



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

Theses and Dissertations

Thesis Collection

1990-03

Instantaneous Power Spectrum

Stitz, Elizabeth H.

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

http://hdl.handle.net/10945/37553



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

> Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943

http://www.nps.edu/library



Thesis Advisor:

Ralph D. Hippenstiel

00 17 28 308

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

Unclassified

,

security classification of this page

La Banant Commine Classification		LI OKI DOCC.	MITTER CITY INCOM		
Ta Report Security Classification	Unclassified		1b Restrictive Markings		
2. Security Classification Authority			3 Distribution Availability of Report		
2b Declassification Downgrading Schedule			Approved for public release	; distribution is unlimited.	
4 Performing Organization Repo	rt Number(s)		5 Monitoring Organization Report Nu	umber(s)	
6a Name of Performing Organiza Naval Postgraduate School	ation 6b (////////////////////////////////////	Office Symbol "applicable) EC	7a Name of Monitoring Organization Naval Postgraduate School		
6c Address (city, state, and ZIP of Monterey, CA 93943-5000	:ode)		7b Address (city, state, and ZIP code) Monterey. CA 93943-5000		
8a Name of Funding Sponsoring	Organization 8b (1)	Office Symbol "applicable")	9 Procurement-Instrument Identification	on Number	
8c Address (city, state, and ZIP of	:ode)		10 Source of Funding Numbers		
			Program Element No Project No T	Task No Work Unit Accession No	
11 Title (Include security classifica	uton) INSTANT	ANEOUS POWE	R SPECTRUM		
12 Personal Author(s) Elizabet	h H. Stitz				
13a Type of Report	13b Time Cov	ered	14 Date of Report (year, month, day)	15 Page Count	
Master's Thesis	From	То	March 1990	122	
17 Cosati Codes Field Group Subg	18 Subject roup Instantar	Terms (continue on rev neous Power Spects	verse if necessary and identify by block nu rum, spectral estimation, nonstati	ionationary signal analysis, (
stationarity assumption is a signal analysis, none prese (IPS) the Wigner-Ville dis nonstationarities are compa- of the RD consistently the peaks along the crest of the to discontinuous frequency	met with only m nt fully satisfact tribution (WD) ared. WD has th ows a broadened e main-lobe and changes in fra	oderate success. ory spectra. The p and various aspec he most narrow mai main-lobe without is otherwise very sin	Of the many time/frequency distr performance of the spectrogram, its of the Rihaczek distribution in-lobes but suffers from spectral of t cross-terms. The squared mager milar to LPS. The imaginary part	ribution functions used in th Instantaneous Power Spectr (RD) for a variety of signa cross-terms. IPS, the real par itude of the RD places shar of the RD shows a sensitivit	
	Changes I.e., He	quency shift keying	z. Kaywords: Electromagnetic	5 pectra, Theses-	
20 Distribution Availability of A unclassified unlimited	ostract same as report	Quency shift keying	21 Abstract Security Classification Unclassified	5 Pectra, Theses -	
20 Distribution Availability of Al Junclassified unlimited	bstract same as report ual	Quency shift keying	21 Abstract Security Classification Unclassified 22b Telephone (include Area code) (408) 646-2768	22c Office Symbol ECHi	

1

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Instantaneous Power Spectrum

by

Elizabeth H. Stitz Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S., Jacksonville University, 1983

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL March 1990

٥



ABSTRACT

The estimation of time varying spectra is a complicated one. The use of classical techniques coupled with the local stationarity assumption is met with only moderate success. Of the many time-frequency distribution functions used in the signal analysis, none present fully satisfactory spectra. The performance of the spectrogram, Instantaneous Power Spectra (IPS) the Wigner-Ville distribution (WD) and various aspects of the Rihaczek distribution (RD) for a variety of signal nonstationarities are compared. WD has the most narrow main-lobes but suffers from spectral cross-terms. IPS, the real part of the RD consistently shows a bro dened main-lobe without cross-terms. The squared magnitude of the RD places sharp peaks along the crest of the main-lobe and is otherwise very similar to IPS. The imaginary part of the RD shows a sensitivity to discontinuous frequency changes i.e., frequency shufe ¹ eying.



A20835	ion For				
NTIS	GRALI	8			
DTIC TAB					
Unannounced 🛛					
Justi	Pication_				
Distr Avai	ibution/ lability	Codes			
	Avail an	d/or			
Dist	Specia	1			
A					

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

ç.

I. I.	NTRO	DDUCTION1
II. S TER A. B.	SPEC LISTI CL MC	TRAL ANALYSIS OF SIGNALS WITH STATIONARY CHARAC- CS2ASSICAL TECHNIQUES3DDERN TECHNIQUES5
III.	SPEC	CTRAL ANALYSIS OF SIGNALS WITH DYNAMIC CHARACTER-
ISTI	CS	
A.	AĽ	DAPTING ESTABLISHED STATIONARY TECHNIQUES
	1.	Short-time Fourier Transform
	2.	Spectrogram
	3.	Correlator/Matched Filter
	4.	Autoregressive Modeling 10
B.	TĽ	ME-DEPENDENT SPECTRAL TECHNIQUES 10
	1.	The Running Spectrum
1.		a. Using Only the Past and Current Data 11
, .		b. Using Only the Future and Current Data
•		c. Using All of the Data 14
•	2.	Instantaneous Power Spectrum (IPS) 15
2 1	3.	Rihaczek Distribution (RD) 16
•	4.	Wigner-Ville Distribution (WD) 18
	5.	Time-varying Autoregressive Models
IV.	сом	IPARISON OF T-F DISTRIBUTIONS
A.	TF	IEORETICAL RELATIONSHIPS
B.	GE	NERAL PROPERTIES
C.	RF	LATIVE PERFORMANCE
2.	1.	Experimental Analysis
	2.	Highlights of the Analysis
	3.	Test Case Results

a. Single-component, Analytic Sinusoid
b. Two-component, Analytic Signal
c. Single-component, Analytic, Linearly Chirped Signal
d. Two Parallel, Analytic, Linearly Chirped Signals
e. Single-component, Analytic, Quadratically Chirped Signal 53
f. Multi-component Analytic Signal
g. Complex FSK signal
D. ALTERNATE METHODS OF COMPUTING IPS
V. RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS
APPENDIX A. COMPUTER CODE
1. Parameter File
2. Spectrogram
3. IPS
4. PWD
5. $ RD_y ^2$
APPENDIX B. CROSS IPS 102
APPENDIX C. CUMULANT 106
LIST OF REFERENCES 108
BIBLIOGRAPHY 111
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST 113

Y

۰ ،

LIST OF TABLES

•

Table	1. DISTRIBUTIONS AND CORRESPONDING KERNEL FUNCTIONS	22
Table	2. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF T-F DISTRIBUTIONS	24
Table	3. LINEAR OPLRATIONS	25

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	1.	Behavior of the Spectrogram using an analytic sinusoid
Figure	2.	Time-Frequency Distribution Models 12
Figure	3.	Behavior of Page's distributions using an analytic sinusoid 14
Figure	4.	Behavior of Levin's distribution for an analytic sinusoid
Figure	5.	Behavior of IPS for an analytic sinusoid 16
Figure	6.	Behavior of ImRD for an analytic sinusoid
Figure	7.	Behavior of WD for an analytic sinusoid 20
Figure	8.	Amplitude plots of a stationary analytic sinusoid
Figure).	ImRD, for a stationary, analytic sinusoid
Figure	10.	Contour plots of a single-component linear chirp
Figure	11.	Contour plots of a single-component, stationary analytic sinusoid 29
Figure	12.	Amplitude plots of a two-component stationary, analytic sinusoid 30
Figure	13.	Graph depicting accuracy of stationary component placement 31
Figure	14.	$ImRD_y$ for a two-component stationary, analytic sinusoid
Figure	15.	Relative performance for a single-component linear chirp
Figure	16.	Graph depicting accuracy of IPS in locating the instantaneous frequency 32
Figure	17.	Behavior of IPS_y and PWD for 2 parallel linear chirps
Figure	18.	ImRD, of two parallel linear chirps
Figure	19.	A combination of stationary and nonstationary spectral components 34
Figure	20.	Contour plots for a complex anlaytic FSK signal
Figure	21.	Test signal 1: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD 36
Figure	22.	Test signal 1: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and PWD
Figure	23.	Test signal 1: amplitude plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	24.	Test signal 1: contour plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	25.	Test signal 2: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD 41
Figure	26.	Test signal 2: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and PWD
Figure	27.	Test signal 2: amplitude plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$ 43
Figure	28.	Test signal 2: contour plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	29.	Test signal 3: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD 45
Figure	30.	Test signal 3: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD 46
Figure	31.	Test signal 3: amplitude plots for $ RD_{\nu} ^2$, $ RL_{\nu} ^2$ and $ImRD_{\nu}$ 47

Figure	32.	Test signal 3: contour plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	33.	Test signal 4: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and $PW\Gamma$ 49
Figure	34.	Test signal 4: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD 50
Figure	35.	Test signal 4: amplitude plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	36.	Test signal 4: contour plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	37.	Test signal 5: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD 54
Figure	38.	Test signal 5: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD 55
Figure	39.	Test signal 5: amplitude plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	40.	Test signal 5: contour plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	41.	Test signal 6: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD 58
Figure	42.	Test signal 6: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD 59
Figure	43.	Test signal 6: amplitude plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	44.	Test signal 6: contour plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	45.	Test signal 7: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD 62
Figure	46.	Test signal 7: contour plots for Spectrogram. IPS, and PWD 63
Figure	47.	Test signal 7: amplitude plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	48.	Test signal 7: contour plots for $ RD_y ^2$, $ RD_y ^2$ and $ImRD_y$
Figure	49.	IPS
Figure	50.	Cross spectral analysis of a pulsed linear chirp 104
Figure	51.	Cross spectral analysis for a Doppler-shifted linear pulse 105
Figure	52.	The cumulant of various analytic signals 107

•

.

¥

•

¥

I. INTRODUCTION

The analysis of stationary spectra is a will-defined problem. Although from a theoretical point of view a true signal spectrum can only be defined in terms of infinite duration data, spectral estimates resulting from the analysis of finite duration data have proven very useful. In the classical estimation problem, one assumes that

- the data length is finite, and
- the random process from which it originates is at least wide-sense stationary.

Armed with these assumptions the behavior of spectra derived in this manner can be accurately predicted. The distortion incurred when analyzing a finite amount of data can be kept at a minimum given the specific estimation problem. But what happens if the signal is not at least wide sense stationary? Signals commonly encountered in the real world are not stationary; they vary in time. Either in amplitude or frequency content or possibly both, experimental data is rarely truly stationary. To better describe the variable random process, a time dependence must be included. All the theoretical results and even the practical application to finite duration data assume that ergodicity applies. Clearly a nonstationary signal is not an ergodic one, i.e., not one whose time average is equivalent to the mean realization.

In the analysis of nonstationary phenomena, there are a certain properties of the resulting spectrum which must be identical to the stationary analog. These properties include an all-positive spectrum and zero energy in the spectrum when the signal is not present. Further constraints must be applied to the spectral behavior along the time dimension, a problem unique to the analysis of nonstationary phenomena. There have been many attempts to adequately model the time-varying behavior of nonstationary spectra. In general it appears that each technique has some advantages and disadvantages. Some appear better-suited to the analysis of a certain class of signals. There has yet to be found a completely satisfactory description of the time dependent spectral estimation problem.

II. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF SIGNALS WITH STATIONARY CHARACTERISTICS

The Wiener-Khinchin theorem states that if x(t) is a band limited, wide sense stationary process, then the power spectral density (PSD), is related to the autocorrelation function (ACF), through a Fourier transform

$$P_{xx}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{xx}(\tau) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau, \qquad (1)$$

where $R_{xx}(\tau)$ is the ACF. Using the Fourier inversion formula,

$$R_{xx}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{xx}(f) e^{j2\tau f\tau} df,$$
(2)

and evaluating the correlation function at lag zero results in the average power in the process,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \mathcal{E}\left[|x(t)|^2 \right] dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{xx}(f) df,$$
(3)

where E denotes statistical averaging and 2T represents the duration of observation. Equations (1) and (2) describe the relationship between the time dependent data and the frequency dependent power spectrum. Equation (3) describes the relationship between a signal's temporal density and its spectral density. The integrand to the left in (3) represents the instantaneous power of the process. The integrand to the right represents the power as a function of frequency. Both can be considered power density functions and both are non-negative everywhere. Because the ACF is always conjugate symmetric, the power spectral density is always real and the average power in the process is always real. [Ref. 1, 2] Equation (1) can be rewritten,

$$P_{xx}(f) = \lim_{T \to \infty} E\left[\frac{1}{2T} \left| \int_{-T}^{T} x(t)e^{-j2\pi ft} dt \right|^2\right], \tag{4}$$

which implies knowledge of the signal for all time. It is clear that in order to compute the true ACF or PSD, an infinite data set is required. Knowledge of an infinite number of realizations is also implied. These two theoretical constraints are impractical. Realistically one must deduce the power spectrum from a single, finite duration realization. This chapter examines a variety of estimation techniques, noting the specific advantages and limitations inherent in each.

A. CLASSICAL TECHNIQUES

The computation of a power spectrum from one, finite set of data serves as an estimate of the true PSD. One common estimation technique is the periodogram. It can be computed from the data as

$$\hat{P}_{Per}(f) = \frac{1}{T} \left| \int_{0}^{T} x(t) e^{-j2\pi f t} dt \right|^{2},$$
(5)

an estimate similar in form to (4), except that limiting and statistical averaging operations have been ignored. This estimate has the advantage of being both real and positive. Examination of the mean and variance of the periodogram spectral estimate best describes its deviation from the true PSD,

$$E[\hat{P}_{Per}(f)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} sinc^{2} [T(f-\sigma)] P_{xx}(\sigma) d\sigma, \qquad (6)$$

where it is apparent that the periodogram represents a smeared version of the true PSD. The smoothing along the frequency axis is caused by the finite observation interval. Increasing the observation interval T narrows the main lobe of the $sinc^2$ function, thereby minimizing the smoothing effect of the convolution in (6). The variance, on the other hand, is not so accommodating. [Ref. 3]

The variance of the periodogram spectral estimate for white Gaussian noise is a constant, with a standard deviation on the order of the mean. With so great a variance, the utility of the periodogram as described by (5) is questionable. One technique used to make this estimator more reliable is to compute an average periodogram. This has the effect of scaling down the variance by the number of terms in the average. In practice, since more than one realization is rarely available, this amounts to segmenting the data into shorter intervals, which causes a corresponding increase in the bias and loss of resolution of the estimate.

One variation of the averaged periodogram scheme requires a data window. Looking at smaller segments of the data, the window is applied in an overlapped fashion and subsequent processing results in a series of periodograms that tend to be correlated and hence statistically dependent. Consequently, the actual reduction in variance will generally be less than the number of terms in the average. Still another variation requires the data be prewhitened. This has the effect of reducing spectral bias, which is a problem compounded by the averaging process. [Ref. 3]

Increasing the data length increases the resolution of the periodogram. An improvement in variance can be realized if, instead of a periodogram, one uses a Blackman-Tukey spectral estimator. This estimator is derived from a biased ACF estimate,

$$\hat{R}_{xx}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} x(t) x^{*}(t+\tau) dt,$$
(7)

where T is the duration of the data interval. Taking the Fourier transform of a windowed version of (7),

$$\hat{P}_{BT}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(\tau) \hat{R}_{xx} e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(f-\sigma) \hat{P}_{Per}(\sigma) d\sigma,$$
(8)

leads to an improvement in variance. The type of window indicated by (8) should be capable of enhancing the spectral characteristics of interest [Ref. 4]. An unavoidable

loss in fine spectral detail is the price paid to improve the variance of the spectral estimate. Here 2L and T are the durations of the lag window and the total data observation respectively. The variance of the Blackman-Tukey estimator is

$$Var\left[\hat{P}_{BT}(f)\right] \simeq \frac{3L}{2T} P_{xx}^{2}(f), \qquad (9)$$

versus

$$Var[\hat{P}_{Per}(f)] \simeq P_{xx}^{2}(f) \tag{10}$$

for the periodogram. [Ref. 3]

Classical techniques are reliable but have limited resolution and/or a poor variance. One assumes that the data is zero before and beyond the observation interval. This assumption causes the resulting spectral estimates to deviate from the true PSD. Using modern techniques, this type of error can be minimized. There are however, other limitations to consider.

B. MODERN TECHNIQUES

Modern spectral estimation techniques rely on linear filter theory. Parametric modeling provides the foundation for many modern spectral estimation procedures. Rather than assuming the data to be zero beyond some arbitrary interval, parametric models assume statistical knowledge of the underlying process. The data is assumed to be composed of sinusoids in white noise. The model should accurately estimate filter coefficients for some linear filter whose output, when driven by white noise, is the available time data and hence has a spectrum exactly like that of the signal in question. The power spectral density for such an output is

$$P_{xx}(f) = |II(f)|^2 \sigma_n^2.$$
(11)

It is assumed that the transfer function, H(f), can be written as a rational polynomial and that the coefficients of the polynomial are the necessary filter coefficients with σ_n^2 the variance of the driving noise. Often the resonances of a particular random process are of interest. In this case an autoregressive (AR) model can be sufficient.

AR modeling of spectra is the most popular modeling method. By solving a set of linear equations, accurate estimates of the parameters can be determined. Selection of an AR model results in an all-pole filter, hence the apparent high resolution. Selection of model order, p, determines the number of poles in the filter, which determines the number of peaks in the spectral estimate. Once the coefficients $\{a(i)\}_{i=1}^{p}$ are known, the AR spectral estimator becomes

$$\hat{P}_{AR}(f) = \frac{1}{\left|1 + \sum_{l=1}^{p} a(l)e^{-j2\pi f l}\right|^2}.$$
(12)

The statistics of the AR spectral estimator are difficult to determine in closed form. Insight can be gained into its reliability by considering the following fundamental assumptions:

- the process to be modeled is truly an AR process,
- the process contains $\frac{p}{2}$ real sinusoids or p complex sinusoids, and
- the coefficients $\{a(i)\}_{i=1}^{p}$ are accurate.

The choice of model order obviously requires some knowledge of the signal's spectral content. The number of peaks in an AR spectrum, for complex-valued data, is equal to the model order, p. The spectrum, if the number of sinusoids truly present differs from p too much, can be unreliable. Furthermore, if the signal-to-noise ratio is poor, the estimate can be of poor quality. [Ref. 3]

Other parametric models may be more appropriate to the process under investigation. Moving average (MA) models are used when the valleys or zeros of a spectrum are important. Unfortunately, MA models require the solution to a set of nonlinear equations. Although not impossible, it is much more difficult to arrive at accurate parameter estimates. Still another alternative is to use a combination of AR and MA modeling, resulting in what is called an ARMA model.

The minimum variance spectral estimator (Capon's method) provides reasonable estimates without making any assumption about the data composition other than it originates from a wide-sense stationary random process. The classical periodogram spectral estimate can be interpreted as a bank of narrowband filters, all with identical passband characteristics. The minimum variance method is based on an adaptive passband characteristic with the advantage being the ability to adjust sidelobe levels and hence minimize spectral leakage. The resolution of this method lies somewhere between that of the periodogram and that enjoyed by AR estimates. [Ref. 3]

III. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF SIGNALS WITH DYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS

A. ADAPTING ESTABLISHED STATIONARY TECHNIQUES

In Chapter II, classical spectral estimators were found to be limited by the interval of observation, limiting the spectral resolution. A finite duration signal permits only a finite duration correlation estimate, with increasingly peor estimates away from the zero lag. Modern spectral methods have apparent higher resolution but are sensitive to the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). All the estimators discussed thus far assume the data to be at least wide-sense stationary. The analysis of nonstationary phenomena complicates the estimation problem.

