University of Wollongong

Research Online

University of Wollongong Thesis Collection 1954-2016

University of Wollongong Thesis Collections

2010

The characterisation of planar silicon pin diodes for use in proton therapy

Joel Poder University of Wollongong

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses

University of Wollongong Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe

their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the

conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Recommended Citation

Poder, Joel, The characterisation of planar silicon pin diodes for use in proton therapy, Master of Science thesis, Centre of Medical Radiation Physics - Faculty of Science, University of Wollongong, 2010. https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/3176

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au



University of Wollongong Thesis Collection

University of Wollongong Thesis Collections

2010

The characterisation of planar silicon pin diodes for use in proton therapy

Joel Poder University of Wollongong

Recommended Citation

Poder, Joel, The characterisation of planar silicon pin diodes for use in proton therapy, Master of Science thesis, Centre of Medical Radiation Physics - Faculty of Science, University of Wollongong, 2010. http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/3176

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact Manager Repository Services: morgan@uow.edu.au.



NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

The Characterisation of Planar Silicon PIN Diodes for Use in Proton Therapy

Joel Poder

This thesis is presented as part of the requirements for the award of the Degree of:

Master of Science - Research of the Centre Of Medical Radiation Physics, University of Wollongong

CERTIFICATION

I, Joel Poder, declare that this thesis, submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Master of Science – Research, in the Centre for Medical Radiation Physics, University of Wollongong is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

Joel Poder 28th June 2010

ABSTRACT

Many new techniques in the delivery of radiation therapy are being developed for the treatment of cancer. One of these new techniques, proton therapy is becoming increasingly popular due to the presence of the characteristic proton Bragg peak, which allows for better conformation of the dose to the tumour volume. The production of high LET secondary particles in the beam line and within the patient however could result in a significant contribution to the integral dose and diminish this potential advantage. Measured secondary particle doses from clinical proton facilities vary greatly; this is partly due to the differences in beam delivery methods at different centres and due also to the different methods used to measure this secondary particle dose.

The potential of quantification of this mixed particle dose can be achieved through practical and simple measurements of non-ionizing energy losses (NIEL) and ionizing energy losses (IEL). The suitable sensor for NIEL measurements is a silicon PIN diode, through the development of the silicon PIN diodes the possibility of the quantification and therefore significance of the dose delivered by the primary and secondary particles can be realised.

This thesis investigates the characterisation of the response of silicon PIN diodes for use in proton therapy, of particular interest is the in field forward bias response of the PIN diodes as well as their dependence on temperature and light.

Monte Carlo calculations are performed using the Geant4 platform to characterise the response of the silicon PIN diodes when placed in field during proton therapy. The forward bias response of the detector when placed in field was found to be dependent on protons only, with the neutron component of the response being negligible. This allows for the possibility of characterisation of the PIN diodes on the central axis of the beam.

The relative sensitivity of the PIN diodes to protons was found by dividing the forward bias response by the theoretical depth dose and it was found that the relative

sensitivity of the diode is independent of the phantom material and depends only on the initial energy of the primary proton beam.

Experiments are performed in order to characterise the response of the PIN diodes under various conditions. The effect on the forward bias response of the PIN diodes when exposed to visible light was examined by taking forward voltage measurements on each of the diodes both exposed and not exposed to visible light. It was found that the difference in forward bias voltage measurements with light incident on the diode and when light is blocked is no greater than the uncertainty involved in the measurement, using the dedicated forward bias voltage read – out system.

In order to investigate any change in forward bias voltage across the temperature range of interest each of the PIN diodes forward bias voltage is measured over a range from 25 - 35° C. The average temperature coefficient was found to be 0.75mV/° C at 1mA and 1.8mV/° C at 20mA. Considering that the variation in room temperature was expected to be minimal (< 1°C) the temperature of the diodes should differ very little over the several hours between pre and post irradiation read out.

The linearity of the current source in the read-out system is tested at current values of 1, 10, 15 and 20 mA over a wide range of resistances to ensure that the current remained constant over these values. A change in the linear relationship between voltage and resistance was observed in the 10, 15 and 20 mA characteristics. This change was attributed to the fact that the current source is no longer putting out a constant value, highlighting the limit of power in the forward bias voltage read-out device.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would firstly like to thank my supervisor Professor Anatoly Rozenfeld for his ongoing support, both scientifically and financially, throughout the duration of this project. I would also like to take this opportunity to thank Professor Rozenfeld and all other staff of the Department of Engineering Physics and the Centre for Medical Radiation Physics (CMRP) for their contribution made towards my education in field of Medical Physics and giving me the opportunity to begin a career in this field. I am grateful to have collaborated with many of the members of staff during my time at the University of Wollongong.

I would like to acknowledge the contribution made by my co-supervisors Dr Susanna Guatelli and PHD Candidate Stephen Dowdell, specifically for the time and effort they dedicated in assisting with the development of the Monte Carlo applications presented in this thesis. Their availability for my day to day enquiries, and the ideas they proposed regarding the development of these applications was invaluable and is much appreciated.

