University of Wollongong

Research Online

University of Wollongong Thesis Collection 1954-2016

University of Wollongong Thesis Collections

2001

Trade arrangements, productivity growth and firm level technical efficiency in textiles and clothing industries of Australia and Bangladesh 1972-1998

I. K.M. Wadud University of Wollongong

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses

University of Wollongong Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following: This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this work may be reproduced by any process, nor may any other exclusive right be exercised, without the permission of the author. Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Unless otherwise indicated, the views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the University of Wollongong.

Recommended Citation

Wadud, I. K.M., Trade arrangements, productivity growth and firm level technical efficiency in textiles and clothing industries of Australia and Bangladesh 1972-1998, Doctor of Philosophy thesis, Department of Economics, University of Wollongong, 2001. https://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/1328

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

TRADE ARRANGEMENTS, PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH AND FIRM LEVEL TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY IN TEXTILES AND CLOTHING INDUSTRIES OF AUSTRALIA AND BANGLADESH 1972-1998

A thesis submitted in (partial) fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

From



UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

by

I.K.M. MOKHTARUL WADUD B.A. (Honours), M.S.S.

Department of Economics 2001

DECLARATION

I, I.K.M. Mokhtarul Wadud, declare that this thesis, submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Department of Economics, University of Wollongong, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. The document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

I.K.M. MOKHTARUL WADUD

15 January 2001

ABSTRACT

With inter-country differences in comparative advantage and the resultant perceived significance for product specialization, trade-based development strategies have become the key to ascending the development ladder. The role played by the textiles and clothing industries during the embryonic stages of such a process is enormous, but was limited through protective measures, such as the Multi Fibre Arrangement (MFA) adopted by the net importer developed economies. With the ongoing globalisation process under the auspices of the World Trade Organization (WTO), small players in the world market such as Australia and Bangladesh have been faced with severe policy questions. In view this, the impact of globalisation, microeconomic reform and firm-level responses have remained vastly underinvestigated and this thesis is a novel attempt to bridge this knowledge-gap.

Public policy regimes and microeconomic reform in textiles industries have been examined and compared in the two economies with a third country, Thailand, chosen because of its intermediary development status, to facilitate comparative evaluation of performance of these industries. Dynamic comparative advantage and product life cycle hypothesis has been analysed with estimation of revealed comparative advantage (Balassa, 1965) for selected developed and developing economies. Subsectoral performance has been evaluated with productivity measured using both parametric and non-parametric techniques. Tornqvist's (1936) non parametric superlative index with underlying flexible form translog function, as popularised by Diewert (1976, 1978), has been used to index output, input and TFP growth for the three economies. Estimation of the Cobb-Douglas production function has revealed input elasticities, returns to scale and the rate of technical change.

For firm level analysis of technical efficiency, a parametric measure of frontier function has been used based on the stochastic frontier approach developed by Aigner, Lovell and Schmidt (1977) and Meeusen and Van den Broeck (1977) with the parameterisation technique of the variances due to noise and inefficiency suggested by Battese and Corra (1977). Cobb-Douglas stochastic frontier production functions have been estimated in the error component model with alternative assumptions of half normal and truncated normal distributions of the inefficiency term for a cross section of Australian textiles and clothing firms in 1998 obtained from the Textiles, Clothing and Footwear (TCF) benchmarking database.

To analyse factors determining variation in technical efficiency across firms, conventional two stage analysis that suffers from a contradictory distributional assumption of technical inefficiency, where technical efficiencies are predicted first and then regressed against various firm specific factors has been substituted for single stage estimation following Battese and Coelli (1993, 1995) where the inefficiency components are the functions of a vector of firm specific factors (explanatory variables). Panel data for Australian and Bangladeshi firms was accommodated in this inefficiency effect model measuring Hicks neutral technical change and time varying technical efficiency over 1995 to 1998. Among other firm-specific characteristics such as age, size and ownership pattern, that were common to both Bangladesh and Australia, additional variables such as export orientation (or openness), proportion of non-production to total workers, effective rates of assistance (ERA) and research and development (R&D) were included for Australian firms to capture the effects of recent microeconomic reforms. Based on predicted firm specific technical efficiency, measures of technical change, scale effects and technical efficiency was aggregated under non constant returns to scale to obtain TFP changes for each individual firm and for firms in various product categories.

