University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Engineering - Papers (Archive)

Faculty of Engineering and Information Sciences

2010

A combined hydrogen storage system of Mg(BH4)(2)-LiNH2 with favorable dehydrogenation

S X. Dou University of Wollongong, shi@uow.edu.au

Zaiping Guo University of Wollongong, zguo@uow.edu.au

Hua-Kun Liu University of Wollongong, hua@uow.edu.au

Abbas Ranjbar abbasr@uow.edu.au

Xuebin Yu xyu@uow.edu.au

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.uow.edu.au/engpapers

Part of the Engineering Commons https://ro.uow.edu.au/engpapers/2653

Recommended Citation

Dou, S X.; Guo, Zaiping; Liu, Hua-Kun; Ranjbar, Abbas; Yu, Xuebin; Yang, Zunxian; Sun, D. L.; and Guo, Y H.: A combined hydrogen storage system of Mg(BH4)(2)-LiNH2 with favorable dehydrogenation 2010, 4733-4737.

https://ro.uow.edu.au/engpapers/2653

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Authors

S X. Dou, Zaiping Guo, Hua-Kun Liu, Abbas Ranjbar, Xuebin Yu, Zunxian Yang, D. L. Sun, and Y H. Guo

A Combined Hydrogen Storage System of $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ with Favorable Dehydrogenation

X. B. Yu,*,^{†,‡} Y. H. Guo,[‡] D. L. Sun,[‡] Z. X. Yang,[§] A. Ranjbar,[†] Z. P. Guo,[†] H. K. Liu,[†] and S. X. Dou[†]

Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials, University of Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia, Department of Materials Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China, and Department of Electronics Science and Technology, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, China

Received: November 4, 2009; Revised Manuscript Received: February 12, 2010

The decomposition properties of Mg(BH₄)₂–LiNH₂ mixtures were investigated. Apparent NH₃ release appeared from 50 to 300 °C for the Mg(BH₄)₂–LiNH₂ mixtures with mole ratios of 1:1.5, 1:2, and 1:3, while only hydrogen release was detected for the mixture with a mole ratio of 1:1. In the case of the Mg(BH₄)₂–LiNH₂ (1:1) sample, the onset of the first-step dehydrogenation starts at 160 °C, with a weight loss of 7.2 wt % at \sim 300 °C, which is improved significantly compared to the pure Mg(BH₄)₂–LiNH₂ (1:1) was estimated to be about 121.7 and 236.6 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. The improved dehydrogenation in the combined system may be ascribed to a combination reaction between [BH₄] and [NH₂], resulting in the formation of Li–Mg alloy and amorphous B–N compound.

1. Introduction

Safe and efficient storage is one of the major technical obstacles to the implementation of hydrogen as a fuel for mobile transport.^{1,2} Current molecule storage technologies using compressed or liquid hydrogen require intensive energy and carry associated safety risks. Conventional metal hydrides have the advantages of the highest volumetric density, relatively low working pressure, and a reasonable working temperature range. The disadvantage of current reversible hydrides is a significant weight penalty.³ Recently, great attention has been paid to complex hydrides based on boron (borohydrides), which exhibit the highest weight capacity for hydrogen and are therefore obvious candidates to meet the capacity requirements.⁴⁻⁹ Among the borohydrides, LiBH₄, with a gravimetric capacity of 18.3%, is the most extensively studied.¹⁰⁻¹³ However, this compound is too stable to be used in hydrogen storage applications. More recently, Mg(BH₄)₂, which has more favorable thermodynamics than LiBH₄, while maintaining attractive hydrogen capacity (14.9 wt.%), has been acknowledged as one of the potential candidates for hydrogen storage materials.14-23

