University of Wollongong Research Online

University of Wollongong Thesis Collection 1954-2016

University of Wollongong Thesis Collections

2010

What emergency nurses consider the reasons possible primary care patients present to an Emergency Department for treatment

Rebekkah Middleton University of Wollongong, rmiddle@uow.edu.au

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author.

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Recommended Citation

Middleton, Rebekkah, What emergency nurses consider the reasons possible primary care patients present to an Emergency Department for treatment, Master of Nursing (Research) thesis, School of Nursing, Midwifery & Indigenous Health - Faculty of Health & Behavioural Sciences, University of Wollongong, 2010. https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/3153

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

What emergency nurses consider the reasons

possible primary care patients present to an

Emergency Department for treatment

A thesis submitted in (partial) fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree

Master of Nursing (Research)

from

University of Wollongong

by

Rebekkah Middleton, BN Faculty of Health & Behavioural Science 2010

I, Rebekkah Jane Middleton, declare that this thesis, submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Master of Nursing (Research), in the School of Nursing, Midwifery & Indigenous Health in the Faculty of Health & Behavioural Sciences, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

Rebekkah Jane Middleton

1 July 2010

Table of Contents

LIST OF TABLES	8
LIST OF FIGURES	9
ABSTRACT	. 11
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	. 14
BACKGROUND	. 14
OVERVIEW OF THE THESIS	. 16
CHAPTER 2 – BACKGROUND	. 19
PARENT STUDY	. 19
CURRENT RESEARCH	. 24
TRIAGE	. 25
OVERVIEW OF EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS WITHIN STUDY	. 26
CONCLUSION	. 28
CHAPTER 3 LITERATURE REVIEW	. 30
Work Already Done	. 30
LITERATURE REVIEW INTRODUCTION	
INCLUSION CRITERIA	. 34
SEARCH STRATEGY	. 35
OBJECTIVE OF THE REVIEW	. 37
SEARCH RESULTS	. 37
THEME 1: LACK OF DEFINITION REGARDING THE 'INAPPROPRIATE' OR NONURGENT PATIENT	
Theme 1 Summary and conclusion	. 53
THEME 2: HEALTH PROFESSIONALS' PERCEPTIONS OF POSSIBLE PRIMARY CARE PATIENTS IN THE	
EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT	
Evidence of negative attitudes	
Implications of negative attitudes towards possible primary care patients	
How reasonable is it to have a negative attitude toward possible primary care patients?	
Theme 2 Summary and Conclusion	. 60
THEME 3: VARIANCE BETWEEN PATIENT AND HEALTH PROFESSIONALS' VIEWS OF 'APPROPRIATE'	• •
Patient's views	
Health professionals' views	
Comparison of patient and health professional views Theme 3 Summary and Conclusion	
Theme 3: The IMPACT OF HEALTH PROFESSIONALS' PERCEPTIONS ON PATIENTS	
THEME 4. THE IMPACT OF REALTH PROFESSIONALS PERCEPTIONS ON PATIENTS	4

Theme 4 Summary and Conclusion	79
THEME 5: ALTERNATIVES TO PROVIDING CARE FOR POSSIBLE PRIMARY CARE PRESENTATION	vs 80
Theme 5 Summary and Conclusion	
OVERALL LITERATURE REVIEW SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
GAPS PRESENT IN THE WORK	
PURPOSE OF THE RESEARCH STUDY BEING REPORTED IN THIS THESIS	90
CHAPTER 4 METHODOLOGY	91
OVERVIEW	91
CURRENT STUDY	92
RESEARCH QUESTIONS	93
Setting	94
POPULATION	94
RESPONSE RATES	95
SAMPLING	96
DATA COLLECTION	96
Validity and reliability	
Pilot testing and tool revision	100
Data Collection Process	101
ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	105
DATA ANALYSIS	106
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	107
CHAPTER 5 – FINDINGS	108
INTRODUCTION	108
PART 1: OVERALL DATA OF NURSES' RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE	110
PART 2: DATA COMPARING RESPONSES FROM NURSES WORKING IN DIFFERENT DEPARTMEN	TS
(CLASSIFIED AS METROPOLITAN, REGIONAL OR RURAL) WITHIN THE HEALTH SERVICE	112
Similarities and differences between responses of nursing staff from metropolitan,	regional
and rural Emergency Departments	113
Ranking of responses	
Comparison of metropolitan, regional and rural emergency nurses 'not a reason' response	ses116
'Very important' and 'moderately important' combined responses by nurses	
Emergency nurses from rural departments combined 'very important' and 'moderately im	portant'
responses	1 1 9
Regional combined 'very important' and 'moderately important' responses	121
Metropolitan combined 'very important' and 'moderately important' responses	124
Comparison of Metropolitan, Regional and Rural emergency nurses' responses of combi	ined 'very
important' and 'moderately important' responses	126
Summary	127