The major findings of this study are, (i) Developing economies have made great strides in achieving dynamic revealed comparative advantage in textiles trade over the last two decades. However, some developed economies also have made significant improvement in comparative advantage thereby engendering increased competitiveness among the trading nations. Bangladesh has a strong comparative advantage in clothing trade whereas Australia has improved but is yet to have a clear comparative advantage in clothing. (ii) Over time, labour productivity growth has been strong in both the Thai and Australian industries while this has been only moderate in Bangladeshi clothing and low in its textiles industries. Evidence from factor proportions indicated that since the early 1970s, Australian industries became predominantly more capital intensive as did Thailand, to certain extent, as opposed to Bangladesh where rising employment especially in the clothing industry reduced the pace of absorption of higher capital proportions. (iii) Despite export performance and a good trend rate of growth over the period, productivity growth has been slow in Australian and Bangladeshi industries in recent years. For Australia the process was found to be energized by falling ERA, as this indicated policy shifts, especially for the clothing industries; (iv) The analysis at the firm level suggested that larger and more profitable Australian firms are technically more efficient than small and medium enterprises that had considerably lower efficiency. In Bangladesh, clothing firms were found to be more efficient than the textile firms.

(v) Analysis of composition of TFP revealed that technical efficiency changes significantly contributed to the overall TFP of Australian and Bangladeshi firms with some contribution from scale effects and a negligible impact of technical change. (vi) Empirical estimates of the inefficiency effect model showed that several firm specific variables such as Age and Size had significant positive influence on technical efficiency of Australian textile firms. Further, significant negative influences on efficiency from capital intensity were detected for Australian textile firms. Both textile and clothing firms in Australia with higher proportion of non production to total workers were found to be significantly less efficient and vice versa. Efficiency was found to be lower for older textile firms and higher for newer clothing firms in Bangladesh. Larger and privately owned textile firms in Bangladesh were found to be significantly more technically efficient than the smaller firms and firms under government ownership.

Several policy implications emanate from the findings of this study. It is evident that increased competitiveness has been the major consideration with the beginning of the liberalization process of the textiles trade and with there is considerable scope to reap the benefits of the fully integrated regime beyond 2005 if both Australia and Bangladesh augment their comparative advantage based on high quality and low cost processes, respectively. Adequate measures have to be taken to take care of the distressed productivity growth in both textiles and clothing industries in Australia as evidenced from the mid 1980's and in textiles industry in Bangladesh in recent years. There is enough scope to improve efficiency level of textiles firms in Bangladesh and small and medium textiles and clothing firms in Australia, which would help the productive performance of these firms and industries. For technological improvement, care has to be taken to use existing technology more efficiently for Australian firms while for Bangladesh there it could be recommended that older and obsolete plants be replaced by the newer ones. To ensure effective microeconomic reform, it is necessary that benefits be appropriately channelled to the individual producers with a stronger institutional base and transparency of policies and the policy variables such as the ERA revaluated. New and small firms need to be assisted in Australia and excess non-production workers have to be identified and curtailed. For Bangladesh, adequate technical assistance to older textiles firms have to be accorded and to the new entrants in the clothing industry to keep pace with acquired efficiency and profitability. It could be feasible for both Australia and Bangladesh to emphasize the clothing industry more given the ample potential to enhance efficiency, productivity and export and the need for a better performing sub sector beyond 2005.

Acknowledgements

As the visible manifestation of a happy end to a prolonged and sustained process, this thesis owes its existence to many helping hands. At the top of this list comes my supervisor, Professor D.P. Chaudhri, Department of Economics, University of Wollongong who has acted in support in a multitude of ways during the whole study programme including: overseeing my progress in research, providing guidance on both theoretical and empirical Economics, methodological issues, access to data, counselling on matters of everyday life and combining himself wonderfully as a teacher, colleague and friend. His broad knowledge in the subject of Economics and his keen professional aptitude furthered my sense of self-motivation, perseverance and learning.

