

eCommons@AKU

Department of Biological & Biomedical Sciences

Medical College, Pakistan

11-2020

Outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID 19) in Pakistan: Psychological impact and coping strategies of health care professionals

Khola Noreen

Muhammad Umar

Syed Arshad Sabir

Rehana Rehman

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_bbs



Original Article

Outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Pakistan: Psychological impact and coping strategies of Health Care Professionals

Khola Noreen¹, Muhammad Umar², Syed Arshad Sabir³, Rehana Rehman⁴

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was conducted to explore factors that can impact psychological health and coping strategies to help health care professionals (HCPs) to perform their duties.

Methods: A cross sectional survey was conducted using structured questionnaire electronically shared with the participants after ethical approval. Descriptive statistics were calculated for socio demographic variables. Chi squared x^2 test was used to compare the responses between different groups of HCPs.

Results: Survey was completed by 250 participants. They performed their duties diligently during outbreak but were concerned about their safety, had fear of infecting themselves and their family members. Lack of evidence-based guidelines for patient management, news about pandemic situation through media and to deal with uncooperative patients not willing for quarantine added to their stress. receiving honour and respect from general public in recognition of services, monetary benefit, adequate training to treat COVID-19, provision of personal protective equipment from government were reported as coping strategies for psychological impact.

Conclusions: COVID-19 outbreak had psychological impact on HCPs, yet they continued to perform their duties carefully as moral obligation. Continued moral with financial support and acknowledgement of their services by government, organization and general public was reported to have psychological benefit.

KEYWORDS: COVID-19, Outbreak of Corona Virus, Psychological health, Coping Strategies, Health care Professionals, Front liners.

doi: https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.7.2988

How to cite this:

Noreen K, Umar M, Sabir SA, Rehman R. Outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Pakistan: Psychological impact and coping strategies of Health Care Professionals. Pak J Med Sci. 2020;36(7):1478-1483. doi: https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.7.2988

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

- 1. Dr. Khola Noreen
- Assistant Professor Community Medicine
- Prof Dr. Muhammad Umar Vice Chancellor
- 3. Prof. Dr. Syed Arshad Sabir
 - Dean Public Health & Community Medicine Dr. Rehana Rehman
- Dr. Rehana Rehman
 Department of Biological & Biomedical Sciences,
 Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan.
- 1-3: Rawalpindi Medical University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan.

Correspondence:

Dr. Rehana Rehman Department of Biological & Biomedical Sciences, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan. E-mail: drrehana7@gmail.com

Received for Publication: May 30, 2020
 Accepted for Publication: August 20, 2020

INTRODUCTION

Since the report of first cluster of COVID-19 (CORONA Virus) cases in December 2019, it has shown rapid spread over short span of time.¹ WHO labelled virus as 'severe acute respiratory tract coronavirus-2' (SARS-CoV-2 / 2019-nCOV) and 'COVID-19' as global pandemic.²³ Since then as per WHO report , more than 2.7 Million cases have been reported worldwide and 7,22,285 people have lost their lives.⁴ In Pakistan by August 10th 2020 2,84,660 cases have been reported with around 6097 deaths.⁵

During these circumstances, healthcare professionals (HCPs) working as frontline soldiers are

facing a multitude of challenges. Fear of getting infected themselves and their family members, increased workload, perplexing news about pandemic on social media, lack of evidence-based guidelines for patient management and inadequate provision of personal protective equipment (PPEs) are the factors that act as stressors.⁶⁻⁹ The physical and psychological stress continue to rise every passing day with increase in number of patients detected positive and contradicting reports.^{10,11}

Various coping strategies proven successful from previous experience include provision of adequate PPEs, self-protection, infection prevention and control guidelines, institutional policies and SOPs based on evidence based practices. ^{12,13} Acknowledgment and gratitude by government, health care authorities, hospital administration, community, society and general public is also documented as effective coping strategy in time of pandemic. ¹⁴

This study marks the preliminary initiation of identifying underlying factors responsible for causation of stress and strategies deemed necessary to cope with them. This will provide baseline data for multi-dimensional mental health dynamics and psychological interventions deemed necessary for frontline workforce to win this battle.

