Karen F. Lahm, Wright State University - Main Campus

```
1
00:00:00,000 --> 00:00:07,480
Good morning, are you all ready? Alright,
well you're not ready until you turn
00:00:07.480 --> 00:00:13.519
your cell phones off. So this is your
reminder to silence all electronic
3
00:00:13,519 --> 00:00:18,160
devices please and I will check and make
sure I did that the minute I get back to
4
00:00:18,160 --> 00:00:26,269
my seat. Another bit of nuts and
bolts, each speaker will have a period of
5
00:00:26,269 --> 00:00:31,260
time that is 30 minutes long, to
give their presentation and then to take
00:00:31,260 --> 00:00:36,739
questions afterwards. So think about
followup questions as you listen to
00:00:36,739 --> 00:00:44,040
these talks. Our first speakers Karen Lahm.
Karen is an Associate Professor in the
8
00:00:44,040 --> 00:00:49,930
Department of Sociology and Anthropology
```

9 00:00:49,930 --> 00:00:56,070 been on the faculty since 2006 teaching courses in a variety of areas including

with an interest in Criminology. She's

10 00:00:56,070 --> 00:01:03,289 research methods, deviant behavior, and crime victims. Her courses form part of

11 00:01:03,289 --> 00:01:08,189 the curriculum for our Crime and Justice Studies undergraduate major and the

12 00:01:08,189 --> 00:01:14,260 applied behavioral sciences graduate degree. Karen's understanding of the ABS

13 00:01:14,260 --> 00:01:19,990 degree is multifaceted since she is both a graduate of the program and

14 00:01:19,990 --> 00:01:26,220 was the program director. Her present research focuses on women

15 00:01:26,220 --> 00:01:32,850 inmates and issues of violence and victimization. The title of her talk is

16 00:01:32,850 --> 00:01:38,210 Women Inmates: An Exploration of Misconduct and Victimization Behind Bars,

17 00:01:38,210 --> 00:01:42,470 but I just have to say one more thing, she's also the star of the front page of

18 00:01:42,470 --> 00:01:45,650 the Wright State website this morning.

19 00:01:45,650 --> 00:01:50,860 An article about Karen is there, so be sure to visit that after her talk. Karen.

20 00:01:50,860 --> 00:01:55,980 It's a pleasure to be here today. I just want to thank the Dean and all the COLA

21 00:01:55,980 --> 00:01:58,450 folks down there for all their cooperation in putting this together, 22 00:01:58,450 --> 00:02:01,470 specially Stephanie in terms of coordination and all that. Really 23 00:02:01,470 --> 00:02:06,810 appreciate the opportunity to share some of my research here today. Got a 24 00:02:06.810 --> 00:02:10.880 professional development grant actually back in 2008 and 2009, which actually 25 00:02:10,880 --> 00:02:15,040 enabled me to go out and do this research and then I was given some PDL time 26 00:02:15,040 --> 00:02:19,320 last spring that actually enabled me to complete this research and 27 00:02:19,320 --> 00:02:22,490 prepare some manuscripts from the research I had collected a few years ago. 28 00:02:22,490 --> 00:02:26,490 So it's nice to be given the funding and the time to do that here, so I thank COLA 29 00:02:26,490 --> 00:02:30,590 and also Research and Sponsored Programs for that as well. 30 00:02:30,590 --> 00:02:36,490 The biggest thing -- I'm usually kind of a walker here, so I apologize here rather 31 00:02:36,490 --> 00:02:40,260 than standing at the podium -- the biggest thing I always get with my students in 32 00:02:40,260 --> 00:02:44,020 teaching classes on prisons and just even

talking to folks in general, is the usual 33 00:02:44,020 --> 00:02:45,380 "say who cares? 34 00:02:45,380 --> 00:02:48,810 These are bad people, we lock them up, throw away the key and who really cares?" 35 00:02:48.810 --> 00:02:52.239 Well looking at prison is very important for many reasons. First and 36 00:02:52,239 --> 00:02:54,980 primarily important, as you can see on the PowerPoint there like I tell my 37 00:02:54,980 --> 00:02:58,459 students, you're paying for it. We pay for their health care, we pay for their food, 38 00:02:58,459 --> 00:03:01,900 we pay for their rehabilitation. So you are paying for it. That's why I always 39 00:03:01,900 --> 00:03:05,480 take my students, every time I teach penology, to different men's and women's 40 00:03:05,480 --> 00:03:08,950 prisons so they can actually see what they're paying for. Some are certainly 41 $00:03:08,950 \longrightarrow 00:03:12,150$ interested in that for occupations, so as typically as soon as that door slams, 42 00:03:12,150 --> 00:03:16,200

some of them to stay there and think "wow this is great for me" and some want to

43 00:03:16,200 --> 00:03:20,660 hit the road, just not for them. Totally understand. The second thing here

```
44
00:03:20,660 --> 00:03:23,610
and it's not on the PowerPoint, but
typically the numbers I have from last
45
00:03:23,610 --> 00:03:30,049
year is anywhere between 600,000... 600,000 and 700,000 inmates are released every
00:03:30,049 --> 00:03:34,650
year from state prisons. That's a huge
number and these people aren't going to
47
00:03:34,650 --> 00:03:37,830
live under a bridge or not going to a
certain location. They could be coming to
48
00:03:37,830 --> 00:03:43,290
any neighborhood. So how we treat these
individuals inside prisons, how they act
49
00:03:43,290 --> 00:03:47,959
inside prisons, their overall
socialization and experience in prison
50
00:03:47,959 --> 00:03:51,190
directly affects how they're going to
behave when they get out and we are out
51
00:03:51,190 --> 00:03:55,910
there with them. Don't think that we are
not. I talk to COs all the time, they'll
52
00:03:55,910 --> 00:04:01,460
see ex-inmates at the grocery store, at Walmart. So they're getting out. Again, between 600
53
00:04:01.470 --> 00:04:06.239
and 700 thousand each year, both men and
women combine. You can see on the
54
00:04:06,239 --> 00:04:09,819
PowerPoint there that we have just a
little under seven million people in
```