I also owe a great deal to my co-supervisors, Dr. Nelson Perera and Edgar Wilson, who have been of immense help with their academic capabilities from time to time and whose encouragement and guidance has been an invaluable source of inspiration and success. Dr Nelson Perera's guidance on Econometrics and Edward Wilson's meticulous advice on aspects of trade and macroeconomics significantly helped enrich the analytical content of this thesis.

I acknowledge and express my heartiest gratitude to Professor Robert Castle, former head of the Economics Department, Wollongong University, and presently Dean of the Commerce Faculty, to whom I owe my successful and happy stay as a postgraduate student. His knowledge, friendship and guidance would be prolific sources to drive my academic and professional motivations in the days to come. I also especially thank him for taking his most valuable time to read and comment on my thesis.

Thanks are also due to the Professor Donald Lewis, former head of Economics and Dr. Diana Kelly, present interim head of Department of Economics, University of Wollongong, who provided all sorts of assistance in their capacities. I especially thank to the Department of Economics, Wollongong University for sponsoring my data collection, without which things would not be the same. I express my gratitude to Dr. Ann Hodgkinson, postgraduate coordinator, Economics Department, whose advice on various academic and other aspects were highly important to a successful end of this thesis.

I can barely verbally express the immense help I obtained from Professor Knox Lovell, during his stay at Economics Department, UNSW in 1999 and 2000 regarding the theoretical

basis and conceptualisation of frontier functions, econometric modelling and production economics. His in-depth knowledge in the subject, patient and sincere reply to my queries and his own achievements encouraged me refine my long-term academic objectives.

The extremely difficult task of data collection process, especially at the firm level, would not have been successful without those who extended their most generous and benevolent support. I gratefully acknowledge the help of Mr. Joe Burke, Mr. Des Ryan, Mr. Bel Ram of the Textiles, Clothing and Footwear (TCF) Branch, Department of Industry Science and Resources, Commonwealth Government of Australia and Arthur Anderson Company in Melbourne who generously provided me the data collected under the 'TCF 2000 Benchmarking Study' project in 1998. I also express my sincerest gratitude to the Small Business Unit, Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) for allowing me to accomplish a significant part of my research using the data on Australian textiles and clothing firms collected through the Business Longitudinal Survey (BLS) programme. I owe debts to Mr. Clem Tozer, Small Business Unit, ABS, Canberra who was highly supportive throughout by keeping himself always contactable and by arranging research facilities with computers, desks and other amenities at his ABS office. Thanks are also due to Mr. John Purcell, Small Business Unit, ABS who helped with computing support and data file extraction and use and sending of results.

I incur a huge debt to my eldest brother, I.K.M. Ehteshamul Haque, Deputy Director, Labour Office, Government of Bangladesh who made all the arrangements for obtaining data on Bangladeshi textiles and garments firms, through getting the firms surveyed by the factory inspectors, collecting and buying annual reports of various companies and statistical publications and data from the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS). I am also grateful to my Brother-in-law, Mr. Mukhleshur Rahman, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU) and to my eldest sister, Dr. Sufia Rahman, Anandomahon College, Mymensingh for their involvement during days of data collection from Bangladesh. I owe a great deal to my younger Brother-in-Law, Advocate Mr. Ekramul Haque for his help in contacting and collecting data from the BBS. I am also indebted to Mr. Lutfor Rahman, Director, Bangladesh Garment Manufacturing and Exporters Association (BGMEA) for his help in accessing information about garment firms.

I wish to extend my appreciation to all the academics and staff at the Department of Economics, University of Wollongong. Also my thanks go to Faculty of Commerce authority

whose active support ensured a study environment as convenient as possible in our research room.

My graduate study would not have been possible without the generous support of the International Postgraduate Research Scholarship (OPRS) provided by Department of Employment, Education and Training, Commonwealth Government of Australia and the University Postgraduate Award (UPA) funded by Research Office, University of Wollongong. I will also like to express my gratitude to Department of Economics, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh to grant me study leave to pursue my postgraduate study here in Australia at Wollongong University.

I owe to my parents, Md. Abul Kalam and Begum Rokeya Kalam, for their unceasing love, affection and enormous blessings that have been instrumental in my life so far as well as during my doctoral programme of study. They instilled in me the value of learning and self-motivation that I have always found useful for life.

