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#### Abstract

Here, we look at the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers whose Euler function is a factorial, as well as Lucas numbers whose Euler function is a product of power of two and power of three.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be the Fibonacci sequence given by $F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1$ and $F_{n+2}=F_{n+1}+F_{n}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let $\left(L_{n}\right)_{n>0}$ be the companion Lucas sequence satisfying the same recurrence with initial conditions, $L_{0}=2, L_{1}=1$. In our previous paper [2], we noticed the relation

$$
F_{1} F_{2} F_{3} F_{4} F_{5} F_{6} F_{7} F_{8} F_{10} F_{12}=11!
$$

and proved that it is the largest solution of the Diophantine equation

$$
F_{n_{1}} F_{n_{2}} \cdots F_{n_{k}}=m_{1}!m_{2}!\cdots m_{\ell}!
$$
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in positive integers $n_{1}<n_{2}<\cdots<n_{k}$ and $m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{\ell}$ where by "largest" we mean that the number appearing in the left (or right) hand side of the above equation is largest among all solutions. Here, we note that

$$
\phi\left(F_{21}\right)=7!\text { and } \phi\left(L_{6}\right)=3!
$$

and conjecture that the above solutions are the largest solutions of the equation

$$
\phi\left(F_{n}\right)=m!, \text { respectively, } \phi\left(L_{n}\right)=m!
$$

but have no idea how to attack this problem. Instead, we put

$$
\mathcal{N}=\left\{n: \phi\left(F_{n}\right)=m!\text { for some positive integer } m\right\}
$$

and prove the following properties of the set $\mathcal{N}$. Put $\mathcal{N}(x)=\mathcal{N} \cap[1, x]$. For a positive real number $x$ we write $\log x$ for the natural logarithm of $x$.

Theorem 1.1. The following hold:
(i) $\# \mathcal{N}(x) \ll \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x}$, and so $\mathcal{N}$ is of asymptotic density zero.
(ii) The only primes in $\mathcal{N}$ are 2 and 3.

In [1] it was shown that $F_{9}=34$ and $L_{3}=4$ are the largest Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, respectively, whose Euler function is a power of 2 . Here, we show the following result.

Theorem 1.2. The only solutions in nonnegative integers of the equation $\phi\left(L_{n}\right)=2^{a} 3^{b}$ are
$(n, a, b)=(0,0,0),(1,0,0),(2,1,0),(3,1,0),(4,1,1),(6,1,1),(9,2,2)$.

We do not know how to find all the nonnegative solutions $(n, a, b)$ of the Diophantine equation

$$
\phi\left(F_{n}\right)=2^{a} 3^{b} .
$$

Also, we noted that $\phi\left(L_{30}\right)=5$ ! 7 !, but we do not even know how to prove that the set of positive integers $n$ such that

$$
\phi\left(F_{n}\right)=m_{1}!\cdots m_{\ell}!\quad \text { or } \quad \phi\left(L_{n}\right)=m_{1}!\cdots m_{\ell}!
$$

for some integers $1 \leq m_{1} \leq \cdots \leq m_{\ell}$ is of asymptotic density zero. We leave such questions for the reader.

## 2. The proofs

### 2.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1

(i) Let $x$ be a large real number and $\gamma=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ be the golden section. Let $n \in \mathcal{N}(x)$. Since

$$
\left(\frac{m}{e}\right)^{m}<m!=\phi\left(F_{n}\right)<F_{n}<\gamma^{n} \leq \gamma^{x}
$$

it follows that for large $x$ we have $m \leq x / \log x$. Let us denote $K=\lfloor x / \log x\rfloor$.
For $k=1, \ldots, K$, put

$$
\left.\mathcal{N}_{k}(x)=\left\{n \leq x: \phi\left(F_{n}\right)=k!\right\}\right\}
$$

