

#### **Preliminary Validation of the Athlete Development Formulation Survey** (ADFS)

Dunn, Emily; Anderson, Dior; Langham-Walsh, Eleanor; Lowery, Megan; Hardy, Lewis; Lawrence, Gavin; Woodman, Tim; Gottwald, Vicky; Hardy, James; Roberts, Ross; Oliver, Sam

Published: 18/10/2019

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Dunn, E., Anderson, D., Langham-Walsh, E., Lowery, M., Hardy, L., Lawrence, G., Woodman, T., Gottwald, V., Hardy, J., Roberts, R., & Oliver, S. (2019). *Preliminary Validation of the Athlete Development Formulation Survey (ADFS)*.

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private
  - You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
     You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Preliminary Validation of the Athlete Development Formulation Survey (ADFS)



Emily Dunn, Dior Anderson, Eleanor Langham-Walsh, Megan Lowery, Prof. Lew Hardy, Prof. Tim Woodman, Dr Gavin Lawrence, Dr James Hardy, Dr Vicky Gottwald, Dr Ross Roberts & Dr Sam Oliver

### Introduction

- •Talent development is often measured through anthropometric factors, competition and motor performance tests (Gullich & Cobley, 2017).
- •There is evidence that psychosocial factors are important in attaining international medals (Hardy et al., 2017).
- •No one has yet compiled psychosocial factors important to athlete development into one practical tool.
- •Aim of the study was to develop and undertake preliminary validation of the Athlete Development Formulation Survey (ADFS) using a non-traditional correlational method.

### Method

### **Item Generation and Justification**

- •Initial pool of 190 items was generated from the manuscript of the Great British Medalists Project (Hardy et al., 2017) alongside items from existing questionnaires.
- •Items were revised (Rust & Golombok, 2009).
- •Two items per construct were generated\* or used from existing measures.

### **Instrument Construction**

- **1. Life Experiences:** environment of expectation and achievement (EEA)\*; strong work ethic (SWE)\*; highly competitive environment (HCE)\*; mastery focus (MF)\*; and outcome focus (OF)\*.
- **2. Athlete Personality:** difficulty with emotional expression (DWE)\*; counterphobic attitude (CA)\*; need to succeed (NS)\*; need to avoid failure (NAF)\*; selfishness\*; ruthlessness\*; perfectionistic concerns (PC); perfectionistic strivings (PS); socially prescribed perfectionism (SPP); and obsessiveness\*.
- **3. Athlete Behaviours:** mastery focus; outcome focus; total preparation for competition (TPC)\*; commitment to training (CT)\*; and relative importance of sport (RIS)\*.

## Participants Study 1

Strongly Somewhat Neither Somewhat Strongly Disagree Agree nor Agree Agree Disagree

•365 participants were recruited online through social media.

**Life experiences** n = 117 (M hours training per week = 5.61, SD = 4.70; M years participating in sport = 7.35, SD = 7.27). **Personality** n = 122 (M hours training per week = 6.18, SD = 5.29; M years participating in sport = 7.35, SD = 7.27). **Training Behaviours** n = 123 (M hours training per week = 5.86, SD = 5.16; M years participating in sport = 9.50, SD = 7.08).

### Study 2

•66 participants (M = 30, F = 36) recruited in university lectures (M hours training per week = 7.00, SD = 3.37; M years participating in sport = 7.34, SD = 2.84).

### **Procedure and Analysis**

- •Each construct (2 items) were correlated with an existing measure (see results).
- •Bivariate and dissatenuated correlation, Cook's Distance (Cook, 1977) and attention questions (study 2) were used.
- •Magnitude of correlations were reported with effect sizes (Cohen, 1988).

