Global Transcriptomic Profiling of Bovine Endometrial Immune Response *in vitro*. I. Effect of Lipopolysaccharide on Innate Immunity[1]

Chike F. Oguejiofor,[3] Zhangrui Cheng,[3] Ayimuguli Abudureyimu,[3,5] Ali A. Fouladi-Nashta,[4] and D. Claire Wathes[2,3]

[3]*Department of Production and Population Health, Royal Veterinary College, North Mymms, Hertfordshire, UK*

[4]*Department of Comparative Biomedical Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, North Mymms, Hertfordshire, UK*

[5]*Life Science and Engineering College, Northwest University for Nationalities, Lanzhou, China*

[1]Supported by the Commonwealth Scholarship Commission

[2]Correspondence: Claire Wathes, Department of Production and Population Health, Royal Veterinary College, North Mymms, Hatfield, Hertfordshire AL9 7TA, UK. E-mail: dcwathes@rvc.ac.uk

Running title: Profiling of Bovine Endometrial Response to LPS

Summary sentence: Bovine endometrial epithelial and stromal cells have essential roles in uterine innate immunity by altering the expression of an array of immune mediators in response to bacterial lipopolysaccharide.

Keywords: Endometrium; Gene expression; Bovine; Innate immunity; Uterine disease.

Conference presentations

- Presented in part at the 18th Annual Conference of the European Society of Domestic Animal Reproduction. 10-13 September 2014, Helsinki Finland.
- Presented in part at the World Congress of Reproductive Biology, 2-4 September 2014, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

The dysregulation of endometrial immune response to bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) has been implicated in uterine disease and infertility in the postpartum dairy cow although the mechanisms are not clear. Here we investigated whole-transcriptomic gene expression in primary cultures of mixed bovine epithelial and stromal endometrial cells. Cultures were exposed to LPS for 6 h and cellular response was measured by bovine microarray. Approximately 30% of the 1,006 genes altered by LPS were classified as being involved in immune response. Cytokines and chemokines (*IL1A*, *CX3CL1*, *CXCL2*, *CCL5*), IFN-stimulated genes (*RSAD2*, *MX2*, *OAS1*, *ISG15*, *BST2*) and the acute phase molecule *SAA3* were the most up-regulated genes. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis identified up-regulation of many inflammatory cytokines and chemokines which function to attract immune cells to the endometrium together with vascular adhesion molecules and matrix metalloproteinases which can facilitate immune cell migration from the tissue towards the uterine lumen. Increased expression of many IFN-signaling genes, immunoproteasomes, guanylate-binding proteins and genes involved in the intracellular recognition of pathogens suggests important roles of these molecules in the innate defense against bacterial infections. Our findings confirmed the important role of endometrial cells in uterine innate immunity while the global approach used identified several novel immune response pathways triggered by LPS in the endometrium. Additionally, many genes involved in endometrial response to the conceptus in early pregnancy were also altered by LPS, suggesting one mechanism whereby an on-going response to infection may interfere with the establishment of pregnancy.

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial contamination of the uterus is observed in almost all dairy cows within the first two weeks after calving [1, 2]. *Escherichia coli* and *Trueperella pyogenes* were most prevalent in cows with uterine disease followed by anaerobic bacteria such as *Fusobacterium*, *Prevotella* and

Bacteroides species [3, 4]. Infection with *E. coli* predominated in the first few days after calving and appeared to promote subsequent infection with other bacteria [5]. The endometrium constitutes the first line of defense against such pathogens by mounting an innate immune response to eliminate the bacterial contaminants during uterine involution [6]. Following placental separation the epithelium overlying the caruncles is eroded, exposing stromal as well as epithelial cells directly to the contents of the uterine lumen [7]. Similarly to specialized immune cells, endometrial epithelial and stromal cells also possess pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) including toll-like receptors (TLRs) which detect pathogen-associated molecules such as bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [8]. Activation of the TLRs initiates an inflammatory response typically characterized by the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, type I interferons (IFNs), chemokines and antimicrobial proteins to clear the infection [9]. Uterine immune function may, however, become compromised after calving, resulting in the development of inflammatory disease: clinical and subclinical endometritis are present in up to 20% and 30% of cows respectively [10, 11]. While a robust innate immune response is desirable to clear invading bacteria, the consequent inflammatory milieu may impair reproductive processes, predisposing affected cows to infertility and so resulting in significant economic losses [10, 12].

The mechanisms of bovine uterine infection and disease have been studied using bacteria or bacterial ligands based on *in vivo* [13] and *ex vivo* models [14]. LPS is the main glycolipid component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria that is capable of reproducing many of the features of an authentic Gram-negative bacterial infection [15]. Bovine endometrial epithelial and stromal cells express the TLR4/CD14/MD2 receptor complex required for recognition of LPS [14] and respond to LPS by increased expression of inflammatory mediators such as cytokines, chemokines, antimicrobial peptides and prostaglandins [8, 16, 17].

Studies to date of the endometrial immune response have largely been based on a candidate gene approach. There is need for a better understanding of all the internal signaling pathways involved so that the influences of other factors which may affect the ability of the uterus to clear an infection

successfully can be established, such as metabolic status and concurrent viral disease. Here, we utilized an *in vitro* model involving whole-transcriptomic profiling to examine the influence of bacterial LPS on bovine endometrial immune function. This provides a deeper understanding of uterine immune functions that can influence further investigations and therapeutic strategies. In a companion paper (Oguejiofor et al. submitted) the additional effect of an on-going viral infection with bovine virus diarrhea virus (BVDV) on the ability of the endometrium to respond to LPS is described.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bovine Endometrial Cell Culture

Fresh and apparently healthy bovine reproductive tracts from cows in the early luteal phase of the estrous cycle were obtained from the local abattoir. Primary mixed endometrial epithelial and stromal cell cultures were prepared based on methods described previously [17]. These contained both surface and glandular epithelium. Briefly, endometrial tissue was stripped off and chopped into 1 mm^3 followed by digestion for 90 min at 37°C in media containing 100 mg bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma), 50 mg trypsin III (Worthington) and 50 mg collagenase A (Roche) per 100 ml of Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS; Sigma). Digested tissue was filtered through 100 µm sterile cell strainers (BD Falcon) and then washed by re-suspending in HBSS containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; PAA) and 3 µg/ml of trypsin inhibitor (Sigma). After centrifugation at 100 x g and 10°C for 10 min, the cell sediment was re-suspended and the wash step repeated twice. Cell sediments were pooled together for each cow sample and cell count/cell viability evaluated by trypan blue exclusion (Sigma). The isolated mixed endometrial epithelial and stromal cells were re-suspended in growth media (GM) which comprised MEM (PAA) containing 10% FBS and 1% antibiotic solution (100 IU/ml penicillin + 100 µg/ml streptomycin; Sigma) and then allocated at 5 x 10^5 cells/well to sterile 24-well plates (Nunc).

Validation of Endometrial Cell Culture Model

Six separate batches of cells each obtained from an individual cow were used in the main experiment. These cultures were established on four different days but the same batches of reagents were used for all cultures to minimize variations in culture conditions. Endometrial cell cultures were validated using specific immunocytochemical staining as previously described [17]. Epithelial cells stained positive for cytokeratin, stromal cells for vimentin and immune cells (e.g. macrophages and granulocytes) for CD172. The primary monoclonal mouse antibodies used were: (i) anti-human cytokeratin- clone AE1/AE3 (Dako); (ii) anti-vimentin- clone V9 (Dako) or (iii) anti-CD172a (DH59B; Monoclonal Antibody Center VM&P, Washington State University, Pullman, USA). The relative proportions of each cell type after 8 days of culture were evaluated using image analysis software (ImageJ version 1.44; Research Services Branch, NIMH/NIH, Bethesda, USA). The stromal cells comprised 9.5% of the population present before LPS challenge (range 7-12% for cultures derived from n = 6 cows). There was negligible contamination with immune cells (< 0.001%). No endothelial cells were present based on morphological appearance although these were not specifically stained for.

Experimental Design

Cells were cultured in GM at 37ºC and 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator for 4 days changing the medium after 2 days. They were then divided into the 2 treatment groups CONT and CONT+LPS with 6 wells per treatment. The wells were replaced with 1 ml maintenance media (MM; the same as GM except using 5% FBS). The plates were incubated at 37ºC and 5% CO_2 for a further 4 days with the MM changed after 2 days. The culture media were discarded and the designated cultures treated with 100 ng/ml of ultra-pure LPS from *E. coli* 0111:B4 strain (Invivogen) in warm MM for 6 h. The culture media were removed prior to RNA isolation.

