1	
2	
3	Factors perceived to affect the wellbeing and mental health of coaches and practitioners
4	working within elite sport
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Submitted: 5 th August 2020
21	Re-Submitted: 3 rd September 2020
22	Re-submitted: 7 th January 2021
23	
24	
25	
26	

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

28 29 Abstract

To date, limited research attention has been directed towards examining the wellbeing and mental health of the support team who work with elite athletes in the performance setting. Hence, using a pragmatic approach, this study explored the factors perceived to impact the wellbeing and mental health of coaches and sports science practitioners within a national sporting organization. Individual interviews and focus group discussions were completed with a sample of 11 participants, which included three male coaches, seven sport science practitioners (6 female and 1 male), and the male performance director. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis (Braun et al., 2016) and the factors perceived to influence participants' wellbeing and mental health were identified. Specifically, excessive workload, postcompetitive loss, and a feeling of isolation were identified as the main risk factors, which held the potential to lower the coaches' and practitioners' wellbeing and diminish their mental health. While an effective organizational culture, transformational leadership, and access to quality social support were perceived as the key protective factors that could maintain or enhance their wellbeing and mental health. The findings of the study provide individual and organizational-level recommendations, which, using a social ecological framework, can be implemented to support the wellbeing and mental health of coaches and practitioners within the sport performance environment.

47

48

- Keywords: High-performance sport, Mental health, Social ecological framework,
- 49 Wellbeing.

50

Factors perceived to affect the wellbeing and mental health of coaches and practitioners

working within elite sport

Wellbeing is a subjective, dynamic, and complex construct (Lundqvist, 2011) that has been conceptualized via two perspectives: namely, eudaimonic and hedonic. The eudaimonic approach portrays wellbeing as optimal *psychological* and *social* functioning, which comprises personal growth, purpose, self-acceptance, mastery, and social acceptance/belonging (Keyes, 1998; Ryff, 1989). Whereas the hedonic perspective depicts wellbeing as *emotional* functioning, consisting of life satisfaction, positive affect, and the relative absence of negative affect (Diener, 1984). Although both perspectives of wellbeing are distinct, there is considerable overlap (Keyes et al., 2002; Keyes & Lopez, 2005), meaning that an individual's level of wellbeing is likely to be determined by psychological, social, and emotional components. As a result, it is prudent to take both perspectives into account when examining wellbeing (Lundqvist, 2011). Such conceptual complexity of wellbeing has led to the development of numerous definitions, though based on their theoretical and critical review of the literature, Dodge et al. (2012) proposed that wellbeing should be defined as, "the balance point between an individual's resource pool and the challenges faced" (p.230).

In terms of mental health, Keyes et al. (2002) describes it as a syndrome of positive symptoms and functioning, operationalized by measures of subjective well-being. Indeed, the World Health Organisation (WHO) define mental health as "a state of wellbeing in which the individual realizes his or her own abilities, can cope with the normal stress of life, can work productively and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to his or her community (2005, p. 2). Therefore, mental health is not merely the absence of mental ill-health (identified through standardized diagnostic criteria; American Psychiatry Association, 2013), but is a state of flourishing in which the individual experiences life satisfaction, positive emotions, meaning/purpose in life and rewarding interpersonal relationships (see Iasiello et al., 2020). Hence, mental health can be considered a state of psychological, social and emotional well-

being (Keyes & Lopez, 2005; Keyes et al., 2002), and individuals are less likely to develop mental ill-health if they are experiencing higher levels of wellbeing (Keyes et al., 2010).

The epidemiological evidence indicates that the prevalence of mental health, low wellbeing, and mental ill-health among elite athletes is similar to that of the general population (e.g., Gouttebarge et al., 2019). Though a number of factors unique to the elite sporting environment can increase athletes' vulnerability to poor mental health outcomes. That is, when athletes are subjected to an overload of training and competitive demands (Hughes & Leavey, 2012), sporting failure (Hammond et al., 2013), injury (Gulliver et al., 2015), and retirement (Gouttebarge et al., 2015), they can become increasingly susceptible to lowered wellbeing and mental ill-health. However, athletes are not the only individuals to be affected by the demands of the performance sport setting.

It has been established that elite coaches are exposed to an extensive number of organizational and performance stressors, which if not managed effectively can lower their wellbeing and mental health (Norris et al., 2017). In particular, it has been found that a high frequency of organizational stressors (Wagstaff et al., 2018), job insecurity (Bentzen et al., 2020), excessive workload (Carson et al., 2019), and having their basic psychological needs thwarted within the working environment (Carson et al., 2019; Norris et al., 2017), can affect the wellbeing and mental health of coaches detrimentally. In addition, if a coach lacks psychological resilience (Wagstaff et al., 2018) and fails to engage with problem-focused coping strategies (Bentzen et al., 2017), they may become particularly vulnerable to poor mental health outcomes. Within their large-scale study of high-performance coaches, Bentzen et al. (2016) identified that almost a quarter were high in exhaustion, with elite female coaches seemingly particularly susceptible to lowered wellbeing and mental ill-health (see Carson et al., 2018). Critically, Gorczynski et al. (in press) recently found that some coaches have low levels mental health literacy (MHL), which can also contribute to poor mental health.

The wellbeing and mental health of coaches is an under researched area (Norris et al., 2017), though even less is known about the level of, and factors affecting, the wellbeing and mental health of sport science practitioners working within elite sport. It has been identified that physiotherapists working within the high-performance setting must cope effectively with a high workload, power-relationships, and the moral/ethical conflict they often experience within their role (Kerai et al., 2019). Similarly, sport psychologists appear to encounter multiple stressors that include factors intrinsic to sport psychology, interpersonal demands, organizational roles, career/development issues, and organizational climate of the profession (Cropley et al., 2016). Although not examined directly, it could be inferred from such studies, that the stressors hold the potential to lower the wellbeing and mental health of the practitioners (see Fletcher & Arnold, 2017). Certainly, in a more recent study of "the team behind the team," Arnold et al. (2019) identified that sport science practitioners experience a number of stressors, categorized as: relationships and interpersonal issues; physical and resource issues; contractual and performance development issues; and organizational structure and logistical issues. All of which were perceived to lower the wellbeing of practitioners, if appraised negatively.

As such, it has been established that the support team within high-performance sport experience a broad range of organizational and competitive stressors that could have a detrimental effect. However, there remains a need to examine explicitly, the specific stressors and factors within this environment that are responsible for lowering the wellbeing and mental health of coaches, and (in particular) the sport science practitioners. Critically, to extend the current literature, which has focused predominantly on identifying factors that influence wellbeing and mental health negatively, there is also a need to ascertain factors which can protect or enhance the wellbeing and mental health of practitioners within performance sport. Together, this information can then be utilized to inform effective interventions which enable the athletes' support team to not only minimize negative influences on their wellbeing, but actively elicit high levels of wellbeing and engender mental health. Accordingly, the aim of the

current study was to examine the factors perceived to negatively and positively impact the wellbeing and mental health of coaches and sport science practitioners working within an elite sport environment.