A wide-sense nonstationary process is one whose statistics or parameters vary with time [Ref. 5]. The actual nonstationarities of a process may include fluctuating power magnitude, changing frequency content or a combination thereof. There remains the problem of a suitable representation, one which appropriately displays the fluctuation in time and frequency of the instantaneous energy in the signal. To adapt stationary estimation techniques to the nonstationary case, the concept of local stationarity is introduced. A process is considered locally stationary if, over a given interval of time, the process appears to be stationary. Determination of the optimum interval depends upon the most rapidly fluctuating nonstationarity present in the process. If the process is observed overly long, the temporal fluctuations will be smeared. If the interval is unnecessarily short, spectral detail will be lost. A discussion of the more popular methods of nonstationary estimation and their limitations follows.

1. Short-time Fourier Transform

Short-time Fourier analysis is a method whereby the observed signal is segmented into a number of shorter intervals. Each segment is Fourier transformed and then magnitude squared. The resulting spectra are interpreted as cross sections of the true instantaneous spectrum. These cross sections are pieced together sequentially to form an estimate of the true time-varying power spectrum [Ref. 5, 6]. This type of spectrum is called a waterfall display by the signal processing community. Processing sequential sections of data results in a very crude estimate of the nonstationarities as a function of time. Furthermore, using longer segments increases spectral detail but tends to average or broaden the time-dependent fluctuations. An overly short interval will tend to enhance the detection of the time transient behavior of the process at the expense of spectral resolution.

2. Spectrogram

A modified version of the short-time Fourier transform estimation technique is the spectrogram It is related in that it uses a real, finite duration, sliding window [Ref. 7] centered at time t. The spectrogram

$$\hat{P}_{Spectrog.}(f,t) = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\tau) w(\tau - t) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau \right|^2,$$
(13)

computes a classical estimate of the spectrum for each point in time rather than for contiguous blocks of data (see for example, Figure 1). Similar to the periodogram, this spectral estimate is real and positive everywhere. The reliability of the spectrogram hinges on its ability to represent the signal's energy in the time-frequency plane. Referring back to (3), any suitable time-frequency representation should reduce to $|x(t)|^2$ when the frequency dependence is removed. Likewise, the representation should reduce to $|X(t)|^2$ when the time dependency is removed. Taking a look at the spectrogram's behavior,



Figure 1. Behavior of the Spectrogram using an analytic sinusoid

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{P}_{Spectrog.}(f,t)df = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x(\tau)|^2 w^2(\tau-t)d\tau$$
(14)

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{P}_{Spectrog.}(f,t)dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |X(\sigma)|^2 |W(f-\sigma)|^2 d\sigma$$
(15)

we note that the estimate is smeared not only in frequency but in time axis as well, a result of the sliding window operation. Another indicator of the spectrogram's reliability can be found by removing both the time and the frequency dependency. The equality expressed in (3) for stationary phenomena should have a nonstationary counterpart. Therefore the volume under the spectrogram should equal the average energy in the signal:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{P}_{Spectrog.}(f,t) df dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x(\tau)|^2 w^2(\tau-t) d\tau dt.$$
(16)

The total signal energy requirement is satisfied only if the average energy of the window is equal to unity. The spectrogram represents a closer approximation of the instantaneous energy changes relative to the short-time Fourier transform; however, temporal smearing is now unavoidable. [Ref. 7, 8]

3. Correlator/Matched Filter

The spectrogram estimator can be implemented as a bank of bandpass filters,

$$\hat{P}_{Corr}(f_o,t) = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\tau) h_{f_o}^*(t+\tau) d\tau \right|^2.$$
(17)

The signal is correlated with

$$h_{f_o}(t) = w(-t)e^{-j2\pi f_o t},$$
(18)

centered at each frequency of interest, f_o and weighted according to some general lowpass characteristic, w(-t). The output of each bandpass filter is then magnitude squared, creating an instantaneous energy representation of the signal as a function of time and center frequency. Since the signal is known, the general passband characteristic can be replaced by a matched filter. Commonly used in a radar environment, each filter tests for round trip time delay and Doppler shift. The filters possess finite bandwidth and hence spectral resolution finer than dictated by the filters is impossible. Similarly, resolution along the time axis is limited by the impulse response of the filters [Ref. 5].

4. Autoregressive Modeling

Autoregressive modeling can be adapted to fit slowly-varying spectral characteristics. How slowly the frequency fluctuations must occur depends on the actual process in question. In general, as the signal rotates away from the pole of the AR filter, broadening of the peak results. As the correlation function is time-dependent, suitable accuracy in the AR coefficients requires an embedded time dependence. This particular modification is discussed in a later section.

B. TIME-DEPENDENT SPECTRAL TECHNIQUES

Adapting stationary techniques to the nonstationary case is only marginally successful. There have been many attempts to describe the variation of signal energy, a function of both time and frequency, as a multivariable density. More appropriately, the simultaneous distribution of signal energy in time and frequency requires definition. Each technique has its merits, and each its own peculiarities. In 1966, a generalized phase-space distribution function was proposed [Ref. 9], which can be used to derive many of the more popular time-frequency representations. This generalized distribution function is

$$C(f,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(v,\tau) x(t_1 + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^*(t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}) e^{j2\pi(vt_1 - vt - f\tau)} dv \, dt_1 \, d\tau.$$
(19)

The advantage in using the generalized distribution (19) lies in the ability to define properties belonging to all representations derived in this manner. The distribution depends on the choice of $\Phi(v, \tau)$, referred to as the kernel of equation (19). An excellent presentation of the relationship between particular time-frequency distributions and (19) can be found in [Ref. 10] and is summarized below.

1. The Running Spectrum

a. Using Only the Past and Current Data

In 1952 C.H. Page [Ref. 11] derived a time-frequency presentation arguing that one has knowledge of the signal up to and inc¹ ding time t, but its future values are unknown. He defined a running transform, looking backwards over all previous data as

$$X_{t}^{-}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} x(t_{1})e^{-j2\pi f t_{1}}dt_{1},$$
(20)

where the superscript (-) indicates that the signal has been observed over the interval $(-\infty, t)$. By differentiating the squared magnitude of (20) with respect to time

$$P^{-}(f,t) = \frac{\delta}{\delta t} |X_{t}^{-}(f)|^{2}, \qquad (21)$$

the running spectrum suggested by Page results. Substituting (20) into (21) and computing the partial derivative leads to the following alternate form

$$P^{-}(f,t) = 2Re[x^{*}(t)X_{t}^{-}(f)e^{j2\pi ft}].$$
(22)

M.H. Ackroyd has argued [Ref. 5] that (22) is a finite duration approximation relative to the physical measurement of a true time-varying energy distribution. He suggests that the true time-varying spectrum for a real-valued signal can be expressed as

$$x(t)Re[X(f)e^{j2\pi ft}] = x(t)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\tau)\cos(2\pi f(t-\tau))d\tau,$$
(23)

the product of the response of a linear filter driven by the signal and the signal itself. The implementation suggested by (23) is shown in Figure 2 (a). It requires an infinitely narrow filter with a noncausal impulse response. To deal with the causality issue, the impulse response is modified by a unit step function,

$$x(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\tau) u(t-\tau) \cos(2\pi f(t-\tau)) d\tau = x(t) \int_{-\infty}^{t} x(\tau) \cos(2\pi f(t-\tau)) d\tau$$

= $x(t) Re[X_t^-(f)e^{j2\pi ft}]$
= $\frac{1}{2} P^-(f,t),$ (24)



Figure 2. Time-Frequency Distribution Models

which is a scaled version of (22). Figure 2 (b) suggests a practical means of measuring a time-dependent spectrum. For ease of comparison, Figure 2 (c) shows the implementation of a distribution discussed on pages 14-15. The distribution of a signal's energy as proposed by Page is limited in spectral resolution; i.e., limited by the finite bandwidth of the filter. It represents an improvement over the spectrogram which was found to be smeared in both the time and frequency directions.

Page's distribution can be generated from the generalized equation (19) using the kernel function

$$\Phi(v,\tau) = e^{/\pi v |\tau|},\tag{25}$$

which allows one to write (21) in yet another form.

$$P^{-}(f,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{j\pi v |\tau|} x(t_{1} + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^{*}(t_{1} - \frac{\tau}{2}) e^{j2\pi (vt_{1} - vt - f\tau)} dv dt_{1} d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t_{1} + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^{*}(t_{1} - \frac{\tau}{2}) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{j2\pi v \left(t_{1} + \frac{|\tau|}{2} - t \right)} dv \right] e^{-j2\pi f\tau} dt_{1} d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t_{1} + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^{*}(t_{1} - \frac{\tau}{2}) \delta(t_{1} + \frac{|\tau|}{2} - t) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} dt_{1} d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{0} x^{*}(t) x(t + \tau) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau + \int_{0}^{\infty} x(t) x^{*}(t - \tau) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau$$

$$= 2Rc [x^{*}(t) X_{1}^{-}(f) e^{j2\pi ft}].$$
(26)

Equation (26) can be interpreted as the Fourier transform of an estimate of the true time-varying ACF, where

$$\hat{R}(t,\tau) = x^*(t)x(t+\tau) \quad for -\infty < \tau < 0$$

= $x(t)x^*(t-\tau) \quad for 0 < \tau < \infty.$ (27)

The behavior of this distribution can be seen in Figure 3 (a). As time increases, the amplitude of the signal continually increases and the true frequency location becomes more localized.

13





b. Using Only the Future and Current Data

In 1967, M.J. Levin [Ref. 12] extended the concept of a running transform to include

$$X_{t}^{+}(f) = \int_{t}^{\infty} x(t_{1}) e^{-f2\pi f t_{1}} dt_{1}, \qquad (28)$$

where the superscript (+) indicates only those data values occurring at or later than time t are to be considered. The equivalent to (22) is

$$P^{+}(f,t) = -\frac{\delta}{\delta t} |X_{t}^{+}(f)|^{2}$$

= 2Re[x*(t)X_{t}^{+}(f)e^{j2\pi ft}], (29)

and the corresponding kernel function is

$$\Phi(v,\tau) = e^{-j\pi v |\tau|}.$$
(30)

The behavior of this future term can be seen in Figure 4. Maximum frequency localization occurs early in the distribution, decreasing continually as time progresses.

c. Using All of the Data

Assigning equal weight to the past and future terms Levin defined the instantaneous power spectrum (IPS) as the average of the two running spectra,



Figure 4. Behavior of Levin's distribution for an analytic sinusoid

$$IPS(f,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[X_t^+(f) + X_t^-(f) \right]$$

= $Re \left\{ x^*(t) e^{j2\pi ft} \left[P_t^+(f) + P_t^-(f) \right] \right\}$
= $Re \left[x^*(t) X(f) e^{j2\pi ft} \right].$ (31)

Figure 2 (c) suggests a method whereby the IPS may be generated. IPS, like Page's distribution, requires a noncausal infinitely narrow bandwidth filter. Modifying the impulse response in order to create a realizable filter [Ref. 13, 14] causes both temporal and spectral smoothing, [Ref. 15, pp. 26-28]. The two terms of IPS can be interpreted as follows. The past term contains information of the energy and energy flow to create the signal up to time t. The future term contains the information about the energy and energy flow of the signal after time t [Ref. 6].

2. Instantaneous Power Spectrum (IPS)

IPS can be derived from the generalized time-frequency distribution using the kernel function:

$$\Phi(v,\tau) = \cos(\pi v \tau). \tag{32}$$

This kernel, $\cos \pi v \tau$, can be formed by taking one half the sum of the kernels for the past and future running spectra. Substituting (32) into (19) and simplifying gives



Figure 5. Behavior of IPS for an analytic sinucoid

$$IPS(f,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos \pi v \tau \, x(t_1 + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^*(t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}) e^{f2\pi(vt_1 - vt - f\tau)} dv \, dt_1 \, d\tau$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x(t) \, x^*(t - \tau) + x^*(t) \, x(t + \tau)) e^{-f2\pi f\tau} d\tau$
= $Re \left[x(t) \, X^*(f) \, e^{-f2\pi f\tau} \right]$ (33)

It is important to note that the terms in the integrand of (33) do not bear a direct relationship to the past and future spectra defined previously by (31). In this form, each term in the sum spans all the data and therefore contains contributions from both running spectra [Ref. 15]. The ACF estimate as defined by IPS is

$$\hat{R}_{IPS}(t,\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x(t) x^*(t-\tau) + x^*(t) x(t+\tau) \right) \quad for -\infty < \tau < \infty.$$
(34)

By comparing Figure 3 and Figure 4, the behavior of IPS as shown in Figure 5, demonstrates an improvement in end-point resolution where the main ridge is most narrow at the center of the duration.

3. Rihaczek Distribution (RD)

Derived from physical considerations [Ref. 5,16], the complex energy or Rihaczek distribution (RD) is

$$RD(f,t) = x(t)X^{*}(f)e^{-f2\pi ft}.$$
(35)

Since the real part of a complex function is equal to the real part of the complex conjugate of that function, it is obvious the IPS as defined in (31) is equivalent (33), the real part of (35). This relationship is depicted in \cdot igure 2 (c). As yet, there is no satisfactory interpretation of the imaginary part of the Rihaczek distribution (ImRD), although its computation leads to an increase in spectral localization over that of IPS for certain signals. A closer look at the behavior of IPS and RD can be found in Chapter IV.

There exists a relationship between the spectrogram estimate and the RD. Rewriting (13)

$$\hat{P}_{Spectrog.}(f,t) = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\tau)w(\tau-t)e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau \right|^{2}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\tau)w(\tau-t)e^{-j2\pi f\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{*}(t_{1})w^{*}(t_{1}-\tau)e^{j2\pi ft_{1}} dt_{1} d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\tau)w(\tau-t)e^{-j2\pi f\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^{*}(\sigma)W^{*}(f-\sigma)e^{j2\pi (f-\sigma)t} d\sigma d\tau \qquad (36)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\tau)X^{*}(\sigma)e^{-j2\pi \sigma\tau}w(\tau-t)W^{*}(f-\sigma)e^{j2\pi (f-\sigma)(\tau-t)} d\sigma d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} RD_{x}(\sigma,\tau)RD_{w}(f-\sigma,\tau-t)d\sigma d\tau,$$

where RD(f,t) is defined by (35). Equation (36) shows the spectrogram to be the 2-D convolution of the RD of the data with the RD of the window function. [Ref. 6, 15]

The complex energy distribution can be generated from (19) using the kernel function

$$\Phi(v,\tau) = e^{j\pi v\tau}.$$
(37)

Substituting (37) into (36) results in

$$RD(f,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{j\pi v\tau} x(t_1 + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^*(t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}) e^{j2\pi(vt_1 - vt - f\tau)} dv dt_1 d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t_1 + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^*(t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{j2\pi v(t_1 + \frac{\tau}{2} - t)} dv \right] e^{-j2\pi f\tau} dt_1 d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t_1 + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^*(t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}) \delta(t_1 + \frac{\tau}{2} - t) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} dt_1 d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) x^*(t - \tau) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau$$

$$= x(t) \lambda^{*}(f) e^{-j2\pi ft}.$$

(38)

Equation (38) can also interpreted as the Fourier transform of an ACF estimate, where

$$\hat{R}(t,\tau) = x(t)x^*(t-\tau) \quad for -\infty < \tau < \infty.$$
(39)

Because RD is complex, this ACF estimate cannot be an even function of the shift variable, τ . This suggests that it is the nonstationarities of a process which lead to an ACF which is partially odd. The behavior of this particular distribution is shown in Figues 5 and 6. Figure 5 shows the behavior of IPS for an analytic sinusoid. IPS is equivalent to the real part of the RD. The imaginary part, shown in Figure 6 (a) and (b), demonstrates an improved sensitivity to rapid changes in signal energy as a function of frequency. The behavior of the RD is discussed in more detail in Chapter IV.

4. Wigner-Ville Distribution (WD)

Originally introduced by Wigner in a quantum mechanical context [Ref. 17] and extended to signal analysis applications by Ville [Ref. 18], the Wigner-Ville distribution (WD) is another valid representation of a signal's energy as a function of both time and frequency. The WD can be generated from (19) using

$$\Phi(v,\tau) = 1 \tag{40}$$

as the kernel function. Substituting (40) into (19) results in



Figure 6. Behavior of ImRD for an analytic sinusoid

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^* \left(t - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) x\left(t + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) e^{-j2\pi/\tau} d\tau.$$
(41)

The WD can be interpreted as the Fourier transform of an ACF estimate defined by

$$\hat{R}_{WD}(t,\tau) = x^* \left(t - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) x \left(t + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) \quad for - \infty < \tau < \infty.$$
(42)

Since the WD is always real, this ACF estimate possesses even symmetry about the point of zero lag. An example of the WD is shown in Figure 7.

5. Time-varying Autoregressive Models

An appropriate AR model for time-varying spectra contains an embedded time dependency. In so doing, the model would be able to track a spectral peak minimizing the effects of broadening. Rewriting equation (12) to reflect this time dependence,

$$\hat{P}_{AR}(f,t) = \frac{1}{\left|\sum_{l=0}^{p} a_{l}(t)e^{-j2\pi f t}\right|^{2}}.$$
(43)

where the coefficients are given by



Figure 7. . Behavior of WD for an analytic sinusoid

$$a_{i}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_{k,l} \phi_{k}(t).$$
(44)

The $\{a_{i,k}\}_{k=0}^{K}$ represent the weights of a sum of ortho-normal basis functions. An appropriate selection of ortho-normal basis set ideally uses some *a priori* knowledge of the spectrum under investigation. The time-varying AR model order is (K + 1)P, requiring the estimation of (K + 1) times more coefficients relative to the stationary analog. Whether one can accurately model the process with a manageable number of coefficients will depend on the particular process at hand.