It was observed that α -Mg(BH₄)₂, heated under an inert or hydrogen atmosphere, was first transformed to the β -phase of Mg(BH₄)₂ at around 190 °C. Hydrogen release started around 300-400 °C in several endothermic steps, and 13 wt % weight loss was detected up to 500 °C. The decomposition of Mg(BH₄)₂ was assumed to be the following: Mg(BH₄)₂ \rightarrow MgH₂ + 2B + 3H₂.²¹ However, Chlopek et al. found that the product spectrum may vary, depending on the conditions under which the temperature treatment was performed. Heating rapidly to 390 °C under a vacuum, maintaining that temperature for 30 min, and then quenching to room temperature gave a product mixture that consisted of MgH₂, Mg, and MgB₄.¹⁶ Recently, Soloveichik et al.²² reported that hydrogen release from $Mg(BH_4)_2$ occurs in at least four steps, with formation of intermediate magnesium polyboranes that are eventually transformed to the most stable magnesium dodecaborate. The process ultimately ends with the formation of MgB₂. To further improve the dehydrogenation of Mg(BH₄)₂, Li et al. recently investigated the effects of ball milling and additives on the dehydriding behavior of Mg(BH₄)2¹⁷ and found that the initial dehydrogenation temperature of $Mg(BH_4)_2$ is significantly reduced by the addition of TiCl₃. Furthermore, improved dehydrogenation of $Mg(BH_4)_2$ can be achieved by reaction with NH₃ to form an ammoniate magnesium borohydride complex: Mg(BH₄)₂•2NH₃, which contains one bidentate BH4 group and one tridentate BH4 group. These are packed into a layered crystal structure mediated by N-H···H-B dihydrogen bonds, leading to hydrogen release starting at 150 °C and reaching a maximum hydrogen release rate at 205 °C.²⁰ More recently, Fichtner et al. showed that infiltrating Mg(BH₄)₂ into the voids of pretreated activated carbon with a pore diameter of <2 nm results in a shift of the decomposition of Mg(BH₄)₂ to lower temperature.²³ However, except for the above reports, little further improvement has been reported for the Mg(BH₄)₂ system, e.g., combined with other hydrides. Herein, we report a reactive binary mixture, Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂, that presents a significant decrease in the dehydrogenation temperature as compared to the constituent compounds.

2. Experimental Section

The source materials of LiNH₂ (95%) were obtained commercially. Mg(BH₄)₂ was synthesized by reaction of magnesium hydride with triethylamine borane complex under an argon atmosphere according to a previous report in the literature.¹⁶ The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern revealed synthesized Mg(BH₄)₂ with good crystallinity, in accordance with ref 16, indicating the formation of α -Mg(BH₄)₂. First 0.5 g mixtures of Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ with various mole ratios were ball milled using a QM-3SP2 planetary ball mill at 470 rpm for 30 min

 $[\]ast$ To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: yuxuebin@fudan.edu.cn.

[†] University of Wollongong.

[‡] Fudan University.

[§] Fuzhou University.

under an inert gas (Ar). For comparison, $Mg(BH_4)_2$ was also ball milled under the above conditions. The mass ratio of the sample to the steel balls was 1:30. All sample handling was done in an argon-filled glovebox equipped with a recirculation system to keep the H₂O and O₂ levels below 1 ppm.

Hydrogen release measurements were performed by thermogravimetric analysis (TG, STA 409C), with the TG equipment connected to a mass spectrometer (MS, QMS 403), using various heating rates under 1 atm of argon and a carrier flow rate of 200 cm³ min⁻¹. Typical sample quantities were 5-10 mg. Moreover, temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) was performed on a Sievert's apparatus, namely, a gas reaction controller (GRC, Advanced Materials Corp., USA) connected to a reactor filled with sample under hydrogen atmosphere (1 bar). The reactor, which was connected to a chamber of known volume, was heated from room temperature to 400 °C at a 5 deg min⁻¹ heating rate. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku D/max 2400) measurements were conducted to confirm the crystalline phase. Samples were mounted in a glovebox, and a polymer tape was used to cover the surface of the powder to avoid oxidation during the XRD measurement.

