

1-1-2008

On binary reflected Gray codes and functions

Martin W. Bunder

University of Wollongong, mbunder@uow.edu.au

Keith P. Tognetti

University of Wollongong, tognetti@uow.edu.au

Glen Wheeler

University of Wollongong, glenw@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers>



Part of the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bunder, Martin W.; Tognetti, Keith P.; and Wheeler, Glen: On binary reflected Gray codes and functions
2008, 1690-1700.

<https://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers/1566>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

On binary reflected Gray codes and functions

Abstract

The Binary Reflected Gray Code function b is defined as follows: If m is a nonnegative integer, then $b(m)$ is the integer obtained when initial zeros are omitted from the binary reflected Gray code of length m . This paper examines this Gray code function and its inverse and gives simple algorithms to generate both. It also simplifies Conder's result that the j th letter of the k th word of the binary reflected Gray code of length n , is

$$(2^n - 2^{n-j} - 1$$

$$[2^n - 2^{n-j-1} - k/2]) \bmod 2,$$

by replacing the binomial coefficient by

$$[(k-1)/(2^{n-j+1})+1/2].$$

Keywords

binary, reflected, Gray, codes, functions

Disciplines

Physical Sciences and Mathematics

Publication Details

Bunder, M. W., Tognetti, K. P. & Wheeler, G. (2008). On binary reflected Gray codes and functions. *Discrete Mathematics*, 308 (9), 1690-1700.

On Binary Reflected Gray Codes and Functions

Martin W. Bunder, Keith P. Tognetti and Glen E. Wheeler
School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics
University of Wollongong
Wollongong NSW 2522 AUSTRALIA
email: martin_bunder@uow.edu.au

Abstract

The Binary Reflected Gray Code function b is defined as follows: If m is a nonnegative integer, then $b(m)$ is the integer obtained when initial zeros are omitted from the binary reflected Gray code of length m .

This paper examines this Gray code function and its inverse and gives simple algorithms to generate both. It also simplifies Conder's result that the j th letter of the k th word of the binary reflected Gray code of length n , is

$$\left(\binom{2^n - 2^{n-j} - 1}{\lfloor 2^n - 2^{n-j-1} - \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \right) \bmod 2,$$

by replacing the binomial coefficient by

$$\left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2^{n-j+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

1 Introduction

A binary Gray code of length n is a sequence $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{2^n-1}$ of the 2^n distinct n -bit strings (or words) of 0s and 1s, with the property that each s_i differs from s_{i+1} in only one digit. Gray codes were first designed to speed up telegraphy, but now have numerous applications such as in addressing microprocessors, hashing algorithms, distributed systems, detecting/correcting channel noise and in solving problems such as the Towers of Hanoi, Chinese Ring and Brain and Spinout. Cyclic binary Gray codes of length n also describe Hamiltonian paths around an n -dimensional hypercube.

A particular Gray code, the binary reflexive Gray code of length n , represents the integers 0 to $2^n - 1$, as n -bit strings $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{2^n-1}$. We will write $b(m)$ for s_m represented as an integer; $b(m)$ will be independent of n .

In this paper we study the function b , its orbits and the decoding function b^{-1} , and give new simple methods for evaluating $b(m)$ and $b^{-1}(m)$.

One result, in Theorem 6(ii), is that:

$$(b(m))_i = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \bmod 2$$

(where n_i denotes the coefficient of 2^i in the binary expansion of n); this is a major simplification of a result of Conder in [2].

Also we show that $b(m)$ can be represented using Nim sums.

2 Binary Reflexive Gray Codes

Table 1 below will help to illustrate the construction of the Binary Reflexive Gray Code (BRGC) of any length n . Decimal values of m and of the BRGC of m , written as $b(m)$, are also given.