IV. COMPARISON OF T-F DISTRIBUTIONS

A. THEORETICAL RELATIONSHIPS

Equation (36) shows that the spectrogram can be represented as the 2-D convolution of the RD of the signal with the RD of the window. A similar expression can be derived relating the spectrogram to the WD. Both RD and WD can be derived from the generalized distribution formula (19), as can many other t-f representations. It turns out that any particular distribution, C(f,t), whose kernel function satisfies

$$\Phi(v,\tau) \Phi(-v,\tau) = 1, \qquad (45)$$

can be related to the spectrogram in the following way [Ref. 10],

$$\hat{P}_{Spectrog.}(f,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C_x(v,\tau) C_w(f-v,\tau-t) dv d\tau,$$
(46)

where C_x and C_w are the generalized distribution functions of the signal and window respectively. The spectrogram itself, can be represented through the generalized equation using a kernel function

$$\Phi(v,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t + \frac{\tau}{2}) w^*(t + \frac{\tau}{2}) e^{j2\pi(-v)t} dt.$$
(47)

The right side of the equality in equation (47) is the ambiguity function (AF) of the window, w(t) [Ref. 6]. For clarity, the AF is defined as [Ref. 8]

$$\chi_{xx}(v,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) x^{*}(t+\tau) e^{-j2\pi v t} dt$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t_{1} - \frac{\tau}{2}) x^{*}(t_{1} + \frac{\tau}{2}) e^{-j2\pi v t_{1}} dt_{1}$$

$$= \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t_{1} + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^{*}(t_{1} - \frac{\tau}{2}) e^{j2\pi v t_{1}} dt_{1} \right]^{*}.$$
(48)

So far, we have seen that a relationship exists between the spectrogram and certain other distribution functions (46), and that the spectrogram can be generated using a modified form of the AF as its kernel. In fact, all the distributions discussed thus far can be related through the AF. Rewriting (19) in a slightly different form,

$$C(f,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(v,\tau) x(t_1 + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^*(t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}) e^{j2\pi(vt_1 - vt - f\tau)} dv dt_1 d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\Phi(v,\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t_1 + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^*(t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}) e^{j2\pi vt_1} dt_1 \right] e^{-j2\pi(vt + f\tau)} dv d\tau \quad (49)$$

$$= F_{v,\tau} \left[\Phi(v,\tau) \right]_{f=t}^{*\pi} F_{v,\tau} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t_1 + \frac{\tau}{2}) x^*(t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}) e^{j2\pi vt_1} dt_1 \right],$$

where the generalized distribution is shown to be the 2-D convolution of the double Fourier transform of the kernel with the double Fourier transform of the complex conjugate of the AF. Table 1 lists the distributions discussed thus far, along with their respective kernels.

Distributions	C(f.t)	Kernel - Φ(υ, τ)
Spectrogram	$\left \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\tau) w(\tau - t) e^{-j2\pi/\tau} d\tau\right ^2$	$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t+\frac{\tau}{2}) w^{*}(t-\frac{\tau}{2}) e^{-j2\pi v t} dt$
Page	$\frac{\delta}{\delta t} \ X_t^-\ ^2$	e ^{j τν} [τ]
Levin	$-\frac{\delta}{\delta t} X_t^- ^2$	$e^{-j\pi v}\frac{1+1}{2}$
IPS	$Re[x(t) X^{*}(f) e^{-j2\pi/t}]$	COS πυτ
RD	$x(t) X^*(f) e^{-j2\pi ft}$	e-j#st
WD .	$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t+\frac{\tau}{2}) x^{*}(t-\frac{\tau}{2}) e^{-j2\pi/\tau} d\tau$	1

Table 1. DISTRIBUTIONS AND CORRESPONDING KERNEL FUNCTIONS

B. GENERAL PROPERTIES

Comparing Figure 1 and Figures 3 through 7, it is apparent that the particular kernel function has a tremendous influence on the particular properties of the resulting

distribution. From a spectral point of view, an appropriate t-f spectral representation, hence appropriate kernel function, should ensure certain properties. These properties and corresponding kernel restrictions, if any, are listed in Table 2 below. [Ref. 10, 15, 19, 20, 21]

Of the many distributions discussed, none possess all the desired characteristics. The choice of a particular t-f representation depends on the application at hand. For practical applications, the properties ascribed to the various distributions in Table 2 must be re-evaluated. When using windowed data, the resulting WD is referred to as the pseudo-Wigner distribution (PWD). Similarly modified versions of IPS and RD are indicated by the subscript (y), where $y \equiv x(t) w(t)$ is the product of the data with some window function. Considering the linear operation of filtering, predictable distortion of the signal's true spectrum is encountered. In Table 3 a summary of the effects of four linear operations as they relate to time-dependent spectra is given. [Ref. 10, 15, 19]

C. RELATIVE PERFORMANCE

In the previous section it was shown that the spectrogram is related to certain generalized distributions through a 2-D convolution. It was further shown that any generalized distribution is the 2-D convolution of the double Fourier transform of the kernel function with the double Fourier transform of the complex conjugate of the ambiguity function (AF). All these inter-relationships are interesting. By looking at the relative performance of certain t-f distributions, insight into the benefits and disadvantages characteristic of a specific representation are easily seen. The distributions compared in this section are:

- Spectrogram
- IPS_y
- $ImRD_y$, the imaginary part of RD_y
- 2 linear, magnitude combinations of the real and imaginary parts of RD,
- PWD,

where the subscript (y) indicates the use of windowed data.

1. Experimental Analysis

Seven test signals, in the absence of noise, where considered. What follows is a brief description of the true time frequency behavior of the analytic signals and a list of the particular processing parameters of the digital implementations. Unless otherwise specified, all data is 128 points in duration, using a 1024 point FFT algorithm. Two

	Properties	Kernel constraints	Distributions					
	$x(t) \rightarrow C(f,t)$		1	2	3	4	5	6
7	$x(t_0) = 0 \rightarrow C(f, t_0) = 0$			x	x	x	x	x
Zero energy	$X(f_0) = 0 \rightarrow C(f_0, t) = 0$			x	x	x	x	
Time shift	$x(t-t_0) \rightarrow C(f, t-t_0)$	$\Phi(v, \tau)$ must be independent						
Freq. shift	$x(t) e^{j2r/0t} \rightarrow C(f - f_0, t)$	quency	X	x	x	x	x	
Positive	$C(f,t) \geq 0 \forall f,t$	$F_{\upsilon,\tau}[\Phi(\upsilon,\tau)] \geq 0$	x					
Real	$C(f,t) = C^*(-f,t)$	$\Phi(v,\tau) = \Phi^*(-v,-\tau)$	x	x	x	x		x
Marginal in t	$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(f,t) df = \ x(t)\ ^2$	$\Phi(v,0) = 1 \forall v$		x	x	x	x	x
Marginal in f	$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(f,t) dt = X(f) ^2$	$\Phi(0,\tau) = 1 \ \forall \tau$		x	x	x	x	x
Group delay	$\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t C(f,t) dt}{ X(f) ^2} = T_{\mathbf{z}}$	$\Phi(0, \tau) = 0 \forall \tau$ and $\frac{\delta}{\delta t} \Phi(v, \tau) \big _{e=0} = 0$				x		x
Instantaneous frequency ¹	$\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} fC(f,t)df}{ x(t) ^2} = f_t$	$\Phi(v,0) = 0 \forall v$ and $\frac{\delta}{\delta t} \Phi(v,\tau) \big _{\tau=0} = 0$				x		x
Legend:	$1 \equiv Spect \\ 4 \equiv 1$	rogram, 2 ≡ Page, 3 ≡ Levi IPS, 5 ≡ RD, ,6 ≡ WD	n,					

 Table 2. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF T-F DISTRIBUTIONS

1 Analytic signals only

•

•

	signal	Fourier transform	Distributions			
Ideal	x (t)	X(f)	$IPS_{x}(f,t)$	$RD_{\star}(f,t)$	$WD_x(f,t)$	
time shift	$x(t-t_0)$	$X(f)e^{-j2\pi ft_0}$	$IPS_x(f,t-t_0)$	$RD_x(f,t-t_0)$	$\overline{WD_x(f,t-t_0)}$	
modu- lation	$x(t)e^{j2\pi j_0 t}$	$X(f-f_0)$	$IPS_{x}(f-f_{0}.t)$	$RD_x(f-f_0,t)$	$WD_x(f-f_0,t)$	
window- ing	x(t) w(t)	$X(f) \stackrel{*}{\underset{f}{\longrightarrow}} W(f)$	$Re \left[RD_{x}(f,t)^{*}RD_{w}(f,t) \right]$	$\frac{RD_x(f,t)^*RD_w(f,t)}{f}$	$WD_{\mathbf{x}}(f,t)^{*}_{f}WD_{\mathbf{w}}(f,t)$	
filtering	$x(t) \stackrel{*}{\underset{t}{t}} h(t)$	X(1) W(1)	$Re \left[RD_{x}(f,t.)_{t}^{*}RD_{*}(f,t) \right]$	$RD_x(f,t)^*_tRD_w(f,t)$	$WD_x(f,t,) \stackrel{*}{\underset{t}{}} WD_w(f,t)$	

Table 3. LINEAR OPERATIONS

different Hamming window functions are used depending on the type of spectra analyzed, stationary, non-stationary, or a combination of both. Except for the spectrogram, all distributions have been smoothed in the time direction using a 5-cell box-car average, centered at the time of interest. The test signals used were:

- 1. Single component., analytic sinusoid computed as $e^{i2\pi \frac{36.3}{128}n}$, where $1 \le n \le 128$, using a 127 point Hamming window,
- 2. Two component., analytic signal computed as $e^{j2\pi \frac{36.3}{128}n} + e^{j2\pi \frac{38.0}{128}n}$, where $1 \le n \le 128$, using a 127 point Hamming window,
- 3. Single component, analytic linearly chirped signal computed as $e^{i2\pi} \frac{n}{128} \left(\frac{5.0 10(\frac{r}{128})}{n} \right)$, where $1 \le n \le 128$, using a 55 point Hamming window,
- 4. Two parallel., analytic., linearly chirped signals computed as $e^{i2\pi \frac{n}{128}(5.0+10(\frac{n}{128}))} + e^{i2\pi \frac{n}{128}(15.0+10(\frac{n}{128}))}$, where $1 \le n \le 128$, using a 55 point Hamming window,
- 5. Single component, analytic, quadratically chirped signal computed as $e^{i2\pi} \frac{r}{128} \left(\frac{5.0 10(\frac{n}{128})^2}{128} \right)$, where $1 \le n \le 128$, using a 55 point Hamming window,
- 6. Multi-component signal comprised of a stationary sinusoid, a linearly chirped and a quadratically chirped component computed as $e^{i2n} \frac{550}{125}n + e^{i2\pi} \frac{n}{125} (25.0 1^{-1}(\frac{n}{125})) + e^{i2\pi} \frac{n}{125} (2.0 15(\frac{n}{125})^2)$, where $1 \le n \le 128$, using a 55 point Hamming window,
- 7. Frequency shift keyed (FSK) signal computed as $e^{i2\pi \frac{10.2}{128}n}$, for $1 \le n < 24$ and $56 \le n \le 128$, and $e^{i2\pi \frac{49.3}{128}n}$ for $24 \le n < 56$, using a 55 point Hamming window.

2. Highlights of the Analysis

To judge the accuracy of component placement along the frequency axis, the performance of *IPS*, is compared to that of the spectrogram in Figure 8. Using a stationary, single component, analytic signal both spectra have good end-point resolution.



Figure 8. Amplitude plots of a stationary analytic sinusoid

Where the spectrogram presents a stationary spectral ridge with increasing amplitude near the center of the t-f plane, IPS_y shows a fairly constant amplitude, stationary spectral ridge which is wider by comparison. Equation (36) describes the spectrogram as a 2-D convolution of the RD of the signal and the RD of the window. The apparent superior resolution of the spectrogram over IPS_y , the real part of the RD, indicates that the imaginary part of the RD contains some important spectral information. The imaginary part is formed as

$$ImRD(f,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x(t) x^{*}(t-\tau) - x^{*}(t) x(t+\tau)) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau.$$
(50)

Using the kernel function

$$\Phi(v,\tau) = j \sin \pi v \tau, \tag{51}$$

equation (50) can be derived from (19). It is obvious that the kernel for the RD is the sum of the kernels for IPS and ImRD. As to the behavior of $ImRD_r$, a windowed version of (50), demonstrates an improved sensitivity to spectral change relative to PWD and


Figure 9. ImRD, for a stationary, analytic sinusoid

 IPS_{y} which is shown in Figure 9. In particular, using a single-component, linearly chirped signal, the zero crossings of $ImRD_{y}$ occur near the true frequency location. In Figure 10, the relative performance between PWD, IPS_{y} and $ImRD_{y}$ for a single-component, linearly chirped, analytic signal can be compared. One can see that $ImRD_{y}$ has an improvement in resolution over that of IPS, comparable to that achieved by PWD. Where both IPS_{y} and PWD rely on the sharpness of the spectral ridge for resolution, $ImRD_{y}$ relies on the zero crossings.

The $ImRD_y$ has large values near the time of frequency change, with the amplitude approaching zero otherwise. This behavior is clearly demonstrated in Figure 9 where a single component stationary signal is shown to have a nonzero imaginary spectra, greatest in amplitude near the beginning and end of the life of the signal. In Figure 8 (a), IPS_y presents a spectral ridge which, although rounded at the endpoints, grows increasingly more narrow toward the center of time. By forming a linear combination of IPS_y and $ImRD_y$, an overall improvement in spectral resolution for some signals can be achieved. One such linear combination is

$$|RD_{y}(f,t)|^{2} = |IPS_{y}(f,t)|^{2} + |ImRD_{y}(f,t)|^{2}.$$
(52)

Another combination can be formed taking the difference of magnitudes,

$$|RD_{y}(f,t)|^{2} \equiv |IPS_{y}(f,t)|^{2} - |ImRD_{y}(f,t)|^{2}.$$
(53)



Figure 10. Contour plots of a single-component linear chirp

Equation (52) results in a nonnegative spectrum. Equation (53), unfortunately, can have negative values.

For stationary data, $|LD_{j}|^{2}$ represents an improvement in the end-point resolution relative to *IPS*_j as can be seen in Figure 11. When two stationary components are present *IPS*_j, *ImRD*_j and both linear combinations produce modulated spectra. The modulation effect is related to the difference frequency. PWD produces a spectrum having cross-terms midway between the true components. The cross-terms are also related to the difference frequency. IRD_j and PWD for



Figure 11. Contour plots of a single-component, stationary analytic sinusoid

a two-component analytic signal is shown in Figure 12. Using 128 point data sets at a sampling frequency of 128, classical analysis predicts component resolution where the separation is at least 0.89 Hz [Ref. 4]. *IPS*, is capable of resolving two components separated by at least 1.25 Hz. $|RD_r|^2$ and PWD are able to resolve two components separated by at least 0.6 Hz, an improvement over classical analysis. Figure 13 is a graph depicting the relative location of the spectral peaks as a function of frequency and time. *IPS*, after an initial settling, consistently places the spectral peaks demonstrating a bias which is not symmetric. $|RD_r|^2$, similar to *IPS*, consistently places the spectral peaks the spectral peaks but with a symmetric bias. PWD succeeds in making the nearest approximation to the true component locations demonstrating a bias which is not symmetric. The placement of these spectral peaks does not appear to settle at one location as appears to be the case for the Rihaczek-derived distributions; however in a mean-squared error comparison, PWD appears to be superior.

The ImRD, is also capable of resolving two closely-spaced, narrow-band, stationary components. Instead of searching for spectral peaks, ImRD, characteristically detects the zero crossings which yield information in this spectrum. In Figure 14, the behavior of ImRD, using two closely-spaced stationary sinusoids can be seen.

To study the behavior of any spectral estimator of nonstationary phenomena we begin by considering a single-component, linearly chirped, analytic test signal. The relative performance of $IPS_{,,}$ $ImRD_{,}$ and PWD was discussed previously, see also Figure 10. The behavior of the two linear magnitude combinations can be seen in Fig-



(c) Amplitude plot of $|RD_y|^2$ Figure 12. Amplitude plots of a two component stationary, analytic sinusoid

ure 15. Forming the difference, $|RD_{j}|^{2}$ creates a spectral ridge comparable in width to *IPS*_y but with a better defined peak. Detection using $|RD_{j}|^{2}$ should be thus be improved. Forming the sum, $|RD_{j}|^{2}$ completely resolves IPS into its past and future terms, the distributions defined by Page and Levin. Component location using $|RD_{j}|^{2}$ requires detection of the minimum occurring between the two resolved ridges, making detection using $|RD_{j}|^{2}$ questionable. In the presence of noise, the separation *IPS*_y into component parts will lead to difficulty in interpretation. The ability of *IPS*_y to properly locate the instantaneous frequency for a linear chirped signal is shown in Figure 16. The lo-



Figure 13. Graph depicting accuracy of stationary component placement

cation of the peaks in *IPS*, coincide with the true instantaneous spectral locations for a slow chirp. Early in the spectrum, when the future term in dominant, *IPS*, tends to place the instantaneous frequency higher than truth. On the other hand, late in the spectra when the past term is dominant, *IPS*, tends to place the instantaneous frequency lower than truth. Doubling the chirp rate results in a greater frequency ambiguity as seen by the two terms which compose *IPS*, Looking at Figure 16, this is demonstrated by the apparent random placement by *IPS*, of the spectral peaks, neither the future nor past term seems to be favored as the maximum peak location.

Next, a test signal composed of two, parallel, linearly chirped, analytic signals is considered. The spectra resulting from IPS_y and PWD is shown in Figure 17. Similar



Figure 14. ImRD, for a two-component stationary, analytic sinu. d



Figure 15. Relative performance for a single-component linear chirp

to the stationary, two-component case, modulation and cross-terms fluctuating at the difference frequency are present in IPS_y and PWD, respectively. Neither of the two linear combinations of magnitudes of the component parts of the Rihaczek distribution, was able to improve on the resolution achieved by IPS_y . Moving from the peaks to the valleys, $ImRD_y$ can be seen to discriminate between the two chirps as a function of the zero crossings. This is demonstrated in Figure 18 where a pattern of zero crossings allows the eye to discern the two components.



Figure 16. Graph depicting accuracy of IPS in locating the instantaneous frequency



Figure 17. Behavior of *IPS*, and PWD for 2 parallel linear chirps

Examination of the spectra for more complicated signal suggests a relative ranking in terms of resolution among the different power distributions discussed thus far. The test signal is composed of a high frequency stationary component and two chirped components, one a linear chirp and the other a quadratic chirp. Considering first those spectra which estimate frequency location as the point of maximum power, PWD produces the most narrow ridges; see Figure 19 (a). It suffers from poor end-point resolution and spectral cross-terms. $|RD_y|^2$, seen in Figure 19 (b), produces well-defined periodic peaks along the instantaneous frequency path of the nonstationary components. The stationary component ridge is broadened, with the modulation effect most



Figure 18. ImRD, of two parallel linear chirps





Figure 19. A combination of stationary and nonstationary spectral components

apparent near the end-points. $|RD_{y}|^{2}$ would be difficult to interpret as it resolves the past and future terms for the nonstationary components. Looking for the zeros, $ImRD_{y}$ accurately describes the location of the nonstationary components, but provides little information during parts of the stationary signals existence. Again, Figure 19 (c) requires pattern recognition to be able to discern the individual, dynamic components.

Considering a pulsed spectra, such as in FSK modulation, $|RD_y|^2$ presents a narrow ridge with good resolution throughout the duration of each pulse. The ridge width for each pulse in the PWD spectral description is dependent upon the pulse du-



Figure 20. Contour plots for a complex anlaytic FSK signal

ration. The longest pulse showing the most narrow ridge, one which is slightly more narrow than what is found with $[RD_{j}]^{2}$. These two spectra are shown in Figure 20. PWD has a slow build up and decay at the ends of each pulse. There appears to be a trade-off between the width of a spectral ridge and end-point resolution.

3. Test Case Results

Figures of the spectral distributions resulting from the six t-f representations are contained in this section. They are ordered by the type of test signal under analysis.

a. Single-component, Analytic Sinusoid

Using the spectrogram as the reference, the resolution ability of five additional t-f distributions is compared in Figure 21 - Figure 24. PWD presents a welldefined spectral ridge near mid-plane, but suffers from a sluggish built-up and decay. *IPS*, presents a wider main-lobe relative to PWD which is compensated somewhat by an increase in end-point resolution. $|RD_y|^2$ provides the best end-point resolution, maintaining constant amplitude throughout the plane. $|RD_y|^2$ proves to be more sluggish than PWD and *ImRD*, demonstrates an improved response near the time of spectral change.