131 Method

Research Philosophy

The study was underpinned by a pragmatic philosophy (see Rorty, 1990, 1991), in which attempts were made to provide practical solutions to contemporary problems. Pragmatism denies a single reality, and instead advocates that knowledge is dependent on the context, its usefulness, and level of agreement among the community (Rorty, 1990). Thus, as noted by Giacobbi et al. (2005), pragmatism provides an expedient paradigm for applied sport psychology research, as it enables the co-construction of a 'practical level of truth' for the benefit of others. In terms of the current study, a pragmatic approach enabled a contextual exploration of factors perceived to impact the wellbeing and mental health of practitioners working within performance sport, for the purpose of providing meaningful applied recommendations which can support those individuals.

Participants

Eleven members of a support team (6 female and 5 male) who worked within a sporting National Governing Body (NGB) volunteered to take part in the study. This NGB was one of several, positioned within an overarching umbrella National sports organization¹. Participants included three coaches (all male), a strength and conditioning coach (male), sport psychologist (female), performance analyst (female), nutritionist (female), physiotherapist (female), performance lifestyle advisor (female), performance liaison advisor (female), and the performance director (male). They worked full-time within performance sport, providing support for the male and female athletes who competed regularly at national or international events. In accordance with the talent classification system devised by Swann et al. (2015), they

¹ To maintain confidentiality, details regarding the specific sport / NGB and national organization are restricted.

supported a group of athletes who were: i) *semi-elite* (i.e., within a talent-development program, and competing at a level just below the top standard); ii) *competitive* (i.e., competing at the highest level, but as yet, had not experienced success at that level); and/or iii) *successful-elite* (i.e., competing at the highest level, and had experienced some success at that standard). It should be noted that while the coaches, performance director, and performance analyst worked solely within (and were employed by) the NGB in question, the remaining sport science practitioners were employed by the umbrella national sporting organization and were assigned to work with this NGB (as well as others).

Procedure

Once ethical approval for the study had been obtained, the performance director of the NGB was contacted, and the aim of the study was explained. Thereafter, access to all coaches and sport science practitioners who worked within that NGB was sought and an information sheet detailing the study was disseminated. Subsequently, any member of the support team who wished to ask any questions and/or be involved with the study was encouraged to contact the research team directly. Of note, most practitioners from the NGB chose to take part in the study (i.e., two practitioners did not take part).

Data Collection

Data were collected via individual interviews and focus group discussions. First, individual interviews were conducted with three sport science practitioners (the strength and conditioning coach, sport psychologist, and performance lifestyle advisor) and the performance director. Semi-structured interviews were utilized to encourage individualized and detailed discussions (see Smith & Sparkes, 2016) regarding the participants' own wellbeing and mental health. Informed by the literature, and in particular the work of Dodge et al. (2012), the interview explored broadly: i) the demands that participants encountered within elite/performance sport; ii) the resources utilized (i.e., support accessed and coping responses)

to manage those demands effectively, and; iii) the perceived impact (positive and negative) of those demand and resources on their wellbeing and mental health.

Thereafter, two focus groups were completed. The first contained four sport science practitioners (i.e., the physiotherapist, nutritionist, performance liaison advisor, and performance analyst), and the second involved the three coaches. This method of data collection was adopted at the request of the participants, as they preferred to complete a group discussion. Hence, the focus groups were utilized to exchange views, and consider more generally: i) the demands placed on coaches and practitioners working within the high-performance setting, and the perceived impact (positive and negative) on their wellbeing and mental health; and ii) the resources available to those coaches and practitioners, which could be used to support their wellbeing and mental health. There was no expectation for the focus group participants to discuss sensitive information in this setting, though some (especially within the sport science practitioner focus group) willingly, and without prompting, disclosed personal details regarding their wellbeing and mental health. The focus group questions were also informed in part by the findings of the interviews, to ensure the methods were iterative.

Once all data had been analyzed, two additional brief individual interviews were completed with the sport psychologist and performance analyst to provide further contextual detail (see Ensuring Quality of Data section). Data were recorded digitally and transcribed *verbatim*, with the main interviews lasting on average 73 minutes (SD = 12.56), and the focus groups taking 91 minutes (practitioners) and 71 minutes (coaches) respectively.

It is important to note that before data collection began, the constructs of wellbeing and mental health were described to the participants in layman's terms. Utilizing the work of Keyes and colleagues (Keyes et al., 2002, Keyes & Lopez 2005), it was noted that mental health constitutes high levels of wellbeing, and consists of positive emotions, a sense of life satisfaction, meaning/purpose in life, and rewarding interpersonal relationships. Whereas, it was explained that the opposite experience (i.e., negative emotions, low life satisfaction, and a

lack of meaning/purpose and interpersonal relationships) is characterized as low wellbeing, though is distinguished from mental ill-health (as identified through diagnostic criteria; APA, 2013). Finally, it was highlighted that while the causes of mental ill-health are complex and multifaceted, sustained levels of low wellbeing can be a contributing factor. This protocol was adopted to encourage participants to distinguish as accurately as possible, their experiences of low and/or high wellbeing and mental health.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed by the second author, via the flexible version of thematic analysis (see Braun et al., 2016), which offers a robust process for identifying and interpreting patterns within the data. As thematic analysis can be used to analyze data relating to participants' experiences, behaviors, and perspectives (Braun & Clarke, 2013), it was well-suited for the current study. The chosen approach to data-coding and theme development was completed through six phases, and was both inductive (data driven) and deductive (actively searching for factors perceived to affect wellbeing and mental health).

Initially, familiarization involved reading and re-reading the transcripts, while making notes on any data of interest. Thereafter, coding was completed, which involved identifying data that related to the research aims (i.e., factors perceived by the participants to affect their, and their colleagues' wellbeing and mental health) and assigning labels which described their content. Following this, themes were developed by clustering similar codes together, and providing a descriptive summary of each that resulted in an overview of broad patterns within the data. Based on participant data, those broad patterns were placed under the *in-vivo* terms of risk factors (i.e., perceived to lower wellbeing and/or mental health) or protective factors (i.e., perceived to maintain or enhance wellbeing and/or mental health). During the revision phase, the codes and themes were re-visited to ensure they represented the data and addressed the research question. Through further analysis, the themes were organized in a hierarchical manner (i.e., themes and sub-themes), and a more detailed descriptive account of each theme

and sub-theme was produced, and then named (i.e., risk factors: excessive workload, post-competition loss, and a feeling of isolation; and protective factors: an effective organizational culture, transformational leadership, and access to quality social support). Finally, the explicit meaning of the theme and subthemes were considered, before the narrative was refined to offer a detailed account of the factors perceived to affect the wellbeing and mental health of the coaches and practitioners.

