UNITED STATES NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL



FREE SPACE RADIATION IMPEDANCE OF RHOMBIC ANTENNA

---BY---

JESSE GERALD CHANEY PROFESSOR OF ELECTRONICS

A REPORT TO THE NAVY DEPARTMENT BUREAU OF SHIPS UPON AN INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED UNDER BUSHIPS PROJECT ORDER NO. 10731/52

MAY 12, 1952

TA7 .U64 no.4

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 4

Library U. S. Naval Postgraduate Schos^{*} Monterey, California

UNITED STATES

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

FREE SPACE RADIATION IMPEDANCE OF RHOMBIC ANTENNA

....BY...

JESSE GERALD CHANEY PROFESSOR OF ELECTRONICS

REPORT NO. 1

NAVY DEPARTMENT BUREAU of SHIPS

PROJECT ORDER 10731/52

MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA MAY, 1952

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 4



FREE SPACE RADIATION IMPEDANCE

OF RHOMBIC ANTENNA

Jesse Gerald Chaney^{*} United States Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California

ABSTRACT

The generalized circuit¹ is partially integrated and the physical significance of certain terms is discussed. Afterwards, an unattenuated travelling wave of current is postulated as a first approximation to the current along a rhombic antenna, and the integration is completed to yield a formula for the radiation impedance of a rhombic antenna in free space. The resistive component of the impedance checks with the radiation resistance as listed by Leonard Lewin⁸ in a discussion of a paper by Donald Foster³, with Lewin apparently obtaining his formula by the solid angle Poynting vector method.

THE GENERALIZED CIRCUIT

In the generalized circuit (Fig. 1), a slice generator is assumed to exist between terminals <u>b</u> and <u>c</u>, and the current is assumed continuous through the generator. Terminals <u>d</u> and <u>e</u> may or may not be closed.

The current along the circuit is assumed to be given by I_of(P),

- 1. J. G. Chaney, "A critical study of the circuit concept", J. Appl. Phys. 22, 12, 1429 (1951).
- 2. Leonard Lewin, " Discussion on radiation from rhombic antenna", Proc. I.R.E., 29, 9, 523, (1941).
- 3. Donald Foster, "Radiation from rhombic antenna", Proc. I.R.E., 25, 10, 1327, (1937).

18224

*Professor of Electronics

with P being any position on the circuit, and with I_0 being the current through the generator. If the length of the circuit is $\underline{1}$, the driving point impedance is given by¹

$$Z_{in} = 1Z_{i}f_{m}^{2} + j\frac{30}{k}(g_{b}^{d} + g_{e}^{0})(g_{b}^{d} + g_{e}^{0})$$

$$Re[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{2})] = \overline{\nabla}_{1}[e(r_{21})d\overline{r}_{2}] \cdot d\overline{r}_{1}$$
(1)

in which

 $P_{1} = any point along the axis of the wire$ $P_{2} = any point along the inner periphery of the wire$ $r_{z1} = distance from P_{1} to P_{2}$ $e(r_{z1}) = r_{z1}^{-1} exp(-jkr_{21})$ $k = \omega(\mu_{\alpha}\epsilon_{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$ $\mu_{0} = 4\pi(10^{-7}) \text{ henries per meter}$ $\epsilon_{0} = (3e\pi \cdot 10^{9})^{-1} \text{ farads per meter}$ $I_{1} = internal impedance of the circuit per meter$ $f_{m}^{2} = meam square magnitude of the current distribution$ $\overline{v}_{1} = \overline{v_{1}}\overline{v_{1}} + k^{2} = operator deltil or delcap, with the subscript indicating the position at which the differentiations are to be performed$

X = the complex conjugate to be taken

Now let A indicate the integrated value of the first term of the integrand, that is

$$A = j \frac{30}{k} (g_{b}^{d} + g_{\theta}^{c}) (g_{b}^{d} + g_{\theta}^{c}) \operatorname{Re}[f(P_{1})^{T} f(P_{2})] \nabla_{1} [\nabla_{1} \cdot e(r_{21}) d\bar{r}_{2}] \cdot d\bar{r}_{1}$$
(2)



Upon integrating by parts along the axis, let

 $U = \operatorname{Re}[f(P_1)^{\star}f(P_2)], \qquad dU = \nabla_1 \operatorname{Re}[f(P_1)^{\star}f(P_2)] \cdot d\overline{r}_1$ $dV = \nabla_1 [\nabla_1 \cdot e(r_{21}) d\overline{r}_2] \cdot d\overline{r}_1, \qquad V = \nabla_1 \cdot e(r_{21}) d\overline{r}_2 = -\nabla_2 e(r_{21}) \cdot d\overline{r}_2$ and get

$$A = j\frac{30}{k}(g_{b}^{d}+g_{\theta}^{c})\{\operatorname{Re}[f(P_{b})^{*}f(P_{2})]\nabla_{2\theta}(r_{2b}) - \operatorname{Re}[f(P_{c})^{*}f(P_{2})]\nabla_{2\theta}(r_{2c}) + \operatorname{Re}[f(P_{\theta})^{*}f(P_{2})]\nabla_{2\theta}(r_{2\theta}) - \operatorname{Re}[f(P_{d})^{*}f(P_{2})]\nabla_{2\theta}(r_{2d})\} \cdot d\bar{r}_{2} \qquad (3)$$

$$+ j\frac{30}{k}(g_{b}^{d}+g_{\theta}^{c})(g_{b}^{d}+g_{\theta}^{c})(\nabla_{1}\operatorname{Re}[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{2})] \cdot d\bar{r}_{1})(\nabla_{2\theta}(r_{21}) \cdot d\bar{r}_{2})$$

Let B represent the last term of equation (3), and integrate along the perimeter,

$$B = j\frac{30}{k} (f_b^d + f_0^c) (f_b^d + f_0^c) (\nabla_1 \operatorname{Re}[f(P_1)^* f(P_2)] \cdot d\bar{r}_1) \nabla_2 \Theta(r_{21}) \cdot d\bar{r}_2$$
(4)

