

University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences - Papers: Part A

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences

2012

Achieving supply chain integration using RFID technology: The case of emerging intelligent B-to-B e-commerce processes in a living laboratory

Samuel Fosso Wamba

University of Wollongong, samuel.fosso.wamba@neoma-bs.fr

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/eispapers>



Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Science and Technology Studies Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Wamba, Samuel Fosso, "Achieving supply chain integration using RFID technology: The case of emerging intelligent B-to-B e-commerce processes in a living laboratory" (2012). *Faculty of Engineering and Information Sciences - Papers: Part A*. 132.

<https://ro.uow.edu.au/eispapers/132>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Achieving supply chain integration using RFID technology: The case of emerging intelligent B-to-B e-commerce processes in a living laboratory

Abstract

Purpose: Despite the high operational and strategic potentials of RFID technology, very little studies have been conducted about its role as enabler of supply chain integration to achieve high-level operational efficiency. Therefore, this study is an initial effort towards bridging the existing knowledge gap in the literature. **Design/methodology:** This exploratory research was conducted in one retail supply chain. A multi-method approach combining a longitudinal real-life case study and a methodology integrating several steps, including a "Living Laboratory" strategy was used and involved all members of a product line to analyze in terms of their contributing activities and their interface with other supply chain members, the aim being to explore the impact of RFID technology on inter- and intra-organizational processes and information systems. **Findings:** Our results provide support to the role of RFID as enabler of better integration of timeliness and accuracy data flows into information systems, business process optimization through automation, better system-to-system communication and better inter- and intra-organizational business process integration. Furthermore, they also validate the unique characteristics of RFID technology such as enabler of realtime multiple tags items data collection and exchange within the supply chain and the read-and-write capability that may help, for example, to reuse some RFID tags within the supply chain and therefore reduce the cost related to the purchase of the said RFID tags. Finally, the study also reveals the importance of business process renovation and complementary investments during the adoption of RFID technology in order to achieve high level of business value from the technology.

Disciplines

Engineering | Science and Technology Studies

Publication Details

Fosso Wamba, S. Fosso. (2012). Achieving supply chain integration using RFID technology: The case of emerging intelligent B-to-B e-commerce processes in a living laboratory. *Business Process Management Journal*, 18 (1), 58-81.

Achieving Supply Chain Integration Using RFID Technology: The Case of Emerging Intelligent B-to-B e-Commerce Processes in a Living Laboratory

Samuel Fosso Wamba, Ph.D. CompTIA RFID+ Certified Professional Academic Co-Founder of RFID Academia Founder and CEO of e-m-RFID.biz Director of the Centre for Business Service Science Senior Lecturer	School of Information Systems & Technology (SISAT) Faculty of Informatics - University of Wollongong NSW Australia 2522 +61242213136 samuel@uow.edu.au www.samueffossowamba.com
---	---

Purpose: Despite the high operational and strategic potentials of RFID technology, very little studies have been conducted about its role as enabler of supply chain integration to achieve high-level operational efficiency. Therefore, this study is an initial effort towards bridging the existing knowledge gap in the literature.

Design/methodology: This exploratory research was conducted in one retail supply chain. A multi-method approach combining a longitudinal real-life case study and a methodology integrating several steps, including a “Living Laboratory” strategy was used and involved all members of a product line to analyze in terms of their contributing activities and their interface with other supply chain members, the aim being to explore the impact of RFID technology on inter- and intra-organizational processes and information systems.

Findings: Our results provide support to the role of RFID as enabler of better integration of timeliness and accuracy data flows into information systems, business process optimization through automation, better system-to-system communication and better inter- and-intra-organizational business process integration. Furthermore, they also validate the unique characteristics of RFID technology such as enabler of real-time multiple tags items data collection and exchange within the supply chain and the read-and-write capability that may help, for example, to reuse some RFID tags within the supply chain and therefore reduce the cost related to the purchase of the said RFID tags. Finally, the study also reveals the importance of business process renovation and complementary investments during the adoption of RFID technology in order to achieve high level of business value from the technology.

Originality/value: The paper is original in the sense that it provides some empirical support for the enabling role of RFID technology in allowing supply chain integration.

Keywords: RFID technology, supply chain integration, proof-of-concept, living laboratory, business process optimization, retail industry.

Citation: Fosso Wamba, S. (2011). Achieving Supply Chain Integration Using RFID Technology: The Case of Emerging Intelligent B-to-B e-Commerce Processes in a Living Laboratory. *Business Process Management Journal*, Forthcoming.

1. Introduction

RFID technology, which is defined as “a wireless automatic identification and data capture (AIDC) technology” (Fosso Wamba et al. 2008) (p. 615), is increasingly viewed by many practitioners (e.g. SAP, HP, IBM, etc.) and scholars (Curtin et al. 2007; Fosso Wamba et al. 2009; Loebbecke et al. 2008; Ngai et al. 2007a) as a means to achieve a high level of intra- and inter-organizational operational efficiency. Some scholars even suggested that the technology is “the next big thing in management” (Wyld 2006) (p. 154) or a “key to automating everything” (Want 2004) (p. 56) since it has the capabilities of optimizing multiple business processes through the improvement, automation and elimination of existing processes (Fosso Wamba et al. 2008) or even allowing the emergence of new processes called “intelligent processes” or “smart processes”, which automatically trigger actions or events that could allow, for example, the machine-to-machine communication, a better intra- and inter-organizational information systems integration by leveraging on collaborative technologies such as XML and web services (Fosso Wamba et al. 2008). However, despite the operational and strategic potentials of RFID technology, very little studies have been conducted about its role as enabler of supply chain integration to achieve high-level operational efficiency. Therefore, this study is an initial effort towards bridging the existing knowledge gap in the literature. More explicitly, this study draws on prior studies on RFID research agendas (Curtin et al. 2007) (p. 97, 102) to examine the following three questions:

1. What is the business value of RFID integration with key intra- and inter-organizational business processes?
2. What is the business value of RFID integration with intra- and inter-organizational applications?
3. Can business value be realized without RFID-focused process redesign?

In order to address these questions, this research draws on existing literature on innovation theory, supply chain management, inter-organizational information systems and RFID technology, as well as on a longitudinal case analysis of a retail supply chain.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 is a literature review of the diffusion of innovation theory, supply chain management, inter-

organizational information systems and RFID technology. Section 3 describes our research methodology. Section 4 presents our results and discussions. Section 5 is our conclusion and further research.