Ensuring Quality of Data

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

The trustworthiness of data was addressed through the consideration of criteria relevant for this study (Levitt et al., 2016). Thus, to ensure credibility, participants completed lengthy interviews or focus group discussions, that explored in detail the factors perceived to impact the wellbeing and mental health of the support team within the performance sport setting. In addition, through self-reflection and critical discussions among the research team, key analytic decisions were challenged and reviewed during the research process, to achieve confirmability (Sparkes & Smith, 2014). Moreover, aligning with the requirements of a pragmatist methodology, the 'usefulness' of the study's findings were also discussed with relevant stakeholders (Rorty, 1990). This occurred with three individuals who were not involved in the study, though had leadership roles within the umbrella national sporting organization and held a strategic oversight of the NGB. In a similar manner to member reflections (Smith, & McGannon, 2017), this process was used to consider whether there was a need to collect additional data to inform meaningful practical solutions, that could be utilized to protect or enhance further the wellbeing and mental health of the coaches and practitioners. It was deemed necessary to complete an additional two interviews (with the sport psychologist and performance analyst) to contextualize a small number of identified themes (see Data Collection). Finally, the findings of the study were presented in a manner which encouraged naturalistic or representational generalizability through the provision of an authentic narrative that enables the reader to identify similarities and differences to which they are familiar (Smith, 2018).

257 Results

The participants perceived a number of factors could affect the wellbeing and mental health of coaches and sport science practitioners working within their high-performance environment. *Excessive workload, post-competition loss,* and *a feeling of isolation* were identified as the main risk factors that could lower their wellbeing and mental health. While an *effective organizational culture, transformational leadership,* and *access to quality social support* were identified as key protective factors that could maintain or enhance their wellbeing and mental health.

Risk Factors of Wellbeing and Mental Health

Excessive Workload

The workload associated with supporting athletes within this performance sport was acknowledged to be "considerable". However, across the participants, the high workload was broadly perceived as "expected" and "manageable", especially when the leadership team was proactively supportive of staff. Therefore, for much of the time, workload was not considered to impact wellbeing and mental health negatively. Nevertheless, certain factors (i.e., resource allocation, acute work demands, being new and inexperienced, constant availability, and major events/Games) were suggested to increase workload excessively, and thereby lower staff wellbeing and mental health.

Resource Allocation. Those responsible for providing psychological support to athletes felt particularly over-stretched at times, as they perceived more resources (financial and staffing) were directed towards those involved with the physical preparation of the athletes. This disparity appeared to be exacerbated by the organization's increased focus on athlete wellbeing and mental health, which had expanded the workload for practitioners supporting this work. One of those practitioners explained:

281	We're being asked to deliver more and talk more about mental health. But there's no
282	greater resource put into itif you look at the resource put into supporting the physical
283	health of athletes compared to the mental health, it's so skewed towards the physical.
284	Such perceived overload of demands was considered to impact the wellbeing of those staff at
285	busy times, "lesser resources has led to some staff feeling stretched. There's definitely the
286	impact on wellbeingWe're being asked to deliver too much."
287	Acute Work Demands (Injury and Screening). When the athletes were injured, the
288	subsequent increase in workload could be difficult to manage. For example, the physiotherapist
289	explained how they had been affected by supporting an athlete with a concussion:
290	I didn't sleep that night. I text him probably four timesThose sorts of times, when I'm
291	supposed to be off [work], I can't be. I can't switch off. So even though it wasn't a stressful
292	time in terms of the [competition] cycle; just one injury changed it all for me.
293	Moreover, the psychological and physical screening process that occurs when the athlete enters
294	the performance pathway, was reported as being: "a really tough time. The workload increases
295	so muchLet's say ten new athletes come onto the programme and you as a practitioner have
296	to screen them allthat creates too much work for you."
297	New and Inexperienced Staff. It was noted that at times, new/inexperienced staff were
298	particularly vulnerable to the negative impact of a high workload, as they felt unable to decline
299	work requests and were less able to manage multiple demands. As explained by one of the
300	newly appointed members of staff: "I haven't had a weekend off for months. I'm being asked
301	to attend training camps and competitions every weekendAs I've only just got the job, I
302	don't feel I can turn round and say no I'm not doing that." Moreover, when reflecting on their
303	first few months within the role, another (experienced) practitioner suggested they had felt the

need to work harder than colleagues, and that as a result, their wellbeing had been affected:

When I got the job, I felt like I had to be at everything, had to be the first one in the office, and the last one to leave...I look back, and wonder what I was doing to myself! I was running myself into the ground. I was lucky to have got out of that.

Constant Availability. A number of the coaches and support staff suggested there were periods of time when they were constantly contactable by their athletes, and this had become highly damaging for their wellbeing and mental health. As one practitioner explained: "we've this culture where athletes message us whenever, and that leads to stress or pressure, or them imposing on your evenings or your home life. You can't switch off and relax, which is no good for your [mental] health." However, it was acknowledged that such accessibility was not a requirement of the support staff, or an expectation from the NGB leadership. Rather, the practice had developed among certain support staff, as a result of athlete demand.

Major Events/Games. Finally, preparation for, and attending key events and major Games were identified as "critical times", when coaches and practitioners felt particularly overloaded, and often became vulnerable to lowered wellbeing. As summarised by one practitioner:

In the lead up to the major Games, that's when it gets intense, hard for everyone. You're working hard with the athletes...having to deal with those who are not selected. And then when you get there, you just don't sleep as you are on alert the whole time...

This was reiterated by one of the coaches: "getting the [athletes] ready for the important [competitions], like Commonwealth....You've got to get everything right. It's rough." Another coach explained that the psychological impact of attending events was intensified by being away from home for an extended period of time, and no longer having the social support of family, "Australia [Commonwealth Games] was a difficult time for me. You don't have a day off, even when it's a scheduled day off. It was effectively six weeks away from home. That for me, was difficult...unsustainable."

Post-Competition Loss

331	The period immediately following important events was also noted as being very
332	challenging by several participants and perceived to elicit lowered wellbeing and poor mental
333	health in some cases. This was discussed further within the practitioner focus group:
334	Practitioner 1: Some find it difficult to come back from the GamesThey can't switch off
335	because it's been such a high. Like dropping off a cliff.
336	Practitioner 2: It was exactly the same for me. I remember coming back from one [Games],
337	and I was back in work three days later. I was like 'I can't switch offI was really bad.
338	Alongside the inability to switch off, the loss of purpose and social support appeared to affect
339	wellbeing: "You lose purpose, excitement, and camaraderie. You've been part of this team,
340	and you've all been working hard for the same goal over such a long periodthen it's all
341	overFor me, I felt lost. Yeah, I felt very low."
342	A feeling of Isolation
343	Finally, new members of staff and those who worked alone, suggested they could feel
344	isolated, which impacted their wellbeing and mental health negatively. This was the result of
345	an inability to discuss professional and personal concerns with their peers on a daily basis, and
346	less opportunity to socialize regularly with colleagues. As one practitioner shared:
347	There are less of us [discipline team] compared to say S and C [strength and conditioning].
348	They interact with different people. Whereas, I haven't got that chance. And few [staff]
349	understand all the different demands that I am under, so I can't share that with anyone.
350	This led those practitioners to internalize worries, which in turn, impacted their wellbeing and
351	mental health, "I've had a couple of instances when I haven't been able to speak to somebody
352	about my concernsIt became too much of an internal worry and so it started to affect me and
353	my ability to work. " A similar finding was reported by a newer practitioner:
354	When I first moved here, I was away from my friends and family. I was always thinking
355	about it [the job] in the evening, always on my emails. I enjoyed it, but then I would stress

a lot, and it had an impact [on wellbeing and mental health]...I worked mainly on my own, so I also didn't have that support during the day.

