A Longitudinal Investigation of Literacy Achievement and Development in the First Three Years of School

Paper presented to the European Educational Research Association

Annual Conference

10-12 September 2008

University of Gothenburg

Marion Meiers

Australian Council for Educational Research, Australia; meiers@acer.edu.au

Acknowledgement

Many ACER staff have contributed to the LLANS project since its inception in 1998. This paper draws extensively on the work of ACER item writers, researchers, data analysts, and their significant contribution is acknowledged with thanks. In particular, the work of Dr Siek Toon Khoo has been of major importance.

Introduction

This paper describes a longitudinal study of literacy development in the early years of schooling. Monitoring the development of children's literacy learning in the early years of school poses challenges for educational researchers, including the need for appropriate strategies for identifying the full range of literacy knowledge and skills demonstrated by young learners.

The seven-year national ACER Longitudinal Literacy and Numeracy Study (LLANS) followed the growth in literacy of a single cohort of students across the years of primary school (Meiers, Khoo et al, 2006). A key research question in this study was: "What is the nature of literacy development amongst Australian school children?" The study created an opportunity to develop achievement scales describing growth in literacy and numeracy from the very first year of schooling.

Theoretical framework

The LLANS is underpinned by the concept of developmental assessment. If we can measure students' performance in an area of learning using the same ruler over time, then we can construct a progress map (Masters and Forster, 1997) to describe typical progression of development in that area of learning. This progress map can provide a framework for reporting development of individual students based on repeated measures of achievement by these students. Locating students' achievements on the same scale over time can be useful in a variety of ways. An individual student's growth over time can be described. The progress of groups of students can be

compared over time. The relative achievement levels of particular cohorts of students can be identified at different stages or year levels of schooling. It is also possible to compare achievements in the same learning area among individual students. The LLANS scales were constructed based on the Rasch model (Masters, 1982; Rasch, 1960) to provide progress maps for literacy and numeracy.

Methodology

A longitudinal design was chosen as the most appropriate means of identifying patterns of growth in literacy and numeracy achievement. In cross-sectional studies different students are assessed at a particular point in schooling, and this data is sometimes used to infer developmental patterns. The longitudinal design made it possible to investigate development and growth by following the same cohort of students across the years of schooling, in order to identify the changes in what students know and can do.

One thousand children from a randomly selected Australia-wide sample of 100 schools formed the cohort for the study. The students were aged between 4.6 and 6 years old at the time of the first assessment. A comprehensive review of assessment approaches, longitudinal studies, and studies of literacy development in the early years of school was undertaken at the commencement of the study (for example, Snow et al, 1998, Tymms. 1999). This review informed the design of the study.

Teachers in the study administered the assessments developed by ACER to the sampled students in their class. Table 1 indicates the timing of the assessments across the seven years of the study. This paper is based on data from Surveys 1-5, the early years of school. In Australia, the first year of school is labelled differently in the different states and territories: Kindergarten, Preparatory, Transition, Reception, or Pre-Primary. The second year of school is Year 1, the third year is Year 2, and so on. In some states, the transition to secondary school takes place after eight years at school; however, for this study, data collection ended at Year 6, taking account of the jurisdictions where students transfer to secondary school after year 6.

1 st year of school	2 nd year of school	3 rd year of school	4 th year of school	5 th year of school	6 th year of school	7 th year of school
1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Survey 1	Survey 3					
Term 1	Term 1					
		Survey 5	Survey 6	Survey 7	Survey 8	Survey 9
		Term 2				
Survey 2	Survey 4					

Table	1: L	LANS	data	gathering	schedule
1 4010			·····	Samering	benedate

Term 4	Term 4			

The literacy assessment tasks

The literacy tasks in the series of five surveys which comprised the assessments in the first three years of the study were developed with a view to gathering a broad range of responses to critical aspects of literacy. The literacy tasks focused on critical aspects of literacy, including concepts of print, phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, comprehension and writing. The literacy tasks were administered by teachers in a one-on-one interview situation. The tasks included many hands-on activities and authentic texts, for example, quality children's picture storybooks.

Key criteria for developing the assessment tasks included:

- The tasks should be research based, that is, they should assess aspects of literacy and numeracy that contemporary research indicated to be central to the development of strong literacy and numeracy skills;
- The tasks should engage students and be built around contexts likely to be • familiar to students in the early years of school;
- The tasks would be administered one to one in an interview situation, if possible by the student's own teacher;
- Where possible, the tasks should involve authentic texts and hands-on equipment;
- The tasks should be easy to for teachers to administer, and supported with clear and explicit marking and recording guides;
- The tasks should be designed to be administered in a reasonable time, taking account of the attention span of early years students, and teachers' workloads.