2. Literature review

2.1. Innovation as enabler of organization transformation

In this paper, an innovation shall mean “any idea, practice, or material artifact perceived to be new by the relevant unit of adoption (e.g., adoption of RFID in the supply chain)” (Zaltman et al. 1973) (p. 10). This definition is consistent with the one provided by (Rogers 2003) (p. 12) where innovation is “an idea, practice, or object that is perceived as new by an individual or other unit of adoption”. In the current digital economy, innovation is viewed by many scholars as a vital driver of business renovation and economic growth (Aizcorbe et al. 2009; Porter et al. 1985) and as a source of sustained competitive advantage for firms (Damanpour et al. 2006). However, the widespread adoption of an innovation and its use depend upon many factors, namely, the characteristics of the said innovation (e.g., relative advantage, complexity, compatibility, trialability and observability), the characteristics of the organization (e.g., top management support, total resources, slack resources, employees’ technical expertise and organizational structure) intending to adopt it, and finally, the environmental characteristics (e.g., competitive pressure, standard and regulation, level of trust among stakeholders) in which the organization is operating (Fichman 2000; Rogers 2003). For example, (Rogers 1995) posited that innovations that are perceived by potential adopters as having greater relative advantage, compatibility, trialability, and observability and less complexity will be adopted more rapidly than other innovations (p. 16). Therefore, a great attention should be paid to each of these five characteristics in order to explain the rate of adoption of a given innovation, and thus facilitating its success among potential adopters. In addition, previous studies on innovation diffusion found that large firms usually have more slack resources, which are an important enabler of innovation diffusion (Rogers 2003; Zhu 2006). Also, (Zhu 2006) found that competitive pressure is positively linked to e-business usage. In another study, (Zhu et al. 2003) shown that in a high e-business intensity environment (e.g. country), managers tend to have a more balanced understanding about e-business in terms of its benefits, costs, and risks.

In this paper, we consider that RFID technology is an innovation and that it is innovative to integrate it with intra- and inter-organizational business processes and with intra- and internal information systems. As a reminder, RFID technology has the following characteristics: unique item/product level identification; no need of line of sight; multiple tags items reading; more data storage capability and data read/write capabilities (Asif et al. 2005; Jahner et al. 2008; Tajima 2007), enabler of real-time data collection and sharing among supply chain stakeholders (Delen et al. 2007), enabler of business process innovation (Fosso Wamba et al. 2008; Lefebvre et al. 2006; Loebbecke 2007). These characteristics may, therefore, be considered as influencing factors in the decision to adopt the technology. Moreover, early studies on RFID technology have already shown that even if competitive pressure (e.g., mandate from key stakeholders) appears to be an important factor at the early stage of exploration, its importance diminishes over time to make room for RFID technology characteristics such as enabler of supply chain visibility, customer service and asset management (Aberdeen-Group 2007). Finally, in a more recent study, (Fosso Wamba et al. 2009) posited that the level of technological and organizational integration and the scope of organizational transformation feature among the critical factors that allow a successful RFID-enabled supply chain project. Therefore, this paper focuses on the role of RFID technology as enabler of supply chain integration.

2.2. *Supply chain management and inter-organizational information systems (IOIS)*

A supply chain (SC) is “a bidirectional flow of information, products and money between the initial suppliers and final customers through different organizations”, and supply chain management (SCM) encompasses the planning, implementing and controlling of this flow (Nurmilaakso 2008) (p. 721). SC optimization is continuously viewed as a strategic means to face contemporary competition (Gunasekaran et al. 2004), which is now represented as follows: “supply chain versus supply chain”, instead of “firm versus firm” (Ketchen et al. 2007). Indeed, “it has become clear that an individual firm can no longer prosper in business, but rather, it is the entire network that moves raw materials through production and, ultimately, to end users, which are the nexus of marketplace success” (Lancioni et al. 2003) (p. 173). SC integration (SCI), which is a key dimension of SCM, involves information sharing among supply chain members (Nurmilaakso 2008), the integration of key intra- and inter-organizational business processes in order to increase SC overall operational

performance (Datta et al. 2007; Harland et al. 2007), at the same time it reduces SC costs (Datta et al. 2007) and improves SC competitiveness (Datta et al. 2007; Fawcett et al. 2008). For example, better information sharing among the supply chain stakeholders is “often considered as a generic cure for supply chain ailments” (Sahin et al. 2002) (p. 510).

In the literature, there are many supply chain integration classifications. For example, (Lee 2000) acknowledged three key dimensions of supply chain integration, namely: (a) information integration, which is the sharing of information (e.g., demand information, inventory status, capacity plans, production schedules, promotion plans, demand forecasts, and shipment schedules) and knowledge between supply chain stakeholders; (b) coordination, which involves the reorganization of decision rights (e.g., replenishment decisions), work, and resources to the best-positioned supply chain member; and (c) organizational relationship linkages, which include the definition and the maintaining of tight communication channels involving IOIS (e.g., EDI, Internet technologies), account teams, or executive briefings.

(Rai et al. 2006) proposed two levels of integration, namely, IT infrastructure integration for SCM, and supply chain process integration for high level firm performance. For these authors, the IT integration for SCM encompasses data consistency across supply chain and cross-functional application integration represents the “lower-order capability” that needs to be leveraged to develop a “higher-order” process capability such as supply chain process integration, including physical flow integration, information flow integration and financial flow integration (p. 227, 229). More importantly, for the authors, “a well-integrated IT platform is much more than individual physical components. It required standards for the integration of data, applications, and processes to be negotiated and implemented in order for real-time connectivity between distributed applications to be achieved” (p. 227).

(Kim et al. 2009) used two dimensions of supply chain integration: internal integration and integration with channel partners. The integration with channel partners encompasses interfirm systems integration and interfirm activity integration and may cover activities such as order placement and tracking; exchange of data on performance, point-of-sale information, and inventory data; and planning and forecasting. The authors highlighted the importance of IOIS to obtain timeliness, accuracy, adequacy, completeness and credibility of information exchange among

supply chain stakeholders to enhance supply chain efficiency (p. 43). Indeed, IOIS which usually involve resources shared by two or more organizations for enhancing the electronic integration of business transactions and processes (Barrett et al. 1982; Hadaya et al. 2007), are used for the transmission of information across firm boundaries (Johnston et al. 1988). By considering the level of automated information processing, IOIS can be classified as a system-to-system integration, when data exchange between intra- and internal information systems of supply chain stakeholders are fully automated (e.g. EDI), or as a system-to-human integration, when data exchange are semi-automated (e.g., required human intervention at some stages) (Kauremaa et al. 2009).

In the context of SCM, IOIS have been used to facilitate information sharing between SC stakeholders (Rai et al. 2006; Saeed et al. 2005); to streamline and to automate intra- and inter-organizational business processes, which in turn improve the coordination of decision-making within the supply chain (Asoo 2002; Sahin et al. 2002), to enhance each firm comparative efficiency (e.g., to reduce administrative costs, inventory costs, coordination costs, and the supply chain total cost)(Devaraj et al. 2007; Johnston et al. 1988) and increase revenue (Rai et al. 2006).

However, the vast majority of studies on IOIS-enabled supply chain tend to underestimate the organizational or process changes required to take advantage of technological capabilities. They assume that technological innovations automatically result in new processes that effectively use the new capabilities (Clark et al. 1996) (p 351).

This study builds on these previous works and investigates the business value of RFID integration with key intra- and inter-organizational business processes and applications. In addition, the study investigates the level of process redesign for a high level realization of RFID-enabled supply chain benefits.