Protective Factors of Wellbeing and Mental Health

Effective Organizational Culture

Most participants perceived the organization's culture possessed core values that could collectively protect their wellbeing and facilitate mental health. Such values were associated with an organizational vision, sense of togetherness, and a challenging/supportive environment.

Organizational Vision. The participants noted there had been a recent shift in their organizational climate, instigated by a new vision ('integration, integrity, and innovation'). The vision was initiated by the umbrella national sporting organisation, though driven and reinforced by the leadership group within the NGB through formal and informal communications with staff (verbal and written). As a result, the underpinning values of the vision were becoming embedded among practitioners and coaches working within the NGB. It was proposed by several participants that working in a manner aligned with the vision were becoming the expected and rewarded behaviors within the organization. As explained by a practitioner:

There's a clear philosophy from the top with regards to [the vision]...those are our principles of working. We talk about it all the time, and we try in our team meetings to give examples of when we've seen integrity within our working environment, and what that looked like. So, we're trying to embed...those values.

Critically, and as explained by a practitioner, rather than being a "meaningless mission statement", the vision had affected staff behavior, their working experiences, and potentially, their wellbeing and mental health:

...we don't have to throw those words [the vision] out at people, you can see people trying to do those things. It might not be comfortable at times, but it creates an enjoyable and safe working environment. That's good for your welfare...your mental health.

Togetherness. The participants considered their organizational culture promoted a sense of togetherness and belonging, which was reported by many (but not all) of the support staff interviewed, to be an important factor in the protection of their wellbeing and mental health: "I've got supportive colleagues who will always step up to the mark. Knowing that there's always people around, and it being such a good supportive department helps your [wellbeing and mental health]...because you offload stress." The coaches and practitioners met regularly (formally and informally) to work in an integrated multidisciplinary manner, which further facilitated togetherness across the wider support team: "We've [coaches and practitioners] a meeting every week. We talk about how the athletes are doing...making sure that we're delivering effectively. That coming together is also great for touching base and supporting each other on a more personal level."

Of importance, it was perceived that such togetherness had enabled some staff to recognize when colleagues were not themselves and offer support. A coach summarized this point:

You become aware when your colleagues are a bit off...You often see someone check in with a colleague and say, 'you're a bit quiet today, is everything alright'? That's reassuring. For me, that's the culture here. People looking after the people.

A challenging/supportive environment. Several participants suggested the working environment was purposefully challenging (to ensure professional and personal development) though also highly supportive:

...we are stretched, but only enough so that we're pushed, but learning and enjoying it.

There's also a safety net, which is important. So, if it goes wrong, it's okay, because...it's not always going to go right, as we have to push ourselves and others to get results.

As identified by another practitioner, this challenging but supportive environment was considered to influence wellbeing: "as we all get massive satisfaction and enjoyment from working within this unpredictable, challenging, but massively rewarding environment."

Transformational Leadership

It was reported that effective leadership had been responsible for instigating and developing the shift in organizational culture. Consequently, the leadership group (i.e., performance director and those who provided strategic oversight of the NGB) was perceived to have impacted positively the coaches' and practitioners' working environment, and consequently their wellbeing and mental health. This had been achieved through their delivery of the aforementioned organizational vision, alongside establishing clear expectations, offering an approachable and flexible style, and valuing staff.

Establishing clear expectations. The performance director explained that the leadership group provided challenging but clear expectations for the staff: "For me, being a good leader is about having good conversations around setting expectations of their [staff] role. Knowing that you have to perform...are expected to perform. But these conversations help create clarity." Such clear expectations were proposed to impact wellbeing and mental health through increasing individual's sense of control. As explained by one of practitioners: "Yeah, that clarity, knowing what's expected, knowing what I have to achieve, helps me create some order to my life...For me, that's important for my mental state and wellbeing, especially when its busy."

Approachable and Flexible Leadership. Several coaches and practitioners noted their leaders were demanding, though also approachable and flexible. This was particularly important for supporting wellbeing and mental health when critical life events occurred and/or when the workload became too high. For example, one of the coaches explained that their colleague received additional time after a family bereavement: "Even though the organisation

has a set amount of leave for a bereavement, there was flexibility, in that they [the leader] went with the person rather than following a policy." Another practitioner noted:

I go to him [leader] just with pinch points...There's a difference between stress and a bit of pressure. He gets it when the stress has become chronic for me, and I feel very open about going to him and saying look, I can't cope with this. He gets it, he helps. And that makes such a difference to wellbeing.

Appreciating/valuing staff. Finally, it was reported that those in leadership roles, often demonstrated they valued the staff as individuals: "They really do want to develop all of us as practitioners, but the focus is also on the individual person in their development...obviously an important part of wellbeing." As further explained by a practitioner:

I've regular 'check ins' with [leader]. It's not just about my workload. It's about how am I as a person, what's going on in my life, am I busy, am I feeling okay, am I managing everything? Being valued like this can only be good for it [wellbeing and mental health].

Access to Quality Social Support

Informal and formal support networks were perceived by the staff to be an important factor in the maintenance of their wellbeing and mental health.

Informal social support. The participants' family and friends were a vital source of support, as they offered an opportunity to discuss work matters outside of the organization, "I have people to talk to at home...unopinionated, unjudgmental. I just need to voice it and get it off my chest at times. That helps maintain [wellbeing and mental health]." As further explained by one of the practitioners, "my husband has always been involved in sport, so there is support there, which makes it [the job] easier." An important aspect of this informal support was an understanding of the flexible and irregular hours associated with working in elite sport:

I took a call at ten to six on Friday. It's 'mummy has to go and answer the phone now'.

My husband is understanding and will look after the kids. It would be so much harder if you didn't have that understanding...that's massively important for my mental health.

Formal social support. The coaches and practitioners suggested their wellbeing and mental health was often protected by the formal support system within the organization, that consisted of mentoring, peer-support, and supervision. Several noted they had been assigned a senior member of the team as their mentor. While for others, they had self-appointed a mentor from outside the organization. The mentor was considered to help maintain wellbeing and mental health by offering advice on managing workloads and achieving a work-life balance. A coach explained:

I've a mentor who is good. I trust them...have open conversations with them and seek advice. So, everything for me is around stress management. Whether it be job related or work-life balance related...They help with that so much, as they have been through it.