The assessment tasks were presented in a common format for Surveys. The assessment booklets provided columns for recording student responses on the righthand side of the marking guide as shown in Table 2. All assessments were conducted in one-to-one interview situations.

The following examples of assessment tasks from the first five surveys show the range of achievement assessed. Table 2 show an item designed to assess children's comprehension of a picture story book read aloud by the teacher.

Instructions and questions	Marking guide
Let's read the book again.	
When we finish you can tell me the story.	Gives a short summary of the story, includes a
Do not discuss the pictures. Acknowledge the child's comments (if any) but do not	beginning, middle and end. (May be quite brief (e.g. 'They found some eggs, they moved them, the eggs hatched'.)
engage in conversation.	Focuses on central point of story. (e.g. 'Long Neck and Beaky covered up the eggs so that no one could steal them'.)
Close the book when you have finished	Incomplete story but includes some elements e.g. characters, an action, the ending
reading it. Put the book aside.	(e.g. 'They had a nest, blossoms made them sneeze, then they went home. The eggs hatched into little
Now tell me the story that I read you.	baby birds'.)
	Tells a different story.
If the child tells the story of the bush rat prompt them to tell you about the two birds.	no attempt

Table 3 shows the marking guide for a comprehension assessment item from Survey 2, also based on a picture story book. This question was designed to assess children's understanding of the nature of the surprise at the end of the story. In this book, much of the action is conveyed through the illustrations.

Table 3: Marking Guide: Explaining the title

Instructions and questions	Marking guide
The book is called <i>Handa's Surprise</i> .	
	understands new fruit is a surprise.
Why is Handa surprised?	e.g. She put in fruit and found tangerines
why is flanda surprised:	refers to animals taking fruit
	e.g. Because all the animals took the fruit.
	identifies Akeyo's surprise.
	e.g. Her friend liked mandarins.
	incorrect
	e.g. Because she's afraid. Because it's called Handa's
	Surprise.
	no attempt.

In Survey 2, students were asked other comprehension questions, such as the item that asked for an explanation of key events (Table 4).

		250/	260/
the story?			
Why is this important in			
students were asked:			
in the narrative, the			
open at the turning point			
<i>Surprise</i> . With the book			
story book, <i>Handa's</i>		importance	
teacher read the picture		explaining	
students listened to the		without	the story
At the end of Prep,	Other	Describes action	Recognises importance of the fruit in

Table 4. Explaining key events Survey 2

In Survey 5, when the students were in their third year at school, they read a short illustrated text independently, and were asked to explain the main idea of the story. Table 5 shows the range of responses.

Students read the simple text, <i>Clever</i> <i>Bird</i> , independently. <i>Explain the trick</i> <i>the rich farmer</i> <i>played on Mo</i> <i>Chin.</i>	Other	Refers to farmer giving Mo Chin boiled stones , no elaboration	Restates text giving detailed explanation
% correct	23%	28%	50%

Table 5: Explaining the main idea, Survey 5

Table 6 shows the full range of tasks for Survey 3. Each of the five surveys assessed these aspects of literacy.

Making Magning from Tout	After meding Vitte Cat Dlang Lugida	
Making Meaning from Text	After reading Killy Cal Plays Inside	
	• predict the story from the cover	
	• explain the reason for a character's behaviour	
	• give a personal opinion about the story	
	After listening to the teacher read The Magical Bicycle	
	• summarise the main idea	
	• explain the reasons for events	
	• make links between written text and illustration	
	• make links between an image and a character's hopes	
	• identify and describe images used to suggest dreaming	
	• interpret a visual metaphor used to suggest success (riding high	
	in the sky over mountains)	
Reading Fluency	• read aloud the PM Plus level 8 reader (<i>Kitty Cat Plays Inside</i>)	
Concepts about Print	• identify direct speech in the simple reading book	
	• name and explain the purpose of quotation marks	
Phonemic Awareness	• read words built around 'ike': <i>like</i> , <i>bike</i> , <i>hike</i> , <i>spike</i> , <i>strike</i> ,	
	likes, liked, likely and likeness	
	• read unfamiliar words composed of phonetically regular	
	segments: satin, sandal, seminar, satellite and sentimental	
	• remove end sounds to give new words e.g. remove 'm' from	
	meat	
Writing	• write about a favourite part of a picture storybook	
	• spell big, come, played and basket	

Table 6: Literacy assessment tasks, Survey 3

Table 7 shows a task from the fourth survey, administered at the end of the second year at school. This item was based on a simple reading text that students read independently.