I would like to acknowledge the staff and students from the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO) Detector Research Laboratory: PHD candidate Amy Ziebell for her ideas and support with respect to the experimental components of this thesis, Dr. Mark Reinhard for his expertise in the use of the silicon PIN diodes and Mr. Adam Sarbutt for his assistance with the technical aspects of the detector electronics.

I thank also the laboratory staff of the CMRP Mr. Peter Ihnat and Mr. Terry Braddock as well as research fellows Dr. Marco Petasecca and Mr. Dean Cutajar for their support and expertise.

Finally, thank you to my family, friends and fellow students who have supported me and shown great patience during those stressful times that I have encountered throughout this project.

Certification	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	xi
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Vision of the Project	1
1.2 Motivation	1
1.3 Thesis Outline	2
2 Literature review & background	
2. 1 Proton Therapy	
2.1.1 Introduction to Proton Therapy	
2.1.2 Secondary Particles in Proton Therapy	5
2.1.3 Risk of Secondary Cancer in Proton Therapy	
2. 2 Monte Carlo Methods	
2.2.1 The Geant4 Monte Carlo Simulation Toolkit	
2. 3 Semiconductor Detectors	
2.3.1 PIN Diodes	
3 The Geant4 Monte Carlo Toolkit	
3. 1 User Action Classes	
3.1.1 G4UserDetectorConstruction	
3.1.2 G4VUserPhysicsList	
3.1.3 G4VUserPrimaryGeneratorAction	
3.1.4 G4VUserEventAction	
3.1.5 G4UserRunAction	
3.1.6 G4UserSteppingAction	
3. 2 Interface Commands	
3.3 Visualisation	
4 PIN Diode Experimental Characterisation	
4.1 Introduction	
4. 2 Methods	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	4.2.1	Linearity of Pulsed Current Source	31
	4.2.2	Effect of Light Exposure on PIN Diode Response	32
	4.2.3	PIN Diode Temperature Dependence	32
4	4.3 F	Results & Discussion	33
	4.3.1	Linearity of Pulsed Current Source	33
	4.3.2	Effect of Light Exposure on PIN Diode Response	37
	4.3.3	PIN Diode Temperature Dependence	39
5	Simul	ation of pin diode in field proton therapy response	41
5	5.1 I	ntroduction	41
5	5.2 N	Iethods	42
5	5.3 F	Results & Discussion	48
	5.3.1	PIN Diode Forward Bias Response to Protons	48
	5.3.2	Depth Dose Comparison	51
	5.3.3	PIN Diode Forward Bias Response to Neutrons	55
	5.3.4	Calculation of Function β	59
	5.3.5	Experimental Comparison	62
6	Concl	usions & Recommendations	65
6	5.1 C	Conclusions	65
6	5.2 F	Recommendations	66
Ref	erences		68

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 A typical proton Bragg peak. 62 MeV proton beam [2]4
Figure 2.2 Comparison of depth dose distributions for a mono-energetic 200 MeV
proton beam incident on a number of different phantom materials [5]
Figure 2.3 Depth dose distributions for a modulated 160 MeV proton beam incident
on a water phantom in linear scale (upper figure) and in a logarithmic scale
showing contributions of heavier secondary's (lower figure) [5]8
Figure 2.4 Energy-weighted neutron fluence spectra ($\Phi(E_n).E_n$) as a function of
neutron energy (E_n) around a passive scattering nozzle, with a 250MeV beam
entering the nozzle using a closed final proton collimating aperture [6]9
Figure 2.5 Energy-weighted neutron dose equivalent spectra $(H(E_n).E_n)$ as a function
of neutron energy (E_n) around a passive scattering nozzle, with a 250MeV beam
entering the nozzle using a closed final proton collimating aperture [6]9
Figure 2.6 Results measured with the SOI microdosimeter for both a patient specific
aperture/bolus portal and a 13cm circular QA aperture with no bolus present [4].
Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose
Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a
Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]11
Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4].11Figure 2.8 Plot of the measured neutron ambient dose equivalent as a function of
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]. Figure 2.8 Plot of the measured neutron ambient dose equivalent as a function of lateral distance from the beam. The squares correspond to measurements in a
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]. 11 Figure 2.8 Plot of the measured neutron ambient dose equivalent as a function of lateral distance from the beam. The squares correspond to measurements in a scatter beam line, the triangles correspond to measurements obtained at a sport scanning beam line [8]. Figure 2.9 Total estimated lifetime second cancer risks due to externally produced neutrons, for a 72Gy proton therapy lung-tumour plan at a passively scattered facility [3].
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]. 11 Figure 2.8 Plot of the measured neutron ambient dose equivalent as a function of lateral distance from the beam. The squares correspond to measurements in a scatter beam line, the triangles correspond to measurements obtained at a sport scanning beam line [8]. Figure 2.9 Total estimated lifetime second cancer risks due to externally produced neutrons, for a 72Gy proton therapy lung-tumour plan at a passively scattered facility [3]. Figure 3.1 - The Top Level architecture of Geant4. The open circle represents a using
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]. Figure 2.8 Plot of the measured neutron ambient dose equivalent as a function of lateral distance from the beam. The squares correspond to measurements in a scatter beam line, the triangles correspond to measurements obtained at a sport scanning beam line [8]. Figure 2.9 Total estimated lifetime second cancer risks due to externally produced neutrons, for a 72Gy proton therapy lung-tumour plan at a passively scattered facility [3]. Figure 3.1 - The Top Level architecture of Geant4. The open circle represents a using relationship; the category at the end of the circle uses the adjoined category 24 Figure 4.1 - The output of the in-built pulsed current source, with the 20 mA output
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]
 Figure 2.7 Dose equivalent at 5 cm from the field edge compared with the depth dose distribution of the proton beam as measured along the central axis with a Markus chamber [4]. 11 Figure 2.8 Plot of the measured neutron ambient dose equivalent as a function of lateral distance from the beam. The squares correspond to measurements in a scatter beam line, the triangles correspond to measurements obtained at a sport scanning beam line [8]. Figure 2.9 Total estimated lifetime second cancer risks due to externally produced neutrons, for a 72Gy proton therapy lung-tumour plan at a passively scattered facility [3]. Figure 3.1 - The Top Level architecture of Geant4. The open circle represents a using relationship; the category at the end of the circle uses the adjoined category 24 Figure 4.1 - The output of the in-built pulsed current source, with the 20 mA output shown in red and the 1mA output shown in black. 30 Figure 4.2- Experimental set-up for linearity measurements of pulsed current source