2.3. RFID technology as an emerging innovative IOIS: potentials and challenges

RFID technology is emerging as a new IOIS for SC renovation (Curtin et al. 2007; Gogan et al. 2007). However, the concept behind RFID technology is relatively simple and its operation principle is based on the interaction of three major components, that is, RFID tags (active, passive or semi-passive), which are electronic databases that can be attached to or embedded in a physical item/product, and RFID readers which are fixed or mobile devices (Ngai et al. 2007a). They can also

communicate with the tag without requiring a line of sight, retrieve information from the tag and send it to a RFID middleware (Asif et al. 2005). The RFID middleware, which can be considered as the back bone of any RFID system, is used to manage the whole system, and it is the place where all firm business logics are configured in order to automatically choreograph the execution of intra- and-inter-organizational business processes. Once a RFID tag is embedded into a product, it becomes “smart” or “intelligent” (Fosso Wamba et al. 2006; Kärkkäinen et al. 2003; Meyer et al. 2009; Strassner et al. 2004; Valckenaers et al. 2009; Yang et al. 2009). More precisely, an “intelligent product” possesses a unique identity, is capable of communicating effectively with its environment, can retain or store data about itself, deploys a language to display its features, production requirements, etc., and is capable of participating in or making decisions relevant to its own destiny (Zaharudin et al. 2002) (p. 8). Such a product becomes a mobile, intelligent, communicating component of the firm’s overall information infrastructure, and thus positioning RFID technology as an emerging IOIS with the potential to transform the entire supply chain for real-time optimization (Curtin et al. 2007) (p. 88).

The interest in RFID technology is growing steadily among the scientific community. This rising interest can be ascertained through the vast range of studies on the topic. For example, (Lefebvre et al. 2006) by means of a pilot study showed RFID technology enables a new business model, implies major redesign of existing intra- and inter-organizational business processes and fosters a higher level of electronic integration between supply chain stakeholders. Drawing on the organizational inertia theory by (Strüker et al. 2010) as well as a survey study among German early adopters, organizations of RFID arrived at the conclusion the size doesn’t matter when adopting and using the technology. Indeed, for the authors, even “that smaller organization size can make RFID adoption and exploitation of the productivity potential easier. Accordingly, it recommends that SMEs avoid adopting a wait-and-see position or restricting themselves to easy-to-conduct RFID automation applications” (p. 972). (Ilic et al. 2010), through a simulation using a real-world scenario, showed that the data volumes generated by RFID systems at the supply chain level will be much lower than what is currently predicted by many practitioners. (Zhao et al. 2010) used the object-oriented modelling approach to highlight the synergy that may exist between business process automation and automatic data acquisition. (Ngai et al. 2007b), on their part, used a case study of the research and

development of an RFID-based traceability system to reveal that the creation of a strong internal and external motivation for improvement and the strive for cross-organizational RFID implementation start with a small RFID project scope and the transfer of RFID skills and knowledge from university to industry, and play a leading role in any successful implementation of RFID systems. In a more recent study, (Ngai et al. 2009) argued that following are among the issues and challenges in the adoption of RFID within a supply chain: the lack of global standards for RFID adoption; the necessity for data privacy, identity and non-refutability; the requirement of RFID data management; the lack of RFID expertise for deployment; the management commitment, the RFID cost-benefit analysis, the selection of RFID hardware and software both at firm and the supply chain levels and the difficulty for firms to evaluate their own needs and determine which processes can benefit from automation with the use of RFID and associated technologies. More importantly, the authors advocate for the imperative need to “evaluate company’s operations and determine how, where and when best to apply one or a combination of these technologies to benefit the organization, its customers and the entire supply chain” (p. 6). After introducing the concept of service abstraction layer in the RFID architecture -with the aims of removing the dependency on specific RFID framework-, as well as a new virtual infrastructure that interfaces with various emerging identification technologies, (Lorchirachoonkul et al. 2010) used a pilot study to test their concepts and found, among others things, that the usability of the virtualized RFID infrastructures depends on the cooperation among partners in the supply chain, rather than depending on the technology level.

(Holmström et al. 2009; Rönkkö et al. 2007) put forward the concept of “item-centric supply chain management” and “item-centric control and information management” enabled by the use of RFID technology as an innovative way of enhancing the tracking and tracing of assets during their lifecycle and to increase the efficiency of supply chain operations. However, (Holmström et al. 2009) discovered that it was not easy at all to find out the right business areas where to start the development of a RFID-enabled business applications. And that is why it is necessary to use an appropriate roadmap when introducing RFID in a supply chain. In the same vein, (Martínez-Sala et al. 2009) showed that intelligent products allow a better integration of data flows into information systems, which in turn allows a better tracking of the said products over the entire supply chain. (Woo et al. 2009) proposed

and validated an active architecture that tracks locations and attributes of logistics objects in a sensor-enabled logistics network. Finally, (Pal et al. 2008) using a real-life pilot study for a proof-of-concept of RFID-enabled parking operations of a single university identified some tangible (e.g., more revenues) and intangible (e.g., better reputation) benefits from RFID technology. In addition, the authors highlighted the importance of using a proof-of-concept approach to assess the business value of RFID technology.

Our review of the RFID studies offers a starting point to analyze the business value of RFID technology. However, this review also show that very few prior studies on RFID have investigated the business value of integrating RFID technology with key intra- and inter-organizational business processes and intra- and inter-organizational applications using a “Living Laboratory” approach.

3. Research methodology

This study is conducted in the retail industry and involves five tightly inter-related firms of a three-layer beverage supply chain (Fig. 2) which are currently investigating the potential of RFID technology.

3.1. Research design

As the main objective of this study is to improve our theoretical and practical understanding of the impact of RFID technology on supply chain integration, the research design clearly falls into the realm of exploratory research. Thereafter, a longitudinal case study was conducted in 13 steps (see Table 1, adapted from (Lefebvre et al. 2005)).

Table 1: Overall phases undertaken during the exploratory research study

Phase 1: vision, orientation, intra-and inter-firm opportunity seeking	
Step 1	Understanding of the primary motivation of supply chain stakeholders to consider the adoption of RFID technology as enabler of supply chain integration using focus groups (Why?)
Step 2	Mutual choice of one family of products by supply chain stakeholders for RFID-enabled supply chain project followed by the analysis of activities related to the Product value Chain (PVC) of the said family of products (What?)
Step 3	Identification of the critical activities in the PVC: (Which activities to select? and Why?)
Step 4	Mapping of the network of firms supporting the PVC to assess the current level of supply chain integration between the firms supporting the PVC (Who? and with Whom?)
Step 5 - 6	Mapping of (“as is”) intra- and inter-business processes and intra- and inter-information systems for critical activities (How within and between supply chain stakeholder?)
Phase 2: Intra-and inter-firm scenario building	
Step 7	Evaluation of RFID-enabled supply chain integration opportunities with respect to the product (level of granularity), to each supply chain stakeholder and to the specific PVC activities using focus groups (Where?)
Step 8	Evaluation of various RFID-enabled supply chain integration projects including scenario building, intra- and inter-business processes and intra- and inter-information systems redesign (“as could be”) (How?)
Step 9	Mapping of intra- and inter-business processes and intra- and inter-information systems integrating RFID technology
Step 10	Validation of intra- and inter-business processes and intra- and inter-information systems integrating RFID technology with key respondents including the feasibility analysis, identification of key success factors and challenges of RFID-enabled supply chain integration projects, assessment of ERP and middleware integration, process automation and supply chain alignment
Step 11	Simulation of several scenarios for final choice for proof-of-concept
Phase 3: Scenario validation, demonstration and analysis	
Step 12	Proof-of-concept in laboratory simulating physical and technological environments, and interfaces between supply chain players. Feasibility demonstration of RFID-enabled supply chain integration and evaluation assessment of RFID middleware and ERP integration, intra- and inter-business processes automation and intra- and inter-information systems information exchange
Step 13	Pilot project of RFID-enabled supply chain integration in the supply chain stakeholders facilities and evaluation of anticipated vs. realized business value from RFID project.