 Table 7: Locating information

% correct	Question	Correct response
82%	What does this page tell you a mouse uses its whiskers for?	refers to feeling things
86%	What do these pages tell you about mice?	refers to information about teeth e.g. It tell
		you all about a mouse's teeth.
67%	What does this page tell you about why	refers to mice chewing to stop their teeth
	mice chew things?	from becoming too long.
77%	Turn to the part of this book that tells	turns to page 14-15 and reads or points to
	you what you need for a pet mouse.	text e.g. a cage, water bottle, food dish,
	What does it say you need?	mouse food, litter

In Survey 5, student had listened to the teacher read the picture story book *The Deep*, by Australian author, Tim Winton. Students listened to the story, and answered some questions. Then the teacher asked the student to write about *what happened in The*

Marion Meiers, A Longitudinal Investigation of Literacy Achievement and Development in the First Three Year at School

Deep. Their discussion of the text had provided students with content organised in a logical sequence and models for a range of sentence constructions. Table 6 shows the marking guide used to assess the content of the students' written texts, and the percentage of students scored at the different levels.

% students	Marking Guide
27%	shows control of selected content (eg selects specific details for their appropriateness to the piece), includes some explanations, opinions or reasons, attempts to meet readers' needs for specific information. *see samples
32%	writes a connected piece that shows some overall coherence (eg logical sequence of events or a detailed list) but shows little evidence of selection and control of the content to achieve specific purposes (eg a well reasoned choice). *see samples
34%	lists ideas with little elaboration, shows a general understanding of the task, writing may be brief or long and disjointed. * see samples
5%	expresses one idea eg I can swim in the deep.
1%	unrelated or irrelevant ideas
1%	other e.g. unrecognisable script

Table 8: Marking Guide: Writing content

The relative difficulty of items was a key consideration in developing each survey. Figure 1 shows the item variable map for Survey 1. Item variable maps were constructed from Rasch estimates for each of the five Surveys. On the right hand side of the map, item step thresholds are shown ranked on a logit scale according to the estimates of their difficulty, from the easiest (at the bottom of the map) to the most difficult (at the top of the map). This example is the item variable map for the first survey.

On Figure 1, the distribution of students' performances and the distribution of item difficulties are at about the same level. This indicates that this assessment survey was at an appropriate level of difficulty for this group of students. The map shows a good spread of the items and students' performances.

Item Estimates (Thresholds)
Level=0.50

Probability

Logits		I				
4.0						
	X					
3.0						
	v					
	X					
		1EP9.3	1EPo.3			
		1CP3				
2.0						
	X					
	XX					
1 0	XXX	1000 0				
1.0	XXX XXX	1000 2				
	XXX	1010.3				
	XXXX	1EP3.2				
	XXX	1803.2	1CP9.1			
0 0	XXXXX					
0.0	XXXX	1EP7.2	1CP2.2	1CPe.2		
	XXXXXXXXXXXX					
	XXXX	1B03.1	1CP8.3			
	******	IRE2.2	1079	1002 1		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1EP2	1EP7.1	1CF2.1 1RE1.2	1CP1.2	1CPa
1.0 X	*****	1PA3	1PA6	1PAb	1CPo.2	
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1EP3.1				
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1EP4.2	1CP8.2	1CPb		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1PA0	1CP1.1	1CPe.1		
	XXXXXXX					
2.0	XXXXXXXXXX	1PAa	1B05.1	1RE2.1		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1EP9.2	1PA5 1DA7	1006 2	1007	1009 1
	XXXXXX	1EP4.1	1PA8	1CPc.2	ICF /	ICF0.I
	XXXX	1EP9.1	1808			
	XXX	1EPo.1	1806.1			
3.0	XX	1RE1.1	1CP4	1CP6		
	XXX	1EP5.2	1004.2	TCPC.1		
	X	1EP6	1B07			
	XX	1EP1	1PA4	1CP5		
4 0	X	1PA1				
- - .U	x	1B04.1				
	X	1EP5.1				
	Х					
E 0						
-5.0						
	X					

Figure 1: LLANS Literacy scale. Item Map for Survey 1

Literacy measurement scales

In order to measure progress over time in literacy, it was necessary for the students' performance in each survey to be measured on the same scale. A LLANS Literacy Scale was constructed, covering the full range of proficiency as assessed using easier tasks in the first year of school and more difficult tasks in the subsequent years.