Endometrial Cell Viability Assay

Evaluation of bovine endometrial cell viability following exposure to LPS was performed using a CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega) following the supplied protocol. Briefly, about 50,000 mixed bovine endometrial epithelial and stromal cells were allocated per well in a 96-well plate and cultured at 37ºC and 5% CO_2 for 4 days in GM and another 4 days in MM. This was followed by exposure to 100 ng/ml LPS for 6 h for the specified wells before the cell viability assay was performed.

Isolation, Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis of RNA

Isolation of total RNA from the endometrial cell cultures was performed using the RNeasy Mini spin column method (Qiagen) following the protocol supplied. Isolated RNA was quantified using a ND-1000 NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies), showing a concentration of ≥ 230 ng/µl for all RNA samples and A260/A280 ratios within the range of 1.9 to 2.0. RNA integrity was further assessed using an Agilent 2200 TapeStation (Agilent Technologies). The RNA Integrity Numbers (RINs) for all samples were ≥ 9.

Microarray Hybridization and Analysis

Whole-transcriptomic gene expression of each sample was measured by Affymetrix Bovine Gene 1.1 ST 24-Array containing probes for 23,000 transcripts (Affymetrix). Microarray hybridization and scanning were performed by Edinburgh Genomics (The Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush, Midlothian, UK) using a one-round amplification (one-cycle target labeling) protocol using the GeneTitan instrument (Affymetrix) following their protocols (http://genomics.ed.ac.uk/resources/protocols). All arrays passed the GeneChip data quality assessment in the Affymetrix Expression Console.

Processing, normalization and further analysis of the microarray data were performed using RMA16 built in Genespring GX software version 12.5 (Agilent Technologies) using the annotation

files provided by the company which had annotation of over 95% of the probes. Differences in gene expression between the treatment groups of bovine endometrial cells that met the cut-off -1.2 ≤ Fold Change ≥ 1.2 were compared using repeated measures ANOVA and paired *t*-test with *P* values adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) method. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were considered significant based on the adjusted *P* value of < 0.05. A hierarchical cluster analysis of the DEG was performed showing a heat map of the overall gene expression pattern by treatment group (Suppl. Fig. S1).

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA)

Array data were analyzed with Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA; Qiagen, www.qiagen.com/ingenuity). The selected DEGs were uploaded onto IPA to map onto the genomic database (annotation) and to analyze the pathways, biological processes, networks and upstream regulators, etc. using gene symbol as IDs, fold changes and adjusted P values as observations. Both direct and indirect interactions between genes were considered.

Real-time RT-PCR Validation of Microarray Data

Following the microarray analysis, a total of 15 DEGs and 2 endogenous reference genes were selected for validation of array data by qRT-PCR (Table 1) using the methods established in our laboratory [18]. Specific oligonucleotide primers and target amplicons were designed for the selected genes using Primer3 version 4.0 [19] using reference sequence templates derived from GenBank database (NCBI). Primer specificity to target gene was evaluated using the web-based Primer-BLAST tool. Primers were first checked for optimum quality using OligoAnalyzer ver. 3.1 (Integrated DNA Technologies Inc., http://eu.idtdna.com/analyzer/applications/oligoanalyzer/) before they were synthesized by the manufacturer (Eurofins MWG Operon). The specificity of each primer pair was confirmed by PCR-gel electrophoresis. All qRT-PCR were initially optimized and then performed

using the CFX96 Real-Time Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad) with the KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Kit (Kapa Biosystems). For each sample, 1 µg total RNA was reverse-transcribed to complementary DNA (cDNA) using the GoScript Reverse Transcription (RT) System (Promega). All samples for each gene were run in duplicate in the same qRT-PCR assay using 5 µl cDNA sample (equivalent to 50 ng of reverse-transcribed RNA) together with the no template control (NTC) and ten known concentrations of the standard ranging from 1 x 10^{1} to 1 x 10^{-8} ng/ml. The mRNA expression values were calculated from the standard curves.

Normalization and Analysis of qRT-PCR Gene Expression Data

The expression of the endogenous reference genes under the experimental conditions was evaluated. Statistical analysis using linear mixed-effects model built in SPSS for Windows version 20 (IBM) showed that there were no significant differences of the mRNA expression of the two reference genes *RN18S1* and *ACTB* in bovine endometrial cells after exposure to LPS when compared to the control (data not shown). The mRNA expression values of the 15 selected genes were therefore normalized to *RN18S1* and *ACTB* by dividing the sample value for each gene with the corresponding sample normalization factor derived from geNorm version 3.4 [20].

Data from qRT-PCR were evaluated using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with randomized block design via a linear mixed effect model built in SPSS software package. For each gene analysis, the mean qRT-PCR expression values were derived from each duplicate sample, and then the normalized data were compared between the CONT and CONT+LPS treatment groups. Treatments were taken as fixed effect and cows as random effect. Results were considered significant when $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Exposure of the cultured endometrial cells to 100 ng/ml LPS for 6 h did not significantly alter the number of viable cells. The absorbance values at 490 mm in the cell viability assay were 2.0 ± 0.05

and 2.1 ± 0.05 in the CONT and CONT+LPS treatment groups respectively (mean ± SD of 4 samples per group). The number of detectable probes (22,024) and their mean expression values (6.72, range 4.2-13.4) were identical between CONT and CONT+LPS groups confirming that the LPS treatment did not bias the results by altering the number of expressed genes.

Effects of LPS on Bovine Endometrial Response

Exposure to bacterial LPS for 6 h significantly altered the expression of 1006 genes compared with the control. Of these 675 genes (67%) were up-regulated whereas 331 genes (33%) were down-regulated (see Suppl. Table S1 and Suppl. Fig. S1). From the list of all DEG, 919 genes were mapped and subsequently analyzed with IPA. The majority of the most up-regulated genes (12/16 with ≥ 4-fold increase) were associated with immune response, with five identified as being inducible by IFN (*RSAD2*, *MX2*, *OAS1Y*, *ISG15* and *BST2*) whereas the eight most down-regulated genes (≥ 1.5-fold decrease) had a wide range of different functions (Table 2).

Diseases and Functions

The DEGs induced by LPS in bovine endometrial cells were significantly ($P < 0.05$) associated with 62 categories of diseases and disorders, molecular and cellular functions, and physiological system development and functions. The top 10 most significant disease processes and biological functions identified, all P<0.001) , were inflammatory response, cellular growth and proliferation, hematological system development and function, cell death and survival, cellular function and maintenance, cellular movement, immune cell trafficking, cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, infectious disease and inflammatory disease (Table 3).

Canonical Pathways Analysis

Analysis with IPA identified 351 canonical pathways containing one or more genes whose expression was significantly altered by LPS treatment at $P<0.05$ in bovine endometrial cells. The 8 most significant (all $P<0.001$) are shown in Table 3 and Suppl. Table S2. Exposure to LPS up-regulated genes involved in the pathways for granulocyte adhesion and diapedesis, activation of interferon regulatory factor (IRF) by cytosolic pattern recognition receptors, IL-10 signaling, communication between innate and adaptive immune cells, IL-6 signaling, role of cytokines in mediating communication between immune cells, NF-kB signaling, and agranulocyte adhesion and diapedesis (see Supplemental Table 2 for the list of genes in each pathway).

Network Analysis

Analysis with IPA identified 25 network functions associated with the mapped 919 DEGs with differential expression induced by LPS. The top 12 networks, each containing ≥22 focus molecules, are listed in Table 3. Six of these networks related to immune function which were deemed most relevant to the experiment were selected for further evaluation.

Network 1 (score 43) contained 31 DEGs involved in infectious disease, antimicrobial response and inflammatory response (Fig. 1). LPS induced the activation of interferon regulatory transcription factor (IRF1) which in turn up-regulated the TNF-ligand cytokine *TNFSF10* and the IFN-inducible genes *IFIT1* and *1SG15*. The activation of the protein ligase transcription factor *TRIM25* was directly involved with the up-regulation of the tripartite motif factors (*TRIM 5*, *8*, *21* and *47*), ubiquitin-related factors (*UBE2l6*, *RNF125* and *RNF144*) and *ISG15*. There was also an increased expression of components of the poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) enzyme complex (*PARP8*, *9* and *14*).

Network 4 (score 32) contained 26 DEGs involved in cell death and survival, antigen presentation and cell-to-cell signaling and interaction (Fig. 2). In this network LPS induced an up-regulation of the I-kappa-B kinase (IKK) complex and the TNF receptor associated factor (*TRAF1*) which were associated with the activation of NF-kB−RELB transcription factor complex and its regulatory

molecule, *CYLD*. In turn, NF-kB activation had direct interactions with the increased expression of several TNF-associated apoptotic factors (*CFLAR*, *RIPK1*-3 and *BIRC3*) that modulate inflammatory signaling and immunity.

Network 5 (score 29) contained 24 DEGs involved in cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, inflammatory response and cellular function and maintenance (Fig. 3). LPS induced an up-regulation of members of the S100 family of proteins containing calcium-binding motifs (*S100A8*, *S100A9* and *S100A12*) which are known to have prominent roles in the regulation of inflammatory processes and immune response.