Fix $k$ and let $n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots<n_{t}$ be all elements in $\mathcal{N}_{k}(x)$. Since

$$
1 \leq \frac{F_{n}}{\phi\left(F_{n}\right)} \ll \log \log F_{n} \ll \log x
$$

we get that

$$
\frac{F_{n_{t}}}{F_{n_{1}}}=\left(\frac{F_{n_{t}}}{k!}\right)\left(\frac{k!}{F_{n_{1}}}\right)=\left(\frac{F_{n_{t}}}{\phi\left(F_{n_{t}}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{\phi\left(F_{n_{1}}\right)}{F_{n_{1}}}\right) \ll \log x .
$$

Since $\gamma^{n-2} \leq F_{n} \leq \gamma^{n-1}$ holds for all $n$, we get that $F_{n_{t}} / F_{n_{1}} \geq \gamma^{n_{t}-n_{1}-1}$. Hence,

$$
\gamma^{n_{t}-n_{1}-1} \ll \log x \quad \text { yielding } \quad \# \mathcal{N}_{k}(x) \leq n_{t}-n_{1} \ll \log \log x
$$

Since certainly

$$
\mathcal{N}(x)=\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq K} \mathcal{N}_{k}(x)
$$

it follows that

$$
\# \mathcal{N}(x) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \# \mathcal{N}_{k}(x) \ll K \log \log x \ll \frac{x \log \log x}{\log x}
$$

which completes the proof of $(i)$.
(ii) Assume that $p>12$ is in $\mathcal{N}$. Then all prime factors $q$ of $F_{p}$ satisfy the relation $q \equiv(5 \mid q)(\bmod p)$, where $(a \mid q)$ is the Legendre symbol of $a$ with
respect to $q$. If $q \equiv 1,4(\bmod p)$, then $p|(q-1)| \phi\left(F_{p}\right)$. Since $\phi\left(F_{p}\right)=m$ ! for some integer $m$, we get that $m \geq p$. Thus,

$$
\gamma^{p}>F_{p} \geq \phi\left(F_{p}\right) \geq p!\geq(p / e)^{p},
$$

an inequality which is false for any $p>12$. A similar argument proves that $F_{p}$ is square free. Indeed, if $q^{2} \mid F_{p}$, then $q \mid \phi\left(F_{p}\right)$, therefore $m \geq q$. Since $q \equiv \pm 1$ $(\bmod p)$, we get that $q \geq 2 p-1>p$, and we get again that $\phi\left(F_{p}\right) \geq q!>p!$, a contradiction. Thus, $F_{p}$ is square free and $q \equiv 2,3(\bmod 5)$ for all prime factors $q$ of $F_{p}$. Since the above congruence is true for all prime factors $q$ of $F_{p}$, we get that $5 \nmid \phi\left(F_{p}\right)$, so that $m \leq 4$. Hence, $\phi\left(F_{p}\right) \leq 4!=24$. This is false if $F_{p}$ is a prime, or if $F_{p}$ has at least one prime factor $>23$, or if $F_{p}$ has at least four distinct prime factors because $(2-1)(3-1)(5-1)(7-1)>24$. Hence, $F_{p}<23^{3}$, leading to $p \leq 19$. A quick search now completes the proof of (ii)

Remark 1. The argument used to prove (ii) shows that for each fixed positive integer $a$, there are only finitely many primes $p$ such that $a p \in \mathcal{N}$. To see why, assume that $p>12$ and $a p \in \mathcal{N}$. Then every prime factor $q$ of $F_{a p}$ either is a prime factor of $F_{a}$, or is a primitive prime factor of $F_{d p}$ for some divisor $d$ of $a$. In the second case, either $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, and we get

$$
\gamma^{a p}>F_{a p}>\phi\left(F_{a p}\right) \geq p!\geq(p / e)^{p} \quad \text { therefore } \quad p<e^{a} \gamma
$$

