

1 **Case-control risk factor study of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus***
2 ***pseudintermedius* (MRSP) infection in dogs and cats in Germany**

3 GEORG LEHNER ^a, MONIKA LINEK ^a, ROSS BOND ^b, DAVID H. LLOYD ^b,
4 ELLEN PRENGER-BERNINGHOFF ^c, NINA THOM ^d, IRIS STRAUBE ^e, KRISTIEN
5 VERHEYEN ^f, ANETTE LOEFFLER ^b

6 ^a*Tierärztliche Spezialisten Hamburg, Rodigallee 85, 22043 Hamburg, Germany*

7 ^b*Department of Clinical Sciences and Services, The Royal Veterinary College,*
8 *University of London, Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms, Hatfield, Hertfordshire, AL9*
9 *7TA, United Kingdom*

10 ^c*Institut für Hygiene und Infektionskrankheiten der Tiere, Justus-Liebig-University,*
11 *Giessen, Frankfurter Strasse 85 – 89, 35392 Giessen, Germany*

12 ^d*Small Animal Teaching Hospital, Dermatology Unit, Justus-Liebig-University,*
13 *Giessen, Frankfurter Strasse 85 – 89, 35392 Giessen, Germany*

14 ^e*SynlabVet, Labor Hamburg, Schillerstrasse 29, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany*

15 ^f*Department of Production and Population Medicine, The Royal Veterinary College,*
16 *University of London, Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms, Hatfield, Hertfordshire, AL9*
17 *7TA, United Kingdom*

18 Corresponding author: Anette Loeffler, Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences,
19 The Royal Veterinary College, University of London, Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms,
20 Hatfield, Hertfordshire, AL9 7TA, United Kingdom, Phone: + 44 1707 666333, Fax:
21 +44 1707 666298, Email: aloeffler@rvc.ac.uk

22 **Abstract**

23 Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* (MRSP) has emerged as a highly
24 drug-resistant small animal veterinary pathogen. Although often isolated from
25 outpatients in veterinary clinics, there is concern that MRSP follows a veterinary-
26 hospital-associated epidemiology. This study's objective was to identify risk factors for
27 MRSP infections in dogs and cats in Germany. Clinical isolates of MRSP cases (n=150)
28 and methicillin-susceptible *S. pseudintermedius* (MSSP) controls (n=133) and their
29 corresponding host signalment and medical data covering the six months prior to
30 staphylococcal isolation were analyzed by multivariable logistic regression. The identity
31 of all MRSP isolates was confirmed through demonstration of *S. intermedius*-group
32 specific *nuc* and *mecA*. In the final model, cats (compared to dogs, OR 18.5, 95% CI 1.8-
33 188.0, P=0.01), animals that had been hospitalised (OR 104.4, 95% CI 21.3-511.6,
34 P<0.001), or visited veterinary clinics more frequently (>10 visits OR 7.3, 95% CI 1.0-
35 52.6, P=0.049) and those that had received topical ear medication (OR 5.1, 95% CI 1.8-
36 14.9, P=0.003) or glucocorticoids (OR 22.5, 95% CI 7.0-72.6, P<0.001) were at higher
37 risk of MRSP infection, whereas *S. pseudintermedius* isolates from ears were more
38 likely to belong to the MSSP-group (OR 0.09, 95% CI 0.03-0.34, P<0.001). These
39 results indicate an association of MRSP infection with veterinary clinic/hospital settings
40 and possibly with chronic skin disease. There was an unexpected lack of association
41 between MRSP and antimicrobial therapy; this requires further investigation but may
42 indicate that MRSP is well adapted to canine skin with little need for selective pressure.

43

44 **Keywords:** pyoderma, otitis, veterinary, MRSP, antimicrobial resistance, infection
45 control

46 **Introduction**

47 *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*, belonging to the *Staphylococcus intermedius* group
48 is a frequent opportunistic commensal and the most important staphylococcal pathogen
49 in dogs and cats and frequently affects the skin, ears and wounds (Devriese et al., 2005;
50 Holm et al., 2002; White et al., 2005). Until recently, treatment of the great majority of
51 *S. pseudintermedius* infections caused few problems in small animal veterinary practice
52 as a wide range of authorized antimicrobial drugs showed good efficacy both *in vitro*
53 and *in vivo* (Beco et al., 2012; Lloyd et al., 1996; Pellerin et al., 1998; Rantala et al.,
54 2004). However, the emergence of methicillin-resistant *S. pseudintermedius* (MRSP)
55 over the past ten years and its continuing spread worldwide (Gortel et al., 1999; Jones et
56 al., 2007; Morris et al., 2006; Loeffler et al., 2007; Ruscher et al., 2009), present
57 significant clinical challenges to veterinary surgeons. In addition, MRSP has
58 implications for public health as it can spread between people and pets via direct and
59 indirect contact and rarely MRSP infections in humans have been described
60 (Campagnile et al., 2007; Gerstadt et al., 1999; Stegmann et al., 2010; van Duijkeren et
61 al., 2011 a & b).

