

## **Supplementary Information.**

## Field-dependent specific heat of the canonical underdoped cuprate superconductor YBa2Cu4O8

Jeffery L. Tallon<sup>1</sup> and John W. Loram<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Robinson Research Institute, and MacDiarmid Institute for Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, Victoria University of Wellington, P.O. Box 33436, Lower Hutt 5046, New Zealand. jeff.tallon@vuw.ac.nz

<sup>2</sup>Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge University, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom.





Figure S1 (a) typical x-ray diffaction pattern for the YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> polycrystalline samples showing essentially single-phase composition (Co-K $\alpha$  radiation). (b) SEM micrograph of the surface of a sample pellet. The white bar indicates 10  $\mu$ m scale.



Figure S2. Black symbols:  $T_c$  versus hole concentration for  $Y_{0.8}Ca_{0.2}Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$  for 0, 2, 4 and 6% planar Zn concentration<sup>1</sup>. Red stars:  $T_c$  values for YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> for 0, 2 and 4 % planar Zn concentration (this work).



Figure S3. Black symbols:  $T_c$  versus planar Zn concentration<sup>1</sup> for Y<sub>0.8</sub>Ca<sub>0.2</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-8</sub>. Solid curves and symbols: overdoped. Dashed curves and open symbols: underdoped. Red stars:  $T_c$  versus planar Zn concentration for YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (this work).

## Comparison of <sup>89</sup>Y Knight shift with electronic entropy

The <sup>89</sup>Y Knight shift, <sup>89</sup>K<sub>s</sub>, for YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6+x</sub> is reported by Alloul *et al.*<sup>2</sup> To convert to entropy units we must first convert to the spin susceptibility,  $\chi_s$ . This was done by Alloul by comparing the temperature dependence of <sup>89</sup>K<sub>s</sub> with that of the bulk magnetic susceptibility,  $\chi_m$ . We use this relationship. The comparison of the *T*-dependent components is robust, however, each of <sup>89</sup>K<sub>s</sub> and  $\chi_m$  has an additive constant that must be identified if a comparison of absolute values is to be undertaken. For <sup>89</sup>K<sub>s</sub> this additive constant is the chemical shift, <sup>89</sup> $\sigma$ , which is evaluated by Alloul as ranging from -200 ppm for *x* = 0.41 to -370 ppm for *x* = 1. In contrast Takigawa *et al.*<sup>3</sup> evaluate <sup>89</sup> $\sigma$  as -152 ± 10 ppm independent of *x*. Our analysis below is consistent with this value, independent of *x*. This is the value that we also used<sup>4</sup> for <sup>89</sup> $\sigma$  in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. For  $\chi_m$ , the additive constant,  $\chi_0$ , comprises a diamagnetic term and a van Vleck term ( $\chi_0 = \chi_{dia} + \chi_{vv}$ ) estimated by Alloul as  $\chi_{dia} = -2.65 \times 10^{-7}$  emu/g and  $\chi_{vv} = 1.95 \times 10^{-7}$  emu/g, i.e.  $\chi_0 = -0.7 \times 10^{-7}$  emu/g.

In view of the uncertainty of these *T*-independent parts we simply convert <sup>89</sup>K<sub>s</sub> to  $\chi_m$  using Allouls' Fig. 4 and multiply by  $a_W$ , as plotted in Fig. S4, for comparison with *S/T* for YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6+x</sub>. The  $a_W\chi_m$  values for each *x* value were then displaced vertically (by an additive constant) to coincide with the entropy data. The first thing to note is that the *T*-variation of the susceptibility and entropy data for each specific value of *x* are in excellent agreement. Now if we take the value of this additive constant and work back to the chemical shift  $\sigma_0$  we obtain values that vary quite narrowly between –130 and –150 ppm, very consistent with Takigawa<sup>3</sup>. This baseline uncertainty of ± 10 ppm corresponds to ± 0.04 mJ/g.at.K<sup>2</sup> in Fig. S4 and is rather small.



Figure S4. Data points: spin susceptibility for  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+x}$  from the <sup>89</sup>Y Knight shift (reported by Alloul<sup>2</sup>) multiplied by the Wilson ratio in order to express in entropy units. *x* values are annotated. Solid curves: electronic entropy divided by *T* as reported by Loram *et al.*<sup>5,6</sup>



Figure S5. A reproduction of Fig. 7 but with bulk susceptibility data,  $a_W\chi_sT$ , (green dashed curve) overlayed on top of the entropy data, S(T), (red solid curve). In Fig. 7 the susceptibility data was hidden by the entropy data. Here it is evident that the two agree closely over the entire temperature range.

## **References:**

- Tallon, J. L., Bernhard, C., Williams, G. V. M. & Loram, J. W. Zn-induced T<sub>c</sub> Reduction in High-T<sub>c</sub> Superconductors: Scattering in the Presence of a Pseudogap. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 5294-5297 (1997).
- 2. Alloul, H., Ohno, T. & Mendels, P. <sup>89</sup>Y NMR evidence for a Fermi-liquid behavior in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6+x</sub>. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 1700-1703 (1989).
- 3. Takigawa, M., Hults, W. L. & Smith, J. L. Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2650-2653 (1993).

- 4. Williams, G. V. M., Tallon, J. L., Meinhold, R. & Jánossy, A. <sup>89</sup>Y NMR study of the effect of Zn substitution on the spin dynamics of YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* **51** 16503-16506 (1995).
- 5. Loram, J. W., Mirza, K. A., Wade, J. M., Cooper, J. R. & Liang, W. Y. The Electronic Specific Heat of Cuprate Superconductors. *Physica C* **235-240**, 134-137 (1994).
- Loram, J. W., Mirza, K. A. & Cooper, J. R. Properties of the superconducting condensate and normal-state pseudogap in high-T<sub>c</sub> superconductors derived from the electronic specific heat. *IRC in Superconductivity Research Review Cambridge University* (1998).