METHODS

This cross-sectional survey was carried out after obtaining ethical approval from Institutional Review board of Rawalpindi Medical University, (Ref. No. 57/IREF\RMU\2020, Dated: 05-2020). Purposive sampling technique was employed and estimated sample size was 250 using 95% confidence level and 5% absolute precision, effect size of 50%, and 5% added for non-response. The included HCPs comprised of consultants, medical officers, faculty members, residents and house officers serving all over Pakistan. The online survey (google) Form was electronically shared and data was collected after obtaining informed consent. Only participant selecting the option 'Yes' were directed towards next page and were given option to quit at any

Study Questionnaire: It comprised of three sections; socio demographic profile of study participants, factors causing stress and coping strategies to reduce stress during COVID-19 respectively. Responses obtained on 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0-5 (Strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree and strongly agree).

Statistical Analysis: Data was analysed using SPSS version 23. Results were compared in sub categories of consultants, medical officers, faculty, resident and house officers. Descriptive statistics was used to present data as frequency and percentages. Responses were compared by chi square test, p-value of < 0.05 considered significant.

RESULTS

Survey was completed by 250 participants. Characteristics of the study participants are provided in Supplementary Table. Factors that caused stress are shown in Table-I. Lack of evidence-based guidelines for patient management (p<0.001) was reported highest by consultants whereas distress from news through media was reported higher by the residents (p=0.002). Medical officers were concerned with uncooperative patients, not willing for quarantine (p<0.001).

Table-II compared coping strategies suggested by HCPs to alleviate stress during pandemic. Receiving honour and respect from general public was reported highest by faculty (p=0.03) and receiving remuneration from government by medical officers (p<0.001). Consultants mentioned monetary benefits and compensation to be provided by organisation (p=0.02). Provision of PPEs and adequate training to treat COVID-19 patients by organization (p=0.01) was recommended by all HCPs.

DISCUSSION

Evidence from previous epidemics namely severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) has suggested that these out breaks had significant impact on psycho social well-being of frontline workers.¹⁵ Results of our study suggest that HCPs suffered a considerable psychological distress during the pandemic and main stressors reported were fear of getting infected and infecting family members similar to findings of recent study conducted in China.¹⁶ Comparable findings are reported from multicentre, multinational studies conducted during COVID -19.¹⁷

Lack of evidence-based guidelines for patient management was reported by consultants and faculty since there is no definite treatment and vaccine available. News about pandemic situation on electronic and social media was also reported as a stressor. This phenomenon is labelled as "Infodemic" meaning that excessive amount of misinformation that is real but inaccurate,

Table-I: Factors causing stress among different groups of health care professionals.