62 Resistance to methicillin in staphylococci is encoded by the gene *mecA* which confers
63 resistance to all β -lactam antibiotics (Chambers, 1997). Epidemiologically, the
64 significance of *mecA*-positive staphylococci is greatest in the context of nosocomial
65 infections. Such isolates are likely to emerge as a consequence of antimicrobial
66 selection pressure in hospitals and are typically multidrug-resistant. In MRSP, several
67 other resistance genes have been identified which often render all clinically relevant pet-
68 authorized systemic antimicrobial drugs ineffective (Kadlec and Schwarz, 2012). For
69 canine pyoderma, it has been shown that most MRSP infections can still be resolved

70 with topical antibacterial therapy and/or with the help of more ‘exotic’ or less frequently
71 used antimicrobials but that treatment may be prolonged and may be more frequently
72 associated with adverse effects (Bryan et al., 2012; Loeffler et al., 2007). Knowledge of
73 risk factors that contribute to MRSP infection becomes highly relevant since early
74 identification of predisposed patients should facilitate implementation of infection
75 control and prevention strategies.

76 Risk factors such as antimicrobial therapy, surgical interventions and chronic disease
77 have been suspected for MRSP infection in pets based on the initially observed clinical
78 presentations in chronic skin and wound infections in hospitalised animals..

79 Antimicrobial therapy during the 30 days prior to sampling was recently identified as a
80 risk factor for MRSP infection in 56 hospitalised dogs in a North American case-control
81 study while other medication (topical antibacterial therapy, glucocorticoids), animal
82 signalment, clinical characteristics and veterinary interventions (such as concurrent
83 disease, type of infection, surgery, hospitalisation) were not associated with outcome
84 (Weese et al., 2012). For MRSP carriage in dogs and cats admitted to a veterinary
85 hospital, previous hospitalisation and antimicrobial therapy in the six months before
86 sampling have been proposed as risk factors (Nienhoff et al., 2011 a & b). Studies on
87 risk factors for MRSP infection in cats have not been published to the authors’
88 knowledge.

89 This study aimed to identify risk factors for MRSP infection in dogs and cats in two
90 regions in Germany with a particular focus on exploring a possible veterinary care-
91 associated epidemiology.

92

93 **Materials & Methods**

94 *Study groups*

95 Privately owned dogs and cats with *S. pseudintermedius* infection were eligible for
96 inclusion in a prospective unmatched 1:1 case-control study. Cases with MRSP
97 infection and controls with methicillin-susceptible *S. pseudintermedius* (MSSP)
98 infection were identified based on bacterial isolation from clinical samples. Samples had
99 been submitted for bacterial culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing to one of
100 two laboratories in Germany (SynlabVet, Geestacht, Germany and Institute for Hygiene
101 and Infectious Diseases, Justus-Liebig University, Giessen, Germany). SynlabVet
102 Laboratory received submissions directly from general veterinary practices in the
103 surrounding area and from a dermatology referral centre (Tierärztliche Spezialisten,
104 Hamburg, Germany). The Giessen university laboratory received submissions from
105 general veterinary practices in the surrounding area as well as samples from
106 dermatology, surgery and internal medicine referral services within the university
107 teaching hospital. Samples had been taken by veterinary surgeons as part of their
108 diagnostic investigations into suspected canine and feline bacterial infection. All MRSP
109 isolates identified between October 2010 and October 2011 inclusive were considered.
110 MSSP isolates were selected throughout the study period using simple randomization on
111 www.randomizer.org.

112 *Enrolment criteria*

113 Animals were enrolled with their *S. pseudintermedius* isolate if their original bacterial
114 isolate had been preserved (lyophilised or frozen in tryptone soya broth with 20%
115 glycerol) by the diagnostic laboratory, when its identity had been confirmed by the

116 phenotypic methods described below and when the corresponding questionnaire had
117 been returned by the submitting veterinary surgeon for analysis; they were excluded if
118 no or insufficiently completed questionnaires had been returned after two weekly
119 reminder follow-up phone calls.

120 *Questionnaires*

121 When reporting *S. pseudintermedius* isolation, the laboratories invited the submitting
122 veterinary surgeons to participate in the study and to complete a questionnaire.
123 Questionnaires were returned by the participants via the laboratories to the lead
124 investigator (GL) by fax, email or post. Cases and controls were coded and pet and
125 owner details were deleted on receipt by the lead investigator (GL) to ensure
126 confidentiality. Where the Hamburg dermatology referral centre or a referral service at
127 Giessen University had submitted samples to the laboratories, copies of the animal's
128 referral medical history were used to complete the questionnaire and referring general
129 practitioners were contacted if this information was incomplete. Data were collected on
130 each animal's i) signalment, ii) medical history including clinical presentation, sample
131 site, previous veterinary consultations (not including the visit at the time of sampling)
132 and hospitalisation and iii) medication prescribed in the six months prior to *S.*
133 *pseudintermedius* isolation. One course of antibacterial therapy was defined as the same
134 antibacterial therapy given on consecutive days, while a change of drug or an
135 interruption of treatment of at least one day constituted different courses.