In regard to peer support, another coach indicated that, "As an individual, if I was going through something, and felt I needed mental health support...if I wanted to talk to colleagues about it, I could." Likewise, a practitioner reported, "the team here are really good. If there are any issues, we'll talk to each other and offload...It's so supportive, and key to our wellbeing." Finally, some of the practitioners identified that they received formal peer-supervision in their role. Although such supervision was focused on technical discussions, it was also deemed to enhance their wellbeing and mental health. One practitioner explained: "...so if we need to go through an athlete case, we've got that outlet which is fantastic. While its workforce related, those discussions can help wellbeing, as you feel supported in your role."

475 Discussion

The aim of the study was to examine the specific factors perceived to impact positively and negatively the wellbeing and mental health of coaches and sport science practitioners working within an elite sport. Through analysis of the data, several *risk factors* were perceived to affect detrimentally the wellbeing and mental health of the coaches and practitioners working within the selected performance setting. The key risk factors included excessive workload, post-competition loss, and a feeling of isolation. In addition, organizational culture,

transformational leadership, and access to quality social support were perceived as the main *protective factors* which held the potential to maintain or enhance the wellbeing and mental health of the coaches and practitioners.

Risk Factors for Wellbeing and Mental Health

Congruent with previous research (e.g., Bentzen et al., 2016), the current study identified that coaches and practitioners experience a considerable workload when supporting athletes within the performance/elite sport environment. While a high workload is known to lower wellbeing and increase mental health concerns (Carson et al., 2019), this was not always the case for a number of participants within the current study. This appeared to be the result of those coaches and practitioners perceiving their workload was expected, acceptable, and within their capability, which encouraged a degree of perceived control and efficacy. Consequently, it is likely that they appraised their workload as a challenge (rather than threat), which may have mitigated the negative impact on their wellbeing (see Arnold, et al., 2019; Norris et al., 2017). It is also likely that the supportive and flexible leadership style received by many of the coaches and practitioners also contributed to their ability to manage their workload and buffer its effect on wellbeing and mental health (e.g., Skakon et al., 2010).

Nevertheless, there were specific periods of the year and competitive cycle when the workload increased beyond routine levels (i.e., athlete injury, screening, and before/during major events), and where certain staff perceived an increasing susceptibility to lowered wellbeing. This is unsurprising as coping effectiveness often decreases when the volume of organizational demands increases beyond a critical point (e.g., Levy et al., 2009). New support staff, those who worked in isolation, and practitioners responsible for providing psychological support to athletes, appeared particularly vulnerable to the negative impact of the high workload. Specifically, new staff were reluctant to refuse additional work requests and were less able to manage the multiple demands of their role. Hence, wellbeing may have been affected by their relative workload being higher than their more experienced counterparts (see

Carson et al., 2019). Moreover, the new staff and those working in isolation had less access to social support, which is likely to have affected their ability to cope with their workload demands, and in turn, impact their wellbeing and mental health negatively (see Winnubst & Schabracq, 1996). Finally, practitioners responsible for the psychological preparation of the athletes, perceived their workload demands had increased due to their role in supporting the organization's increased prioritization of athlete wellbeing and mental health. Therefore, the perceived rise in vulnerability to lowered wellbeing during acute periods of the year, is an understandable outcome.

Of interest, despite the acute workload being removed post-Games, the wellbeing and mental health of certain support staff appeared to remain vulnerable at this point due to the loss of purpose and work-related support networks. A small body of literature has examined why athletes may experience low wellbeing post-Games (e.g., Howells, & Lucassen, 2018), though to our knowledge, the impact of this period on the support staff has not been examined empirically. As such, this study has identified the need for additional research in this area.

Accordingly, an understanding of the risk factors identified and explored within the current study (i.e., excessive workload, post-competition loss, and a feeling of isolation) can be utilized to support the wellbeing and in turn, the mental health of coaches and practitioners working within the elite/performance environment. Firstly, it is important for an NGB's leadership group to explore opportunities to reduce or redistribute the workload during critical periods for staff, particularly those who are new or less experienced. Then, with a current emphasis on sporting organizations prioritizing athlete wellbeing and mental health, it is essential that adequate resources are directed towards practitioners who offer the relevant expertise to implement this work. Otherwise, and as indicated within the current study, the paradoxical effect is that the wellbeing of those practitioners can be harmed. Finally, it would also be of value for NGB's to ensure that social support networks can be accessed by staff who work in isolation (e.g., Searle, & Tuckey, 2017). This could include facilitated peer-mentoring (internal

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

and external to the organization) that provides emotional support, and encourages conversations regarding efficient working practices (Jones, Harris et al., 2009).

Moreover, at the individual level, it may be advantageous for coaches and practitioners to explore strategies which facilitate a sense of control over their workload during the critical periods of the competitive cycle. By doing so, they are more likely to appraise the work-related demand as a challenge (Folkman & Lazarus, 1984), which can alleviate its negative impact on wellbeing (Jones & Fletcher, 2003). As an example, support staff could be encouraged to develop proactive coping strategies (e.g., preparation and planning; Levy et al., 2009), utilize Rational Emotive Behavioral Therapy (REBT; Bernard, 2019), or employ mindfulness exercises (see Henriksen et al., 2020), which have been shown to encourage perceived control and challenge appraisals of acute stressors. Furthermore, support staff may wish to consider job crafting, which, informed by the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007), involves the modification of their role to meet their psychological needs (Berg et al., 2013). This entails reframing work tasks in a manner that matches employee's own skills and preferences, requires the development of new skills, encourages interpersonal relationships, and makes those tasks more meaningful (Tims et al., 2013). Job crafting has also been shown to increase individual's' perceived resources to maintain wellbeing and mental health when exposed to a high workload (see Tims et al., 2013). Finally, support staff should consider accessing psychological support post-Games, to ensure a healthy transition into their normal working routine.

Protective Factors of Wellbeing and Mental Health

All participants within the current study identified that effective organizational culture, transformational leadership, and access to quality social support networks were the key factors that protected or enhanced their wellbeing and mental health when completing their role. Consequently, and in agreement with Arnold et al. (2019), it is evident that many support staff within this particular highly demanding performance environment, perceived they experienced

high levels of wellbeing and mental health, when their organizational climate engendered necessary supportive properties. In this case, such supportive properties included an organizational culture that encompassed a collective vision, a sense of togetherness, and the provision of a challenge/supportive environment.

An organizational vision can lead to positive outcomes for the organization and its staff, if it becomes more than a slogan through employees accepting it is relevant and meaningful (Griffin et al., 2010; Kirkpatrick, 2016). It is evident that most participants in the current study had 'bought into' the vision and were being influenced positively by it. This internalization process occurred through extensive communication of the values underpinning the vision across the organization, and the reinforcement of behaviors that reflected those values (i.e., integration, integrity and innovation). Congruent with the results of this study, there is evidence elsewhere in the literature to suggest that if a vision is aspirational, aligns with the values of the workforce, and is collectively accepted, it can have a distal influence on wellbeing through increasing perceived resources (Albrecht, 2010). Indeed, it is important to note that at the core of any effective and sustainable high-performance environment, is a vision that is articulated by leaders and sought by staff (Jones, Gittins et al., 2009).