Now let

$$U = \nabla_1 \operatorname{Re}[f(P_1)^{\dagger}f(P_2)] \cdot d\overline{r}_1, \quad dU = \nabla_2 (\nabla_1 \operatorname{Re}[f(P_1)^{\dagger}f(P_2)] \cdot d\overline{r}_1) \cdot d\overline{r}_2$$
$$dV = \nabla_2 e(r_{21}) \cdot d\overline{r}_2, \qquad V = e(r_{21})$$

and get

$$B = j\frac{30}{k}(g_{b}^{d}+g_{\Theta}^{c})\{e(r_{d1})\nabla_{1}Re[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{d})\}$$

$$= e(r_{\Theta1})\nabla_{1}Re[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{\Theta})] + e(r_{C1})\nabla_{1}Re[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{O})] \qquad (5)$$

$$= e(r_{b1})\nabla_{1}Re[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{b})]\} \cdot d\bar{r}_{1}$$

$$= j\frac{30}{k}(g_{b}^{d}+g_{\Theta}^{c})(g_{b}^{d}+g_{\Theta}^{c})e(r_{E1})\nabla_{2}\{\nabla_{1}Re[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{E})]\cdot d\bar{r}_{1}\} \cdot d\bar{r}_{E}$$

Recall that the current distribution is postulated to be the same along both paths of integration, and that the radius of the wire is postulated to be sufficiently small for the two paths to be interchangeable. Hence, upon interchanging the paths in the single integral terms of equation (5), and upon substituting from equation (5) into equation (3), and subsequently into equation (1), the

driving point impedance becomes

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Z}_{in} &= \mathbf{1} \mathbf{Z}_{i} \mathbf{f}_{n}^{2} + \mathbf{j}_{\overline{\mathbf{R}}}^{30} \left(\mathbf{f}_{b}^{d} + \mathbf{f}_{e}^{0} \right) \left(\mathbf{f}_{b}^{d} + \mathbf{f}_{e}^{0} \right) \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_{21}) \\ & \left(\mathbf{k}^{2} \operatorname{Re}[f(\mathbf{P}_{1})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] d\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{1} - \mathbf{v}_{2} \left[\mathbf{v}_{1} \operatorname{Re}[f(\mathbf{P}_{1})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] \cdot d\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{1} \right] \right) \cdot d\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{2} \\ &+ \mathbf{j}_{\overline{\mathbf{k}}}^{30} \left(\mathbf{f}_{b}^{d} + \mathbf{f}_{e}^{0} \right) \left(\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_{2d}) \mathbf{v}_{2} \operatorname{Re}[f(\mathbf{P}_{d})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] - \operatorname{Re}[f(\mathbf{P}_{d})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] \mathbf{v}_{2} \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_{2d}) \\ &- \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_{2e}) \mathbf{v}_{2} \operatorname{Re}[f(\mathbf{P}_{e})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] + \operatorname{Re}[f(\mathbf{P}_{e})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] \mathbf{v}_{2} \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_{2e}) \quad (\mathbf{e}) \\ &+ \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_{2o}) \mathbf{v}_{2} \operatorname{Re}[f(\mathbf{P}_{o})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] - \operatorname{Re}[f(\mathbf{P}_{o})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] \mathbf{v}_{2} \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_{2o}) \\ &- \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_{2b}) \mathbf{v}_{2} \operatorname{Re}[f(\mathbf{P}_{b})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] + \operatorname{Re}[f(\mathbf{P}_{b})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] \mathbf{v}_{2} \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_{2b}) \right) \cdot d\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{2} \end{split}$$

Integrating the terms in the last integral containing the gradient of the exponential terms, and assuming the radius of the wire is a with

ka<<1 , a<<1 ,

one obtains

$$Z_{in} = 1Z_{i}f_{m}^{2} + \frac{1}{j\omega z_{\pi}\varepsilon_{0}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} e(a)[|f(P_{d})|^{2} + |f(P_{e})|^{2} + 2] - e(r_{bc})Re[f(P_{b})^{*}f(P_{c})] - e(r_{de})Re[f(P_{d})^{*}f(P_{e})] - e(r_{bd})Re[f(P_{b})^{*}f(P_{d})] + e(r_{cd})Re[f(P_{c})^{*}f(P_{d})] - e(r_{ce})Re[f(P_{c})^{*}f(P_{e})] + e(r_{be})Re[f(P_{b})^{*}f(P_{e})] \right\}$$
(7)

+
$$j = \frac{1}{R} (\mathfrak{f}_{b}^{d} + \mathfrak{f}_{\Theta}^{d}) \{ e(r_{2d}) \nabla_{2} \operatorname{Re}[f(P_{d})^{*} f(P_{2})] - e(r_{2b}) \nabla_{2} \operatorname{Re}[f(P_{b})^{*} f(P_{2})]$$

+ $e(r_{2c}) \nabla_{2} \operatorname{Re}[f(P_{c})^{*} f(P_{2})] - e(r_{2e}) \nabla_{2} \operatorname{Re}[f(P_{0})^{*} f(P_{2})] \} \cdot d\overline{r}_{2}$

+
$$j \frac{30}{k} (g_b^d + g_0^o) (g_b^d + g_0^c) e(r_{21})$$

{ $k^2 \text{Re}[f(P_1)^* f(P_2)] d\bar{r}_1 - \nabla_2 (\nabla_1 \text{Re}[f(P_1)^* f(P_2)] d\bar{r}_1)$ }

If the antenna is symmetrically fed, that is if the antenna is physically symmetrical with respect to the generator, the sense of the path from <u>e</u> to <u>c</u> may be reversed in the single integral terms of equation (7), with the interchanging of <u>c</u> and <u>e</u> and <u>b</u> and <u>d</u>, respectively

within the interval (ec). Also, after replacing $-d\bar{r}_2$ with $+d\bar{r}_2$ along with the corresponding interchange of limits for the interval (ec), the operator $+\nabla_2$ is replaced with the operator $-\nabla_2$. Hence, for the symmetrically fed antenna, the single integral terms may be doubled and integrated only from **b** to **d**. However, if the terminal spacings <u>bc</u> and <u>de</u> are each negligibly small with respect to a wave length, the current may be assumed continuous through the terminals and hence the single integral terms of equation (7) then vanish.