Network 7 (score 27) contained 23 DEGs also involved in cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, cellular movement, and hematological system development and function (Suppl. Fig. S2). LPS induced an increase in the expression of *TLR2* which indirectly triggered activation of the NF-kB−MAP3KB complex. In addition, the increased expression of *TLR2*, *IL1RL1* transmembrane receptor, *CD200* membrane glycoprotein immunoglobulin and *VDR* transcriptional regulatory factor all interacted with the down-regulation of IL18 and up-regulation of IL1A and a large number of chemokines (*IL8*, *CCL4*, *CCL20*, *CXCL2*, *-3*, *-10* & *-11*, and *CCL 3*, *-4*, *-11* & *-20*) involved in inflammatory and immune processes. *TLR4* expression did not, however, alter significantly following 6 h LPS treatment.

Network 10 (score of 24) contained 22 DEGs involved in developmental disorder, hereditary disorder and immunological disease (Suppl. Fig. S3). LPS induced an increase in IFNA signaling resulting in up-regulation of *IFNAR2* (a type I IFN receptor) and several IFN-inducible genes (*IFIT3*, *IFI35*, *IFIHI*, *IFI27* and *BST2*). The increase in IFNA signaling also had indirect interactions with the up-regulation of membrane-associated antigen transport factors (*TAP1* and *TAP2*) via the MHC class I complex, and the up-regulation of the immunoproteasome complex (*PSMB9*, *PSMB10* and *PSMA6*).

Network 11 (score 24) contained 22 DEGs involved in infectious disease, cellular function and maintenance, and inflammatory disease (Suppl. Fig. S4). This showed that the LPS induced increase in *IFNAR2* also indirectly interacted with the up-regulation of IFN-regulatory transcription factors (*IRF7* and *IRF9*), STAT1/STAT2-ISGF3 transcription activator complex, and IFN-inducible and antiviral factors (*RSAD2* and *DHX58*).

Upstream Regulator Analysis

The upstream analysis function of IPA was used to predict the activation state of upstream molecules and transcription factors that may be causing the observed changes in expression of the DEGs induced by LPS in bovine endometrial cells (Fig. 4). The top upstream regulators with predicted activation state ($P < 0.001$) were cytokines (TNF, IL1B and IL1A), transmembrane receptors (TLR3 and TLR4), receptor-adaptor proteins (TICAM1 and MYD88), transcription regulators (STAT3 and RELA), NF-kB complex, prostaglandin E2 and interferon alpha (Fig. 5).

Validation of Microarray Gene Expression Data by qRT-PCR

The results of the 15 DEGs selected for validation of array data by qRT-PCR are shown in Table 4). A comparison of the array and qRT-PCR data showed similar patterns of changes in gene expression between the CONT and CONT+LPS treatment groups.

DISCUSSION

For optimum financial returns, cows should conceive again within three months of calving. The postpartum cow must first undergo a period of uterine involution during which contaminating pathogens are eliminated and the disrupted uterine tissue repaired. A uterine inflammatory response is thus a normal component of involution but an increase in the expression of inflammatory genes and gene products is observed in cases of uterine disease and a significant number of these cows fail

to conceive [21,22]. Pregnancy requires a delicate balance between pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory molecules to maintain maternal immune system integrity, while preventing rejection of the embryo [23]. Therefore, TLR-mediated immune dysregulation in response to bacterial and viral ligands is capable of inducing adverse pregnancy outcomes [24].

The uteri of most cows are contaminated with bacteria and other pathogens after calving. Bacterial LPS is widely recognized to induce an innate immune response [15]. Here we report whole-transcriptomic gene expression in bovine endometrial cell cultures exposed to bacterial LPS for 6 h. The results support previous studies based on a candidate gene approach [16, 17, 25] and extend these by identifying a number of other important immune response pathways which are triggered by LPS in the endometrium. Approximately 30% (280 genes) of the genes whose expression were altered by LPS in this study are already known to be involved in inflammatory responses. The cultures contained very few immune cells (< 0.001%), indicating that the responses were generated by the epithelial and stromal cells themselves, although it was not possible to attribute the responses between these two cell types. The caruncular tissue is disrupted after calving allowing contaminant bacteria to have access to the sub-mucosal tissue layers. Thus, stromal cells may have important roles in initiating an innate immune response in addition to the surface epithelia and we included both cell types in our cultures with the stromal cells comprising about 10% of the cell population at the time of testing with LPS. Stromal cells are also known to secrete soluble growth factors that act on the epithelial cells to increase trans-epithelial resistance (TER) and barrier function [26] indicating that the interaction between both cells types has important physiologic roles.

Due to practical limitations imposed by microarray analysis, the response to LPS was only assessed at one time-point. The time of 6 h was chosen based on previous time course studies in endometrium. These showed increased expression of early response genes (e.g. *TNF*) which peaked by 1 h post-exposure to LPS, followed by intermediate response genes such as *IL1B*, *IL6* and *IL8* (which peaked by 3-6 h) and late response genes such as AMPs and *IFNB* which peaked by 12-24 h:

almost all the inflammatory gene changes had resolved to the baseline by 48 h after stimulation [17, 25]. The dose of 100 ng/ml LPS used was consistent with the range of endotoxin levels observed in the lochia of cows with uterine infection [5]. At this dose LPS did not compromise cellular integrity as observed from the cell viability assay.

LPS is recognized by TLR4 which forms a complex with myeloid differentiation factor 2 (MD2) on the cell surface, with the co-operation of additional proteins such as LPS-binding protein (LBP) and CD14 [27]. This triggers two downstream signaling pathways: (i) the MyD88-dependent pathway which activates NF-kB and MAPK signaling leading to the induction of inflammatory cytokines, and (ii) the TRIF-dependent pathway (MyD88-independent) leading to the induction of type I IFNs via IRF3 activation and inflammatory cytokines also via NF-kB activation [27]. Our data provide further evidence of the activation of the MyD88-independent pathway as LPS up-regulated the expression of several interferon regulatory factors (IRFs) and type I IFN-inducible genes (Fig. 1, Suppl. Figs. S3 and S4 and Suppl. Table S1). Bovine endometrial cells express the TLR4/CD14/MD2 receptor complex [14]. Our finding of increased *CD14* expression but no change in *TLR4* or *MyD88* expression after 6 h exposure to LPS is consistent with previous studies [17, 28]. In contrast, LPS up-regulated *TLR4* in another study utilizing only epithelial cell culture [25]. LPS was also shown previously to increase the expression of *TLR2* in bovine epithelial cells [25]. Here, we show that an LPS-induced increase in *TLR2* expression was linked to the activation of NF-kB−MAP3KB complex and the up-regulation of *IL1A* and many chemokines (Suppl. Fig. S2).

Apart from the membrane surface receptors, there is increasing evidence that LPS is recognized intracellularly in a TLR-independent manner, triggering activation of inflammatory caspases [29]. In this study, LPS up-regulated the expression of several molecules involved in the intracellular recognition of pathogens or their ligands including *IFIH1, MDA5*, *DEXH,* Asp-Glu-X-His) box polypeptide 58 (*DHX58*), eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2-alpha kinase 2 (*EIF2AK2*) and adenosine deaminase, RNA-specific (*ADAR*). The RIG-I like receptors (RLRs) IFIH1

and DHX58 are RNA helicases that have a major role in the cytosolic recognition of virus-derived RNA [30]. Recently, RLRs have also been implicated in the detection of intracellular bacteria or bacterial ligands [31]. The protein kinase EIF2AK2 is a cytosolic sensor of viral dsRNA but may also have an important role in bacterial immunity [32].