A sense of togetherness was perceived by the coaches and practitioners as an important aspect of the organizational culture, which protected their wellbeing and mental health. By satisfying the psychological need for belonging, and facilitating social support across the group, their wellbeing and mental health was maintained (Ryan, 2009). As such, to enhance the wellbeing and mental health of support staff within high-performance sport, it is essential for togetherness to be cultivated through strategies such as team building, team goals, and team norms (Cotterill, 2012), with particular attention paid to how this can be facilitated for new staff and those who work alone. Such togetherness also appeared to increase levels of awareness regarding others' wellbeing and mental health, and a willingness to offer emotional support. Thus, being a cohesive group seemed to have engendered MHL which can also impact

staff wellbeing and mental health positively (Jorm et al., 2006). Indeed, to support the collective wellbeing and mental health of the workforce, coaches and practitioners within elite sport may benefit from completing MHL training (Gorczynski et al., in press).

The support staff within the current study considered their working environment to be both challenging and supportive. Therefore, and as found previously (see Sarkar & Fletcher, 2017), such an environment can protect individuals' wellbeing and mental health by fostering psychological resilience. Indeed, this finding reinforces the importance of developing resilience among practitioners (Wagstaff et al., 2018), through an organizational climate that both challenges the staff via high expectations, developmental feedback, accountability, and responsibility, while also providing necessary support through motivational feedback, encouraging sensible risk-taking, using mistakes as a learning opportunity, and offering emotional support (Fletcher & Sarkar, 2016).

The actions of the organization's leaders were noted as an important protective factor of the coaches' and practitioners' wellbeing and mental health. Specifically, the leaders provided and reinforced a shared organizational vision that promoted co-operation and innovation; showed individualized support, consideration, and flexibility towards staff; while also demanding clear and challenging expectations of the team. All of which are transformational leadership behaviors and associated with increased occupational self-efficacy, motivation, role clarity, empowerment, psychosocial resources, and employee wellbeing (see Arnold, 2017). Therefore, the challenge for all leaders within the performance sport setting is to demonstrate such transformational behaviors throughout the competitive cycle, and across the staffing base.

Finally, access to quality social support was identified as a key determinant of wellbeing and mental health among the coaches and practitioners within this study. That is, access to formal and informal support networks protected the individual from the demands of working within a highly pressurized environment. It has been established that social support can provide both psychological and material resources to individuals, thereby improving their problem-

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

solving behaviors, and increasing self-efficacy, positive emotions, and perceived control. Consequently, the ability to cope with stressors encountered, and maintain/enhance wellbeing and mental health is improved (see Cohen, 2004). Thus, all support staff within elite/performance sport should benefit from having access to informational and emotional social support via different sources, that could include mentoring and supervision. Given the potential detrimental effects of being a new member of staff and working in isolation, maximizing opportunities to develop support networks for those staff, is particularly important (see Jones, Harris et al., 2009).

Summary and Applied Implications

This study extends the literature by exploring the specific factors perceived to affect positively and negatively, the wellbeing and mental health of both coaches and sport science practitioners. The findings point to a number of take-home messages that can be used by NGB's to inform their organizational climate and working practices. First, there is a need for the leadership group to remain mindful of the acute rise in workload for coaches and practitioners during certain periods of the competitive cycle. Hence, to enhance wellbeing and protect mental health at those times, additional resources and support may be required, especially for new staff and those who work in isolation. Second, the wellbeing and mental health of support staff may also be facilitated by the provision of transformational leadership behaviors that engender an aspirational vision, a challenging and supportive environment, and a sense of belonging. Third, due to a need to prioritize and support athlete wellbeing and mental health, it may be of value to reflect on the resources available (e.g., staffing/financial) for those responsible for delivering this work. Fourth, it is likely to be advantageous for practitioners and coaches to learn and employ strategies which increase their perceived control and efficacy over their workload. Fifth, it appears beneficial to encourage all support staff to receive MHL training. Finally, there appears to be a need for the provision of psychological support for coaches and practitioners returning from major events.

It is evident therefore, that to support the wellbeing and mental health of coaches and practitioners within the high-performance sport environment, it is necessary to adopt an ecological systems approach (see Purcell et al., 2019). That is, an effective intervention must address the individual-level factors (i.e., develop the coaches' and practitioner's coping skills); the micro-level factors (i.e., a social support network of peers, mentors and family); and the organizational-level factors (i.e., transformational leadership, vision and values) affecting wellbeing and mental health.

Limitations and Future Research

It is important to note that the conclusions reached, and applied implications offered, have emerged from one sporting organization. Moreover, few demographic and cultural differences among the group were evident or raised (religiosity, ethnicity, sexuality etc.,). Therefore, it is necessary for future research to examine factors that affect the wellbeing and mental health of a larger number of support staff, across differing sports, and within a culturally heterogenous sample. In addition, the three coaches who took part in the study (i.e., within the focus group), did not complete individual interviews at their request. As it is possible that the group setting prevented the discussion of detailed and sensitive information, there remains a need for future studies to explore further, the personal experiences relating to coach wellbeing and mental health within elite sport.

The data collected were also based on the participants' subjective recall, and so a longitudinal, mixed methods research design would be of benefit for future research. This approach could ascertain objective levels of wellbeing and mental health through a competitive cycle, and establish a clearer association between stressors, coping resources, and wellbeing or mental health. Furthermore, although the practitioners within the study worked full-time within elite sport, they also supported athletes outside of the NGB examined within this study. Therefore, while the participants were encouraged to discuss their experiences within the one sport, it is possible that their perception of risk and protective factors were influenced by the

organizational climate outside this sport in question. Finally, while most findings related to both coaches and practitioners, a small number were relevant to specific practitioner roles (i.e., physiotherapist and those who provided psychological support to athletes). It would be advantageous therefore, for researchers to explore in more comparative detail, any nuanced differences in the factors affecting the wellbeing and mental health of practitioners across disciplines/roles.

Nevertheless, the findings of the study remain salient, as the perceptions of the information-rich participants have provided a detailed, much-needed, and resonating insight into the factors perceived to affect the wellbeing and mental health of coaches and practitioners working within elite sport. In addition, those insights can be utilized to inform applied recommendation that can support the wellbeing and mental health of those working within the high-performance sport environment.

