



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

Theses and Dissertations

Thesis Collection

1994-06

The relationship between the educational background and managerial experience of senior Navy Medical Service Corps executives (Health Care Administrators) and their perceived current and required management capabilities



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

> Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943

http://www.nps.edu/library

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



THESIS

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND MANAGERIAL EXPERIENCE OF SENIOR NAVY MEDICAL SERVICE CORPS EXECUTIVES (HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATORS) AND THEIR PERCEIVED CURRENT AND REQUIRED MANAGEMENT CAPABILITIES

by

Jerry L. Gardner

March, 1994

Thesis Co-Advisor: Thesis Co-Advisor:

Thesis

G1865

Alice M. Grawford Benjamin J. Roberts

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

DUDLEY KMOX LIBRARY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA 93943-5101

| | REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE Form Approved OMB No. 0704 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Publicxist burd Dire | Polici regretaria bardea for las relations of information is minimated to reverg 1 have per meyones, including the initia for reviewing interaction, surveiling initiating data sources, a differentiation of information in the source of the source of initiation of information of comman regretaring that bardea and an experimental source of the source | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) Z. REPORT DATE March 1994 AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) Z. REPORT DATE AGENCY USE ONLY AGENCY USE ONLY AGENCY USE AGENCY USE ONLY AGENCY AGENCY USE ONLY AGENCY USE ONLY AGENCY AGENCY USE ONLY AGENCY USE ONLY AGENCY USE ONLY AGENCY AG | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. 6. | TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Relationship Between the Educational Background and Managerial Experience of Senior Navy Medical Screvice Corps Executives (Health Care Administrators) and Their Perceived Current and Required Management Capabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | PERFORMING ORGANIZ Naval Postgraduate Sc Monterey CA 93943-5 | ZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRE hool 000 | SS(ES) | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER | | | | | | |
| 9. | SPONSORING/MONITOR | RING AGENCY NAME(S) AND A | DDRESS(ES) | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORIN AGENCY REPORT NUMBE | | | | | | |
| 11. | SUPPLEMENTARY NOT the official policy or p | ES The views expressed in the osition of the Department of 1 | is thesis are those of Defense or the U.S | of the author and do not reflect Government. | | | | | | |
| 12a | Approved for public re | ABILITY STATEMENT elease; distribution is unlimite | d. | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE *A | | | | | | |
| 13. The sen per Bui des exp Ad maj edu fino medu | 13. ABSTRACT (nearium 200 work) The purpose of this thesis is to examine the reported educational attainment and management experience of senior Navy medical department executives in an attempt to isolate those variables that affect their perceived management capabilities. The data used for this thesis were taken from the results of a joint Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) and Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) survey, which was designed to asses the unique educational needs of Navy medical department executives. This thesis explores one of the findings of the survey which was that the Medical Service Corps (Health Care Administrator (HCA) cohort perceived their current skills to be higher than the skill levels required for a majority of the management skill categories listed in the survey. This thesis isolates certain management education and experience variables to determine why this group is so confident in their skill levels. The findings indicate that the HCA cohort has more management education and experience than the other medical communities, Additionally, management experience had more of an impact than management education on the HCA responses. | | | | | | | | | |
| 14. | SUBJECT TERMS Exect Education, Managemen | itive Development, Needs As at Experience | sessment, Health C | Care 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 125 | | | | | | |
| 17. | SECURITY CLASSIFI- CATION OF REPORT Unclassified | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFI- CATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified | 19. SECURITY CL CATION OF A Unclassified | ASSIFI- BSTRACT UL | | | | | | |
| NoN i | /340-01-280-3500 | | | Standard Loren 208 (Perc 2.9 | | | | | | |

andard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The Relationship Between the Educational Background and Managerial Experience of Senior Navy Medical Service Corps Executives (Health Care Administrators) and Their Perceived Current and Required Management Capabilities

by

Jerry L. Gardner Lieutenant, Medical Service Corps, United States Navy B.S., University of Kentucky, 1985

> Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MANAGEMENT

from the





ABSTRACT

The purpose of this thesis is to examine the reported educational attainment and management experience of senior Navy medical department executives in an attempt to isolate those variables that affect their perceived management capabilities. The data used for this thesis were taken from the results of a joint Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) and Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) survey, which was designed to asses the unique educational needs of Navy medical department executives. This thesis explores one of the findings of the survey which was that the Medical Service Corps Health Care Administrator (HCA) cohort perceived their current skills to be higher than the skill levels required for a majority of the management skill categories listed in the survey. This thesis isolates certain management education and experience variables to determine why this group is so confident in their skill levels. The findings indicate that the HCA cohort has more management education and experience than the other medical communities. Additionally, management experience had more of an impact than management education on the HCA responses.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Ι. | 3 | INTRODUCTION | • • • • • • • • • • | | | • • • • • | • • • • • | • • • • • | . 1 |
|----|----|---------------|---------------------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| | Α. | BACKGROUND | | | | | | | . 3 |
| | в. | OBJECTIVES | | | | | | | . 8 |
| | c. | METHODOLOGY | | | | | | | . 9 |
| II | | BACKGROUND AN | D LITERAT | URE RE | VIEW | | | | 11 |
| | Α. | BACKGROUND | AND DEVELO | PMENT | OF TH | E MED | ICAL | | |
| | | SERVICE CORPS | | | | | | | 12 |
| | в. | BACKGROUND | AND DEVELC | PMENT | OF HE | ALTH | CARE | | |
| | | ADMINISTRATIC | N EDUCATI | ON PRO | GRAMS | | | | 13 |
| | | 1. The Emerg | pence of H | ealth | Care M | lanage | ement | | |
| | | Programs . | | | | | | | 14 |
| | | 2. Developme | ent of the | Healt | h Care | e Mana | ageme | nt | |
| | | Curriculum | : MBA ver | sus MH | IA | | | | 16 |

