

RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY – COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in *British Poultry Science* on 26 June 2015, available online:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/00071668.2015.1041097>.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Higher levels of CO₂ during late incubation alter the hatch time of chicken embryos

AUTHORS: Tong, Q and McGonnell, IM and Roulston, N and Bergoug, H and Romanini, CEB and Garain, P and Eterradosi, N and Exadaktylos, V and Bahr, C and Berckmans, D and Demmer, T

JOURNAL TITLE: British Poultry Science

VOLUME/EDITION: 56/4

PUBLISHER: Taylor & Francis

PUBLICATION DATE: 26 June 2015 (online)

DOI: 10.1080/00071668.2015.1041097

1 **Higher levels of CO₂ during late incubation alter the hatch time of chicken embryos**

2 Qin Tong¹, Imelda M. McGonnell², Nancy Roulston³, Hakim Bergoug⁴, Carlos E. Romanini⁵, Pascal
3 Garain³, Nicolas Etteradossi⁴, Vasileios Exadaktylos⁵, Claudia Bahr⁵, Daniel Berckmans⁵, Theo
4 Demmers^{1*}

5 ¹ *Department of Production and Population Health, Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Lane, North*
6 *Mymms, Hatfield, AL9 7TA Hertfordshire, United Kingdom*

7 ² *Department of Comparative Biomedical Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, Royal College St London,*
8 *NWI OTU, United Kingdom*

9 ³ *Research and Development, Petersime N.V., Centrumstraat 125, B-9870 Zulte (Olsene), Belgium*

10 ⁴ *Anses/Unit of Epidemiology and Welfare in Poultry and Rabbit farming, BP 53 Ploufragan, 22440*
11 *France*

12 ⁵ *Division M3-BIORES: Measure, Model & Manage Bioresponses, KU Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg*
13 *30 - box 2456, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium*

14 * Phone: +44 1707 6669 45 Fax: +44 1707 666298 E-mail: tdemmers@rvc.ac.uk

Abstract

1. It has been reported that the increasing CO₂ tension triggers the embryo to pip the air cell and emerge from the egg. However, the mechanism by which higher CO₂ concentrations during the last few days of incubation affect chick physiology and the hatching process is unclear. This study investigates the effect of CO₂ concentrations up to 1% during pipping, on the onset and length of the hatch window and chick quality.
2. Four batches of Ross 308 broiler eggs (600 eggs per batch) were incubated in two small scale custom built incubators (Petersime NV). During the final three days of incubation, control eggs were exposed to a lower CO₂ concentration (0.3%), while the test eggs experienced a higher CO₂ concentration program (peak of 1%).
3. There were no significant differences found in blood values, select organ weight and body weight. There was also no difference in hatchability between control and test groups. However, a small increase in the chick weight and the percentage of first class chicks was found in the test groups. Furthermore, plasma corticosterone profiles during hatching were altered in embryos exposed to higher CO₂; however they dropped to normal levels at day 21. Importantly, the hatching process was delayed and synchronised in the test group, resulting in a narrowed hatch window (HW) which was 2.7 hours shorter and 5.3 hours later than the control group.
4. These results showed that exposing chicks to 1% CO₂ concentration during pipping did not have negative impact on physiological status of newly hatched chicks. In addition, it may have significant impact on the physiological mechanisms of controlling hatching and have benefits for health and welfare of chickens by reducing the waiting time after hatching.