Chi Square testing for significant differences in nursing responses from rural, regional	and
metropolitan Emergency Departments	. 128
Summary and conclusion of comparison of metropolitan, regional and rural emergenc	y
nurses responses	. 137
PART 3: DATA COMPARING POSITIONS THAT NURSING STAFF HELD WITHIN THE EMERGENCY	
DEPARTMENTS	. 139
Comparison of responses of nursing staff holding different positions and levels of	
responsibility within the Emergency Department	. 141
Ranking of responses	. 141
Comparison of managerial and advanced practice emergency nurses with registered and en	rolled
emergency nurses 'not a reason' responses	. 144
'Very important' and 'moderately important' combined responses by nurses	. 146
Managerial and advanced practice nurses combined 'very important' and 'moderately import	
responses	. 146
RN and EN combined 'very important' and 'moderately important' responses	. 149
Comparison of managerial and advanced practice nurses with registered and enrolled nurse	s'
responses of combined 'very important' and 'moderately important' responses	. 151
Chi Square testing for significance in nursing responses from nurses holding different	
positions within the Emergency Department	. 152
Summary and conclusion of comparison of managerial and advanced practice versus	
registered and enrolled nurses	. 158
PART 4: DATA COMPARING NURSING STAFF LEVEL OF EXPERIENCE IN YEARS WITHIN EMERGENC	Y
DEPARTMENTS	. 161
Similarities and differences between responses of nursing staff with varying levels of	
experience (less than 5 years, 5-10 years, greater than 10 years experience)	. 161
Ranking of responses	. 161
Comparison of various levels of experience by emergency nurses 'not a reason' responses	. 165
'Very important' and 'moderately important' combined responses by nurses of varying levels	of
experience	. 167
Emergency nurses with less than five years experience combined 'very important' and	
'moderately important' responses	. 167
Emergency nurses with five to ten years experience combined 'very important' and 'moderate	əly
important' responses	
Emergency nurses with greater than ten years emergency nursing experience combined 'ver	-
important' and 'moderately important' responses	
Comparison of the various levels of experience in emergency nurses' responses of combined	
'very important' and 'moderately important' responses	
Chi Square testing for significant differences in nursing responses from those having le) SS
than five years experience, five to ten years experience, or greater than ten years	
experience in emergency nursing	175

Summary and conclusion of comparison of nurses' responses with different le	vels of
emergency nursing experience	
PART 5: DATA COMPARING NURSING STAFF AGE AND GENDER	
Section A: Age	
Section B: Gender	185
PART 6: DATA FROM FREE COMMENTS BY EMERGENCY NURSES	
PART 7: DATA COMPARING EMERGENCY NURSES RESPONSES WITH PRIMARY CARE PAT	IENT
RESPONSES	
Summary and conclusion for nursing versus patient responses	
OVERALL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION OF FINDINGS CHAPTER	
CHAPTER 6 – DISCUSSION	
NURSING RESPONSES DIFFER ACCORDING TO METROPOLITAN, REGIONAL OR RURAL LO	CATION 204
General Practitioner access	
Central service provision	
Interpreter services	
Complexity and better treatment	
Summary and conclusion of nursing responses differ according to metropolita	
rural location	
DIFFERENCES IN NURSING RESPONSES BASED ON THE POSITION THE NURSE HELD	
General Practitioner access	
Interpreter and Aboriginal health services	
DIFFERENCES IN NURSING RESPONSES BASED ON THE LEVEL OF EXPERIENCE IN YEARS	
EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT	
NURSES VERSUS PATIENT RESPONSES DIFFER	
'NOT A REASON' COMPARISON	
VALUE TO NURSING	
CHAPTER 7 – CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
LIMITATIONS	
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	
FINAL REMARKS	
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX 1: POSSIBLE PRIMARY CARE PATIENT DEFINITION	
APPENDIX 2 PATIENT QUESTIONNAIRE	
APPENDIX 3: SUMMARY OF RESULTS FROM PARENT STUDY	
APPENDIX 4: PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM PARENT STUDY	