136 *Microbiological identification of MRSP and MSSP*

137 Initial identification of *S. pseudintermedius* by the diagnostic laboratories was based on
138 routine bacteriological methods used for phenotypic identification of *S. intermedius*-
139 group (SIG) isolates (Barrow and Feltham, 2004). Methods specified for use by both

140 laboratories included assessment of colony morphology and haemolysis on sheep blood
141 agar, the detection of clumping factor by slide coagulation test with rabbit plasma or by
142 a commercial agglutination test (Pasteurex Staph Plus®, Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany)
143 and a Voges-Proskauer-reaction. As all SIG isolates had originated from dogs or cats,
144 they were assumed to represent *S. pseudintermedius* (Bannoehr and Guardabassi,
145 2012). Resistance to methicillin and antimicrobial agents commonly used for therapy in
146 small animal patients was determined through disc diffusion tests using oxacillin (OX 1
147 C Mast Diagnostica GmbH, Reinfeld, Germany) on Mueller-Hinton agar (Merck,
148 Darmstadt, Germany) or with MRSA-Ident-Agar (Heipha, Eppelheim, Germany) and
149 with VITEK2 (Biomérieux, Nürtingen, Germany). Breakpoints for disc diffusion tests
150 were according to Din 58940-3, supplement 1 (DIN, 2011).

151 All *S. pseudintermedius* isolates were re-grown at the end of the enrolment period and
152 posted to the Royal Veterinary College on nutrient agar slopes or plates. Phenotypic
153 tests for initial genus and species identification were repeated from subcultures on 5%
154 ovine blood agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) as previously described (Loeffler et al.,
155 2007). The identity of MRSP isolates was confirmed phenotypically after growth on
156 mannitol salt agar containing 4% oxacillin (MSAox) (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and
157 genotypically through demonstration of *mecA* after polymerase chain reaction (Brakstad
158 et al., 1993). In addition, methicillin-resistant isolates were differentiated genetically
159 from non-pigmented strains of MRSA by demonstration of the *S. intermedius*-
160 groupthermonuclease gene, *nuc* (Becker et al., 2005), and those negative for *S.*
161 *intermedius*-group *nuc* were tested for *S. aureus*-specific *nuc* (Baron et al., 2004).

162 *Data analyses*

163 Data were collected for 20 variables into Microsoft, Excel for Mac 2011, Version 14.3.0
164 spread sheets. All descriptive statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0
165 software for Windows, whereas regression analyses were done using Stata/IC 11.2.
166 Variables listed in Table 1 were analysed by univariable logistic regression for their
167 association with MRSP infection. Referral practice origin of all submissions was also
168 compared by chi-squared test. Continuous variables were initially categorised (based on
169 quartiles) to assess the shape of their association with the outcome, using likelihood
170 ratio tests to assess departure from linear trend where appropriate. Variables with a
171 likelihood ratio test p-value of <0.20 in univariable analysis were considered for
172 inclusion in a multivariable model, built using a forward stepwise approach. The model
173 building process started with variables most strongly associated with the outcome in
174 univariable analysis, adding exposure variables one by one to assess the presence and
175 direction of potential confounding. To adjust for potential clustering of cases by origin
176 of sample submission, origin was included in the multivariable model as a random
177 effect. This variable was categorised according to samples coming from general
178 practitioners (Synlab); dermatology referral centre (Synlab); dermatology referral
179 service (Giessen); internal medicine referral service (Giessen); surgery referral service
180 (Giessen) and external general practitioners (Giessen). All variables not included in the
181 final model were then forced back into the model, one by one, to check for their
182 statistical significance when adjusted for the other variables in the model. Pair-wise
183 interactions were tested for between variables included in the final multivariable model.
184 Reliability of estimates in the random effects model was assessed by checking the
185 sensitivity of quadrature approximation (*quadchk* command in Stata). The level of
186 statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

187

188 **Results**

189 *Enrolment*

190 During the study period, 2130 *S. pseudintermedius* isolates were identified. MRSP
191 accounted for 11.6% (248/2130) of *S. pseudintermedius* submissions overall with 10%
192 (109/1090) isolated at Synlab Vet Hamburg and 13.3% (139/1040) at Giessen university
193 laboratory. At the latter laboratory, MRSP was more frequent (χ^2 40.8, $P < 0.001$) in
194 submissions from the referral services at the university teaching hospital (87/360,
195 24.2%) compared with those submitted by non-university veterinary clinics (52/680,
196 7.7%).

197 The return rate for questionnaires was 66.1% (164/248) for MRSP infected animals and
198 80.6% (133/165) for MSSP control animals. The identities of eleven methicillin-
199 resistant isolates classified as MRSP using phenotypic methods could not be confirmed
200 genetically (three were identified as MRSA) and three MRSP strains were lost. In total,
201 283 animals were enrolled including 150 cases and 133 controls.

202 *Animals*

203 Most *S. pseudintermedius* infections had been diagnosed in dogs (266/283, 94%) for
204 both cases and controls (Table 1). However, of the 17 affected cats, only one was in the
205 control group. Sex and numbers of different breeds were evenly distributed with 92
206 (61.3%) males and 58 (38.6%) females amongst the cases and 71 (53.3%) males and 62
207 (46.6%) females amongst the controls. Of the dogs with MRSP infection, 133 (88.6%)
208 were pure-bred (50 different breeds) and 17 (11.3%) were cross breeds. Of the MSSP
209 infected dogs, 108 (81.2%) were pure-bred dogs (50 different breeds) and 25 (18.7%)
210 were cross breeds. The majority of cats (14/17, 82.3%) were domestic short-haired cats.
211 Cases had a mean age of 6.5 years (range 1-18 years, SD 3.8) and a mean bodyweight of

212 24.9 kg (range 3-85, SD 16.2); controls had a mean age of 6.4 years (range 1-16, SD
213 3.8) with a mean bodyweight of 25.9 kg (range 3-85, SD 15.3).