Table 1

m	m in binary	BRGC of m	$b(m)$
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	10	11	3
3	11	10	2
4	100	110	6
5	101	111	7
6	110	101	5
7	111	100	4

The initial line, representing: 0 is the BRGC (of length 1) of 0, is given. Further lines are then generated by drawing (for successively $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) a line below $m = 2^k - 1$ and doing a reflection about the line of all the numbers in the BRGC of m column. Then 2^k (i.e. a 1 in the currently empty $k + 1$ th place) is added. Finally, after the $k = n - 1$ case of this algorithm, initial 0s can be added to make the words of length n , giving Binary Reflexive Gray Codes of length n (BRGC(n)). For each n , the top half of the table for the BRGC(n) of m (that is for $0 \leq m < 2^{n-1}$), with the initial zero deleted, will show the BRGC($n - 1$) of m .

Table 1, once the initial 0s are added, gives BRGC(3).

3 The Function b

Clearly from the above description b is given by:

Definition 1 $b(0) = 0, \quad b(2^k + i) = b(2^k - i - 1) + 2^k \quad (0 \leq i < 2^k).$

The Gray Code properties, given this definition, will be proved in Section 4. For this we need some notation and some lemmas.

Notation We will sometimes write a nonnegative integer m as $m_k m_{k-1} \dots m_0$ where m_i (0 or 1) is the coefficient of 2^i in the binary expansion of m . We assume $m_k = 1$ unless $k = 0$ and $m_0 = 0$. If $k > 0$ we will let m_p denote the first 0 (if any) from the left in $m_k m_{k-1} \dots m_0$.

Lemma 1 (i) $b(m_k m_{k-1} \dots m_0) = 2^k + 2^p + b(m_{p-1} \dots m_0)$.
(ii) If $m_k = m_{k-1} \dots = m_0 = 1$ then $b(m_k m_{k-1} \dots m_0) = 2^k$, that is
 $b(2^{k+1} - 1) = 2^k$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Proof By Definition 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & b(2^k + 2^{k-1} + \dots + 2^{p+1} + m_{p-1}2^{p-1} + \dots + m_0) \\ &= 2^k + b(2^k - 2^{k-1} - \dots - 2^{p+1} - m_{p-1}2^{p-1} - \dots - m_0 - 1) \\ &= 2^k + b(2^p + 2^p - m_{p-1}2^{p-1} - \dots - m_0 - 1) \\ &= 2^k + 2^p + b(m_{p-1}2^{p-1} + \dots + m_0). \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & b(2^k + 2^{k-1} + \dots + 2 + 1) = 2^k + b(2^k - 2^{k-1} - \dots - 1 - 1) \\ &= 2^k + b(0) = 2^k. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2 If $2^k - 2^{p+1} \leq j < 2^k - 2^p$, then $b(2^k + j) = b(j + 2^{p+1} - 2^k) + 2^p + 2^k$.

Corollary 3 If $0 \leq j < 2^{k-1}$, then $b(2^k + j) = b(j) + 2^k + 2^{k-1}$.

Lemma 4 If $2^k \leq m < 2^{k+1}$ then $2^k \leq b(m) < 2^{k+1}$.

Proof By an easy induction, using Lemma 1.

Lemma 5 (i) If $0 \leq p < k$ and $2^k - 2^{p+1} \leq j < 2^k - 2^p$, then

- (a) If $i = k$ or p , $(b(2^k + j))_i = 1$.
- (b) If $p < i < k$, $(b(2^k + j))_i = 0$.
- (c) If $i < p$, $(b(2^k + j))_i = (b(j))_i$.

(ii) If $j = 2^k - 1$, then $(b(2^k + j))_k = 1$, while $(b(2^k + j))_i = 0$ for $i < k$.

Proof (i) (a) and (b) follow from Corollary 2 and Lemma 4.

(c) If $i < p = k - 1$, by Corollary 3

$$(b(2^k + j))_i = (b(j))_i.$$

If $i < p < k - 1$ and $2^k - 2^{p+1} \leq j < 2^k - 2^p$ we have $0 \leq 2^{k-1} - 2^{p+1} \leq j - 2^{k-1} < 2^{k-1} - 2^p$ and by Corollary 2:

$$\begin{aligned} b(j) &= b(2^{k-1} + (j - 2^{k-1})) \\ &= 2^{k-1} + 2^p + b(j + 2^{p+1} - 2^k) \\ b(j) + 2^{k-1} &= b(2^k + j). \end{aligned}$$

So if $i < p < k - 1$, then $(b(j))_i = (b(2^k + j))_i$.

