University of Wollongong Research Online

University of Wollongong Thesis Collection 1954-2016

University of Wollongong Thesis Collections

2007

Monitoring of seagrasses in Lake Illawarra, NSW

Nichanan Tadkaew University of Wollongong

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses

University of Wollongong Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Recommended Citation

Tadkaew, Nichanan, Monitoring of seagrasses in Lake Illawarra, NSW, MEnvSc thesis, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, 2007. http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/605

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Monitoring of Seagrasses in Lake Illawarra, NSW

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of

MASTER OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE (RESEARCH)

from

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

by

NICHANAN TADKAEW

SCHOOL OF EARTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

2007

CERTIFICATION

I, Nichanan Tadkaew, declare that this thesis, submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Master of Environmental Science, in School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

Nichanan Tadkaew 8 January 2007

ABSTRACT

This research investigated the natural variability in leaf, shoot and meadow characteristics of *Zostera capricorni* in a coastal lagoon in SE Australia. The primary objective was to determine a set of parameters which may be indicative of the health of the major seagrass beds and which could be adopted in any long-term monitoring program. The study site was Lake Illawarra, a micro-tidal coastal lagoon located approximately 80 km south of Sydney (Australia), which contains approximately 5 km² of seagrass beds.

A pilot study investigated the optimum quadrat size and sample size for measuring seagrass characteristics, given the natural variability of seagrasses in the Lake. It was found that 20 quadrats of 0.25 m² could detect a change in seagrass percent cover of about 40% or more. The main study was carried out during winter and spring (2005) at three locations containing extensive seagrass beds, namely: Windang Peninsula; Bevans Island; and, Haywards Bay. At each location, sites were chosen at two depths, shallow (~ 1 m depth) and deep (~ 2m depth). Leaf, shoot and meadow parameters were assessed at each depth and location for each season. The measurements were designed to minimize damage to the seagrass beds. Leaf parameters assessed were number of leaves per shoot, maximum leaf length, leaf area per shoot, leaf mass, epiphyte mass and ratio of epiphyte to leaf mass. Percent cover was the major shoot parameter measured. Meadow condition was investigated by a relatively new technique involving small-scale strip mapping.

Results indicated that maximum leaf length, leaf area, leaf mass, epiphyte mass and ratio of epiphyte to leaf mass displayed consistent patterns with significant differences between seasons and depths. Percent cover exhibited no consistent pattern between depths and seasons. The small-scale strip maps revealed differences in the degree and type of fragmentation of seagrass meadows between different sites. Overall, these studies suggested that leaf characteristics such as maximum leaf length, leaf area, epiphyte mass and ratio of epiphyte to leaf mass would be useful as

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my two supervisors, Associate Professor Ron West and Professor John Morrison, for their invaluable advice and direction.

Thank also to Mark O'Donnell and Marina McGlinn, for assistance with equipment and other laboratory issues, and to Sandra Quin for help with all sorts of matters. Thanks to Geoff Barrett for assist in driving a car and boat, also company in the field. Thanks are extended to Dongyan Liu for assisting in the field and to Martine Jones for her statistical advice.

I am also grateful to Royal Thai Government for their financial support, and my boss and colleagues at the Office of Environmental Fund, Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning, for their support and encouragement.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents for their support throughout the years.

TABLES OF CONTENTS

Title	i
Certification	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Background	
1.2 Rationale for this study	3
1.3 Regional setting	4
1.4 Aims and objectives	6
1.5 Thesis outline	6
Chapter 2: Literature Review	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Seagrass distribution in South-Eastern Australian region	8
2.3 Zostera in southeast Australia	10
2.4 Significance and ecological roles of seagrasses	11
2.5 Environmental factors affecting seagrass distribution and growth	13
2.5.1 Light and depth	13
2.5.2 Temperature	14
2.5.3 Salinity	15
2.5.4 Nutrients	15
2.5.5 Sediments	16
2.5.6 Wind, wave and current action	16
2.6 Anthropogenic impacts on seagrass growth and distribution	17
2.7 Monitoring of seagrasses	17
2.8 Review of seagrass monitoring methods	18