55 00:04:09,819 --> 00:04:13,319 this country under what we call the correctional umbrella. Now that includes 56 00:04:13,319 --> 00:04:17,959 prisons, that includes probation, that includes parole, and that includes local 57 00:04:17,959 --> 00:04:23,419 jails. Specifically speaking of prison, state prisons and federal prisons, we 58 00:04:23,419 --> 00:04:28,060 have just over 1.5 million Americans. We are typically one and two behind Russia as 59 00:04:28,060 --> 00:04:31,840 the top incarcerating country in the world. I don't know if that's a good looks to 60 00:04:31,840 --> 00:04:33,380 be on but, we're up there. 61 00:04:33,380 --> 00:04:38,240 Ohio specifically, were typically in the top five to top eight or so, with a 62 00:04:38,240 --> 00:04:41,780 number of state inmates. We typically have about anywhere from about forty 63 00:04:41,780 --> 00:04:46,500 five and fifty thousand here just in Ohio and spend about 1.5 billion dollars 64 00:04:46,500 --> 00:04:52,940 on inmates. That's about \$68 per inmate per day. I just read a study that talked

00:04:52,940 --> 00:04:57,530 to my class about last semester and there are some states that actually spend

66
00:04:57,530 --> 00:05:02,419
more on inmates than they do higher education. So we kind of see that turning
67

00:05:02,419 --> 00:05:05,940 the corner here involve five or six states, again spending more on inmates

68 00:05:05,940 --> 00:05:10,639 and corrections than they do on higher education for folks. The concern for

69 00:05:10,639 --> 00:05:14,370 women in prison has become apparent to me in the last few

70 00:05:14,370 --> 00:05:18,599 years. Most of my research has been done on men. Typically there's a lot more men.

71 00:05:18,599 --> 00:05:22,830 About 94% of the prison population in the USA is comprised of men, so women being a

72 00:05:22,830 --> 00:05:26,000 small number often forgotten. But women's

73 00:05:26,000 --> 00:05:31,020 incarceration has been changing over the past 30 years or so. That

74 00:05:31,020 --> 00:05:34,260 has kind of caught our attention to possibly an increase in possibly more

75 00:05:34,260 --> 00:05:36,940 violence and misconduct behind bars.

76 00:05:36,940 --> 00:05:40,340 Some of the changes we're seeing is just basically a drastic increase in the 00:05:40,340 --> 00:05:44,030 number of women offenders. You can see from some of the statistics there

78 00:05:44,030 --> 00:05:48,430 that in 1990 -- twenty thirty years ago or so -- we had just under forty five

79 00:05:48,430 --> 00:05:53,560 thousand women inmates in state and federal facilities combined. In 2011 that

80 00:05:53,560 --> 00:05:57,570 number shot up to over a hundred and ten thousand. So huge, huge increases in the

81 00:05:57,570 --> 00:06:02,120 population of women inmates, this is due to economic issues. Certainly the war on

82 00:06:02,120 --> 00:06:06,060 drugs had a huge effect on women.

83 00:06:06,060 --> 00:06:09,639 We're also seeing some changes with the kinds of female inmates that we see in

84 00:06:09,639 --> 00:06:12,580 prison. It used to be mostly drug offenders, but we're seeing a huge

85 00:06:12,580 --> 00:06:16,150 increase in the number of women committing violent offenses or at least

86
00:06:16,150 --> 00:06:21,490
being sentenced for violent offenses. There used to be a notion back in the sixties

87 00:06:21,490 --> 00:06:25,930 and seventies that was called chivalry, where men and women would often be

```
00:06:25,930 --> 00:06:31,889
having engaged in the same kind of crime and a woman would
89
00:06:31,889 --> 00:06:35,270
go in front of a judge and a man would go
in front of the judge and a woman could
90
00:06:35,270 \longrightarrow 00:06:39,139
maybe sometimes turn on some tears -- this sounds terrible this explanation, but she
91
00:06:39,139 --> 00:06:42,330
also may have some children at home and
often the judge would treat these women
92
00:06:42,330 --> 00:06:46,520
are more chivalrous way and sentences
man to longer time in facilities than
93
00:06:46.520 --> 00:06:50.330
they would women. So not only have we
seen increases in women offenders, but
94
00:06:50,330 \longrightarrow 00:06:54,120
this idea of chivalry treating women a
little bit kinder in the system, that is
95
00:06:54,120 \longrightarrow 00:06:57,270
dead as well, so say penologists. So
we're seeing women serving longer
96
00:06:57,270 --> 00:07:01,780
sentences, engaging in more violent crimes. Now most of those violent crimes. Now most of those violent crimes are
97
00:07:01,780 \longrightarrow 00:07:07,480
assault, not that I would prefer homicide
over assault, but you know what I mean by that.
98
00:07:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.370
There's also been an overall decrease in
programming back in 1994 with the major
```

00:07:12,370 --> 00:07:15,680 crime bill that was passed by Bill

Clinton. A lot of the Pell grant money

100

00:07:15,680 --> 00:07:18,770 was cut and that's a lot of the money that was used for inmates to take

101

00:07:18,770 --> 00:07:22,820 educational programs, to improve themselves, and hopefully improve their lives when

102

00:07:22,820 --> 00:07:26,140 they get out. So we're seeing a lot more inmates packed and facilities, especially

103

00:07:26,140 --> 00:07:30,479 for females that have a lot less programming so that means a lot more

104

00:07:30,479 --> 00:07:33,620 idle time and we know what idle time does too many folks and many of them

105

00:07:33,620 --> 00:07:37,460 sitting there for years and years, literally with nothing to do

106

00:07:37,460 --> 00:07:40,960 or waiting five, six, seven years on a waiting list just to actually get into a

107

00:07:40,960 --> 00:07:45,729 program. Many do want to improve themselves. There's also again, been a lot

108

00:07:45,729 --> 00:07:50,479 of the get tough on crime policies here and another interesting fact is that

109

00:07:50,479 --> 00:07:55,650 many states only have one or two women's facilities. In Ohio we have three. So I

110

00:07:55,650 --> 00:07:58,560

told my students I guess we're lucky, but we have one of the biggest here, 111 $00:07:58,560 \longrightarrow 00:08:02,520$ the Ohio Reformatory for Women, which has about 2,000 inmates and that's where I 112 $00:08:02,520 \longrightarrow 00:08:05,120$ conducted this research. I'll speak to that in just a moment. 113 00:08:05,120 --> 00:08:10,010 Excuse me. Again, the facilities here often very very crowded 114 00:08:10,010 --> 00:08:16,490 even at ORW. I've been there several times with my students and we've seen cots in 115 00:08:16,490 --> 00:08:19,280 the hallway, cots in the visitation room, because they just don't have 116 00:08:19,280 --> 00:08:24,340 anywhere for these inmates to stay. About two years ago I took my students there 117 00:08:24,340 --> 00:08:28,360 and they just opened a brand new -- it sounds like it's like some big amazing brand 118 $00:08:28,360 \longrightarrow 00:08:32,770$ new building here -- a five hundred-person dormitory. Took my students next semester, 119 $00:08:32,770 \longrightarrow 00:08:37,750$ it was completely filled, 500 inmates in less than six months and the trick is you've 120 $00:08:37,750 \longrightarrow 00:08:41,419$ got to remember that at a lot of facilities okay, we have these open dorm type settings, which 121 00:08:41,419 --> 00:08:45,820

is basically a large gymnasium with bunk beds. That's all it is, So 500 women.

```
122
```

00:08:45,820 --> 00:08:51,370 and typically per shift, maybe one or two corrections officers per 500 women.