### Results

Table 1. Correlations between ADFS Life Experiences Constructs and Previously Validated Measures

ADFS Variables	WOFO: Mastery		WOFO: Work Ethic		WOFO: Competitiveness		POSQ: Ego		POSQ: Task	
	Study 1	Study 2	Study 1	Study 2	Study 1	Study 2	Study 1	Study 2	Study 1	Study 2
Environment of expectation and achievement	.51** (1.00)	.37** (.80)								
Strong work ethic			.49** (.63)	.61** (.78)						
Highly competitive environment					.59** (.73)	.49** (.61)				
Outcome Focus							.36** (.46)	.57** (.62)		
Mastery Focus									.19 (.28)	.42** (.68)

Disattenuated correlations are reported in parentheses. Where disattenuated values are greater than 1, it indicates measurement error is not randomly distributed and the value is reported as 1.00. \* indicates p < .05. \*\* indicates p < .01. Note. 1 WOFO = Work and Family Orientation Questionnaire, (Helmreich & Spence, 1978). POSQ = Perception of Success Questionnaire (Roberts & Balague, 1998).

Table 2. Correlations between ADFS Personality Constructs and Previously Validated Measures

	ADFS Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Study 1	Difficulty with Emotion	.40** (1.00)									
	Counterphobic Attitude		.34** (.50)								
	Need to Succeed			.27** (.41)							
	Need to Avoid Failure				.21* (.44)						
	Ruthlessness					.25* (.31)					
	Selfishness						.36** (.50)				
	Perfectionistic Concerns							.64** (.72)			
	Perfectionistic Strivings								.54** (.72)		
	Socially Prescribed Perfectionism									.58** (.75)	
	Obsessiveness										.70** (.92)
S	Difficulty with Emotion	.46** (.93)									
	Counterphobic Attitude		.31* (.49)								
	Need to Succeed			.12 (.22)							
	Need to Avoid Failure				.14 (.23)	42**					
	Ruthlessness					.42** (.52)	20**				
	Selfishness						.30** (.39)	C7**			
	Perfectionistic Concerns							.67** (.74)	CO**		
	Perfectionistic Strivings  Socially Prescribed Perfectionism								.60** (.76)	<i>1</i> C * *	
	Socially Prescribed Perfectionism									.46** (.65)	72**
	Obsessiveness										.73** (.88)

Note. 1 = Sensation Seeking Emotion Regulation and Agency (SEAS, Barlow et al., 2013): Emotion regulation, before participating. 2 = SEAS: Emotion regulation and agency, whilst participating. 3 = Performance Failure Appraisal Inventory (Conroy et al., 2002). 4 = Manifest Needs Questionnaire (Steers & Braunstein. 5 = Dirty Dozen (DD, Jonason & Webster, 2010): Machiavellianism. 6 = DD: Dark Triad. 7 = Multidimensional Inventory of Perfectionism (MIPS; Stoeber et al., 2006): Negative Reactions to Imperfection. 8 = MIPS: Striving for Perfection. 9 = Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (Cox et al., 2002). 10 = Passion Scale (Vallerand et al., 2003): Obsessive Passion. \* indicates p < .05. \*\* indicates p < .01.

Table 3. Correlations between ADFS Athlete Behaviours Constructs and Previously Validated Measures

ADFS Variables	POSQ: Ego		POSQ: Task		QTI		SRSB		IOS	
	Study 1	Study 2	Study 1	Study 2	Study 1	Study 2	Study 1	Study 2	Study 1	Study 2
Outcome Focus	48** (53)	.60** (.68)								
Mastery Focus			31** (38)	.45** (.62)						
Total Preparation for Competition					.47** (.54)	.50** (.65)				
Commitment to Training							.50** (.60)	.33** (.49)		
Relative Importance of Sport									.39**	.24

Note. POSQ = Perception of Success Questionnaire. QTI = Quality of Training Questionnaire QTI (Woodman et al., 2010). SRSB = Self-Regulated Swim Behaviours (Starkes & Young, 2006). IOS = Inclusion of Others in the Self Scale (Aron et al., 1992). \* indicates p < .05. \*\* indicates p < .01.