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOO! MONTEREY CA 93943-5101

| Programs17 |
|---|
| C. EDUCATION AND TRAINING IN THE U. S. NAVY |
| MEDICAL DEPARTMENT 20 |
| III. METHODOLOGY23 |
| A. SURVEY INSTRUMENT |
| 1. Managing a Military Medical Treatment |
| Facility - Part 124 |
| 2. Managing a Military Medical Treatment |
| Facility - Part II25 |
| B. SURVEY RESPONDENTS |
| C. PROCEDURES |
| 1. Perception of Management Capability By |
| Corps |
| 2. Perception of Management Capability by |
| Traditional Undergraduate/Graduate |
| Management Programs |

3. The Future of Health Care Management

| | Perception of Management Capability by |
|-----|--|
| | Service Short Course29 |
| | 4. Perception of Management Education by |
| | Management Experience29 |
| IV. | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION |
| A. | DEMOGRAPHICS AND NON-IDENTIFYING PERSONAL |
| | DATA |
| | 1. Overall Breakdown by Medical Community32 |
| | 2. Rank |
| | 3. Organizational Position |
| в. | PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY |
| | MEDICAL COMMUNITY |
| C. | PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY |
| | TRADITIONAL UNDERGRADUATE/GRADUATE MANAGEMENT |
| | PROGRAMS |
| | 1. Management Education/Training Background by |
| | Medical Community |

| Traditional Undergraduate/Graduate Degree37 |
|---|
| D. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY |
| SERVICE SHORT COURSE 40 |
| E. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY |
| MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE |
| 1. Years in a Management Position44 |
| 2. Number of Management Positions47 |
| 3. Months as an Executive Officer |
| 4. Months as a Commanding Officer51 |
| V. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS54 |
| A. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY |
| TRADITIONAL UNDERGRADUATE/GRADUATE DEGREE |
| B. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY |
| SERVICE SHORT COURSE55 |
| C. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY |
| MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE55 |
| D. ADDITIONAL CONCLUSIONS AND COMMENTS57 |
| |

2 Survey Responses by "Some" Versus "None"

| E. RECOMMENDATIONS | |
|--|--|
| APPENDIX A. MANAGING A MILITARY MEDICAL TREATMENT | |
| FACILITY: A SURVEY OF EDUCATIONAL NEEDS | |
| APPENDIX B. CATEGORIZATION OF NPS SURVEY QUESTIONS | |
| BASED ON DOD APPROACH68 | |
| APPENDIX C. MEDICAL SERVICE CORPS SPECIALTIES | |
| APPENDIX D. BASIC PRINCIPLES AND DEVELOPMENT | |
| OBJECTIVES | |
| APPENDIX E. MEDICAL SERVICE CORPS CAREER PLANNING | |
| CHART | |
| APPENDIX F. EDUCATION AND TRAINING GOALS | |
| APPENDIX G. POSTGRADUATE EDUCATION PROGRAMS | |
| APPENDIX H. POSTGRADUATE EDUCATION PROGRAM | |
| DESCRIPTIONS77 | |
| APPENDIX I. SHORT COURSES | |
| APPENDIX J. SHORT COURSE DESCRIPTIONS82 | |
| APPENDIX K. CATEGORIZATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL | |
| POSITION | |

APPENDIX L. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS, BY MEDICAL

APPENDIX M. TABLE OF EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND

APPENDIX N. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR HCA COHORT

APPENDIX O. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR NUMBER OF

APPENDIX P. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR YEARS IN A

APPENDIX Q. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR NUMBER OF

APPENDIX R. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR MONTHS AS AN

EXECUTIVE OFFICER FOR HCA COHORT 106

APPENDIX S. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR MONTHS AS A

| COMM | ANDING | OFFICER | FOR | HCA | COHORT | | ••• | ••• | • • | • • | • • • | 109 |
|---------|--------|---------|-----|-----|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|
| LIST OF | REFER | ENCES . | | | | | | | | | | 112 |

ix

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to extend my sincerest appreciation to the individuals who made this thesis possible. My thesis advisors, Alice Crawford and Benjamin Roberts, contributed their tireless efforts to this project, without which, this thesis may not have been completed. Their efforts were above and beyond the call of duty. Additionally, I would like to thank Dennis Mar of the Naval Postgraduate School Computer Center for his superb programming assistance. Lastly, I would like to thank my parents, Howard and Mildred Gardner, and my wife Lauri for their unwavering encouragement, support, and understanding, without which this research would not have been possible.