Question	Response	Consultant 40 (16)	Medical officers 70 (28)	Faculty 53(21)	Resident 47(19)	House Officer 40(16)	p-value
	Strongly disagree	11(27.5)	3(4.2)	33(47.1)	3(6.3)	8(20)	
Do you feel fear of getting infected?	Disagree	5(12.5)	2(2.8)	11(20.7)	11(23)	8(20)	
	Neutral	22(55)	8(11.4)	2(3.7)	2(4.2)	14(35)	< 0.001
	Agree	1(2.5)	22(31.4)	4(5.6)	5(10.6)	5(12.5)	
	Strongly agree	1(2.5)	35(50)	3(5.6)	26(55.3)	5(12.5)	
	Strongly disagree	4(10)	7(10)	5(9.4)	3(6.3)	5(12.5)	
Seeing your colleagues getting infected	disagree	4(10)	8(11.4)	10(5.3)	5(10.6)	5(12.5)	
	Neutral	2(5)	15(21.4)	24(45.2)	25(10.6)	4(10)	0.57
	Agree	6(15)	20(28.5)	11(20.7)	8(17)	8(20)	
	Strongly agree	24(60)	25(35.7)	3(5.6)	6(12.7)	18(45)	
	Strongly disagree	5(12.5)	3(4.2)	3(5.6)	5(10.6)	6(15)	
Fear of bringing	disagree	4(10)	2(2.8)	5(9.4)	5(10.6)	7(17.5)	
infection home and infecting	Neutral	20(50)	35(50)	5(9.4)	3(6.3)	15(37.5)	< 0.001
family members	Agree	6(15)	8(11.4)	10(5.3)	7(14.8)	7(17.5)	
,	Strongly agree	5(12.5)	22(31.4)	30(56.6)	27((57.4)	5(12.5)	
	Strongly disagree	1(2.5)	10(7)	3(5.6)	7(14.8)	10(25)	
Feeling helpless	disagree	1(2.5)	19(27.1)	6(11.3)	10(21.2)	8(20)	
in managing patients	Neutral	2(5)	31(44.2)	4(5.6)	10(2.2)	13(32.5)	0.41
appropriately	Agree	10(4)	4(5.7)	11(20.7)	13(27.6)	7(17.5)	
	Strongly agree	25(6.25)	6(8.5)	29(54.7)	3(6.3)	2(5)	
	Strongly disagree	1(2.5)	3(4.2)	5(9.4)	3(6.3)	8(20)	
Seeing patients	disagree	1(2.5)	2(2.8)	10(5.3)	8(17)	8(20)	
dying in front	Neutral	1(2.5)	8(11.4)	24(45.2)	22(46.8)	15(37.5)	0.009
of you	Agree	10(4)	20(28.5)	11(20.7)	8(17)	7(17.5)	
	Strongly agree	27(67.5)	37(52.8)	3(5.60	6(12.7)	2(5)	
T - 1 - C	Strongly disagree	1(2.5)	10(7)	3(5.6)	3(6.2)	6(15)	
Lack of evidence based	disagree	3(7.5)	19(27.1)	5(9.4)	6(12.7)	10(25)	
guidelines	Neutral	1(2.5)	31(44.2)	5(9.4)	24(51)	12(30)	< 0.001
for patient	Agree	9(22.5)	4(5.7)	12(22.6)	8(17)	8(20)	
management	Strongly agree	25(62.5)	6(8.5)	28(52.8)	6(12.7)	4(10)	
Workload	Strongly disagree	5(12.5)	3(4.2)	5(9.4)	3(6.3)	8(20)	
has been	disagree	4(10)	2(2.8)	10(5.3)	11(23.4)	7(17.5)	
increased due to increasing number of COVID-19 cases	Neutral	20(50)	8(11.4)	24(45.2)	2(4.2)	15(37.5)	0.91
	Agree	6(15)	20(28.5)	11(20.7)	5(10.6)	6(15)	
	Strongly agree	5(12.5)	37(52.8)	3(5.6)	26(55.3)	4(10)	
	Strongly disagree	5(12.5)	3(4.2)	5(9.4)	3(6.3)	8(20)	
Increasing number of infected patients everyday	disagree	4(10)	2(2.8)	12(22.6)	8(17)	8(20)	
	Neutral	25(62.5)	8(11.4)	22(41.5)	5(10.6)	14(350	5.31
	Agree	2(5)	22(31.4)	11(20.7)	7(14.8)	5(12.5)	
	Strongly agree	4(10)	35(50)	3(5.6)	24(45.2)	5(12.50	