Figure 21. Test signal 1: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and PWD



Figure 22. Test signal 1: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and PWD



Figure 23. Test signal 1: amplitude plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$



Figure 24. Test signal 1: contour plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$

b. Two-component, Analytic Signal

The spectrogram and all five t-f distributions shown in Figure 25 through Figure 28 display a distorted spectrum. This distortion exists for a minimal time in the spectrogram estimate. In the case of IPS_y and PWD, the distortion is related to the difference frequency. IPS_y shows modulation of each component; PWD contains additional peaks oscillating at the difference frequency. The modulation effect characteristic of Rihaczek-derived distributions makes the spectra using $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$ extremely difficult to interpret. $|RD_y|^2$ suffers also from the modulation effect; however, this magnitude combination enhances resolution relative to IPS_y , making it easier to detect the presence of two components.

c. Single-component, Analytic, Linearly Chirped Signal

The inadequacy of assuming local stationarity is clearly demonstrated in Figure 29 (a) and Figure 30 (a) for the spectrogram, where the slope of the instantaneous frequency line is distorted and broadened near the end-points. Both IPS, and PWD shown in Figure 29 (b) and (c), and Figure 30 (b) and (c) maintain a better approximation to the instantaneous frequency slope neat the end-points. Characteristically, IPS_{ν} presents a broadened spectral ridge whereas PWD decays to zero at the start and stop of the chirp. Looking at the spectrum created by $|RD_r|^2$, Figure 31 (a) and Figure 32 (a), the future and past terms which make up the RD are clearly visible. Although $|RD_{1}|^{2}$ is an all-positive distribution possessing many desirable properties, this characteristic resolution quality makes it difficult to interpret more complicated spectra. The two remaining Rihaczek-related distributions represent improvements over the ability of IPS, to pinpoint the instantaneous frequency as a function of time. $|RD_{y}|^{2}$ in Figure 31 (b) and in Figure 32 (b) shows a spectral ridge more narrow than that for PWD. ImRD, while accurately locating the instantaneous frequency, requires the detection of zero crossings. For particular detection schemes information thus provided may be appropriate.

d. Two Parallel, Analytic, Linearly Chirped Signals

For this test signal, the spectrogram spectral estimates shown in Figure 33 (a) and Figure 34 (a) is unacceptable. The comments made previously concerning the distortion in the spectra when when two closely spaced, parallel, stationary components can also be applied to this nonstationary case (see Figure 33 - Figure 36). In the case of PWD, not only are cross-terms oscillating between the true components present but the spectral ridges themselves show the effects of modulation. $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$ do not show promise as estimation tools for closely-spaced frequency components. It is



Figure 25. Test signal 2: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD

interesting to note however, that $|RD_y|^2$ resolve the past and future terms for each component similar to the behavior shown in Figure 31 (a). $|RD_y|^2$ shows very sharp peaks along the modulated instantaneous frequency lines of the two linear chirps. Because these peaks are the largest peaks in the plane, $|RD_y|^2$ from a practical view point, appears to be more suited toward the analysis of this type of signal than PWD where the cross terms periodically represent the dominant peak.



Figure 26. Test signal 2: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and PWD



Figure 27. Test signal 2: amplitude plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$



Figure 28. Test signal 2: contour plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$

44



Figure 29. Test signal 3: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD



.

Figure 30. Test signal 3: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS_{y} and PWD



Figure 31. Test signal 3: amplitude plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$



Figure 32. Test signal 3: contour plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$



Figure 33. Test signal 4: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and PWD



Figure 34. Test signal 4: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and PWD



Figure 35. Test signal 4: amplitude plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$



Figure 36. Test signal 4: contour plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$

e. Single-component, Analytic, Quadratically Chirped Signal

The ability of the spectrogram and these 5 t-f distributions to accurately display more rapid spectral dynamics can be compared in Figure 37 to Figure 40. Not surprisingly, the spectrogram presents a poor estimate. IPS_y demonstrates a spectral ridge which tends to broaden as a function of time, making it difficult to ascertain the actual instantaneous frequency curve. PWD, although zero at the end-points, tracks the chirp closely presenting a ridge along the line of instantaneous frequency as narrow as that found for the linear chirp. $|RD_y|^2$ appears to be provide the most narrow ridge. Both PWD and $|RD_y|^2$ show amplitude modulation along the peak of the curve. As to the remaining spectra, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$ behave in a manner similar to the case of the linear chirp and thus do n, appear particularly suited to this class of signal.

f. Multi-component Analytic Signal

How the various spectral estimation techniques perform when confronted with a mixture of stationary and nonstationary dynamics is demonstrated in Figure 41 through Figure 44. This test signal is composed a high frequency stationary component and two chirped components, a linear chirp and a quadratic chirp. Their relative performance suggests a ranking in terms of desirability as an estimator of continuously changing spectral components. The spectrogram is predictably, the worst, followed by $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$ in ascending order of desirability. Only IPS_y , $|RD_y|^2$ and PWD present spectra which closely resemble the true signal components. All three show a broadened ridge for the stationary component relative to the single stationary component case examined previously. This results from using a shorter window. As expected, $|RD_y|^2$ sharpens the modulation peaks found in its own spectrum and that of IPS_y . A comparison of $|RD_y|^2$ and PWD is also quite characteristic. The price paid for increased end-point resolution and elimination of the spectral cross-terms is a broadening of the spectral ridge and the appearance of modulation along the crest of the spectral ridges.

g. Complex FSK signal

In contrast to the continuously-varying frequency dynamics of the previous test case, a very different order of desirability is suggested in the case of pulsed spectral dynamics. Comparison of Figure 45 - Figure 48 shows $|RD_y|^2$ to possess superior end-point resolution ability coupled with a rapid build-up and decay of the spectral ridge relative to the true pulse dynamics. IPS_y and $|RD_y|^2$ produce similar spectra; however, the end-point build up is slower. $ImRD_y$, with its heightened sensitivity to detect spectral change appears to be an excellent indicator of the time and location of frequency



Figure 37. Test signal 5: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and PWD

change. PWD presents a sluggish build-up and decay, with the width of each spectral ridge dependent on the duration of the pulse. Furthermore, cross-terms can be seen between the two higher frequency pulses.



Figure 38. Test signal 5: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS_{y} and PWD



Figure 39. Test signal 5: amplitude plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$



Figure 40. Test signal 5: contour plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$



Figure 41. Test signal 6: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and PWD



Figure 42. Test signal 6: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS_{y} and PWD



Figure 43. Test signal 6: amplitude plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$



Figure 44. Test signal 6: contour plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$



Figure 45. Test signal 7: amplitude plots for Spectrogram, IPS_y and PWD


.

Figure 46. Test signal 7: contour plots for Spectrogram, IPS, and PWD



Figure 47. Test signal 7: amplitude plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$



Figure 48. Test signal 7: contour plots for $|RD_y|^2$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $ImRD_y$

D. ALTERNATE METHODS OF COMPUTING IPS

Equation (33), the defining equation for IPS, can be rewritten as the Fourier transform of an ACF estimate (34), namely

$$IPS(f,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{R}_{IPS}(\tau,t) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x(t)x^{*}(t-\tau) + x^{*}(t)x(t+\tau)) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau.$$
(54)

IPS for finite-duration discrete signals is

$$IPS(\theta, n) = \frac{\Delta T}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (x(n)x^{*}(n-k) + x^{*}(n)x(n+k))e^{-j\theta k},$$
(55)

where the signal sequence x(n) is finite and zero outside the known samples, and ΔT is a constant. Equation (55) can be expressed as [Ref. 15]

$$\frac{2}{\Delta T} IPS(\theta, n) - |x(n)|^2 = |X(\theta)|^2 - |X_z(\theta)|^2,$$
(56)

where

$$X(\theta) = \sum_{\substack{r=-\infty\\r=\infty}}^{\infty} x(r) e^{-j\theta r}$$

$$X_{z}(\theta) = \sum_{\substack{r=-\infty\\r=\infty\\r=\infty}}^{\infty} x(r) e^{-j\theta r}.$$
(57)

For use later in the derivation, let the Fourier transform of the point of interest be

$$D(\theta) = x(n)e^{-j\theta n}$$

$$|D(\theta)|^{2} = |x(n)|^{2}.$$
 (58)

By neglecting $|x(n)|^2$ in (56) a modified version of IPS is defined. The behavior of this modified IPS for a single-component, analytic sinusoid is shown in Figure 49 (a). Comparing this with an unwindowed version of IPS for the identical data sequence in



Figure 49. IPS

Figure 49 (b), shows the two methods of computing IPS to be very similar. The greatest difference can be seen when comparing maximum amplitudes. Modified IPS is on the order of 10^{-3} and IPS is on the order of 10. Both methods were computed using a five-cell-box-car averaging procedure along the t axis.

The question arises concerning the implementation of modified IPS using a window function. One method of windowing can be written as

$$IPS(\theta, n) = \frac{\Delta T}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (x(n)x^{*}(n-k) + x^{*}(n)x(n+k))w(k) e^{-j\theta k}.$$
 (59)

Applying the definitions in (57),

$$IPS(\theta,n) = \frac{\Delta T}{2} \left\{ x(n)e^{-j\theta n} \left(X^*(\theta)_{\theta}^* W(\theta) \right) + x^*(n)e^{j\theta n} (X(\theta)_{\theta}^* W(\theta)) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\Delta T}{2} \left\{ D(\theta) \left(X^*(\theta)_{\theta}^* W(\theta) \right) + D^*(\theta) (X(\theta)_{\theta}^* W(\theta)) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\Delta T}{2} \left\{ D(\theta) \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} X^*(p) W(\theta-p) + D^*(\theta) \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} X(p) W(\theta-p) \right\},$$
(60)

where $*_{\theta}$ denotes convolution in the frequency variable. Moving everything inside the summation sign and substituting $X_{i}(p) + D^{*}(p)$ for $X^{*}(p)$ and $X^{*}(\theta) - X_{i}^{*}(\theta)$ for $D^{*}(\theta)$ gives

$$IPS(\theta, n) = \frac{\Delta T}{2} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} (X_{z}^{*}(p) + D^{*}(p))D(\theta)W(\theta - p) + \frac{\Delta T}{2} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} (X^{*}(\theta) - X_{z}^{*}(\theta))X(p)W(\theta - p) = \frac{\Delta T}{2} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} [D(\theta)X_{z}^{*}(p) + D(\theta)D^{*}(p)]W(\theta - p) + \frac{\Delta T}{2} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} [X^{*}(\theta)X(p) - X_{z}^{*}(\theta)X(p)]W(\theta - p).$$
(61)

A straight-forward relationship between IPS and the spectral contribution of any single point in the data set is given by equation (56). Equation (61) defines an analogous relationship between (57) and (59). Unfortunately, this relationship is not so straightforward and requires additional analysis to determine the benefits, if any, to be gained from processing data in this manner.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

The comparative behavior of the spectrogram, IPS, WD and three novel t-f distributions was explored in Chapter IV. Of the three, $ImRD_v$ proves to be particularly sensitive to discontinuous changes in frequency. This characteristic behavior may be useful in certain detection applications. When confronted with more complicated spectra, one containing closely-spaced stationary components or continuously-varying nonstationary components, $ImRD_v$ does not appear particularly useful. Similarly $|RD_v|^2$ provides an improvement in end-point resolution when used for the estimation of stationary or pulsed spectra. Taking the square root of $|RD|^2$ results in a t-f distribution, denoted by $|RD_v(f,t)|$, which satisfies many of the desirable properties listed in Table 2. In particular |RD(f,t)|:

- 1. Satisfies both zero energy requirements
- 2. Obeys the time and frequency shift properties
- 3. Is positive and real for all time and frequencies.

How well $|RD_i|^2$ can track a rapidly fluctuating pulsed signal, similar to something found in frequency hopped communications, is an area worth investigating.

For detection of continuously changing spectral dynamics. $[RD_{j}]^{2}$ appears to be a viable processing scheme, however the performance of this estimator in noise needs still to be examined. Using $[RD_{j}]^{2}$ as an estimator is not without problems. Unlike [RD], taking the square root of $[RD]^{2}$ does not produce an estimator which satisfies the marginal requirements. It does however comply with the zero energy and time frequency shift properties desirable for time-dependent estimation problems. Used with stationary spectra $[RD_{j}]^{2}$ appears to improve the resolution of closely spaced components beyond that currently achieved by classical methods. For stationary spectra, $[RD_{j}]^{2}$ is a biased estimator, i.e., the true spectral peaks occur at a given fixed offset from their true frequency location. PWD also provides a resolution improvement; however the estimate it provides never settles down to one frequency location. For short duration data $[RD_{j}]^{2}$ may prove superior in the detection of multiple stationary components.

In addition to the experimental results presented in Chapter IV, IPS_y demonstrates a 3-dB noise advantage relative to PWD [Ref. 15]. Coupled with superior end-point resolution IPS may be the desirable method in practical analysis problems. All the results presented were derived from noise-free data sequences using digital implementations (see Appendix A). Because $ImRD_y$, $|RD_y|^2$ and $|RD_y|^2$ all show advantages when applied to the appropriate signal type, analysis of their noise performance is an open issue. As they are derived from the RD, as is IPS, it is likely that they enjoy a similar robustness.

Looking to practical applications of IPS, a brief discussion of performance in a multi-sensor environment can be found in Appendix B. The initial results look promising, but more extensive research needs to be conducted. A second practical application scheme involves the use of a cumulant or third-order moment. Typically associated with the sonar environment, this scheme seeks to take advantage of the fact that the odd moments of a zero-mean, gaussian noise process are identically zero. An initial investigation using IPS to compute cumulants can be found in Appendix C.

In general, WD produces very narrow spectral ridges but suffers form poor endpoint resolution and spectral artifacts. IPS provides an improvement over these shortcomings at the cost of spectral broadening. Recently appearing in the literature is another t-f distribution [Ref. 10, 22]. Defined by H.I. Choi and W.J. Williams, this t-f distribution minimizes the effects of the spectral cross-terms. Closer examination of the resultant spectra, which uses the kernel function

$$\Phi(v,\tau)=e^{\frac{\pi v^2\tau^2}{2\sigma}},$$

where σ is a constant, shows that the spectral ridges are broadened, similar in behavior to IPS. In classical estimation, i.e., the periodogram, one maximizes spectral resolution using nothing but the raw finite data set. The price paid is a large, slow roll-off sidelobe structure that can mask a true component. In time-frequency distributions, i.e., in the generalized phase-space equation (19), one maximizes spectral detail using a constant kernel of unit amplitude. The price paid is poor end-point resolution and spectral artifacts across time and frequency. In the classical analog, using any other window results in improved sidelobe behavior at a corresponding loss in detail along the frequency axis. *IPS*, the Rihaczek derived distributions and the distribution suggested by Choi and Willimas all improve or eliminate the disturbing spectral cross terms characteristic of WD. The price paid is loss in spectral detail.

APPENDIX A. COMPUTER CODE

To conserve space only the read file and four of the si. Fortran codes have been included. Data was generated and read by the basic programs as required. The data generation file is not included here. The read file allows easy change of processing parameters. Further, IPS_y and $ImRD_y$ are generated by code that differs in a minus sign in line code. IPS_y requires a plus sign; $ImRD_y$ requires a minus sign. Similarly $|RD_y|^2$ and $|RD_y|^2$ are simply related. The location of the sign change is indicated in the respective algorithms. Graphics are produced using DISSPLA.

1. Parameter File

1 1 031 039 08	MODE PLTR BWLEN EWLEN WINC
HAMMING WINDOW (\$'	WTYPE
B	CONTR
IPS: \$'	TTL
FS=1/128, 40 POINTS OF DATA\$'	SIGNAL
5 CELL TIME SMOOTHINGS'	TSMTH

2. Spectrogram

C** C**	TI	HIS FOR	FRAN FILE A DATA SE	COMPUT	ES TH	SS	PECTROGRA	AM OF		***
č										*
с с	INPU	F DATA S ARRAY I	SEQUENCE	IS READ	USIN	3 F	ILEDEF 4	, AS TH	E COMPLEX	*
C										*
C C C	L IS	THE LEI PARAME	NGTH OF 7 FER STATE	THE DATA	L MUS	ENC F N	E AND IS	ADJUST D 128.	ED FROM THE	* * *
C C	ANAL	YSIS PAL ARE:	RAMETERS	ARE REA	D USI	NG	FILEDEF 4	41. TH	IE PARAMETER	S *
C			ARGUMENT	TYPE	A	LLO	WED VAUL	JES		76
C C C		MODE -	I1		1		PLOT 0	TO PI		* *
č					2		FHOI FI	10 F1		*
C C		PLTR -	I1		0 1		SHERPA I IMB79 GI	LASER FRAPHICS	RINTER 5 TERMINAL	** **
C			TO		•	n t				**
C		BMTEN-	13		3	MU	IST BE AN	ODD TN	DOW LENGIH, TEGER	ਸ *
č		EWLEN-	I3		3	DI	GIT FINAL	L WINDO	W LENGTH	ŕc
С		WINC -	12		2	DI	GIT WINDO	DW INCE	EMENT, MUST	**
С						BE	AN EVEN	INTEGE	R	40
C			•							*
C		WTYPE-	A19		19	CH	ARACTER	STRING	USED IN THE	*
C						PL	UT HEADE	C DISCH	CURRENT CURRENT	71
č						- W 1 - W T	NDOW USE	J. IND TTU TC	AUTOMATICA	
č								51n 15	AUTOMATICAL	* TI v
č						111				40
Č		CONTR-	A1		1	CH	ARACTER	STRING	INDICATING	ŕ
С						TY	PE OF PL	OT DESI	IRED	ŕ
С										*
C						A	AMPLITU	DE PLOT	C ONLY	40
C						C	CONTOUR	PLOT (ONLY	*
Č						В	BOLH W	PLITUDE	AND CONTOU	K ĩ
c		TTT	443		1.3	СЯ		TRINC	USED IN THE	
č		111 - 1	H 4 0		40	HE	ADING WH	ICH DES	CRIBES THE	بې
č						AI	GORITHM	AND THE	CLASS OF	*
Č						SI	GNAL USE)		*
С										*
С	S	IGNAL-	A43		43 (CHA	RACTER S	FRING I	DESCRIBING	*
С						TES	T SIGNAL			÷
C										*
C	~~~~									*
C	OUT	REAL			10101	- 4 -	D37 -			*
U C		OOTPO	I AKKAY (DE DIWEN	ISTON .	512	ві Г			*
с С	COFF	COMDU	ΨY							7f .1/
č	COEF		ርል በፑ ከልጥል	AFTER T	T HAS	RF	EN WETCH	רדע מזי	rμ Δ	** **
č		SLIDI	NG WINDOW	V FUNCTI	ON.	נוע		נוה ממי		*