The case study allows us to capture the dynamic within the supply chain in real-life settings (Eisenhardt 1989). Furthermore, a case study is recognized by many researchers as a relevant approach to answer research questions such as “why” and “how” things are done (Yin 1994), and a means to focus on emerging phenomena and eventually induced theories (Benbasat et al. 1987). Therefore, this approach is suitable to study RFID-enabled supply chain integration, where research and theory are at their embryonic and formative stages (Benbasat et al. 1987). As a matter of fact, a growing number of scholars are promoting the use of the case study approach in the logistics and operation management fields (Barratt 2004; Näslund 2002). More recently, many research studies have already proved its strength and effectiveness in

the study of RFID technology (Fosso Wamba et al. 2006; Loebbecke 2007; Loebbecke et al. 2008; Moon et al. 2008)

3.2. Research sites

The study was conducted in a three-layer beverage supply chain (Figure 2.). The focal firm (Firm X) is a key player of the Canadian beverage industry, with various distribution centers (DCs) and stores. The DC where this study was conducted has a capacity of 170,000 square feet in which an average of 2.7 million cases of products are transited each year. Firm X already has a high level of IT sophistication, with bar code systems for tracking products at the case level, an enterprise resource planning (ERP), a warehouse management system (WMS), a transportation management system (TMS), a B2B Web portal, an EDI server, a LAN and a GPS system to optimize its intra- and inter organizational processes. However, despite this level of IT sophistication, the firm is still facing a lot of supply chain problems such as high warehousing management costs, and more importantly, inventory discrepancies in the quantities of products going from the DC to the major customers of the firm and that are due to the lack of internal control mechanisms. Resolving these issues was among the focal firm primary motivations toward RFID adoption. As for the other players of the supply chain under study, there are two first-tier suppliers (with one more supplier which has joined the project) and one retailer. The suppliers are bottling plants. They mostly use a build-to-stock strategy and deliver their production to the focal firm on a daily basis. However, some of them need to make sure that the quantity of products shipped to the focal firm is within the range of their preauthorized annual quantity negotiated with the focal firm. Otherwise, they will be charged by the focal firm for the storage of the excess inventory. Having a visibility on the said excess inventory is among the top priorities of suppliers. Currently, the suppliers use basic ITs such as email and fax. In addition, they use pre-printed bar codes that are being provided by the focal firm. However, they don't use any information from these bar codes for their internal purposes. Finally, the retailer involved in this study is one of the biggest North Americas' firms in its sector with about 30,000 employees, six DCs, and an advanced level of IT sophistication that is based on the use of email, several databases, a LAN, an ERP and a WMS for intra- and inter-organizational business process optimization.

3.3. Data collection

Following (Yin 1994) recommendations to increase construct validity, multiple sources of evidence were used in this study. They included focus groups (in steps 1, 2 and 7 of Table 1), interviews (in steps 3, 5 and 6 of Table 1), on-site observations, time and motions studies (in step 3 of Table 1), dry-runs (in step 11 of Table 1) and a proof-of-concept (in step 12 of Table 1) using the “Living laboratory” approach. In fact, the “Living laboratory” represents a reliable research methodology for sensing, validating and refining disruptive innovations such as RFID technology in multiple and evolving real-life contexts with the aim of validating, in empirical environments within specific contexts (e.g., retail industry, warehousing), emerging applications, new services or products (Schumacher et al. 2007), by engaging all stakeholders at all stages of the project, and therefore gaining ‘tacit knowledge’ from users and potential users and potential users (Kristensson et al. 2005). This, in turn, will ultimately increase the user acceptance of the innovation. More importantly, this approach allows a quicker and cheaper means of assessing disruptive technologies such as RFID through use-case scenarios feasibility (Seidel 2004), the simulation of business experiments and the use of the laboratory over a prolonged period by all key stakeholders for “self-trial” learning (Loeh 2005), joint problem solving, interaction, knowledge generation and exchange (Bergvall-Kåreborn et al. 2009; Konsti-Laakso et al. 2008; Kusiak 2007).

3.4. RFID-ERP laboratory infrastructure

Figure 1. presents the ERP-RFID laboratory infrastructure where the various RFID-enabled supply chain integrations are simulated.




Figure 1. The ERP-RFID laboratory infrastructure

The left part of the infrastructure (A) on Figure 1. is used to simulate supplier operations and is made of one conveyor belt (1), one Photo eye (2), an RFID portal (3) representing the supplier's shipping dock and equipped with two fixed antennas (3a, 3b), which are connected to a fixed Symbol RFID reader (3c) and one stack light (3d) linked to this reader – which allows the confirmation of the status of the readings as the products equipped with RFID tags (or RFID-enabled products) (4) are passing on the conveyor belts. Indeed, as soon as the Photo eye detects a RFID-enabled product, it activates the two fixed antennas in order to awake them; it then transmits radio waves only where necessary to enable the fixed Symbol RFID reader to read or write the information on the RFID tag depending on the dedicated business rules configured in the middleware.

The right part of the infrastructure (B) on Figure 1. is used to simulate the customer's receiving dock. It includes an RFID portal (5) whose technical specification is similar to that of the supplier but with two more antennas to increase the reading area.

The software part of this infrastructure is composed of two RFID middleware servers: (i) one RFID middleware server called OMS from a Canadian-based RFID solution provider named Ship2save is used to manage the supplier's RFID hardware infrastructure (6a) and (ii) another RFID middleware server, which is called Catamaran and is developed by Shipcom Wireless and provided by Hewlett Packard, is used to manage both the focal firm and the RFID infrastructure of the retailer firms (6b). While OMS can also be used to manage supplier transactions, Catamaran is connected to one ERP server called SAP to manage the focal firm and the retailer operational transactions (7a and 7b).

Further, we have the last component of the laboratory, which is made of the three screens on the walls (8), where all the information resulting from transactions is projected, allowing participants to follow the information flow in real time, as each transaction is automatically performed. Moreover, a Symbol Wireless MC 900 RFID reader was used to allow real time access to the middleware and ERP through an 802.11b wireless access point, for it to take action while on the move.

This RFID infrastructure provides the required flexibility to simulate the impact of RFID technology on multiples dyads (e.g., supplier-focal firm, focal firm-retailer) within a given supply chain.

4. Results and discussions

The current intra- and inter-organizational business process and the related intra- and inter-organizational information systems are now presented hereafter; and then follow the presentation and discussion of the RFID-enabled supply chain integration scenario that is being retained in the paper.