Figure 4.3– Experimental set-up for PIN diode temperature dependence measurements, the area of temperature control is indicated by the dashed line.33

- Figure 4.9– Forward bias voltage temperature characteristic of PIN diode dosimeter.
- Figure 5.1- Experimental set-up adopted of the Geant4 application. The sensitive silicon block was placed at different depths within the phantom, in the configurations indicated by the red boxes. The phantom is made of water and Lucite alternatively. The primary field direction is indicated by the blue arrow.

- Figure 5.4 Proton Energy Fluence/cm², per incident proton, shown at various depths in a Lucite phantom, as indicated in the legend. The results are affected

with an uncertainty of 1%. The initial primary beam energy of the protons was
225 MeV, corresponding to a range of 32.61 cm
Figure 5.5 - Proton Displacement KERMA values as a function of energy [1] 50
Figure 5.6 - Comparison of forward bias response of silicon PIN diode normalised to
the depth 2cm to an uncertainty of 1% for each of the situations outlined in the
legend
Figure 5.7 - Comparison of depth dose profiles to an uncertainty of 1% for each of
the situations outlined in the legend. The energy deposition distribution was
normalised at 2.5 cm depth, in the phantom
Figure 5.8- Relative forward bias PIN diode response comparison with theoretical
depth dose distribution to an uncertainty of 1% in a Lucite phantom with 150
MeV primary beam
Figure 5.9 - Relative forward bias PIN diode response comparison with theoretical
depth dose distribution with an uncertainty of 1% in a water phantom with 150
MeV primary beam
Figure $5.10 - Relative$ forward bias PIN diode response comparison with theoretical
depth dose distribution with an uncertainty of 1% in a Lucite phantom with 225
MeV primary beam
Figure $5.11 - Relative$ forward bias PIN diode response comparison with theoretical
depth dose distribution, with an uncertainty of 1% in a water phantom with 225
MeV primary beam
Figure 5.12 – Comparison of the change in electronic stopping power in silicon as a
function of energy with the displacement KERMA in silicon as a function of
energy
Figure 5.13- Neutron Energy Fluence/Incident Proton/cm2 shown at various depths
in a Lucite phantom, with an uncertainty of 1%. The initial primary beam
energy of the protons was 225 MeV56
Figure 5.14 - Neutron Displacement KERMA values as a function of energy [1]57
Figure 5.15 – Comparison of the PIN diode forward bias response due to neutrons
and protons, with an uncertainty of 1% in a water phantom with a 150 MeV
primary proton beam
Figure 5.16 - Comparison of the PIN diode forward bias response due to neutrons
and protons, with an uncertainty of 1% in a Lucite phantom with a 225 MeV
primary proton beam

Figure 5.17 – β plotted as a function of depth with Lucite depths converted to water
equivalent depths, with an uncertainty of 2%59
Figure 5.18 – β plotted as a function of average proton energy, with an uncertainty of
3%60
Figure 5.19 – Experimental comparison of the pin diode forward bias response for a
150 MeV primary proton beam in a water phantom, with an uncertainty of 1%.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1- Forward bias voltage and uncertainties at 1mA and 20 mA with light
exposed on the PIN diodes
Table 4.2- Forward bias voltage and uncertainties at 1 mA and 20 mA with light
blocked from the PIN diodes
Table 4.3- Difference in forward bias voltage at 1 mA and 20 mA, compared to the
uncertainty in these measurements
Table 5.1– Positions of silicon volumes along the Bragg peak in each simulation44
Table 5.2 – Comparison of range of primary protons from simulation study and NIST
data