х

I. INTRODUCTION

The health care environment in the United States is experiencing tremendous turbulence, managerial uncertainty. financial instability, and organizational volatility. The literature describes a future of health care delivery in which the present pressures affecting health care administrators will intensify due to "changing demographics, ambivalent public policy, escalating costs, decreasing payments. increasing competition among providers, volatile relations between hospitals and their medical staffs, heightened concern for guality and escalating demands for cost-value linkages from private and corporate consumers, continued growth and evolution of managed care arrangements, and continued shifts away from hospital-based delivery of services." [Ref. 1:p. 182] Health care executives are faced with the challenge of controlling costs and improving quality while remaining focused on the needs of the patient as an individual. These drastic changes in health care delivery are forcing administrators to re-examine and expand their knowledge base in order to refine the knowledge, skills, and abilities (KSAs) necessary to cope effectively with this turbulent environment. "The Base Realignment and Closure process, continuing congressional interest, implementation of the Coordinated Care

Program, sophisticated management information technologies, and various Department of Defense (DOD) initiatives contribute additional managerial challenges* to military health care executives. [Ref. 2:p. 1]

The United States Navy Bureau of Medicine (BUMED). realizing that Senior Navy Medical Department executives are lacking in the skills necessary to effectively cope with the rapidly changing environment, has entered into a partnership with Naval Postgraduate School (NPS), Administrative Sciences Department¹, for the purpose of identifying the competencies necessary to effectively function in senior executive management positions within Navy Medicine. Subsequently, NPS faculty have developed and are in the process of delivering an innovative Executive Management Education (EME) program designed to include the unique managerial requirements that were identified. The program is designed to: (1) provide a curriculum based on an analysis of the Navy's needs and (2) provide a "module" type delivery system which targets specific educational objectives selectively based on the educational background of the individuals. This thesis examines the reported educational attainment and management experience of senior Navy medical department executives in an attempt to isolate those variables that affect their perceived management

¹The Naval Postgraduate School Administrative Sciences Department has been renamed the Systems Management Department during the writing of this thesis.

capabilities. The results of this analysis can then be used to further tailor the educational modules.

A. BACKGROUND

The Department of the Navy Medical Blue Ribbon Panel (BRP) addressed Senior Medical Department officers' lack of formal management training in their 1986 report concerning the issues facing Navy Medicine into the 1990s [Ref. 3:p. 29]. This lack of formal training programs was noted in 1982 by the Vice Chief of Naval Operations (VCNO) as a result of a Navy Inspector General report. The VCNO directed that "Medical Department officers have the opportunity to receive leadership training at critical points in their careers." [Ref. 3:p. 29] Additionally, a 1987 Inspector General report noted concerns with management training citing that "current management training had limited effectiveness due to the lack of definition of knowledge, skills, and abilities for each management level throughout the Medical Department.* [Ref. 3:p. 29]

The Department of Defense Appropriations Act formalized the need for military health care executives to expand and refine their managerial knowledge, skills, and abilities in Section 8096 of the fiscal year 1992 and 1993 stating that "None of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to fill the commander's position at any military facility with a

з

"th care professional unless the prospective candidate can .onstrate professional administrative skills." [Ref. 2:p. 1]

A took force was convened by the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to examine civilian and military health care administration practices and identify the unique needs for military commanders. The Schartz and Cox report, released in 1992, provided results of the task force, which included a comprehensive list of the knowledge requirements for executive managers of military medical treatment facilities. [Ref. 4]

The Navy initiated an independent effort in May 1992 to develop a program to meet the specific needs of Navy medical department executives which should satisfy Congress' demand that senior Navy Medical Department executives be adequately prepared to manage complex medical systems.

NPS developed a two-step needs assessment approach to identify the competencies required to effectively manage complex Navy medical systems. The needs assessment consisted of:

 Semi-structured interviews were completed in July 1992 and provided the basis to identify the competencies that are perceived as important by executives currently holding positions of significant managerial responsibility in Navy medicine. Their input was utilized in developing a survey to asses the relative importance of the skill areas.

 Survey questionnaires were sent to senior executives within the Navy Medical Department to obtain the recipients' views/beliefs concerning the competencies required to effectively manage Navy medical systems.

The questionnaires were structured so the respondents could provide what they felt was their current skill level for each managerial activity and what they felt was the required skill level for executives to function effectively in their managerial roles (see Appendix A). The survey questionnaire was mailed to 720 senior executives in Navy medicine. including: "all incumbent Commanding officers, Executive Officers, Officers-in-Charge, and Directors; Executive Officer billets; key health care executives in the operational forces and headquarters commands; specialty advisors; and medical department flag officers." [Ref. 2:p. 6] BUMED provided this listing from the prospective Commanding Officer/ Executive Officer screening list, key Command personnel listing, and specialty advisor lists with additional information obtained from the Bureau of Naval Personnel. Of the 720 mailed out on 14 November, 1992, 476 responses were received by 14 January 1993, which was the cut-off date to be included in this research. Thirteen of the 720 responses sent were returned as undeliverable. Consequently, the return rate for the survey was 67 percent.

John R. Morrison performed an initial analysis on the survey data in his masters thesis titled, "The Relationship

Between the Perceived Executive Management Capabilities of Senior Navy Medical Department Executives and Their Reported Managerial Requirements" published in June of 1993 [Ref. 5]. Morrison grouped the survey questions into eight major management categories listed below:

- 1. Financial/Resource Management
- 2. Program Planning and Evaluation
- 3. Decision Making/Problem Solving
- 4. Legal Issues
- 5. Operational Management Issues
- 6. Organizational Behavior
- 7. Personnel and Human Resources Management
- 8. Communications

The focus of Morrison's thesis was to provide an initial analysis of the survey responses and to identify any gaps, or deltas, between the current level of skill reported by the respondent and the required level of skill for their current position with respect to the respondents' corps, rank, and organizational position cohorts.

