

RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY – COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This is the peer-reviewed, manuscript version of the following article:

Wathes, D. C. 'The benefits of growth monitoring in dairy heifers', *The Veterinary Journal*.

The final version is available online via <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.11.014>.

© 2015. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: The benefits of growth monitoring in dairy heifers

AUTHORS: D Claire Wathes

JOURNAL TITLE: The Veterinary Journal

PUBLISHER: Elsevier

PUBLICATION DATE: 30 November 2015 (online)

DOI: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.11.014

1 **The Veterinary Journal**

2 **Guest Editorial**

3 **The benefits of growth monitoring in dairy heifers**

4 *The Veterinary Journal* has recently published a paper by Kat Bazeley from Synergy
5 Farm Health together with her co-workers Professor David Barrett and Dr Kristen Reyher
6 (University of Bristol) and Paul Williams (MSD Animal Health) based on the results of
7 monitoring heifer growth on 20 dairy farms in South West England (Bazeley et al., 2015). Their
8 paper provides a good example of the practical application on farm of findings originally
9 obtained from research studies.

10 Starting in 2001, Defra together with DairyCo (now AHDB Dairy) co-funded two
11 longitudinal studies at the Royal Veterinary College (RVC), London. The first examined in
12 detail the development of 122 Holstein Friesian calves born on a single farm whereas the
13 second involved the recruitment of cohorts of newborn heifer calves from 19 dairy farms,
14 starting with 506 animals in total. In both cases the individual animals were subsequently
15 monitored until they were either culled or reached 5 years of age. The RVC work was based
16 on an increasing realisation that some of the many problems with health and fertility
17 experienced by adult dairy cows are likely to be caused much earlier in their lives. This view
18 was initially promoted by Professor David Barker, a physician and epidemiologist working at
19 the University of Southampton, UK. Through meticulous research based on analysis of medical
20 records he transformed thinking about the causes of common human disorders such as diabetes
21 and cardiovascular disease by developing his ‘fetal programming hypothesis’. Although the
22 individual’s genotype and adult lifestyle are also clearly influential, Professor Barker proposed
23 that the origins of chronic diseases of later life often lie in the environment experienced by the
24 fetus and infant, in particular their pre- and early post-natal nutrition and exposure to infection
25 after birth. This early environment in turn ‘programmes’ the body’s metabolism and growth,
26 so contributing to subsequent pathologies. He set out these ideas in a series of books, starting
27 with “Mothers, Babies and Disease in Later Life”, first published in 1994 (Barker, 1994).

28 The two RVC studies provided support that the same issues are relevant to cows. The
29 first found that the birth weights of newborn calves are influenced by the age and milk yield of
30 the dam during her pregnancy. Low birth weight calves averaging 32 ± 0.5 kg were more likely
31 to have had older dams (lactations 3-6) with higher peak yields (>42 kg/day) (Swali and

32 Wathes, 2006). This is, perhaps, not surprising as milk yields rise over the first three lactations
33 and the nutrient requirements for milk production will compete with those of the developing
34 calf. The second, more extensive, study found that there were huge variations in the early
35 growth rates of calves on UK farms which were linked to mortality rates, fertility as heifers
36 and subsequent milk production and longevity of the adult cow (Brickell et al. 2009; Cooke et
37 al. 2013). At the same time work from other countries also emphasized the importance of early
38 calf nutrition and disease exposure (in particular severe respiratory disease) to lifetime
39 performance (e.g. Bach, 2011; Heinrichs and Heinrichs 2011). These problems of young
40 heifers could be prevented by good management, but many studies have reported that few dairy
41 farmers keep good records of either growth rates or disease incidence in their dairy
42 replacements. This basic information is essential for developing an appropriate calf rearing
43 strategy on farm.

44 Kat Bazeley as a practicing veterinarian became interested in this research and took
45 steps to implement it by setting up a service which sent technicians onto commercial farms
46 with accurate weighing equipment so that growth monitoring and associated advice could be
47 provided. They collected over 8,000 weights over a 4 year period. Their findings are of key
48 importance as they support the initial research. Birth weights were very variable with a range
49 of 24-55 kg and birth weight had a significant positive correlation with subsequent weight
50 measurements (Bazeley et al. 2015). This suggests that animals cannot totally compensate
51 postnatally for restricted growth *in utero*. Calves in their first 30 d of life grew on average at
52 only 0.12 kg/d, even though animals of this age have a high feed conversion rate so can grow
53 very efficiently if provided with sufficient nutrients. In order to calve at the recommended age
54 of around 24 months, heifers need to reach a desired target weight for bulling of 374 kg by 420
55 days of age. In the lower performing herds monitored in the Bazeley study, the average growth
56 rate from birth to first calving was only 0.58 kg/d and fewer than 10% of heifers in these herds
57 reached the target bulling weight on time.

58 These results confirm that there is a valid concern that dairy heifers on some farms are
59 not receiving sufficient feed to fulfill their growth potential. Evidence from other studies
60 suggests that this both increases their likelihood of contracting disease and delays their age at
61 first calving and subsequent health and longevity. On the plus side, the study also shows that
62 veterinary practices can justifiably take a more proactive role in future by working with their
63 dairy clients to put in place sound plans to improve their heifer rearing systems. The money
64 spent by farmers to improve early growth rates and minimize disease through better

65 management practices should be recouped by a greater proportion of heifers reaching first
66 calving at the appropriate time and subsequently becoming more profitable cows which can
67 fulfill their genetic potential.

68 D Claire Wathes

69 *Department of Production and Population Health,*
70 *Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Lane, Hatfield, Herts, AL9 7TA, UK.*
71 *Email address: dcwathes@rvc.ac.uk*

72 **References**

- 73 Bach, A. 2011. Associations between several aspects of heifer development and dairy cow
74 survivability to second lactation. *Journal of Dairy Science* 94, 1052-1057.
- 75 Barker, D.J.P. 1994. Mothers, babies and disease in later life. BMJ Publishing Group,
76 London, UK.
- 77 Bazeley, K.J., Barrett, D.C., Williams, P.D, Reyher, K.K., 2015. Measuring the growth rate of
78 UK dairy heifers to improve future productivity. *The Veterinary Journal*.
- 79 Brickell, J.S., Bourne, N., McGowan, M.M., Wathes, D.C., 2009. Effect of growth and
80 development during the rearing period on the subsequent fertility of nulliparous
81 Holstein-Friesian heifers. *Theriogenology* **72**: 408-416.
- 82 Cooke, J.S., Cheng, Z., Bourne, N.E. Wathes, D.C., 2013. Association between growth rates,
83 age at first calving and subsequent fertility, milk production and survival in Holstein-
84 Friesian heifers. *Open Journal of Animal Sciences* 3, 1-12.
- 85 Heinrichs, A.J., Heinrichs, B.S., 2011. A prospective study of calf factors affecting first-
86 lactation and lifetime milk production and age of cows when removed from the herd.
87 *Journal of Dairy Science* 94, 336-341.
- 88 Swali, A, Wathes, D.C. 2006., Influence of the dam and sire on size at birth and subsequent
89 growth, milk production and fertility in dairy heifers. *Theriogenology* 66, 1173-1184.

90

91