123

00:08:51,370 --> 00:08:56,089 That's a scary ratio. So there's going to be things that happened, going to

124

00:08:56,089 --> 00:08:59,920 be things that go on. There are cameras and they are monitoring folks,

125

00:08:59,920 --> 00:09:03,300 but they can't look in every nook and cranny of the facility at every moment.

126

00:09:03,300 --> 00:09:08,480 So we have facilities that are crowded, lack of programming, more violent inmates,

127

00:09:08,480 --> 00:09:12,410 serving longer time. Obviously this could be a recipe for disaster in terms of

128

00:09:12,410 --> 00:09:17,140 misconduct and victimization. In general and probably the most important comments

129

00:09:17,140 --> 00:09:20,600

here as are the last two on the PowerPoint there. There's just a general lack

130

00:09:20,600 --> 00:09:25,400 of literature, in general on women inmates. most of it looks at sexual behavior,

131

00:09:25,400 --> 00:09:32,020

a la Orange is the New Black and shows like that, especially areas of misconduct and victimization,

132

00:09:32,020 --> 00:09:36,080 very very little on women, because typically this is kind of a man's area.

00:09:36,080 --> 00:09:39,490 Men typically are more violent so that's why they are looked at a little bit more.

134

00:09:39,490 --> 00:09:42,870 The last point on there is also very very important for my particular

135

00:09:42,870 --> 00:09:47,050 research. A lot of folks who do look at victimization of misconduct will lump

136

00:09:47,050 --> 00:09:51,100 a whole bunch of different indicators together into one variable. So you'll

137

00:09:51,100 --> 00:09:54,310 have one variable for misconduct or victimization that's not only measuring

138

00:09:54,310 --> 00:09:58,610 physical assault, but maybe also somebody being out of bounds or out of place or

139

00:09:58,610 --> 00:10:03,650 spitting on someone. So what I did with his researches pull that misconduct in

140

00:10:03,650 --> 00:10:07,860 victimization in apart -- excuse me -- and looked at several different types of

141

00:10:07,860 --> 00:10:12,460 specific victimization and specific misconduct to see what the indicators

142

00:10:12,460 --> 00:10:16,820 are rather than using a global measure for that, which sometimes that is

143

00:10:16,820 --> 00:10:23,290 necessary because the victimization and misconduct is pretty low. In terms of

```
144
```

00:10:23,290 --> 00:10:26,420 victimization and misconduct, the literature and again this is kind of two

145

00:10:26,420 --> 00:10:29,920 papers I'm cramming into one here. So we'll try to go over each one a little

146

00:10:29,920 --> 00:10:32,470 bit here. In terms of victimization what the researchers shown

147

00:10:32,470 --> 00:10:36,420 is that typically females are often less victimized than males, always that

148

00:10:36,420 --> 00:10:40,540 comparison to males. Those that are victimized in prison are typically

149

00:10:40,540 --> 00:10:44,460 victimized in terms of some kind of theft or some kind of verbal altercation. We

150

00:10:44,460 --> 00:10:48,270

know women like to speak. That's something the CEO's always tell me when I'm at a

151

00:10:48,270 --> 00:10:52,720 facility, "it's just so damn loud in here

with these women. Nobody takes no for an

152

00:10:52,720 --> 00:10:56,200

answer and are always asking me why, why, why, why can't I do this? Why can't I do

153

00:10:56,200 --> 00:11:01,350

that?" Whereas with males "okay I can't do it, I'm moving on". And my students will often

154

00:11:01,350 --> 00:11:06,040

notice that noise level when we do go to facilities. We also see higher rates of

00:11:06,040 --> 00:11:10,670 sexual victimization for female inmates when compared to male and I do need to 156 00:11:10,670 --> 00:11:14,650 comment on that because sexual victimization that is not forceful 157 00:11:14,650 --> 00:11:20,030 sexual victimization, something like rape. It is oftentimes a little bit more 158 00:11:20,030 --> 00:11:23,900 consensual for females and often more of a sexual touching, not to get too 159 00:11:23,900 --> 00:11:29,500 involved with that there. Other variables like age, current offense, race and 160 00:11:29.500 --> 00:11:32.670 criminal history have shown a lot of mixed results over the past. So all of 161 00:11:32,670 --> 00:11:35,700 those variables were thrown into my model here to try to clear some of that 162

162 00:11:35,700 --> 00:11:40,140 up. The last set of variables on there especially aggression,

163 00:11:40,140 --> 00:11:45,450 sentence length, program participation, the number of children and actually engaging in

164 00:11:45,450 --> 00:11:49,170 misconduct herself, because a lot of times we see in victimization

165 00:11:49,170 --> 00:11:52,170 literature that people that are victimized in prison are often acting out

00:11:52,170 --> 00:11:56,650 themselves. So it's kind of a retaliatory event there. I'm provoking my own

167

00:11:56,650 --> 00:11:59,490 victimization and I'm not trying to blame the victim there, but when you read

168

00:11:59,490 --> 00:12:02,990 a lot of scenarios you typically see that. It will be some kind of

169

00:12:02,990 --> 00:12:07,160 altercation that escalates into a fight. Maybe a verbal altercation: I say

170

00:12:07,160 --> 00:12:10,700 something about you or I attack first and then I am then victimized as a

171

00:12:10,700 --> 00:12:15,660 result of that. So those variables were new, those understudied ones in italics

172

00:12:15,660 --> 00:12:20,700 down there. In terms of the misconduct literature we also see that women

173

00:12:20,700 --> 00:12:23,620 inmates are less involved in misconduct as well.