4.1. Current intra- and inter-organizational business processes and use of intra- and inter-organizational information systems

The analysis of the current intra- and inter-organizational business processes (A.1) provides some interesting insights:

1. Almost all the processes are made of physical (e.g., 1.6. Pick pallet from the packaging area using a forklift in the supplier “shipping process”; 2.8. Move loaded forklift to the dedicated staging area in the focal firm “receiving process”) and informational-based activities (e.g., 1.4. Link each pallet to a shipping destination using a bar code in the supplier “shipping process”; 1.1. Create a BOL in the Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), 1.2. Enter manually data from paper BOL in the ERP in the focal firm “receiving process”).
2. The realization of the vast majority of the informational based activities required almost always the human intervention (e.g., 1.1. Create manually a BOL in the Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), 1.2. Enter manually data from paper BOL in the ERP during the “shipping process”).
3. There is a high interdependency between the focal firm warehouse processes (e.g., between the “shipping process” and the “put-away process”) and between the “picking process” and the “shipping process”, but also between activities related to the said processes. For example, during the “reception process”, all the following activities need to be completed by the first receiving clerk prior to the physical reception of the incoming products by the receiving forklift driver: (i) the manual creation of a copy of that BOL in the focal firm ERP (1.1.); (ii) the manual data entry from the paper-based BOL in the ERP (1.2.); (iii) a manual verification of the incoming quantity by looking up the Purchase Order (PO) (1.3.); (iv) a manual generation of the receiving report (1.4.) and the (v) initiation of the unloading (1.5). In addition, the third receiving clerk cannot conduct his own duties (e.g., 2.5. scan the bar coding on the pallet, 2.6. confirm visually the quantity in the pallet, 2.7. manually scan the License-Plate (LP) to give it life) only if the receiving forklift driver with loaded products stops near his receiving

gate. Finally, if the third receiving clerk is, for some reason, unavailable for half an hour, then the receiving forklift driver needs to sit in the forklift with the incoming products and wait until he is back to continue the process. This situation often increases the waiting time during the realization of the receiving.

4. The link between inter-organizational business processes is achieved through paper-based documents (e.g., the BOL between the supplier “shipping process” and the focal firm “receiving process”, and between the focal firm “shipping process” and the retailer “receiving process”).
5. The IS integration is human-dependent (e.g., 2.12. Transmit put-away task manually from the WMS to dedicated forklift via Radio Frequency (RF) through a Local Area Network (LAN) in focal firm “receiving process” and 7.14. Confirm in the ERP and in the TMS departure from temporary area and initiate tracking in the Global Positioning System (GPS) in the focal firm “shipping process”).
6. The focal firm’s internal control mechanisms are human dependent (e.g., 1.3. Verify quantity by looking up the Purchase Order in the “shipping process”, 5.15. Confirm end of picking into WMS via RF through a LAN in the “picking process” and 7.2. Scan manually pallet to associate to the shipping destination in the “shipping process”), which sometimes lead to problems such as inventory discrepancy and increased internal lead time. For example, during the “shipping process” the clerk should scan individually each of the outbound pallets to link it to the shipping destination. However, he will usually scan one pallet and multiply by the number of pallets. For the Logistics Director of the focal firm, “using RFID to reinforce our internal control mechanisms is among our top priorities”.

4.2. RFID-enabled supply chain integration scenario

The RFID-enabled supply chain integration scenario that is presented and discussed in this paper encompasses six events (Figure 2.) which shall be dealt with later.




Figure 2. The three-layer supply chain under investigation with the RFID-enabled supply chain integration scenario

Figure 2. presents the three-layer supply chain under study and the six events being simulated. They are:

- (1) The shipping of RFID-enabled cases and pallets of products from the suppliers' facilities to the focal firm DC. The RFID tagging is done at the case and the pallet levels using passive read and write electronic product code (EPC) generation 2 (Gen 2) RFID tags. More precisely, when the number of cases of RFID-enabled products to be assembled in a pallet is reached, a pallet tag is generated and followed by the creation of a "parent-children" association between the pallet tags and the cases tags, and thus allowing the tracking of the shipment at the pallet level and/or the case level. When the RFID-enabled products are read by the reader (mobile or fixed) during the shipping process, their RFID data are collected and sent to the middleware for further processing. Based on the preconfigured business rules, if the shipping order is correct, then an electronic advanced shipping noticed e-ASN is generated and sent to the focal firm using the 802.11b wireless access point (Figure 3.). Consequently, this increases the level of electronic integration and information flow between the two firms.

The screenshot shows the 'Order Management' interface. On the left, there are input fields for 'Delete by order number' (No.: 1032) and 'Delete by order date' (From: November 23, 2003; To: November 23, 2003). A 'Query Order' button is below. On the right, a table displays order details:

Order#	Create Date	Modify Date	ShipTo
1032	11/23/2003 8:49:08 AM	11/23/2003 8:49:08 AM	SAQ DC

Below the table is an 'Order Summary: 1032' table:

Company Name	CompanyCode	Product Name	ItemCode	Quantity	Associated Cases	Associated Pallets
Maison Des Futailles	3342542	Australian Ridge	435529	2	2	1
Maison Des Futailles	3342542	Noble France Cu...	335462	4	4	1

A blue arrow points from the 'Order Summary' table to a box containing the following XML e-ASN:

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" standalone="yes" ?>
- <Order>
  <OrderNo>1032</OrderNo>
  <ShipTo>SAQ DC</ShipTo>
  <NoOfPallets>1</NoOfPallets>
  <NoOfProducts>1</NoOfProducts>
  <NoOfCases>2</NoOfCases>
- <Products>
  - <Product>
    <ProductName>Australian Ridge</ProductName>
    <CompanyName>Maison Des Futailles</CompanyName>
    <CompanyCode>3342542</CompanyCode>
    <ItemCode>335462</ItemCode>
    <Quantity>2</Quantity>
  </Product>
</Products>
- <Pallets>
  - <Pallet>
    <HexID>3114CC03380000000B000000</HexID>
    - <Cases>
      <Case>3054CC033947998000000005</Case>
      <Case>3054CC033947998000000006</Case>
    </Cases>
  </Pallet>
</Pallets>
</Order>

```

Figure 3. Automatic validation of the supplier shipping order and generation of a XML e-ASN

From Figure 3, we can notice that the suppliers now have access to timeliness, accurate and complete information on all outbound shipments (e.g., the shipment ID, the date of its creation and modification, the location where the products need to be shipped, the products' names, their quantity, etc.). This increases the visibility on the quantity of products sent to the focal firm DC, and therefore, on the exact number of excess products, the storage of which should be paid in the focal firm DC.

(2) The reception of RFID-enabled products in the focal firm DC. As soon as the inbound RFID-enabled products are brought into the focal firm DC, they are automatically read by the RFID reader. The collected RFID data are then sent to

the middleware for further processing, which is followed by a set of “intelligent processes”, namely (a) an automatic system-to-system communication between the RFID middleware and the SAP server for the real-time execution of an automatic posting of the corresponding transaction into SAP (Figure 4., (i)), leading to the real-time update of the inbound inventory and an assignment of a receiving staging area to the said inventory within the SAP system (Figure 4., (ii)). In addition, all information-based activities in the receiving process are now being automated, and therefore reducing the administrative costs. Furthermore, the RFID technology fosters the system-to-system integration within the receiving process. Furthermore, it is now possible to have the put-away information about the “where” to put the inbound RFID-enabled products on the shelves within the focal firm DC, all of which help to avoid using the receiving staging area and to increase the level of electronic integration between the receiving process and the put-way process. This implies two important decisions by the focal firm management team that were hitherto avoided. First, the authorization of new investments to redesign the whole receiving dock and buy new agile RFID-enabled forklifts. Indeed, all forklifts used during the receiving process are smaller and have fewer features, while those used during the put-away process are too big to be also utilized during the receiving process (e.g., they can’t go inside the truck containing the inbound products). Second, there is the layoff of many employees, which is a risky decision, considering the high influence of union in the firm and the fact that it is a state-owned organization. Clearly, the RFID adoption strategy put forward by the focal firm was more oriented toward incremental than radical improvements.

- (3) The put-away of the inbound RFID-enabled products in a dedicated area on the warehouse shelves. When the products are scanned by the put-away clerk using the wireless RFID reader, the EPC of the pallet, then the location where it needs to be stored is provided (Figure 5. (a)), which is followed by another set of “intelligent processes”: a real-time system-to-system communication between the RFID middleware and SAP and an automatic posting of the corresponding transaction into SAP (Figure 5., (b)) allowing the automatic movement of inventory into SAP from the receiving staging area to the dedicated put-away storage location (Figure 5., (c)).




Figure 4. Real-time communication between RFID middleware and SAP during the receiving process

- (4) The picking of a number of RFID-enabled products to fulfil a customer order. Here, the simulation involved: (a) the re-use of the same RFID pallet tag when building the new pallet of products (Figure 6., (i)), followed by the picking of the quantity of RFID-enabled cases of products to be included in the said pallet. Once all cases are picked, a single scan by the picking clerk using the wireless RFID reader allows the reading of all RFID tags on the cases (Figure 6., (ii)) followed by an automatic creation of a “parent-children” association between the pallet tag and the RFID cases tags (Figure 6., (iii) and (iv)).




Figure 5. Steps related to the put-away process when using RFID and corresponding transactions within SAP

Figure 6. shows that besides the automatic data collection capabilities, RFID technology offers a mechanism to ensure that each case of product is associated with the right pallet and that each pallet is linked to the right picking order, all of which increases the DC internal control. Furthermore, Figure 6. provides a support to the reuse capability of read-and-write RFID tags.

(5) The shipping of outbound RFID-enabled pallet of products to the customer's order. Once the shipping clerk scans the said pallet, the pallet EPC is provided (Figure 7., (a)), which automatically triggers a real-time communication between the RFID middleware and SAP for an automatic posting of the corresponding transaction into SAP (Figure 7., (b)), thus allowing another automatic adjustment of the inventory movement (Figure 7., (c)).




Figure 6. Steps involved in the building of a new pallet during the picking process

- (6) The reception of the RFID-enabled pallet of products in the retailer stores. Similarly, during the receiving process in the retailer facilities, once the receiving clerk scans the incoming pallet, two automatic actions are performed: (1) the pallet EPC is provided (Figure 8., (i)), and a real-time communication is performed between the RFID middleware and SAP for an automatic posting of the corresponding transaction into SAP (Figure 8., (ii)), thus allowing an automatic update of the inventory in the system (Figure 8., (iii)).




Figure 7. Steps involved in shipping process when using RFID technology and corresponding transactions within SAP

Figure 7. and Figure 8. show that the interaction between RFID-enabled pallet and RFID reader allows system-to-system integration, real-time collection of accurate and complete data and automatic information flow within SAP. This new capability may improve the firm internal coordination and decision-making process.




Figure 8. Steps involved in the retailer receiving process when using RFID technology and the corresponding transactions within SAP

5. Conclusion and further research

In this paper, we used a multi-method approach combining a longitudinal real-life case study and a methodology integrating several steps, including a “Living Laboratory” strategy, to assess the role of the RFID technology as enabler of supply chain integration.

In terms of practical implication, our approach allows all supply chain stakeholders to identify the intra- and inter-organizational opportunities offered by the RFID technology in their specific context. Also, it takes into account all business and technological requirements of each supply chain stakeholder to simulate the impacts of the technology in a controlled environment, which may in turn accelerate their adoption decision. In addition, the “Living Laboratory” strategy approach properly

fills the gap that is being identified in the current RFID literature by many authors (Holmström et al. 2009; Ngai et al. 2008) on the necessity to develop methods, techniques, models and strategies to assist potential adopters of RFID technology during their adoption decision process. The RFID-enabled supply chain integration scenario presented and discussed in this paper provides support to the role of RFID as enabler of better integration of timeliness and accuracy data flows into information systems, business process optimization through automation, better system-to-system communication and better inter- and intra-organizational business process integration.