I can hardly think of a time without the cheerful and happy faces of my colleagues around me in the Ph. D. research room of Commerce Faculty. Among them, I would definitely miss the friendship of Kriangsak, PhD candidate at the Economics Department.

I owe accumulating debts to my wife, Wahida Yasmeen, doctoral candidate, Department of Economics, University of New South Wales (UNSW) who, despite her own business, extended all possible support, energizing my morale and abilities throughout. The way she has demonstrated her intelligence, personality and sense of sacrifice for love and life all through the course of my study has been priceless and irreplaceable. I am dedicating this thesis to honouring her thoughtfulness and wishing her all the best for her future deeds.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Abstract			
		nowledgments			
		of Tables			
	List	of Figures	XV		
1	Intro	duction	1		
	1.1	Background	1		
	1.2	A Brief Survey of Earlier Studies			
	1.3	Objectives and Questions			
	1.4	Structure of Thesis			
2		astrial Development and Textiles-Clothing Industries			
	of P	Australia and Bangladesh	15		
	2.1	Introduction	15		
	2.2	Structural Change and Policy Shifts in Manufacturing			
	2.3	Profile of Textiles and Clothing Industries			
		2.3.1 Australia			
		2.3.2 Bangladesh			
	2.4	Industry Protection and Effective Rate of Assistance (ERA)			
	2.6	Factor Proportions and Cost Shares			
	2.8	Labour Market Issues			
		2.8.1 Changes and Distribution of Textiles-Clothing			
		Manufacturing Employment	32		
		2.8.2 Labour Productivity, Labour Costs and			
		Workforce Characteristics	34		
	2.5	Industry Assistance Programmes and Policy Regimes	40		
	2.7	Trade Patterns			
	2.9	Conclusions	55		
2					
3		de in Textiles and Clothing, Quantitative Barriers	E 6		
	and	Global Integration: An Analytical Overview	50		
	3.1	Introduction	56		
	3.2	Lead Sector Phenomena, Product Cycle Hypothesis			
		and Dynamic Comparative Advantage	57		
	3.3	Estimates of Dynamic Comparative Advantage:			
		The Revealed Comparative Advantage Approach	63		
		3.3.1 Changing Global Trade Pattern			

		3.3.2	Estimates of Comparative Advantage as			
			Revealed by Export Ratios	71		
	3.3	The N	Multi-Fibre Arrangement (MFA)			
		3.3.1	The MFA in Retrospect	78		
		3.3.2	From MFA-I to MFA-IV	80		
		3.3.3	The MFA and the GATT Principles	83		
	3.4	Econo	omic Effects of the MFA	84		
		3.4.1	Effects of MFA on Importing Countries			
		3.4.2	Effects of MFA on Developing Economies			
	3.5		ng Out the MFA	90		
		3.5.1	The Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and			
			Clothing (ATC) and the Integration Process			
			3.5.1.1 Provisions of Integration	92		
			3.5.1.2 Provisions of Circumvention Practices and			
		2 - 2	Anti-circumvention Measures	94		
		3.5.2	I Line of the second of the se	0.5		
			the First Stage of Integration			
			3.5.2.1 Application of Provisions of Product Integration	95		
			3.5.2.2 The Transitional Safeguard	0.6		
			Mechanism: Applications			
			3.5.2.3 Application of Quantitative Restrictions	. 97		
			Provisions	00		
	3.6 Globalisation, the MFA and the Two Economies					
	3.7		clusions and Prospects			
4			r Productivity (TFP) Growth, Efficiency Analysis	107		
	4.1	Introd	duction	107		
	4.2	Total	factor Productivity (TFP): Methodology			
			Measurement Issues	108		
		4.2.1	Growth Accounting Theories and TFP Growth	109		
		4.2.2	Parametric Approach of Productivity Measurement:			
			Econometric Estimation of Production or Cost Functions			
	4.3		active Efficiency: Analysis and Measurement Issues			
		4.3.1	Methodologies for Efficiency Measurement			
			4.3.1.1 The Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)			
			4.3.1.2 Frontier Functions			
	4.4		rement of TFP: Analysis at the Industry Level			
		4.4.1	Tornqvist Productivity Indices			
	4.5	4.4.2	Production Function and Econometric Modelling	146		
	4.5		astic frontier, Firm Level Productivity and Productive	1.40		
		4.5.1	ency Measurement			
		4.5.2	Model Specification Estimation Issues in the Stochastic Frontier Model:	151		
		1.5.0	Corrected Ordinary Least Squares (COLS) and			
			Maximum Likelihood (ML) Methods	150		
			THE THE TITLE TH	1 32		