Activation of PRRs by LPS triggers an inflammatory response characterized by downstream signaling and transcription of both pro-inflammatory and chemotactic cytokines [9, 17]. These are pleiotropic proteins that regulate the cell death of inflammatory tissues, modify vascular endothelial permeability, recruit and activate inflammatory cells and induce the production of antimicrobial proteins and acute-phase proteins [9, 26]. Previously, the endometria of cows with uterine disease were observed to have increased mRNA expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokines *IL1A*, *IL1B*, *IL6* and *TNF* and chemokines *IL8* and *CXCL5* [33, 34]. The expression of these cytokines was also increased in endometrial cells stimulated with LPS *in vitro* [16, 17]. Our global approach identified a number of other pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines and their receptors such as *CCL5* and *CXCR4* (Suppl. Table S1). Indeed, *IL1A* and several chemokines (*CX3CL1*, *CXCL2*, *CCL5* and *CXCL3*) were among the genes most up-regulated by LPS (Table 2). Activation of PRR by the pathogen or its ligand initiates a series of signal transduction pathways via one or more of the Interferon Regulatory Factor (IRF) family of transcription factors leading to the expression of IFNs [35]. IFNs bind their cognate receptors, initiating signaling pathways that transcriptionally induce hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) [36]. Although the type I IFNs (IFNA and IFNB) are typically considered to be most important in the host antiviral immune response, they are also induced by almost all bacterial pathogens via the TLR4-TRIF pathway [37]. LPS up-regulated the expression of *IRF3* and *IFNB* in bovine endometrial cells [25] and in the present study several ISGs (*RSAD2*, *MX2*, *OAS1Y*, *ISG15*, and *BST2*) were among the genes up-regulated to the greatest extent by LPS (Table 2). In addition, LPS induced an increase in the expression of the type I IFN receptors *IFNAR1* and *IFNAR2* and several IRFs (*IRF1*, *IRF7* and *IRF9*) which were linked to the increased expression

of IFNA-inducible genes and other ISGs (*IFIT3*, *IFI35*, *IFIHI*, *IFI27*, *IFIT1*, *ISG15*, *RSAD2* and *DHX58*) (Suppl. Figs. S3 and S4). Of these, ISG15 is an ubiquitin-like modifier with antibacterial immune function [38] in addition to its antiviral activity and RSAD2 (viperin) is similarly thought to possess both antiviral and antibacterial activity [39]. These findings indicate a major role for type 1 IFNs in the elimination of pathogenic bacteria in the bovine endometrium.

The endometrium can produce a wide variety of other proteins which contribute to innate immune defence mechanisms and our study confirms that LPS can up-regulate many of these. Mucins form a physical and antimicrobial protective layer of mucus above the epithelial cells of mucosal surfaces including the female reproductive tract [40]. The expression of *MUC1* was significantly increased in the endometrium of cows with metritis and endometritis [22] and LPS up-regulated *MUC1* in bovine endometrial epithelial cells [8]. Here, the expression of *MUC13* was increased by LPS. Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) including members of the defensin family exhibit antimicrobial activity and are secreted by both epithelial and immune cells at the mucosal surface of the female reproductive tract [40], Previous studies have shown increased expression of *TAP, LAP, DEFB1* and *DEFB5* in endometrium of cows with severe inflammation or following LPS treatment in vitro [8, 17, 18, 21]. The effects on the expression of AMPs is a relatively late response (12-24 h), although, LPS had increased the expression *TAP* and *SLPI* by 6 h in the present study. S100A calcium-binding proteins have inflammatory and innate immune activity against pathogens [41, 42] and are also secreted into the extracellular space by activated or damaged cells where they function as damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP) proteins and trigger immune response by binding to PRRs [43]. Our previous work has shown that the endometrial expression of *S100A8*, *S100A9* and *S100A12* was markedly increased in cows with severe inflammation in the endometrium and was up-regulated by LPS [17, 18, 44]. Our present study confirmed these reports in addition to showing the increased expression of *S100A14* (Fig. 3). Acute phase proteins (APPs) are primarily synthesized by hepatocytes as part of the acute phase response (APR) to a variety of stimulants including trauma and

infection [45]. Concentrations of APPs such as haptoglobin *(HP)*, α1-acid glycoprotein and SAA3 in the plasma [46] and their endometrial expression were increased in postpartum cows undergoing inflammation and clearance of contaminating bacteria [47,48]. In this study, *SAA3* was the second most up-regulated gene by LPS with about 9-fold increase in expression (Table 2). The complement signaling cascade is another important immune defence mechanism that upon activation results in the opsonization of pathogens and their lysis or removal by phagocytes [49]. In this study, the expression of complement factors *C2* and *CFB* were up-regulated by LPS. LPS also increased expression of the guanylate-binding proteins *GBP2*, *GBP4* and *GBP5* which play a role in killing intracellular bacteria [50]. In addition, LPS increased the expression of immunoproteasomes including *PSMB9*, *PSMB10* and *PSMA6* (Suppl. Fig. S3). These process damaged proteins from pathogenic sources to amplify peptide (ligand) supply for MHC class I antigen presentation and promote innate immunity by reducing oxidative stress and regulating pro-inflammatory cytokines [51].

The results of this study also provide evidence that LPS can regulate many genes involved in tissue turnover and remodeling. This included up-regulation of genes involved in cell death including the caspases (*CASP*) *-3*, *-4*, *-7* and *-8)*, *RIPK1-3,* the anti-apoptotic factor *BIRC3* and FADDlike apoptosis regulator (*CFLAR*) (Fig. 2). A large number of vascular adhesion factors and cell to cell adhesion molecules were also up-regulated including *SELL*, *SELP*, *VCAM1*, *ICAM1*, *VCAN*, *ALOX12*, *ITGB6* and *FERMT1*. These have important functional roles in cell proliferation, tissue differentiation and leucocyte migration during inflammatory processes and immune response [52]. Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) can degrade the ECM and also process proteins such as cytokines and chemokines to regulate leukocyte recruitment and inflammation [53]. Here we showed increased expression of *MMP1*, *MMP3* and *MMP13* in response to LPS, supporting our previous in vivo and in vitro studies [17, 54]. LPS also increased the expression of hyaluronan 2 (*HAS2*) and the fibroblast growth factors *FGF2* and *FGF18*. HAS2 is a member of the HA family of ECM polysaccharides with a variety of functions including tissue repair and the activation of inflammation-

related genes such as TNF, IL12, IL1B, and MMPs [55]. FGF2 and FGF18 have potent angiogenic properties and FGF2 can promote leukocyte recruitment to inflammatory sites by enhancing the expression of endothelial adhesion molecules [56]. Many members of the IGF system are expressed in the postpartum bovine uterus, with *IGFBP4* expression influenced by the energy balance status [57] and *IGF1* and *IGFBP2* increased in the presence of clinical endometritis [22]. In this study, the expression of *IGF1* was decreased whereas *IGFBP1* was increased by LPS. These changes may negatively impact immune responses [58]. Several other immune-related cytokines were also altered by LPS. There was increased expression of leukemia inhibitory factor (*LIF*) and the colony-stimulating factors *CSF2* and *CSF3* whereas transforming growth factor, beta 2 (*TGFB2*) was down-regulated.

Dysregulation of endometrial prostaglandin (PG) production appears to play an important role in uterine disease and infertility. Postpartum uterine infection was associated with prolonged luteal cycles in dairy cows [59], suggesting an impairment of luteolytic mechanisms. Relatively high concentrations of PGE_2 [60] or PGE_2 and $PGF_{2\alpha}$ [61] were observed in the uterine fluid of cows with uterine disease. In addition, endometrial cells from cows with clinical endometritis secreted higher levels of PGE_2, $PGF_{2\alpha}$, and leukotrienes B4 and C4 [62]. $PGF_{2\alpha}$ and PGE_2 production in endometrial cells can be stimulated by pro-inflammatory cytokines and LPS [17, 63]. There is evidence from an *in vitro* study [14] that LPS can increase PGE_2 production to a greater extent than $PGF_{2\alpha}$ in both epithelial and stromal cells from bovine endometrium although we did not find a significant change in the PGE_2 to $PGF_{2\alpha}$ ratio in a previous experiment using mixed epithelial and stromal cells following 24h exposure to LPS [17]. Here we did not measure actual PG secretion but LPS increased the expression of the prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase *PTGS2*, the cytosolic phospholipase A2 (PLA2) enzyme *PLA2G4A* and its family receptor *PLA2R1*. PTGS2 is the rate-limiting enzyme for the conversion of arachidonic acid (AA) into PGH_2 [64] and its expression was also increased in the endometrium of postpartum cows with severe inflammation [18]. PLA2G4A catalyzes the release of

AA from membrane glycerophospholipids, another crucial step in PG biosynthesis [65]. In addition, LPS altered the expression of receptors that mediate the activity of PGE_2: *PTGER2* was up-regulated whereas *PTGER3* was down-regulated. This supports an increasing role for PGE_2 during inflammation.

The ruminant conceptus secretes the cytokine IFNT during expansion, a key factor in the maternal recognition of pregnancy [66]. This induces a period of immune activation in the endometrium caused by the expression of interferon response genes [23, 67]. A combined total of 2,218 genes from five different microarray studies were differentially expressed in the bovine endometrium on Days 15-20 of pregnancy [23,68-71]. Interestingly 286 of these genes (13%) were identified in the present study as being up-regulated by LPS including *MX2*, *BST2*, *RSAD2*, *ISG15*, *OAS1*, *USP18*, *SAMD9*, *PLAC8*, *MX1*, *LGALS9* and *GBP5*. Of these *SAMD9*, *PLAC8* and *LGALS9* were also up-regulated in early pregnancy. Other antimicrobial or immune-related genes such as peptidase inhibitor 3 (PI3) and HECT domain and RLD 5 (HERC5) were down-regulated in early pregnancy but up-regulated by LPS. On the other hand, some genes involved in regulation of growth and cell death such as caprin family member 2 (CAPRIN2) and growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, beta (GADD45B) were up-regulated in early pregnancy but down-regulated by LPS. This suggests one mechanism whereby an ongoing response to infection may interfere with the establishment of pregnancy.