214 *Clinical characteristics of S. pseudintermedius infection*

215 Of all *S. pseudintermedius* submissions, 212/283 (74.9%) were from surface sites (skin
216 and wounds) while other affected organs included the respiratory tract in 25 (8.8%), the
217 urogenital tract in 25 (8.8%) and miscellaneous organs in 21 patients (7.4%). Surface
218 sites from which *S. pseudintermedius* was isolated included pyoderma lesions (35%,
219 99/283), ear canals (17%, 48/283), claws and claw folds (3.5%, 10/283) and post-
220 surgical wounds (19.4%, 55/283; 38 and 17 from sites of orthopaedic and soft tissue
221 surgery, respectively). Interestingly, four of the pyoderma lesions had been recorded at
222 previous intravenous catheter sites with all yielding MSSP. Of the 16 MRSP positive
223 cats, 71% were hospitalised and 50% had a history of surgery, 70% had non-cutaneous
224 diseases and in 25% MRSP was isolated from the urogenital tract. MRSP was less often
225 found in ears (8.7%, 13/150) than MSSP (26.3%, 35/133)(Table 1).

226 Antibacterial agents had been used systemically in 78.1% (221/283) of animals prior to
227 sampling and topically (excluding ear drops) in 15.9% (45/283). Overall, 46.9%
228 (133/283) had received more than one course of systemic antimicrobials in the six
229 months prior to sampling. Four courses of antimicrobials had been prescribed to 18.6%
230 (28/150) of MRSP cases and to 6.7% (9/133) of MSSP controls over the same period .
231 Cephalosporin and fluoroquinolone therapies were both associated with MRSP infection
232 in the univariable analysis (Table 1). In total, 21.2% (60/283) of animals had received
233 medicated ear drop preparations in the six months prior to *S. pseudintermedius*
234 isolation.

235 *Regression analyses*

236 Of the 20 variables investigated, 11 (species, number of visits to a veterinary clinic,
237 admission to hospital, surgery, isolation from wounds, concurrent disease, systemic
238 antimicrobial therapy, number of antibiotic courses, cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones
239 and systemic glucocorticoid therapy) significantly increased the odds of MRSP (at
240 $p < 0.05$) while 4 variables significantly decreased the odds of MRSP (seen at a referral
241 centre, isolation from a cutaneous site, isolation from ears and pruritus) (Table 1).

242 The final multivariable model is shown in Table 2. The risk for MRSP infection
243 compared with MSSP infection was higher in cats than in dogs and in animals that had
244 been hospitalised, had visited veterinarians more frequently and in those that had
245 received glucocorticoids. Animals from which *S. pseudintermedius* had been isolated
246 from ears were more likely to be in the control group. However, ear drops, when forced
247 back into the model manually were associated with MRSP isolation. No interactions
248 between variables included in the final model were identified and model estimates were
249 found to be reliable based on the quadrature sensitivity check.

250

251 **Discussion**

252 This study confirms that MRSP should be regarded as a hospital-associated pathogen in
253 small animal veterinary practice and it provides data that emphasise the need for
254 rigorous hygiene measures and awareness of MRSP as an important contagion.

255 The estimates reported here need to be interpreted with caution for categories where
256 numbers were low, e.g. cats. An additional bias may have been introduced by the higher
257 questionnaire return rate from control animals. This could be due to concern over
258 certain clinics becoming associated with multidrug-resistance.

259 Definitions for nosocomial (healthcare-associated) infection are difficult to extrapolate
260 to a veterinary environment where pets are often seen as outpatients. However, a
261 veterinary-care-associated epidemiology will be likely for diseases where an increased
262 risk of infection is found associated with veterinary interventions or institutions
263 (Johnson, 2002). The strong association between MRSP infection and hospitalisation,
264 frequent visits to veterinary practices and likely involvement in patients with chronic
265 skin disease indicates that MRSP is an opportunistic pathogen thriving in patients that
266 require repeated veterinary medication or intervention. This supports previous studies
267 into carriage of MRSP in pets and of infection with other multidrug-resistant
268 staphylococci (Nienhoff et al., 2011 a & b; Eckholm et al., 2013; Soares-Magalhães et
269 al., 2010).

270 An important reason for a veterinary-care-related acquisition of MRSP may be the
271 opportunity for transmission in veterinary clinics and hospitals via contaminated
272 environment, vector activity of staff or through other colonised or infected pets.

273 Contamination of veterinary hospitals with MRSP has been documented for surfaces

274 contacted by hospital staff (Bergström et al., 2012) while animal-exposed areas such as
275 weighing scales have yielded large numbers of SIG isolates previously (Hamilton et al.,
276 2012). Based on the long-term survival ability of staphylococci on environmental
277 surfaces (Wagenvoort et al., 2000) and the good adherence of *S. pseudintermedius* to
278 canine corneocytes (Wooley et al., 2008), the veterinary intervention-associated risk
279 factors identified in this study can be considered biologically meaningful.