(ii) By Lemma 1(ii).

The following theorem gives three ways of quickly evaluating $b(m)$.

Theorem 6 For $m \geq 0$,

- (i) $(b(m))_i = (m + 2^i)_{i+1}$
- (ii) $(b(m))_i = \lfloor \frac{m}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor \bmod 2$
- (iii) $(b(m))_i = (m_{i+1} + m_i) \bmod 2$.

Proof (i) Let $0 \leq m < 2^{k+1}$, then by Lemma 4, $0 \leq b(m) < 2^{k+1}$ and if $i > k$, $(m)_i = (b(m))_{i+1} = 0$.

We now assume $i \leq k$ and proceed by induction on m .

Case 1 $m = 0$ $(b(0))_i = 0_i = 0 = (0 + 2^i)_{i+1}$.

Case 2 $m = 2^{k+1} - 1, k \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} m + 2^i &= 2^{k+1} + 2^{i-1} + 2^{i-2} + \dots + 1 \\ \text{so } (m + 2^i)_{i+1} &= 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq k, \\ (m + 2^k)_{k+1} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

so we have the result.

Case 3 $m = 2^k + j$ where $2^k - 2^{p+1} \leq j < 2^k - 2^p$ and $0 \leq p < k$

By Lemma 5(i)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} (b(m))_k &= 1 = (2^k + j + 2^k)_{k+1} \\ (b(m))_p &= 1 = (2^k + j + 2^p)_{p+1} \end{aligned}$$

as $2^{k+1} - 2^p \leq 2^k + j + 2^p < 2^{k+1}$.

By Lemma 5(i)(b) if $p < i < k$,

$$(b(m))_i = 0 = (2^k + j + 2^i)_{i+1}$$

as $2^{k+1} + 2^i - 2^{p+1} \leq 2^k + j + 2^i < 2^{k+1} + 2^i - 2^p$.

By Lemma 5(i)(c) if $i < p$, by the induction hypothesis:

$$(b(m))_i = (b(j))_i = (j + 2^i)_{i+1} = (j + 2^k + 2^i)_{i+1}$$

as $i < p < k$.

(ii) By (i) and $n_{i+1} = \lfloor \frac{n}{2^{i+1}} \rfloor \bmod 2$.

(iii) By (i) if $m_i = 0$, $(b(m))_i = m_{i+1} = m_{i+1} + m_i \bmod 2$.

If $m_i = 1$ $(b(m))_i = (m_{i+1} + 1) \bmod 2$

$$= (m_{i+1} + m_i) \bmod 2.$$

Note that, in Sharma and Khanna [5], part (ii) of our Theorem 6 is used as the definition of the BRGC; our Definition 1 is later proved as a theorem.

4 b has BRGC properties

We require b to be a one to one and onto map and, for each m , $b(m)$ and $b(m + 1)$, in binary notation (i.e. the BRGC of m and $m + 1$) to differ by one digit.

Lemma 7 $b : \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$ is one to one and onto.

Proof We prove by induction on i that

$$b(k) = b(m) \Rightarrow k_{n-i} = m_{n-i}$$

which proves that b is one to one. It then follows by Lemma 4 that b is onto.

$i = 0$ If $b(k) = b(m)$ by Theorem 6 (i) and $m, k < 2^n$,

$$(b(k))_n = (b(m))_n = (m + 2^n)_{n+1} = (k + 2^n)_{n+1} = 0 = m_n = k_n.$$

$i > 0$ We assume $b(k) = b(m)$ and $k_{n-i+1} = m_{n-i+1}$.

By Theorem 6 (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} k_{n-i+1} + k_{n-i} &= (m_{n-i+1} + m_{n-i}) \bmod 2 \\ \text{and so } k_{n-i} &= m_{n-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8 There is exactly one i such that $(b(m))_i \neq (b(m + 1))_i$.

Proof By Theorem 6 (ii) and the fact that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

cannot differ by more than 1, we have $(b(m))_i \neq (b(m))_{i+1}$ if and only if

$$\left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor = 1.$$

Letting $m = 2^{i+1}\ell + k$ where $0 \leq k < 2^{i+1}$ this condition becomes

$$\left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor = 1,$$

which holds if and only if $k = 2^i - 1$, that is, if and only if $m + 1 = 2^i(2\ell + 1)$.