In summary our findings confirmed and extended previous studies showing that bovine endometrial epithelial and stromal cells are important in uterine innate immunity by detecting bacterial LPS and initiating a wide array of inflammatory response signaling (Fig 6). While this is very important for the initial clearance of invading bacteria in the postpartum uterus, and serves as a vital link for the subsequent activation of an adaptive immune response, the consequential inflammatory activity may upset uterine function and constitute a hostile environment for on-going reproductive processes.

REFERENCES

1. Bondurant RH. Inflammation in the bovine female reproductive tract. J Anim Sci 1999; 77 Suppl 2:101-110.
2. Sheldon IM, Williams EJ, Miller AN, Nash DM, Herath S. Uterine diseases in cattle after parturition. Vet J 2008; 176:115-121.
3. Huszenicza G, Fodor M, Gacs M, Kulcsar M, Dohmen MJW, Vamos M, Porkolab L, Kegl T, Bartyik J, Lohuis J, Janosi S, Szita G. Uterine bacteriology, resumption of cyclic ovarian activity and fertility in postpartum cows kept in large-scale dairy herds. Reproduction in Domestic Animals 1999; 34:237-245.
4. Williams EJ, Fischer DP, Pfeiffer DU, England GC, Noakes DE, Dobson H, Sheldon IM. Clinical evaluation of postpartum vaginal mucus reflects uterine bacterial infection and the immune response in cattle. Theriogenology 2005; 63:102-117.
5. Dohmen MJ, Joop K, Sturk A, Bols PE, Lohuis JA. Relationship between intra-uterine bacterial contamination, endotoxin levels and the development of endometritis in postpartum cows with dystocia or retained placenta. Theriogenology 2000; 54:1019-1032.
6. Singh J, Murray RD, Mshelia G, Woldehiwet Z. The immune status of the bovine uterus during the peripartum period. Vet J 2008; 175:301-309.
7. Noakes D, Parkinson T, England G. The puerperium and the care of the newborn. In: Arthur's Veterinary Reproduction and Obstetrics, Eight ed. Edinburgh, UK: Saunders; 2001:189-202.
8. Davies D, Meade KG, Herath S, Eckersall PD, Gonzalez D, White JO, Conlan RS, O'Farrelly C, Sheldon IM. Toll-like receptor and antimicrobial peptide expression in the bovine endometrium. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2008; 6:53.
9. Takeuchi O, Akira S. Pattern recognition receptors and inflammation. Cell 2010; 140:805-820.

10. Sheldon IM, Cronin J, Goetze L, Donofrio G, Schuberth HJ. Defining postpartum uterine disease and the mechanisms of infection and immunity in the female reproductive tract in cattle. Biol Reprod 2009; 81:1025-1032.

11. LeBlanc SJ. Reproductive tract inflammatory disease in postpartum dairy cows. Animal 2014; 8 Suppl 1:54-63.

12. Gilbert RO. The effects of endometritis on the establishment of pregnancy in cattle. Reprod Fertil Dev 2012; 24:252-257.

13. Amos MR, Healey GD, Goldstone RJ, Mahan SM, Düvel A, Schuberth H-J, Sandra O, Zieger P, Dieuzy-Labaye I, Smith DGE, Sheldon IM. Differential Endometrial Cell Sensitivity to a cholesterol-dependent cytolysin links trueperella pyogenes to uterine disease in cattle. Biol Reprod 2014; 90:1-13.

14. Herath S, Lilly ST, Fischer DP, Williams EJ, Dobson H, Bryant CE, Sheldon IM. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide induces an endocrine switch from prostaglandin F2alpha to prostaglandin E2 in bovine endometrium. Endocrinology 2009; 150:1912-1920.

15. Beutler B, Hoebe K, Du X, Ulevitch RJ. How we detect microbes and respond to them: the Toll-like receptors and their transducers. J Leukoc Biol 2003; 74:479-485.

16. Cronin JG, Turner ML, Goetze L, Bryant CE, Sheldon IM. Toll-like receptor 4 and MYD88-dependent signaling mechanisms of the innate immune system are essential for the response to lipopolysaccharide by epithelial and stromal cells of the bovine endometrium. Biol Reprod 2012; 86:51.

17. Swangchan-Uthai T, Lavender CR, Cheng Z, Fouladi-Nashta AA, Wathes DC. Time course of defense mechanisms in bovine endometrium in response to lipopolysaccharide. Biol Reprod 2012; 87:135.

18. Wathes DC, Cheng Z, Chowdhury W, Fenwick MA, Fitzpatrick R, Morris DG, Patton J, Murphy JJ. Negative energy balance alters global gene expression and immune responses in the uterus of postpartum dairy cows. Physiol Genomics 2009; 39:1-13.

19. Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG. Primer3--new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res 2012; 40:e115.

20. Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, Speleman F. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. Genome Biol 2002; 3:34.31-34.11.

21. Chapwanya A, Meade KG, Doherty ML, Callanan JJ, Mee JF, O'Farrelly C. Histopathological and molecular evaluation of Holstein-Friesian cows postpartum: toward an improved understanding of uterine innate immunity. Theriogenology 2009; 71:1396-1407.

22. Kasimanickam R, Kasimanickam V, Kastelic JP. Mucin 1 and cytokines mRNA in endometrium of dairy cows with postpartum uterine disease or repeat breeding. Theriogenology 2014; 81:952-958 e952.

23. Walker CG, Meier S, Littlejohn MD, Lehnert K, Roche JR, Mitchell MD. Modulation of the maternal immune system by the pre-implantation embryo. BMC Genomics 2010; 11:474.

24. Thaxton JE, Romero R, Sharma S. TLR9 activation coupled to IL-10 deficiency induces adverse pregnancy outcomes. J Immunol 2009; 183:1144-1154.

25. Fu Y, Liu B, Feng X, Liu Z, Liang D, Li F, Li D, Cao Y, Feng S, Zhang X, Zhang N, Yang Z. Lipopolysaccharide increases Toll-like receptor 4 and downstream Toll-like receptor signaling molecules expression in bovine endometrial epithelial cells. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 2013; 151:20-27.

26. Wira CR, Fahey JV, Sentman CL, Pioli PA, Shen L. Innate and adaptive immunity in female genital tract: cellular responses and interactions. Immunol Rev 2005; 206:306-335.

27. Kawai T, Akira S. The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity: update on Toll-like receptors. Nat Immunol 2010; 11:373-384.

28. Herath S, Fischer DP, Werling D, Williams EJ, Lilly ST, Dobson H, Bryant CE, Sheldon IM. Expression and function of Toll-like receptor 4 in the endometrial cells of the uterus. Endocrinology 2006; 147:562-570.

29. Kayagaki N, Wong MT, Stowe IB, Ramani SR, Gonzalez LC, Akashi-Takamura S, Miyake K, Zhang J, Lee WP, Muszynski A, Forsberg LS, Carlson RW, et al. Noncanonical inflammasome activation by intracellular LPS independent of TLR4. Science 2013; 341:1246-1249.

30. Dixit E, Kagan JC. Intracellular pathogen detection by RIG-I-like receptors. Adv Immunol 2013; 117:99-125.

31. Schmolke M, Patel JR, de Castro E, Sanchez-Aparicio MT, Uccellini MB, Miller JC, Manicassamy B, Satoh T, Kawai T, Akira S, Merad M, Garcia-Sastre A. RIG-I detects mRNA of intracellular Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium during bacterial infection. MBio 2014; 5:e01006-01014.

32. Bleiblo F, Michael P, Brabant D, Ramana CV, Tai T, Saleh M, Parrillo JE, Kumar A. JAK kinases are required for the bacterial RNA and poly I:C induced tyrosine phosphorylation of PKR. Int J Clin Exp Med 2013; 6:16-25.

33. Herath S, Lilly ST, Santos NR, Gilbert RO, Goetze L, Bryant CE, White JO, Cronin J, Sheldon IM. Expression of genes associated with immunity in the endometrium of cattle with disparate postpartum uterine disease and fertility. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2009; 7:55.

34. Fischer C, Drillich M, Odau S, Heuwieser W, Einspanier R, Gabler C. Selected pro-inflammatory factor transcripts in bovine endometrial epithelial cells are regulated during the oestrous cycle and elevated in case of subclinical or clinical endometritis. Reprod Fertil Dev 2010; 22:818-829.

35. Hertzog PJ, Williams BRG. Fine tuning type I interferon responses. Cytokine & Growth Factor Reviews 2013; 24:217-225.