Assessment data collected in the five LLANS surveys provided information needed for the calibration of the LLANS items and the LLANS instruments. The assessments were vertically equated to construct a long measurement scale to measure developing literacy achievement. The calibration, equating of assessment tasks and construction of the scales were carried out based on the Rasch partial credit model (Rasch, 1960, Masters, 1982). A LLANS Literacy Scale was constructed and used to provide measures across the surveys.

Since the Rasch (Rasch, 1960) item difficulties and student performance estimates were defined on the same scale, it was possible to relate the performance levels along the literacy scale to the skill demands at those levels based on the assessment tasks. Descriptions of the skill demands along the literacy scale continuum were developed, making it possible to put the students' progress and development in context.

When students' performances in literacy across surveys were measured on a common literacy scale, the performance over time could be compared so that it was possible to measure growth in literacy in the first year at school, and to track students' achievement progress over time. Figure 2 shows growth and change for students at the beginning and end of the first year at school.



SCALE DESCRIPTION AND ACHIEVEMENT DISTRIBUTIONS First Year of School



Figure 2: LLANS Scale description and achievement distributions

The achievement distributions of all students in the two surveys conducted in the first year of school are shown in Figure 2. The scale of developing literacy achievement shown in Figure 2 is based on data collected during the students' first year of school. The data from the two surveys was calibrated onto a single scale.

Descriptions of skills assessed in Surveys 1 and 2 are shown on the left of Figure 2. A selected sample of skill descriptions has been used to describe performance at different points on the scale. Each description refers to one item. The descriptions have been selected from the whole range of items in both surveys. The placement of the skill descriptions shows the estimated level of difficulty of a particular skill relative to other skills. These estimates have been empirically derived from the data.

The four shaded bands on the right hand side of Figure 2 show the distribution of performance of boys and girls in the whole cohort of students in their first year at school in Surveys 1 and 2. The shaded bands represent the middle 80 per cent of students. The darker shading represents the middle 50 per cent. The black line towards the middle of the darker band represents the median score.

Growth in literacy achievement in the first year of school can be seen by comparing the position of the median scores for the cohort on the scale. A comparison of the bands showing the middle 80% shows that girls and boys in the cohort made progress in literacy achievement between March and November in their first year at school, in the aspects of literacy assessed in the surveys.

Figure 2 also shows the wide distribution of achievement across the whole cohort as measured against the literacy scale. Although all students in the study made progress in literacy in their first year at school, there was a wide distribution of achievement at the beginning of the school year, and this wide distribution was again found at the end of the school year. This highlights the complexity of teachers' work in providing teaching programs to meet the diverse needs of all students in their class.

Figure 3 shows growth in the second year at school, for boys and girls. Growth in literacy achievement in the second year of school can be seen by comparing the position of the median scores for the cohort on the scale. A comparison of the bands showing the middle 80% shows that girls and boys in the cohort made progress in literacy achievement between March and November in their second year at school, in the aspects of literacy assessed in the surveys. This evidence shows that although all students in the study continued to make progress in literacy in their second year at school, the wide range of achievement identified in the first year at school year remained.

From Figure 3 it can be seen that there is a slight difference between the median scores for boys and girls. Overall, however, the bands that include the distribution of achievement for the middle 80% of boys and girls overlap, indicating that overall, there is little difference.

The students completed the assessment tasks in Survey 3 early in Term 1 of the 2000 school year, soon after the long summer holiday break. It can be seen that students in this cohort around the 50th percentile were likely to be able to write a single sentence using a capital letter and a full stop. Students who achieved above the 90th percentile were likely to be able to identify key events after listening to a picture story book. Students whose achievement fell in the 10th percentile were to be able to give a literal interpretation of an illustration in a picture story book, and spell initial sounds in common words.

By the end of the second year of school, in November 2000, students around the 50th percentile were likely to be able to read a simple reading book (with predictable structure, varied content) with word for accuracy, and write readable text with many words spelt correctly. Students who achieved around the 75th percentile were likely to be able to use context to provide meaning for unfamiliar words in an informational text, and to include one or more complex sentences in their own writing. Students whose achievement was around the 10th percentile were likely to be able to express more than one idea in their own writing, and to be able to locate specific information in a simple informative reading book read independently.



SCALE DESCRIPTION AND ACHIEVEMENT DISTRIBUTIONS Second Year of School



Figure 3: LLANS Scale description and achievement distributions

Figure 4 shows achievement distributions of all students in the five surveys conducted in the first three years of school.

Marion Meiers, A Longitudinal Investigation of Literacy Achievement and Development in the First Three Year at School



SCALE DESCRIPTION AND ACHIEVEMENT DISTRIBUTIONS

First Three Years of School



Figure 4: LLANS literacy scale description and achievement distributions for the first three years of school

Marion Meiers, A Longitudinal Investigation of Literacy Achievement and Development in the First Three Year at School

The scaled longitudinal data made it possible to model growth trajectories of children's achievement over time to study individual differences and variation in children's development in literacy and to compare the growth trajectories of subgroups.