Utilizing the 21 data categories reported by Schwartz and Cox (1992), the NPS research team grouped the survey data into those same categories to make the data more useful. The 21 skill categories and the corresponding survey questions are presented in Appendix B.

The "Preliminary Analysis of Educational Needs for Navy Health Care Executives" [Ref. 2] provided the first

comprehensive look at the data generated by the survey. The purpose of this report as stated by the researchers was [Ref. 2:p. 3]:

1. To determine the <u>requirements</u> for each management skill area generated during the interviews. That is, how important do Medical Department personnel think these skills are for effective executive management? Are they all equally important? Are some more important that others? Further, are the skill areas congruent with those generated by the Schwartz and Cox (1992) research, excluding military readiness requirements? This objective addresses the broad, long-term consideration of what should be taught to future Navy healt care executives.

2. To determine the <u>need</u> for education in each management skill area surveyed. This objective addresses both longand short-term considerations. In the context of the design of the EWE program, to what extent do Medical Department personnel need education in each of the skill areas? Is there a perceived need for more education in some areas as compared to others? In the context of short-term needs, these data can be used to guide the selection of modules for prototype testing. Where possible, choices can be made to elect to test a module from a "high need" area while still serving the primary goal of gathering information from onsite (MTP) testing.

3. To examine perceptions of how requirements and needs differ as a function of characteristics of the survey respondents. This report addresses corps, and position (principally positions within an MTF are considered for the present research), which are assumed to be primary considerations in designing an EME program. Rank is considered to a lesser extent. Some of the questions of interest include, do people from the Medical Corps (MC) attach different levels of importance to skill areas as compared to members of the Nurse Corps (NC)? Do members of the Nurse Corps (NC)? Do members of the MSC (HG). Was need for differing types of education as (MSC (HGA), express need for differing types of education as a function of rank or position? Do the Data (MSC (HGA), background in management education, will be example, background in management education, will be examined in future reports. Clearly, if a program tailored to individual needs-one that reconsizes previous

experience, educational background, etcetera--is to be designed, examination of these data is critical.

"The use of the survey allowed the NFS researchers to evaluate the <u>relative</u> importance of skill areas generated by the interviews, to inventory respondents' previous management experience and education, and to quantify the findings with a larger population of senior executives within Navy medicine." [Ref. 2:p. 4] The BUMED research team utilized the results of their analysis in tailoring the EME program to meet the perceived executive educational needs of the Navy Medical Department. The NFS team is now in the process of delivering these educational modules to individual MTFs.

B. OBJECTIVES

Morrison's thesis provided an initial analysis of the survey responses necessary to complete the first phase needs assessment of perceived skills required for effective and efficient functioning as a health care executive. The NPS faculty team has published the "needs assessment" [Ref. 2] and developed prototype educational modules based on their results. In an effort to build on these findings, this thesis will examine the effects of differing levels of education and experience of the Medical Service Corps Health Care Administrator (HCA) survey respondents with respect to perceptions of their management capabilities by answering the following questions:

 How does educational level explain the differences between the perceived current and required managerial capabilities reported by the Medical Service Corps Health Care Administrator respondents?

2. How does managerial experience explain the differences between the perceived current and required managerial capabilities reported by the Medical Service Corps Health Care Administrator respondents?

C. METHODOLOGY

One of the important areas addressed in the "needs assessment" [Ref. 2] was the "management skill gaps." These skill gaps were determined by subtracting the current skill level from the required skill level perceived by each individual. The procedure created deltas that belong to one of three different categories. A negative delta represents an area where the respondent felt his or her skills exceeded the required level. A delta of zero means current skills were equal to those required. A positive delta indicated areas where the respondent felt his or her current level of skill did not meet the required skill level for the task.

The analysis of the skill gaps [Ref. 2] showed that HCAs have the fewest number of people reporting positive skill gaps as compared to respondents from the Medical Corps (MC), Nurse Corps (NC), Medical Service Corps Allied Health specialists (AH), and Dental Corps (DC). Figure 1 shows the

percentage of respondents within each corps that perceive management skill gaps. Further, "The HCA respondents rate their current skill levels higher than other groups in 13 of 21 categories. Additionally, in 8 of these 13 categories, the ratings range from 10 to 25 percentage points higher than the next closest group. Given the management-oriented training and education necessary for the HCA profession, and a management career track commencing at entry level, it stands to reason that this group probably perceives less of a need for education in management than others." [Ref 2:pp. 18,19] This thesis examines the HCA data in an attempt to isolate educational variables and levels of management experience that seem to have the biggest effect on perceptions. This data will enable NPS researchers to tailor the EME program to meet the needs of the Navy Medical Department based on existing education and training programs.

II. BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW

The U.S. Navy Medical Department is composed of four distinct officer designator groups: the Medical Corps (MC), Dental Corps (DC), Nurse Corps (NC), and the Medical Service Corps (MSC). Additionally, the MSC is divided into an administrative branch known as the Health Care Administrators (HCA) and a clinician branch known as the Allied Health (AH) providers. Regardless of corps affiliation and professional alliance, all medical department officers have in common a dual obligation: their role as health care providers and their responsibilities as naval officers [Ref. 6:p. 7].