174

00:12:23,620 --> 00:12:28,050 Age is without a doubt for male and female inmates, the most robust, the

175

00:12:28,050 --> 00:12:32,450 strongest predictor typically younger inmates -- excuse me for being a

176

00:12:32,450 --> 00:12:35,150 little colloquial -- but they're just acting a fool typically and they're the

177 00:12:35,150 --> 00:12:39,000 ones that typically get in trouble. If I take my inmates.. excuse me, if I take my inmates. 178 00:12:39.000 --> 00:12:41.220 That was a Freudian slip. If I take my students 179 00:12:41,220 --> 00:12:47,949 to a facility... Whoopsie [laughing] If I take my students to a facility and they do happen to have 180 00:12:47,949 --> 00:12:52,300 maybe a smaller section of that facility that has younger inmates there, the 181 00:12:52,300 --> 00:12:55,230 minute we walk in there, banging their heads on the door and cussing and 182 00:12:55,230 --> 00:12:58,930 screaming and just trying to get our attention. So ages without a doubt the 183 00:12:58,930 --> 00:13:02,370 most robust predictor of whether or not you're going to be a victim and or 184 00:13:02,370 --> 00:13:07,509 engage in some kind of violence or misconduct behind bars. Also being a 185 00:13:07,509 --> 00:13:10,560 violent offender and having a criminal history especially with violent 186 00:13:10,560 --> 00:13:14,779 offending is a very very strong predictor, especially of inmates engaging

00:13:14,779 --> 00:13:18,889

like physical assaults or sexual

in violent behavior behind bars things,

```
188
```

00:13:18,889 --> 00:13:23,459 assaults. Other variables like time served and sentence length have a positive

189

00:13:23,459 --> 00:13:29,250 association with misconduct, race education, marital status, employment in

190

00:13:29,250 --> 00:13:32,860 the presence of children, has shown to show mixed results in the past. So again

191

00:13:32,860 --> 00:13:35,980 that's why all of them were included here to help clear up some of those

192

00:13:35,980 --> 00:13:42,269 effects on the different types of both victimization and misconduct. Visits,

193

00:13:42,269 --> 00:13:45,910 crowding, and program participation have been non significant in the past and

194

00:13:45,910 --> 00:13:49,769

those have been primarily studies on male. So kind to improve on that they were

195

00:13:49,769 --> 00:13:53,949 included in these models to see how they affected women. Aggression is kind of a

196

00:13:53,949 --> 00:13:57,389

new variable here, kind of a new twist to my research here. A lot of folks

197

00:13:57,389 --> 00:14:01,069

have looked at self control in the past,

but I also wanted to include an

198

00:14:01,069 --> 00:14:04,339

aggression measure as well, because you

would think that folks that are

00:14:04,339 --> 00:14:10,509 more aggressive might fall into that area of provocation. The methods here, 200 00:14:10,509 --> 00:14:14,910 again this research was conducted at the Ohio Reformatory for women, just about an hour 201 00:14:14,910 --> 00:14:20,879 hear, about an hour northeast of here, up near Columbus. ORW was opened in 1916 and it 202 00:14:20.879 --> 00:14:25.149 is a huge complex covering over two hundred and forty acres, operating 203 00:14:25,149 --> 00:14:29,290 budgets about 44 million dollars, and they typically have anywhere from about 2,200 204 00:14:29,290 --> 00:14:36,730 to over 2,500 female inmates. And again, they built a 500 bedroom dorm, I would 205 00:14:36,730 --> 00:14:39,240 say and then again like I told you we went there about three months later and 206 00:14:39,240 --> 00:14:43,100 it was completely full. So just like baseball in The Field of Dreams, build it they 207 00:14:43,100 --> 00:14:46,529 will come. I guess that applies to female inmates as well, okay. 208 00:14:46,529 --> 00:14:52,569 Of those 2,500 inmates, Marysville is overwhelmingly Caucasian and that has

00:14:52,569 --> 00:14:54,259 typically been a trend there, again

00:14:54,259 --> 00:14:59,019

209

overwhelmingly Caucasian. That's typically different than we think for 211 00:14:59,019 --> 00:15:01,779 inmates and what we see the media present us with that image as well. 212 00:15:01,779 --> 00:15:06,459 80% are also what we call minimum and medium offenders. That's the 213 00:15:06.459 --> 00:15:10.639 lowest level of offenders at Marysville, the lowest level of offenders. So please 214 00:15:10,639 --> 00:15:14,240 keep that in mind here in looking at the dependent variables that I looked at. 215 00:15:14,240 --> 00:15:21,040 These are the lowest level offenders coming in. About 2-3 years ago what the 216 00:15:21,040 --> 00:15:25,629 staff at ORW told me is "we got rid of the bad eggs". They sent them to Dayton Correctional 217 00:15:25,629 --> 00:15:29,300 Facility. So Dayton Correctional Facility used to be a mail facility. It's now a 218 $00:15:29,300 \longrightarrow 00:15:33,350$ female facility for a lot of, again using their terms, some of the "bad eggs" at 219 00:15:33,350 --> 00:15:37,050 Marysville were sent there. So Marysville they said has quiet downed a little bit 220 00:15:37,050 --> 00:15:42,819 since that has happened, okay just to kind of give you a little context there. In terms of 221 $00:15:42,819 \longrightarrow 00:15:47,170$

CoLA Research Conference 2015 - Lahm CC.txt[5/5/2016 3:27:22 PM]

the researcher for these presentations, I

got a sampling frame of over 1,500 222 00:15:47,170 --> 00:15:50,240 inmates and kind of a key factor here was I wanted them to have spent at least 223 00:15:50,240 --> 00:15:54,910 six months in the facility to kind of soak up what was being offered in this 224 00:15:54,910 --> 00:15:58,079 facility, maybe take part in some programs and also to get through that 225 00:15:58,079 --> 00:16:04,480 initial period of classification. From that list of 1,500... 600 inmates were 226 00:16:04,480 --> 00:16:09,920 selected using a random sampling technique to come and participate in 227 00:16:09,920 --> 00:16:13,769 self-administered questionnaires. So I went to Marysville for about two weeks, 228 00:16:13,769 --> 00:16:18,279 took about six days to do this; three days each week there and about 380 women 229 00:16:18,279 --> 00:16:20,730 actually showed up to take the survey. 230 $00:16:20,730 \longrightarrow 00:16:24,120$ Got about 334 usable surveys from those women and I do 231 00:16:24,120 --> 00:16:25,750 need to make mention here.

232 00:16:25,750 --> 00:16:29,449 Me being there, I think is helpful to inmates, because I like to roam around the 233

00:16:29,449 --> 00:16:32,420 room and talk to them. Again they are people. I know they're inmates, but there are

234

00:16:32,420 --> 00:16:36,279 also people too. It helps to clear up any questions they may have about the

235

00:16:36,279 --> 00:16:39,620 survey or I think just to talk to somebody different sometimes gives them

236

00:16:39,620 --> 00:16:42,459 a little bit more ease and maybe a little bit more trust and hopefully increase the

237

00:16:42,459 --> 00:16:46,449 validity and reliability of those survey results.