36 **Introduction**

37 There is a large variation in eggshell conductance within a batch of chicken eggs, resulting in a large
38 variation in gas exchange, and this creates differences in hatching time which can be further increased
39 by differences in storage time, egg size, breeder flock age, and incubation conditions. The variation in
40 hatching time within a batch of eggs is expressed as the hatch window. An elongated spread of hatch
41 window results in poor uniformity within the batch of chicks and impairs post-hatch growth (Careghi et
42 al., 2005; van de Ven et al., 2009; Willemsen et al., 2010). A number of events are known to be
43 required to initiate the hatching process in chickens. One of these is a change in the levels of O₂ and
44 CO₂. With increasing metabolism and limited conductance of the eggshell (Hamidu et al., 2007), in a
45 natural nest the CO₂ level increases from 0.05 to 0.90% (Boutilier et al., 1977; Buys et al., 1998), while
46 the O₂ concentration declines from 20.9 to 20.3% (Walsberg, 1980). In the air cell of the egg, the O₂
47 concentration decreases to approximately 14.2%, and CO₂ concentration increases to 5.6% (Visschedijk,
48 1968). At pipping, the embryo adopts convective gas exchange by the lungs with subsequent progress
49 towards hatching (Khandoker et al., 2003; Tazawa et al., 1983). In artificial incubation, 0.30 % of CO₂ is
50 widely used throughout incubation. Higher CO₂ profiles from the time of internal pipping are sometimes
51 used by industry to delay and narrow the hatch window (Tona et al., 2013). However, the alteration of
52 CO₂ levels during late incubation has not been well investigated in relation to hatching. Furthermore, it
53 is questionable how it affects hatchability and chick quality. Despite the tolerance of embryos for high
54 ambient levels of CO₂ increasing with embryonic age (Molenaar et al., 2010), higher ambient CO₂
55 levels could still exert stress on the embryo and represent a hazard for respiration gas transport, acid-
56 base balance and overall physiological status of the newly hatched chicks. However, the effect of altered
57 CO₂ concentrations compared to normal ($\leq 0.3\%$) during the late stages of incubation when conductive
58 gas exchange is established on chick quality and subsequent performance is unclear. The aim of this

59 study was to investigate the effects of late exposure to higher CO₂ (up to 1%) on the physiological stats
60 of chicks during the final days of incubation. Hatch window, hatchability, chick score, body weight,
61 organ weight, blood parameters and plasma corticosterone levels were compared between the higher
62 CO₂ group and control group to identify possible effects of higher CO₂ on timing of hatching and chick
63 quality.

64 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

65 **Experimental design**

66 Four batches of fertilised Ross 308 eggs (600 eggs per batch) were obtained from a local supplier
67 (Henry Stewart & Co. Ltd, Lincolnshire, UK). The eggs were weighed, numbered and randomly placed
68 in two small custom-built “BioStreamer” incubators (Petersime NV, Zulte, Belgium). Each incubator
69 was able to set 300 eggs in 2 trays.

70 Incubation conditions (machine temperature, humidity, CO₂ concentration and ventilation rate) were
71 continuously monitored and controlled by the incubator controller (BIO-IRIS, Petersime™). The
72 patterns of CO₂ levels in the control incubator and test incubator were programmed and achieved by
73 adjusting ventilation. Two incubators were swapped for control group and test group. All parameters
74 were identical in the two groups up to day 18. From day 18, the test group experienced higher CO₂
75 levels, up to 1% at day 19. In the control group, CO₂ concentration was maintained at 0.3%. The internal
76 pipping (IP) and Hatch were detected and recorded by the incubator controller (Petersime BIO-IRIS™)
77 which indicates the start and the end of hatching process. Hatch window (HW) in this study is defined as
78 the duration between IP and Hatch.

79 All eggs were candled at day 18 and those with evidence of a living embryo were transferred from the
80 turning trays to hatching baskets. Both machines were stopped after 512h (21 days and 8 hours) of

81 incubation and chicks were scored for quality using a standard method (Tona et al., 2003) at take-off.
82 This method assessed chick quality based on several physical conditions within a total scale of 100
83 according to their importance (activity, feather, eye, leg, comb, navel area and remaining yolk). Chicks
84 with full score (100%) are first class chicks. Hatchability (the percentage of fertile eggs that hatch) was
85 determined based on breakout results.

86 **Chick and physiological parameters**

87 Samples from four incubation stages were collected to investigate the impact of higher CO₂ on blood
88 values and plasma corticosterone: embryos at 18 days and 6 hours of incubation (plasma samples at day
89 18 was only collected for hormone measure), external pipping embryos (EP) at day 19 from the test
90 group when CO₂ reached 1% and from the control group at the same time, newly hatched chick (H0) at
91 day 20 when chicks just emerge from the shell, and chicks (d21) from both groups at take-off . Eggs or
92 chicks were randomly collected through a porthole fitted at the side of the incubator without interrupting
93 the incubation conditions. Chicks were euthanised through cervical dislocation. Relative heart weight
94 (RHW), relative liver weight (RLW) and relative stomach weight (RSW) were calculated by dividing
95 organ weight by chick weight.