		4.5.3		Frontier in Inefficiency Effects Model:	
			With Pane	el Data	158
		4.5.4	Hypothes	is Testing	163
			4.5.4.1	Testing of Validity of Inefficiency in Stochastic	
				Frontier Models	
				Other Tests Involved	
			4.5.4.3	The Test Statistic	166
	4.6	Source	es of Produc	ctivity Growth: Technical Change and	
				nical Efficiency	
	4.7	Concl	usions		169
5	Proc	Incriviry	Growth or	nd Technical Change: Empirical Evidence	
3					171
	5.1	Introd	uction		171
	5.2	Data a	nd Variable	25	172
		5.2.1	Industry I	Data Sources	172
		5.2.2	Variables	Used: Definition and Construction	173
			5.2.2.1	Output	174
				Labour	
			5.2.2.3	Capital	178
		5.2.3	Prices of	Output and Inputs	183
	5.3	Outpu		d Productivity Growth	
	5.4	Cobb	Douglas Es	stimates and Input Elasticities	200
	5.5	Concl	usions	-	205
6				fficiency, TFP Growth and technical Efficiency	
	Det	erminan	ts: Estimate	es and Analyses of Results	207
	6.1	Introd	uction		207
	6.2	Firm l	evel Data as	nd Variables	208
		6.2.1	Sources o	f Data	208
			6.2.1.1	The TCF International Benchmarking Study	209
			6.2.1.2	The Business Longitudinal Survey (BLS)	
				Database, ABS	
			6.2.1.3	Firm Data for Bangladesh Textiles and Garments	211
		6.2.2	Variables.		214
		6.2.3	Determina	ants of Technical Efficiency: Additional	
				for Study at the Firm Level	
				Age (A)	
			6.2.3.2	Size (S)	216
				Capital Intensity (CI)	
				Proportion of Non Production	
				to Total Workers (PNPW)	
			6.2.3.5	Effective Rates of Assistance (ERA)	219
				Openness (OPEN)	
			6.2.3.7	Research and Development (R&D)	220

6.2.3.8 Ownership Dummy (OWN)	221
6.3 Firm Level Technical Efficiency and Productivity Growth	
6.3.1 Estimates of Stochastic Production Frontiers	
6.3.2 Hypothesis Tests	230
6.3.3 Mean Technical Efficiency	
6.3.3.1 Mean Efficiency in Australian Firms	
6.3.3.2 Mean Technical Efficiency of Bangladesh Firms	
6.4 Determinants of Technical Efficiency	246
6.4.1 Australian Firms	
6.4.2 Bangladesh Firms	252
6.5 Composition of TFP Growth	254
6.6 Output Growth: A Comparative Overview	262
6.7 Conclusions	266
7 Conclusions and Policy Recommendations	270 278
7.4 Limitations of the Study and Future Research Possibilities	282
Appendices	
Appendix to Chapter 2	286
Appendix to Chapter 3	
Appendix to Chapter 5	
Appendix to Chapter 6	
Tipponant to Chapter o	27
Bibliography	311