In terms of theoretical implication, our results are consistent with the results of prior research on IOIS (Asoo 2002; Robey et al. 2008; Saeed et al. 2005; Sahin et al. 2002) and early studies on RFID technology (Holmström et al. 2009; Martínez-Sala et al. 2009; Rönkkö et al. 2007). The same results also provide support to unique characteristics of RFID technology such as: (i) enabler of real-time multiple tags items data collection and exchange within the supply chain, (ii) the read-and-write capability that may help, for example, to reuse some RFID tags within the supply chain and therefore reduce the cost related to the purchase of the said RFID tags. This last results is consistent with the observation made by (Ngai et al. 2007b). Also, the study confirms that RFID could transform all warehousing processes, namely the shipping, receiving, put-away, picking and shipping processes. This result is consistent with earlier studies on RFID technology (Lefebvre et al. 2005; Loebbecke 2007). Moreover, the study highlights the importance of business process renovation (BPR) and complementary investments during the adoption of RFID technology in order to achieve a high level of business value from the technology. For example, because the focal firm strategy for RFID adoption was more oriented toward incremental improvements than toward radical changes, the firm was not willing to conduct the appropriate level of BPR and authorize the new required investments that were conducive to the realization of higher levels of operational benefits from RFID technology. Prior research on IOIS had already highlighted the importance of BPR for higher levels of operational benefits from IT (Riggins et al. 1994) and early studies on RFID technology (Fosso Wamba et al. 2009).

This research study is bounded in three ways. First, the study was conducted in a three-layer supply chain. Further research needs to be done in more complex supply chains to assess the role of RFID as enabler of supply chain integration. Second, the study was carried out in a laboratory setting which is a controlled environment.

Further research works using data from a real-life supply chain integrating RFID technology are highly welcome. Third, a cost-benefit analysis was not achieved. Further research should provide some tools, guidelines and strategies to assess the cost-benefit of RFID-enabled supply chain integration projects.

Acknowledgment This work was done during my doctoral studies at the Ecole Polytechnique de Montreal, Canada under the supervision of Professor Louis A. Lefebvre and Elisabeth Lefebvre. I want to acknowledge the financial support of SSHRC and FQRSC.

References

- Aberdeen-Group "RFID: Roadmap for retail-visibility beyond the supply chain," 2007.
- Aizcorbe, A.M., Moylan, C.E., and Robbins, C.A. "Toward better measurement of innovation and intangibles," *Bureau of Economic Analysis* (89:1) 2009, pp 10-23.
- Asif, Z., and Mandviwalla, M. "Integrating the supply chain with RFID: a technical and business analysis," *Communications of the Association for Information Systems* (15) 2005, pp 393-427.
- Asoo, J.V. "e-Business and supply chain management " *Decision Sciences* (33:4) 2002, pp 495-504.
- Barratt, M. "Unveiling enablers and inhibitors of collaborative planning," *The International Journal of Logistics Management* (15:1) 2004, pp 73-90.
- Barrett, S., and Konsynski, B. "Inter-Organization Information Sharing Systems," *MIS Quarterly* (6:4) 1982, pp 93-105.
- Benbasat, I., Goldstein, D.K., and Mead, M. "The case research strategy in studies of information systems," *MIS Quarterly* (11:3) 1987, pp 369-386.
- Bergvall-Kåreborn, B., Hoist, M., and Stahlbrost, A. "Concept design with a Living Lab approach," in: *The 42nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, Hawaii, USA, 2009, pp. 1-10.
- Clark, T.H., and Stoddard, D.B. "Interorganizational business process redesign: Merging technological and process innovation," *Journal of Management Information Systems* (13:2) 1996, pp 9-28.
- Curtin, J., Kauffman, R.J., and Riggins, F.J. "Making the most out of RFID technology: a research agenda for the study of the adoption, usage and impact of RFID," *Information Technology and Management* (8:2) 2007, pp 87-110.
- Damanpour, F., and Daniel Wischnevsky, J. "Research on innovation in organizations: Distinguishing innovation-generating from innovation-adopting organizations," *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management* (23:4) 2006, pp 269-291.
- Datta, S., Granger, C.W.J., Barari, M., and Gibbs, T. "Management of supply chain: an alternative modelling technique for forecasting," *Journal of the Operational Research Society* (58:11) 2007, pp 1459-1469.
- Delen, D., Hardgrave, B.C., and Sharda, R. "RFID for better supply chain management through enhanced information visibility," *Production and Operations Management* (16:5) 2007, pp 613-624.


- Devaraj, S., Krajewski, L., and Wei, J.C. "Impact of eBusiness technologies on operational performance: The role of production information integration in the supply chain," *Journal of Operations Management* (25:6) 2007, pp 1199-1216.
- Eisenhardt, K.M. "Building theories from case study research," *Academy of Management Review* (14:4) 1989, pp 532-550.
- Fawcett, S.E., and Magnan, G.M. "A three-stage implementation model for supply chain collaboration," *Journal of Business Logistics* (29:1) 2008, pp 93-111.
- Fichman, R.G. "The diffusion and assimilation of information technology innovations," in: *Framing the domains of IT management: projecting the future through the past*, R. Zmud (ed.), Cincinnati, 2000.
- Fosso Wamba, S., and Chatfield, A.T. "A contingency model for creating value from RFID supply chain network projects in logistics and manufacturing environments," *Eur J Inf Syst* (18:6) 2009, pp 615-636.
- Fosso Wamba, S., Lefebvre, L.A., Bendavid, Y., and Lefebvre, É. "Exploring the impact of RFID technology and the EPC network on mobile B2B eCommerce: A case study in the retail industry," *International Journal of Production Economics* (112:2) 2008, pp 614-629.
- Fosso Wamba, S., Lefebvre, L.A., and Lefebvre, E. "Enabling intelligent B-to-B eCommerce supply chain management using RFID and the EPC network: a case study in the retail industry," in: *International Conference on Electronic Commerce*, Mark S. Fox and B. Spencer (eds.), Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada, 2006, pp. 281-288.
- Gogan, J.L., Williams, C.B., and Fedorowicz, J. "RFID and interorganisational collaboration: political and administrative challenges," *Electronic Government, an International Journal* (4:4) 2007, pp 423-435.
- Gunasekaran, A., and Ngai, E.W.T. "Information systems in supply chain integration and management," *European Journal of Operational Research* (159:269-295) 2004.
- Hadaya, P., and Cassivi, L. "The role of joint collaboration planning actions in a demand-driven supply chain," *Industrial Management & Data Systems* (107:7) 2007, pp 954-978.
- Harland, C.M., Caldwell, N.D., Powell, P., and Zheng, J. "Barriers to supply chain information integration: SMEs adrift of eLands," *Journal of Operations Management* (25) 2007, pp 1234-1254
- Holmström, J., Kajosaari, R., Främling, K., and Langius, E. "Roadmap to tracking based business and intelligent products," *Computers in Industry* (60:3) 2009, pp 229-233.
- Ilic, A., Grössbauer, A., Michahelles, F., and Fleisch, E. "Understanding data volume problems of RFID-enabled supply chains," *Business Process Management Journal* (16:6) 2010, pp 904-916.
- Jahner, S., Leimeister, J.M., Knebel, U., and Krcmar, H. "A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Perceived Strategic Importance of RFID for CIOs in Germany and Italy," Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Proceedings of the 41st Annual, 2008, pp. 405-405.
- Johnston, H.R., and Vitale, M.R. "Creating competitive advantage with inter-organizational information systems," *MIS Quarterly* (12:2) 1988, pp 153-165.
- Kärkkäinen, M., Holmström, J., Främling, K., and Artto, K. "Intelligent products--a step towards a more effective project delivery chain," *Computers in Industry* (50:2) 2003, pp 141-151.

- Kauremaa, J., Kärkkäinen, M., and Ala-Risku, T. "Customer initiated interorganizational information systems: The operational impacts and obstacles for small and medium sized suppliers," *International Journal of Production Economics* (119:2) 2009, pp 228-239.
- Ketchen, D.J.J., and Hult, G.T.M. "Bridging organization theory and supply chain management: the case of best value supply chains," *Journal of Operations Management* (25) 2007, pp 573-580.