280 With cats less frequently colonised by *S. pseudintermedius*, including MRSP (Couto et
281 al., 2011, Nienhoff et al., 2011b) and less often suffering from staphylococcal
282 infections, numbers of cats in this study were low (6%, 17/283) as expected. Although
283 with a large confidence interval, cats showed a substantially increased risk for MRSP
284 infection though, which may imply that infection in cats is indeed more likely to be of
285 hospital-or clinic related origin.

286 Systemic glucocorticoid therapy was shown to predispose to MRSP carriage in dogs in
287 both the present and in a previous study (Nienhoff et al., 2011a). Since allergic skin
288 disease and the associated skin barrier dysfunction is known to favour staphylococcal
289 infection in dogs, and since allergy is commonly managed with glucocorticoids, it is
290 perhaps possible that glucocorticoid therapy favoured MRSP indirectly through the
291 need for repeated antimicrobial therapy and visits to veterinary clinics.

292 The lack of positive association between MRSP and antimicrobial therapy in the final
293 model was surprising in view of the more frequent antimicrobial prescription to MRSP
294 animals found in the univariable analysis. However, the link between antimicrobial
295 therapy and MRSP infection has not always been reported consistently. Antimicrobial
296 drug therapy was the most important risk factor for methicillin-resistance in
297 staphylococci in dogs with superficial pyoderma (Eckholm et al., 2013) and in a study

298 of dogs in referral hospitals in Canada (Weese et al., 2012), antimicrobial therapy was
299 the only variable associated with MRSP infection, albeit related to the 30 days prior to
300 sampling. In contrast, a longitudinal study found no association between the
301 development of MRSP infection and prior antimicrobial therapy (Beck et al., 2012).
302 Strain-specific variation or the longer time window for antimicrobial therapy in our
303 study may explain these differences. It is possible that analysis of more recent
304 antimicrobial therapy might have shown a stronger effect on MRSP selection as
305 adaption to different niches may occur more rapidly than anticipated. Alternatively,
306 MRSP may be equally well adapted to canine skin as MSSP with little need for
307 selective pressure (Beck et al., 2012).

308

309 Another unexpected finding was the association between topical ear medication and
310 MRSP isolation. It is likely though that some dogs may have had MRSP isolated from
311 other body sites and received ear drops for otitis associated with a chronic skin disease
312 such as allergy.

313 **Conclusion**

314 The identification of MRSP in 12% of *S. pseudintermedius* submissions to veterinary
315 laboratories and the strong association between MRSP and veterinary institutions
316 confirm MRSP as an important veterinary care-associated bacterium. In particular,
317 veterinary surgeons dealing with patients with chronic skin disease and with
318 hospitalised animals need to be aware as early recognition and implementation of
319 rigorous hygiene measures are paramount to limit spread and reduce the risk to other
320 pets and people.

321

322 **Acknowledgement**

323 This study was funded by the research grant 2011 of the European Society of Veterinary
324 Dermatology. The authors thank Michaela Heitmann for her outstanding administrative
325 help.

326

327 **Conflict of interest statement**

328 No conflict of interest has been declared.

329

330

331 **References**

- 332 Bannoehr, J., Guardabassi, L., 2012. *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in the dog:
333 taxonomy, diagnostics, ecology, epidemiology and pathogenicity. *Vet. Dermatol.* 23,
334 253–269.
- 335 Baron, F., Cochet, M-F., Pellerin, J-L., Ben Zakour, N., Lebon, A., Navarro, A.,
336 Proudly, I., Le Loir, Y., Gautier, M., 2004. Development of a PCR test to differentiate
337 between *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus intermedius*. *J. Food Prot.* 67,
338 2302–2305.
- 339 Barrow GI, Feltham R., 2004. *Cowan and Steel's manual for the identification of*
340 *medical bacteria*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 21–42, 52–59.
- 341 Beck, K.M., Waisglass, S.E., Dick, H.L.N., Weese, J.S., 2012. Prevalence of meticillin-
342 resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* (MRSP) from skin and carriage sites of dogs
343 after treatment of their meticillin-resistant or meticillin-sensitive staphylococcal
344 pyoderma. *Vet. Dermatol.* 23, 369–378.
- 345 Becker, K., von Eiff, C., Keller, B., Brück, M., Etienne, J., Peters, G., 2005.
346 Thermonuclease gene as a target for specific identification of *Staphylococcus*
347 *intermedius* isolates: use of a PCR-DNA enzyme immunoassay. *Diagn. Microbiol.*
348 *Infect. Dis.* 51, 237–244.
- 349 Beco, L., Guaguère, E., Lorente Méndez, C., Noli, C., Nuttall, T., Vroom, M., 2013.
350 Suggested guidelines for using systemic antimicrobials in bacterial skin infections: part
351 2-- antimicrobial choice, treatment regimens and compliance. *Vet. Rec.* 172, 156-160.

352 Bergström, A., Gustafsson, C., Leander, M., Fredriksson, M., Grönlund, U., Trowald-
353 Wigh, G., 2012. Occurrence of methicillin-resistant staphylococci in surgically treated
354 dogs and the environment in a Swedish animal hospital. *J. Small Anim. Pract.* 53, 404–
355 410.