96 Arterialised blood was collected from allantoic veins of embryos and the left ventricle of chicks using
97 heparin coated syringes. 200ul whole blood was immediately analysed (epoc Portable Blood Gas
98 Electrolyte and Critical Care Analyser, Woodley Equipment Company Ltd, UK) to get the blood values
99 including pH, partial pressure of carbon dioxide (pCO₂; mmHg), bicarbonate (HCO₃; mmol/L), total
100 carbon dioxide (TCO₂; mmol/L), sodium (Na; mmol/L), potassium (K; mmol/L), ionized calcium (iCa;
101 mmol/L), glucose (Glu; mmol/L), lactate (Lac; mmol/L), hematocrit (Hct; %) and hemoglobin (Hb;
102 g/dl). The remaining blood was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min. The plasma was decanted into 1.5
103 ml tubes and frozen at -20°C for corticosterone (CORT) analysis. Plasma CORT was measured using a

104 commercially available double antibody RIA-kit (IDS Ltd, Boldon, England) (Tona et al., 2007).

105 Animal experiments were performed with ethics approval from the Royal Veterinary College Animal

106 Ethics Committee.

107 **Statistical analysis**

108 Data was analysed using SPSS (PASW statistics 20) and presented as means \pm standard error of the

109 mean (SEM). A linear mixed model was used to analyse the effect of CO₂ treatments (control and test)

110 on hatchability, HW and chick quality:

$$111 Y = \mu + \text{CO}_2 \text{ treatment} + \text{incubator} + \text{batch} + \epsilon$$

112 Second linear mixed model was used to analyse the effect of CO₂ treatments and incubation stage (d18,

113 EP, H0 and d21) on embryonic parameters, blood values and corticosterone concentrations. The model

114 was: $Y = \mu + \text{CO}_2 \text{ treatment} + \text{incubation stage} + \text{interaction (treatment} \times \text{incubation stage)} + \text{incubator}$

115 $+ \text{batch} + \epsilon$

116 CO₂ treatment, incubation stage, interaction, incubator were fixed effects; batch was random effect. The

117 interaction was removed from the original model when it is not significant. When the effect of

118 incubation stage was statistically different ($p < 0.05$), the means were further compared using Least

119 Significant Difference (LSD) test.

120

121 **RESULTS**

122 **Hatch performance**

123 Hatchability, chick scores, the time of IP and hatch window were analysed. Mixed effects model showed
124 that there was no significant effect from incubator. No difference in overall hatchability and chick scores
125 were observed between control group and test group, however the percentage of first class chicks was
126 3.05% higher in test groups than the control (Figure 1), but not statistically significant. The test group
127 had a delayed IP of 5.3 hours compared to control groups. Furthermore, the duration between IP and H
128 was influenced by the CO₂ concentration in the hatcher. On average, the test group had a HW that was
129 2.7 hours shorter than the control group (Table 1).

130 **Chick and organ weight**

131 There were no effects of incubator and batch on embryo and chick weights from EP to day21. Moreover,
132 at any incubation time there was no difference in absolute and relative heart weight, liver weight and
133 stomach weight between control group and test group (Table 2). However chicks in the test group were,
134 on average, heavier than the control chicks at d 21, but not significant.

135

136 Blood values

137 There were no significant effects of CO₂ treatment, incubator and batch on blood values. However,
138 differences of gas partial pressures (pCO₂) and acid-base status (pH and HCO₃⁻) during the final three
139 days of incubation were observed and present in Figure 2. In the test group of embryos, the levels of
140 pCO₂ increased slightly between EP and H0 before returning to the baseline level of approx 25mmHg at
141 d21. In contrast the control group of embryos did not experience this increase, rather maintaining a
142 constant pCO₂ throughout. Chicks hatched under higher CO₂ incubation had slightly higher pCO₂ at H0
143 compared to control chicks.