Figure 5 shows the individual progress map in literacy development for a child. Individual student performance in literacy was estimated for each assessment on the LLANS Literacy Scale. The performance of the child is shown against the overall performance distribution of the LLANS cohort. Students are likely to differ with respect to their performance at the beginning of the first year at school because of prior learning experiences. Students are also likely to differ with respect to the rate of growth in their performance across time due to differences in motivation, opportunity to learn and learning experiences in school and at home.



Longitudinal Literacy and Numeracy Study (LLANS) INDIVIDUAL LITERACY PROGRESS MAP

First Three Years of School

Student 1 Local Primary School



Figure 5: An Individual Literacy Progress Map

Key findings and further research

Analysis of the assessment data from the cohort of students in the LLANS project showed a wide distribution of literacy achievement at school entry and through the first three years of school. All students in the cohort made progress in literacy achievement over the first three years of school. A wide distribution of literacy achievement was noted at school entry and this continued through the first three years at school. This key finding indicates the complexity of the task of providing appropriate learning opportunities for all students.

The development of a linked set of literacy assessment instruments for the early years was a significant outcome of the first phase of the study. The focus of the literacy assessment tasks on key aspects of literacy learning in the early years of school has been a significant strength of the study. The LLANS study has provided a range of insights into development in literacy and numeracy in these early years. The assessment tasks and the measurement scales developed in the study have provided rigorous instruments for assessing student performances in literacy and numeracy, and for measuring change and tracking students' progress over time.

A major outcome of the longitudinal study has been the recognition of the potential value of the linked set of early years literacy assessment tasks in a variety of research contexts.

They have provided a model for two system-wide assessments of progress in the early years of schooling. These linked assessment tasks and the scale have also been used in other research studies to identify students' progress over time for the purposes of investigating the effectiveness of teaching approaches and interventions.

In two recent Australian research studies, analysis of growth in performance on the assessment tasks from the beginning to the end of the first and second school years was undertaken to provide an evidential link between student outcomes and teaching practices (Louden, Rohl et al, 2005; Louden, Rohl, & Hopkins, 2008).

The LLANS literacy assessment tasks and scale are now available for further research into literacy development.

Further reading

A full account of the first three years of the LLANS can be found in the ACER research monograph:

Meiers, M., Khoo, S.T., Rowe, K., Stephanou, A., Anderson, P., Nolan. K. (2006). *Growth in Literacy and Numeracy in the First Three Years of School*. ACER Research Monograph No. 61. Camberwell: Australian Council for Educational Research http://www.acer.edu.au/research_reports/monographs.html

Marion Meiers, A Longitudinal Investigation of Literacy Achievement and Development in the First Three Year at School

References

- Louden, W., Rohl, M., Barratt-Pugh, C., Brown, C., Cairney, T., Elderfield, J., House, H., Meiers, M., Rivalland J., & Rowe, K. (2005). In Teachers' Hands: Effective Literacy Teaching Practices in the Early Years of Schooling. Canberra, DEST.
- Louden, W., Rohl, M., & Hopkins, S. (2008) *Teaching for Growth. Effective teaching* of literacy and numeracy. The Graduate School of Education, University of Western Australia http://www.education.uwa.edu.au

Masters, G. N. (1982). A Rasch model for partial credit scoring. *Psychometrika*, 60, pp 523-547.

- Masters, G., & Forster, M. (1997) *Developmental Assessment, ACER Assessment Resource Kit*, Australian Council for Educational Research, Camberwell
- Meiers, M., Khoo, S.T., Rowe, K., Stephanou, A., Anderson, P., Nolan. K. (2006a).
 Growth in Literacy and Numeracy in the First Three Years of School. ACER
 Research Monograph No. 61. Camberwell: Australian Council for Educational
 Research

http://www.acer.edu.au/research_reports/monographs.html

- Meiers, M. & Khoo, S.T. (2006b) Literacy in the first three years of school: A longitudinal investigation. *Australian Journal of Language and Literacy* 29 (3), Adelaide, Australian Literacy Educators' Association.
- Rasch, G. (1960). *Probabilistic models for some intelligence and attainment tests*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Snow, C. E., Burns, M. S., & Griffin, P. (Eds.). (1998). *Preventing reading difficulties in young children*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Tymms, P. (1999). Baseline assessment, value-added and the predication of reading. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 22(1), 27-36.