The real part of the terms within the brace of equation (τ) represent the reactive terms due to the end capacitances, and the imaginary part represents a correction to the component of the radiation resistance due to the distributed charges. The latter correction is necessary because the path is not closed.

In practice, either the current is supposed to vanish at the terminals <u>de</u> or a load impedance Z_0 is assumed to be inserted at the terminals to cause the current to take on the postulated distribution. Also, the input terminals are short circuited at the generator. Thus, the real part of the terms within the brace either vanish or are usually discarded. The imaginary terms also either vanish or may be disregarded provided the terminal spacings are quite small in comparison with a wave length. Otherwise, if f_0 is the current magnitude at <u>d</u> and at <u>e</u>, it may be necessary to retain the following correction terms from equation (τ) ,

$$eo(\frac{\operatorname{sinkr_{bc}}}{\operatorname{kr_{bc}}} - 1) + eo(\frac{\operatorname{sinkr_{de}}}{\operatorname{kr_{de}}} - 1)f_0^2$$
,

in which it is assumed that the distances r and r do not

5

.

appreciably differ from the distances rod and roe, respectively.

Thus, assuming the terminal spacings permit the dropping of the correction terms and that the current is continuous through the terminals so that the single integral terms in equation (7) vanish, the driving point impedance becomes¹,

$$Z_{in} = 1Z_{i}f_{m}^{2} + Z_{0}f_{0}^{2}$$
(e)
+ $j \frac{30}{k} f_{1} f_{2} e(r_{21}) \{ k^{2} Re[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{2})] d\bar{r}_{1} - \nabla_{2} (\nabla_{1} Re[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{2})] \cdot d\bar{r}_{1} \} \cdot d\bar{r}_{2}$

In many cases of antenna applications, the current may be approximated sufficiently well by a distribution function which either satisfies or may be broken into the sum of functions which satisfy one of the following equations of constraint,

$$\nabla_{2} \left(\nabla_{1} \operatorname{Re}[f(P_{1})^{*} f(P_{2})] \cdot d\bar{r}_{1} \right) \cdot d\bar{r}_{2} \pm k^{2} \operatorname{Re}[f(P_{1})^{*} f(P_{2})] dr_{1} dr_{2} = 0 \qquad (9)$$

Substituting from equation (9) into equation (8),

$$Z_{in} = 1Z_{i}f_{m}^{2} + Z_{0}f_{0}^{2} + j\frac{\omega\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \oint_{1} \oint_{2} e(r_{21})Re[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{2})]d\bar{r}_{1} \cdot d\bar{r}_{2}$$
(10)
$$\pm j\frac{\omega\mu_{0}}{4\pi} \oint_{1} \oint_{2} e(r_{21})Re[f(P_{1})^{*}f(P_{2})]dr_{1}dr_{2}$$

The first integral is the generalized Neumann's formula, and is sometimes used alone for estimating the radiation resistance of a circuit. It appears that the second double integral term should not be neglected. However, it should be remembered that a constant current distribution does not satisfy equation (9), and that equation (10) does not contradict Neumann's formula per se.

If the terminals of Z_0 are sufficiently near each other for the closing of the integrals, equation (10) may be written

$$\mathbf{Z}_{in} = 1 \mathbf{Z}_{i} f_{m}^{2} + f_{o}^{2} \mathbf{Z}_{o} + j \mathbf{3} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{k} \phi_{1} \phi_{2} \mathbf{e} (\mathbf{r}_{21}) \mathbf{R} \mathbf{e} [f(\mathbf{P}_{1})^{*} f(\mathbf{P}_{2})] (d\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{1} \cdot d\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{2} + d\mathbf{r}_{1} d\mathbf{r}_{2})$$
(11)

6

In terms of arc lengths, let

$$g(ks_1, ks_2) = \operatorname{Re}[f(P_1)^{*}f(P_2)]$$
$$h(ks_1, ks_2) = e(r_{21})$$
$$\cos \theta(s_1, s_2) \ ds_1 ds_2 = d\overline{r}_1 \cdot d\overline{r}_2$$

and equations (9) and (11) become, respectively,

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_1 \partial s_2} \pm k^2\right) g(ks_1, ks_2) = 0$$
 (12)

$$Z_{in} = 1Z_{i}f_{m}^{2} + Z_{0}f_{0}^{2} + j_{3}ok_{0}f_{0}eg(ks_{1}, ks_{2})h(ks_{1}, ks_{2})[cos\theta(s_{1}, s_{2})^{\pm}]ds_{1}ds_{2}$$
(13)

If the algebraic sign within the parenthesis of equation (12) is positive,

$$Z_{in}=1Z_{i}f_{m}^{2}+Z_{0}f_{0}^{2}+jeok \oint_{1} \oint_{2} g(ks_{1},ks_{2})h(ks_{1},ks_{2})\cos^{2}\frac{1}{2}\Theta(s_{1},s_{2})ds_{1}ds_{2} \quad (14)$$

and if it is negative,

$$Z_{in} = 1Z_{i}f_{m}^{2} + Z_{0}f_{0}^{2} - jeok \oint_{1} \oint_{2} g(ks_{1}, ks_{2})h(ks_{1}, ks_{2})sin^{2} \frac{1}{2}\theta(s_{1}, s_{2})ds_{1}ds_{2}$$
(15)

THE RHOMBIC ANTENNA

For the purpose of obtaining a formula for the radiation impedance of a rhombic antenna in free space (Fig. 2), the generator is assumed to short circuit terminals <u>b</u> and <u>c</u>, and a terminal impedance Z_0 is postulated at terminals <u>d</u> and <u>g</u> which, to a first approximation, causes the current to be an unattenuated travelling wave with no reflection. Each leg of the rhombic is assumed to be <u>l</u> meters in length and the vertex angle at the generator is assumed to be zc.