The decomposed Mg(BH₄)₂–LiNH₂ products were characterized by ¹¹B nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) performed with a Doty charge polarized magic angle spinning (CP-MAS, DSX 300) probe with no probe background. All of those solid samples were spun at 12 kHz with use of 4 mm ZrO₂ rotors filled up in a purified argon atmosphere glovebox. The NMR shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm), externally referenced to BF₃OEt₂ at 0 ppm for ¹¹B nuclei. A 0.55 μ s single-pulse excitation was employed, with repetition times of 1.5 s. Mg(BH₄)₂, LiNH₂, and Mg(BH₄)₂–LiNH₂ before and after dehydrogenation at various temperatures were characterized by Fourier transform infrared absorption spectroscopy (FT-IR, Nicolet Nexus 470), using KBr pellets. Due to the high reactivity of these compounds with moisture and oxygen, all of the samples were loaded into one tube with CaF₂ windows.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows the MS-TG results for Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ (mole ratio 1:1) compared with pure $Mg(BH_4)_2$. For $Mg(BH_4)_2$, three main peaks of hydrogen evolution located at 309, 349, and 365 °C are observed in the MS data, with a total weight loss of 10 wt %. On further heating, there is an additional hydrogen desorption between 410 to 580 °C with a weight loss of 1.9 wt %. The above results are comparable with a previous report for Mg(BH₄)₂ decomposed under argon.¹⁴ In the case of the Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ (mole ratio 1:1) mixture, two distinct hydrogen release events were observed (peak temperatures at 216 and 412 °C with a shoulder at 370 °C, respectively), with onset dehydrogenation at 160 °C, which is about 100 °C lower than that for the pure Mg(BH₄)₂, indicating significant improvement in the dehydrogenation temperature. To further clarify the different decomposition properties, TPD measurements were conducted for the two samples, as shown in Figure 2. The TPD results for Mg(BH₄)₂ are comparable to the TG result in Figure 1, releasing about 9.6 wt % hydrogen by 400 °C. For the $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ (mole ratio 1:1) sample, an apparent twostep decomposition was also observed, with a total dehydrogenation capacity of 9.3 wt %, which is in agreement with the TG observations up to 400 °C. However, the hydrogen capacity for the first step is 5 wt %, lower by 2.2 wt % than that in the TG curve. Furthermore, the onset decomposition temperature in the TPD is slightly higher than that in the TG. These differences may be because the decomposition reaction was run



Figure 1. MS (top panel) and TG (bottom panel) results for (a) $Mg(BH_4)_2$ and (b) $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ (mole ratio of 1:1), with a heating rate of 5 deg min⁻¹.



Figure 2. TPD results for $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ (mole ratio of 1:1) compared with pure $Mg(BH_4)_2$ with a heating rate of 5 deg min⁻¹.

under different hydrogen partial pressures in these two cases. The TG measurement was conducted under argon, while the TPD was run under 1 bar of hydrogen. Clearly, the hydrogen atmosphere was unfavorable for the hydrogen release reaction, resulting in the increased decomposition temperature, as well as the variation in weight loss.

As no potential byproduct of ammonia, such as diborane, borane, or borazine, was detected throughout the desorption process (Figure S1, Supporting Information), the gas evolution in Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ (mole ratio 1:1) was basically attributed to hydrogen release. The TG results yielded the corresponding weight losses for the two steps, 7.2 and 4.2 wt %. The total weight loss of 11.4 wt % for the binary mixture is lower than its theoretical hydrogen capacity (12.98 wt %), suggesting that H still remains in the final products, which was confirmed by the NMR results (see the discussion below). Further investigation of Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ with mole ratios of 1:1.5, 1:2, and



Figure 3. (a) MS and (b) TG results for $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ with mole ratios of (I) 1:1.5, (II), 1:2, and (III) 1:3.

1:3 revealed that the hydrogen desorption temperature shifted to higher temperature with increased LiNH₂ content, although it was still lower than that for the pure $Mg(BH_4)_2$. Furthermore, an apparent NH₃ release appeared from 50-300 °C for the samples with a high content of LiNH₂ (Figure 3a), which resulted in an increased weight loss (Figure 3b). The TG results yielded a weight loss of 20.5 wt % for the Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ (1:1.5), while weight losses of 26.7 and 25.3 wt % were observed for the samples with mole ratios of 1:2 and 1:3, respectively. This indicates that an increased LiNH₂ content in the mixture will lead to more release of ammonia. However, it cannot explain the observations for the 1:2 and 1:3 mol ratio samples, in which almost the same amount of ammonia was released, indicating that, when the ratio of $LiNH_2$ to $Mg(BH_4)_2$ is >2, the destabilized ammonia release does not depend on the content of LiNH₂. Compared with the pure LiNH₂ (Figure S2, Supporting Information), the NH₃ release temperature from the Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ mixtures was decreased significantly, suggesting that, probably due to the formation of Mg-N bonds between the two hydrides, the Mg(BH₄)₂ may weaken the Li-N bonds in LiNH₂, causing them to break at lower temperature. This suggests that Mg ions in Mg(BH₄)₂ may play a crucial role in determining the amount of ammonia release. The above results clearly indicate that the dehydrogenation of $Mg(BH_4)_2$ can be improved significantly when it is combined with LiNH₂ and that the sample with a mole ratio of 1:1 showed the most favorable dehydrogenation.