676	References
677	Albrecht, S.L. (2010). Employee engagement: 10 key questions for research and practice. In
678	S.L. Albrecht (Ed.), New horizons in management. Handbook of employee engagement:
679	Perspectives, issues, research and practice (pp. 3-19). Cheltenham, UK. Edward Elgar
680	Publishing.
681	American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental
682	disorders (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: Author.
683	Arnold, K.A. (2017). Transformational leadership and employee psychological wellbeing: A
684	review and directions for future research. Journal of Occupational Health
685	Psychology, 22, 381. doi/10.1037/ocp0000062
686	Arnold, R., Collington, S., Manley, H., Rees, S., Soanes, J., & Williams, M. (2019). "The
687	Team Behind the Team": Exploring the organizational stressor experiences of sport
688	science and management staff in elite sport. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 31, 7-
689	26. doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2017.1407836
690	Bakker, A.B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model. Journal of
691	Managerial Psychology, 22, 309-328. doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115
692	Bentzen, M., Lemyre, P.N., & Kenttä, G. (2016). Development of exhaustion for high-
693	performance coaches in association with workload and motivation: A person-centered
694	approach. Psychology of Sport & Exercise, 22, 10-19.
695	doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.06.004
696	Bentzen, M., Lemyre, N., & Kenttä, G. (2017). A comparison of high-performance football
697	coaches experiencing high-versus low-burnout symptoms across a season of play:
698	Quality of motivation and recovery matters. International Sport Coaching Journal, 4,
699	133-146. <u>doi.org/10.1123/iscj.2016-0045</u>
700	Bentzen, M., Kenttä, G., Richter, A., & Lemyre, P.N. (2020). Impact of Job Insecurity on

- 701 Psychological Well-and Ill-Being among High Performance Coaches. *International*
- Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17, 6939.
- 703 Berg, J.M., Dutton, J.E., & Wrzesniewski, A. (2013). Job crafting and meaningful work. In B.
- J. Dik., Z.S. Byrne, & M.F. Steger (Eds.), *Purpose and meaning in the workplace* (pp.
- 705 81–104). Washington, DC, American Psychological Association.
- 706 Bernard, M.E. (2019). REBT in the Workplace. In. M.E. Bernard & W Dryden (Eds.),
- 707 Advances in REBT (pp. 353-380). Cham, Switzerland, Springer.
- 708 Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2013). Successful qualitative research: A practical guide for
- 709 beginners. London: Sage.
- 710 Braun, V., Clarke, V., & Weate, P. (2016). Using thematic analysis in sport and exercise
- research. In. B. Smith, & A.C. Sparkes (Eds.), Routledge handbook of qualitative
- 712 research in sport and exercise. Abingdon, Oxon. Routledge.
- 713 Carson, F., Malakellis, M., Walsh, J., Main, L.C., & Kremer, P. (2019). Examining the mental
- wellbeing of Australian sport coaches. *International Journal of Environmental Research*
- 715 & Public Health, 16, 4601. doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16234601
- 716 Carson, F., McCormack, C., & Walsh, J. (2018). Women in Sport Coaching: challenges, stress
- and wellbeing.: Journal of Physical Education, Sport, Health & Recreation, 7, 63-67.
- 718 Cohen, S. (2004). Social relationships and health. *American Psychologist*, 59, 676-684.
- 719 Cotterill, S. (2012). *Team psychology in sports: Theory and practice*. Abingdon, Routledge.
- 720 Cropley, B., Baldock, L., Mellalieu, S., & Neil, R. (2016). Coping with the demands of
- 721 professional practice: Sport psychology consultants' perspectives. *The Sport*
- 722 *Psychologist, 30,* 290-302. doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2015-0125
- 723 Diener, E. (1984). Subjective well-being. *Psychological Bulletin* 95, 542–575.
- 724 doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.95.3.542
- Dodge, R., Daly, A.P., Huyton, J., & Sanders, L.D. (2012). The challenge of defining
- wellbeing. International Journal of Wellbeing, 2, 222-235. doi:10.5502/ijw.v2i3.4

- 727 Fletcher, D., & Arnold, R. (2017). Stress in sport: The role of the organizational environment. 728 In C.R.D. Wagstaff (Ed.), The organizational psychology of sport: Key issues and practical applications (p. 83–100). Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group. 729 Fletcher, D., & Sarkar, M. (2016). Mental fortitude training: An evidence-based approach to 730 731 developing psychological resilience for sustained success. Journal of Sport Psychology in Action, 7, 135-157. doi.org/10.1080/21520704.2016.1255496 732 Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1984). Stress, appraisal, and coping. New York: Springer 733 734 Publishing Company. Giacobbi, P.R., Poczwardowski, A., & Hager, P. (2005). A Pragmatic Research Philosophy for 735 736 Sport & Exercise Psychology. The Sport Psychologist, 19, 18-31 doi.org/10.1123/tsp.19.1.18 737 738 Gorczynski, P., Gibson, K., Clarke, N., Mensah, T., & Summers, R. (in press). Examining 739 mental health literacy, help seeking behaviours, distress, and wellbeing in UK coaches. European Physical Education Review. 740 741 Griffin, M.A., Parker, S.K., & Mason, C.M. (2010). Leader vision and the development of adaptive and proactive performance: A longitudinal study. Journal of Applied 742 Psychology, 95, 171-182. doi/10.1037/a0017263 743 Gouttebarge V, Castaldelli-Maia J.M., Gorczynski P., Hainline, B., Hitchcock, M.E., 744 Kerkhioffs, G.M. & Rice, S.M. (2019). Occurrence of mental health symptoms and 745 746 disorders in current and former elite athletes: A systematic review and meta-747 analysis. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 53, 700-706. doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-100671 748 Gouttebarge, V., Frings-Dresen, M., & Sluiter, J. (2015). Mental and psychosocial health 749 750 among current and former professional footballers. Occupational Medicine, 65, 190–196. 751 doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqu202
- 752 Gulliver, A., Griffiths, K.M., Mackinnon, A., Batterham, P.J., & Stanimirovic, R. (2015). The

- mental health of Australian elite athletes. Journal of Science & Medicine in Sport, 18, 753 754 255-261. doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2014.04.006 755 Hammond, T., Gialloreto, C., Kubas, H., & Davis H.H. (2013). The prevalence of failure-756 based depression among elite athletes. Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine, 23, 273-277. 757 doi.org/10.1097/jsm.0b013e318287b870 Henriksen, K., Larsen, C.H., & Hansen, J. (2020). Taking our own medicine, The mindful 758 759 sport psychology practitioner, in high pressure events. In. K. Henriksen., J. Hansen., & C.H. Larsen (Eds.), Mindfulness and acceptance in sport: How to help the athlete 760 perform and thrive under pressure. Abingdon, Routledge. 761 762 Howells, K., & Lucassen, M. (2018). 'Post-Olympic blues': The diminution of celebrity in Olympic athletes. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*, 37, 67-78. 763 764 hdoi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.04.008 765 Hughes L., & Leavey, G. (2012) Setting the bar: Athletes and vulnerability to mental illness. 766 British Journal of Psychiatry, 200, 95–96. doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.111.095976 Iasiello, M., Van Agteren, J., & Muir-Cochrane, E. (2020). Mental health and/or mental 767 illness: A scoping review of the evidence and implications of the dual-continua model of 768 769 mental health. Evidence Base, 1-45. doi.10.21307/eb-2020-001 770 Jones, F., & Fletcher, B.C. (2003). Job control, physical health and psychological wellbeing. In. M.J. Shabracq., J.A.M. Winnubst., & C.L. Cooper (Eds.), The handbook of work and 771 772 health psychology (pp. 121-142). Chichester, West Sussex. John Wiley & Sons. 773 Jones, G., Gittins, M., & Hardy, L. (2009). Creating an environment where high performance is inevitable and sustainable: The high-performance environment model. *Annual Review* 774 775 of High-Performance Coaching & Consulting, 1, 139-150. 776 Jones, R. L., Harris, R., & Miles, A. (2009). Mentoring in sports coaching: A review of the
- doi.org/10.1080/17408980801976569 778

literature. Physical Education & Sport Pedagogy, 14, 267-284.