Upon selecting the origin at the generator, the variable s ranges from -21 at \underline{e} to +21 at \underline{d} . For $\underline{s_1}$ and $\underline{s_2}$ along different but

parallel wires, $\theta = \pi$. For s_1 and s_2 along different wires one and two or three and four, $\theta = \pi - 2\alpha$. For s_1 and s_2 along different wires two and three or four and one, $\theta = 2\alpha$.

For negative s, $I = I_0 e^{jks}$, and for positive s, $I = I_0 e^{-jks}$. Thus, for s_1 and s_2 having the same sense,

$$g(ks_1, ks_2) = \cos k(s_1 - s_2)$$
 (16)

and for s1 and s2 having the opposite sense,

$$g(ks_1, ks_2) = \cos k(s_1 + s_2)$$
 (17)

The function (1e) satisfies equation (12) with the negative sign, and hence when s_1 and s_2 are of the same sense, from equation (15), the contribution Z_1 to the radiation impedance

$$Z = R + j X$$
(18)

becomes

$$Z_{1} = -j \varepsilon_{0} k \phi_{1} \phi_{2} g(ks_{1}, ks_{2}) h(ks_{1}, ks_{2}) \sin^{2} \frac{1}{2} \theta(s_{1}, s_{2}) ds_{1} ds_{2}$$
(19)

Similarly, the function (17) satisfies equation (12) with the positive sign, and hence when s_1 and s_2 are of the opposite sense, from equation (14), the contribution Z_2 to the radiation impedance becomes,

$$Z_{2} = jso k \oint_{1} \oint_{2} g(ks_{1}, ks_{2}) h(ks_{1}, ks_{2}) \cos^{2} \frac{1}{2} \theta(s_{1}, s_{2}) ds_{1} ds_{2}$$
(20)

Substituting $\theta = 0$ into equation (19) and $\theta = \pi$ into equation (20), it follows that all paths for s_1 and s_2 which are parallel, whether on the same wire or on opposite wires, are eliminated from further consideration in carrying out the integrations for the radiation impedance of a rhombic antenna.

For any pair of wires, the axial and surface paths must be taken along both wires in succession. But due to symmetry, the integrations are the same for the axial path along one wire with the surface path along the other wire as it is if the two paths are interchanged. Hence, the integrations need to be carried out and doubled only for the four cases where the axial path lies either on wire <u>one</u> or wire <u>three</u> and the surface path lies on either wire <u>two</u> or wire four.

Thus, from equations (16), (17), (19), and (20),

$$Z_{r} = j_{120}k \int_{-1}^{0} \int_{0}^{-1} \cos k(s_{1}+s_{2}) e(r_{12}) \sin^{2}\alpha \, ds_{2}ds_{1}$$

-j_{120}k \int_{-1}^{0} \int_{-21}^{-1} \cos k(s_{1}-s_{2}) e(r_{14}) \sin^{2}\alpha \, ds_{2} \, ds_{1}
-j_{120}k \int_{1}^{21} \int_{0}^{1} \cos k(s_{1}-s_{2}) e(r_{23}) \sin^{2}\alpha \, ds_{2}ds_{1}
+j_{120}k \int_{1}^{21} \int_{-21}^{-1} \cos k(s_{1}+s_{2}) e(r_{34}) \sin^{2}\alpha \, ds_{2}ds_{1} (21)

with

$$r_{12} = (s_2^2 + s_1^2 + 2s_1s_2 \cos 2\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$r_{14} = [(1+s_1)^2 + (1+s_2)^2 + 2(1+s_1)(1+s_2) \cos 2\alpha]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$r_{23} = [(s_1-1)^2 + (1-s_2)^2 + 2(s_1-1)(1-s_2) \cos 2\alpha]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$r_{34} = [(21-s_1)^2 + (21+s_2)^2 - 2(21-s_1)(21+s_2) \cos 2\alpha]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In the first integral, let $s_1 = -x_1$ and $s_2 = x_2$, in the second integral, let $s_1 = x_1 - 1$ and $s_2 = -(x_4 + 1)$, in the third integral, let $s_1 = x_1 + 1$ and $s_2 = 1 - x_4$, and in the fourth integral, let $s_1 = 21 - x_1$ and $s_2 = x_2 - 21$. Then the radiation impedance becomes $Z_r = j_{240} sin^2 a [\int_0^1 \int_0^1 cosk(x_2 - x_1) e(r_{21}) dx_2 dx_1 - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 cosk(x_4 + x_1) e(r_{41}) dx_4 dx_1$ (22) with

$$\mathbf{r}_{21} = [\mathbf{x}_{1}^{2} + \mathbf{x}_{8}^{2} - \mathbf{x}_{1}\mathbf{x}_{2}\cos 2\alpha]^{\frac{1}{2}} = [(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2}\cos 2\alpha)^{2} + (\mathbf{x}_{2}\sin 2\alpha)^{2}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(23)

$$\mathbf{r_{41}} = [x_1^2 + x_4^2 + 2x_1x_4\cos^2\alpha]^{\frac{1}{2}} = [(x_1 + x_4\cos^2\alpha)^2 + (x_4\sin^2\alpha)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(24)

Upon writing the integrands in the exponential form,

$$Z_{r} = -j \, 120 \, k \, \sin^{2} \alpha \, (I_{1} + I_{2} - I_{3} - I_{4})$$
(25)

with

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \exp[-jk(x_{4}+x_{1}+r_{41})] r_{41}^{-1} dx_{4} dx_{1}$$
(26)

$$I_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \exp[jk(x_{4}+x_{1}-r_{4})] r_{41}^{-1} dx_{4} dx_{1}$$
 (27)

$$I_{3} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \exp[jk(x_{2}-x_{1}-r_{2})] r_{21}^{-1} dx_{2} dx_{1}$$
(28)

$$I_4 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \exp[-jk(x_2 - x_1 + r_{21})] r_{21}^{-1} dx_2 dx_1$$
 (29)

Due to symmetry, x_{g} and x_{1} may be interchanged in I_{4} , yielding an equality of I_{3} and I_{4} .