356 Brakstad, O.G., Maeland, J.A., Tveten, Y., 1993. Multiplex polymerase chain reaction
357 for detection of genes for *Staphylococcus aureus* thermonuclease and methicillin
358 resistance and correlation with oxacillin resistance. *APMIS.* 101, 681–688.

359 Bryan, J., Frank, L.A., Rohrbach, B.W., Burgette, L.J., Cain, C.L., Bemis, D.A., 2012.
360 Treatment outcome of dogs with methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible
361 *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* pyoderma. *Vet. Dermatol.* 23, 361–369.

362 Campanile, F., Bongiorno, D., Borbone, S., Venditti, M., Giannella, M., Franchi, C.,
363 Stefani, S., 2007. Characterization of a variant of the SCC*mec* element in a bloodstream
364 isolate of *Staphylococcus intermedius*. *Microb. Drug Resist.* 13, 7-10.

365 Chambers, H.F., 1997. Methicillin resistance in staphylococci: molecular and
366 biochemical basis and clinical implications. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 10, 781-791.

367 Couto, N., Pomba, C., Moodley, A., Guardabassi, L., 2011. Prevalence of methicillin-
368 resistant staphylococci among dogs and cats at a veterinary teaching hospital in
369 Portugal. *Vet. Rec.* 169, 72–73.

370 Devriese, L.A., Vancanneyt, M., Baele, M., Vaneechoutte, M., De Graef, E., Snauwaert,
371 C., Cleenwerck, I., Dawyndt, P., Swings, J., Decostere, A., Haesebrouck, F., 2005.
372 *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* sp. nov., a coagulase-positive species from animals.
373 *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 55, 1569-1573.

374 Din 58940-3, supplement 1, 2011. Medical microbiology - Susceptibility testing of
375 microbial pathogens to antimicrobial agents - Part 3: Agar diffusion test.

376 Eckholm, N.G., Outerbridge, C.A., White, S.D., Sykes, J.E., 2013. Prevalence of and
377 risk factors for isolation of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* spp. from dogs with
378 pyoderma in northern California, USA. *Vet. Dermatol.* 24, 154–162.

379 Fazakerley, J., Nuttall, T., Sales, D., Schmidt, V., Carter, S.D., Hart, C.A., McEwan,
380 N.A., 2009. Staphylococcal colonization of mucosal and lesional skin sites in atopic and
381 healthy dogs. *Vet. Dermatol.* 20, 179–184.

382 Gerstadt, K., Daly, J.S., Mitchell, M., Wessolossky, M., Cheeseman, S.H., 1999.
383 Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus intermedius* pneumonia following coronary artery
384 bypass grafting. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 29, 218-219.

385 Goodacre, R., Harvey, R., Howell, S.A., Greenham, L.W., Noble, W.C., 1997. An
386 epidemiological study of *Staphylococcus intermedius* strains from dogs, their owners
387 and veterinary surgeons. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 44, 49–64.

388 Gortel, K., Campbell, K.L., Kakoma, I., Whittam, T., Schaeffer, D.J., Weisinger, R.M.,
389 1999. Methicillin resistance among staphylococci isolated from dogs. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*
390 60, 1526–1530.

391 Hamilton, E., Kaneene, J.B., May, K.J., Kruger, J.M., Schall, W., Beal, M.W.,
392 Hauptman, J.G., DeCamp, C.E., 2012. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of
393 *Enterococcus* spp. and *Staphylococcus* spp. isolated from surfaces in a veterinary
394 teaching hospital. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 240, 1463–1473.

395 Holm, B.R., Petersson, U., Mörner, A., Bergström, K., Franklin, A., Greko, C., 2002.
396 Antimicrobial resistance in staphylococci from canine pyoderma: a prospective study of
397 first-time and recurrent cases in Sweden. *Vet. Rec.* 151, 600–605.

398 Johnson, J.A., 2002. Nosocomial infections. *Vet. Clin. Small Anim.* 32, 1101-1126.

399 Jones, R.D., Kania, S.A., Rohrbach, B.W., Frank, L.A., Bemis, D.A., 2007. Prevalence
400 of oxacillin and multidrug-resistant staphylococci in clinical samples from dogs 1,772
401 samples (2001-2005). *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 230, 221–227.

402 Kadlec, K., Schwarz, S., 2012. Antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus*
403 *pseudintermedius*. *Vet. Dermatol.* 23, 276–282.

404 Lloyd, D.H., Lamport, A.I., Feeney, C., 1996. Sensitivity to antibiotics amongst
405 cutaneous and mucosal isolates of canine pathogenic staphylococci in the UK, 1980–96.
406 *Vet. Dermatol.* 7, 171–175.

407 Loeffler, A., Linek, M., Moodley, A., Guardabassi, L., Sung, J.M.L., Winkler, M.,
408 Weiss, R., Lloyd, D.H., 2007. First report of multiresistant, *mecA*-positive
409 *Staphylococcus intermedius* in Europe: 12 cases from a veterinary dermatology referral
410 clinic in Germany. *Vet. Dermatol.* 18, 412–421.