Note The i for which $(b(m))_i \neq (b(m + 1))_i$ is the highest power of 2 to divide $m + 1$.

5 BRGC Algorithms

A standard algorithm (given in Nashelsky [4]) for generating a BRGC is:

Algorithm 1 for b For each digit in an n -digit word m , starting from the right, if the digit to its left is 0 leave it as it is, while if the digit to its left is 1, change the digit.

This is effectively what we get from Theorem 6 (iii):

Algorithm 2 for b $(b(m))_i$ is $(m_{i+1} + m_i) \bmod 2$.

Even simpler is Algorithm 3, which follows from Algorithm 1 or 2.

Algorithm 3 for b For $m > 0$, $b(m)$ is m , in binary, with the first of any sequence of 1s or 0s becoming a 1 and every other digit a 0.

Example $m = 111101101111$. The 1st, 5th, 6th, 8th and 9th digits start new sequences of 1s or 0s, so $b(m) = 100011011000$.

6 Some Recurrence Relations for b

The following two lemmas give some interesting recurrence relations for b .

Lemma 9 $b(2m + 1) = b(2m) + (-1)^m$.

Proof As, for $i > 0$, $(2m)_i = (2m + 1)_i$ by Theorem 6 (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} (b(2m))_i &= ((2m)_{i+1} + (2m)_i) \bmod 2 \\ &= ((2m + 1)_{i+1} + (2m + 1)_i) \bmod 2 \\ &= (b(2m + 1))_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 6 (i),

$$\begin{aligned} b(2m + 1) - b(2m) &= (b(2m + 1))_0 - (b(2m))_0 \\ &= (2m + 2)_1 - (2m + 1)_1 \\ &= (-1)^m, \end{aligned}$$

as $(2m + 2)_1 = 1$ and $(2m + 1)_1 = 0$ if m is even

and $(2m + 2)_1 = 0$ and $(2m + 1)_1 = 1$ if m is odd.

Lemma 10 $b(2m) = 2b(m) + \frac{1 - (-1)^m}{2}$.

Proof By Theorem 6 (iii) and (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} (b(2m))_{i+1} &= ((2m)_{i+2} + (2m)_{i+1}) \bmod 2 \\ &= (m_{i+1} + m_i) \bmod 2 \\ &= (b(m))_i = (2b(m))_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
b(2m) &= 2b(m) + (b(2m))_0 \\
&= 2b(m) + \left\lfloor m + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \pmod{2} \\
&= 2b(m) + \frac{1 - (-1)^m}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

A number of other recurrence relations can be obtained from these. For example:

$$\begin{aligned}
b(8m + 2) &= 4b(2m) + 3 \\
b(2^k) &= 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} \quad \text{if } k > 0 \\
b(2^k + 1) &= 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} + 1 \quad \text{if } k > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We can also get a more general expression for $b(m + 1)$ in terms of $b(m)$.

Lemma 11 If $m + 1 = 2^k(2\ell + 1)$ then $b(m + 1) - b(m) = 2^k(-1)^\ell$.

Proof By induction on k . If $k = 0$ we have the result by Lemma 9.

If $k > 0$, we have by Lemmas 9 and 10:

$$\begin{aligned}
b(m + 1) - b(m) &= 2b\left(\frac{m + 1}{2}\right) + \frac{1 - (-1)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{2} - b(m - 1) - (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \\
&= 2\left(b\left(\frac{m + 1}{2}\right) - b\left(\frac{m - 1}{2}\right)\right) + \frac{1 - (-1)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{2} \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1 - (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{2}\right) \\
&= 2^k(-1)^\ell,
\end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis.

7 b and Nim Sums

The Nim Sum $m \# k$ of two nonnegative binary integers is the addition of these numbers without carry over. This is used in the study of the game of Nim in Berlekamp, Conway and Guy [1].

i.e. $(m \# k)_i \equiv m_i + k_i \pmod{2}$.

This, using $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor_i = m_{i+1}$ and Theorem 6 (iii) proves:

Theorem 12 $b(m) = m \# \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor$.