Recalling that the path for x_1 is along the axis of wire one whereas the paths for x_2 and x_4 are along the inner peripheries of wires <u>two</u> and <u>four</u>, respectively, if it is assumed that the axes of the wires are of length <u>1</u>, then the limits for x_2 are actually from a cota to 1 - a tana and those for x_4 are actually from a tana to 1 - a cota. Hence, finally (Fig. 3)

$$Z_{r} = -j \, 120 \, k \, \sin^{2} \alpha \, (I_{1} + I_{2} - 2I_{3})$$
 (30)

with

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\text{atang}}^{1-\text{acotg}} \exp[-jk(x_{4}+x_{1}+r_{41})]r_{41}^{-1} dx_{4} dx_{1}$$
(31)

$$I_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{atana}^{1-acota} \exp[jk(x_{4}+x_{1}-r_{41})]r_{41} dx_{4} dx_{1}$$
(32)

$$I_{3} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{acota}^{1-atana} \exp[-jk(x_{2}-x_{1}+r_{21})]r_{21}^{-1} dx_{2} dx_{1}$$
(33)

The integrations for I_1 , I_2 and I_3 may be carried out by transformations similar to those suggested by F. H. Murray⁵.

5. F. H. Murray, "Mutual impedance of two skew antenna wires", Proc. I.R.E., 21, 1, 154, (1933).

However, they should be evaluated directly and not by transforming to the exact integral given by Murray, since there is a likelihood of unsuspectingly integrating through a singularity. In this way, the following formula is obtained for the radiation impedance of a rhombic antenna in free space.

- + $\cos(2k \ln^2 \alpha)$ { $Ci[2k \ln \alpha \alpha (1 + \cos \alpha)]$ + $Ci[2k \ln \alpha \alpha (1 \cos \alpha)]$
 - + Ci[2klsing(1+sing)] + Ci[2klsing(1-sing)] 2Ci[2klcos²g]
 - 2Ci[2klsin²a])
- sin(2klsin²a) { Si[2klcosa(1+cosa)] Si[2klcosa(1-cosa)]
 - Si[2klsing(1+sing)] + Si[2klsing(1-sing)] 2Si[2klcos²g]
 - + 2Si[2klsin²a])

- + j [Si[2kl(1+cosa)] Si[2kl(1-cosa)] + 2Si[2klsina] 2Si2kl
 cos(2klsin²a) { Si[2klcosa(1+cosa)] + Si[2klcosa(1-cosa)]
 - + Si[2klsing(1+sing)] + Si[2klsing(1-sing)] 2Si[2klcos²g]
 - 2Si[2klsin²a] }
- $sin(2klsin^2a)$ { Ci[2klcosa(1+cosa)] Ci[2klcosa(1-cosa)]
 - Ci[2klsina(1+sina)] + Ci[2klsina(1-sina)] 2Ci[2klcos2a]
 - + 2Ci[2klsin²a] }]

The resistive component of formula (34) checks with the radiation resistance of a rhombic antenna as given by Leonard Lewin² in a discussion of a paper by Donald Foster³. From the discussion, it may be inferred that Lewin derived the formula for the radiation resistance by the solid angle Poynting vector method. f C=0.577g, Si(x) = $\int_0^x \frac{\sin u}{u} du$, Ci(x) = $-\int_x^\infty \frac{\cos u}{u} du$.

THE TEMILEATED VIS ANTENNA

It is interesting to note in passing that the free space radiation impodence of the terminated Vee antenna may be written from equation (7) as

$$E_{\rm p} = \operatorname{oof}_{0}^{0} \left[\frac{\sin(ak) \sin n}{m(1-1)} - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{nek} \sin^{2} n I_{0}$$

+ jiso $\int_{0}^{1} \left[\operatorname{o}(r_{\rm Rd}) - \operatorname{o}(r_{\rm Ro}) \right] \sin k(1-s) \, ds$ (so)

with

$$r_{nd} = [a^{n} + (1-s)^{n}]^{\frac{2}{n}} \approx 1-s$$

$$r_{no} = [1^{n}+s^{n}-slooses]^{\frac{2}{n}} [(s-looses)^{n}+(lsises)^{n}]^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

in which each log of the Yes is of length 1 and the vertex angle is no.

Using the modified ecsime integral function

$$\operatorname{Ga}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1 - \operatorname{ooss}}{\mathbf{x}} \, \operatorname{de}$$

the radiation impedance of the Vee antenna in free space becomes,

$$Z_{T} = f_{0}^{\alpha} \left[\frac{\sin(\alpha k | \sin \alpha)}{\alpha k | \sin \alpha} - 1 \right] + \alpha C \alpha(\alpha k | \sin \alpha) + j \alpha S i(\alpha k | \sin \alpha)$$
(44)

In equation (se), the end expacitances are assumed to be shunted by the generator and terminal impedance, respectively, and hence have been discarded.





