032	LCABL_21680	GCAAGGTCGTTTTCCCTGAAC
RG033	LCABL_16410	GGACAGGATCTGAGCAACGTC
RG034	LCABL 16410	ATTGAAGGTGTCAAGCAAGTCG

	RG054	LCABL_16400	GTACCGTCCTTTCCCGCATC
	RG055	LCABL_16400	CCGATGGTAATGATCCCGGC
	RG056	LCABL_16430	AGCGAGTTACGCAAACACAG
	RG057	LCABL_16430	CGGCTCCTAAGTTCATCGCC
B2H	l		
	TM1220	pUT18 fwd	AGCTCACTCATTAGGCACCC
	TM1221	pUT18 rev	CCGTCGTAGCGGAACTGGCG
	TM1222	pUT18C fwd	TCGACGATGGGCTGGGAGCC
	TM1223	pUT18C rev	AGCAGACAAGCCCGTCAGGG
	TM1224	pKT25 fwd	GGCGGATATCGACATGTTCG
	TM1225	pKT25 rev	ATCGGTGCGGGCCTCTTCGC
	TM1226	pKT25N fwd	GCTCACTCATTAGGCACCCC
	TM1227	pKT25N rev	GGCGGAACATCAATGTGGCG
	TM5702	HK9-B2H-Xbal.fw1	AAAA <u>TCTAGA</u> GATGATGAAAGCTTATTGCCGCTCG
	TM5703	HK9- B2H-Smal.rv1	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> TTACTCCACTTGCCACCGCG
	TM5704	HK9-B2H-Smal.rv2	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> GCTCCACTTGCCACCGCGTTTG
	TM5705	RR9-B2H-Xbal.fw1	AAAA <u>TCTAGA</u> GATGGCACAGAAAATTTTTATTGTCGAAG
	TM5706	RR9-B2H-Smal.rv1	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> TCATGGCTTTGGTCCCTCAC
	TM5707	RR9-B2H-Smal.rv2	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> GTGGCTTTGGTCCCTCACTTGC
	TM5708	ATP9-B2H-Xbal.fw1	AAAA <u>TCTAGA</u> GATGTCAACATTACTTAAATTAAAAAAATATCGA
			AAAAAC
	TM5709	ATP9-B2H-BamHI.rv1	AAAA <u>GGATCC</u> TCTCATTGTCCATCGCCTGCCTTTG
	TM5710	ATP9-B2H-BamHI.rv2	AAAA <u>GGATCC</u> TCTTGTCCATCGCCTGCCTTTG
	TM5711	Per9-B2H-Xbal.fw1	AAAA <u>TCTAGA</u> GATGAAATTCTACTTTAAGCTCGCTGC
	TM5712	Per9-B2H-Smal.rv1	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> TTAGCTGCGACTGGTAGCTTGG
	TM5713	Per9-B2H-Smal.rv2	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> GGCTGCGACTGGTAGCTTGGC
	TM6396	HK12-B2H-Xbal.fw1	AAAA <u>TCTAGA</u> GATGCGGTTTCGTGATTATTTAAAGG
	TM6397	HK12-B2H-Smal.rv1	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> TCAGCTGTCTGGATGTGACCTAG
	TM6398	RR12-B2H-Xbal.fw1	AAAA <u>TCTAGA</u> GGTGTTTAAAATCATGATCGTAGAGG
	TM6399	RR12-B2H-Smal.rv1	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> CTAAGGAACGATGTAACCTTGTC
	TM6400	P12-B2H-Xbal.fw1	AAAA <u>TCTAGA</u> GGTGGAGGAGGCCATACCCGTG
	TM6401	P12-B2H-Smal.rv1	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> CTAATCAATCGCCCAACGGGAAAC
	TM6402	A12-B2H-Xbal.fw1	AAAA <u>TCTAGA</u> GATGGCAATTCTTGAAGTATCTAACTTGAG
	TM6403	A12-B2H-Smal.rv1	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> TTAATCAGATGCCACACGGG
	TM6404	OrPe-B2H-Xbal.fw1	AAAA <u>TCTAGA</u> GATGTTAACGAAACTTGCACTCGG
	TM6405	OrPe-B2H-BamHI.rv1	AAAA <u>GGATCC</u> TTAGGCTTTTTCCGCTAAGTTCTTATTG
	TM6406	OrA-B2H-Xbal.fw1	AAAA <u>TCTAGA</u> GATGGACAAGCAACCTGTCGTAAC
	TM6407	OrA-B2H-BamHI.rv1	AAAA <u>GGATCC</u> TTACTTTTGAAATGTGCCGAGTGTG
	TM6408	HK12-B2H-Smal.rv2	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> GGCTGTCTGGATGTGACCTAGTCTG
	TM6409	RR12-B2H-Smal.rv2	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> GAGGAACGATGTAACCTTGTCC
	TM6410	P12-B2H-Smal.rv2	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> GATCAATCGCCCAACGGGAAACAG
	TM6411	A12-B2H-Smal.rv2	AAAA <u>CCCGGG</u> GATCAGATGCCACACGGGTATG
	TM6412	OrPe-B2H-BamHI.rv2	AAAA <u>GGATCC</u> TCGGCTTTTTCCGCTAAGTTCTTATTG
	TM6413	OrA-B2H-BamHI.rv2	AAAA <u>GGATCC</u> TCCTTTTGAAATGTGCCGAGTGTG