238

00:16:46,449 --> 00:16:50,749 All the women were seated at separate tables and a large gymnasium. I've

239

00:16:50,749 --> 00:16:54,809 collected data before on males, where males will be sitting at a table and a

240

00:16:54,809 --> 00:16:59,720 corrections officer will come over and say "hey Bob what'd ya put for number four?"

241

00:16:59,720 --> 00:17:03,199 That not only pisses me off when they do that, but that makes me again worry

242

00:17:03,199 --> 00:17:06,490 about the reliability and validity of the data. Inmates are often like "are you

243

00:17:06,490 --> 00:17:08,630 sure the warden's not going to look at this?

```
244
```

00:17:08,630 --> 00:17:11,620

You sure my name's not gonna be on here?" "Yes we try to take all those precautions

245

00:17:11,620 --> 00:17:17,420

so that nobody is identified". These are

purely anonymous once they come back to me.

246

00:17:17,420 --> 00:17:21,900

Again, self-administered questionnaires were chosen for this particular data collection,

247

00:17:21,900 --> 00:17:26,679

because a lot of penologists believe that they often get at that hidden side of

248

00:17:26.679 --> 00:17:31.110

misconduct, because not all misconduct in prison is written up, some is written up

249

00:17:31,110 --> 00:17:35,160

on official documents, some is not. So sometimes I believe that in research has

250

00:17:35,160 --> 00:17:38,960

shown that using self-administered

questionnaires is a way to get at maybe

251

00:17:38,960 --> 00:17:42,669

misconduct and victimization that maybe they didn't officially report or that a

252

00:17:42.669 --> 00:17:46.850

corrections officer didn't see and write

up themselves. We have potentially lot of

253

00:17:46,850 --> 00:17:49,760

bias here with what

individuals choose to write up for a

254

00:17:49,760 --> 00:17:59,570

report or not. Some sample descriptives here and again overall once all these are discussed here, not really

```
255
```

00:17:59,570 --> 00:18:04,410 too much different from the population of the facility. Average age about 36.

256

00:18:04,410 --> 00:18:09,040 34% of the sample here were non-white, about 36 percent had engaged

257

00:18:09,040 --> 00:18:14,240 in a prior violent offense, 38% had some kind of prior incarceration or prior

258

00:18:14,240 --> 00:18:18,720 prison experience, little bit under a high school education, more than half

259

00:18:18,720 --> 00:18:22,309 were employed either part-time or full-time prior to coming to prison,

260

00:18:22,309 --> 00:18:27,500 about 76% had children. That was not just minor children, but

261

00:18:27,500 --> 00:18:30,679 children in general and that's very common. Typically I've had studies as high

262

00:18:30,679 --> 00:18:36,179 as 80%. So where we have women inmates we typically have children as well. About

263

00:18:36,179 --> 00:18:39,940 19% were married and I do need to make a comment there that we also included or I

264

00:18:39,940 --> 00:18:44,350 also included a common law marriage in there too, because we see that a lot with

265

00:18:44,350 --> 00:18:49,500

women inmates, see that a lot. Average time served: little over five years with the

00:18:49,500 --> 00:18:52,640 average sentence length for my particular sample here, just over 11 267 00:18:52,640 --> 00:18:55,429 years. That's a pretty long sentence there. 268 00:18:55,429 --> 00:18:59,659 61% who received a visit in the last six months, whether it be from a family member, 269 00:18:59,659 --> 00:19:04,350 friend, or other. About 86% said they felt the facility was crowded, 270 00:19:04,350 --> 00:19:07,750 About 46% little less than half, 271 00:19:07.750 --> 00:19:11.930 had participated in some kind of educational and/or vocational program in 272 00:19:11,930 --> 00:19:16,580 the past six months. I also included the Buss and Perry aggression scale on there. I 273 00:19:16,590 --> 00:19:20,840 only did include one element... Excuse me, one dimension of that scale, which was 274 00:19:20,840 --> 00:19:25,000 the physical aggression dimension. Because of page restraints, everything 275 00:19:25,000 --> 00:19:28,330 that I put on my survey, everything that I want to ask has to go through the

276

00:19:28,330 --> 00:19:31,520 facility. So they will have me sometimes take questions off or say "no, you need to

00:19:31,520 --> 00:19:34,730

make it under three pages. We want to get these women in here and get them out

278

00:19:34,730 --> 00:19:37,430 here when they're doing your survey" and I'm totally at their mercy and that's

279

00:19:37,430 --> 00:19:41,000 totally understandable there. There was also a question on there about

280

00:19:41,000 --> 00:19:45,160 misconduct, whether they had gotten a ticket in the past six months, but that

281

00:19:45,160 --> 00:19:47,910 was only used for the victimization study, again to kind of try to look at that

282

00:19:47,910 --> 00:19:53,530 provocation issue. So again in general the sample was very very similar to the

283

00:19:53,530 --> 00:19:57,430 population. In terms of the dependent variables examined here again, one

284

00:19:57,430 --> 00:20:01,760 dependent variable was victimization in the past six months, the other was any

285

00:20:01,760 --> 00:20:05,970 misconduct that they engaged in the last six months. For both the dependent

286

00:20:05,970 --> 00:20:08,720 variables here, I looked at violent victimization, I'll show you some

287

00:20:08,720 --> 00:20:11,530 indicators of those in just a moment, like what was considered violent.

 $00:20:11,530 \longrightarrow 00:20:17,240$ Property and sexual misconduct and victimization. I do need to mention that 289 00:20:17,240 --> 00:20:21,930 the sexual, both misconduct and victimization did not include any forced acts, because 290 00:20:21,930 --> 00:20:25,640 that is collected by the Prison Rape Elimination Act where the Census Bureau 291 00:20:25.640 --> 00:20:28.850 comes in and collects issues on rape. So they did not want me to put that on 292 $00:20:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.510$ there, so I did not. Then we also have verbal victimization and then also drug 293 $00:20:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.180$ and alcohol misconduct that these women engaged in behind bars. You might be 294 00:20:37,180 --> 00:20:39,600 thinking "drug and alcohol misconduct behind bars?" 295 00:20:39,600 --> 00:20:47,090 Yup, it goes on, okay, brought in a number of ways from visitors, unfortunately some 296 00:20:47,090 --> 00:20:51,170 staff. I once had somebody tell me a story when they opened a new Supermax men's facility 297 00:20:51,170 --> 00:20:55,550 up in Youngstown, which is located in the center city for a change --298 $00:20:55,550 \longrightarrow 00:20:58,720$ usually prisons are way out in the country because the air's much fresher out 299 00:20:58,720 --> 00:21:02,950