411 Morris, D.O., Rook, K.A., Shofer, F.S., Rankin, S.C., 2006. Screening of *Staphylococ-*
412 *cus aureus*, *Staphylococcus intermedius*, and *Staphylococcus schleiferi* isolates obtained
413 from small companion animals for antimicrobial resistance: a retrospective review of
414 749 isolates (2003–04). *Vet. Dermatol.* 17, 332–7.

415 Nienhoff, U., Kadlec, K., Chaberny, I., Verspohl, J., Gerlach, G-F., Kreienbrock, L.,
416 Schwarz, S., Simon, D., Nolte, I., 2011a. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*

417 *pseudintermedius* among dogs admitted to a small animal hospital. Vet. Microbiol. 150,
418 191–197.

419 Nienhoff, U., Kadlec, K., Chaberny, I.F., Verspohl, J., Gerlach, G.F., Schwarz, S.,
420 Kreienbrock, L., Nolte, I., Simon, D., 2011b. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*
421 *pseudintermedius* among cats admitted to a veterinary teaching hospital. Vet. Microbiol.
422 153, 414-416.

423 Pellerin, J.L., Bourdeau, P., Sebbag, H., Person, J.M., 1998. Epidemiosurveillance of
424 antimicrobial compound resistance of *Staphylococcus intermedius* clinical isolates from
425 canine pyodermas. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 21, 115–133.

426 Rantala, M., Lahti, E., Kuhalampil, J., Pesonen, S., Järvinen, A.K., Saijonmaa-
427 Koulumies, Honkanen-Buzalski, T., 2004. Antimicrobial resistance in *Staphylococcus*
428 spp., *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus* spp. in dogs given antibiotics for chronic
429 dermatological disorders, compared with non-treated control dogs. Acta. Vet. Scand.
430 45, 37–45.

431 Ruscher, C., Lübke-Becker, A., Wleklinski, C-G., Šoba, A., Wieler, L.H., Walther, B.,
432 2009. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* isolated
433 from clinical samples of companion animals and equidae. Vet. Microbiol. 136, 197–
434 201.

435 Soares-Magalhães, R.J., Loeffler, A., Lindsay, J., Rich, M., Roberts, L., Smith, H.,
436 Lloyd, D.H., Pfeiffer, D.U., 2010. Risk factors for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*
437 *aureus*(MRSA) infection in dogs and cats: a case-control study. Vet. Res. 41, 55–67.

438 Stegmann, R., Burnens, A., Maranta, C.A., Perreten, V., 2010. Human infection
439 associated with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* ST71. J.
440 Antimicrob. Chemother. 65, 2047–2048.

441 van Duijkeren, E., Catry, B., Greko, C., Moreno, M.A., Pomba, M.C., Pyörälä, S.,
442 Ruzauskas, M., Sanders, P., Threlfall, E.J., Torren-Edo, J., Törneke, K., 2011a.
443 Scientific Advisory Group on Antimicrobials (SAGAM). Review on methicillin-
444 resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 66, 2705-2714.

445 Van Duijkeren, E., Kamphuis, M., van der Mije, I.C., Laarhoven, L.M., Duim, B.,
446 Wagenaar, J.A., Houwers, D.J., 2011b. Transmission of methicillin-resistant
447 *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* between infected dogs and cats and contact pets,
448 humans and the environment in households and veterinary clinics. Vet. Microbiol. 16,
449 1–6.

450 Wagenvoort, J.H., Sluijmsmans, W., Penders, R.J., 2000. Better environmental survival of
451 outbreak vs. sporadic MRSA isolates. J. Hosp. Infect. 45, 231–234.

452 Weese, J.S., Faires, M.C., Frank, L.A., Reynolds, L.M., Battisti, A., 2012. Factors
453 associated with methicillin-resistant versus methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus*
454 *pseudintermedius* infection in dogs. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 240, 1450–1455.

455 White, S.D., Brown, A.E., Chapman, P.L., Jang, S.S., Ihrke, P.J., 2005. Evaluation of
456 aerobic bacteriologic culture of epidermal collarette specimens in dogs with superficial
457 pyoderma. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 226, 904–908.

458 Woolley, K.L., Kelly, R.F., Fazakerley, J., Williams, N.J., Nuttall, T.J., McEwan, N.A.,
459 2008. Reduced *in vitro* adherence of *Staphylococcus* species to feline corneocytes
460 compared to canine and human corneocytes. Vet. Dermatol. 19: 1-6.

461 **Table 1:** Univariable analysis of putative risk factor variables from animals with MRSP
 462 infection (cases, n=150) and controls with MSSP infection (n=133).