36. Schoggins JW, Rice CM. Interferon-stimulated genes and their antiviral effector functions. Curr Opin Virol 2011; 1:519-525.

37. Monroe KM, McWhirter SM, Vance RE. Induction of type I interferons by bacteria. Cell Microbiol 2010; 12:881-890.

38. Fan JB, Zhang DE. ISG15 regulates IFN-gamma immunity in human mycobacterial disease. Cell Res 2013; 23:173-175.

39. Severa M, Coccia EM, Fitzgerald KA. Toll-like receptor-dependent and -independent viperin gene expression and counter-regulation by PRDI-binding Factor-1/BLIMP1. J Biol Chem 2006; 281:26188-26195.

40. Hickey DK, Patel MV, Fahey JV, Wira CR. Innate and adaptive immunity at mucosal surfaces of the female reproductive tract: stratification and integration of immune protection against the transmission of sexually transmitted infections. J Reprod Immunol 2011; 88:185-194.

41. Foell D, Wittkowski H, Kessel C, Luken A, Weinhage T, Varga G, Vogl T, Wirth T, Viemann D, Bjork P, van Zoelen MA, Gohar F, et al. Proinflammatory S100A12 can activate human monocytes via Toll-like receptor 4. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013; 187:1324-1334.

42. Damo SM, Kehl-Fie TE, Sugitani N, Holt ME, Rathi S, Murphy WJ, Zhang Y, Betz C, Hench L, Fritz G, Skaar EP, Chazin WJ. Molecular basis for manganese sequestration by calprotectin and roles in the innate immune response to invading bacterial pathogens. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013; 110:3841-3846.

43. Foell D, Wittkowski H, Vogl T, Roth J. S100 proteins expressed in phagocytes: a novel group of damage-associated molecular pattern molecules. J Leukoc Biol 2007; 81:28-37.

44. Swangchan-Uthai T, Chen Q, Kirton SE, Fenwick MA, Cheng Z, Patton J, Fouladi-Nashta AA, Wathes DC. Influence of energy balance on the antimicrobial peptides S100A8 and S100A9 in the endometrium of the post-partum dairy cow. Reproduction 2013; 145:527-539.

45. Cray C, Zaias J, Altman NH. Acute phase response in animals: a review. Comp Med 2009; 59:517-526.

46. Sheldon IM, Noakes DE, Rycroft A, Dobson H. Acute phase protein responses to uterine bacterial contamination in caftle after calving. Vet Rec 2001; 148:172-175.

47. Chapwanya A, Meade KG, Foley C, Narciandi F, Evans AC, Doherty ML, Callanan JJ, O'Farrelly C. The postpartum endometrial inflammatory response: a normal physiological event with potential implications for bovine fertility. Reprod Fertil Dev 2012; 24:1028-1039.

48. Chapwanya A, Meade KG, Doherty ML, Callanan JJ, O'Farrelly C. Endometrial epithelial cells are potent producers of tracheal antimicrobial peptide and serum amyloid A3 gene expression in response to E. coli stimulation. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 2013; 151:157-162.

49. Sarma JV, Ward PA. The complement system. Cell Tissue Res 2011; 343:227-235.

50. Kim B-H, Shenoy AR, Kumar P, Das R, Tiwari S, MacMicking JD. A Family of IFN-γ–Inducible 65-kD GTPases Protects Against Bacterial Infection. Science 2011; 332:717-721.

51. Kruger E, Kloetzel PM. Immunoproteasomes at the interface of innate and adaptive immune responses: two faces of one enzyme. Curr Opin Immunol 2012; 24:77-83.

52. Madri JA, Graesser D. Cell migration in the immune system: the evolving inter-related roles of adhesion molecules and proteinases. Dev Immunol 2000; 7:103-116.

53. Van Lint P, Libert C. Chemokine and cytokine processing by matrix metalloproteinases and its effect on leukocyte migration and inflammation. J Leukoc Biol 2007; 82:1375-1381.

54. Wathes DC, Cheng Z, Fenwick MA, Fitzpatrick R, Patton J. Influence of energy balance on the somatotrophic axis and matrix metalloproteinase expression in the endometrium of the postpartum dairy cow. Reproduction 2011; 141:269-281.

55. Petrey AC, de la Motte CA. Hyaluronan, a crucial regulator of inflammation. Front Immunol 2014; 5:101.

56. Zittermann SI, Issekutz AC. Basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF, FGF-2) potentiates leukocyte recruitment to inflammation by enhancing endothelial adhesion molecule expression. Am J Pathol 2006; 168:835-846.

57. Llewellyn S, Fitzpatrick R, Kenny DA, Patton J, Wathes DC. Endometrial expression of the insulin-like growth factor system during uterine involution in the postpartum dairy cow. Domest Anim Endocrinol 2008; 34:391-402.

58. Baudler S, Baumgartl J, Hampel B, Buch T, Waisman A, Snapper CM, Krone W, Bruning JC. Insulin-like growth factor-1 controls type 2 T cell-independent B cell response. J Immunol 2005; 174:5516-5525.

59. Opsomer G, Grohn YT, Hertl J, Coryn M, Deluyker H, de Kruif A. Risk factors for post partum ovarian dysfunction in high producing dairy cows in Belgium: a field study. Theriogenology 2000; 53:841-857.

60. Mateus L, Lopes da Costa L, Diniz P, Ziecik AJ. Relationship between endotoxin and prostaglandin (PGE2 and PGFM) concentrations and ovarian function in dairy cows with puerperal endometritis. Anim Reprod Sci 2003; 76:143-154.

61. Manns JG, Nkuuhe JR, Bristol F. Prostaglandin concentrations in uterine fluid of cows with pyometra. Can J Comp Med 1985; 49:436-438.

62. Baranski W, Lukasik K, Skarzynski D, Sztachanska M, Zdunczyk S, Janowski T. Secretion of prostaglandins and leukotrienes by endometrial cells in cows with subclinical and clinical endometritis. Theriogenology 2013; 80:766-772.

63. Leung ST, Cheng Z, Sheldrick EL, Derecka K, Flint AP, Wathes DC. The effects of lipopolysaccharide and interleukins-1alpha, -2 and -6 on oxytocin receptor expression and prostaglandin production in bovine endometrium. J Endocrinol 2001; 168:497-508.

64. Parent J, Villeneuve C, Fortier MA. Evaluation of the contribution of cyclooxygenase 1 and cyclooxygenase 2 to the production of PGE2 and PGF2 alpha in epithelial cells from bovine endometrium. Reproduction 2003; 126:539-547.

65. Tithof PK, Roberts MP, Guan W, Elgayyar M, Godkin JD. Distinct phospholipase A2 enzymes regulate prostaglandin E2 and F2alpha production by bovine endometrial epithelial cells. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2007; 5:16.

66. Roberts RM, Ezashi T, Rosenfeld CS, Ealy AD, Kubisch HM. Evolution of the interferon tau genes and their promoters, and maternal-trophoblast interactions in control of their expression. Reprod Suppl 2003; 61:239-251.

67. Hansen PJ. The immunology of early pregnancy in farm animals. Reprod Domest Anim 2011; 46 Suppl 3:18-30.

68. Klein C, Bauersachs S, Ulbrich SE, Einspanier R, Meyer HH, Schmidt SE, Reichenbach HD, Vermehren M, Sinowatz F, Blum H, Wolf E. Monozygotic twin model reveals novel embryo-induced transcriptome changes of bovine endometrium in the preattachment period. Biol Reprod 2006; 74:253-264.

69. Mansouri-Attia N, Aubert J, Reinaud P, Giraud-Delville C, Taghouti G, Galio L, Everts RE, Degrelle S, Richard C, Hue I, Yang X, Tian XC, et al. Gene expression profiles of bovine caruncular and intercaruncular endometrium at implantation. Physiol Genomics 2009; 39:14-27.

70. Forde N, Carter F, Spencer TE, Bazer FW, Sandra O, Mansouri-Attia N, Okumu LA, McGettigan PA, Mehta JP, McBride R, O'Gaora P, Roche JF, et al. Conceptus-induced changes in the endometrial transcriptome: how soon does the cow know she is pregnant? Biol Reprod 2011; 85:144-156.

71. Bauersachs S, Ulbrich SE, Reichenbach HD, Reichenbach M, Buttner M, Meyer HH, Spencer TE, Minten M, Sax G, Winter G, Wolf E. Comparison of the effects of early pregnancy with human interferon, alpha 2 (IFNA2), on gene expression in bovine endometrium. Biol Reprod 2012; 86:46.

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIG. 1. IPA network 1. The genes significantly altered by LPS treatment in bovine endometrial cells were identified in networks involved in infectious disease, antimicrobial response, and inflammatory response (Score = 40 with 29 focus molecules). The network describes the biological relationship between the DEGs as either a direct interaction (solid line) or an indirect interaction (dashed line). The intensity of the color indicates the level of up-regulation (red) or down-regulation (green) of the respective molecules.