there, so better norms, better values of course -- they would take tennis balls and 300 00:21:02,950 --> 00:21:06,910 put drugs in and hit him over the fence. That's a unique way to get drugs and alcohol 301 00:21:06,910 --> 00:21:11,050 in I guess. So those things happen. Where there's a will there's a way. 302 00:21:11,050 --> 00:21:15,040 The indicators of misconduct in victimization were as follows: 303 00:21:15,040 --> 00:21:19,800 Any kind of violent misconduct or victimization was more of a physical assault, 304 00:21:19.800 --> 00:21:23.220 a physical attack on somebody. Some of that could have resulted in a serious 305 00:21:23,230 --> 00:21:27,700 injury and I also collected information also resulting in a non serious injury. 306 00:21:27,700 --> 00:21:32,310 Property misconduct and victimization, whether or not a cell was broken into. A 307 00:21:32,310 --> 00:21:36,500 lot of women who live in the big storms just have a footlocker smaller than the 308 00:21:36,500 --> 00:21:39,280 top of this podium here to keep all of their stuff in, but oftentimes that 309 00:21:39,280 --> 00:21:43,620 stuff gets stolen and broken into. Destroying or altering state property is

00:21:43,620 --> 00:21:46,920

also considered under that property misconduct and victimization variable.

311

00:21:46,920 --> 00:21:52,570 Against sexual misconduct and victimization: sexual threats, proposals,

312

00:21:52,570 --> 00:21:56,780 and any nonviolent, i.e. consensual activity as well. Because again, that is

313

00:21:56,780 --> 00:22:00,310 not supposed to go on there and again nothing collected on any kind of

314

00:22:00,310 --> 00:22:04,730 forceful sexual contact. That's collected by the Census Bureau with the Prison

315

00:22:04,730 --> 00:22:08,460 Rape Elimination Act. Under misconduct it asked about drug and alcohol

316

00:22:08,460 --> 00:22:12,830 misconduct. Whether they possessed or used alcohol illegally, also misused

317

00:22:12,830 --> 00:22:16,800 non-prescription drugs or even possessed any kind of drug paraphernalia and also

318

00:22:16,800 --> 00:22:20,480 verbal -- that was only on the victimization side -- verbally threatening,

319

00:22:20,480 --> 00:22:27,660 object of abusive language, or intimidating someone, okay. And also I do

320

00:22:27,660 --> 00:22:30,830 need to mention that all of those indicators of misconduct and victimization

00:22:30,830 --> 00:22:35,110 again, were self reports over the last six months and measured questions both about

322

00:22:35,110 --> 00:22:39,470 engaging on... Excuse me, engaging in misconduct or being victimized by a

323

00:22:39,470 --> 00:22:44,650 staff or another inmate and then those were collapsed together. In terms of

324

00:22:44,650 --> 00:22:47,970 results We'll will try... I'm running out of time here a little bit. In terms of

325

00:22:47,970 --> 00:22:52,410 victimization, victimization's what I call a little more static model in terms of not

326

00:22:52,410 --> 00:22:56,170 many different variables had an effect there, but uniquely in all four types of

327

00:22:56,170 --> 00:23:00,570 victimization age had a negative effect, aggression levels had a positive effect

328

00:23:00,570 --> 00:23:06,530 as did prior education and misconduct itself. All of those variables typically

329

00:23:06,530 --> 00:23:10,890 fall into one theoretical model called importation that focus on individual

330

00:23:10,890 --> 00:23:16,000 personality variables as affecting victimization. The misconduct

331

00:23:16,000 --> 00:23:19,290 results are what I call a little bit more of a dynamic model. They included

00:23:19,290 --> 00:23:23,820 variables from three different theoretical perspectives. Age and aggression 333 00:23:23,820 --> 00:23:29,550 respectively had a negative and positive

334
00:23:29,550 --> 00:23:33,390
so they were more robust in what they predicted. Then we get down to the bottom

effect in all four types of misconduct.

335 00:23:33,390 --> 00:23:37,250 right-hand corner of that slide there and we see that different variables from

336 00:23:37,250 --> 00:23:41,260 different models affected different types of misconduct. In terms of physical

337 00:23:41,260 --> 00:23:46,400 misconduct, employment prior had a negative effect in terms of sexual

338
00:23:46,400 --> 00:23:51,390
misconduct, both time served and the presence of children had a positive effect. That's

339 00:23:51,390 --> 00:23:55,080 very interesting to me the presence of children. That's also -- I just did another

340 00:23:55,080 --> 00:23:59,540 paper with a graduate student -- seems to have a positive effect. Presence of

341 00:23:59,540 --> 00:24:03,570 children, you think that would be one way to pull people back from engaging in

342 00:24:03,570 --> 00:24:07,670 misconduct, but oftentimes visits can be very emotional and just very traumatic

00:24:07,670 --> 00:24:12,890 for some inmates. So that often leads to misconduct after that. Drug and alcohol,

344

00:24:12,890 --> 00:24:17,160 prior violence had a positive effect and employment prior to prison had a

345

00:24:17,160 --> 00:24:21,820 negative effect and for property misconduct race, program participation,

346

00:24:21,820 --> 00:24:27,390 and educational programming all had a positive effect. So little bit more of a

347

00:24:27,390 --> 00:24:32,110 dynamic model including three types of theoretical explanations, both

348

00:24:32,110 --> 00:24:37,050 importation, deprivation, and what we call a social bonding and also kind of an

349

00:24:37,050 --> 00:24:41,760 opportunity model there. Again in terms of conclusions, I've kind of made the first

350

00:24:41,760 --> 00:24:45,530 two points up there with victimization being more based on personal level

351

00:24:45,530 --> 00:24:48,460 predictors. Again we call that importation, we call those personal

352

00:24:48,460 --> 00:24:52,590 qualities and misconduct being predicted by a series of three separate

353

00:24:52,590 --> 00:24:57,560 theoretical predictors with a variety of variables from each of those. So

354 00:24:57,560 --> 00:25:01,370 misconduct a little bit more dynamic, I think what all of this tells me here

355 00:25:01,370 --> 00:25:05,210 especially is that we need to continue to examine these kinds of misconduct and

356 00:25:05,210 --> 00:25:08,930 victimization separately rather than lumping them into just one model. Again,

357 00:25:08,930 --> 00:25:10,490 is it fair to look at

358 00:25:10,490 --> 00:25:15,090 misconduct when I have a physical assault or sexual assault combined in

359 00:25:15,090 --> 00:25:19,290 the same dependent variable with maybe spitting on someone -- for lack of a better

360 00:25:19,290 --> 00:25:23,060 example there I apologize for that -- or being out of place. I mean I could get

361 00:25:23,060 --> 00:25:26,260 written up for a ticket for not having my shirt tucked in or having the wrong

362 00:25:26,260 --> 00:25:30,380 uniform and I just don't think we should mush all those variables together. So I

363 00:25:30,380 --> 00:25:33,850 think we still need to look at those separately, because I do believe in what

364 00:25:33,850 --> 00:25:35,789 this research shows is they do have

00:25:35,789 --> 00:25:40,840 specific indicators, so different models predicting each terms of limitations

366

00:25:40,840 --> 00:25:43,830 again only one prison was looked at here, so certainly would like to increase the

367

00:25:43,830 --> 00:25:48,330 sampling size both at the micro and macro level, meaning number of inmates and

368

00:25:48,330 --> 00:25:53,739 number of Prisons. That will also help with the theory explanations here as well.