Variable level	MRSP n (%)	MSSP n (%)	OR	95% CI	p-value
Species					
Dog	134 (89.3)	132 (99.2)	Ref.		
Cat	16 (10.7)	1 (0.8)	15.8	2.1-120.6	0.008
Sex					
Female	58 (38.7)	62 (46.6)	Ref.		
Male	92 (61.3)	71 (53.4)	1.4	0.9-2.2	0.18
Age in years					
≤ 34 to 6	41 (27.3)	37 (27.8)	Ref.		
7 to 9	37 (24.7)	36 (27.1)	0.9	0.5-1.8	0.82
≥ 10	41 (27.3)	30 (22.6)	1.2	0.6-2.4	0.53
	31 (20.7)	30 (22.6)	0.9	0.5-1.8	0.84
Body weight					
≤ 15 kg	58 (38.8)	43 (32.3)	Ref.		
> 15 kg	92 (61.3)	90 (67.7)	0.8	0.5-1.2	0.27
Visits to a veterinary institution prior to sampling visit*					
0	4 (2.7)	8 (6.0)	Ref.		
1 to 5	57 (38.0)	91 (68.4)	1.3	0.4-4.4	0.72
6 to 10	41 (27.3)	26 (19.6)	3.2	0.9-11.5	0.08
> 10	48 (32.0)	8 (6.0)	12.0	2.9-49.4	0.001
Seen at referral centre					
No	73 (48.6)	88 (66.2)	Ref.		
Yes	77 (51.3)	45 (33.8)	0.5	0.3-0.8	0.003
Admission to hospital					
No	76 (50.7)	131 (98.5)	Ref.		
Yes	74 (49.3)	2 (1.5)	63.8	15.2-267.2	<0.001
Surgery					
No	90 (60.0)	126 (94.7)	Ref.		
Yes	60 (40.0)	7 (5.3)	12.0	5.2-27.5	<0.001

Wounds					
No	100 (66.6)	128 (96.2)	Ref.		
Yes	50 (33.3)	5 (3.8)	12.8	4.9-33.3	<0.001
Isolated from cutaneous site (skin, ears, claw and catheter sites)					
No	85 (56.7)	41 (30.8)	Ref.		
Yes	65 (43.3)	92 (69.2)	0.3	0.2-0.6	<0.001
Isolated from ears					
No	137 (91.3)	98 (73.7)	Ref.		
Yes	13 (8.7)	35 (26.3)	0.3	0.1-0.5	<0.001
Concurrent diseases					
No	47 (31.3)	75 (56.4)	Ref.		
Yes	103 (68.7)	58 (43.6)	2.8	1.7-4.6	<0.001
Pruritus					
No	92 (61.3)	59 (44.4)	Ref.		
Yes	58 (38.7)	74 (55.6)	0.5	0.3-0.8	0.004
Systemic antimicrobials					
No	18 (12)	44 (33.1)	Ref.		
Yes	132 (88.0)	89 (66.9)	3.6	2.0-6.7	<0.001
Number of antimicrobial courses					
0	18 (12.0)	44 (33.1)	Ref.		
1	45 (30.0)	43 (32.3)	2.6	1.3-5.1	0.008
≥ 2 courses	87 (58.0)	46 (34.6)	4.6	2.4-8.9	<0.001
Cephalosporins					
No	99 (66.0)	107 (80.5)	Ref.		
Yes	51 (34.0)	26 (19.5)	2.1	1.3-3.7	0.007
Fluoroquinolones					
No	105 (70.0)	113 (84.9)	Ref.		
Yes	45 (30.0)	20 (15.0)	2.4	1.3-4.3	0.004
Systemic glucocorticoids					
No	106 (70.7)	128 (96.2)	Ref.		
Yes	44 (29.3)	5 (3.8)	10.6	4.1-27.8	<0.001
Topical antimicrobials (shampoos, gels)					
No	127 (84.7)	111 (83.5)	Ref.	0.5-1.7	0.78

Yes	23 (15.3)	22 (16.5)	0.9		
Ear drops					
No	118 (78.7)	105 (78.9)	Ref.		
Yes	32 (21.3)	28 (21.1)	1.0	0.6-1.8	0.95

463 Information was based on questionnaire data referring to the six months prior to *S.*
464 *pseudintermedius* isolation. Ref.: reference category; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence
465 interval. *Modelled as ordered categories (none, 1-5, 6-10, >10).

466

467

468 **Table 2:** Final mixed-effects multivariable regression model for putative risk factors for
 469 MRSP infection in dogs and cats.

Variable	Value	OR	95% CI	Wald test <i>p</i> -value	LRT <i>p</i> -value
Species	Dog	Ref.			0.008
	Cat	18.5	1.8 – 188.0	0.01	
Visits to a veterinary institution prior to sampling visit	None	Ref.			0.004
	1 to 5	1.1	0.2 – 6.5	0.94	
	6 to 10	1.6	0.2 – 10.7	0.63	
	>10	7.3	1.0 – 52.6	0.049	
Admission to hospital	No	Ref.			<0.001
	Yes	104.4	21.3 – 511.6	<0.001	
Isolated from ears	No	Ref.			<0.001
	Yes	0.09	0.03 – 0.34	<0.001	
Systemic glucocorticoids	No	Ref.			<0.001
	Yes	22.5	7.0 – 72.6	<0.001	
Ear drops	No	Ref.			0.002
	Yes	5.1	1.8 – 14.9	0.003	
Sample origin					0.056 [†]

470 Information was based on 283 questionnaires with data referring to the six months prior
 471 to *S. pseudintermedius* isolation. Sample origin was included in the model as a random
 472 effect. Ref.: reference category; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; LRT:
 473 likelihood ratio test. [†]*p*-value derived from a test of the null hypothesis that there is no
 474 correlation between samples from the same origin.