144 Blood pH maintained around 7.5 and decreased slightly from EP until day 21. A consistently higher
145 trend of HCO₃⁻ concentrations were observed in the test group chicks blood throughout. HCO₃⁻
146 concentrations between H0 and d21 was significantly different in both test group and control group
147 ($P<0.05$). Additionally, no effect of CO₂ treatments on other blood values were found between control
148 and test group (Na⁺, K⁺, Ca⁺⁺, Glu, Lac, Hct, Hgb and TCO₂; data was not shown).

149 Plasma corticosterone concentration

150 Chick plasma CORT levels were analysed and there were no effect of CO₂ treatment, batch and
151 incubator. However, some changes in CORT profile from day 18 to day 21 of incubation time between
152 the control group and the test group were found (Figure 3). The CORT levels increased significantly
153 from about 5.0ng/ml at day 18 to about 10.0 ng/ml at EP which doubled when embryos started pipping
154 in both control and test groups ($P<0.01$). In control chicks, plasma CORT dropped to a lower level at H0
155 and then increased again at day 21. However, a different pattern of changes was observed in the test
156 group, with an increase at H0 before dropping to become equal to that seen in controls.

157

158 **DISCUSSION**

159 Physiological parameters and endocrine (thyroid hormones and corticosteroids) are known to undergo
160 dramatic changes during the last developmental days and some of these changes have been causally
161 linked with the transition from chorioallantoic to lung ventilation, pipping and hatching process. Impaired
162 respiratory function results in CO₂ retention in the body leading to an elevated body fluid pCO₂ and
163 resulting in respiratory acidosis or primary hypercapnia (Boutilier et al., 1977; Ferner & Mortola, 2009).
164 It has been shown in previous studies (Bruggeman et al., 2007; Buys et al., 1998; Everaert et al., 2008)
165 that air cell and blood gas pressures are altered by exposing embryos to high CO₂ during the first and
166 second weeks of incubation. In general, environmental hypercapnia results in an increased blood pCO₂,
167 blood pH and HCO₃⁻ concentration in avian embryos (Bruggeman et al., 2007; Everaert et al., 2008;
168 Everaert et al., 2011). However, the significant increase of pCO₂ by higher environmental CO₂
169 concentrations were not found in this study. Tazawa et al (1983) has reported that during normal chicken
170 embryonic development arterialised blood pCO₂ reach a maximum value of about 40mmHg at internal
171 pipping before falling to about 25 mmHg and kept steady during pipping and hatching; our study
172 confirms that blood pCO₂ maintained at a stable level of 25mmHg from EP to day 21 of incubation.
173 Moreover, our results show numerically higher pH and HCO₃⁻ concentration when CO₂ level increased
174 to 1%. The respiratory compensatory response for hypercapnia is a rise in the bicarbonate level along
175 with the increased arterial pCO₂ to return the pH towards normal. But the blunted chemosensitivity was
176 only occurred by adult that was experienced prenatal high levels of CO₂. Ventilatory chemosensitivity
177 and thermogenesis of the chicken hatchling after embryonic hypercapnia.

178

179 However, blood pH and HCO₃⁻ were between 7.5-7.65 and 19.5-26 mmol/L which are in the normal
180 range of chicken embryo according to previous studies (Everaert et al., 2011; Tazawa et al., 1983) which

181 reflects the regulatory capacities of chick embryos to cope with ambient hypercapnia. This is probably
182 due to the tolerance of embryos for ambient high CO₂ increase with embryonic age (Molenaar et al.,
183 2010); another reason could be the sampling time which was from external pipping when chicks had
184 already accessed to air. The other blood parameters were similar in newly hatched chicks between the
185 control group and the test group. This indicates that the embryo can cope with up to 1% environmental
186 CO₂ at pipping and hatching without affecting the acid-base balance.