### Discussion

- •Consistent preliminary validation was shown across both studies with increased correlations when measurement error was accounted for.
- •Lower strength correlations may be due to non-domain specific measures.
- •Further validation is needed within a large elite athlete population across different sports.
- •These studies are the first steps toward a practical psychosocial survey to examine important factors known to impact athlete development.
- •In applied practice the ADFS could be used as part of multidisciplinary approach to measure and athlete development.

### References

Barlow, M., Woodman, T., & Hardy, L. (2013). Great expectations: Different high-risk activities satisfy different motives. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 105(3), 458–475. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033542
Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical Power analysis for the ... Ect. In *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Science (2nd Edition)*.
Conroy, D. E., Willow, J. P., & Metzler, J. N. (2002). Multidimensional fear of failure measurement: The performance failure appraisal inventory. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 14(2), 76–90. https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200252907752
Cook, R. D. (1977). Detection of Influential Observation in Linear Regression. *Technometrics*, 19(1), 15–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/00401706.1977.10489493
Cox, B. J., Enns, M. W., & Clara, I. P. (2002). The multidimensional structure of perfectionism in clinically distressed and college student samples. *Psychological Assessment*, 14(3), 365–373. https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.14.3.365
Güllich, A., & Cobley, S. (2017). On the efficacy of talent identification and talent development programmes. In *Routledge Handbook of Talent Identification and Development in Sport* (pp. 80–98). https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315668017

Young, B. W., & Starkes, J. L. (2006). Coaches' Perceptions of Non-Regulated Training Behaviors in Competitive Swimmers. International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching, 1(1), 53–68. https://doi.org/10.1260/174795406776338427

Aron, A., Aron, E. N., & Smollan, D. (1992). Inclusion of Other in the Self Scale and the Structure of Interpersonal Closeness. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 63(4), 596–612. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.63.4.596

Güllich, A., & Cobley, S. (2017). On the efficacy of talent identification and talent development programmes. In Routledge Handbook of Talent Identification and Development in Sport (pp. 80–98). https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315668017
Hardy, L., Barlow, M., Evans, L., Rees, T., Woodman, T., & Warr, C. (2017). Great British medalists: Psychosocial biographies of Super-Elite and Elite athletes from Olympic sports. In Progress in Brain Research (Vol. 232, pp. 1–119). https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pbr.2017.03.004
Helmreich, R. L., & Spence, J. T. (1978). Work and Family Orientation Questionnaire: An Objective Instrument to Assess Components of Achievement Motivation and Attitudes Toward Family and Career. JSAS: Catalog of Selected Documents in Psychology, 8(2), 35 (MS. No. 1677). Jonason, P. K., & Webster, G. D. (2010). The dirty dozen: A concise measure of the dark triad. Psychological Assessment, 22(2), 420–432. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019265

Roberts, G. C., Treasure, D. C., & Balague, G. (1998). Achievement goals in sport: The development and validation of the perception of success questionnaire. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 16(4), 337–347. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640419808559362 Rust, J., & Golombok, S. (2009). *Modern psychometrics: The science of psychological assessment, 3rd ed. Modern psychometrics: The science of psychological assessment, 3rd ed.* 

Steers, R. M., & Braunstein, D. N. (1976). A behaviorally-based measure of manifest needs in work settings. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *9*(2), 251–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8791(76)90083-X
Stoeber, J., Otto, K., & Stoll, O. (2006). Multidimensional Inventory of Perfectionism in Sport (MIPS): English version. *School of Psychology, University of Kent (Unpublised)*.
Vallerand, R. J., Mageau, G. A., Ratelle, C., Léonard, M., Blanchard, C., Koestner, R., ... Marsolais, J. (2003). Les Passions de 1'Âme: On Obsessive and Harmonious Passion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *85*(4), 756–767. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.85.4.756
Woodman, T., Zourbanos, N., Hardy, L., Beattie, S., & McQuillan, A. (2010). Do performance strategies moderate the relationship between personality and training behaviors? An exploratory study. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, *22*(2), 183–197. https://doi.org/10.1080/10413201