News about pandemic situation on electronic and social media	Strongly disagree	11(27.5)	10(14.2)	3(5.6)	3(6.3)	5(12.5)	
	disagree	5(12.5)	19(27.1)	5(9.4)	6(12.7)	5(12.5)	
	Neutral	18(45)	31(44.2)	5(9.4)	24(45.2)	4(10)	0.002
	Agree	3(7.5)	4(5.7)	10(5.3)	8(17)	8(20)	
	Strongly agree	3(7.50	6(8.5)	30((56.6)	6(12.7)	18(45)	
Dealing with uncooperative patients who are not willing for quarantine	Strongly disagree	5(12.5)	3(4.2)	5(9.4)	3(6.3)	8(20)	
	disagree	4(10)	2(2.8)	12(22.6)	10(21.2)	8(20)	
	Neutral	21(52.5)	8(11.4)	22(41.5)	20(42.5)	15(37.5)	< 0.001
	Agree	7(17.5)	21(30)	11(20.7)	8(17)	4(10)	
	Strongly agree	5(12.5)	36(51.4)	3(5.6)	6(12.7)	5(12.5)	
	Strongly disagree	5(12.5)	3(4.2)	5(9.4)	3(6.3)	7(17.5)	
Extended duty hours due to COVID-19	disagree	4(10)	2(2.8)	12(22.6)	11(23.4)	9(22.5)	
	Neutral	5(12.5)	8(11.4)	18(25.7)	4(8.5)	5(12.5)	4.14
outbreak	Agree	9(22.5)	23(31.9)	10(14.2)	5(10.6)	5(12.5)	
	Strongly agree	19(47.5)	33(47.1)	7(10)	28(59.5)	16(40)	
	Strongly disagree	5(12.5)	3(4.2)	7(10)	3(6.3)	8(20)	
Discomfort due	Disagree	4(10)	2(2.8)	12(22.6)	9(19.1)	8(20)	
to extended	Neutral	9(22.5)	8(11.4)	5(9.4)	2(4.2)	5(12.5)	0.56
wearing of PPEs	Agree	9(22.5)	20(28.5)	9(16.9)	11(23.4)	5(12.5)	
	Strongly agree	15(37.5)	37(52.8)	20(37.7)	22(46.8)	14(35)	
Blaming on doctors from media	Strongly disagree	5(12.5)	10(14.2)	4(7.5)	3(6.3)	5(12.5)	
	disagree	6(1.5)	19(27.1)	4(7.5)	8(17)	5(12.5)	
	Neutral	7(17.5)	6(8.5)	5(9.4)	5(10.6)	4(10)	0.51
	Agree	8(20)	4(5.7)	12(22.6)	8(17)	8(20)	
	Strongly agree	16(40)	31(44.2)	28(52.8)	23(48.9)	18(45)	
	Strongly disagree	1(2.5)	10(14.2)	4(7.5)	3(6.3)	5(12.5)	
Lack of	disagree	3(7.5)	19(27.1)	4(7.5)	8(17)	6(15)	
Support and encouragement at workplace	Neutral	1(2.5)	6(8.5)	5(9.4)	5(10.6)	5(12.5)	7.67
	Agree	10(25)	4(5.7)	10(5.3)	6(12.7)	8(20)	
	Strongly agree	26(65)	31(44.2)	30(56.6)	25(53.1)	20(50)	

Responses are compared on basis of chi square test, p-value < 0.05 considered significant *

unauthentic and not supported by scientific evidence.²⁰ Another stressor reported by medical officers was uncooperative attitude of patients during quarantine which is also supported by literature.²¹

HCPs were satisfied with their duty hours, extended wearing of PPEs, level of support and encouragement provided by government consistent with results of study conducted in Hunan, China. Appreciation of HCPs as front liners in recent pandemic has been mentioned as a source of strong moral booster for frontliners. Furthermore, receiving regard and remuneration from government in recognition of services,

monetary benefit, compensation provided by organisation were reported as stress relieving factors in our study. Recognition of services of HCPs can serve as a catalyst to motivate them to work and to fulfil their moral and social responsibilities and professional obligations diligently.

Our study though provided baseline data of possible causes of stress and effective coping strategies yet inclusion of nursing staff and allied health care should have been considered. In depth longitudinal studies by involving multiple health care centers are further suggested to enhance generalizability of results.

Table-II: Factors reducing stress among different groups of health care professionals during COVID-19 outbreak.