or $q \equiv 2,3(\bmod 5)$. If this last scenario happens for all prime factors $q$ of $F_{a p}$ which are not prime factors of $F_{a}$, we then deduce that $\nu_{5}(m!)=\nu_{5}\left(\phi\left(F_{a}\right)\right)$, where $\nu_{5}(m)$ is the exponent of 5 in the factorization of $m$. Since certainly $\nu_{5}(m!) \geq\lfloor m / 5\rfloor$, we get that $\lfloor m / 5\rfloor \leq \nu_{5}\left(\phi\left(F_{a}\right)\right)$, so that $m \leq 5 \nu_{5}\left(\phi\left(F_{a}\right)\right)+4$. This in turn puts an upper bound on $a p$. For example, for $a \in\{2,3,4\}$, we get that either $p<e^{4} \gamma$, therefore $p \leq 19$, or $m \leq 4 \nu_{5}\left(\phi\left(F_{a}\right)\right)+4=4$, so $\phi\left(F_{a p}\right) \leq 4$ !, which again gives that $p \leq 19$, and a quick search reveals that the only such values of $a p$ in $\mathcal{N}$ are 4 and 21 .
Remark 2. The conclusions of the above theorem (with the same bounds and primes membership in $\mathcal{N}$ ) as well as the above Remark 1 still hold if we replace the Fibonacci numbers by Lucas numbers. One just uses the inequalities $\gamma^{n-1} \leq L_{n} \leq \gamma^{n+1}$ valid for all $n \geq 1$.

### 2.2. The proof of Theorem 1.2

Assume that $n=2^{\alpha} m$ for some odd positive integer $m$. We start by showing that $\alpha \leq 2$. Assume that $\alpha \geq 4$. Since

$$
L_{2^{\alpha}}=L_{2^{\alpha-1}}^{2}-2,
$$

it follows that $L_{2^{\alpha}} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. In particular, there exists a prime factor $q$ of $L_{2^{\alpha}}$ such that $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Reducing the relation $L_{2^{\alpha}}^{2}-5 F_{2^{\alpha}}^{2}=4$ modulo $q$, we get that $(-5 \mid q)=1$. Since $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, we deduce that $(-1 \mid q)=-1$, therefore $(5 \mid q)=-1$. It follows that $q \equiv-1\left(\bmod 2^{\alpha}\right)$. Write $q=2^{a} 3^{b}+1$. Then since $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, we get that $a=1$. Thus, $2^{\alpha} \mid(q+1)$, or $2^{\alpha-1} \mid 3^{b}+1$, and this is impossible for $\alpha \geq 4$ because $\nu_{2}\left(3^{b}+1\right)=1,2$ according to whether $b$ is even or odd. This shows that $\alpha \leq 3$. The case $\alpha=3$ is not possible since it would lead to $L_{8} \mid L_{n}$, hence $23\left|\phi\left(L_{8}\right)\right| \phi\left(L_{n}\right)$, a contradiction. We now look at the prime factors of $m$. Since $107\left|\phi\left(L_{27}\right), 41\right| \phi\left(L_{18}\right)$ and $11 \mid \phi\left(L_{36}\right)$, it follows that $3^{3} \nmid m$. In fact, if $\alpha \in\{1,2\}$, then $3^{2} \nmid m$.

Now assume that $p>3$ is a prime factor of $m$. Then $L_{2^{\alpha} p}$ has the same property that its Euler function is divisible only by primes which are at most 3. Let $q>2$ be any prime factor of $L_{2^{\alpha} p}$ which is not a prime factor of $L_{2^{\alpha}}$. If $\alpha=0$, then reducing the formula $L_{p}^{2}-5 F_{p}^{2}=-4$ modulo $q$, we get that $(5 \mid q)=1$. This shows that $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, therefore $p \mid \phi\left(L_{p}\right)$, which is a contradiction because $p>3$. This shows that the only acceptable solutions when $\alpha=0$ are $n=3,9$. Assume now that $\alpha \geq 1$. Reducing the formula $L_{2^{\alpha} p}^{2}-5 F_{2^{\alpha} p}^{2}=4$ modulo $q$ we get $(-5 \mid q)=1$. If $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then we get $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, leading to $p \mid \phi\left(L_{2^{\alpha} p}\right)$, which is a contradiction for $p>3$. So, we get that $n \in\{2,4,6,12\}$ and the solution $n=12$ is not convenient. So, we need to treat the case when $q \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$ for all prime factors $q$ of $L_{2^{\alpha} p} / L_{2^{\alpha}}$, which leads to the conclusion that $q=2 \cdot 3^{b_{q}}+1$. Moreover, $q \equiv-1$ $(\bmod p)$, therefore $2 \cdot 3^{b_{q}}+1=a_{q} p-1$ for some even integer $a_{q}$. Further, it is clear that $L_{2^{\alpha} p} / L_{2^{\alpha}}$ is square free. Thus, we get that