369

00:25:53,739 --> 00:25:58,299 Again used self-report studies on here on here, so the reliability is always in

370

00:25:58,299 --> 00:26:01,629 question with these folks like always get that from reviewers when I submit

371

00:26:01,629 --> 00:26:05,659 manuscripts. "Why do you trust these people? "Tell me about your reliability,

372

00:26:05,659 --> 00:26:09,999 they're criminals". If I gave you all the survey I would not feel the same

373

00:26:09,999 --> 00:26:15,580 way, but I would trust you all or my students just as much as them. I don't

374

00:26:15,580 --> 00:26:21,470 understand that point. Always brought up, always brought up, however to help

375

00:26:21,470 --> 00:26:24,769 increase reliability, I do believe that someday triangulation would be better in

376 00:26:24,769 --> 00:26:28,019 the future not only using surveys, but then collecting information maybe via 377 00:26:28,019 --> 00:26:31,729 personal interviews with these folks and not until we actually talk to them to see 378 $00:26:31,729 \longrightarrow 00:26:35,450$ actually how the interaction occurred, whether it be a victimization or 379 $00:26:35,450 \longrightarrow 00:26:39,570$ misconduct, can we fully understand a situation and especially 380 00:26:39,570 --> 00:26:46,190 that issue of provocation. I need to definitely include more variables, 381 00:26:46,190 --> 00:26:50,830 especially for females in particular. So what we call more gender specific variables 382 $00:26:50,830 \longrightarrow 00:26:55,019$ like abuse whether or not they have been a victim of abuse. We typically see 383 00:26:55,019 --> 00:26:59,859 rates as high as 80 to 90% in terms of physical, sexual, and/or some kind of abuse 384 00:26:59,859 --> 00:27:04,479 that many of these women have endured. Mental illness issues, just completed a 385 00:27:04,479 --> 00:27:07,759 study recently and 80% of my samples on the mental health case load. 386

CoLA Research Conference 2015 - Lahm CC.txt[5/5/2016 3:27:22 PM]

00:27:07,759 --> 00:27:12,299

so that's a very very important variable, especially for women. Issues of addiction

387 00:27:12,299 --> 00:27:16,619 as well and other possible contextual prison level variables that were not 388 00:27:16,619 --> 00:27:21,489 included in this model because I only had one contextual unit, being ORW also 389 00:27:21,489 --> 00:27:25,599 not all forms of victimization were measured. Self-harm was left off, which is 390 00:27:25,599 --> 00:27:28,379 a very important measure, especially for women inmates. We tend to see a lot more 391 00:27:28,379 --> 00:27:33,519 self harm, self-mutilation among women inmates. I also left off issues of like 392 00:27:33,519 --> 00:27:37,470 spitting and throwing objects. Just completed a study at the Ohio Department 393 $00:27:37,470 \longrightarrow 00:27:41,379$ of Rehabilitation and Correction. It's important to know the issues of like 394 00:27:41,379 --> 00:27:44,389 spitting and throwing objects, that's actually considered a physical assault. 395 00:27:44,389 --> 00:27:46,860 Even if I don't lay my hands on someone, 396 00:27:46,860 --> 00:27:51,530 if I collect my urine and throw it out at somebody or take fecies and put

397
00:27:51,530 --> 00:27:55,040
it in a toothpaste tube and then step on it when the CO walks by, which happens all

398 00:27:55,040 --> 00:27:58,720 the time, or throw cup or throw water, that is also considered a physical

399 00:27:58,720 --> 00:28:03,370 assault. So didn't have those in

assault. So didn't have those in there so possibly future studies would want to

400 00:28:03,370 --> 00:28:06,690 include those as well to make these dependent variables a little bit more

401 00:28:06,690 --> 00:28:11,020 encompassing here. In terms of victimization, I did separate slide for

402 00:28:11,020 --> 00:28:13,780 each of these, but they can kind of be encompassed in both in terms of the

403 00:28:13,780 --> 00:28:18,690 policy implications. With age and aggression being significant in both, I

404 00:28:18,690 --> 00:28:22,730 think that definitely has issues for housing. Marysville currently houses

405 00:28:22,730 --> 00:28:26,580 adult women, but they have a separate building for anybody who was convicted

406 00:28:26,580 --> 00:28:30,929 of an adult crime at a younger age like seventeen or eighteen and all those

407 00:28:30,929 --> 00:28:35,540 younger women have to stay within sight and sound away from all the other inmates.

408 00:28:35,540 --> 00:28:39,150 So they eat separately, they rec separately, and that creates a lot of

409 00:28:39,150 --> 00:28:42,549 problems and they also know that the COs can't touch them, so they typically 410 00:28:42,549 --> 00:28:46,200 act a fool as we said a little bit before. Have a lot of issues with the 411 00:28:46,200 --> 00:28:49,419 younger inmates and ironically the younger inmates are in the same housing 412 00:28:49,419 --> 00:28:57,419 unit as the lady on death row. Hmm. Aggression, again aggression was important in both 413 00:28:57.419 --> 00:29:01.679 victimization and misconduct. So this is obviously an important variable. Need to 414 00:29:01,679 --> 00:29:05,340 get all of the indicators in there, again only use the indicator for physical 415 00:29:05,340 --> 00:29:08,540 aggression here. So I think we're gonna probably need to start putting that on 416 00:29:08,540 --> 00:29:12,110 intake and classification forms and was actually more important than criminal history, 417 00:29:12,110 --> 00:29:16,860 which is always on intake forms. So maybe some changing and classification tools and 418 00:29:16,860 --> 00:29:21,140 intake forms and also changing with staffing. A lot of the staff and lot of

00:29:21,140 --> 00:29:24,809

the COs that work at women's facilities come from men's facilities. So they may

420

00:29:24,809 --> 00:29:27,640

need some different training and some different classes in dealing with women,

421

00:29:27,640 --> 00:29:31,980

especially women with mental issues and aggressive personality and where do

422

00:29:31,980 --> 00:29:35,309

we want to house these women that have highly aggressive personalities. Where are

423

00:29:35,309 --> 00:29:39,650

we going put them? And with older ladies who want everything to be quiet and calm, that's not

424

00:29:39,650 --> 00:29:44,470

going to work. So housing is a big issue here, but again got to have money to make

425

00:29:44,470 --> 00:29:48,429

more housing and build more housing on many of these facilities here.