The activation energy, E_a , during the dehydrogenation for the two steps was estimated by Kissinger's method.²⁴ The MS profiles at heating rates of 5, 10, 15, and 20 deg min⁻¹ as a function of temperature were measured for the Mg(BH₄)₂– LiNH₂ (mole ratio 1:1), as shown in Figure 4. From the peak temperature, T_P , observed at the heating rate, β , the Kissinger plots, i.e., ln [β/T_P^2] as a function of the inverse of T_P , are given in the inset of the figure. From the slope of the straight line, the activation energy E_a for the first and second step dehydro-



Figure 4. MS results for $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ (mole ratio of 1:1) at various heating rates. The inset contains the Kissinger plots for the mixture.



Figure 5. FT-IR spectra before dehydrogenation of (a) LiNH₂, (b) $Mg(BH_4)_2$, and (c) as-prepared $Mg(BH_4)_2$ –LiNH₂ (mole ratio of 1:1); (d and e) the FT-IR spectra of the sample in spectra c after heating to 300 and 500 °C, respectively.

genation was estimated to be about 121.7 and 236.6 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively.

To clarify the chemical reactions occurring before and after dehydrogenation, FT-IR, XRD, and NMR measurements were carried out. Figure 5 shows the FT-IR spectra of the sample before and after dehydrogenation. Typical features of the [BH₄] group can be observed in the spectrum for the as-prepared sample. The B-H absorption band is split into three contributions at 2387, 2296, and 2230 cm⁻¹. However, surprisingly, the features for the N-H bond that can be ascribed to LiNH₂, with its characteristic bands at 3260 and 3315 cm⁻¹ have almost disappeared, even though no hydrogen or ammonia was released from the mixture during preparation. Moreover, only very weak characteristic bands at 3260 and 3315 cm⁻¹ can be identified for the mixture even after hand-milling for a few minutes (Figure S3, Supporting Information). This suggests that Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ is not a simple physical mixture, but rather that new phases may be formed during the preparation. The weakening of NH bonds in Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ may result from its complexation with BH bonds, to which similar results have been found in other NH/BH system.²⁵ The B-H bands at 2296 and 2230 cm⁻¹ remain present after heating to 300 °C, while disappearing after heating to 500 °C, indicating the progressive decomposition of [BH₄] with the increasing temperature. The XRD patterns in Figure 6 also give evidence for the formation of new phases. The as-prepared Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ sample consists of Mg(BH₄)₂ and two strong new peaks at $2\theta = 26^{\circ}$ and 31°, while no LiNH₂ phase was observed. After dehydrogenation to 500 °C, the XRD patterns correspond to MgO and Li-Mg alloy, which appears similar to Mg, but with the peak



Figure 6. XRD results for (a) LiNH₂, (b) $Mg(BH_4)_2$, (c) as-prepared $Mg(BH_4)_2$ –LiNH₂ (mole ratio of 1:1), and (d) the mixture after heating to 500 °C.



Figure 7. ¹¹B NMR spectra of $Mg(BH_4)_2$ –LiNH₂ (mole ratio of 1:1): (a) before and (b) after heating to 500 °C. NMR was performed at 7.1 T (96.3 MHz). The spinning side bands are marked with an asterisk.

positions shifted to higher 2θ values,¹² except for an unidentified peak at $2\theta = 40.76^\circ$, which could be due to an impurity in the as-prepared Mg(BH₄)₂ sample (Figure S4, Supporting Information). The peaks of MgO, which are broad and partly overlap with the MgB_2 , could come from the reaction between the sample and oxygen or water in the analysis chamber during the dehydrogenation.¹⁶ The ¹¹B NMR spectra for Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ before and after heating to 500 °C are shown in Figure 7. The sharp line at -40.95 ppm for the as-prepared sample is assigned to the boron nucleus in the tetrahedral BH₄⁻ units in LiBH₄.²⁶ After heat treatment at 500 °C, two new peaks at -3.1and 19.9 ppm are observed, suggesting that the chemical environment of a fraction of the B atoms shifts to lower field compared to the tetrahedral BH₄⁻, due to the formation of HBN₂ and/or BN₃.²⁷ In addition, the chemical shift which is assigned to BH_4^- is still observed at -40.149 ppm, which is similar to the product of decomposed LiAB and NaAB.²⁸

From the TG results in Figure 1, the weight loss of the first step is about 7.2 wt %, which corresponds to 3 equiv of H_2 evolved from the Mg(BH₄)₂–LiNH₂ (mole ratio 1:1) by 300 °C. As the B–H bonds at 2296 and 2230 cm⁻¹ still exist after heating to 300 °C (Figure 5), we surmise that intermediate phases of Li–N–B–H and/or Mg–B–H¹⁴ were formed. After heating to 500 °C, no B–H bonds were identified from the decomposition products, and the Li–Mg alloy was formed, as shown in Figure 6, indicating that the intermediate phases are transformed to Li–Mg and a BN-related polymer at high temperature, with the transformation accompanied by a second weight loss of 4.2 wt %.