Figure 1



Figure 2





$$\begin{array}{c} \text{APPENDIX A} \\ \text{Siven} & I_{1} = \int_{0}^{R} \int_{a_{km_{k}}}^{a_{km_{k}}} r_{4}(x_{4} + x_{4} + x_{4}) dx_{4} dx_{4} (1) \\ \text{with} & I_{1} = \int_{0}^{R} \int_{a_{km_{k}}}^{a_{km_{k}}} r_{4}(x_{4} + x_{4} + x_{4}) dx_{4} dx_{4} (1) \\ \text{with} & I_{4} = \sqrt{x_{1}^{2} + x_{4}^{2} + 2x_{5}} r_{4} con 2d = \sqrt{(x_{1} + x_{5} con 2d)^{2} + (X_{4} sin 2d)^{2}}, \\ \text{fed} & \text{m}_{1} t_{1} = \chi_{4} con 2d + 1/\xi_{4} (1) \\ \text{m}_{1} t_{1} = \chi_{4} con 2d + 1/\xi_{4} (1) \\ \text{m}_{1} t_{2} = 2k + \chi_{4} con 2d + 1/\xi_{4} (1) \\ \text{m}_{1} t_{2} = 2k + \chi_{4} con 2d + 1/\xi_{4} (1) \\ \text{m}_{1} t_{2} = 2k con 2d + 1/\xi_{4} (1) \\ \text{m}_{2} t_{2} t_{2} t_{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Im(m_{1}t)}{\Im X_{4}} &= \cos 2d_{1} + \frac{\chi_{4} + \chi_{5} \cos 2d_{2}}{r_{4}} = \frac{\chi_{4} + (\chi_{1} + r_{4})\cos 2d_{2}}{r_{4}} \\ \text{and thus if } R_{4\chi} &= \int (\chi_{4} + \chi_{5} \cos 2d_{5})^{2} + (Rs - 2d_{5})^{2} \\ \frac{1}{r_{4}} \frac{\Im(m_{1}t_{2})}{\Im X_{4}} &= \frac{\chi_{4} + \chi_{4} \cos 2d_{5}}{\chi_{4} (\chi_{4} + r_{5} + \chi_{4})} = \frac{1}{\chi_{4}} \\ \frac{1}{r_{7}} \frac{\Im(m_{1}t_{2})}{\Im X_{4}} &= \frac{\chi_{4} + (\chi + r_{4})(\cos 2d_{5})}{r_{4\chi}^{2} (\chi (2 + \chi_{5} \cos 2d_{5} + r_{4}))} \\ \text{Hence, } R_{4} &= \frac{1}{r_{4\chi}^{2} (\chi + \chi_{5} \cos 2d_{5})} = \frac{-(\kappa - m_{1}t_{1})}{\Im \chi_{4}} \int dx_{4} & (4) \\ \text{Hence, } R_{4} &= \frac{1}{r_{4\chi}^{2} (\chi + \chi + r_{4})(r_{5\chi} + r_{4\chi})} \\ R_{1} &= \int R \frac{e^{-jK_{2}\chi_{5}}\pi_{2}^{2}}{r_{4\chi}^{2} (\chi + \chi_{5})\pi_{4}^{2}} = \frac{-(\kappa - m_{1}t_{1})}{\Im \chi_{4}} \int dx_{4}^{2} & (4) \\ \text{upon substitution of the agriculture (4)} \\ R_{1} &= \int R \frac{e^{-jK_{1}\pi_{4}+K_{4}+\chi_{4}}{r_{4\chi}(R + \chi_{4})(r_{4\chi}+R_{4}+r_{4\chi})(co 2d_{5})} dx_{4} - \int \frac{e^{-jK_{2}\chi_{4}}}{r_{2}\chi} 2d\chi_{4}^{2} & (5) \\ \text{Let } R_{1,2} = \text{qual the neousline grad and } R_{1,3} - \text{qual (} r_{1}^{2} f_{1}^{2} f_{1}^{2} f_{1}^{2} f_{1}^{2} f_{1}^{2} f_{1}^{2} f_{1}^{2} f_{2}^{2} f_{1}^{2} f_{2}^{2} f_{1}^{2} f_{1}^$$

$$\begin{split} & (4) \\ &$$

Constant - Letters - Let have a start and a start a sta

Substituting A13 and A12 into A11, and afterwards substituting equation A11 mits equation (3), $\lambda K Sin^2 d I = Ci [2Kl(1+cosd)] - Ci 2Kl - ln cosd$ - cos(2Klsind) { Ci[2Kland(1+ cind)] - Ci(2Klcozd) } $+ Sin(2Klsin2) \{Si[2Klcod(1+cosd)] - Si(2Klco22)\}$ (12)+) Sizk& - Si[2KR(1+ coo)] + ces(2)xlsm2d){si[2xxlcood(1+cood)]-si(2xlcoo2d)} + Sin (2Kl Sind) { Ci[2Kl cod (1+ cod)] - Ci(2Kl cod) }