8 Orbits of b

An orbit of b is a set consisting of a number m and its successive images under powers of b , and we are interested in finding the size (or length) of this set for each m , viz. the smallest positive integer k for which $b^k(m) = m$.

First we need two lemmas.

Lemma 13 (i) $\binom{j}{k}$ is odd iff

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{j}{2^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{j-k}{2^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2^i} \right\rfloor = 0.$$

(ii) $\binom{j}{k}$ is even for $1 \leq k \leq p < j$ iff $2^{\lfloor \log_2 p \rfloor + 1} | j$.

Proof (i) This follows from the well known result (see for example Griffin [3] Theorem 3.16) that the highest power of 2 to divide $n!$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^i} \right\rfloor$.

(ii) Let $u = \lfloor \log_2 p \rfloor + 1$ and $j = 2^{u-1}w + v$, where $0 \leq v < 2^{u-1} \leq p$. Then if $i < u$,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{j}{2^i} \right\rfloor = 2^{u-1-i}w + \left\lfloor \frac{v}{2^i} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{j-v}{2^i} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{v}{2^i} \right\rfloor$$

and if $i \geq u$, as $v < 2^{u-1} < 2^i$,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{j}{2^i} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{w}{2^{i+1-u}} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{j-v}{2^i} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{v}{2^i} \right\rfloor.$$

So by (i), $\binom{j}{v}$ is odd.

If $\binom{j}{1}, \binom{j}{2}, \dots, \binom{j}{p}$ are all even, it follows that $v = 0$.

If $w = 2r + 1$ and $v = 0$, $j = 2^u r + 2^{u-1}$ and we can show, exactly as above, that $\binom{j}{2^{u-1}}$ is odd.

Hence as $2^{u-1} \leq p$, if $\binom{j}{1}, \binom{j}{2}, \dots, \binom{j}{p}$ are all even, $v = 0$ and w must be even so that $2^{\lfloor \log_2 p \rfloor + 1} | j$.

If $j = 2^u r$ and $k = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \dots + 2^{k_h}$, where $k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_h \geq 0$, $h \geq 1$ and $0 < k \leq p$, then $k_1 \leq u - 1$,

$$j - k = 2^u(r - 1) + 2^{u-1} + \dots + 2^{k_1+1} + 2^{k_1-1} + \dots + 2^{k_2+1} + \dots + 2^{k_{h-1}-1} + \dots + 2^{k_h}$$

and $\left\lfloor \frac{j}{2^u} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{j-k}{2^u} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2^u} \right\rfloor = r - (r-1) > 0$.

Hence by (i) $\binom{j}{1}, \binom{j}{2}, \dots, \binom{j}{p}$ are all even.

Lemma 14 If m, i and j are nonnegative integers, then $(b^j(m))_i = \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} m_{i+k} \pmod{2}$.

Proof By induction on j .

$j = 0$ Obvious.

$j > 0$ Assume the lemma holds for j , then by Theorem 6 (iii),

$$\begin{aligned}
(b^{j+1}(m))_i &= \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} (b(m))_{i+k} \pmod{2} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} (m_{i+k+1} + m_{i+k}) \pmod{2} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \left(\binom{j}{k} + \binom{j}{k+1} \right) m_{i+k+1} + \binom{j}{0} m_i + \binom{j}{j} m_{i+j+1} \pmod{2} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \binom{j+1}{k+1} m_{i+k+1} + \binom{j+1}{0} m_i + \binom{j+1}{j+1} m_{i+j+1} \pmod{2} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} \binom{j+1}{k} m_{i+k} \pmod{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by induction the lemma holds.

Theorem 15 $b^j(m) = m$ iff $m = 0$ or 1 or $2^{\lfloor \log_2 \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor + 1 \rfloor} | j$.

Proof The result holds for $j = 0$, so assume $j > 0$.

By Lemma 14, $b^j(m) = m$ iff for all $i \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^j \binom{j}{k} m_{i+k} = 0 \pmod{2}$.

If $m = 0$ or 1 , this is true for all j . If $m > 1$, for $q = \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor$, $2^q \leq m < 2^{q+1}$ and $m_q = 1$.