"The evolution of health care management in the 20th century has been from physician/nurse to health administration generalists to management specialist to political leader." [Ref. 7:p. 733] While executive positions in Navy health care are held by each of the aforementioned Corps specialities, the NPS study described earlier indicated that HCA specialists seem to be the most confident in their management capabilities in health care executive roles [Ref. 2]. Managerial abilities of the HCA community are the focus of this thesis.

This chapter looks at the background and development of the Medical Service Corps with emphasis on the HCA specialists as well as the background and development of education programs and career management for health care administrators.

Additionally, a discussion of the development of education and training in the U.S. Navy medical department is included to indicate the Navy's continually developing commitment to the education of it's medical department officers.

A. BACKGROUND AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE MEDICAL SERVICE CORPS

The demobilization following World War II created a real concern within the Navy Medical Department that they would be left without a permanent base of administrative and allied health professional experience. As one officer phrased it some years later. "The need for commissioned officers who were skilled (medical) administrators had been well documented in the 'war to end all wars' and the experience gained early in World War II demonstrated the same need for officers that were equally skilled in the practice of sciences allied to medicine." [Ref. 6:p. 89] The Medical Service Corps was formally established by law in 1947 and included the following sections: Medical Supply and Administration, Pharmacy, Optometry, and Medical Allied Sciences. Of the 252 original Medical Service Corps officers, about 80 percent were medical supply and administration; they ranked from ensign through lieutenant commander and all had prior military service.

The Medical Service Corps has grown today to approximately 2,800 officers on active duty in the grades of ensign through rear admiral. Professional health care administrators account for about 50 percent of the Medical

Service Corps (see Table I) with about 70 percent having had some prior military service before entering the medical service corps. Additionally, the Health Care Administration branch includes 12 subspecialties (see Table II). The definitions of the abbreviations in the "description" column are presented in Appendix C.

Table I

MEDICAL SERVICE CORPS POPULATION DISTRIBUTION

| MSC BRANCH | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
|------------|-----------|---------|
| HCA | 1394 | 49 |
| AH | 1454 | 51 |
| TOTAL | 2848 | 100 |

Source: BUMIS, MED-5123, end May 93

B. BACKGROUND AND DEVELOPMENT OF HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION EDUCATION PROGRAMS

This section provides a brief background of the development of health care administration education programs as well as an overview of the management curriculum development process intended by the developers to increase the effectiveness of health care executives. This management curriculum development provides insight into the areas where the health care administrators should be more knowledgeable than their medical department counterparts.

Table II

MEDICAL SERVICE CORPS, HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATORS SPECIALTY INVENTORY

| SPECIALTY CODE | DESCRIPTION | INVENTORY | PERCENT | |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| 0031 | Financial Mgt | 145 | 10.4 | |
| 0032 | Mat'l Log Mgt | 26 | 1.9 | |
| 0033 | MPTA | 32 | 2.3 | |
| 0037 | Educ/Trng Mgt | 14 | 1.0 | |
| 0042 | Ops Research | 4 | 0.3 | |
| 0095 | Computer Tech | 15 | 1.1 | |
| 1800 | Hlth Care Adm | 766 | 54.9 | |
| 1801 | 1801 Pt Admin | | 10.2 | |
| 1802 | Med Logistics | 85 | 6.1 | |
| 1803 | Med Data Svcs | 35 | 2.5 | |
| 1804 | 1804 Med Const Lia | | 1.7 | |
| 1805 | Plans/Ops/Med Int | 106 | 7.6 | |
| | TOTAL HCA | 1394 | 100 | |

Source: BUMIS, MED-5123, end May 93

1. The Emergence of Health Care Management Programs

Institutional management and health care prior to World War II was predominantly an extension of clinical responsibility, with a nurse or a physician assuming administrative chores [Ref 7:p. 724]. The predominant theory of management of these times was that "leaders were born, not trained." Lacking any formal training schools, the leaders of this era were, for the most part, self-taught health care providers given this position of authority simply by their status within their organizations.

The University of Chicago introduced the professional education of hospital administrators in the 1930s. "Initially empirical in the transmission of lessons learned from experience, or as we now call it grounded theory, a profession with a body of knowledge began to emerge." [Ref. 7:p. 724] Early founding directors of graduate programs in hospital administration such as Arthur Bachmeyer and Ray Brown [Ref. 8] emphasized a practice orientation with most programs maintaining a focus on hospital processes and human relations skills [Ref. 9].

This emphasis was prevalent into the 1960s. Subsequently, the curricular emphasis shifted to researchbased rigor with a focus on business-oriented functional specialties such as financial management and operations research [Ref. 9]. "During the turbulent 1980s, curricular focus on analytical and quantitative skills was strengthened by governmental fascination with free-market competition in health care and a general embracing of the business model for hospital operations." [Ref. 1:p. 183] The question began to emerge as to whether the functionally specialized and analytically rigorous Masters of Business Administration (MEA) should replace the Masters of Health Administration (MHA) as the primary preparatory degree suitable for health care executives.

Development of the Health Care Management Curriculum: MBA versus MHA

Over the past ten years, there has been substantial criticism of management education programs in the United States. [Ref. 10] Graduates of management programs seem to be lacking in their capacity to deal with the uncertainty and constant change of organizational life. William Obrien, president of Hanover Insurance, eloquently addresses this issue. in Peter Sence's The Fifth Discipline [Ref. 11]:

[We strive] for organizational models that are more congruent with human nature. When the industrial age began, people worked six days a week to earn enough for food and shelter. Today, most of us have these handled by Tuesday afternoon. Our traditional hierarchical organizations are not designed to provide for people's higher order needs [for] self-respect and self-actualization. The ferment in management will continue until organizations begin to address these needs, for all employees. They must give up the old dogma of planning, organizing and controlling, [and realize] the almost sacred responsibility for the lives of so many people. [Managers' fundamental task is] providing the enabling conditions for people to lead the most enriching lives they can.