APPENDIX 6: THE AUSTRALASIAN TRIAGE SCALE AND DESCRIPTORS	252
APPENDIX 7: EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT SERVICE LEVELS (NSW HEALTH)	257
APPENDIX 8: EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT SERVICE LEVELS (ACEM)	260
APPENDIX 9 – BOOLEAN TERMS USED TO PERFORM SEARCH	264
APPENDIX 10 NURSING STAFF QUESTIONNAIRE	266
APPENDIX 11 LETTER ACCOMPANYING QUESTIONNAIRE TO NURSING STAFF	268
APPENDIX 12 ETHICS (AMENDMENT) LETTER OF CONFIRMATION	269
APPENDIX 13: STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF RESPONSES FROM VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS USING	Сні
SQUARE TEST	270
APPENDIX 14: STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF RESPONSES FROM VARIOUS NURSING POSITIONS U	SING
CHI SQUARE TEST	271
APPENDIX 15: STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF RESPONSES FROM VARIOUS NURSING EXPERIENCE	(IN
YEARS) USING CHI SQUARE TEST	272
APPENDIX 16: SECTION 5 OF FINDINGS	273
APPENDIX 17: COMPARISON OF ALL 'VERY IMPORTANT' PATIENT AND NURSE RESPONSES TO THE	
QUESTIONNAIRE	298
APPENDIX 18: IMPORTANT REASONS ('VERY IMPORTANT' + 'MODERATELY IMPORTANT') FOR	
ATTENDING AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT FOR PRIMARY CARE IDENTIFIED BY PATIENTS AND NUF	₹SES
·	300

List of tables

TABLE 1: DEFINITIONS OF PRIMARY CARE PATIENT / 'INAPPROPRIATE' PATIENT PRESENTATIONS IN
EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT
TABLE 2: THE MOST HIGHLY RANKED 'VERY IMPORTANT' REASONS FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE FOR ALL NURSES' RESPONSES
TABLE 3: EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS WITHIN THE FORMER ILLAWARRA HEALTH SERVICE
TABLE 4: THE MOST HIGHLY RANKED 'VERY IMPORTANT' REASONS FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE, ACROSS METROPOLITAN, REGIONAL AND RURAL SITES
TABLE 5: STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCE FOR RESPONSES WHEN METROPOLITAN,
REGIONAL AND RURAL EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS WERE COMPARED
TABLE 6: VARIOUS POSITIONS HELD BY NURSES IN THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS WITHIN THE
FORMER ILLAWARRA HEALTH SERVICE
TABLE 7: RANKING OF TOP FIVE 'VERY IMPORTANT' REASONS IDENTIFIED THROUGH THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FOR THE TWO GROUPS OF NURSING POSITIONS
TABLE 8: STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCE FOR RESPONSES WHEN MANAGERIAL AND
ADVANCED PRACTICE NURSES WERE COMPARED WITH REGISTERED AND ENROLLED NURSES
TABLE 9: TOP 5 RANKING OF 'VERY IMPORTANT' REASONS AS INDICATED BY NURSES WITH VARIOUS
LEVELS OF EMERGENCY NURSING EXPERIENCE
TABLE 10: SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCE SHOWN STATISTICALLY FOR RESPONSES WHEN LESS THAN
FIVE YEARS, FIVE TO TEN YEARS, AND GREATER THAN TEN YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN AN EMERGENCY
DEPARTMENTS WERE COMPARED 176
TABLE 16: FREE COMMENTS BY NURSING STAFF CONCERNING ADDITIONAL REASONS THEY THOUGHT
PRIMARY CARE PATIENTS CHOOSE AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT FOR CARE
TABLE 17: THE 'TOP 5' MOST HIGHLY RANKED 'VERY IMPORTANT' REASONS FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN
THE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR POSSIBLE PRIMARY CARE PATIENT RESPONSES
TABLE 18: THE MOST HIGHLY RANKED 'VERY IMPORTANT' REASONS FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE FOR ALL NURSES RESPONSES
TABLE 11: THE MOST HIGHLY RANKED 'VERY IMPORTANT' REASONS FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE, ACROSS THE THREE AGE CATEGORIES OF EMERGENCY NURSES
TABLE 12: THE MOST HIGHLY RANKED 'VERY IMPORTANT' REASONS FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE, ACROSS THE TWO GENDERS OF EMERGENCY NURSES
TABLE 13: CHI SQUARE TESTING FOR SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES IN NURSING RESPONSES FROM
VARYING AGES
TABLE 14: RESPONSES WHERE STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCE OCCURRED WHEN THE
THREE AGE GROUPS WERE COMPARED
TABLE 15: STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCE FOR RESPONSES WHEN GENDER WAS
COMPARED