426

00:29:48,429 --> 00:29:52,720

Education was also significant in the presence of tickets and the victimization

427

 $00:29:52,720 \longrightarrow 00:29:54,000$

models suggesting

428

00:29:54,000 --> 00:29:57,630

that we have an issue with the

provocation and the education variable

429

00:29:57,630 --> 00:30:01,620

suggests that we have an issue with some of these women who have education prior, more

430

00:30:01,630 --> 00:30:05,570

bonded to non-criminal types of

personalities. They may need more

```
431
00:30:05,570 \longrightarrow 00:30:10,210
orientation, just really don't know what that life is about.
432
00:30:10,210 --> 00:30:13,270
Same with misconduct, the first two on there are the same.
433
00:30:13,270 --> 00:30:15,500
Issues of time served and children
434
00:30:15,510 --> 00:30:19,300
definitely has effects for visitation
for inmates, prisonization, and also
435
00:30:19,300 --> 00:30:22,970
relationships. Women are very very
relationship centered and that does not
436
00:30:22,970 --> 00:30:28,610
stop when they are in a facility. Issues
of race, program participation, and
437
00:30:28,610 --> 00:30:32,230
education, again ,opportunity. We are in no
way saying just because these variables
438
00:30:32,230 --> 00:30:35,580
increase misconduct, that we should take
away programming. I'm not
439
00:30:35,580 --> 00:30:40,930
trying to say that all. Program is very
important and we often see issues of
440
00:30:40,930 --> 00:30:44,470
lesser types of misconduct for those
women who engage in programming here at...
441
00:30:44,470 \longrightarrow 00:30:47,170
```

441 00:30:44,470 --> 00:30:47,170 You know what I mean by lesser? It's not the worst of the worst, because I don't 442 00:30:47,170 --> 00:30:51,710

```
think they want to lose those privileges.
It could be "I forgot to leave this piece
443
00:30:51,710 --> 00:30:55,690
of material here" or maybe "I was out of
place for a moment or maybe "I was a little late
444
00:30:55,690 --> 00:30:59,650
to work." So the misconduct is different
here so and no way am I advocating that we
445
00:30:59.650 --> 00:31:09.440
take away visits or programs, none of
that here. I know I'm running out of time. Thank you, any questions?
446
00:31:09,440 --> 00:31:13,140
[applause]
447
00:31:13,140 --> 00:31:14,100
Yes, Kristen.
448
00:31:14,100 --> 00:31:20,620
I was really interested in the percentages of Caucasian women [inaudible]
449
00:31:20,620 --> 00:31:21,460
Yes.
450
00:31:21,460 --> 00:31:33,440
I was wondering if anybody has done you know, studies on the media impact of what we think prisons [inaudible]?
451
00:31:33,440 --> 00:31:36,899
Okay, Kristen asked about those racial
percentages and the media impact there.
452
00:31:36,899 --> 00:31:40,730
None to my knowledge looking directly at
those issues there, but Marysville is
453
00:31:40,730 --> 00:31:44,059
really really unique Kristen, with
being such a high percentage of
```

00:31:44,059 --> 00:31:48,779 Caucasians. I don't know if we'll that in many other states, I would have to look 455 00:31:48,779 --> 00:31:51,960 at those to give you an honest answer there, but in terms of comparing our 456 00:31:51,960 --> 00:31:55,710 media perception and what's actually in there. No direct studies to my knowledge, 457 00:31:55,710 --> 00:31:59,049 but it is kind of a disconcerting issue, because typically in class when I asked 458 00:31:59,049 --> 00:32:02,919 students to describe an inmate, I get a totally different picture from them in terms of 459 00:32:02,919 --> 00:32:06,370 race, gender, so that's definitely an issue there that definitely needs to be 460 00:32:06,370 --> 00:32:08,980 addressed in the future. I wish I could give you more specifics there, but I 461 00:32:08,980 --> 00:32:12,899 can't think of any studies off the top of my head that look directly at that. 462 00:32:12,899 --> 00:32:17,019 But definitely an important issue. Yes. 463 00:32:17,020 --> 00:32:31,100 You said, I think, that their correlation between length of sentence had an increase in violence? I would have assumed it would have been like [inaudible] more passive or [inaudible] 464 00:32:31,100 --> 00:32:35,300 What the research shows there Dr. Weinzimmer, is that a lot of these ladies actually know how to get away

00:32:35,309 --> 00:32:38,479 with a little bit more the more time

they spend there. So some get a little

466
00:32:38,479 --> 00:32:42,320
bit more bold in terms of what they do and take some more chances. That's recently

467
00:32:42,320 --> 00:32:45,450
what I've read a lot about. So you would think it's kind of a counter-intuitive

468
00:32:45,450 --> 00:32:49,659
finding there, but they think that many of them actually again, know better ways to

469
00:32:49,659 --> 00:32:55,580

469 00:32:49,659 --> 00:32:55,580 not get caught doing things and I know that was also a significant variable for

470 00:32:55,580 --> 00:32:59,559 the sexual activity and a lot of that was consensual. So women seeking out that

471 00:32:59,559 --> 00:33:05,529 nurturing and touching for lack of a better term there. Again, not necessarily

472 00:33:05,529 --> 00:33:08,919 a violent offense, but again the institution still poo poos any kind of

473 00:33:08,919 --> 00:33:11,529 activity like that and many don't get to see their children.

474 00:33:11,529 --> 00:33:15,090 Estimates between 50 and 60% of both male and female inmates don't to get

475 00:33:15,090 --> 00:33:19,070 to your children the whole time they're in there. So again your hormones don't

476 00:33:19,070 --> 00:33:22,809

shut down. That nurturing that females have, it doesn't stop. So I think that

477

00:33:22,809 --> 00:33:29,409 might be explained by them just seeking out some comfort from other females.

478 00:33:29,409 --> 00:33:30,289 Thank you Karen.

479

00:33:30,289 --> 00:33:32,260 Sure. Thank you all, thank you.

480 00:33:32,260 --> 00:33:33,120 [applause]