There is overwhelming consensus that business-related analytical skills and functional abilities remain critically important ingredients for success in managing health care organizations. However, there is strong evidence of concern among practitioners and the academic community that an exclusive focus on quantitative analysis, functional specialization, and the calculative rationality of the "bottom line" may not adequately prepare graduates to be the visionary, adaptive, and collaborative team-builders who will

be needed to lead health care organizations of the future. This concern reflects an increasing recognition of the importance of interpersonal, communication, and integrative skills as essential for effective leadership in health care organizations, particularly in an era of increasingly complex relationships with medical staffs and other critical organizational constituencies [Ref. 1;p. 183].

3. The Future of Health Care Management Programs

Although there has been a considerable amount of interest shown in the area of forecasting the future of health care and in estimating the executive skills needed to cope with increasingly changing organizational demands, relatively little empirical research combining these two topics has been reported in the literature. In 1990, Eubanks conducted a cross-sectional study of hospital chief executive officers (CEOs) designed to assess the skills needed for future success. The respondents ranked strategy formulation/planning highest in importance. followed bv finance. negotiation/consensus-building, and human resource development [Ref 12].

In a similar study, Reagon reported that a practitioner-based assessment of baccalaureate-level skills and knowledge needs ranked interpersonal skills, knowledge of the health care sector, and financial management among the most important [Ref 13].

In a Delphi study cosponsored by Arthur Andersen and Company and the American College of Healthcare Executives (1991), Weil and Herman sought to forecast trends in delivery, financing, and utilization of health care through 1996 which involved over 2600 physicians, hospital executives, board chairs, and purchasers. This study was characterized by strong predictions of heightened volatility in relations between hospitals and medical staffs. The cause of this volatility was thought to arise from continued pressures for cost control, increasing demands for provider disclosure of adverse outcomes and other performance indicators, and changes in physician payment driven by implementation of resourcebased relative value scales [Ref. 14].

In the graduate education arena, the debate seems to be centered around the differences and/or relevance of the type of graduate program that best prepares students to fill these health care executive roles. Graduate programs are expected to produce persons who are both knowledgeable about the field in which they plan to be employed and skilled in carrying out the many tasks associated with successful performance in their future roles. The literature reveals criticism towards these professional schools for ignoring the real world skills needed for survival and satisfactory role performance [Ref. 15]. One viewpoint of a health administration practitioner is reflected in Nurkin's observation that "educators and practitioners have grown

remote" and need "to establish [communication] linkages between education and practice" [Ref. 16]. Looking at the role of the university as a whole, Lynton suggests that professional schools may have overemphasized the value of cognitive rationality and must redirect their efforts to "broaden [their] approach to provide competence rather than mere knowledge and to stimulate occupational and civic effectiveness and not only analytical capability" [Ref. 17:p. 4]. Additionally, he recognized the need for further linkages between theoretical analysis and practical experience with emphasis on the interpersonal, affective, and other noncognitive dimensions of professional life. Weil urges "both faculty and students to be more practice oriented and to develop more opportunities for students to use and apply classroom learning realistic settings." [Ref. 15:p. 8]

Both graduate health administration programs and MBA programs have received criticism for failing to bridge the gap between theory and practice [Ref. 15]. Myrsiades and Walker, in their observation of graduate health administration programs, suggest that:

Graduate health administration programs, it appears, have adequately presented the framework of theory, the cognitive elements of professional education, the techniques of analysis, and the methodologies of their several disciplines. It is the development of "real world" skills and the practical integration of academic knowledge and those skills that has often been left to the uncertainties of the administrative residency, internship, or clerkship experience. [Ref. 15:p. 8]

While receiving praises for its effectiveness in cognitive

learning, the MBA program has been criticized for its lack of relevance. Rehder and Porter observe that "the MBA specialists produced in the last twenty-five years are, it seems, no longer what American business needs. A new kind of MBA program with a distinctly humanistic and creative perspective would emphasize the non-cognitive qualities that MBAs need to become leaders" [Ref. 17:p. 52].

The evident theme prevalent in the health care management literature is the dissatisfaction with the "leaders" that are being produced by management education programs such as the MBA and the MHA. While there have been considerable advancements in the education of health care executives, there still seems to be a missing link that would provide the "non-cognitive qualities" [Ref. 17:p. 52] necessary to become effective leaders. This missing link may not be available through conventional management education degree programs. Continuing education through short courses and seminars as well as the good old-fashioned "school of hard knocks" may be the only answers to bridging this educational gap. These issues will be further explored in the following section.

C. EDUCATION AND TRAINING IN THE U.S. NAVY MEDICAL DEPARTMENT

The three basic career development processes necessary for an officer to develop well-rounded qualifications are

education, training, and work experience [Ref. 6:p. 41]. Education provides the opportunity to enhance knowledge and skill with the emphasis on intellectual rather than technical orientation. As a complement to education, training is more specific to the problem or job and tends to be more technically oriented. Work experience provides the opportunity for the officer to apply the knowledge and skills acquired through education and training.