Question	Response	Consultant 40 (16)	Medical officers 70(28)	Faculty 53(21)	Resident 47(19)	House Officer 40(16)	p-value
Pakistan not showing rapid upsurge in COVID-19 cases	Strongly disagree	9(22.5)	5(7.1)	35(66)	3(4.2)	5(12.5)	
	disagree	7(17.5)	2(2.8)	13(24.5)	9(19.1)	8(20)	
	Neutral	20(50)	6(8.5)	2(3.7)	4(8.5)	15(37.5)	<.001
	Agree	2(5)	24(3.4)	4(5.6)	7(14.8)	6(15)	
	Strongly agree	1(2.5)	37(52.8)	3(4.6)	28(59.5)	6(15)	
	Strongly disagree	4(10)	7(10)	7(13.20	3(6.3)	5(12.5)	
Patients recovering from Illness	disagree	4(10)	8(11.4)	12(22.6)	5(10.6)	5(12.5)	
	Neutral	4(10)	15(21.4)	22(41.5)	24(42.5)	4(10)	0.04
	Agree	7(17.5)	21(30)	10(18.8)	9(19.1)	7(17.5)	
	Strongly agree	21(5.25)	24(34)	4(5.6)	6(12.7)	19(47.5)	
	Strongly disagree	6(15)	3(4.2)	3(4.6)	5(10.6)	5(12.5)	
Seeing HCPs	disagree	4(10)	3(4.2)	4(5.6)	4(8.5)	8(20)	
working diligently to	Neutral	22(55)	36(51.4)	6(11.3)	4(8.5)	16(40)	0.09
provide best services	Agree	4(10)	7(10)	11(20.7)	6(12.7)	6(15)	
services	Strongly agree	4(10)	21(30)	28(52.8)	28(59.5)	5(12.5)	
	Strongly disagree	1(2.5)	10(7)	3(4.6)	7(14.8)	10(250	
Receiving	disagree	2(5)	19(27.1`)	6(11.3)	11(23)	8((20)	
honour and respect from	Neutral	3(7.5)	30(42.8)	4(5.6)	9(19.1)	15(37.5)	0.03
general public	Agree	9(22.5)	5(7.1)	10(18.8)	14(29.7)	5(12.5)	
	Strongly agree	24(6)	6(8.5)	30(56.6)	2(4.2)	2(5)	
Receiving	Strongly disagree	1(2.5)	3(4.2)	5(9.4)	4(8.5)	8(20)	
regard and remuneration	disagree	1(2.5)	2(2.8)	9(16.8)	8(17)	8(20)	
from	Neutral	3(7.5)	10(7)	25(47.10	20(42.5)	16(40)	< 0.001
government in recognition of	Agree	10(4)	20(28.5)	10(18.8)	8(17)	6(15)	
services	Strongly agree	25(6.25)	35(50)	4(5.6)	7(14.8)	2(5)	
Monetary benefit,	Strongly disagree	1(2.5)	10(7)	3(4.6)	4(8.5)	4(10)	
	disagree	3(7.5)	20(28.5)	5(9.4)	6(12.7)	11(27.5)	
compensation	Neutral	2(5)	30(42.8)	5(9.4)	23(48.90	13(32.5)	0.02
provided by organisation	Agree	9(22.5)	4(5.7)	13(24.5)	9(19.1)	8(20)	
	Strongly agree	24(60)	6(8.5)	27(50.9)	5(10.6)	4(10)	
Provision of PPEs &	Strongly disagree	5(12.5)	3(4.2)	5(9.4)	3(6.3)	8(20)	
	disagree	4(10)	2(2.8)	10(18.8)	10(21.2)	8(20)	
adequate training to treat	Neutral	19(47.5)	7(10)	23(43.3)	3(6.3)	13(32.50	0.01
COVID-19	Agree	7(17.5)	22(31.4)	12(22.6)	5(10.6)	5(12.5)	
patients	Strongly agree	5(12.5)	36(51.4)	3(4.6)	26(55.3)	5 (12.5)	

Responses are compared on basis of chi square test, p-value <0.05 considered significant *

Recommendations: Healthcare organizations and universities should organize virtual training courses for awareness about COVID 19 infection and prevention. Guidelines for control and prevention should be updated with sessions on hand washing techniques, donning & doffing and use of PPEs. Furthermore, telemedicine and telehealth consultation training courses should be encouraged.

CONCLUSION

The study findings showed that significant factors associated with stress in HCPs were fear of getting infected and infecting family members, lack of evidence-based guidelines for patient's management, exaggerated news about pandemic situation on electronic and social media and dealing with uncooperative patients who are not willing for quarantine. The strategies serving as motivational factors to reassure HCPs to continue to work during pandemic were; Pakistan not showing rapid upsurge in COVID-19 cases as compared to rest of the world, recovery of patients from Illness, receiving respect from general public, remuneration from government, compensation provided by organisation with adequate provision of PPEs and arranging training sessions for COVID-19 patient management.

Conflict of interests: None.