777

- Jorm, A.F., Barney, L.J., Christensen, H., Highet, N.J., Kelly, C.M., & Kitchener, B.A.
- 780 (2006). Research on mental health literacy: What we know and what we still need to
- 781 know. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 40, 3-5.
- 782 Kerai, S., Wadey, R., & Salim, J. (2019). Stressors experienced in elite sport by
- 783 physiotherapists. Sport, Exercise, & Performance Psychology. 8, 255
- 784 272. doi/10.1037/spy0000154
- 785 Keyes, C.L. (1998). Social well-being. Social Psychological Quarterly, 61, 121-140.
- 786 Keyes, C.L., Dhingra, S.S., & Simoes, E.J. (2010). Change in level of positive mental health
- as a predictor of future risk of mental illness. American Journal of Public Health, 100,
- 788 2366-2371. doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2010.192245
- 789 Keyes, C.L. & Lopez., S.J. (2005). Toward a science of mental health. In Snyder, R & Lopez,
- 790 S. (Eds.), *Handbook of positive psychology* (pp. 45–59). Oxford. Oxford University Press
- 791 Keyes, C.L., Shmotkin, D., & Ryff, C.D. (2002). Optimizing well-being: The empirical
- encounter of two traditions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82, 1007-1022.
- 793 doi/10.1037/0022-3514.82.6.1007
- Keyes, C.L., Dhingra, S.S., & Simoes, E J. (2010). Change in level of positive mental health
- as a predictor of future risk of mental illness. American Journal of Public Health, 100,
- 796 2366–2371.
- 797 Levitt, H.M., Morrow, S., Wertz, F., Motulsky, S., & Ponterotto, J. (2016). Recommendations
- for designing and reviewing qualitative research: Promoting methodological integrity.
- 799 *Task force report to the Society for Qualitative Inquiry, Section of Division, 5.5.*
- 800 Levy, A., Nicholls, A., Marchant, D., & Polman, R. (2009). Organizational stressors, coping,
- and coping effectiveness: A longitudinal study with an elite coach. *International Journal*
- 802 of Sports Science & Coaching, 4, 31-45. doi.org/10.1260%2F1747-9541.4.1.31

803	Lundqvist, C. (2011) Well-being in competitive sport - The feel-good factor? A review of
804	conceptual considerations of well-being, International Review of Sport and Exercise
805	Psychology, 4, 109-127. doi.10.1080/1750984X.2011.584067
806	Norris, L.A., Didymus, F.F., & Kaiseler, M. (2017). Stressors, coping, and wellbeing among
807	sports coaches: A systematic review. Psychology of Sport & Exercise, 33, 93-112.
808	doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.08.005
809	Purcell, R., Gwyther, K., & Rice, S.M. (2019). Mental health in elite athletes: Increased
810	awareness requires an early intervention framework to respond to athlete needs. Sports
811	Medicine-Open, 5, 46. doi.org/10.1186/s40798-019-0220-1
812	Rorty, R. (1990). Introduction: Pragmatism as anti-representationalism. In J.P. Murphy (Ed.),
813	Pragmatism: From Peirce to Davidson. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
814	Rorty, R. (1991). Objectivity, relativism and truth: Philosophical paper, volume I.
815	Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
816	Ryan, R. (2009). Self-determination theory and wellbeing. Social Psychology, 84, 848-850.
817	Ryff, C.D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Exploration on the meaning of
818	psychological well-being. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57, 1069-1081.
819	Sarkar, M., & Fletcher, D. (2017). How resilience training can enhance wellbeing and
820	performance. In M.F. Crane (Ed.), Managing for resilience: A practical guide for
821	employee wellbeing and organizational performance (pp. 227-237). Abingdon,
822	Routledge.
823	
	Searle, B.J., & Tuckey, M.R. (2017). Differentiating challenge, hindrance, and threat in the
824	Searle, B.J., & Tuckey, M.R. (2017). Differentiating challenge, hindrance, and threat in the stress process. In C.L. Cooper, & M.P. Leiter (Eds.), <i>The Routledge companion to</i>
824 825	
	stress process. In C.L. Cooper, & M.P. Leiter (Eds.), The Routledge companion to
825	stress process. In C.L. Cooper, & M.P. Leiter (Eds.), <i>The Routledge companion to wellbeing at work</i> (pp. 25-36). Abingdon, Oxon, Routledge.

829	Smith, B. (2018). Generalizability in qualitative research: Misunderstandings, opportunities
830	and recommendations for the sport and exercise sciences. Qualitative Research in Sport,
831	Exercise & Health, 10, 137-149. doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2017.1393221
832	Smith, B., & McGannon, K.R. (2017). Developing rigor in qualitative research: Problems and
833	opportunities within sport and exercise psychology. International Review of Sport &
834	Exercise Psychology, 11, 1-21. doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2017.1317357
835	Smith, B., & Sparkes, A.C (2016). Interviews: Qualitative interviewing in sport and exercise
836	sciences. In. B. Smith & A.C. Sparkes (Eds.), Routledge handbook of qualitative
837	research in sport and exercise (pp.103-123). New York, NY: Routledge.
838	Sparkes, A.C., & Smith, B. (2014). Qualitative research methods in sport, exercise and
839	health. Abingdon: Routledge.
840	Swann, C., Moran, A., & Piggott, D. (2015). Defining elite athletes: Issues in the study of
841	expert performance in sport psychology. Psychology of Sport & Exercise, 16, 3-14.
842	doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.07.004
843	Tims, M., Bakker, A.B., & Derks, D. (2013). The impact of job crafting on job demands, job
844	resources, and wellbeing. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 18, 230.
845	doi.org/10.1037/a0032141
846	Wagstaff, C., Hings, R., Larner, R., & Fletcher, D. (2018). Psychological resilience's
847	moderation of the relationship between the frequency of organizational stressors and
848	burnout in athletes and coaches. The Sport Psychologist, 32, 178-188.
849	doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2016-0068
850	Winnubst, C.L., & Schabracq, M.J. (1996) Social support, stress and organizations: Toward
851	optimal matching. In. M.J. Schabracq, J.A.M. Winnubst & C.L. Cooper (eds.), Handbook
852	of Work and Health Psychology (pp. 87-102). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
853	World Health Organization (2005). Mental health atlas, 2005. Mental Health: Evidence and
854	research. Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse, WHO, Geneva.