С * С FT COMPLEX k С ARRAY OF THE 1024 POINT TRANSFORM COEF * С * С Z INTEGER 7 С LENGTH OF CURRENT WINDOW * С * С M INTEGER * С MID-POINT OF THE CURRENT WINDOW 7 С * С AMAX REAL * С MAXIMUM AMPLITUDE, USED TO SCALE VERTICAL AXIS * С 1 C AMIN REAL se С MINIMUM AMPLITUDE, USED TO SCALE VERTICAL AXIS * С * С * Ciricitai in in internet in PARAMETER(L= 32)INTEGER I, J, N, M, MODE, Z, BWLEN, EWLEN, WINC, PLTR REAL OUT(512,L),AMAX,AMIN CHARACTER WTYPE*19, TTL*43, SIGNAL*43, CONTR*1 COMPLEX DATA(L), FT(1024), COEF(1024)CALL EXCMS('FILEDEF 4 DISK TEST IN A (PERM') CALL EXCMS('FILEDEF 41 DISK PARAM IN A (PERM') С C----- READ IN PARAMETER LIST -----READ(41,400)MODE, PLTR, BWLEN, EWLEN, WINC, WTYPE, CONTR, TTL, + SIGNAL 400 FORMAT (1X, I1, 3X, I1, 3X, I3, 3X, I3, 3X, I2/1X, A19/1X, A1/1X, A43/ + 1X, A43)C-----С С C----- TEST TO ENSURE WINDOW LENGTH IS APPROPRIATE -----I=L-1 IF ((BWLEN .GT. I) .OR. (EWLEN .GT. I)) THEN WRITE(*,69) GO TO 99 ENDIF I=MOD(BWLEN,2) K=MOD(WINC, 2)IF (I.EQ. 0) THEN IF (K . EQ. 1) THEN WRITE(*,68) GO TO 99 ELSE WRITE(*,67) GO TO 99 ENDIF ENDIF FORMAT (1X, 'WINDOW LENGTH EXCEEDS LENGTH OF THE DATA') FORMAT (1X, 'WINDOW INCREMENT MUST BE EVEN') FORMAT (1X, 'INITIAL WINDOW LENGTH MUST BE ODD') 69 68 67 C-----

С C----- PLOTTING DEVICE CALL ----IF (PLTR . EQ. 0) THEN CALL COMPRS ELSE CALL IEM79 ENDIF C .. С PI=4 *ATAN(1.) READ(4,*)(DATA(I), I=1,L)С С DO 111 Z=BWLEN, EWLEN, WINC M = (Z - 1)/2CALL ANGLE(0.0) AMAX=0 AMIN=AMAX DC 10 J=1,L DO 20 N=-M,M IF (((I+N) .GE. 1) .AND. ((I+N) .LE. L)) THEN COEF(M+N+1)=DATA(I+N) *(0.54+0.46*COS(2*PI*N/(2*M))) + ELSE COEF(M+N+1)=(0., 0.)ENDIF 20 CONTINUE DO 30 N-2*M+2,1024 COEF(N) = (0., 0.)30 CONTINUE CALL FFT(1024,COEF,FT) IF (MODE.EQ. 2) THEN DO 40 N=1,513,2 OUT(INT((N+1)/2+255),I)=ABS(FT(N))**2/(2*M+1) IF (OUT(INT((N+1)/2+255), I) .GT. AMAX) THEN AMAX=OUT(INT((N+1)/2+255), I)ENL'F MF (0)T(INT((N+1)/2+255),I) .LT. AMIN) THEN AMIN=OUT(INT((N+1)/2+255),I)ENDIF 40 CONTINUE DO 50 N=515,1024,2 OUT(INT((N-1)/2-256),I)=ABS(FT(N))**?/(2*M+1) 1F (OUI(INT((N-1)/2-256), 1) .GT. AMAX) THEN AMAX=OUT(INT((N-1)/2-256), I)ENDIF IF (OUT(INT((N 1)/2-256), I) . LT. AMIN) THEN AMIN=OUT(INT((N-1)/2-256), I)ENDIF 50 CONTINUE ELSE DO 51 N=1,512 OUT(N,I) = ABS(FT(N)) * 2/(2 + M + 1)IF (OUT(N,I) .GT. AMAX) THEN AMAX=OUT(N, I)ENDI ?

IF (OUT(N,I) . LT. AMIN) THEN AMIN=OUT(N,I)ENDIF 51 CONTINUE ENDIF 10 CONTINUE IF (CONTR . EQ. 'C') THEN GO TO 98 ENDIF CALL AREA2D(8.,9.) CALL VOLM3D(10.,10.,8.) CALL HEADIN(TTL, 100, 1., 3) CALL HEADIN(TTL, 100, 1., 3) CALL HEADIN(SIGNAL, 100, 1., 3) CALL HEADIN('NO TIME SMOOTHING, 1024 FFT\$',100, 1., 3) CALL MESSAG(WTYPE, 100, 2.5, 9.3) CALL INTNO(2*M+1, 'ABUT', 'ABUT') CALL MESSAG(' POINTS)\$',100, 'ABUT', 'ABUT') CALL X3NAME(' FREQUENCY AXIS\$',100) CALL Y3NAME('TIME AXIS\$',100) CALL Z3NAME(' \$',100) CALL Z3NAME(' \$',100) CALL VUANGL(-65, 70, 700,) CALL VUANGL(-65.,70.,700.) CALL XNONUM С CALL ZNONUM CALL MX1ALF('STANDARD','#') CALL MX2ALF('L/CGREEK','+') CALL ANGLE(-25.0) IF (MODE . EQ. 1) THEN CALL MESSAG(' +0# ',5,0.,2.3) ELSE CALL MESSAG(' +-P# ',6,0.,2.3) ENDIF CALL ANGLE(-25.0) CALL MESSAG(' +P# ',5,4.9,0.15) CALL GRAF3D(-256,256,256,0,L,L,1.0*AMIN, + 0.5*(AMAX+AMIN),1.0*AMAX) CALL SURMAT(OUT, 512, 512, 1, L, 0.) CALL ENDPL(0) С IF (CONTR . NE. 'A') THEN 98 CALL CONTOR(OUT, 512, L, TTL, SIGNAL, WTYPE, Z, AMAX) ENDIF C----С 111 CONTINUE CALL DONEPL 99 STOP END С C C SUBROUTINE CONTOR(A,NX,NY,TITLE,SIGNL,WNDW,WLEN,AMAX) C THIS SUBROUTINE CONTOURS AN NX BY NY ARRAY OF REGULARLY SPACED POINTS. C NOTE: THE ARRAY MUST BE REAL*4. С С A : SINGLE PRECISION NX BY NY ARRAY OF REGULARLY SPACED POINTS

```
NX: NUMBER OF POINTS IN THE X-DIRECTION
С
      NY: NUMBER OF POINTS IN THE Y-DIRECTION
С
      ZINC: CONTOUR INTERVAL
С
С
      DIMENSION A(NX,NY)
      COMMON WORK(50000)
С
C SET PARAMETEPS FOR AXES:
      XORIG=-256.
      XSTP=256.
      XMAX=256.
      YORIG=0.
      YSTP=NY
      YMAX=NY
С
C SET CONTOUR LEVEL
      ZINC=AMAX/10.
С
      CALL SETCLR('CYAN')
С
C SET PAGE AND PLOT SIZES, SET UP AXES FOR PLOT:
      CALL PAGE(8.5,11.0)
      CALL BCOMON(50000)
      CALL AREA2D(6.0, 8.0)
С
C LABEL AXES:
      CALL XNAME('FREQUENCY - AXIS $',100)
CALL YNAME('TIME - AXIS $',100)
С
      CALL GRAF(XORIG,XSTP,XMAX,YORIG,YSTP,YMAX)
      CALL FRAME
C
C TITLE:
      CALL HEADIN( 'CONTOUR PLOT$',100,1.,3)
      CALL HEADIN(TITLE, 100, 1., 3)
      CALL HEADIN(SIGNL, 100, 1., 3)
      CALL ANGLE(0.0)
      CALL MESSAG(WNDW,100,1.5,-.7)
CALL INTNO(WLEN ,'ABUT','ABUT')
CALL MESSAG(' POINTS)$',100,'ABUT','ABUT')
C
C MAKE CONTOURS AND DRAW:
      CALL SETCLR('RED')
      CALL CONMIN(3.0)
      CALL CONANG(60.)
      CALL CONLIN(0, 'MYCON', 'NOLABELS',2,10)
      CALL CONMAK(A,NX,NY,ZINC)
      CALL CONTUR(1, 'LABELS', 'DRAW')
С
      CALL ENDPL(0)
      RETURN
      END
С
С
      SUBROUTINE MYCON(RARAY, IARAY)
```

```
DIMENSION RARAY(2), IARAY(1)
С
C THIS ROUTINE MAKES NEGATIVE CONTOURS DASHED AND THE ZERO LINE HEAVIER.
С
     CALL RESET('DASH')
     IF (RARAY(1) .GE. 0.) GC TO 10
     CALL DASH
   10 \text{ RARAY}(2) = 1.
      IARAY(1) = 1
      IF (RARAY(1) . EQ. 0.) IARAY(1) = 2
     RETURN
     END
С
С
С
         ****************
                                                            *
С
         *
                                                            *
С
         *
                CALL FFT(N,XTEMP,X)
                                                            *
         *
C
                                                            25
С
         *
              X - OUTPUT COMPLEX ARRAY CONTAINING FFT (1024)
                                                            ÷
С
         γr
              N - NUMBER OF POINTS
                                                            25
С
         *
              XTMP - COMPLEX ARRAY CONTAINING DATA SAMPLES
                                                            ٦ċr
С
         37
                          (starting at 1, up to 1024)
С
         С
                                                                    FFT00130
      SUBROUTINE FFT(N, XTMP, X)
                                                                    FFT00140
      COMPLEX X(1024), XTMP(1024), WTFAC, TMP
      M=INT(LOG10(FLOAT(N))/LOG10(2.)+0.5)
                                                                    FFT00210
      EN = N
      PI = 4.0 \text{*}ATAN(1.0)
                                                                    FFT00270
      DO 10 K=0,N-1
                                                                    FFT00320
        NEWADR = 0
                                                                    FFT00330
                                                                    FFT00340
        MADDR = K
        DO 20 I=0,M-1
                                                                    FFT00350
             LRMNDR = MOD(MADDR, 2)
                                                                    FFT00360
             NEWADR = NEWADR + LRMNDR*2**(M-1-I)
                                                                    FFT00370
                                                                    FFT00380
             MADDR = MADDR/2
   20
                                                                    FFT00390
         CONTINUE
                                                                    FFT00400
         X(NEWADR+1) = XTMP(K+1)
                                                                    FFT00410
   10 CONTINUE
      DO 50 L=1,M
                                                                    FFT00530
                                                                    FFT00610
         ISPACE = 2**L
                                                                    FFT00620
         S = N/ISPACE
                                                                    FFT00630
         IWIDTH = ISPACE/2
                                                                    FFT00670
         DO 40 J=0,(IWIDTH-1)
             R = S*J
                                                                    FFT00720
                                                                    FFT00730
             ALPHA = 2.*PI*R/EN
             WTFAC = CMPLX(COS(ALPHA), -SIN(ALPHA))
                                                                    FFT00740
             DO 30 ITOP=J,N-2,ISPACE
                                                                    FFT00750
                  IBOT = ITOP + IWIDTH
                                                                    FFT00800
                  TMP = X(IBOT+1)*WTFAC
                                                                     FFT00810
                  X(IBOT+1) = X(ITOP+1) - TMP
                                                                    FFT00820
                  X(ITOP+1) = X(ITOP+1) + TMP
                                                                    FFT00830
                                                                    FFT00840
   30
             CONTINUE
                                                                     FFT00850
   40
         CONTINUE
                                                                     FFT00860
   50 CONTINUE
```

RETURN END

•

.

•

FFT01000 FFT01010

,

.

.

-

٠

.

. ,

3. IPS

C**	THI	IS FORT	TRAN FII	E COMPU	JTES TH	E IP	S OF	r A I	DATA	SEQ	UENCE		***** *
C C C	INPUT	DATA S ARRAY X	SEQUENCE ((N).	IS REA	AD USIN	G FI	LEDE	SF 4	, AS	THE	COMP	LEX	* *
C C C C C	N IS 7	THE LEN PARAMET	NGTH OF TER STAT	THE DAT	ra sequi n mus	ENCE I NO	ANE T EX) IS (CEE)	ADJ D 12	USTE 8.	D FRO	M THE	" * *
C	ANALYS	SIS PAR	RAMETERS	ARE RI	EAD USI	NG F	ILEL	DEF 4	41.	THE	PARA	METERS	5 * *
Č	2	3112+	ARGUMEN	IT TYPE	A	LLOW	ED V	AUL	UES				* *
C C	١	iode -	I1		1 2		PLOT PLOT	C O C PI	TO TO	PI PI			*
C C C	I	PLTR -	I 1		0 1		SHEF IMB7	RPA (79 G)	LASE RAPH	R PR	INTER TERMI	NAL	* * *
C C C	1	BWLEN-	13		3	DIG	IT I	INIT E AN	IAL ODI	WIND	OW LE	NCTH,	**
C C C	ļ	EWLEN- ∛INC -	13 12		3 2	DIC DIC BE	IT I IT V AN I	VIND VIND SVEN	L WI OW I INT	NDOW NCRE TEGER	LENG MENT,	TH MUST	* * *
000000	I	TYPE-	A19		19	CHA PLC WIN WIN INC	RACT DT HE DOW DOW LUDE	i'er Eaue Use Len Ed	STRI R DI D. GTH	ING U SCRI THE IS A	SED I BING CURRE UTOMA	N THE THE NT TICAL	** ** ** ** ** **
С С С С	(CONTR-	A1		1	CHA TYF	RACT PE OI	rer F Pl	STRI OT I	ING I DESIR	NDICA ED	TING	* * *
C C C C						A C B	AMPI CON BOTI	LITU FOUR H AM	DE H PL(PLI)	PLOT DT ON TUDE	ONLY LY AND C	ONTOU	* * R *
	•	TTL - A	A43		43	CHA HEA ALC SIC	ARAC ADINO SORI: SNAL	rer g wh rhm use	STRI ICH AND D	ING U DESC THE	SED I RIBES CLASS	N THE THE OF	** ** ** **
000	SI	GNAL-	A43		43	CHAF TESI	RACTI SIO	ER S GNAL	TR1:	NG DE	SCRIB	ING	**
C C C C	T	SMTH-	A25		25	CHAH TYPE	RACTI E OF	ER S TIM	TRIN E SI	NG DE 100TH	SCRIB ING U	ING ISED	* *
0 0 0 0	OUT	REAL OUTPU	t array	of Dim	ênsiun	512	BY I	N					76 19 76 26
С	SAMp	COMPL	EX										**

```
*
          SHIFTED VERSION OF X
С
                                                                   *
С
                                                                   *
С
    SAM
          COMPLEX
С
                                                                   *
          SHIFTED AND CONJUGATED VERSION OF X
C
C
                                                                   *
                                                                   *
    С
          COMPLEX
Ċ
                                                                   *
          ARRAY OF SUM OF PRODUCTS OF DIMENSION 1 BY 1025
C
C
C
C
C
                                                                   *
          POSITIONS 1 - 512 ARE CONJUGATE SYMMETRIC WITH
                                                                   *
          POSITIONS 514 - 1025. POSITION 513 = (0., 0.)
                                                                   *
                                                                   *
    FT
          COMPLEX
С
          ARRAY OF THE 1024 POINT TRANSFORM C
                                                                   *
                                                                   *
С
                                                                   *
С
    Z
          INTEGER
С
                                                                   *
          LENGTH OF CURRENT WINDOW
С
                                                                   *
C
                                                                   *
    M
          INTEGER
C
                                                                   r
          MID-POINT OF THE CURRENT WINDOW
C
                                                                   *
Č
                                                                   3.
     AMAX
          REAL
C
C
C
C
                                                                   Ń
          MAXIMUM AMPLITUDE, USED TO SCALE VERTICAL AXIS
                                                                   35
                                                                   *
    AMIN
          REAL
                                                                   7'r
С
          MINIMUM AMPLITUDE, USED TO SCALE VERTICAL AXIS
                                                                   25
С
С
                                                                   r.
    NX
          HORIZONTAL DIMENSION OF OUT WHICH IS ALWAYS 512
С
                                                                   *
    DATA CAN BE OUTPUT USING FILEDEF 61. POINTS OF INTEREST MUST
С
                                                                   *
                                                                   ń
С
          BE DEFINED IN THE APPROPRAITE SECTION OF CODE. BECAUSE
                                                                   **
С
          OF SPACE CONSTRAINTS, THE DATA OUTPUT FILE IS WRITTEN TO
                                                                   2¢
С
          THE B DISK.
                                                                    de.
С
С
      PARAMETER(N= 64)
      COMPLEX X(N), C(1025), SAMP, SAM, FT(1024)
      REAL OUT(512,N), AMAX, AMIN
      INTEGER NX,K,I,J,MODE,Z,M,BWLEN,EWLEN,WINC,PLTR
      CHARACTER WTYPE*19, TTL*43, SIGNAL*43, TSMTH*25, CONTR*1
     CALL EXCMS('FILEDEF 4 DISK TEST IN (PERM')
CALL EXCMS('FILEDEF 41 DISK PARAM IN (PERM')
                                                )
      CALL EXCMS('FILEDEF 61 DISK DATA OUT B (PERM')
С
C----- READ IN PARAMETER LIST -----
     READ(41,400)MODE, PLTR, BWLEN, EWLEN, WINC, WTYPE, CONTR, TTL,
     + SIGNAL, TSMTH
 400 FORMAT (1X, I1, 3X, I1, 3X, I3, 3X, I3, 3X, I2/1X, A19/1X, A1/1X, A43
     + /1X,A43/1X,A25)
                         _____
C-----
С
C----- TEST TO ENSURE WINDOW LENGTH IS APPROPRIATE -----
       I=N-1
       IF ((BWLEN .GT. I) .OR. (EWLEN .GT. I)) THEN
          WRITE(*,69)
          GO TO 99
       ENDIF
```

```
I=MOD(BWLEN,2)
      K=MOD(WINC, 2)
      IF (I.EQ. 0) THEN
            IF (K.EQ. 1) THEN
              WRITE(*,68)
               GO TO 99
      ELSE
               WRITE(*,67)
               GO TO 99
             ENDIF
      ENDIF
      FORMAT (1X, 'WINDOW LENGTH EXCEEDS LENGTH OF THE DATA')
FORMAT (1X, 'WINDOW INCREMENT MUST BE EVEN')
FORMAT (1X, 'INITIAL WINDOW LENGTH MUST BE ODD')
69
68
67
C--
                    С
C-----PLOTTING DEVICE CALL -----
     IF (PLTR. EQ. 0)THEN
         CALL COMPRS
     ELSE
         CALL IBM79
     ENDIF
C----
С
С
     PI=4*ATAN(1.)
     NX=512
     READ (4,*)(X(J), J=1, N)
     DO 111 Z= BWLEN, EWLEN, WINC
      WRITE(61,600)TTL,SIGNAL,TSMTH,WTYPE,Z
      FORMAT (1X,A43/1X,A43/1X,A25/1X,A19,I3,' POINTS)')
 600
      CALL ANGLE(0.,0.)
      M = (Z - 1)/2
      AMAX=0.
      AMIN=.AMAX
      DO 10 I=1.N
        DO 20 K=0,512
          SAMP=(0., 0.)
          SAM=SAMP
          IF ((I+K) . LE. N) THEN
            SAMP=X(I+K)
          ENDIF
          IF ( (I-K) .GT. 0 ) THEN
            SAM=CONJG(X(I-K))
          ENDIF
С
C+-+-+ sum of product is IPS
                                difference of product is IMRD -+-+-+
          IF (K . LE. M) THEN
            C(K+1)=(X(I)*SAM + CONJG(X(I))*SAMP)
            *(0.54+0.46*CO%(2*PI*K/(2*M)))
     +
          ELSE
            C(K+1)=0
          ENDIF
C+ - +
      С
```

```
C(1024-K+1)=CONJG(C(K+1))
 20
          CONTINUE
          C(513)=(0.,0.)
          CALL FFT(1024, :,FT)
          DO 40 K=1, MODE*_12, MODE
          IF (REAL(FT(K)) . GT. AMAX) THEN
            AMAX = REAL(FT(K))
          ENDIF
          IF (REAL(FT(K)) . LT. AMIN) THEN
            AMIN=REAL(FT(K))
          ENDIF
          IF ( (K . LT: 514) . AND. (MODE . EQ. 2)) THEN
              OUT(INT((K+1)/2+255), I) = REAL(FT(K))
          ELSE
            IF ( MODE . EQ. 2 ) THEN
               OUT(INT((K+1)/2-257), I) = REAL(FT(K))
            ELSE
               OUT(K,I) = REAL(FT(K))
            ENDIF
          ENDIF
 40
          CONTINUE
 10
        CONTINUE
C-----FOR TIME SMOOTHING PURPOSES -----
        DO 48 K=1,512
          DO 46 I=1,N-2
            OUr(K,I) = (OUT(K,I) + OUT(K,I+1) + OUT(K,I+2))/3
 46
          CONTINUE
          DO 47 I=N,3,-1
            OUT(K,I) = (OUT(K,I)+OUT(K,I-1)+OUT(K,I-2))/3
47
          CONTINUE
С
       ---- DATA OUTPUT -----
C--
          IF((K. GE. 208). AND. (K. LT. 370))THEN
С
          IF(((K. GE. 120). AND. (K. LT. 140)). OR. ((K. GE. 370). AND.
С
     +
          (K. LT. 390)))THEN
             WRITE(61,601)
             DO 81 I=1,N-1,14
                 WRITE(61,602)K,I,OUT(K,I)
 81
             CONTINUE
          ENDIF
        FORMAT ('FREQ BIN=',8X,'TIME BIN=',7X,'AMPLITUDE=')
 601
 602
        FORMAT (10X, I4, 13X, I4, 14X, E14.7)
C---
         С
 48
        CONTINUE
C--
        _____
                           С
С
                   PLOTTING
         IF(CONTR. EQ. 'C')THEN
           GO TO 50
        ENDIF
       CALL BSHIFT ( -0.2 ,-.25)
       CALL AREA2D(8,9)
       CALL VOLM3D(10,10,8)
       CALL HEADIN(TTL, 100, 1., 3)
       CALL HEADIN(SIGNAL, 100, 1, ,3)
```

