

University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress

## Pasture Management Reshaping Dairy Cows Grazing Behavior and Performance

A. Schmitt University of Vermont

W. Murphy University of Santa Catarina, Brazil

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc

Part of the Plant Sciences Commons, and the Soil Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/9-3/13

The 21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

## Pasture management reshaping dairy cows grazing behavior and performance

A. Schmitt and W. Murphy The University of Vermont, USA. University of Santa Catarina, Brazil. www.gpvoisin.ufsc.br; abdonfilha@hotmail.com

Key words : grazing management grazing behavior pasture-based dairy pasture management

**Introduction** Pasture-based animal production has assumed in the last decade an outstanding importance all around the world (Mannetje et al . 2007). This fact is mostly related to economic advantages ,animal welfare ,and ecological issues (Murphy et al . 1996). The viability of small family farms ,through pasture-based ecological dairying ,has been highlighted as a way to revitalize rural communities and avoid urban social problems in South America (Rizzoli et al . 2007). Management methods determine synchronized grazing behavior responses (Taweel et al . 2006). Dairy cows can be expected to anticipate being moved to a fresh paddock after milking and synchronize their behavior to this prediction. This results in reduction of grazing time and intake ,especially around reward time (Arriaga-Jordan and Holmes 1986). This experiment tested the effect of paddock management in reshaping behavioral patterns of cows to improve use of the pasture resource.

**Material and methods** A herd of lactating ,rotationally grazed ,Holstein cows was the subject of this grazing trial at a commercial dairy farm near Hinesburg ,Vermont USA . The host farmer participated in the University of Vermont Pasture Management Outreach Program . Two control groups of cows were under typical half-day paddock management . Two treatment groups were moved to paddocks with distinct internal design . Half-day paddocks were fenced into two areas : main (85% of area) and remainder (15% of area) . The main area was made available to cows when they arrived in a paddock . The remaining area of fresh forage was integrated to the main area during the waiting period few hours before milking (12 PM/AFTERNOON and 10 PM/EVENING) . Evaluations of behavioral budgets were done by analyzing 24-hour periods ,main periods (AM/PM AND PM/AM) and sub-periods (MORNING ,AFTERNOON ,EVENING and DAWN) . Daily milk yield (kg day<sup>-1</sup>) was assessed during a week in each monthly experimental period .

Pasture daily dry matter requirement provided per animal was about 2.5% of bodyweight. Cows grazed each paddock when a target pre-grazing mass reached 2700 kg DM/ha. During the experiments an average of 32 days of plant regrowth was required to reach target pre-grazing mass. Cows were supplemented individually after every milking. Supplements were intended to complement pasture forage nutritional value in meeting cows requirements for lactation growth and maintenance. Behavioral and production differences between treatment and control were tested using ANOVA. The magnitude of the difference was evaluated through Tukey-Kramer HSD.

**Results and conclusion** Total grazing time during 24-hour periods was 72 min ( $P \le 0.01$ ) longer for alternative treatment cows than control cows. Total grazing time was 498.5 min (SD=46.8) for the alternative treatment compared to 426.5 min ( $SD=48.5 P \le 0.01$ ) for the control. Grazing times differed only during the sub-periods when extra pasture area was released to alternative treatment animals (AFTERNOON and EVENING). Treatment cows produced 1.1 kg d<sup>1</sup> more milk ( $P \le 0.01$ ) than controls during July trial and 0.9 kg d<sup>1</sup> more milk ( $P \le 0.01$ ) than controls during August trial. A simple management practice such as this can greatly reshape cow grazing behavior improve overall productivity and may affect farm profitability.

## References

- Arriaga-Jordan C .M . and Holmes W . (1986) The effect of concentrate on high-yielding dairy cows under two systems of grazing .Journal of Animal Science ., 107, 453-461.
- Mannetje L . (2007) Climate changes and grassland through the age : an overview . Grass and Forage Science 62,113-117 .
- Murphy B., Silman J., McCrory L., Flack S., Winster J., Hoke D., Schmitt A. and Pillsbury B. (1996) Environmental, economic, and social benefits of feeding livestock on well managed pasture. In: *Environmental Enhancement Through* Agricultura, pp. 57-69. Boston USA: Tufts University Press.

Rizzoli A . and Schmitt A . (2007) Farmer's perception about the transition from conventional to pasture based agroecologic dairy . Brazilian Meeting in Systems Thinking, 25-26.

Taweel H Z., Tas B.M., Smith H.J., Tamminga S. and Elgersma A. (2006) A note on eating behaviour of dairy cows at different stocking systems-diurnal rhythm and effects of ambient temperature. *Applied Animal Behavior Science*.