Oglesby encourages health care executives to "continually develop or stretch" through the establishment of a set of activities which will allow for this development [Ref. 18:p. 18]. Burke suggests that "renewal is a continuous, lifelong process requiring constant learning. Individual executives would do well to develop a framework for renewal in their careers and organizations." [Ref. 18:p. 13] This need for the "lifelong process" is eloquently expressed in the U. S. Navy Medical Department Officer's Career Guide:

Education and training can and should be a lifelong process. A portion of each Medical Department officer's career development should focus on education and training through a combination of individual studies, short courses, seminars, conferences, service college courses, and postgraduate education, either Navy-sponsored or selffunded. Continual learning is essential to keeping current both as a naval officer and a health care professional.

The U. S. Navy Medical Department Officer Career Guide (Ref. 6) provides the Medical Service Corps with specific career guidance concerning education and training. This guidance includes the provision of general career planning

guidelines that help individual officers to map out a career strategy to include a wide diversification of management positions. Appendix D outlines the basic principles of career development and presents developmental objectives for the officer career phases. Appendix E is a graphical presentation of the career planning chart for MSC officers. The educational opportunities appropriate for the different career phases are presented in Appendix F.

The educational opportunities available to the Navy Medical Department seem to be endless. These opportunities include postgraduate education as well as service short courses. Appendix G lists the postgraduate educational opportunities available to the Medical Department. Additional information concerning the course content is presented in Appendix H. A list of the service short courses is presented in Appendix I with their descriptions in Appendix J.

Evident here is the importance being placed on continuing education for officers in the Navy Medical Department. However, due to the clinical responsibilities of the MC, NC, DC, and AH specialists, the pursuit of these educational opportunities is not always an option for the individuals in these corps. The HCAs, on the other hand, are placed into management positions virtually upon being commissioned into the Navy. And, without the clinical responsibilities of the other corps, HCAs have the time and are highly encouraged to pursue the many educational opportunities which are available.

III. METHODOLOGY

This study examines the effects of education attainment and management experience of senior Navy medical department executives in an attempt to isolate those variables that affect their perceived management capabilities. Data from a survey administered by the Naval Postgraduate School were analyzed to address these issues across Navy medical department corps with emphasis on the Medical Service Corps Health Care Administrators (HCA). The hypothesis under consideration in this thesis is that skill levels reported by HCAs, which were higher than those reported by members of the other corps, were due to educational attainment and management experience.

This thesis addresses the following questions:

 How does educational level explain the differences between the perceived current and required managerial capabilities reported by the Medical Service Corps Health Care Administrator respondents?

 How does managerial experience explain the differences between the perceived current and required managerial capabilities reported by the Medical Service Corps Health Care Administrator respondents?

A. SURVEY INSTRUMENT

The background and development of the survey questionnaire (Appendix A) is discussed in Chapter I of this thesis. The
questionnaire is divided into two sections: (1) Part 1 which is divided into eight major management categories with a total of sixty questions and a section that asks the respondents to rate the management education requirements for each of the major categories; and (2) Part 2 which seeks the demographics of the respondents as well as their management education/training background.

Managing a Military Medical Treatment Facility -Part 1

Part one of the survey instrument was designed to measure three aspects of the respondent's perceptions of executive management in Navy medicine (as quoted from ref. 5,

p. 34):

 Their current level of managerial skills for each of the sixty managerial activities questions contained in the questionnaire.

Their perception of the required level of skill for each of the sixty management activities an executive must have to function effectively in the respondent's current role in Navy Medicine.

3. Given the scenario of a management education program being developed for executives in their current managerial role, the respondent was requested to indicate the level of need they would attach to each of the major managerial activity groups. However, while the term "priority" is used to describe the assigned level of need, it should not be inferred that the eight managerial activity groups are being ranked against each other. The intent was for each activity group to receive a rating indicating the level of educational need within that group.

The sixty managerial activity questions were structured so the respondents could provide what they

perceived to be their current and required level of skill for each of the questions on a rating scale of "0" to "10". A rating of "0" represents no knowledge or ability in the area, "1" to "3" represents a low level, "4" to "7" represents a moderate level, and "8" to "10" represents a high level.

This thesis focuses on the current and required skills of the respondents to determine the skill gaps. Previous studies have isolated the positive skill gaps of the respondents in determining the education "needs" areas. This thesis isolates the negative skill gaps of the respondents that would indicate a higher perceived current skill level than what is required for the management area.

2. Managing a Military Medical Treatment Facility -Part II

Part II of the questionnaire was designed to provide background information of the individual respondents. The first subsection of part II provides the demographic data for the respondents including basic individual and job experience information. The second subsection, "Management Education/ Training Background," provides management education and training data for the respondents including traditional undergraduate and postgraduate programs, non-traditional civilian programs, and various short courses provided by the military. Professional organization affiliation was also requested, however these data were not used in this thesis.

B. SURVEY RESPONDENTS

The survey questionnaire was mailed out to 720 senior Navy health care executives on 14 November 1992 and the last questionnaires used in this analysis were returned on 14 January 1993. A total of 476 responses were received. Thirteen of the 720 questionnaires sent out were returned as "undeliverable," which resulted in using 707 vice the original count of 720 for purposes of computing the return rate of 67 percent. BUMED provided a listing of senior health care executives that were targeted for this survey. The recipients of the survey include: all incumbent Commanding Officers, Executive Officers, Officers-in-Charge, and Directors; all officers currently being screened for Commanding Officer and Executive Officer billets; key health care executives in the operational forces and headquarters commands; specialty advisors; and medical department flag officers.