- Kim, D., Tamer Cavusgil, S., and Calantone, R.J. "Information System Innovations and Supply Chain Management: Channel Relationships and Firm Performance," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* (34:1) 2009, pp 40-54.
- Konsti-Laakso, S., Hennala, L., and Uotila, T. "Living Labs: new ways to enhance innovativeness in public sector services," in: *14th International Conference on Concurrent Enterprising, Innovation & Living Labs*, Lisbon, Portugal, 2008.
- Kristensson, P., and Magnusson, P. "Involving users for incremental or radical innovation – a matter of tuning," in: *12th International Product Development Conference*, Copenhagen, 2005.
- Kusiak, A. "Innovation: the living laboratory perspective," *Computer-Aided Design & Applications* (4:6) 2007, pp 863-876.
- Lancioni, R., Schaub, H.J., and Smith, M.F. "Internet impacts on supply chain management," *Industrial Marketing Management* (32) 2003, pp 173-175.
- Lee, H.L. "Creating value through supply chain integration," *Supply Chain Management Review* (14:4) 2000, pp 30-37.
- Lefebvre, L.A., Lefebvre, E., Bendavid, Y., Fosso Wamba, S., and Boeck, H. "RFID as an Enabler of B-to-B e-Commerce and Its Impact on Business Processes: A Pilot Study of a Supply Chain in the Retail Industry," in: *Proceedings of the 39th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'06)*, Hawaii, 2006, p. 104a.
- Lefebvre, L.A., Lefebvre, É., Bendavid, Y., Fosso Wamba, S., and Boeck, H. "The potential of RFID in warehousing activities in a retail industry supply chain," *Journal of Chain and Network Science* (5:2) 2005, pp 101-111.
- Loebbecke, C. "Piloting RFID along the supply chain: a case analysis," *Electronic Markets* (17:1) 2007, pp 29-38.
- Loebbecke, C., and Huyskens, C. "Item-Level RFID in the Japanese Publishing Industry: a Case Study,," *Communications of the Association for Information Systems* (23) 2008.
- Loeh, H. "Concurrent Product Development and new Communication Technologies: a Research Framework," Working paper CeTIM, 2005.
- Lorchirachoonkul, W., and Mo, J.P.T. "RFID implementation with virtual infrastructures," *Business Process Management Journal*, (16:6) 2010, pp 917-931.
- Martínez-Sala, A.S., Egea-López, E., García-Sánchez, F., and García-Haro, J. "Tracking of Returnable Packaging and Transport Units with active RFID in the grocery supply chain," *Computers in Industry* (60:3) 2009, pp 161-171.
- Meyer, G.G., Främling, K., and Holmström, J. "Intelligent Products: A survey," *Computers in Industry* (60:3) 2009, pp 137-148.
- Moon, K.L., and Ngai, E.W.T. "The adoption of RFID in fashion retailing: a business value-added framework," *Industrial Management & Data Systems* (108:5) 2008, pp 596-612.

- Näslund, D. "Logistics needs qualitative research-especially action research," *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* (32:5) 2002, pp 321-338.
- Ngai, E.W.T., Cheng, T.C.E., Au, S., and Lai, K.-h. "Mobile commerce integrated with RFID technology in a container depot," *Decision Support Systems* (43:1) 2007a, pp 62-76.
- Ngai, E.W.T., Cheng, T.C.E., Kee-hung, L., Chai, P.Y.F., Choi, Y.S., and Sin, R.K.Y. "Development of an RFID-based Traceability System: Experiences and Lessons Learned from an Aircraft Engineering Company," *Production and Operations Management* (16:5) 2007b, pp 554-568.
- Ngai, E.W.T., and Gunasekaran, A. "RFID adoption: issues and challenges," *International Journal of Enterprise Information Systems* (5:1) 2009, pp 1-8.
- Ngai, E.W.T., Moon, K.K.L., Riggins, F.J., and Yi, C.Y. "RFID research: An academic literature review (1995-2005) and future research directions," *International Journal of Production Economics* (112:2) 2008, pp 510-520.
- Nurmilaakso, J.-M. "Adoption of e-business functions and migration from EDI-based to XML-based e-business frameworks in supply chain integration," *International Journal of Production Economics* (113:2) 2008, pp 721-733.
- Pal, R., Sengupta, A., and Bose, I. "Role of pilot study in assessing viability of new technology projects: the case of RFID in parking operations," *Communications of the Association for Information Systems* (23:15) 2008, pp 257-276.
- Porter, M.E., and Millar, V.E. "How information gives you competitive advantage," *Harvard Business Review* (63:4) 1985, pp 149-160.
- Rai, A., Patnayakuni, R., and Seth, N. "Firm performance impacts of digitally enabled supply chain integration capabilities," *MIS Quarterly* (30:2) 2006, pp 225-246.
- Riggins, F.J., and Mukhopadhyay, T. "Interdependent benefits from interorganizational systems: opportunities for business partner reengineering," *Journal of Management Information Systems* (11:2), Fall94 1994, pp 37-57.
- Robey, D., Im, G., and Wareham, J.D. "Theoretical foundations of empirical research on interorganizational systems: assessing past contributions and guiding future directions," *Journal of the Association for Information Systems (JAIS)* (9:9) 2008, pp 497-518.
- Rogers, E.M. *Diffusion of Innovations* Free Press, New York, 1995.
- Rogers, E.M. *Diffusion of Innovation* Free Press, New York, 2003.
- Rönkkö, M., Kärkkäinen, M., and Holmström, J. "Benefits of an item-centric enterprise-data model in logistics services: A case study," *Computers in Industry* (58:8-9) 2007, pp 814-822.
- Saeed, K.A., Malhotra, M.K., and Grover, V. "Examining the Impact of Interorganizational Systems on Process Efficiency and Sourcing Leverage in Buyer-Supplier Dyads," *Decision Sciences* (36:3) 2005, pp 365-396.
- Sahin, F., and Robinson, E.P. "Flow Coordination and Information Sharing in Supply Chains: Review, Implications, and Directions for Future Research," *Decision Sciences*:33) 2002, pp 505-536.
- Schumacher, J., and Feurstein, K. "Living Labs - the user as co-creator.," in: *ICE 2007 Proceedings: 13th International Conference on Concurrent Enterprising*, Sophia Antipolis, France, 2007.
- Seidel, V. "Experimentation matters: unlocking the potential of new technologies for innovation: Stefan H. Thomke, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, 2003,

- 307 pp," *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management* (21:3) 2004, pp 237-240.
- Strassner, M., and Schoch, T. "Today's Impact of Ubiquitous Computing on Business Processes," Institute of Information Management of University of St. Gallen, St. Gallen.
- Strüker, J., and Gille, D. "RFID adoption and the role of organisational size," *Business Process Management Journal* (16:6) 2010, pp 972-990.
- Tajima, M. "Strategic value of RFID in supply chain management," *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management* (13:4) 2007, pp 261-273.
- Valckenaers, P., Germain, B.S., Verstraete, P., Van Belle, J., Hadeli, and Van Brussel, H. "Intelligent products: Agere versus Essere," *Computers in Industry* (60:3) 2009, pp 217-228.
- Want, R. "RFID : A key to Automating Every Thing," *Scientific American*:290) 2004, pp 56-65.
- Woo, S.H., Choi, J.Y., Kwak, C., and Kim, C.O. "An active product state tracking architecture in logistics sensor networks," *Computers in Industry* (60:3) 2009, pp 149-160.
- Wyld, D.C. "RFID 101: the next big thing for management," *Management Research News* (29:4) 2006, pp 154-173.
- Yang, X., Moore, P., and Chong, S.K. "Intelligent products: From lifecycle data acquisition to enabling product-related services," *Computers in Industry* (60:3) 2009, pp 184-194.
- Yin, R.K. *Case Study Research: Design and Methods* Sage, Newbury Park, CA, 1994, p. 192.
- Zaharudin, A.A., Wong, C.Y., Agarwal, V., McFarlane, D., Koh, R., and Kang, Y.Y. "The Intelligent Product Driven Supply Chain," Auto-id Centre.
- Zaltman, G., Duncan, R., and Holbeck, J. *Innovations and Organizations* Wiley, New York, 1973.
- Zhao, X., Liu, C., and Lin, T. "Incorporating business process management into RFID-enabled application systems," *Business Process Management Journal* (16:6) 2010, pp 932-953.
- Zhu, K., Dong, S., Xu, S. X., Kraemer, K. L. "Innovation diffusion in global contexts: determinants of post-adoption digital transformation of European companies," *European Journal of Information Systems* (15) 2006, pp 601-616.
- Zhu, K., Kraemer, K., and Xu, S. "Electronic business adoption by European firms: a cross-country assessment of the facilitators and inhibitors," *European Journal of Information Systems* (12:4) 2003, pp 251-268.

Appendix



A.1 Current intra-and inter-organizational business processes