FIG. 2. IPA network 4. The genes altered by LPS treatment in bovine endometrial cells were identified in networks involved in cell death and survival, antigen presentation, and cell-to-cell signaling and interaction (Score = 32 with 26 focus molecules). See Fig. 1 for explanation of symbols.

FIG. 3. IPA network 5. The genes altered by LPS treatment in bovine endometrial cells were identified in networks involved in cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, inflammatory response, and cellular function and maintenance (Score = 29 with 24 focus molecules). See Fig. 1 for explanation of symbols.

FIG. 4. IPA analysis of the genes altered by LPS in bovine endometrial cells showing the predicted activation of LPS upstream regulator.

FIG. 5. IPA analysis of the genes altered by LPS in bovine endometrial cells showing the predicted activation of interferon alpha upstream regulator. IFNA is a type I IFN group factor.

FIG. 6. Summary of the innate immune response in bovine endometrial cells exposed to bacterial LPS. TLR4 recognizes LPS on the cell surface in association with the co-receptor MD2 and additional proteins such as CD14 and LPS-binding protein. This triggers downstream signaling leading to the induction of type I IFNs and inflammatory cytokines [27]. LPS treatment for 6 h up-regulated the mRNA expression of many genes involved in inflammatory and innate immune response. *Genes down-regulated by LPS. ECM: extracellular matrix

TABLE 1. Genes selected for quantitative RT-PCR validation of microarray data.

Gene symbol	Primer Direction	Primer Sequence 5'→3'	Product size (bp)	GenBank Accession No.
BST2	Forward	TGATCTACTTCGCTGTCATTGC	202	XM_002688577.3
	Reverse	TGGGTCTGTTCCTTCTTCAGAG		
AMIGO2	Forward	ACACTAGGCACTTCCATCAGGT	163	NM_001205786.1
	Reverse	GTATTTGCCCTACCAGTCTTGC		
C3	Forward	TGCAGGATTTCTTTATCGACCT	194	NM_001040469.2
	Reverse	GGCTGGGATTGTTATAGTCTGC		
CCL5	Forward	CTGCTGCTTTGCCTATATCTCC	159	NM_175827.2
	Reverse	ATGTACTCTCGCACCCACTTCT		
CX3CL1	Forward	CTGTCCTCTGCCATTTGGTT	198	XM_595523.6
	Reverse	CCTTTGGGTCAGCACAGAAT		
GBP5	Forward	CCATTGTCTCTTCATTCAGCAG	228	NM_001075746.1
	Reverse	AGACTTTCCATCAGCCTTTGTG		
IL1A	Forward	TGGATACCTCGGAAACCTCTAA	199	NM_174092.1
	Reverse	CTCTGGAAGCTGTAATGTGCTG		
ISG15	Forward	AGAAGATCAATGTGCCTGCTTT	161	NM_174366.1
	Reverse	CTTGTCGTTCCTCACCAGGAT		
PTGES	Forward	AAGTGAGGCTGCGGAAGAAG	162	NM_174443.2
	Reverse	AGTAGACAAAGCCCAGGAACAG		
MX2	Forward	AAAGTACATCCAGAGGCAGGAG	214	NM_173941.2
	Reverse	GCCCTTCTTGAGATGATAGGTG		
RND1	Forward	CAGATGTAAGCTCGTTCTGGTG	152	NM_001046016.1
	Reverse	GCTCCACTCTCTGTTCCTCTGT		
RSAD2	Forward	TATGCGCTTCCTGAACTGTAGA	150	NM_001045941.1
	Reverse	AGGTCTGCTTTGCTCCATACAT		
STAT1	Forward	CTCATTTGTGGTGGAAAGACAG	231	NM_001077900.1
	Reverse	ATGTTCATCACCTTCGTGTGAG		
TRIM56	Forward	CCGTGGATAAGAAAGGCTACAT	173	NM_001206574.1
	Reverse	GTTACTGAGGGACACGACCAG		
VCAM1	Forward	CCATTTGAAAGGCTGGAGATAG	207	NM_174484.1
	Reverse	TTTCCTTACTTTGGGTGGAGAA		
RN18S1	Forward	CGGCGACGACCCATTCGAAC	99	NR_036642.1
	Reverse	GAATCGAACCCTGATTCCCCGTC		
ACTB	Forward	GAAATCGTCCGTGACATCAA	182	NM_173979.3
	Reverse	AGGAAGGAAGGCTGGAAGAG		

TABLE 2. Genes whose expression was most altered by LPS treatment in bovine endometrial cells.+

Gene Symbol	FC in expression	Function
Top up-regulated (≥ 4-fold increase)		
RSAD2	9.7**	Interferon inducible, immune response
SAA3	8.5***	Acute phase response, inflammatory response
CX3CL1	7.0***	Chemokine activity, immune response
MX2	7.0*	Interferon inducible, GTPase activity
IL1A	6.9**	Cytokine factor, immune response
CXCL2	6.8**	Chemokine activity, immune response
CCL5	6.2**	Chemokine activity, immune response
CXCL3	6.0**	Chemokine activity, immune response
HS3ST1	5.6***	Biosynthesis of heparan sulfate
BCL2A1	5.1**	Anti-apoptotic inflammatory regulator
NPPC	5.0**	Natriuretic peptide hormone
OAS1Y	4.9*	Interferon inducible, immune response
ISG15	4.6*	Interferon inducible, protein modification
BST2	4.1**	Interferon inducible, signal transduction
USP18	4.1*	Protein modification, ISG15-specific protease
RND1	4.0***	GTPase activity
Top down-regulated (≥ 1.5-fold decrease)		
HECW1	1.6**	Protein modification
NPPB	1.5*	Natriuresis, cardiovascular homeostasis
CYP2C87	1.5**	Cytochromes P450 enzyme activity
FGFR2	1.5***	Fibroblast growth factor mediator
MID1IP1	1.5**	Regulation of lipogenesis in liver
PTGER3	1.5**	Mediates the activity of prostaglandin E2
PTPDC1	1.5**	Signal transduction
DGAT2	1.5**	Synthesis of intracellular triglycerides

+Microarray analysis showing the fold change (FC) in gene expression based on BH-adjusted *P* value: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; paired *t*-test

TABLE 3. Ingenuity Pathway network analysis associated with the genes significantly altered in bovine endometrial cells treated with LPS for 6 h showing: (1) the top 10 disease processes and biological functions; (2) the top 8 canonical pathways and (3) the top 12 network functions.

P value	No. genes	Disease processes and biological functions
< 0.001	280	Inflammatory response
< 0.001	413	Cellular growth and proliferation
< 0.001	295	Hematological system development and function
< 0.001	388	Cell death and survival
< 0.001	229	Cellular function and maintenance
< 0.001	278	Cellular movement
< 0.001	190	Immune cell trafficking
< 0.001	227	Cell-to-cell signaling and interaction
< 0.001	233	Infectious disease
< 0.001	217	Inflammatory disease
P value	Ratio*	Canonical pathways
< 0.001	38/182	Granulocyte adhesion and diapedesis
< 0.001	20/73	Activation of IRF by cytosolic PRRs
< 0.001	24/78	IL-10 signaling
< 0.001	21/112	Communication between innate and adaptive immune cells
< 0.001	30/124	IL-6 signaling
< 0.001	16/55	Role of cytokines in mediating communication between immune cells
< 0.001	33/181	NF-kB signaling
< 0.001	35/192	Agranulocyte adhesion and diapedesis
Score#	Focus Molecules	Network+
43#	31	1. Infectious disease, antimicrobial response, inflammatory response
43	31	2. Gene expression, embryonic development, organismal development
37	28	3. Molecular transport, lipid metabolism, small molecule biochemistry
32#	26	4. Cell death and survival, antigen presentation, cell-to-cell signaling and interaction
29#	24	5. Cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, inflammatory response, cellular function and maintenance
28	24	6. Cell death and survival, drug metabolism, small molecule biochemistry
27#	23	7. Cell-to-cell signaling & interaction, cellular movement, hematological system development and function
25	22	8. Cellular function and maintenance, molecular transport, hereditary disorder
25	22	9. Cell death and survival, immunological disease, inflammatory disease

24[#]	22	10. Developmental disorder, hereditary disorder, immunological disease
24[#]	22	11. Infectious disease, cellular function and maintenance, inflammatory disease
12	24	12. Cell morphology, digestive system development and function, endocrine system development and function

[*]The number of genes in the list of DEGs that participate in the canonical pathway divided by the total number of genes that are known to be associated with the pathway in the Ingenuity knowledge base.