The improved decomposition in the $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ system might be due to a combination reaction of [BH₄] with



Figure 8. MS results for $Ca(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ (mole ratio of 1:1) compared with pure $Ca(BH_4)_2$ with a heating rate of 10 deg min⁻¹.

[NH₂], in which the [BH₄] consists of negatively charged hydrogen, while positively charged hydrogen is bonded to N atoms in [NH₂]. In this case, the reaction of $H^{\delta+} + H^{\delta-} \rightarrow H_2$ might be the driving force making the chemical reaction take place, which has been demonstrated in the LiNH₂/LiH, LiNH₂/ MgH₂, and LiNH₂BH₃ systems,²⁸⁻³⁰ as well as by our recent results on the Mg(NH₃)_nCl₂/LiBH₄ system,³¹ in which the NH₃ molecules can react with BH4 anions to generate H2 at low temperature. According to the combination reaction of [BH₄] with [NH₂], it seems that the decomposition of the Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ system is similar to that of Mg(BH₄)₂•2NH₃. However, the states of Mg in the $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ system are different from the case of decomposed Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, in which magnesium boronitrides are formed. This may result from a different bond formation mechanism for [NH₂] between the two systems, in which the [NH₂] forms an ionic bond with Li⁺ in Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂, but coordinates with Mg²⁺ in Mg(BH₄)₂. 2NH₃. As a result, magnesium boronitrides instead of Li-Mg were formed from the Mg(BH₄)₂ \cdot 2NH₃.²⁰

Guided by the above combination mechanism, we have investigated another system of Ca(BH₄)₂/LiNH₂ mixtures where improved dehydrogenation was also achieved. Figure 8 shows the MS results for Ca(BH₄)₂/LiNH₂ (1:1) compared with pure Ca(BH₄)₂. Ca(BH₄)₂ released hydrogen above 350 °C, with two peaks appearing at 378 and 455 °C, respectively. In the case of Ca(BH₄)₂/LiNH₂ (1:1), the dehydrogenation temperature of the two peaks was shifted to 297 and 405 °C, respectively, which represents a significant decrease compared to that of the pure Ca(BH₄)₂, exhibiting similar dehydrogenation performance to the Mg(BH₄)₂/LiNH₂ system. Therefore, further screening of various borohydride/amide systems may open up significant opportunities for developing more effective hydrogen storage materials.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated a binary system of $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂, which exhibits a significant improvement in dehydrogenation compared with $Mg(BH_4)_2$ alone. In all studied samples, the $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ sample with a mole ratio of 1:1 delivered a two-step weight loss of 7.2 wt % below 300 °C and 4.2 wt % from 300 to 500 °C, associated with a twostep activation energy E_a of 121.7 and 236.6 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. It is proposed that the improved dehydrogenation in $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -LiNH₂ results from a combination reaction between the [BH₄] and [NH₂]. This combination mechanism can also extend to other complex systems such as Ca(BH₄)₂/ LiNH₂.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to acknowledge Dr Tania Silver for her help in editing the manuscript. This Mg(BH₄)₂-LiNH₂ with Favorable Dehydrogenation

work was partially supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in Universities (NCET-08-0135), the Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (B113), Shanghai Pujiang Programs (08PJ14014), and an Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery project (DP0878661).

Supporting Information Available: Figure showing the XRD pattern for the synthesized $Mg(BH_4)_2$, MS-TG results for LiNH₂ with heating, FT-IR spectra of hand-milled $Mg(BH_4)_2$ –LiNH₂ and pure LiNH₂, and XRD results for $Mg(BH_4)_2$ dehydrogenated at 500 °C. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References and Notes

(1) Schlapbach, L.; Züttel, A. Nature 2001, 414, 353.

(2) Grochala, W.; Edwards, P. P. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 1283.

(3) Yu, X. B.; Wu, Z.; Li, F.; Xia, B. J.; Xu, N. X. Appl. Phys. Lett. **2004**, 84, 3219.

(4) Filinchuk, Y.; Ronnebro, E.; Chandra, D. Acta Mater. 2009, 57, 732.

(5) Kia, J.; Jin, S.; Shim, J.; Cho, Y. W. J. Alloys Compd. 2008, 461, L20.