2.8.1 Remote sensing for large-scale seagrass mapping	20
2.8.2 In situ observation: small-scale approaches for sampling designs	21
2.9 Seagrass condition indicators	23
2.9.1 Percentage cover	24
2.9.2 Leaf length	25
2.9.3 Number of leaves per shoot	26
2.9.4 Epiphyte loads	26
2.10 Previous studies of seagrasses in Lake Illawarra	27
2.11 Summary	29
Chapter 3: Materials and Methods	30
3.1 Introduction	30
3.2 Study area	30
3.2.1 Introduction	30
3.2.2 Water quality in Lake Illawarra	31
3.3 Pilot study	34
3.4 Lake Illawarra seagrass study	36
3.4.1 Assessment of seagrass leaf parameters	38
Number of leaves per shoot, leaf length and leaf area	
Leaf mass, epiphyte mass and ratio of epiphyte to leaf mass	
3.4.2 Assessment of seagrass shoot parameter	38
Percentage cover	
3.4.3 Assessment of seagrass meadow parameter	39
3.5 Statistical analysis	41
Chapter 4: Results and Discussion	43
4.1 Introduction	43
4.2 Pilot study	43
4.3 Lake Illawarra seagrass study (main study)	48
4.3.1 Assessment of seagrass leaf parameters	48
- Number of leaves per shoot	
- Maximum leaf length	
- Leaf area per shoot	

- Leaf mass	
- Epiphyte mass	
- Ratio of epiphyte mass to leaf mass	
4.3.2 Assessment of seagrass shoot parameter	64
- Percentage cover	
4.3.3 Assessment of seagrass meadow parameter	66
- Small-scale mapping of fragmentation	
- Random transects	
4.3.4 Summary of major results for leaf and shoot characteristics	73
Chapter 5 General Discussion and Conclusions	75
5.1 Introduction	75
5.2 Pilot study	75
5.3 Review of seagrass monitoring parameters	75
5.4 Recommendations for a seagrass monitoring program in	78
Lake Illawarra	
5.5 Recommendations for future research	81
5.6 Concluding remarks	82
References	83
Appendix	99

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Map of the South Eastern Australia region, showing Lake Illawarra, the study location for the current research project, located approximately 80 km south of Sydney, Australia.	5
Figure 3.1. Location of the sampling areas in Lake Illawarra.	32
Figure 3.2. Haphazardly sampling the <i>Zostera capricorni</i> seagrass meadows, using a quadrat.	35
Figure 3.3. Schematic diagram of the sampling methods (a total of 20 quadrats was sampled from each depth, at each site).	37
Figure 3.4. A T-shaped piece of PVC pipe used for assessment of seagrass meadows.	40
Figure 3.5. Method of assessment of seagrass fragmentation.	40
Figure 4.1. Comparisons of running means (A) and standard deviations of running means (B) of <i>Zostera capricorni</i> percentage cover for increasing sample size. (\star :1m ² , \blacksquare :0.25 m ² and \blacktriangle : 0.0625 m ² quadrat size), n = 2-30.	45
Figure 4.2. Seasonal and spatial patterns of the number of leaves per shoot of <i>Zostera capricorni</i> in 6 sites. Standard errors are shown. Bars join means where there is no significant difference.	52
Figure 4.3. Seasonal and spatial patterns of maximum leaf length of <i>Zostera capricorni</i> in 6 sites. Standard errors are shown. Bars join means where there is no significant difference.	54
Figure 4.4. Seasonal and spatial patterns of leaf area per shoot of <i>Zostera capricorni</i> in 6 sites. Standard errors are shown. Bars join means where there is no significant difference.	56

Figure 4.5. Seasonal and spatial patterns of leaf dry weight of <i>Zostera capricorni</i> in 6 sites. Standard errors are shown. Bars join means where there is no significant difference.	58
Figure 4.6. Epiphyte on the Zostera capricorni leaves (November 2005).	60
Figure 4.7. Seasonal and spatial patterns of epiphyte mass of <i>Zostera capricorni</i> in 6 sites. Standard errors are shown. Bars join means where there is no significant difference.	61
Figure 4.8. Seasonal and spatial patterns of ratio of epiphyte mass to leaf mass of <i>Zostera capricorni</i> in 6 sites. Standard errors are shown. Bars join means where there is no significant difference.	63
Figure 4.9. Seasonal and spatial patterns of percentage cover of <i>Zostera capricorni</i> in 6 sites. Standard errors are shown. Bars join means where there is no significant difference.	65
Figure 4.10. Maps $(3x30 \text{ m})$ of 3 replicates of Windang Peninsula site (WP1) showing variation in seagrass coverage during spring time. Each square represents a 0.25 m ² area of seagrass cover; unvegetated areas are unmarked. Average percentage cover for these 3 sites was 96.9% (see Table 4.8).	67
Figure 4.11. Maps $(3x30 \text{ m})$ of 3 replicates of Windang Peninsula site (WP2) showing variation in seagrass coverage during spring time. Each square represents a 0.25 m ² area of seagrass cover; unvegetated areas are unmarked. Average percentage cover for these 3 sites was 93.5% (see Table 4.8).	68
Figure 4.12. Maps $(3x30 \text{ m})$ of 3 replicates of Bevans Island site (BI1) showing variation in seagrass coverage during spring time. Each square represents a 0.25 m ² area of seagrass cover; unvegetated areas are unmarked. Average percentage cover for these 3 sites was 99.8% (see Table 4.8).	69