For $i + k > q$, $m_{i+k} = 0$. Hence:

$$b^j(m) = m \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{for } q \geq i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\min(j, q-i)} \binom{j}{k} m_{i+k} = 0 \pmod{2}.$$

If the statement to the right of the iff, which we will call (*), holds, we have, for $i = q - 1$, $q - i = 1 \leq j$ and

$$\binom{j}{1} m_q \equiv \binom{j}{1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Now assume $\binom{j}{t} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, for $1 \leq t < r \leq \min(j, q)$. Then if (*) holds we have, for $i = q - r$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \binom{j}{k} m_{i+k} = \binom{j}{r} m_q \equiv \binom{j}{r} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Hence, by induction, if (*) holds,

$$\binom{j}{1}, \binom{j}{2}, \dots, \binom{j}{\min(j, q)}$$

are all even and as $\binom{j}{j} = 1$, $\binom{j}{\min(j, q)} = \binom{j}{q}$.

If $\binom{j}{1}, \dots, \binom{j}{q}$ are all even (*) holds.

Hence, by Lemma 13, as $q = \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor$, $b^j(m) = m$ iff $2^{\lfloor \log_2 \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor + 1} | j$.

Corollary 16 If $2^{2^k} \leq m < 2^{2^{k+1}}$, $b^j(m) = m$ iff $2^{k+1} | j$.

17. For $m > 1$, the length of the orbit of b is $2^{\lfloor \log_2 \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor + 1}$.

9 The Decoding Function $d = b^{-1}$

We define a new function d recursively and then show that this is the inverse of b .

Definition 2 $d(0) = 0$

$$d(2^k + i) = 2^{k+1} - 1 - d(i) \quad (0 \leq i < 2^k).$$

We now prove lemmas similar to those for b .

Lemma 18 (i) $d(1) = 1$.

(ii) If m_p is the second 1 from the left in $m_k m_{k-1} \dots m_0$, where $m_k = 1$, then

$$d(m_k m_{k-1} \dots m_0) = 2^{k+1} - 2^{p+1} + d(m_{p-1} \dots m_0).$$

(iii) If $m_k = 1$ and $m_{k-1} = m_{k-2} = \dots = m_0 = 0$, then

$$d(m_k m_{k-1} \dots m_0) = 2^{k+1} - 1,$$

that is $d(2^k) = 2^{k+1} - 1$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Proof (i) From Definition 2.

(ii) By Definition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} d(2^k + 2^p + m_{p-1} 2^{p-1} \dots + m_0) &= 2^{k+1} - 1 - d(2^p + m_{p-1} 2^{p-1} + \dots + m_0) \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1 - (2^{p+1} - 1 - d(m_{p-1} 2^{p-1} + \dots + m_0)) \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 2^{p+1} + d(m_{p-1} \dots m_0). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $d(2^k) = 2^{k+1} - 1 - d(0) = 2^{k+1} - 1$.

Corollary 19 If $2^p \leq j < 2^{p+1} \leq 2^k$, then $d(2^k + j) = 2^{k+1} - 2^{p+1} + d(j - 2^p)$.

Lemma 20 If $2^k \leq m < 2^{k+1}$ then $2^k \leq d(m) < 2^{k+1}$.

Proof By induction on m .

We can now show that d is the inverse of b .

Theorem 21 $d = b^{-1}$.

Proof (i) We show, by induction on j , that $d(b(j)) = j$.

This is obvious for $j = 0$.

If $j > 0$, we let $j = 2^k + i$ for $0 \leq i < 2^k$.

Then $b(j) = b(2^k - i - 1) + 2^k$ and as, by Lemma 4, $0 \leq b(2^k - i - 1) < 2^k$, we have by the induction hypothesis and Definition 2:

$$\begin{aligned} d(b(j)) &= 2^{k+1} - 1 - d(b(2^k - i - 1)) \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1 - (2^k - i - 1) \\ &= 2^k + i = j. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We prove, by induction on j , that $b(d(j)) = j$.

This is obvious for $j = 0$.