187

188 It has been reported that a general stimulation of the hypothalamo – hypophyseal axis seems to occur in
189 preparation for the hatching process and CORT is a critical hormone which is involved in hatching
190 process (Decuyper et al., 1991). The CORT values measured at day18 and external pipping show a
191 dramatic increase in both groups. It is consistent with previous research which reported that plasma
192 CORT remains relatively stable through day 18 with a significant increasing trend and reaching a peak
193 that occurs at the onset and during hatching and declines again after hatch (Kalliecharan & Hall, 1974,
194 1976; Scott et al., 1981). This may be due to the initiation of pipping and the shift from chorioallantoic
195 to pulmonary gas exchange. Furthermore, an altered profile of chick plasma CORT in the external
196 pipping and newly hatched chicks, accompanied by changes in the hatching process which were seen in
197 chicks exposed to the CO₂ concentrations up to 1% during the final days of incubation. In the newly
198 hatch chicks, CORT decreased in the control groups while increased continuously in the test groups
199 which might be triggered by higher ambient CO₂ concentrations. However, in test groups CORT levels
200 dropped so that they align with controls at day21. This profile may explain the shift in timing of hatch
201 and the shorter hatch window. The peaks of CORT concentration and the onset of hatching occurred
202 between day 19 to 20 in control groups and at or after day 20 in test groups. Therefore, the majority of
203 chicks from control groups were hatched earlier and had lighter body weight at take-off than the test

204 groups. This is accordance with the results of previous studies. To achieve a delay and short hatch
205 window, increasing CO₂ during incubation can stimulate corticosterone secretion (Blacker et al., 2004).
206 In commercial practice, the CO₂ concentration is sometimes increased to 2% at the onset of pipping to
207 stimulate the chickens to hatch (French, 2010). Exposure to CO₂ concentrations up to 1% from the onset
208 of pipping had a similar affect across the whole batch of embryos. It might accelerate the embryos
209 emerging from the shell in order to get enough oxygen thus narrow the hatch window of the test cohort.
210 Critically, the hatch window was narrowed without significantly affecting embryo development, the
211 majority of physiological parameters, hatchability and quality of newly hatched chicks.

212

213 The CO₂ and CORT levels in incubating eggs may be manifestations of these changes culminating in
214 altered hatching parameters; and consequently, differences in chick quality and growth potentials. This
215 study demonstrated that incubation under higher CO₂ concentrations up to 1% during pipping and hatch
216 did not affect blood physiological parameters and quality of newly hatched chicks, but may be beneficial
217 in terms of hatching synchronicity when compared to normal CO₂ levels (0.3%). However, it cannot
218 exclude that embryonic hypercapnia altered some structural components of the respiratory pump, as it
219 can happen with hypercapnia in the postnatal period (Rezzonico et al., 1990), limiting muscle force,
220 respiratory compliance or airway conductance. A delay of the normal developmental process or a long-
221 lasting and permanent condition cannot be answered by the current data. Therefore, the precise
222 mechanisms that connect environmental CO₂, hatching and epigenetic effects warrant further
223 investigation.

224 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

225 This research is a part of the BioBusiness Project (FP7-PEOPLE-ITN-2008) which is supported by the
226 EU Commission and Marie Curie Initial Training Network. We are grateful to Dr. Yumei Chang for
227 statistical advice and the support of Biological Services Unit at the Royal Veterinary College.