List of Figures

FIGURE 1: PERCENTAGE OF 'NOT A REASON' RESPONSES FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE ACROSS METROPOLITAN, REGIONAL AND RURAL DEPARTMENTS
FIGURE 2: RURAL EMERGENCY NURSES COMBINED 'VERY IMPORTANT' AND 'MODERATELY IMPORTANT'
RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FIGURE 3: REGIONAL NURSES COMBINED 'VERY IMPORTANT' AND 'MODERATELY IMPORTANT'
RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FIGURE 4: METROPOLITAN NURSES COMBINED 'VERY IMPORTANT' AND 'MODERATELY IMPORTANT'
RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FIGURE 5: QUESTION 7 (ABLE TO SEE THE DOCTOR AND HAVE ANY TESTS OR X-RAYS ALL DONE IN THE
SAME PLACE AT THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT) RESULTS FOR METROPOLITAN/REGIONAL/RURAL
EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS
FIGURE 6: QUESTION 9 (I AM NOT HAPPY WITH TIME I HAVE TO WAIT TO GET APPOINTMENT WITH A
GENERAL PRACTITIONER) RESULTS FOR
FIGURE 7: QUESTION 15 (I WANTED TO SEE A DOCTOR OR INTERPRETER WHO SPEAKS MY LANGUAGE)
RESULTS FOR METROPOLITAN/REGIONAL/RURAL EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS
FIGURE 8: PERCENTAGE OF 'NOT A REASON' RESPONSES FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE BY MANAGERIAL & ADVANCED PRACTICE NURSES AND BY RNS & ENS145
FIGURE 9: MANAGERIAL AND ADVANCED PRACTICE NURSES COMBINED 'VERY IMPORTANT' AND
'MODERATELY IMPORTANT' RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FIGURE 10: RN & EN COMBINED 'VERY IMPORTANT' AND 'MODERATELY IMPORTANT' RESPONSES TO
QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FIGURE 11: QUESTION 11 (EASIER TO GET TO THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT THAN A GENERAL
PRACTITIONER OR MEDICAL CENTRE) RESULTS ACCORDING TO POSITION HELD BY NURSING STAFF. 154
FIGURE 12: QUESTION 12 (THERE IS NO CHARGE TO SEE A DOCTOR AT THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT)
RESULTS ACCORDING TO POSITION HELD BY NURSING STAFF
FIGURE 13 PERCENTAGE OF 'NOT A REASON' RESPONSES FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE DIFFERENT LEVELS OF EXPERIENCE
FIGURE 14: NURSES WITH LESS THAN FIVE YEARS EMERGENCY NURSING EXPERIENCE COMBINED 'VERY
IMPORTANT' AND 'MODERATELY IMPORTANT' RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE 168
FIGURE 15: NURSES WITH FIVE TO TEN YEARS EMERGENCY NURSING EXPERIENCE COMBINED 'VERY
IMPORTANT' AND 'MODERATELY IMPORTANT' RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE 171
FIGURE 16: NURSES WITH GREATER THAN TEN YEARS EMERGENCY NURSING EXPERIENCE COMBINED
VERY IMPORTANT' AND 'MODERATELY IMPORTANT' RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FIGURE 17: QUESTION 9 (NOT HAPPY WITH WAIT TO GET APPOINTMENT WITH GENERAL PRACTITIONER)
RESULTS FOR RESPONSES OF LESS THAN FIVE YEARS EXPERIENCE, FIVE TO TEN YEARS EXPERIENCE,
AND GREATER THAN TEN YEARS EXPERIENCE
FIGURE 18: QUESTION 19 (DON'T KNOW HOW TO CONTACT AN AFTER HOURS GENERAL PRACTITIONER
OR MEDICAL CENTRE) RESULTS FOR RESPONSES OF LESS THAN FIVE YEARS EMERGENCY NURSING