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Australia: Sectoral Contribution to GDP	16
2.2	Bangladesh: Sectoral Composition of GDP, 1972-1997	17
2.3	Percentage Share of Output, Value Added	
	and Employment of Manufacturing Industries	19
2.4	Turnover, Value Added and Number of Establishments	
	in Australian Textiles and Clothing Industry	21
2.5	Structure of Textiles and Clothing Industries	
	of Bangladesh, June 1997	24
2.6	Structure of the Jute Manufacturing Industry	
	of Bangladesh, 1971-72 to 1993-1994	25
2.7	Employment and Export Growth of	
	Bangladesh Clothing Industry	25
2.8	Tariff on Raw Cotton Products in Selected Economies (%), 1995	26
2.9	Effective Rate of Assistance of Thai Clothing	
	and Textiles in 1985 (per cent)	30
2.11	Capital Labour Ratio in the Textile and	
	Clothing Industry, Selected Years	31
2.12	Input Cost Shares of Textiles and	
	Clothing Industry, 1972 and 1994	32
2.14	Employment in Textiles and Clothing by	
	Industry Subdivision (ISIC 4 Digit)	34
2.15	Labour Productivity: Value Added Per Labour Hour	
	in Textiles and Clothing Industries ^a	35
2.16	Hourly Wage Costs in the Clothing Industry (Current \$ US)	38
2.17	Hourly Wage Cost in Textiles Industry (Current US \$)	39
2.18	Manufacturing Cost of Yarn, 1995 (Current US\$)	39
2.10	Major Policy Reforms in Textiles and Clothing Industries	51
2.13	Textiles and Clothing Exports and Imports, 1980-1994	54
3.1	Triennial Totals and Composition of the	
	Dutch and English East India Company's	
	Imports into Europe, 1668-1740	58

3.2A	Exports of Textiles and Clothing of Selected Economies	66
3.2 <i>B</i>	Imports of Textiles and Clothing of Selected Economies	67
3.3	Textiles and Clothing Export and Import as Share of Total	
	Merchandise Trade in 1980	
	and 1995 (Current US Dollars)	68
3.4a	Dynamic Revealed Comparative Advantage	
	in Textiles Trade: Indices of Export Performance	73
3.4Ь	Dynamic Revealed Comparative Advantage in	
	Clothing Trade: Indices of Export Performance	76
3.5	Integration of Products by Canada, The EC, Norway,	
	and the US of Their 1990 Imports During the First Stage	95
3.6	Application of TSM by the Member countries	
	During the First Stage of Integration	96
3.7	Quantitative Restrictions Applied Pursuant	
	to Article 2 of the ATC	98
3.8	Improved Access for Exports to the Small Suppliers *	99
3.9	Bangladesh Textiles and Clothing: Exports and	
	Quota Utilisation	103
5.1	Tornqvist Indices of Output, Input and TFP	
	Growth in Australian Textiles and Clothing Industries	185
5.2	Tornqvist Indices of Output, Input and TFP Growth in	
	Bangladesh Textiles and Clothing Industries	191
5.3	Tornqvist Indices of Output, Inputs and TFP	
	Growth of Thai Textiles and Clothing Industries	195
5.4	Trend Growth of Output, Input and TFP (Per cent), 1972-1994	298
5.5	Parameters Estimation of Cobb-Douglas Production function	202
6.1	Summary Statistics on Key Variables from Sampled	
	Textiles and Clothing Firms in Australia and Bangladesh	213
6.2	Parameter Estimates of the Stochastic Frontier	
	under Alternative Specifications for Australian Textiles and Clothing	224
6.3	Parameter Estimates of Stochastic Production Frontier in the	
	Inefficiency Effect Model for Australian	
	Textiles Firms: BLS Database	226
6.4	Parameter Estimates of Stochastic Production	

	Frontier in the Inefficiency Effect Model for Australian Clothing F	irms:
	BLS Database	227
6.5	Parameter Estimates of Stochastic Production Frontier in the	
	Inefficiency Effect Model for Textiles Firms in Bangladesh	228
6.6	Parameter Estimates of Stochastic Production Frontier	
	in the Inefficiency Effect Model for Clothing Firms in Bangladesh	229
6.7	Generalized Likelihood Ratio Tests for Parameters of the Stochasti	ic
	Frontier Production Function for Australian Textiles and Clothing	Firms:
	TCF Benchmarking Data	231
6.8	Generalized Likelihood Ratio Tests for Parameters of the Stochasti	ic
	Frontier Production Function in the Inefficiency Effects Model:	
	Australian Firms	232
6.9	Generalized Likelihood Ratio Tests for Parameters of the Stochasti	ic
	Frontier Production Function in the Inefficiency Effects Model:	
	Bangladesh Firms	233
6.10	Mean Technical Efficiency in Australian Textiles Firms, 1998	235
6.11	Mean Technical Efficiency in Australian Clothing Firms, 1998	236
6.12	Mean Technical Efficiency ^a in Australian	
	Textiles Firms, 1995-1998	240
6.13	Mean Technical Efficiency in Australian	
	Clothing Firms, 1995-1998	241
6.14	Mean Technical Efficiency in Bangladesh	
	Textiles Firms, 1995-1998	244
6.15	Mean Technical Efficiency in Bangladesh	
	Ready Made Garments Firms, 1995-1998	245
6.16	Sources of TFP Growth in Australian Textiles, 1995-1998	256
6.17	Sources of TFP Growth for Australian	
	Clothing Firms, 1995-1998	258
6.18	Sources of TFP Growth in Bangladesh	
	Textile Firms, 1995-1998	261
6.19	Sources of TFP Growth in Bangladesh	
	Clothing Firms, 1995-1998	262
6.20	Growth of Output in Australian and	
	Bangladesh Textiles, 1995-98	263