<sup>*a*</sup> Restriction sites are underlined. Sequences highlighted in boldface type are the sequences for the CloneEZ PCR reaction as in reference (32) 



777

# 778 Figure 1: Different layers of resistance against AMPs in *L. casei* BL23.

ApsRSAB is a sensory module that regulates different layers of resistance against numerous AMPs.

780 The primary layer of AMP resistance is mediated by DerAB (drug transport) and the secondary

layer of resistance is mediated by the Dlt-system and MprF protein (drug repulsion). Resistance
 mediated by DerAB is specific for defensins and – to a lesser degree - lantibiotics (see text for

details). PsdRSAB is a dual-function module involved in sensing and transport of various AMPs.

784 AMPs are depicted as red positively charged starts. S, histidine kinase; R, response regulator; B, 785 permease; A, ATPase. Homodimers of HKs and RRs are indicated. ABC transporters are composed 786 of a permease subunit and two ATPase domains (20). Signaling between the permeases and the 787 HKs and between the HKs and the RRs is indicated by black solid arrows. Gene activation and the increased production of the transporters is indicated by black dash-dot arrows. Wave-shaped, 788 789 downward red arrows indicate sensing of AMPs; blue up-facing arrows indicate transport and 790 detoxification. B2H protein-protein interactions of DerB with PsdRSAB are indicated with purple 791 dotted lines. Lipoteichoic acids are indicated with grey negatively charged lines; D-alanylation of 792 teichoic acids and L-lysinilation of membrane phospholipids is indicated with positive red-filled 793 spheres; AMP charge-repulsion is indicated with a bar-headed up-facing blue line.







807

Figure 3: Sensitivity phenotypes of Δ*derB* strain are associated to morphological changes.

809 (A - H) Microscopic characterization after AMP exposition: stationary phase cell cultures of BL23

- 810 (left column pictures) and Δ*derB* (right column pictures) grown for 24 hours under reference
- conditions (A and B) or in the presence of insect derived AMPs were photographed (phase
- 812 contrast, 40x magnification). Selected AMPs were chosen as representative of different
- sensitivities of the  $\Delta derB$  strain relative to the wild type (see Table 1). Similar sensitivity
- phenotype: BR005 at 320  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> (C and D).  $\Delta derB$  slightly more sensitive than BL23: BR090 at 400
- $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> (E and F). Mutant much more sensitive than wild type: BR080 at 80  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> (G and H). Scale
- 816 bar 10 μm.
- 817 (I K) Quantification of the morphological changes associated to the sensitivity phenotypes.
- (I) The average bacterial length ( $\mu$ m; n = 200), (J) the average cell-chains length ( $\mu$ m; n = 40 cell-
- chains) and **(K)** the average number of cells per chain (n = 40 cell-chains) of the stationary phase
- 820 cell cultures photographed in (A H) were determined. Means and standard deviations are
- 821 indicated with the horizontal and the bar-headed vertical black lines, respectively. For a detailed
- statistical analysis of the results see Supplemental Table 1.
- 823

Sensitivity to *H. illucens* larvae extract



826	Figure 4: Different layers of resistance against AMPs from <i>H. illucens</i> . MIC at 15h (expressed as
827	% (v/v) of initial extract) of <i>H. illucens</i> larvae extract against <i>L. casei</i> BL23 and derivative strains. A
828	representative dataset is shown. Due to the preparation procedure, the absolute potency of each
829	extract (as expressed in %) vary significantly between individual preparations, but the overall
830	relative sensitivities were very well reproduced in independent assays.
831	
832	
833	
834	
835	
836	
837	



Figure 5: Expression of known AMP resistance determinants in *L. casei* BL23 and Δ*derB* mutant
after nisin (A) and subtilin (B) addition. Transcript levels were determined by real-time RT-PCR
10 min after addition of 22.5 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> nisin (A) and 1% subtilin (B). X-fold induction was calculated
relative to transcript levels in the same strain under reference conditions. Data are shown as mean
± standard error of at least six replicates.