$$
L_{2^{\alpha} p}=L_{2^{\alpha}} q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{\ell}
$$

where $q_{i}=2 \cdot 3^{b_{q_{i}}}+1$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. We may assume that $1 \leq b_{q_{1}}<\cdots<b_{q_{\ell}}$. We thus get that

$$
3^{b_{1}} \mid L_{2^{\alpha} p}-L_{2^{\alpha}}=5 F_{2^{\alpha-1}(p-1)} F_{2^{\alpha-1}(p+1)}
$$

Now $F_{m}$ is a multiple of 3 if and only if $4 \mid m$. Moreover, in this case, $\nu_{3}\left(F_{m}\right)=$ $=\nu_{3}(m)+1$. Since exactly one of $p-1$ and $p+1$ is a multiple of 3 , and exactly one of these two numbers is a multiple of 4 , it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min \left\{\nu_{3}\left(F_{2^{\alpha-1}(p-1)}, F_{2^{\alpha-1}(p+1)}\right\}\right. & \leq 1 \\
\max \left\{\nu_{3}\left(F_{2^{\alpha-1}(p-1)}, F_{2^{\alpha-1}(p+1)}\right\}\right. & \leq 1+\max \left\{\nu_{3}(p-1), \nu_{3}(p+1)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we deduce that if $b_{q_{1}} \geq 2$, then $3^{b_{q_{1}}-2} \mid(p-1) / 2$ or $3^{b_{q_{1}}-2} \mid$ $\mid(p+1) / 2$. On the one hand, writing

$$
p=\frac{2 \cdot 3^{b_{q_{1}}}+2}{a_{q_{1}}}, \quad \text { we get that } \quad 3^{b_{q_{1}}-2} \mid a_{q_{1}}+1, \quad \text { or } \quad 3^{b_{q_{1}}-2} \mid a_{q_{1}}-1 .
$$

Since $(p+1) / 2 \geq 3^{b_{q_{1}}-2}$, we get that

$$
\frac{3^{b_{q_{1}}}+1}{a_{1}}=\frac{p}{2}>3^{b_{q_{1}}-2}-1 .
$$

On the one hand, if $a_{q_{1}} \geq 10$, then $3^{b_{q_{1}}}+1>10\left(3^{b_{q_{1}}-2}-1\right)$, or $11 \geq 3^{b_{q_{1}}-2}$, or $b_{q_{1}} \leq 4$. On the other hand, if $a_{q_{1}} \leq 8$, then $3^{b_{q_{1}}-2}$ divides one of $a_{q_{1}}-1$ or $a_{q_{1}}+1$, a number which is at most 9 , so again $b_{q_{1}} \leq 4$. Thus, $b_{q_{1}} \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, so the only possibilities are $q_{1} \in\{7,19,163\}$. The case $q_{1}=7$ leads to $\alpha=2$, then $p=7$, which is false because $7^{2}$ cannot divide $L_{2^{\alpha} p}$. The case $q_{1}=19$ leads to $p \mid q_{1}-1$, which is false because $p>3$. The case $q_{1}=163$ leads to $p \mid 164$, so $p=41$. However, in this case since $q=163$ divides $L_{2^{a} p}$, we get that $\alpha=1$. In this case, $31 \mid \phi\left(L_{82}\right)$, and we get a contradiction. So, we indeed conclude that $n$ cannot be divisible by any prime $p>3$, which completes the proof of the theorem.
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