228 REFERENCES

- 229 Blacker, H. A., Orgeig, S., & Daniels, C. B. (2004). Hypoxic control of the development of the surfactant system in
 230 the chicken: evidence for physiological heterokairy. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory Integrative and*
 231 *Comparative Physiology*, 287(2), R403-R410.
- 232 Boutilier, R. G., Gibson, M. A., Toews, D. P., & Anderson, W. (1977). Gas exchange and acid-base regulation in
 233 the blood and extraembryonic fluids of the developing chicken embryo. *Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology*,
 234 31(1), 81-89.
- 235 Bruggeman, V., Witters, A., De Smit, L., Debonne, M., Everaert, N., Kamers, B., Onagbesan, O. M., Degraeve, P.,
 236 & Decuypere, E. (2007). Acid-base balance in chicken embryos (*Gallus domesticus*) incubated under high CO₂
 237 concentrations during the first 10 days of incubation. *Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology*, 159(2), 147-154.
- 238 Buys, N., Dewil, E., Gonzales, E., & Decuypere, E. (1998). Different CO₂ levels during incubation interact with
 239 hatching time and ascites susceptibility in two broiler lines selected for different growth rate. *Avian Pathology*,
 240 27(6), 605-612.
- 241 Careghi, C., Tona, K., Onagbesan, O., Buyse, J., Decuypere, E., & Bruggeman, V. (2005). The effects of the spread
 242 of hatch and interaction with delayed feed access after hatch on broiler performance until seven days of age.
 243 *Poultry Science*, 84(8), 1314-1320.
- 244 Decuypere, E., Dewil, E., & Kühn, E. R. (1991). The hatching process and the role of hormones. In S. G. Tullett
 245 (Ed.), *Avian Incubation* (pp. 239-256). London: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- 246 Everaert, N., De Smit, L., Debonne, M., Witters, A., Kamers, B., Decuypere, E., & Bruggeman, V. (2008). Changes
 247 in acid-base balance and related physiological responses as a result of external hypercapnia during the second
 248 half of incubation in the chicken embryo. *Poultry Science*, 87(2), 362-367.
- 249 Everaert, N., Willemsen, H., Kamers, B., Decuypere, E., & Bruggeman, V. (2011). Regulatory capacities of a broiler
 250 and layer strain exposed to high CO₂ levels during the second half of incubation. *Comparative Biochemistry and*
 251 *Physiology, Part A*, 158(2), 215-220.
- 252 Ferner, K., & Mortola, J. P. (2009). Ventilatory response to hypoxia in chicken hatchlings: a developmental
 253 window of sensitivity to embryonic hypoxia. *Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology*, 165(1), 49-53.
- 254 French, N. (2010). What the embryo needs, *Incubation 2010, a one day specialist conference focusing on the key*
 255 *aspects of modern incubation* (pp. 1-5). Utrecht, Holland.
- 256 Hamidu, J. A., Fassenko, G. M., Feddes, J. J., O'Dea, E. E., Ouellette, C. A., Wineland, M. J., & Christensen, V. L.
 257 (2007). The effect of broiler breeder genetic strain and parent flock age on eggshell conductance and embryonic
 258 metabolism. *Poultry Science*, 86(11), 2420-2432.
- 259 Kalliecharan, R., & Hall, B. K. (1974). A developmental study of the levels of progesterone, corticosterone,
 260 cortisol, and cortisone circulating in plasma of chick embryos. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 24(4),
 261 364-372.
- 262 Kalliecharan, R., & Hall, B. K. (1976). A developmental study of progesterone, corticosterone, cortisol, and
 263 cortisone in the adrenal glands of the embryonic chick. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 30(4), 404-409.
- 264 Khandoker, A. H., Dzialowski, E. M., Burggren, W. W., & Tazawa, H. (2003). Cardiac rhythms of late pre-pipped
 265 and pipped chick embryos exposed to altered oxygen environments. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*,
 266 *Part A*, 136(2), 289-299.
- 267 Molenaar, R., Reijrink, I. A. M., Meijerhof, R., & Van den Brand, H. (2010). Meeting Embryonic Requirements of
 268 Broilers Throughout Incubation: A Review. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, 12(3), 137-148.
- 269 Scott, T. R., Johnson, W. A., Satterlee, D. G., & Gildersleeve, R. P. (1981). Circulating levels of corticosterone in
 270 the serum of developing chick embryos and newly hatched chicks. *Poultry Science*, 60(6), 1314-1320.
- 271 Tazawa, H., Visschedijk, A. H. J., Wittmann, J., & Piiper, J. (1983). Gas-Exchange, Blood-Gases and Acid-Base
 272 Status in the Chick before, during and after Hatching. *Respiration Physiology*, 53(2), 173-185.