(6) Züttel, A.; Wenger, P.; Rentsch, S.; Sudan, P.; Mauron, P.; Emmenegger, C. J. Power Sources 2003, 118, 1.

(7) Orimo, S.; Nakamori, Y.; Ohba, N.; Miwa, K.; Aoki, M.; Towata, S.; Zuttel, A. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2006, 89, 021920.

(8) Hu, J.; Fichtner, M. Chem. Mater. 2009, 21, 3485.

(9) Weidner, E.; Dolci, F.; Hu, J.; Lohstroh, W.; Hansen, T.; Bull, D.; Fichtner, M. J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 15772.

(10) Pinkerton, F. E.; Meisner, G. P.; Meyer, M. S.; Balogh, M. P.; Kundrat, M. D. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 6.

(11) Vajo, J. J.; Skeith, S. L.; Mertens, F. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 3719.

(12) Yu, X. B.; Grant, D. M.; Walker, G. S. Chem. Commun. 2006, 37, 3906.

(13) Vajo, J. J.; Salguero, T. T.; Gross, A. F.; Skeith, S. L.; Olson, G. L. J. Alloys Compd. 2007, 409, 446.

(14) Hanada, N.; Chlopek, K.; Frommen, C.; Lohstroh, W.; Fichtner, M. J. Mater. Chem. 2008, 18, 2611.

(15) Li, H. W.; Kickuchi, K.; Nakamori, Y.; Miwa, K.; Towata, S.; Orimo, S. Acta. Mater. 2008, 56, 1342.

(16) Chlopek, K.; Frommen, C.; Leon, A.; Zabara, O.; Fichtner, M. J. Mater. Chem. 2007, 17, 3496.

(17) Li, H. W.; Kickuchi, K.; Nakamori, Y.; Miwa, K.; Towata, S.; Orimo, S. Scr. Mater. 2007, 57, 679.

(18) Setten, M. J.; Lohstroh, W.; Fichtner, M. J. Mater. Chem. 2009, 19, 7081.

(19) George, L.; Drozd, V.; Saxena, S. K.; Bardaji, E. G.; Fichtner, M. J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 486.

(20) Soloveichik, G.; Her, J.; Stephens, P. W.; Gao, Y.; Rijssenbeek, J.; Andrus, M.; Zhao, J. C. *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 4290.

(21) Nakamori, Y.; Miwa, K.; Ninomiya, A.; Li, H.; Ohba, N.; Towata, S.; Zuttel, A.; Orimo, S. *Phys. Rev. B* **2006**, *74*, 04512.

(22) Soloveichik, G. L.; Gao, Y.; Rijssenbeek, J.; Andrus, M.; Kniajanski, S.; Bowman, R. C., Jr.; Hwang, S.; Zhao, J. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2009**, *34*, 916.

(23) Fichtner, M.; Zhao-Karger, Z.; Hu, J.; Roth, A.; Weidler, P. Nanotechnology **2009**, 20, 204029.

(24) Zlotea, C.; Sahlberg, M.; Zbilen, S.; Moretto, P.; Andersson, Y. Acta Mater. 2008, 56, 2421.

(25) Guo, Y. H.; Li, S. F.; Yu, X. B.; Guo, Z. P.; Liu, H. K. Chem. Mater. Submitted for publication.

(26) Hwang, S. J.; Bowman, R. C.; Reiter, J. W.; Rijssenbeek, J.; Soloveichik, G. L.; Zhao, J. C.; Kabbour, H.; Ahn, C. C. J. Phys. Chem. C **2008**, *112*, 3164.

(27) Gervais, C.; Maquet, J.; Babonneau, F.; Duriez, C.; Framery, E.; Vaultier, M.; Florian, P.; Massiot, D. Chem. Mater. 2001, 13, 1700.

(28) Xiong, Z. T.; Yong, C. K.; Wu, G. T.; Chen, P.; Shaw, W.; Karkamkar, A.; Autrey, T.; Jones, M. O.; Johnson, S. R.; Edwards, P. P.; David, W. I. F. *Nat. Mater.* **2008**, *7*, 38.

(29) Xiong, Z. T.; Wu, G. T.; Hu, H. J.; Chen, P. Adv. Mater. **2004**, *16*, 1522

(30) Aguey-Zinsou, K.; Yao, J.; Guo, Z. X. J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 12531.

(31) Gao, L.; Guo, Y. H.; Xia, G. L.; Yu, X. B. J. Mater. Chem. 2009, 19, 7826.

JP910547S