REFERENCES

- Schwartz J, King C-C, Yen M-Y. Protecting health care workers during the COVID-19 coronavirus outbreak -Lessons from Taiwan's SARS response. Clin Infect Dis. 2020:pii:ciaa255. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa255
- World Health Organization. Coronavirus. https://www.who.int/ health-topics/coronavirus. Accessed 6 Mar 2020.
- WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19; 11 March 2020. https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/ detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-
- World Health Organization (2020). Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Situation Report - 202. Available online at: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200420-sitrep-91-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=fcf0670b_4 (Accessed August 10, 2020).
- NDMA National Disaster Management Authority Pakistan. http://web.ndma.gov.pk/ Accessed on August 10, 2020.
- Chang D, Xu H, Rebaza A, Sharma L, Cruz CS. Protecting healthcare workers from subclinical coronavirus infection. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(3):e13. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30066-7
- Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, et al. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(3):e203976. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976
- Xiang YT, Yang Y, Li W, Zhang L, Zhang Q, Cheung T, et al. Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(3):228-229. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30046-8
- Saqlain M, Munir MM, Ahmed A, Tahir AH, Kamran S. Is Pakistan prepared to tackle the coronavirus epidemic? Drugs Ther Perspect. 2020:1-2. doi: 10.1007/s40267-020-00721-1

- Ayanian JZ. Mental health needs of health care workers providing frontline COVID-19 care. In JAMA Health Forum. Am Med Assoc. 2020;1(4):pp.e200397-e200397. doi: 10.1001/jamahealthforum.2020.0397
- Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, et al. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(3):e203976. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976
- Khalid I, Khalid TJ, Qabajah MR, Barnard AG, Qushmaq IA. Healthcare workers emotions, perceived stressors and coping strategies during a MERS-CoV outbreak. Clin Med Res. 2016;14(1):7-14. doi: 10.3121/cmr.2016.1303
- Wong TW, Yau JK, Chan CL, Kwong RS, Ho SM, Lau CC, et al. The psychological impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak on healthcare workers in emergency departments and how they cope. Eur J Emerg Med. 2005;12(1):13-8. doi: 10.1097/00063110-200502000-00005
- Wu P, Fang Y, Guan Z, Fan B, Kong J, Yao Z, et al. The psychological impact of the SARS epidemic on hospital employees in China: exposure, risk perception, and altruistic acceptance of risk. Can J Psychiatry. 2009;54(5):302-311. doi: 10.1177/070674370905400504.
- Lee SH, Juang YY, Su YJ, Lee HL, Lin YH, Chao CC. Facing SARS: psychological impacts on SARS team nurses and psychiatric services in a Taiwan general hospital. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2005;27(5):352-358. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2005.04.007
- Cai H, Tu B, Ma J, Chen L, Fu L, Jiang Y, et al. Psychological Impact and Coping Strategies of Frontline Medical Staff in Hunan Between January and March 2020 During the Outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Hubei, China. Med Sci Monit. 2020;26:e924171-1. doi: 10.12659/MSM.924171
- Chew NW, Lee GK, Tan BY, Jing M, Goh Y, Ngiam NJ, et al. A multinational, multicentre study on the psychological outcomes and associated physical symptoms amongst healthcare workers during COVID-19 outbreak. Brain Behav Immun. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.03.020
- Jin YH, Cai L, Cheng ZS, Cheng H, Deng T, Fan YP et al. A rapid advice guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infected pneumonia (standard version). Military Med Res. 2020;7(1):4. doi: 10.1186/s40779-020-0233-6
- Cheng VC, Wong SC, To KK, Ho PL, Yuen KY. Preparedness and proactive infection control measures against the emerging novel coronavirus in China. J Hosp Infect. 2020;104(3):254-255. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.01.010
- Orso D, Federici N, Copetti R, Vetrugno L, Bove T. Infodemic and the spread of fake news in the COVID-19-era. Eur J Emerg Med. 2020;10.1097/MEJ.000000000000713. doi: 10.1097/ MEJ.00000000000000713
- Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet. 2020 Feb 26. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8
- McCartney M. Medicine: before COVID-19, and after. Lancet. 2020;395(10232):1248-1249. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30756-X

Author's Contribution:

Dr. Khola and Dr. Ashad Sabir designed the study and performed statistical analysis.

Dr. Rehana and Dr. Umar took part in collection of data.

All the authors made substantial contribution in literature search, writing of the manuscript and revising it for critical evaluation.