Figure 4.13. Maps (3x30 m) of 3 replicates of Bevans Island site (BI2)70showing variation in seagrass coverage during spring time. Each squarerepresents a 0.25 m² area of seagrass cover; unvegetated areas areunmarked. Average percentage cover for these 3 sites was 98.3%(see Table 4.8).

Figure 4.14. Maps (3x30 m) of 3 replicates of Haywards Bay site (HB1)71showing variation in seagrass coverage during spring time. Each squarerepresents a 0.25 m² area of seagrass cover; unvegetated areas areunmarked. Average percentage cover for these 3 sites was 94.3%(see Table 4.8).

Figure 4.15. Maps (3x30 m) of 3 replicates of Haywards Bay site (HB2)72showing variation in seagrass coverage during spring time. Each squarerepresents a 0.25 m² area of seagrass cover; unvegetated areas areunmarked. Average percentage cover for these 3 sites was 84.6%(see Table 4.8).

Figure 4.16. Size distribution (surface area) of holes occurring in Zostera74capricorni meadow at 6 shallow sites during spring. Size classes are:74(2) $0.5m^2$ (4) 1 m² (6) 1.5 m² (8) 2.0 m² (10) 2.5 m² (12) 3.0 m² (14) 3.5 m²(16) 4 m² (18) 4.5 m²

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Summary of monitoring methods currently used to measure seagrass health	19
Table 3.1. A summary of the water quality parameters collected for three locations: Windang Peninsula, Bevans Island and Haywards Bay during winter and spring 2005.	33
Table 4.1. Mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation for three quadrat sizes used to sample percentage cover in <i>Zostera capricorni</i> beds at Bevans Island ($n = 30$).	44
Table 4.2. Results of one-way ANOVA for % cover in 1 m ² quadrat. Table indicates whether a significant difference ($p<0.5$) could be detected between two samples ($n = 10, 15, 20, 25, 30$), where the difference in mean % cover was adjusted to reflect a change in seagrass cover of between 10% and 100%	46
Table 4.3. Results of one-way ANOVA for % cover in 0.25 m ² quadrat. Table indicates whether a significant difference ($p<0.5$) could be detected between two samples ($n = 10, 15, 20, 25, 30$), where the difference in mean % cover was adjusted to reflect a change in seagrass cover of between 10% and 100%	46
Table 4.4. Results of one-way ANOVA for % cover in 0.0625 m ² quadrat. Table indicates whether a significant difference (p<0.5) could be detected between two samples (n = 10, 15, 20, 25, 30), where the difference in mean % cover was adjusted to reflect a change in seagrass cover of between 10% and 100%	47
Table 4.5. Summary of the estimated change in the percentage cover of <i>Zostera capricorni</i> that can be detected using different sample sizes andquadrat sizes, based on the pilot study in Lake Illawarra.	48

Table 4.6. Morphological variations for shoot parameters (percentage cover),49and for leaf parameters (number of leaves per shoot, maximum leaf length,leaf areas, leaf mass, epiphyte mass and ratio of epiphyte to leaf mass) of*Zostera capricorni* in Lake Illawarra during winter and spring. Mean andStandard error (S.E.) at each sampling time and sites are shown (n = 20 plants).Labelling of sites is as per Figure 3.3.

Table 4.7. Three- factor ANOVA to test for effects of site, depth and season50on mean percent cover, number of leaves per shoot, maximum leaf length,10leaf area, leaf mass, epiphyte mass and ratio of epiphyte to leaf mass of20Zostera capricorni at 6 sites in Lake Illawarra. F-ratios shown. Significance6of F test: P < 0.05 (*), P < 0.01 (**), P < 0.001(***).

Table 4.8. Number of holes per 90 m², percentage cover and percentage66fragmentation at three shallow locations: Windang Peninsula, Bevans Islandand Haywards Bay in spring.

Table 5.1. Advantages and disadvantages of various parameters used to79measure seagrass health as determined in this present study.