place in the company of the second

16.
APPENDIX B
Given
$$J_{2} = \int_{0}^{A} \int_{0}^{A-\alpha \tan \alpha} \frac{-jk(n_{1}-\chi_{1}-\chi_{1})}{2\pi 4} d\chi_{d}\chi_{j}$$
 (1)
with $n_{q,1} = \sqrt{\chi_{1}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{2} + 2\chi_{1}^{2} + 2\alpha_{2}\alpha_{2}} = \sqrt{(\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}\cos_{2}\alpha_{1})^{2} + (\chi_{2}\sin_{2}\alpha_{2})^{2}}$
 $\lambda_{et}m_{1}^{2} = n_{q}-r_{r}-\chi_{c}\cos_{2}\alpha_{1}, \quad m_{1} = \chi_{q}\sin_{2}\alpha_{1}$
 $m_{1}^{2} = r_{q}-r_{r}-\chi_{c}\cos_{2}\alpha_{1}, \quad m_{1} = \chi_{q}\sin_{2}\alpha_{1}$
 $m_{1}^{2} = \sqrt{\chi_{q}^{2} + 2\chi_{c}^{2}\alpha_{2}\alpha_{1} + \chi_{c}^{2} - 2\chi_{q}^{2}\sin_{2}\alpha_{1}}$
 $m_{1}^{2} = \sqrt{\chi_{q}^{2} + 2\chi_{c}^{2}\alpha_{2}\alpha_{2} + \chi_{c}^{2} - (R + \chi_{c}\cos_{2}\alpha_{1}) = n_{q}^{2} - \frac{R}{4} + \frac{R}{4} + \frac{2}{4}(\chi_{q} + R\cos_{2}\alpha_{1})^{2} + (R\sin_{2}\alpha_{2})^{2} - n_{q}^{2} = \chi_{q}^{2}$
 $m_{1}^{2} = \sqrt{\chi_{q}^{2} + \chi_{c}\cos_{2}\alpha_{1}} - 1)d\chi_{1} = -\frac{m_{1}^{2} d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}}, \quad d\chi_{1}^{2} = -\frac{R_{q}}{4}$
 $d\chi_{q}^{2} = \sqrt{(\chi_{q} + R\cos_{2}\alpha_{2})^{2} + (R\sin_{2}\alpha_{2})^{2}}, \quad \pi_{q}^{2} = \chi_{q}^{2}$
 $m_{q}^{2} dt = (\chi_{1} + \chi_{q}\cos_{2}\alpha_{1} - 1)d\chi_{1}^{2} - (\cos_{2}\alpha_{1} - \frac{R_{q}}{2})dt + \frac{3(m_{1}^{2} - 1)(\cos_{2}\alpha_{1}}{2})$
 $d\pi_{q}^{2} = \sqrt{\chi_{q}^{2} + \chi_{c}\cos_{2}\alpha_{1}} - 1)d\chi_{1}^{2} - \frac{m_{1}^{2} d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}}, \quad d\chi_{1}^{2} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}} - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}}} dt = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}}} dt = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}}} d\chi_{1}^{2} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}}} d\chi_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\chi_{1}^{2} \sin^{2} d\chi_{1}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}}} d\chi_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\chi_{1}^{2} \sin^{2} d\chi_{1}} \chi_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\chi_{1}^{2} \sin^{2} d\chi_{1}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}}} d\chi_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\chi_{1}^{2} \sin^{2} d\chi_{1}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2}\chi_{1}^{2} \sin^{2} d\chi_{1}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2}\chi_{1}^{2} \sin^{2} d\chi_{1}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{q}}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{1}^{2}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{1}^{2}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{1}^{2}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{1}^{2}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{1}^{2}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{n_{1}^{2}} \int_{\pi_{1}^{2}} \frac{d\chi_{1}}{$

Contraction and the second of the second second and work where a could have seen to be been The second se States and the states of the s The second de- increased Type a grow hard a large large to a state of the

$$\begin{split} & [7], \\ & [R_{1}+1] = (k+\chi_{4}c_{22}k)[i+\frac{k\chi_{4}c_{22}k}{2k}(k+\chi_{4}c_{22}k)]^{2} - [k+\chi_{4}c_{22}k] = \frac{2k^{2}s_{11}k}{2k} \\ & [R_{1}+1]_{2} = (k+\chi_{4}c_{22}k)]^{2} + [k+\chi_{4}c_{22}k] = 2kc_{22}k(1-c_{22}k)] \\ & [R_{1}+1]_{2} = (k+\chi_{4}c_{22}k) = 2kc_{22}k(1-c_{22}k)] = 2kc_{22}k(1-c_{22}k) \\ & [R_{1}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} = \frac{1}{k} \\ & [R_{1}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} = \frac{1}{k} \\ & [R_{1}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} = \frac{1}{k} \\ & [R_{1}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} \\ & [R_{1}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} \\ & [R_{2}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} \\ & [R_{2}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} \\ & [R_{2}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} \\ & [R_{2}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} \\ & [R_{2}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} + \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} \\ & [R_{2}+1]_{2} = \frac{2s_{11}k}{2k} \\ & [R_{2}+1]_{2} \\ & [R$$

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{+k} = \frac{m_{\pm}}{2y} (y^{2}+1) & [k]_{+} = -\frac{m_{\pm}}{2y} (y^{2}+1) - m_{\pm}y - k\cos_{2k} = -\frac{m_{\pm}}{2y} (y^{2}+1) + k\cos_{2k} \\ & = -\frac{m_{\pm}}{2y} - k\cos_{2k} - m_{\pm}y = \frac{m_{\pm}}{2y} (y^{2}+1) - m_{\pm}y - k\cos_{2k} = -\frac{m_{\pm}}{2y} (y^{2}+1) + k\cos_{2k} \\ & = -\frac{12kksinkt}{4} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{m_{\pm}}{4} + \frac{m_{\pm}}{4} (y^{2}+1) + \frac{m_{\pm}}{2x} (y^{2}+1) \cos_{2k} \\ & = -\frac{12kksinkt}{4} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{m_{\pm}}{4} (y^{2}-1) + \frac{m_{\pm}}{2y} (y^{2}+1) - kksinkt \\ & = -\frac{12kksinkt}{4} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{m_{\pm}}{4} (y^{2}-1) - \frac{k}{2y} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}{4} \\ & = -\frac{12kksinkt}{4} + \frac{k}{4} \\ & = -\frac{12kksinkt}{4} + \frac{k}{4} \\ & = -\frac{12kksinkt}{4} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{k}$$



Substitute Has and Azz into Az, and subsequently into quation (3),

 $SK \sin^{2} \lambda I_{2} = \ln \cos d - \ln (82Klsiid) + Ci[2Kl(1-cod)]$ $- \cos (2Klsiid) \{Ci[2Kl \cos d(1-\cos d)] - Ci(2Klsiid)\}$ - Sin (2Klsiid) [Si[2Klcod(1-cod)] - Si(2Klsiid)]+ S[Si[2Kl(1-cod)](9)