[+]A limit of 35 genes was set for each generated network. The networks were scored based on the number of the network-eligible molecules that were present in the list of DEGs. A higher network score corresponds to a lower probability of finding the observed number of the DEGs in a given network by chance.

[#]Networks associated with a known immunological function which were selected for further evaluation.

TABLE 4. Quantitative Reverse Transcription-PCR analysis of selected genes for the validation of microarray data.

Gene	CONT[+]	CONT+LPS[+]	FC qPCR FC[#]	FC Microarray[#]
Up-regulated				
BST2	0.5 ± 0.28	2.7 ± 1.39	5.4*	4.1**
CCL5	1.6 ± 0.89	15.4 ± 6.59	9.6**	6.2**
CX3CL1	5.0 ± 1.68	62.3 ± 11.2	12.5***	7.0***
GBP5	0.4 ± 0.08	2.6 ± 0.99	6.5**	3.2*
IL1A	14 ± 3.07	122 ± 10.4	8.7***	6.9**
ISG15	1.1 ± 0.18	23.7 ± 9.59	21.6**	4.6*
MX2	2.3 ± 1.06	4.5 ± 1.25	2.0	7.0*
RND1	6.0 ± 1.04	21.5 ± 1.47	3.6***	4.0***
RSAD2	0.4 ± 0.13	9 ± 3.86	22.5**	9.7**
VCAM1	2.8 ± 0.84	6.2 ± 2.06	2.2*	3.0**
STAT1	9.5 ± 4.16	5.9 ± 1.47	0.6 (NS)	1.3* (up)
Down-regulated				
AMIGO2	7.3 ± 3.35	2.7 ± 0.78	2.7(*)	1.4***
No change				
C3	1.9 ± 0.24	2.2 ± 0.46	1.2	1.1
PTGES	2.0 ± 0.42	2.7 ± 0.40	1.4	1.0
TRIM56	0.8 ± 0.14	0.8 ± 0.15	1.0	1.1

[+]Gene expression values represent mean ± SEM (n = 6) in arbitrary units after normalization to *RN18S1* and *ACTB*.

[#]FC: fold change in expression; qPCR comparison by linear mixed-effects model; microarray comparison by paired *t*-test, BH-adjusted. (*)P<0.1, *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, NS: not significant.


Legends
Complex/Group
Cytokine/Growth factor
Enzyme
Function
G-protein Counpled Receptor
Ion Channel
Kinase
Peptidase
MicrRNA
Transmembrane Receptor
Transporter
Direct interaction
Indirect interaction
PARP8
SLC5A5
NFKBIB
PARP14
cytochrome C
DTX3L
XRCC1
PARP
RNF114
PARP9
XAF1
SLC5A8
TNFSF10
ZC3HAV1
EVI2A
NUB1
IRF1
MOV10
IFIT1
TRIM21
TRIM5
ZC3H12A
ISG15
TRIM25
MTORC2
DMXL2
TRIM8
TRIM47
RNF144A
UBE2L6
CYFIP2
Rac
RNF144B
NAMPT
RNF125

FIG. 1.


TCN1
SDPR
FAM127C
ACSS1
SLCO4A1
TRIM37
ADRB
LPIN1
SLC9B2
TRAF1
Aldose Reductase
RIPK2
NR4A3
Proinsulin
IKK (complex)
Calmodulin
CFLAR
GOT
BIRC3
Pde
CYLD
RIPK1
NfkB2-RelB
NR4A2
RIPK3
NFKB2
PDE4B
DNAJA1
RELB
PTX3
ZBP1
COMMD2
ADAR
MORC3
RNase A

FIG. 2.


ADAM33
BNIP3
mir-196
PAPSS2
STEAP2
S100A9
Ecm
ADAMTS4
Alpha catenin
IL17R
ADAMTS9
ADAMTS1
IL-17f dimer
S100A8
BACH2
PATZ1
CXCL6
NFKBIZ
S100
S100A12
S100A14
VCAN
NFE2L3
TRIM38
MAFF
Igfbp
ERK
KSR2
Il12 receptor
SORT1
SMAD1/5
Igf
BATF2
SLC4A7
SERCA

FIG. 3.


Extracellular Space
Other
Plasma Membrane
Cytoplasm
Nucleus
lipopolysaccharide

FIG. 4.


Extracellular Space
Other
Plasma Membrane
Cytoplasm
Nucleus
Interferon alpha
CCL2
IL1RN
IL1B
CSF2
IL6
PTHLH
TNFSF10
TNF
CXCL10
TNFSF13B
IL8
CCL5
MMP3
PLAT
CCL3
ISG15
CXCL2
IFNAR1
SLC5A1
VCAM1
IL18R1
FAS
ICAM1
CD83
ZC3HAV1
TGM1
IL6ST
TLR2
CD40
IFNAR2
RIPK1
PSMB9
CXCL11
DHX58
RNASEL
NOS2
CYP3A4
IKBKE
BCL2A1
PNPT1
USP18
IFIT1
UBE2L6
NFKBIA
PTGS2
TRIM5
EIF2AK2
TAP1
RSAD2
IFI27
SOCS1
S100A9
MX1
IFIT3
CASP3
ZBP1
SP100
IFIH1
NFE2L3
STAT1
IRF7
MX2
TRIM21
IRF1
IFI35
ADAR
IRF9
CASP8
GBP2

FIG. 5.


LPS
CD14
MD2
TLR4
Secreted factors
Leucocyte recruitment
IL1A
IL1B
IL6
TNF
IL23A
IL33
IL36A-B
IL37
IL18*
IL1RL(1,2)
IL1RN
IL6ST
IL36RN
TNFSF13B
IL8
CXCL2
CXCL3
CXCL6
CXCL10
CXCL11
CX3CL1
CCL2-5
CCL11
CCL20
CXCR4
CCRL2
SELL
SELP
VICAM1
ICAM1
VCAN
ALOX12
ITGB6
TNFAIP6
FERMT1
MMP1
MMP3
MMP13
HAS2
FGF-2, -18
CSF3
CSF2
IL19
IL23A
GBP2
GBP4
GBP5
SAMD9
SAA3
C2
CFB
IFIT1
IFIT3
IFI27
IFI35
ISG15
RSAD2
MX1
MX2
OAS1
BST2
HERC5
HERC6
USP18
IFNAR(1,2)
CASP3
CASP4
CASP7
CASP8
CFLAR
RIPK-(1-3)
BIRC3
FAS
TNFSF10
NLRP3
BCL2A1
XAF1
PML
PARP14
DTX3L
MUC13
TAP
SLPI
S100A8
S100A9
S100A12
S100A14
IL19
IL23A
LIF
TGFB2*
PSMB9
PSMB10
PSMA6
TLR2
CD14
NF-Kb
MAPK
STAT1
IRF(1,7,9)
IFIH1
EIF2AK2
DHX58
ADAR
Chemokines
Inflammatory cytokines
Adhesion factors
ECM & Growth factors
Colony-stimulating factors
Inflammatory factors
Acute phase response
Complement
Interferon response
Cell death/survival
Antimicrobial factors
Cytokines
Immunoproteasomes
Signal transducers & transcription regulators
Transcription
Nucleus

FIG. 6.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES (see attached files)

SUPPL. TABLE S1. A list of the differentially expressed genes in bovine endometrial cells following treatment with LPS *in vitro* (CONT+LPS vs. CONT).

SUPPL. TABLE S2. Genes identified in the most significant IPA canonical pathways (CONT+LPS vs. CONT).

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA LEGENDS

SUPPL. FIG 1. A hierarchical cluster analysis showing expression patterns of the 1006 genes which were significantly differentially expressed between the CONT andCONT+LPS treatment groups. Gene expression of each sample was analysed with Affymetrix Bovine Gene 1.1 ST Array and normalised with RNA16. The bars are genes and their colors indicate the normalized expression intensities from 5.4 (blue) to 10.1 (red).

SUPPL. FIG. S2. IPA network 7. The genes altered by LPS treatment in bovine endometrial cells were identified in networks involved in cell-to-cell signaling & interaction, cellular movement, and hematological system development and function (Score = 27 with 23 focus molecules). The relationship is described as either a direct interaction (solid line) or an indirect interaction (dashed line) while the intensity of the color indicates the level of up-regulation (red) or down-regulation (green) of the respective molecules.

SUPPL. FIG. S3. IPA network 10. The genes significantly altered by LPS treatment in bovine endometrial cells were identified in networks involved in developmental disorder, hereditary disorder, and immunological disease (Score = 24 with 22 focus molecules). See Suppl. Fig. 2 for explanation of symbols.

SUPPL. FIG. S4. IPA network 11. The genes altered by LPS treatment in bovine endometrial cells were identified in networks involved in Infectious disease, cellular function and maintenance, and inflammatory disease (Score = 24 with 22 focus molecules). See Suppl. Fig. 2 for explanation of symbols.