



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

Reports and Technical Reports

All Technical Reports Collection

2008-04-01

Using the Steel Vessel Material-cost Index to Mitigate Shipbuilder Risk

Edward G. Keating

<http://hdl.handle.net/10945/33342>



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

**Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School
411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle
Monterey, California USA 93943**

<http://www.nps.edu/library>



NATIONAL DEFENSE RESEARCH INSTITUTE


***Using The Steel Vessel Material Cost Index To
Mitigate Shipbuilder Risk***

**Edward G. Keating, Robert Murphy,
John F. Schank, John Birkler**


Outline

- • **How the Navy Uses Material Cost Indexes**
- **The Steel Vessel Material Cost Index and Its Shortcomings**
- **Prospective Reforms**

***If The Navy Used A Fixed-Price Contract,
Shipbuilder Profit Would Vary Dollar-per-Dollar
With Realized Cost***




Fixed-Price, Incentive Fee Contracts Imply Navy-Shipbuilder Cost Change Sharing



Material and Labor Cost Indexes Are To Adjust For Exogenous Cost Changes

- **It would not be reasonable to expect a risk-averse shipbuilder to bear risk of economy-wide inflation**
 - **Though, for a high enough price, shipbuilders will bear any risk**
 - **In equilibrium, the Navy does not want to pay risk-averse shipbuilders to bear such risk**
- **An appropriately chosen index adjusts expected costs to account for inflation then shipbuilder's realized costs are measured relative to the adjusted level**
 - **Shipbuilder is rewarded if actual costs do not increase as much as the index suggests**
 - **Shipbuilder is penalized if actual costs increase more than the index suggests**

Holding Realized Costs Fixed, The Shipbuilder Has Greater Profit When The Chosen Index Rises More




Outline

- **How the Navy Uses Material Cost Indexes**
- • **The Steel Vessel Material Cost Index and Its Shortcomings**
- **Prospective Reforms**


The Navy Frequently Uses The Steel Vessel Material Cost Index

- **Steel Vessel Index is a weighted average of three BLS producer price indexes**
 - **45% Iron & Steel**
 - **40% General Purpose Machinery and Equipment**
 - **15% Electrical Machinery and Equipment**
- **Used in many Navy programs including CVN-77 and LHD-8**
- **Problem: The Steel Vessel Index does not accurately represent materials used on modern ships, e.g., too much weight on Iron & Steel**
 - **Geismar's 1975 NPS thesis argued it was an inappropriate index!**
- **Some other programs (e.g., DGG-51, LPD, T-AKE) have used different material cost indexes with lower weight on Iron & Steel**

The Over-Emphasized Iron & Steel Index Is Very Volatile



The Steel Vessel Index Has A Greater Mean And, Perhaps More Importantly, Greater Variance Than Other Indexes



A Badly Chosen Material Cost Index Introduces New Risk

- **The shipbuilder now faces the risk his or her actual costs will grow more than the mis-weighted Steel Vessel Index**
 - **A big concern is the possibility the price of steel will fall without shipbuilder costs falling commensurably**
 - **We term this “cost structure mismatch-driven risk”**
- **In equilibrium, shipbuilders will demand greater prices to bear this new risk**

Outline

- **How the Navy Uses Material Cost Indexes**
- **The Steel Vessel Material Cost Index and Its Shortcomings**
- • **Prospective Reforms**

A Re-weighted Material Cost Index Is A Straightforward Solution To Steel Vessel Index Shortcomings

- **DDG-51, LPD, and T-AKE have gone in this direction**
 - **Lower weight on Iron & Steel**
- **But we think the Navy can do yet better...**

Current Material Cost Indexes Do Not Consider Time-Phasing

- **In reality, the types of materials a shipbuilder purchases vary over a ship's construction process**
 - **Keel steel is purchased early**
 - **Electronics are purchased late**
- **One could construct a time-phased index with weights that evolve (e.g., greatest Iron & Steel weight early) over time**

Is It Worth Refining Navy Material Cost Indexing?

- **The Steel Vessel Index is well-known which is virtuous if it implies shipbuilders accept lower prices when it is in use**
- **An index with lower weight on Iron & Steel like the DDG-51, LPD, and T-AKE material cost indexes is an improvement**
 - **A more accurate representation of shipbuilder costs**
- **Time-phasing would be more complicated but probably more valid**
 - **In equilibrium, we expect the Navy to pay less for ships when it reduces risk-averse shipbuilder exogenous risk more accurately**
- **Improving material cost indexing right is a “small problem” but it is multiplied by a large number, i.e., the Navy’s shipbuilding budget**