19,

+ $co_2(2)(lsin^2)) \{S[[2Klcood(1-cood)] - Si(2Klsin^2d)\}$ - $Sin(2Klsin^2d) \{Ci[2Klcood(1-cood)] - Ci(2Klsin^2d)\}$

all and the second second Presidente providence a subjection The man and the second second second second Address of the second state of the second se The second secon

APPENDIX C	20
$I_3 = \int \int \frac{e^{-jk(n_1+\chi-1)}}{E_1} dx_2 dx, \qquad ($	- <i>c</i> , (1)
$\mathcal{N}_{21} = \sqrt{\chi_{1}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{2} - 2\chi_{1}^{2}\chi_{2}^{2}\cos 2d} \sqrt{(\chi_{1} - \chi_{2}\cos 2d)^{2} + (\chi_{2}\sin 2d)^{2}}$ Let	
$m_{t} t = \lambda_{1} - (\chi - \chi \cos 2d)$ $m_{t} = \chi \sin 2d$, $R_{t} = 2f_{s,t}$ $M_{t} t = \chi + \chi \cos 2d = 2\chi \cos^{2}d$	ín X
$m_{1}t_{2} = \sqrt{\chi_{2}^{2} - 2\chi_{2}^{2}\cos 2d + \ell^{2}} - (\chi - \chi_{2}\cos 2d) = \chi_{2}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{2}\cos 2d + \ell^{2} - (\chi - \chi_{2}^{2}) = \chi_{2}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{2}\cos 2d + \ell^{2} - (\chi - \chi_{2}^{2}) = \chi_{2}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{2}\cos 2d + \ell^{2} - (\chi - \chi_{2}^{2}) = \chi_{2}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{2}\cos 2d + \ell^{2} - (\chi - \chi_{2}^{2}) = \chi_{2}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{2}\cos 2d + \ell^{2} - (\chi - \chi_{2}^{2}) = \chi_{2}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{2}\cos 2d + \ell^{2} - (\chi - \chi_{2}^{2}) = \chi_{2}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{2}\cos 2d + \ell^{2} - (\chi - \chi_{2}^{2}) = \chi_{2}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{2}\cos 2d + \ell^{2} +$	22 C
$m_1 G_{Jacord} = 2a \frac{cad}{sind} \cos^2 \lambda = \frac{2a \cos^2 \lambda}{sind}$ $m_1 G_{Jacord} = (\chi sin 2d)^2) = \frac{2a \cos^2 \lambda}{sind}$	
$m_{t}t_{s} = 2l\cos^{2}d$	
$m_{1}t_{2}J_{2} = l_{RR} - l(1 - cos_{2d}) = 2lsind(1 - sind)$	
$\frac{1}{2(m,t)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2(m,t)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2(m,t)} = \frac{1}{2(m,t)} + c_{0,2}d = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2(m,t)}$	2) -/2) COSY
$m_{t} = \frac{1}{3\chi_{2}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{2}(\Gamma_{2} - l + \chi_{2} \cos 2u)}$ $m_{t} dt = (\frac{\chi_{1} - \chi_{2} \cos 2u}{1})d\chi = -\frac{m_{t} t}{2}d\chi d\chi = -\frac{\Lambda_{2}}{2}d\chi$	t
and substitute into equation (1),	
$I_{3} = -\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{dt}{t} dt$ $I_{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{dt}{t} dt$ $I_{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{dt}{t} dt$	x_ (2)
$\mathcal{U} = \int_{\mathcal{K}_{m,t_{1}}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-j}}{t} dt du = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-j} (m_{1}, t_{2}) \\ -j (m_{1}, t_{1}) \end{bmatrix} d\lambda$ $\frac{1}{2\kappa_{2}} \frac{e^{-j} (m_{1}, t_{1})}{m_{1}t_{1}} \frac{1}{2\kappa_{2}} \frac{1}{2\kappa_{2}} \frac{e^{-j} (m_{1}, t_{1})}{m_{1}t_{1}} \frac{1}{2\kappa_{2}} d\lambda$	22
Hence, upon substitution into equatic. (2),	

ŵ

,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{3} &= \int_{2\pi/3}^{1} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2}kT_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}K_{2}} e^{$$

En and and an and the second states of Alter Harris Frank Market many and the loss in a property to part VENE HANGER

A35= e⁵²Klemin j^y₂e^{-Skm_2y}(y²-tand) y₁ y²-2ytand + tand dy 22, = e J2Klsind J2 - SK m2y (2 y, e (y-tand - y) dy = 2 Jrasmining - ju du - C jatolsming = 2 Jrasmining - ju du - C Jrasmining - ju du (8) upon substituting A33 and A32 into A31 and subsequently into equation (3), 52K3m2, T3= ln (82Klsm2) + C[2Kl-2Ci(2Klsmd) + Co2(2Klaind) { Ci22th Suid (1+ suid) }+ C/2 the suid (1- suid) }- Ci2thered)- ci(2thered) } + Smi(21185i2) {Si[21255 Sind(1+Smid)]-Si[2125 Asind(1+Sind)] + Si(212 (212)-Si(2+124i2))} +JJ2Si(2Klsmid) -Si2Hl (9) - ce2(2/RSind){Si[2/RESind(1+Sind)]+Si[2/RESind(1-Sind)]-Si(2/REC:3)) -Si(2/RESind)]} + Smi(21285113)]{Ei[2128511104(1+Sind)]-Ci[288511104(1-Smid)]+Ci(288 cos2) - Ci[28851112)] Substituting into J=- 120K Sind (I, +I2-2I3) one gets the Radiation impedance of a rhonbic antenna in free spar "

hearing and the second and the second second L - L horselly

FREE SPACE RADIATION IM PEDANCE

OF RHOMBIC ANTEXNA

DERIVED BY

Fichaney 9 APRIL, 1352 (

The contract of the second point of the