CALL HEADIN(TSMTH, 100, 1., 3) CALL MESSAG(WTYPE, 100, 2.5, 9.3) CALL INTNO(Z, 'ABUT' 'ABUT') CALL MESSAG(' POINT_)\$',100, 'ABUT', 'ABUT') CALL X3NAME('FREQUENCY AXIS\$',100) CALL Y3NAME('TIME AXIS\$',100) CALL Z3NAME(' \$',100) CALL Z3NAME(' \$',100) ,100ز CALL VUANGL(-65,70,700) CALL XNONUM С CALL ZNONUM CALL MX1ALF('STANDARD','#') CALL MX2ALF('L/CGREEK','+') CALL ANGLE(-25.0) IF (MODE .EQ. 2) THEN CALL MESSAG(' +-P# ',6,0.,2.3) ELSE CALL MESSAG(' +0# ',5,0.,2.3) ENDIF CALL ANGLE(-25.0) CALL MESSAG(' +P# ',5,4.9,0.15) CALL GRAF3D(-256,256,256,1,N,N,1.0*AMIN, + 0.5*(AMAX-AMIN),1.0*AMAX) CALL SURMAT(OUT, 512, 512, 1, N, 0.) CALL ENDPL(0) С 50 IF (CONTR. NE. 'A')THEN DO 49 I=1,N DO 51 K=1,512 IF (OUT(K,I) .LT. 0) THEN OUT(K, I)=0ENDIF 51 CONTINUE 49 CONTINUE CALL CONTOR(OUT, NX, N, TTL, SIGNAL, WTYPE, TSMTH, Z, AMAX) ENDIF C----С WRITE(61,603)AMAX,AMIN 603 FORMAT (1X, 'MAXIMUM AMPLITUDE=', E14.7 + /'MINIMUM AMPLITUDE=',E14.7) 111 CONTINUE CALL DONEPL 99 STOP END С С SUBROUTINE CONTOR(A,NX,NY,TITLE.SIGNL,WNDW,TAVG,WLEN,AMAX) C THIS SUBROUTINE CONTOURS AN NX BY NY ARRAY OF REGULARLY SPACED POINTS. C NOIE: THE ARRAY MUST BE REAL*4. С С A : SINGLE PRECISION NX BY NY ARRAY OF REGULARLY SPACED FOINTS С NX: NUMBER OF POINTS IN THE X-DIRECTION С NY: NUMBER OF POINTS IN THE Y-DIRECTION С ZINC: CONTOUR INTERVAL С

```
DIMENSION A(NX,NY)
      COMMON WORK(50000)
C
C SET PARAMETERS FOR AXES:
      XORIG=-256.
      XSTP=256.
      XMAX=256.
      YORIG=0.
       YSTP=NY
       YMAX=NY
С
C SET CONTOUR LEVEL
       ZINC=AMAX/10.
С
       CALL SETCLR('CYAN')
С
C SET PAGE AND PLOT SIZES, SET UP AXES FOR PLOT:
       CALL PAGE(8.5,11.0)
       CALL BCOMON(50000)
       CALL AREA2D(6.0,8.0)
С
C LABEL AXES:
      CALL XNAME('FREQUENCY - AXIS $',100)
CALL YNAME('TIME - AXIS $',100)
       CALL GRAF(XORIG, XSTP, XMAX, YORIG, YSTP, YMAX)
       CALL FRAME
С
C TITLE:
      CALL HEADIN('CONTOUR PLOT$',100,1.,4)
CALL HEADIN(TITLE,100,1.,4)
       CALL HEADIN(SIGNL, 100, 1., 4)
       CALL HEADIN(TAVG, 100, 1., 4)
       CALL ANGLE(0.0)
      CALL MESSAG(WNDW,100,1.5,-.7)
CALL INTNO(WLEN ,'ABUT','ABUT')
CALL MESSAG(' POINTS)$',100,'ABUT','ABUT')
C
C MAKE CONTOURS AND DRAW:
       CALL SETCLR('RED')
       CALL CONMIN(3.0)
      CALL CONANG(60.)
CALL CONLIN(0, 'MYCON', 'NOLABELS',2,10)
       CALL CONMAK(A,NX,NY,ZINC)
       CALL CONTUR(1, 'LABELS', 'DRAW')
С
       CALL ENDPL(0)
       RETURN
       END
С
С
       SUBROUTINE MYCON(RARAY, IARAY)
       DIMENSION RARAY(2), IARAY(1)
С
C THIS ROUTINE MAK. NEGATIVE CONTOURS DASHED AND THE ZERO LINE HEAVIER.
С
```

```
CALL RESET('DASH')
     IF (RARAY(1) .GE. 0.) GO TO 10
     CALL DASH
  10 \text{ RARAY}(2) = 1.
     IARAY(1) = 1
     IF (RARAY(1) . EQ. 0.) IARAY(1) = 2
     RETURN
     END
С
С
С
         *
                                                          *
С
         *
                                                          *
               CALL FFT(N, XTEMP, X)
С
         水
                                                          *
С
         36
             X - OUTPUT COMPLEX ARRAY CONTAINING FFT (1024)
                                                          *
С
         *
             N - NUMBER OF POINTS
                                                          *
Ċ
         *
             XTMP - COMPLEX ARRAY CONTAINING DATA SAMPLES
                                                          *
Č
         7
                          (starting at 1, up to 1024)
                                                          y'e
С
         С
     SUBROUTINE FFT(N,XTMP,X)
                                                                   FFT00130
     COMPLEX X(1024), XTMP(1024), WTFAC, TMP
                                                                   FFT00140
     M=INT(LOG10(FLOAT(N))/LOG10(2.)+0.5)
     EN = N
                                                                   FFT00210
     PI = 4.0 * ATAN(1.0)
                                                                   FFT0027
     DO 10 K=0,N-1
                                                                   FFT00320
                                                                   FFT00330
        NEWADR = 0
        MADDR = K
                                                                   FFT00340
        DO 20 I=0,M-1
                                                                   FFT00350
             LRMNDR = MOD(MADDR, 2)
                                                                   FFT00360
             NEWADR = NEWADR + LRMNDR*2**(M-1-I)
                                                                   FFT00370
            MADDR = MADDR/2
                                                                   FFT00380
        CONTINUE
  20
                                                                   FFT00390
        X(NEWADR+1) = XTMP(K+1)
                                                                   FFT00400
  10 CONTINUE
                                                                   FFT00410
     DO 50 L=1,M
                                                                   FFT00530
        ISPACE = 2^{**}L
                                                                   FFT00610
        S = N/ISPACE
                                                                   FFT00620
        IWIDTH = ISPACE/2
                                                                   FFT00630
        DO 40 J=0,(IWIDTH-1)
                                                                   FFT00670
             R = S^*J
                                                                   FFT00720
             ALPHA = 2.*PI*R/EN
                                                                   FFT00730
             WTFAC = CMPLX( COS(ALPHA), -SIN(ALPHA))
                                                                   FFT00740
             DO 30 ITOP=J,N-2,ISPACE
                                                                   FFT00750
                 IBOT = ITOP + IWIDTH
                                                                   FFT00800
                 TMP = X(IBOT+1)*WTFAC
                                                                   FFT00810
                 X(IBOT+1) = X(ITOP+1) - TMP
                                                                   FFT00820
                 X(ITOP+1) = X(ITOP+1) + TMP
                                                                   FFT00830
  30
             CONTINUE
                                                                   FFT00840
        CONTINUE
  40
                                                                   FFT00850
  50 CONTINUE
                                                                   FFT00860
     RETURN
                                                                   FFT01000
     END
                                                                   FFT01010
```

.

C*** C	THIS FORTRAN FILE COMPUTES THE PWD OF A DATA SEQUENCE ****
CCC	INPUT DATA SEQUENCE IS READ USING FILEDEF 4, AS THE COMPLEX * ARRAY X(N).
CCC	N IS THE LENGTH OF THE DATA SEQUENCE AND IS ADJUSTED FROM THE * PARAMETER STATEMENT. N MUST NOT EXCEED 128.
C	ANALYSIS PARAMETERS ARE READ USING FILEDEF 41. THE PARAMETERS
Ċ	ARGUMENT TYPE ALLOWED VAULUES *
C C C	MODE - I1 1 PLOT 0 TO PI * 2 PLOT PI TO PI *
CCC	PLTR - I1 0 SHERPA LASER PRINTER * 1 IMB79 GRAPHICS TERMINAL *
C C C	BWLEN- IS 3 DIGIT INITIAL WINDOW LENGTH, * MUST BE AN ODD INTEGER *
C C	EWLEN- I3 3 DIGIT FINAL WINDOW LENGTH * WINC - I2 2 DIGIT WINDOW INCREMENT, MUST *
Ċ	BE AN EVEN INTEGER
00000	WTYPE- A19 19 CHARACTER STRING USED IN THE PLOT HEADER DISCRIBING THE WINDOW USED. THE CURRENT WINDOW LENGTH IS AUTOMATICALLY INCLUDED
C C C C	CONTR- A1 1 CHARACTER STRING INDICATING * TYPE OF PLOT DESIRED *
C C C C C	A AMPLITUDE PLOT ONLY * C CONTOUR PLOT ONLY * B BOTH AMPLITUDE AND CONTOUR *
00000	TTL - A43 43 CHARACTER STRING USED IN THE HEADING WHICH DESCRIBES THE ALGORITHM AND THE CLASS OF SIGNAL USED
	SIGNAL- A43 43 CHARACTER STRING DESCRIBING TEST SIGNAL
00000	TSMTH- A25 25 CHARACTER STRING DESCRIBING * TYPE OF TIME SMOOTHING USED *
C C C	OUT REAL ** OUTPUT ARRAY OF DIMENSION 512 BY N
č	SAMP COMPLEX *

C		SHIFTED VERSION OF X	*
C	CAN	CONDUCTOR	*
C C	SAM	CUMPLEX	77
c c		SHIFTED AND CONJOGRIED VERSION OF X	*
č	С	COMPLEX	75
č	•	ARRAY OF SUM OF PRODUCTS OF DIMENSION 1 BY 1025	*
Č		POSITIONS 1 - 512 ARE CONJUGATE SYMMETRIC WITH	*
С		POSITIONS $514 - 1025$. POSITION $513 = (0., 0.)$	*
С			*
C	FT	COMPLEX	24
C		ARRAY OF THE 1024 POINT TRANSFORM C	*
C C	7	TNTECED	π
č	4	LENGTH OF CURRENT WINDOW	
č		LENGIN OF CONNENT WINDOW	*
č	М	INTEGER	*
Ĉ		MID-POINT OF THE CURRENT WINDOW	*
С			70
6	AMAX	REAL	が
C		MAXIMUM AMPLITUDE, USED TO SCALE VERTICAL AXIS	*
C	A 3/ T 31		76
C	AMIN	KEAL MINIMUM ANDIITTUDE LICED TO COALE VEDTICAL AVIC	77
c c		MINIMON AMPLITUDE, USED TO SCREE VERITORE AXIS	*
c	NX	HORIZONTAL DIMENSION OF OUT WHICH IS ALWAYS 512	*
č			*
C	DATA (CAN BE OUTPUT USING FILEDEF 61. POINTS OF INTEREST MUST	¥¢.
С]	BE DEFINED IN THE APPROPRAITE SECTION OF CODE. BECAUSE	s,
С	(OF SPACE CONSTRAINTS, THE DATA OUTPUT FILE IS WRITTEN TO	÷
С		THE B DISK.	ń
С			:te
Catata	יזרזרזרזרזרזר <u>ז</u> ר	***************************************	**
С			
	COMP	$\frac{\text{MELEK(N= 64)}{\text{LEY V(E10)}} \text{CAME CAN FF(100/)}$	
	DEAT	OUT(512), O(1025), SAMP, SAM, FI(1024)	
	INTE	CER NY K T T MODE 7 M RULEN FULEN WING DATE	
	CHAR	ACTER WTYPE*19 TTL*43 SIGNAL*43 TSMTH*25 CONTR*1	
	CALL	EXCMS('FILEDEF 4 DISK TEST IN (PERM')	
	CALL	EXCMS('FILEDEF 41 DISK PARAM IN (PERM')	
	CALL	EXCMS('FILEDEF 61 DISK DATA OUT B (PERM')	
С			
C		READ IN THE PARAMETER LIST	
	READ	(41,400)MODE, PLTR, BWLEN, EWLEN, WINC, WTYPE, CONTR, TTL,	
	+ SIG	NAL, TSMTH	
400	FORM	AT(1X,11,3X,11,3X,13,3X,13,3X,12/1X,A19/1X,A1/1X,A43	
C	+ /1X	,A43/1X,A25)	
с			
C		- TEST TO ENSURE WINDOW LENGTH IS APPROPRIATE	
-	I=N-		
	IF	(BWLEN .GT. I) .OR. (EWLEN .GT. I)) THEN	
	1	WRITE(*,69)	
	I	GO TO 99	
	END	IF	

,

```
I=MOD(BWLEN.2)
       K=MOD(WINC,2)
       IF (I.EQ. 0) THEN
              IF (K EQ. 1) THEN
WRITE(*,68)
                  GO TO 99
       ELSE
                  WRI'(E(*,67)
                  GO 10 99
                ENDIF
       ENDIF
       FORMAT (1X, 'WINDOW LENGTH EXCEEDS LENGTH OF THE DATA')
FORMAT (1X, 'WINDOW INCREMENT MUST BE EVEN')
FORMAT (1X, 'INITIAL WINDOW LENGTH MUST BE ODD')
 69
 68
 67
С
C-
С
C-
       ----- PLOTTING DEVICE CALL ----
       IF(FLTR. EQ. 0)THEN
          CALL COMPRS
      ELSE
          CALL IBM79
      ENDIF
C-
                        _____
С
       PI=4*ATAN(1.)
      NX=512
      READ(4,*)(X(J), J=1, 2*N, 2)
C
C----- DATA INTERPOLATION -----
       DO 5 J=2,2*N,2
         X(J)=(0., 0.)
 5
       CONTINUE
       CALL FFT(2*N,X,FT)
       DO 10 J=N/2+2,2*N-N/2+1
         FT(J)=(0., 0.)
 10
       CONTINUE
       DO 20 J=1,2*N
         FT(J)=CONJG(FT(J))
 20
       CONTINUE
       CALL FFT(2*N,FT,X)
      DO 30 J=1,2*N
         X(J) = CONJG(X(J))/N
 30
       CONTINUE
C-
С
С
       DO 111 Z=BWLEN, EWLEN, WINC
       WRITE(61,600)TTL, SIGNAL, TSMTH, WTYPE, Z
       FORMAT(1X,A43/1X,A37/1X,A25/1X,A19,13,' POINTS)')
600
       M = (Z - 1)/2
       AMAX=0
       AMIN=AMAX
       DO 40 I=1,2*N,2
         DO 50 K=0,512
           SAMP=(0., 0.)
```