6.21	Output Growth in Australian and	
	Bangladesh Clothing, 1995-98	265
A2.I	Nominal and Effective Rates of Assistance in	
	Australian Manufacturing, 1968-69 to 1996-97	286
A2.II	Effective Rates of Assistance in the Bangladesh Textiles Industry	287
A2.III	Input Cost Shares in the Textile and Clothing Industries	288
A3.I	List of Countries that were Signatories	
	to the Original MFA (MFA-I)	289
A3.II	Bilateral Agreements under MFA II and MFA III	290
A3.III	Summary Statistics on Average Quota Growth and	
	Quota Utilisation Rates in MFA Categories of the EC and the US	291
A5.I	Chow Test for Structural Differences in Regressions of	
	Productivity and Policy Shifts: (Australian Textiles and Clothing	
	Industries)	293
A6.I	Firm Specific Technical Efficiency of Australian Textiles Industries	
	(1995-1998)	294
A6.II	Firm Specific Technical Efficiency of Australian Clothing Industries	
	(1995-1998)	296
A6.III	Firm Specific Technical Efficiency of Australian Textiles and	
	Clothing Firms, 1998 (TCF Benchmarking Database)	298
A6.IV	Firm Specific Technical Efficiency of Bangladesh Textiles Industries	
	(1995-1998)	300
A6.V	Firm Specific Technical Efficiency of Bangladesh Clothing Industrie	:S
	(1995-1998)	301
A6.VI	Firm Specific TFP Decomposition for Australian Textiles	302
A6.VII	Firm Specific TFP Decomposition for Australian Clothing	304
A6.VIII	Firm Specific TFP Decomposition for Bangladesh Textiles	306
A6.IX	Firm Specific TFP Decomposition for Bangladesh Clothing	307

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Nominal and Effective Rates of Assistance in Australian	
	Textiles and Clothing Industries	28
2.2	Effective Rate of Protection and Effective Rate of Assistance	
	In Manufacturing Industries of Bangladesh	29
2.3	ERA in Textile Industry of Bangladesh	29
2.4	Employment in Textile and Clothing Industries: Selected Years	33
2.5	Labour Productivity Growth in Textiles Industry, 1972-1994	36
2.6	Labour Productivity Growth in the Clothing Industry, 1972-1994	37
3.1	Share of Textiles and Raw Silk in Total Exports into Europe	59
3.2	The Product Cycle Model	60
3.3	The Ladder of Dynamic Comparative Advantage	62
3.4	Growth of Net Balance in Textiles and Clothing Trade, 1980-1996	70
3.5	Percentage growth of Employment in textiles and Clothing of	
	Selected Economies	71
3.6	MFA Effects on Importing Countries	86
4.1	Farrell Measure of Technical and Allocative Efficiencies	131
5.1	Indices of TFP, Nominal and Effective Rates of Assistance in	
	Australian Textiles, 1972-1997	188
5.2	Indices of TFP, Nominal and Effective Rates of Assistance in	
	Australian Clothing, 1972-1997	189
5.3	Comparative View of TFP Growth and Levels of Bangladesh	
,	Textiles and Clothing: 1972-1994	193
6.1	Frequency Distribution of Technical Efficiency of Australian	
	Textiles Firms: TCF Benchmarking Database	237
6.2	Technical Efficiency in Australian Clothing Firms: TCF	
	Benchmarking Database	238