Help

/ / Collections / Collections & departments / Taylor-Schechter Genizah Research Unit / Fragment of the month

Cambridge University Library

Home Using the Library Research Teaching & Learning What's On Search & find Collections About Giving Contact

Addendum to November 2011's Fragment of the Month

Cambridge University Library

Cambridge University Library

Collections

Collections & departments

Taylor-Schechter Genizah Research Unit

Fragment of the month

- > FOTM 2020
- FOTM 2019FOTM 2018
- > FOTM 2017
- > Fragment of the Month: December2016
- > Fragment of the Month: November 2016
- > Fragment of the Month: October 2016
- > Fragment of the Month: September 2016
- > Fragment of the Month: August 2016
- > Fragment of the Month: June 2016
- > Fragment of the Month: May 2016
- > Fragment of the Month: April 2016
- > Fragment of the Month: January 2016> Fragment of the Month: September
- 2015
- > Fragment of the Month: August 2015
- > Fragment of the Month: June 2015
- > Fragment of the Month: April 2015
- > Fragment of the Month: March 2015
- > Fragment of the Month: February 2015> Fragment of the Month: January 2015
- > Fragment of the Month: December
- 2014Fragment of the Month: November
- 2014
- > Fragment of the Month: October 2014> Fragment of the Month: September
- 2014
- > Fragment of the Month: May 2014> Addendum to November 2011's
- Fragment of the Month

 > FRAGMENT OF THE MONTH ARCHIVE

A Priceless Collection

How the Collection is organised

Frequently asked questions

Unit staff

Genizah Fragments Newsletter

Bibliography

How can I visit the Collection?

Cambridge Digital Library: Genizah

Jacques Mosseri Genizah Collection

Annual Genizah Lecture

Audio and video

Friends of the Genizah

News

Projects

Search Genizah Unit

Addendum to November 2011's Fragment of the Month

by Michael Rand

Since the appearance of this FOTM, it has become possible to augment the reconstruction of the First Order of Fusṭāṭ, thanks to my discovery of an oversight on my part, as well as the recent identification of a new fragment by Vered Raziel-Kretzmer. In the reconstruction given in November 2011's FOTM, I assumed a quire of five bifolia, and consequently surmised that the first quire was lacking its inner bifolium. It escaped my notice, however, that two more leaves belonging to this quire have been referred to in the literature: ENA 2501/5-6 (see E. Fleischer, 'שרידים נוספים מקובצי תפילה ארץ-ישראליים מן הגניזה' in idem, שרידים נוספים לישרידים נוספים מקובצי תפילה ארץ-ישראליים מן הגניזה' in idem, שרידים נוספים לישרידים נוספים מקובצי תפילה ארץ-ישראליים מן הגניזה' [Sh. Elizur and T. Beeri eds.; Jerusalem, Magnes, 2012] p. 711, note 42). These two leaves belong to a single bifolium. The first leaf (ENA 2501/5) is a direct continuation of the first group of three leaves in T-S H 12.11. The second leaf directly precedes the second group of three leaves in T-S H 12.11. These two leaves themselves are not continuous—i.e., my original assumption of a quire of five bifolia is incorrect, and the original quire seems to have been composed of six bifolia.

The second quire is reconstructed in the original FOTM on the basis of three manuscripts, two of which represent the outer bifolium: T-S 13 H 3.11 + T-S NS 325.69. The new fragment identified by Raziel-Kretzmer is T-S NS 139.88. This fragment represents the upper portion of the outer bifolium, which is now reconstructed in full. The newly identified fragment shows that the last leaf of the quire is not entirely blank as I had originally surmised, as material is copied at the top of the recto.

Here is an augmented version of the transcription given in the original FOTM, which incorporates the material from T-S NS 139.88:

- ויקול להקדים הודו לייַי
- ב. יון ייין ב ייין לעולם חסדו. מרשות רבותי[נו] יגיבו מרשות הש'
- 3. ב' א' ייָי אל' מ' ה' בורא הגפן. פאן כאן שבת יקול ב' א' ייָי אל' מ' ה' אשר
 - 4. קדשנו ורצה בנו אלי מקדש השבת. וישרב. ואן כאן לילה5. אלאחד יבדי הודו ומרשות ובורא פרי הגפן ועצי בשמים
 - 6. ובורא מאורי האש אלי בין קדוש לחול. תם בָּרוּךְ אֲשֶׁר

Notes

Line 4: וישרב] Fleischer had conjecturally reconstructed a compound benediction formula here: [וישרב] However, the new fragment shows that the benediction formula refers only to the Sabbath, and that what he had taken as the beginning of the word וישראל is actually part of the Arabic instruction to drink the *qiddush* wine.

Line 6: קדוש] This is an error. The word should read קודש.

The new fragment has also added text to the poem by Sahlān b. Avraham, a revised edition of which has been published in an appendix to my article "New Data on Aramaic in Classical *Piyyut* – תשמיע ניחומים ללישה, a *Silluq* for Shabbat *Shim'u* by Yoḥanan ha-Kohen," *Aramaic Studies* 13 (2015) 128-60.



Cambridge University Library T-S 13H3.11 + T-S NS 325.69 + T-S NS 139.88



Cambridge University Library T-S 13H3.11 + T-S NS 325.69 + T-S NS 139.88

If you enjoyed this Fragment of the Month, you can find others here.

Contact us: genizah@lib.cam.ac.uk

The zoomable images are produced using Cloud Zoom, a jQueryimage zoom plugin: Cloud Zoom, Copyright (c) 2010, R Cecco, www.professorcloud.com
Licensed under the MIT License

Contact us

Cambridge University Library, West Road, Cambridge CB3 9DR, UK

Email: library@lib.cam.ac.uk Tel: +44 (0) 1223 333000 Fax: +44 (0) 1223 333160 Privacy Policy

Privacy Policy

Diversifying Collections and Practices

Diversifying Collections and Practices

Social Media

Library blogs
Instagram

Twitter

Facebook

YouTube

Join us

Job opportunities

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

© 2020 University of Cambridge

University A-Z
Contact the University
Accessibility
Freedom of information
Terms and conditions

Study at Cambridge

Undergraduate
Postgraduate
International students
Continuing education
Executive and professional education

Courses in education

About the University

How the University and Colleges work
Visiting the University
Map

News Events

Jobs
Giving to Cambridge

Research at Cambridge

News
Features
Discussion
Spotlight on...
About research at Cambridge