4 - 1

```
SAM = (0., 0.)
          IF ( (I+K) . LE. 2*N ) THEN
            SAMP=X(I+K)
          ENDIF
          IF ( (I-K) .GT. 0 ) THEN
            SAM = CONJG(X(I-K))
          ENDIF
С
С
          IF (K.LE. 2*M) THEN
            C(K+1)=SAMP*SAM*(0.54+0.46*COS(2*PI*K/(4*M)))
          ELSE
            C(K+1)=0
          ENDIF
С
C
          C(1024-K+1)=CONJG(C(K+1))
 50
        CONTINUE
        C(513)=(0.,0.)
        CALL FFT(1024,C,FT)
        DO 60 K=1, MODE*512, MODE
          IF (REAL(FT(K)) . GT. AMAX) THEN
            AMAX = REAL(FT(K))
          ENDIF
          IF (REAL(FT(K)) . LT. AMIN) THEN
            AMIN=REAL(FT(K))
          ENDIF
          IF ( (K. LT. 514) . AND. (MODE . EQ. 2)) THEN
            OUT(INT((K+1)/2+255), (I+1)/2) = REAL(FT(K))
          ELSE
            IF( MODE . EQ. 2 ) THEN
              OUT(INT((K+1)/2-257),(I+1)/2)=REAL(FT(K))
            ELSE
              OUT(K, (I+1)/2) = REAL(FT(K))
            ENDIF
          ENDIF
 60
        CONTINUE
 40
      CONTINUE
С
C---
     ---FOR TIME SMOOTHING PURPOSES -----
        DO 48 K=1,512
          DO 46 I=1,N-2
            OUT(K,I) = (OUT(K,I) + OUT(K,I+1) + OUT(K,I+2))/3
 46
          CONTINUE
          DO 47 I=N,3,-1
            OUT(K, I) = (OUT(K, I) + OUT(K, I-1) + OUT(K, I-2))/3
 47
          CONTINUE
С
C---
      ----- DATA OUTPUT -----
      IF((K. GT. 285). AND. (K. LT. 310))THEN
      WRITE(61,601)
       DO 81 I=1,N
         WRITE(61, 602)K, I, OUT(K, I)
 81
       CONTINUE
      ENDIF
 601 FORMAT('FREQ BIN=',8X,'TIME BIN=',7X,'AMPLITIDE=')
```

```
602 FORMAT(10X,14,13X,14,14X,E14.7)
C--
С
 48
       CONTINUE
C-
         ----
C
С
                 PLOTTING
      ----
       IF (CONTR. EQ. 'C')THEN
         GO TO 52
       ENDIF
       CALL BSHIFT ( -0.2 , -0.25)
       CALL AREA2D(8,9)
       CALL VOLM3D(10,10,8)
       CALL HEADIN(TTL, 100, 1., 3)
       CALL HEADIN(SIGNAL, 100, 1., 3)
       CALL HEADIN(TSMTH, 100, 1., 3)
       CALL ANGLE(0.,0.)
       CALL MESSAG(WYPE, 100,2.5,9.3)

CALL INTNO(Z, 'ABUT', 'ABUT')

CALL MESSAG(' POINTS )$',100, 'ABUT', 'ABUT')

CALL X3NAME('FREQUENCY AXIS$',100)

CALL Y3NAME('TIME AXIS$',100)

CALL Z3NAME(' $ 100)

CALL Z3NAME(' $ 2000)
       CALL VUANGL(-65,70,700)
       CALL XNONUM
       CALL ZNONUM
С
       CALL MX1ALF('STANDARD','#')
CALL MX2ALF('L/CGREEK','+')
       CALL ANGLE(-25.0)
       IF ( MODE . EQ. 2 ) THEN
CALL MESSAG(' +-P# ',6,0.,2.3)
       ELSE
          CALL MESSAG(' +0# ',5,0.,2.3)
       ENDIF
       CALL ANGLE(-25.0)
       CALL MESSAG(' +P# ',5,4.9,0.15)
       CALL GRAF3D(-256,256,256,1,N,N,1.0*AMIN,.5*(AMAX-AMIN),
      +1.0*AMAX)
       CALL SURMAT(OUT, 512, 512, 1, N, 0.)
       CALL ENDPL(0)
С
 52
       IF(CONTR. NE. 'A')THEN
           DO 49 I=1,N
                DO 51 K=1,512
                    IF((OUT(K, I). LT. 5.0). AND. (OUT(K, I) . GT. -5.0))THEN
                        OUT(K,I)=0
                    ENDIF
 51
                CONTINUE
 49
           CONTINUE
       CALL CONTOR(OUT, NX, N, TTL, SIGNAL, WTYPE, TSMTH, Z)
       ENDIF
C--
                                   _____
С
       WRITE(61,603)AMAX,AMIN
 603 FORMAT(1X, 'MAXIMUM AMPLITUDE=', E14.7
      + /1X, 'MINIMUM AMPLITUDE=', E14.7)
```

- - -

111 CONTINUE CALL DONEPL 99 STOP END C C SUBROUTINE CONTOR(A,NX,NY,TITLE,SIGNL,WNDW,TAVG,WLEN) C THIS SUBROUTINE CONTOURS AN NX BY NY ARRAY OF REGULARLY SPACED POINTS. C NOTE: THE ARRAY MUST BE REAL*4. С С A : SINGLE PRECISION NX BY NY ARRAY OF REGULARLY SPACED POINTS C NX: NUMBER OF POINTS IN THE X-DIRECTION C NY: NUMBER OF POINTS IN THE Y-DIRECTION С ZINC: CONTOUR INTERVAL С DIMENSION A(NX,NY) COMMON WORK(50000) С C SET PARAMETERS FOR AXES: XORIG=-256. XSTP=256. XMAX=256. YORIG=0. YSTP=NY YMAX=NY С C SET CONTOUR LEVEL ZINC=AMAX/10 С CALL SETCLR('CYAN') С C SET PAGE AND PLOT SIZES, SET UP AXES FOR PLOT: CALL PAGE(8.5,11.0) CALL BCOMON(50000) CALL AREA2D(6.0,8.0) С C LABEL AXES: CALL XNAME('FREQUENCY - AXIS \$',100) CALL YNAME('TIME - AXIS \$',100) CALL XNONUM С CALL GRAF(XORIG, XSTP, XMAX, YORIG, YSTP, YMAX) CALL FRAME С C TITLE: CALL HEADIN('CONTOUR PLOT\$',100,1.,4) CALL HEADIN(TITLE, 100, 1., 4) CALL HEADIN(SIGNL, 100, 1., 4) CALL HEADIN(TAVG, 100, 1., 4) CALL ANGLE(0.0) CALL MESSAG(WNDW,100,1.5,-.7) CALL INTNO(WLEN ,'ABUT','ABUT') CALL MESSAG('POINTS)\$',100,'ABUT','ABUT') C C MAKE CONTOURS AND DRAW:

The segure of a second second

```
91
```

```
CALL SETCLR('RED')
     CALL CONMIN(3.0)
     CALL CONANG(60.)
CALL CONLIN(0, 'MYCON', 'NOLABELS',2,10)
CALL CONMAK(A,NX,NY, 'SCALE')
     CALL CONTUR(1, 'LABELS', 'DRAW')
C
     CALL ENDPL(0)
     RETURN
     END
C
С
     SUBROUTINE MYCON(RARAY, IARAY)
     DIMENSION RARAY(2), IARAY(1)
C
C THIS ROUTINE MAKES NEGATIVE CONTOURS DASHED AND THE ZERO LINE HEAVIER.
С
     CALL RESET('DASH')
     IF (RARAY(1) .GE. 0.) GO TO 10
     CALL DASH
  10 \text{ RARAY}(2) = 1.
     IARAY(1) = 1
     IF (RARAY(1) . EQ. C.) IARAY(1) = 2
     RETURN
     END
С
С
         C
С
         *
                                                        de
                                                        r
         ĸ
С
               CALL FFT(N,XTEMP,X)
                                                         *
С
         75
         *
                                                        *
С
             X - OUTPUT COMPLEX ARRAY CONTAINING FFT (1024)
                                                        *
С
         30
             N - NUMBER OF POINTS
                                                        ÷
C
         *
             XTMP - COMPLEX ARRAY CONTAINING DATA SAMPLES
                                                        *
С
         *
                         (starting at 1, up to 1024)
         С
С
     SUBROUTINE FFT(N,XTMP,X)
                                                                FFT00130
     COMPLEX X(1024), XTMP(1024), WTFAC, TMP
                                                                FFT00140
     M=INT(LOG10(FLOAT(N))/LOG10(2,)+0.5)
                                                                FFT00210
     EN = N
                                                                FFT00270
     PI = 4.0*ATAN(1.0)
                                                                FFT00320
     DO 10 K=0.N-1
                                                                 FFT00330
        NEWADR = 0
                                                                 FFT00340
        MADDR = K
                                                                 FFT00350
        DO 20 I=0,M-1
                                                                FFT00360
            LRMNDR = MOD(MADDR, 2)
            NEWADR = NEWADR + LRMNDR*2**(M-1-I)
                                                                FFT00370
                                                                 FFT00380
            MADDR = MADDR/2
                                                                 FFT00390
   20
        CONTINUE
                                                                 FFT00400
        X(NEWADR+1) = XTMP(K+1)
                                                                 FFT00410
   10 CONTINUE
                                                                 FFT00530
     DO 50 L=1,M
                                                                 FFT00610
        ISPACE = 2^{**L}
```

*

- Auto-

what is a straight the

٠

a serve p

S = N/ISPACE	FFT00620
IWIDTH = ISPACE/2	FFT00630
DO 40 J=0.(IWIDTH-1)	FFT00670
R = S*J	FFT00720
ALPHA = 2. *PI*R/EN	FFT00730
WTFAC = CMPLX(COS(ALPHA), -SIN(ALPHA))	FFT00740
DO 30 ITOP=J,N-2,ISPACE	FFT00750
IBOT = ITOP + IWIDTH	FFT00800
TMP = X(IBOT+1)*WTFAC	FFT00810
X(IBOT+1) = X(ITOP+1) - TMP	FFT00820
X(ITOP+1) = X(ITOP+1) + TMP	FFT00830
CONTINUE	FFT00840
CONTINUE	FFT00850
CONTINUE	FFT00860
RETURN	FFT01000
END	FFT01010
	<pre>S = N/ISPACE IWIDTH = ISPACE/2 D0 40 J=0,(IWIDTH-1) R = S*J ALPHA = 2.*PI*R/EN WTFAC = CMPLX(COS(ALPHA), -SIN(ALPHA)) D0 30 ITOP=J,N-2,ISPACE IBOT = ITOP + IWIDTH TMP = X(IBOT+1)*WTFAC X(IBOT+1) = X(ITOP+1) - TMP X(ITOP+1) = X(ITOP+1) + TMP CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE RETURN END</pre>

5. $|RD_y|^2$

С ии С	RIHACZEK DISTRIBUTION	जरार * *
č	INPUT DATA SEQUENCE IS REAL ARRAY X(N).) USING FILEDEF 4, AS THE COMPLEX *
	N IS THE LENGT. OF THE DATA PARAMETER STATEMENT.	A SEQUENCE AND IS ADJUSTED FROM THE * N MUST NOT EXCEED 128. *
C	ANALYSIS PARAMETERS ARE REA	AD USING FILEDEF 41. THE PARAMETERS *
Č	ARGUMENT TYPE	ALLOWED VAULUES *
C C C	MODE - I1	1 PLOT O TO PI * 2 PLOT PI TO PI *
CCC	PLTR - I1	0 SHERPA LASER PRINTER * 1 IMB79 GRAPHICS TERMINAL *
C C C	BWLEN- I3	3 DIGIT INITIAL WINDOW LENGTH, *
č	EWLEN- 13	3 DIGIT FINAL WINDOW LENGTH *
C C C	WINC - 12	2 DIGIT WINDOW INCREMENT, MUST * BE AN EVEN INTEGER *
0000000	WTYPE- A19	19 CHARACTER STRING USED IN THE ** PLOT HEADER DISCRIBING THE ** WINDOW USED. THE CURRENT ** WINDOW LENGTH IS AUTOMATICALLY ** INCLUDED **
	CONTR- A1	1 CHARACTER STRING INDICATING * TYPE OF PLOT DESIRED *
0000		A AMPLITUDE PLOT ONLY * C CONTOUR PLOT ONLY * B BOTH AMPLITUDE AND CONTOUR *
	TTL - A43	43 CHARACTER STRING USED IN THE * HEADING WHICH DESCRIBES THE * ALGORITHM AND THE CLASS OF * SIGNAL USED *
	SIGNAL- A43	43 CHARACTER STRING DESCRIBING ** TEST SIGNAL **
0000	TSMTH- A25	25 CHARACTER STRING DESCRIBING * TYPE OF TIME SMOOTHING USED *
C C C C C	OUTR REAL INITIALLY USED AS STO	* * DRAGE FOR THE TIME SMOOTHED IPS, THEN *

C			*
C	OUTI	REAL	*
С		ARRAY USED TO HOLD THE THE TIME SMOOTHED IMRD	*
C			*
С	SAMP	COMPLEX	*
С		SHIFTED VERSION OF X	*
С			*
C	SAM	COMPLEX	*
C		SHIFTED AND CONJUGATED VERSION OF X	*
C			<i>h</i> t
C	RE	COMPLEX	*
С		ARRAY OF SUM OF PRODUCTS OF DIMENSION 1 BY 1025,	*
C		POSITIONS 1 - 512 ARE CONJUGATE SYMMETRIC WITH	*
C		POSITIONS $514 - 1025$, POSITION $513 = (0., 0.)$.	*
C			*
C	IM	COMPLEX	**
C		ARRAY OF DIFFERENCE OF PRODUCTS OF DIMENSION 1 BY 1025,	*
C		POSITIONS 1 - 512 ARE CONJUGATE SYMMETRIC WITH	*
C		POSITIONS $514 - 1025$, POSITION $513 = (0., 0.)$.	*
C			77
C	FTR	COMPLEX	*
C		ARRAY OF THE 1024 POINT TRANSFORM RE	77
Č			76
2	FTI	COMPLEX	. **
C		ARRAY OF THE 1024 POINT TRANSFORM IM	7:
C	-		77
C	Z	INTEGER	76
C		LENGTH OF CURRENT WINDOW	*
C			**
C	M	INTEGER	77
C		MID-POINT OF THE CURRENT WINDOW	**
C			37
C	AMAX		x
C		MAXIMUM AMPLITUDE, USED TU SCALE VERTICAL AXIS	77
C	*****		75 -1-
G	AMIN	KEAL	77 .L
C C		MINIMUM AMPLITUDE, USED TU SCALE VERTICAL AXIS	75
G	1117	HORTZONTAL DIMENSION OF OUR WIXCH TO MULANC 510	77 - Lu
5	NA	HURIZONIAL DIMENSION OF OUT WHICH IS ALWAIS 512	75 Ja
	ጉልጥል		
r r	DATA	DE DEETNED IN THE ADDONDAITE SECTION OF CODE DECAUSE	يەر مەل
		DE DEFINED IN THE APPROPRAILE BEULIUN OF GODE. DECAUSE	
Č		OF SPACE CONSTRAINTS, THE DATA COTPUT FILE TO WRITTEN TO	 بد
č		INE D DISK.	
0 7444	والدحار حار حار حار	والمراحل والم	ي. مرك مرك م
C^^^			
6	DADA		
	COMP	MILLIDALIY~ 04) DIEV V(N) DE(1025) CAMD CAM TETE(1024)	
	CONT	100A A(N),AE(1023),BANF,BAN,FIK(1024) DIEV IM(1025) ETI(1024)	
		$\frac{1}{1023}$	
	KEAL	UUIR(DI2,N),UUII(DI2,N),AMAX,AMIN	
	INIE	ACTED LUMIDERALO CONTRALO CONTRALO	
	CHAR	GUIER WIIPETI9, 11LT43, SIGNALT3/, TSMTH*25, CUNTR*1	
	CALL	LAUNS (FILEDEF 4 DISK TEST IN (PERM')	
	CALL	LEXCHIS(FILEDEF 41 DISK PARAM IN (PERM)	

```
CALL EXCMS('FILEDEF 61 DISK DATA OUT B (PERM')
C
C-----READ IN PARAMETER LISITNG-----
     READ (41,400)MODE,PLTR,BWLEN,EWLEN,WINC,WTYPE,CONTR,TTL,
    + SIGNAL, TSMTH
 400 FORMAT (1X, I1, 3X, I1, 3X, I3, 3X, I3, 3X, I2/1X, A19/1X, A1/1X, A43
    + /1X, A37 / 1X, A25)
C
                           С
C
C----- TEST TO ENSURE WINDOW LENGTH IS APPROPRIATE -----
     I=N-1
      IF ((BWLEN.GT. I).OR. (EWLEN.GT.I)) THEN
         WRITE(*,69)
         GO TO 99
      ENDIF
      I=MOD(BWLEN,2)
      K=MOD(WINC,2)
      IF (I.EQ. 0) THEN
            IF (K . EQ. 1) THEN
              WRITE(*.68)
              GO TO 99
      ELSE
              WRITE(*,67)
              GO TO 99
             ENDIF
      ENDIF
      FORMAT (1X, 'WINDOW LENGTH EXCEEDS LENGTH OF THE DATA')
FORMAT (1X, 'WINDOW INCREMENT MUST BE EVEN')
 69
 68
      FORMAT (1X, 'INITIAL WINDOW LENGTH MUST BE ODD')
 67
C---
                    ______
С
C----- PLOTTING DEVICE CALL -----
     IF (PLTP. EQ. 0)THEN
         CALL COMPRS
     ELSE
         CALL TBM79
     ENDIF
С
               C-
С
     PI=4*ATAN(1.)
     NX=512
     READ (4,*)(X(J), J=1,N)
     DO 111 Z= BWLEN, EWLEN, WINC
     WRITE (61,600)TTL,SIGNAL,TSMTH,WTYPE,Z
 600 FORMAT (1X,A43/1X,A37/1X,A25/1X,A19,I3,' POINTS)')
     CALL ANGLE(0.,0.)
     M = (Z - 1)/2
     AMAX=0.
     AMIN=AMAX
     DO 10 I=1,N
       DO 20 K=0,512
         SAMP=(0.,0.)
         SAM=SAMP
         IF ( (I+K) . LE. N ) THEN
```

SAMP=X(I+K)ENDIF IF ((I-K) .GT. 0) THEN SAM=CONJG(X(I-K)) ENDIF C IF (K.LE. M) THEN RE(K+1)=(X(I)*SAM + CONJG(X(I))*SAMP)*(0.54+0.46*COS(2*PI*K/(2*M))) + C IM(K+1)=(X(I)*SAM - CONJG(X(I))*SAMP)+ *(0.54+0.46*COS(2*PI*K/(2*M))) ELSE RE(K+1)=0IM(K+1)=0ENDIF С RE(1024-K+1)=CONJG(RE(K+1)) IM(1024-K+1)=CONJG(IM(K+1))20 CONTINUE RE(513)=(0.,0.) IM(513)=(0.,0.)CALL FFT(1024,RE,FTR) CALL FFT(1024, IM, FTI) DO 40 K=1, MODE*512, MODE С IF ((K.LT. 514) . AND. (MODE . EQ. 2)) THEN OUTR(INT((K+1)/2+255),I)=REAL(FTR(K)) OUTI(INT((K+1)/2+255), I) = REAL(FTI(K))ELSE IF (MODE . EQ. 2) THEN OUTR(INT((K+1)/2-257),I)=REAL(FTR(K)) OUTI(INT((K+1)/2-257),I)=REAL(FTI(K)) ELSE OUTR(K, I) = REAL(FTR(K))OUTI(K, I) = REAL(FTI(K))ENDIF ENDIF 40 CONTINUE 10 CONTINUE С C-----FOR TIME SMOOTHING -----DO 48 K=1,512 DO 46 I=1,N-2 OUTR(K, I) = (OUTR(K, I) + OUTR(K, I+1) + OUTR(K, I+2))/3OUTI(K,I)=(OUTI(K,I)+OUTI(K,I+1)+OUTI(K,I+2))/346 CONTINUE DO 47 I=N,3,-1 OUTR(K,I)=(OUTR(K,I)+OUTR(K,I-1)+OUTR(K,I-2))/3OUTI(K,I)=(OUTI(K,I)+OUTI(K,I-1)+OUTI(K,I-2))/347 CONTINUE 48 CONTINUE С C--• + • + • + • + • + • + • + C+-+- the sum of magnitudes is |RD|**2 Difference is |RD-|**2 +-+