EXPERIENCE, FIVE TO TEN YEARS EMERGENCY NURSING EXPERIENCE, AND GREATER THAN TEN YEARS
EMERGENCY NURSING EXPERIENCE
FIGURE 19: PERCENTAGE OF 'NOT A REASON' RESPONSES FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE ACROSS THE DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS
FIGURE 20: PERCENTAGE OF 'NOT A REASON' RESPONSES FOR ALL QUESTIONS WITHIN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE FOR MALE AND FEMALE RESPONSES
FIGURE 21: EMERGENCY NURSES AGED LESS THAN FORTY YEARS COMBINED 'VERY IMPORTANT' AND
'MODERATELY IMPORTANT' RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FIGURE 22: EMERGENCY NURSES AGED FORTY TO FORTY NINE YEARS COMBINED 'VERY IMPORTANT'
AND 'MODERATELY IMPORTANT' RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FIGURE 23: EMERGENCY NURSES AGED FIFTY PLUS YEARS COMBINED 'VERY IMPORTANT' AND
'MODERATELY IMPORTANT' RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FIGURE 24: MALE EMERGENCY NURSES COMBINED 'VERY IMPORTANT' AND 'MODERATELY IMPORTANT'
RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE
FIGURE 25: FEMALE EMERGENCY NURSES COMBINED 'VERY IMPORTANT' AND 'MODERATELY
IMPORTANT' RESPONSES
FIGURE 26: QUESTION 2 (HEALTH PROBLEM TOO SERIOUS OR COMPLEX TO SEE A GENERAL
PRACTITIONER OR MEDICAL CENTRE, INCLUDING AFTER HOURS) RESULTS FOR NURSES AGED LESS
THAN FORTY YEARS, FORTY TO FORTY NINE YEARS AND FIFTY PLUS YEARS
FIGURE 27: QUESTION 4 (WANTED A SECOND OPINION) RESULTS FOR NURSES AGED LESS THAN FORTY
YEARS, FORTY TO FORTY NINE YEARS AND FIFTY PLUS YEARS

10

· . ·

`

Abstract

Objective: This thesis examines the opinions of emergency nurses towards the possible primary care patient. It aims to explore what emergency nurses consider the reasons possible primary care patients present to an Emergency Department for treatment. This thesis also compares these nursing perceptions to those of patients.

Background: Literature speaks of health professionals' opinions towards patients who present to an Emergency Department who could potentially be seen by a General Practitioner or Medical Centre. This patient population are termed 'possible primary care patients' in this research. There is no literature that discusses nurses specifically and how nurses view the intention of this group of patients for presentation to an Emergency Department. With this in mind and with an interest in Emergency Departments and in particular emergency nurses, the researcher chose to focus on the beliefs of emergency nurses working in Emergency Departments within the former Illawarra Health Service towards primary care patients. For the purpose of the research, the patient population being examined were the possible primary care patients identified by the following criteria: any patient given a triage category 4 or 5 who self-presents, is not a planned return visit, and is unlikely to be admitted according to the Triage nurse assessing the patient.

Methods: Nursing staff working in the five Emergency Departments within the former Illawarra Area Health Service were given questionnaires to ascertain their perceptions of the reasons possible primary care patients present to an Emergency Department for care. Data were also collected about their department, sex, age, position held in the department, and length of time the nursing staff member had been working in an Emergency Department. These data were analysed to determine any differences in perception based on these variables.

Findings: Four key themes emerged from the data analysis. These were: despite demography, nurses generally considered free service provision to be the leading reason that possible primary care patients choose an Emergency Department for care; nurses holding positions of advanced practice or management did not consider cost to be an overwhelming factor for possible primary care patients when choosing

to come to an Emergency Department when compared with nurses working as Registered Nurse (RN) or Enrolled Nurse (EN); rural nurses consider access to General Practitioners to be lacking; and nurses and patients have polar views of why possible primary care patients come to an Emergency Department for service delivery.

Conclusions: Emergency nurses consistently believe that possible primary care patients choose to present to an Emergency Department because it is a free service. This agreement occurs despite various demographic differences.

There were evidenced differences regarding reasons for presentation to an Emergency Department between nurses and presenting patients. Nurses focused on free delivery of medical care and lack of access to General Practitioner services. Patients however focused on the urgency of their illness/injury believing it needed immediate care.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the following people for the help, support and encouragement in completing this thesis as part of my Masters in Nursing (Research).

- Patrick Crookes primary supervisor
- Ken Walsh second supervisor
- My husband Mark for his ongoing faith, patience, encouragement and support throughout this journey