University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Health and Behavioural Sciences - Papers (Archive)

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

1-1-2012

Publication bias, the File Drawer Problem, and how innovative publication models can help

D Apthorp University of Wollongong, dapthorp@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.uow.edu.au/hbspapers

Part of the Arts and Humanities Commons, Life Sciences Commons, Medicine and Health Sciences Commons, and the Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Apthorp, D: Publication bias, the File Drawer Problem, and how innovative publication models can help 2012, 6-6. https://ro.uow.edu.au/hbspapers/3117

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Publication bias, the File Drawer Problem, and how innovative publication models can help

Abstract

One of the topics that has come up frequently in the discussions on open science has been the "filedrawer problem", otherwise known as publication bias (Rosenthal, 1979 Psychological Bulletin 86(3), 638-641). Traditional publishing practices have tended to favour positive results that reject the null hypothesis, leading some researchers to suggest that, in the extreme case, "most published results are false" (Ioannidis, 2005 PLoS Medicine 2(8), e124). What does this mean for vision science, and how can an open science framework help address this problem? I will suggest that innovative publishing initiatives such as PsychFileDrawer.org and the Reproducibility Project can harness the new technologies available to researchers to encourage replication of important published research. In addition, new publication models could use methods similar to the registration of all clinical trials in medicine (eg initial peer review of only the Introduction and Methods) to help lessen or abolish publication bias.

Keywords

file, bias, help, publication, can, models, innovative, problem, drawer

Disciplines

Arts and Humanities | Life Sciences | Medicine and Health Sciences | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details

Apthorp, D. (2012). Publication bias, the File Drawer Problem, and how innovative publication models can help. Perception, 41 (Suppl.), 6-6.

Monday

MONDAY

Publication bias, the File Drawer Problem, and how innovative publication models can help D Apthorp (University of Wollongong, Australia; e-mail: dapthorp@uow.edu.au)

One of the topics that has come up frequently in the discussions on open science has been the "filedrawer problem", otherwise known as publication bias (Rosenthal, 1979 *Psychological Bulletin* **86**(3), 638–641). Traditional publishing practices have tended to favour positive results that reject the null hypothesis, leading some researchers to suggest that, in the extreme case, "most published results are false" (Ioannidis, 2005 *PLoS Medicine* **2**(8), e124). What does this mean for vision science, and how can an open science framework help address this problem? I will suggest that innovative publishing initiatives such as PsychFileDrawer.org and the Reproducibility Project can harness the new technologies available to researchers to encourage replication of important published research. In addition, new publication models could use methods similar to the registration of all clinical trials in medicine (eg initial peer review of only the Introduction and Methods) to help lessen or abolish publication bias.