۰.

```
DO 200 K=1.512
             DO 201 I=1.N
                 OUTR(K,I)=ABS(OUTR(K,I))
                 OUTI(K,I)=ABS(OUTI(K,I))
                 OUTR(K,I)=OUTR(K,I) + OUTI(K,I)
С
                     IF (OUTR(K, I) . GT. AMAX) THEN
                          AMAX=OUTR(K,I)
                     ENDIF
                      IF (OUTR(K, I) . LT. AMIN) THEN
                          AMIN=OUTR(K, I)
                     ENDIF
 201
            CONTINUE
 2.00
         CONTINUE
C---
С
               PLOTTING
C--
                                    _____
       IF (CONTR. EQ. 'C')THEN
          GO TO 50
       ENDIF
C
         CALL HEIGHT(0.28)
         CALL BSHIFT ( -0.2,-.25)
         CALL AREA2D(8,9)
          CALL VOLM3D(10,10,8)
          CALL HEADIN(TTL, 100, 1., 3)
          CALL HEADIN(SIGNAL, 100, 1., 3)
          CALL HEADIN(TSMTH, 100, 1., 3)
         CALL MESSAG(WTYPE,100,2.5,9.3)
CALL INTNO(Z, 'ABUT', 'ABUT')
CALL MESSAG('POINTS)$',100, 'ABUT', 'ABUT')
CALL X3NAME('FREQUENCY AXIS$',100)
CALL Y3NAME('TIME AXIS$',100)
CALL Z3NAME(' $',100)
CALL VUANGL(=65,70,700)
          CALL VUANGL(-65,70,700)
          CALL XNONUM
С
          CALL ZNONUM
          CALL MX1ALF('STANDARD','#')
CALL MX2ALF('L/CGREEK','+')
          CALL ANGLE(-25.0)
          IF ( MODE . EQ. 2 ) THEN
CALL MESSAG(' +-P# ',6,0.,2.3)
          ELSE
            CALL MESSAG(' +0# ',5,0.,2.3)
          ENDIF
          CALL ANGLE(-25.0)
          CALL MESSAG(' +P# ',5,4.9,0.15)
          CALL GRAF3D(-256,256,256,1,N,N,1.0*AMIN,0.5*(AMAX-AMIN),
      + 1.0*AMAX)
          CALL SURMAT(OUTR, 512, 512, 1, N, 0.)
          CALL ENDPL(0)
       CONTINUE
       IF (CONTR. NE. 'A')THEN
 50
       CALL CONTOR(OUTR, NX, N, TTL, SIGNAL, WTYPE, TSMTH, Z)
       ENDIF
C ---
```
```
WRITE(61,603)AMAX,AMIN
603 FORMAT (1X,'MAXIMUM AMPLITUDE=',E14.7
+ /1X,'MINIMUM AMPLITUDE=',E14.7)
 111 CONTINUE
      CALL DONEPL
 99
      STOP
      END
C
С
      SUBROUTINE CONTOR(A, NX, NY, TITLE, SIGNL, WNDW, TAVG, WLEN)
C THIS SUBROUTINE CONTOURS AN NX BY NY ARRAY OF REGULARLY SPACED POINTS.
C NOTE: THE ARRAY MUST DE REAL*4.
C
      A : SINGLE PRECISION NX BY NY ARRAY OF REGULARLY SPACED POINTS
C
      NX: NUMBER OF POINTS IN THE X-DIRECTION
С
С
      NY: NUMBER OF POINTS IN THE Y-DIRECTION
      ZINC: CONTOUR INTERVAL
С
С
      DIMENSION A(NX,NY)
      COMMON WORK(50000)
С
C SET PARAMETERS FOR AXES:
      XORIG=-256.
      XSTP=256.
      XMAX=256.
      YORIG=0.
      YSTP=NY
      YMAX=NY
С
C SET CONTOUR LEVEL
      ZINC=AMAX/10
C
      CALL SETCLR('CYAN')
C
C SET PAGE AND PLOT SIZES, SET UP AXES FOR PLOT:
      CALL PAGE(8.5,11.0)
      CALL BCOMON(50000)
      CALL AREA2D(6.0,8.0)
С
C LABEL AXES:
      CALL XNAME('FREQUENCY - AXIS $',100)
      CALL YNAME('TIME - AXIS $',100)
С
       CALL XNONUM
       CALL GRAF(XORIG, XSTP, XMAX, YORIG, YSTP, YMAX)
       CALL FRAME
С
C TITLE:
      CALL HEADIN('CONTOUR PLOT$',100,1.,4)
         CALL HEADIN(TITLE, 100, 1., 4)
         CALL HEADIN(SIGNL, 100, 1., 4)
         CALL HEADIN(TAVG, 100, 1., 4)
         CALL ANGLE(0.0)
         CALL MESSAG(WNDW, 100, 1.5, -.7)
CALL INTNO(WLEN , 'ABUT', 'ABUT')
```

.

```
CALL MESSAG('POINTS)$',100, 'ABUT', 'ABUT')
С
C MAKE CONTOURS AND DRAW:
     CALL SETCLR('RED')
     CALL CONMIN(3.0)
     CALL CONANG(60.)
CALL CONLIN(0, 'MYCON', 'NOLABELS',2,10)
CALL CONMAK(A,NX,NY, 'SCALE')
CALL CONTUR(1, 'LABELS', 'DRAW')
С
C END PLOT:
     CALL ENDPL(0)
     RETURN
     END
C
C
     SUBROUTINE MYCON(RARAY, IARAY)
     DIMENSION RARAY(2), IARAY(1)
C
C THIS ROUTINE MAKES NEGATIVE CONTOURS DASHED AND THE ZERO LINE HEAVIER.
С
     CALL RESET('DASH')
     IF (RARAY(1) .GE. 0.) GO TO 10
     CALL DASH
   10 \text{ RARAY}(2) = 1.
     IARAY(1) = 1
     IF (RARAY(1) . EQ. 0.) IARAY(1) = 2
     RETURN
     END
С
С
С
         C
         *
                                                          *
С
         *
                                                          *
               CALL FFT(N,XTEMP,X)
С
         *
                                                          *
C
         *
             X - OUTPUT COMPLEX ARRAY CONTAINING FFT (1024)
                                                          *
С
         ×.
             N - NUMBER OF POINTS
                                                          *
С
         40
             XTMP - COMPLEX ARRAY CONTAINING DATA SAMPLES
                                                          *
С
         *
                                                          *
                          (starting at 1, up to 1024)
С
         С
     SUBROUTINE FFT(N,XTMP,X)
                                                                  FFT00130
     COMPLEX X(1024), XTMP(1024), WTFAC, TMP
                                                                  FFT00140
     M=INT(LOG10(FLOAT(N))/LOG10(2.)+0.5)
     EN = N
                                                                  FFT00210
     PI = 4.0*ATAN(1.0)
                                                                  FFT00270
     DO 10 K=0,N-1
                                                                  FFT00320
        NEWADR = 0
                                                                  FFT00330
        MADDR = K
                                                                  FFT00340
        DO 20 I=0,M-1
                                                                  FFT00350
             LRMNDR = MOD(MADDR, 2)
                                                                  FFT00360
             NEWADR = NEWADR + LRMNDR*2**(M-1-I)
                                                                  FFT00370
             MADDR = MADDR/2
                                                                  FFT00380
   20
        CONTINUE
                                                                  FFT00390
```

	X(NEWADR+1) = XTMP(K+1)		FFT00400
10	CONTINUE		FFT00410
	DO 50 L=1,M		FFT00530
	ISPACE = 2**L		FFT00610
	S = N/ISPACE		FFT00620
	IWIDTH = ISPACE/2		FFT00630
	DO 40 J=0.(IWIDTH-1)		FFT00670
	R = S*J		FFT00720
	ALPHA = 2.*PI*R/EN		FFT00730
	WTFAC = CMPLX(COS(ALPHA),	-SIN(ALPHA))	FFT00740
	DO 30 ITOP=J,N-2,ISPACE		FFT00750
	IBOT = ITOP + IWIDTH		FFT00800
	TMP = X(IBOT+1)*WTFAC		FFT00810
	X(JBOT+1) = X(ITOP+1)	- TMP	FFT00820
	X(ITOP+1) = X(ITOP+1)	+ TMP	FFT00830
30	CONTINUE		FFT00840
40	CONTINUE		FFT00850
50	CONTINUE		FFT00860
	RETURN		FFT01000
	END		FFT01010

APPENDIX B. CROSS IPS

Up to this point only autospectra have been discussed. Analysis of cross spectral characteristics of nonstationary phenomena can provide valuable information about the process. Equivalent to (1), a cross power spectral density is defined

$$P_{x_{t}x_{j}}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{x_{t}x_{j}}(\tau) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau.$$
(63)

For the case when x_i and x_j are uncorrelated then

$$P_{x_{i}x_{j}}(f) = 2\pi\mu_{i}\mu_{j}\delta(f) = P_{x_{i}x_{j}}(f),$$
(64)

where μ_i is the mean value. If the data is correlated the energy resulting from cross spectral analysis can be complex. By examining Parseval's theorem in a more general context,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_i(t) x_j^*(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X_i(f) X_j^*(f) df$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{x_i x_j}(f) df$$
$$= R_{x_i x_j}(0),$$
(65)

where $R_{x_i,x_j}(0)$ is not necessarily real nor is the cross correlation function (CCF) necessarily conjugate symmetric about $R_{x_i,x_j}(0)$. [Ref. 1]

All the spectral estimators previously discussed are applicable if the ACF estimate is replaced by with the CCF estimate. The bias for cross spectra may be much larger than an equivalent autospectra where the point of maximum overlap occurs at lag zero. In practice, the location of maximum overlap is unknown for CCF's. One interpretation of cross PSD are of importance, the case where x_i and x_j are two channels of a multichannel system. The $|P_{x_ix_j}(f)|$ contains information concerning relative amplitudes at specific frequencies where the $\Delta P_{x_ix_j}$ contains information concerning the lead or lag in phase between the two channels. [Ref. 3] Applying IPS in a multi-sensor environment leads to the following defining equation,

$$IPS_{xy}(f,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x(t)y^{*}(t-\tau) + x^{*}(t)y(t+\tau)) e^{-j2\pi j \tau} d\tau, \qquad (66)$$

where signal y could be a delayed, noisy version of signal x. Using a rapidly changing, linearly chirped pulse $e^{j2\pi}(\frac{10}{128}t^{-20}(\frac{t}{128})^2)$ and implementing a windowed version of IPS_{xy} , two sample cross spectra are shown in Figure 50 and Figure 51.

The first spectra was created by beating the pulsed chirp x(t) against a delayed version of itself. The cross spectrum is shown in Figure 50 (a). In this case the delay is 18 samples for a pulse 63 samples in duration. The cross spectrum can be seen in Figure 50 (b) and (c), where the spectral ridge over the interval corresponding to the absolute time of overlap. The maximum amplitude achieved on the cross-spectral surface is nearly the same as for the autospectra. The minimum however, is approximately 44% greater in magnitude than that found on the corresponding auto-spectral surface. IPS_{xy} does not appear to provide information which can estimate delay in reception for this class of signal.

The second cross spectrum considered examines the ability of IPS_{xy} to indicate correlation between a pulsed chirp and a Doppler-shifted version of itself. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= e^{j2\pi} \left(\frac{10}{128} t + 20(\frac{t}{128})^2 \right) \\ y(t) &= e^{j2\pi} \left(\frac{15}{128} t + 20(\frac{t}{128})^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$
(67)

representing a shift of 50%. Figure 51 (c) shows an overlay of the two pulses. Figure 51 (b) is the contour for the cross spectrum. The peaks of the characteristically modulated ridge correspond to the line of overlap shown in (c). It is not clear if the cross spectrum of a linear chirp with a Doppler shifted version of itself yields information concerning the degree of coherence. The cross spectrum in Figure 51 could easily be interpreted as an autospectrum in which two, closely-spaced parallel chirps are present. This initial investigation into the behavior of IPS_{xy} suggests that a more detailed examination of its behavior is in order.



(c) $IPS_{x,y}$ amplitude plot

Figure 50. Cross spectral analysis of a pulsed linear chirp



_

(c) *IPS*, overlayed contour plots of the original and Doppler-shifted pulse Figure 51. Cross spectral analysis for a Doppler-shifted linear pulse

APPENDIX C. CUMULANT

Assuming the received signal is corrupted by zero mean, Gaussian noise, examining the third-order moment or cumulant may yield information about the signal while suppressing the contributions of the noise. This potential processing gain is realized because the odd moments of a Gaussian process are identically zero. One way to implement IPS as an estimator of the cumulant is

Cum.
$$IPS_{|RD|}(f,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|x(t)|^2 x(t-\tau) + |x^*(t)|^2 x(t+\tau)) e^{-j2\pi f\tau} d\tau.$$

Looking only at the effect on noiseless signal data, some preliminary results can be seen in Figure 52. All signals are analytic, therefor the magnitude squared term is always unity. A comparison of the treatment of IPS_y can be made by referring to Chapter IV, Section C (3): Test Case Results. This method of processing the cumulant of an analytic signal does not appear to provide any useful results. Further research should examine the behavior using real signals or possibly forming the approximation of the cumulant in a different fashion.



Figure 52. The cumulant of various analytic signals

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. P. B. Peebles, Jr., "Spectral characteristics of random processes," in Probability, Random Variables and Random Signal Principles, pp. 172-204, McGraw Hill, New York, 1980.
- 2. Athanasios Papoulis, "Correlation and power spectrum of stationary processes," in Probability, Random Variables and Stochastic Processes, pp. 336-384, McGraw Hill, New York, 1965.
- 3. Steven M. Kay, Modern Spectral Estimation: Theory and Application, McGraw Hill, New York, 1980.
- 4. Fredric J. Harris, "On the Use of Windows for Harmonic Analysis with the Discrete Fourier Transform," *IEEE Proc.*, Vol. 66, No. 1 pp. 51-83, January 1978.
- 5. M. H. Ackroyd, "Instantaneous and Time-Varying Spectra: an Introduction," The Radio and Electronic Engine, Vol. 39, No. 3, pp. 142-152, March 1980.
- O. D. Grace, "Instantaneous Power Spectra," J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., Vol. 39, No. 3, pp. 191-197, January 1981.
- W. Mecklenbräuker, "A Tutorial on Non-Parametric Bilinear Time-Frequency Signal Representations," Les Houches, Session XLV, 1985, J.L. Lacoume and R. Stora, eds., pp. 276-236, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1987.
- Richard B. Altes, "Detection, Estimation, and Classification with Spectrograms," J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., Vol. 67, No. 4, pp. 1232-1246, April 1980.
- 9. Leon Cohen, "Generalized Phase-Space Distribution Functions," J. Math Physics, Vol. 7, No. 5, pp. 781-786, May 1966.

- Leon Cohen, "Time-frequency Distributions a Review," Proc. IEEE, Vol. 77, No. 7, pp. 941-981, July 1989.
- C. H. Page, "Instantaneous Power Spectra," J Appl. Phys., Vol. 23, pp. 103-106, 1952.
- 12. Morris J. Levin, "Instantaneous Spectra and Ambiguity Functions," Trans. IEEE on Information Theory, Vol. IT-10, pp. 95-96, January 1964.
- R.M. Fano, "Short-Time Autocorrelation Functions and Power Spectra," J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., Vol. 22, No. 5, pp. 546-550, September 1950.
- M.R. Schroeder and B.S. Atal, "Generalized Short-Time Power Spectra and Autocorrelation Functions," J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., Vol. 34, No. 11, pp. 1679-1683, November 1962.
- 15. Paulo M. D. Mónica de Oliveira, "Instantaneous Power Spectrum," Engineer's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, March 1989.
- August W. Rihaczek, "Signal Energy Distribution in Time," Trans. IEEE on Information Theory, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 369-374, May 1968.
- E. P. Wigner, "On the Quantum Correction for Thermodynamic Equilibrium," *Phys. Rev.*, Vol. 40, No. pp. 749-759, 1932.
- J. Ville, "Théorie et Applications de la Notion de Signal Analytique," Câbles et Transmission, Vol. 2, No. pp. 61-74, 1948.
- T.A.C.M. Claasen and W.F.G. Mecklenbräuker, "The Wigner Distribution a Tool for Time Frequency Signal Analysis, Part III: Relations with other Time-Frequency Signal Transforms," *Phillips J. Research*, Vol. 35, No. 6, pp. 372-389, 1980.

- 20. Boualem Bouashash "Notes on the use of the Wigner Distribution for Time-Frequency Signal Analysis," *Trans. IEEE ASSP*, Vol. 36, No. 9, pp. 1518-1521, September 1988.
- 21. Cornelis P. Janse and Arie J.M. Kaiser "Time-Frequency Distribution of Loudspeakers: the Application of the Wigner Distribution," J. Audio Eng. Soc., Vol. 31, No. 4, pp. 198-216, April 1983.

4

٦

22. H.I. Choi and W.J. Williams, "Improved Time-Frequency Representations of Multicomponent Signals Using Exponential Kernels," Trans IEEE on Acoust., Speech and Signal Processing, Vol. ASSP-37, 1989.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Allard, Jean F. and Valiere, Jean C. and Bourdier R., "Broadband Signal Analysis with the Smoothed Pseudo-Wigner Distribution," J. Acoust. Amer., Vol. 83, No. 3, pp. 1041-1044, March 1988.

Amin, Moeness G., "Sliding Spectra: A New Perspective," Fourth Annual ASSP Workshop on Spectrum Estimation and Modeling, pp. 55-59, IEEE Press, New York, 1988.

T.A.C.M. Claasen and W.F.G. Mecklenbräuker, "The Wigner Distribution - a Tool for Time Frequency Signal Analysis, Part I: Continuous Time Signals," *Phillips J. Research*, Vol. 35, No. 6, pp. 217-250, 1980.

T.A.C.M. Claasen and W.F.G. Mecklenbräuker, "The Wigner Distribution - a Tool for Time Frequency Signal Analysis, Part II: Discrete Time Signals," *Phillips J. Research*, Vol. 35, No. 6, pp. 276-300, 1980.

Cohen, Leon and Posch, Theodore E., "Positive Time-Frequency Distribution Functions," *Trans. IEEE on Accoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, Vol. ASSP 33, No. 1, pp. 31-38, February 1985.

Flaska, M.,D., "Cross Correlation of Short Time Spectral Histories," Acoust. Soc. Amer., Vol. 59, No. 2, pp. 381-388, February 1976.

Lampard, D.G., "Generalization of the Wiener-Khintchine Theorem to Nonstationary Processes," J. App. Physics, Vol. 25, No. 6, pp. 802-803, June 1954.

Mark, W.,D., "Spectral Analysis of the Convolution and Filtering of Nonstationary Stochastic Processes," J. Sound Vib., Vol. 11, pp. 19-63, 1970.

Martin, W. and Flandrin, P., "Analysis of Nonstationary Processes: Short time Periodograms versus a Pseudo-Wigner Estimator," Signal Processing 11: Theories and Applications, edited by H.W. Schüssler, pp. 455-458, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1983.

Stutt, Charles A., "Some Results on Real-Part/Imaginary-Part and Magnitude/Phase Relations in Ambiguity Functions," Trans. IEEE on Information Theory, pp. 321-327, October 1964.

Urkowitz, Harry, "Pre-envelopes of Nonstationary Bandpass Processes," J. Franklin Institute, Vol. 277, No. 1, pp. 31-36, January 1964.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No. Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145	2
2.	Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5002	2
3.	Professor Ralph D. Hippenstiel, Code EC/Hi Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA, 93943-5004	3
4.	Professor Roberto Cristi, Code EC/Cx Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA, 93943-5004	1
5.	Chairman, Code EC Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA, 93943-5004	1
6.	Professor Charles W. Therrien, Code EC/Th Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA, 93943-5004	I
7.	Professor Murali Tumniala, Code EC/Tu Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA, 93943-5004	1
8.	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. C. E. Persons, Code 732 San Diego, CA, 92152-5000	1
9.	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: LT E.H. Stitz, Code 30 San Diego, CA, 92152-5000	3

.