- 273 Tona, K., Bamelis, F., De Ketelaere, B., Bruggeman, V., Moraes, V. M. B., Buyse, J., Onagbesan, O., & Decuypere,
274 E. (2003). Effects of egg storage time on spread of hatch, chick quality, and chick juvenile growth. *Poultry*
275 *Science*, 82(5), 736-741.
- 276 Tona, K., Everaert, N., Willemsen, H., Gbeassor, M., Decuypere, E., & Buyse, J. (2013). Effects of interaction of
277 incubator CO2 levels and mixing hatching eggs of different embryo growth trajectory on embryo physiological
278 and hatching parameters. *British Poultry Science*, 54(4), 545-551.
- 279 Tona, K., Onagbesan, O., Bruggeman, V., De Smit, L., Figueiredo, D., & Decuypere, E. (2007). Non-ventilation
280 during early incubation in combination with dexamethasone administration during late incubation: 1. Effects on
281 physiological hormone levels, incubation duration and hatching events. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology*, 33(1),
282 32-46.
- 283 van de Ven, L. J. F., van Wagenberg, A. V., Koerkamp, P. W. G. G., Kemp, B., & van den Brand, H. (2009). Effects
284 of a combined hatching and brooding system on hatchability, chick weight, and mortality in broilers. *Poultry*
285 *Science*, 88(11), 2273-2279.
- 286 Visschedijk, A. H. (1968). The air space and embryonic respiration. 3. The balance between oxygen and carbon
287 dioxide in the air space of the incubating chicken egg and its role in stimulating pipping. *British Poultry Science*,
288 9, 197-210.
- 289 Walsberg, G. E. (1980). The Gaseous Microclimate of the Avian Nest during Incubation. *American Zoologist*,
290 20(2), 363-372.
- 291 Willemsen, H., Debonne, M., Swennen, Q., Everaert, N., Careghi, C., Han, H., Bruggeman, V., Tona, K., &
292 Decuypere, E. (2010). Delay in feed access and spread of hatch: importance of early nutrition. *Worlds Poultry*
293 *Science Journal*, 66(2), 177-188.
- 294
- 295

296 **Table 1.** *Data of IP and HW of four CO₂ experiments*

Group	IP ^a	HW (h)
Control	465.0±0.7	28.5±1.8
Test	470.3±3.0	25.8±1.3
<i>P</i> -value	0.18	0.27

297 ^a *hours of incubation time*


298 **Table 2.** *Embryo, chick and organ weight (g) and relative heart, liver and stomach weight (% of*
 299 *embryo or chick weight) from EP to day 21*

Incubation stages	Group	Chick weigh (g)	Heart weight (g)	Relative heart weight (%)	Liver weight (g)	Relative liver weight (%)	Stomach weight (g)	Relative stomach weight (%)
EP	Control	43.04±0.95	0.30±0.01	0.70±0.03	0.72±0.02	1.69±0.07	2.22±0.09	5.17±0.19
	Test	42.23±0.73	0.29±0.01	0.68±0.02	0.72±0.03	1.69±0.06	2.22±0.07	5.27±0.17
H0	Control	42.18±0.83	0.36±0.01	0.86±0.03	0.88±0.02	2.09±0.07	2.59±0.06	6.19±0.24
	Test	42.16±1.15	0.34±0.01	0.81±0.03	0.91±0.02	2.19±0.07	2.44±0.07	5.80±0.11
d21	Control	40.63±0.76	0.35±0.01	0.88±0.02	0.95±0.02	2.35±0.05	2.63±0.06	6.48±0.15
	Test	41.76±0.86	0.37±0.01	0.88±0.03	0.94±0.03	2.26±0.08	2.61±0.08	6.28±0.17

300 Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n= 13 to 23); EP, external pipping; H0, newly hatched

301 chick; d21, the end of incubation


302 **Figure 1.** Mean and standard error (SE) of hatchability and first class chicks in the control and
303 test groups.



304

305


306 **Figure 2.** The arterialised blood $p\text{CO}_2$, pH and bicarbonate concentration (HCO_3^-) in control
307 group and test group. Mean values \pm SEM (indicated by bars, $n=7$ to 17 per group per day); the
308 asterisks indicate that the difference between adjacent two mean values is significant at
309 $P<0.05$ (*); EP, external pipping chick at day 19; H0, newly hatched chick at day 20; d21, chick
310 at take-off.



311

312

313 **Figure 3.** Plasma corticosterone levels from developing chick embryo during the late stage of
314 incubation. Mean values \pm SEM (indicated by bars, $n=12$ to 22 per group per day); the asterisks
315 indicate that the difference between adjacent two mean values is significant at $P<0.01(**)$; d18,
316 chick at day 18 of incubation time; EP, external pipping chick at day 19; H0, newly hatched
317 chick at day 20; d21, chick at take-off.



318