If $j > 0$, we let $j = 2^k + i$ for $0 \leq i < 2^k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(j) &= 2^{k+1} - 1 - d(i) \\ &= 2^k + (2^k - 1 - d(i)). \end{aligned}$$

As by Lemma 20, $0 \leq 2^k - 1 - d(i) < 2^k$, by Definition 1 and the induction hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned} b(d(j)) &= b(2^k - 1 - (2^k - 1 - d(i))) + 2^k \\ &= b(d(i)) + 2^k \\ &= i + 2^k = j. \end{aligned}$$

We now write down a lemma for d , similar to Lemma 5 for b .

Lemma 22 (i) If $2^p \leq j < 2^{p+1} \leq 2^k$ then:

- (a) $(d(2^k + j))_p = 0$
- (b) $(d(2^k + j))_i = 1$ for $p + 1 \leq i \leq k$
- (c) $(d(2^k + j))_i = (d(j))_i$ if $0 \leq i < p$.

(ii) $(d(2^k))_i = 1$ if $0 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof By Lemma 18.

Using Lemma 5, we were able to prove Theorem 6 which gave simple methods for finding $(b(m))_i$. The formula for $(d(m))_i$ given below is not quite as simple and its proof does not use Lemma 22.

Theorem 23 $(d(m))_i = \sum_{j=i}^k m_j \pmod{2}$

where k is the largest value of j for which m_j is non-zero.

Proof By induction on i .

Let the non-zero values of m_i be

$$m_{k_1}, m_{p_1}, m_{k_2}, m_{p_2}, \dots, m_{p_r} \quad (\text{and } m_{k_{r+1}})$$

where $k = k_1 > p_1 > k_2 \dots > p_r (> k_{r+1})$.

$i = 0$ If there is an even number of these non-zero m_i s then by Lemma 18:

$$d(m) = 2^{k_1+1} - 2^{p_1+1} + 2^{k_2+1} - 2^{p_2+1} + \dots 2^{k_r+1} - 2^{p_r+1}$$

so $(d(m))_0 = 0 = \sum_{j=0}^k m_j \pmod{2}$.

If this number is odd

$$d(m) = 2^{k_i+1} - 2^{p_i+1} + \dots 2^{k_r+1} - 2^{p_r+1} + 2^{k_{r+1}} - 1$$

so $(d(m))_0 = 1 = \sum_{j=0}^k m_j \pmod{2}$.

$i > 0$ By Theorem 6 (iii) and Theorem 21:

$$m_{i-1} = (d(m))_i + (d(m))_{i-1} \pmod{2}.$$

That is, using the induction hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned} (d(m))_i &= (d(m))_{i-1} + m_{i-1} \pmod{2} \\ &= \sum_{j=i-1}^k m_j + (m)_{i-1} \pmod{2} \\ &= \sum_{j=i}^k m_j \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 24 $(d(m))_i = 0$ if there is an even number of 1s to the left of m_{i-1} in the binary representation of m , and $(d(m))_i = 1$ otherwise.

From this we have two forms of an algorithm to evaluate $d(m)$.

Algorithm 1 for d

For each digit in the binary representation of m , put a 0 if there is an even number of 1s from this digit (including it) to the left and a 1 otherwise.

Algorithm 2 for d

To form $d(m)$ from the binary representation of m replace the 1st, 3rd, 5th, etc. occurrences of 1 and any subsequent 0s by 1s and replace the 2nd, 4th, etc. occurrences of 1 and any subsequent 0s by 0s.

Example $d(1100010110001) = 1000011011110$.

References

- [1] Berlekamp, E.R., Conway, J.H. and Guy, R.K., “Winning Ways - for your mathematical plays”, Vol.1: Games in General, Academic Press, London, 1982.
- [2] Conder, Marston, “Explicit definition of the binary reflected Gray Code”, Discrete Mathematics, Vol.195, (1999), pp245-249.
- [3] Griffin, H., “Elementary Theory of Numbers”, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954.
- [4] Nashelsky, L., “Introduction to Digit Computer Technology”, John Wiley, 1982.
- [5] Sharma, Bhu Dev and Khanna, Ravindar Kumar, “Integer characterization of binary and m-org Gray Codes”, Journal of Combinatorics, Information and System Sciences, Vol.4, (1979), pp227-236.