C. PROCEDURES

Frequency analyses were utilized for all data fields to extract the usable data in this thesis. This procedure eliminated any entries without complete data. Thus, the total sample size varied slightly from the original 476 survey respondents on several of the questions as well as the demographic descriptors. For all statistical work, the Statistical Application System (SAS) version 5.18 on the Naval Postgraduate School's mainframe computer was utilized.

The respondents used for this analysis represented virtually every executive position in Navy Medicine. The proportions of surveys that were received tracked very closely with the proportions that were sent out, which validates the conclusion that this analysis is based on a representative sample of the population being considered.

1. Perception of Management Capability By Corps

Frequency analyses were performed across all 60 questions and broken out by corps. The sample size for this breakdown varies slightly among each of the statistical procedures due to inconsistent responses provided to certain questions. The results of this frequency analysis verified the study performed by the NPS faculty [Ref. 2], which revealed that the HCAs have the fewest number of people reporting that the required skills for management skill areas exceeded their current skills (positive management skill gaps). If the skill gap created by the answers indicated a negative delta or a delta of "0," the response was grouped into a category of "good" deltas. These individuals felt their current level of skill was greater than or equal to that required for the management area.

In conducting the analysis, the average percentages of "good" deltas were evaluated across the 21 management categories to examine relationships with corps affiliation.

Perception of Management Capability by Traditional Undergraduate/Graduate Management Programs

This analysis first establishes the management background of the respondents by performing cross-tabulations on the "Management Education/Training Background" responses as a function of corps (HCA, AH, MC, DC, and NC). The HCA cohort is then isolated to determine the effects of having some type of management degree on the percentages of "good" The management degrees that are included in the deltas. analysis include the "traditional graduate/undergraduate management degrees" listed in the demographic section of Appendix A, i.e., Bachelors in Business Administration (BBA), Bachelors of Science in Hospital Administration (BSHCA). Masters in Business Administration (MBA), Masters of Science in Health Care Administration (MHA), and a category in which respondents reported to having some type of management degree other than those mentioned (OTHERG).

The HCA respondents were separated in two cohorts for this analysis. Those individuals reporting any of the aforementioned "tradition graduate/undergraduate management degrees" were grouped into a "some" category and those individuals who had no management degrees grouped into a "none" category. These categories were examined to establish trends exhibited by the "some" cohort.

Perception of Management Capability by Service Short Course

This analysis was conducted isolating the HCA cohort. One of the important areas developed in the literature is the importance of continuing education for health care professionals. The number of short courses taken by the respondents indicates a commitment by the respondents to individual professional development through service educational opportunities.

The overall population was first analyzed by medical community to see if there is any dominance of short course attendance. The HCA cohort was then isolated to determine the effects of the number of short courses attended on the "good" deltas. Respondents were grouped into three categories for analysis; 0 to 2 courses taken, 3 to 4 courses taken, and over 5 courses taken. These categories were then examined across the 21 management categories to establish direct relationships between number of short courses taken and the percentages of "good" deltas.

Perception of Management Education by Management Experience

A final analysis was conducted to isolate variables that reflect the HCA respondents' previous management experience while in the Navy. The variables used for this analysis include "Years in a Management Position" (YRSMGPOS), "Number of Management Positions" (MGRPOS), "Monthe as a

Commanding Officer (CO))* (MOSCO), and "Months as an Executive Officer (XO))* (MOSXO). Respondents provided the data for this analysis in the demographic section of the survey (Part II). Each of these variables were coded into categories based on the distribution of the responses. These categories are developed in the following chapter.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results published by Crawford, et al. [Ref. 2] indicated that the HCA respondents reported fewer skill gaps as a group when compared to the other groups (i.e., MC, NC, DC, and AH). It was suggested that "given the management oriented training and education necessary for the HCA profession, and a management career track commencing at entry level, it stands to reason that this group probably perceives less of a need for education in management than others." [Ref. 2:p. 19].

This chapter examines the education and management background variables introduced in Part II of the survey (Appendix A) for the purpose of identifying the variables relating to these "negative" or "good" deltas, which are more prevalent in the HCA responses. This chapter begins with an overview of the demographics of the HCA respondents. An overview of the entire population of respondents is provided in Reference 5. Subsequent sections present the analysis of the "good" deltas produced by the survey respondents with emphasis on the management education and experience variables discussed in the previous chapter.

A. DEMOGRAPHICS AND NON-IDENTIFYING PERSONAL DATA

While the following data provide a general description of the survey population, the information is self reported, which may cause inconsistencies and errors that are not identifiable.

1. Overall Breakdown by Medical Community

Table III displays the population data utilized in this thesis broken down by medical community. These data were generated by frequency distribution procedures performed

Table III

SURVEY RESPONDENTS BY MEDICAL COMMUNITY

| CORPS | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|
| MEDICAL | 154 | 36.3 |
| DENTAL | 97 | 22.9 |
| MEDICAL SERVICE - HCA | 93 | 21.9 |
| MEDICAL SERVICE - AH | 30 | 7.1 |
| NURSE | 50 | 11.8 |

utilizing the Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) package on the mainframe computer at NPS. These data may differ slightly from the data used in the original analysis [Ref. 2] due to procedural differences. Community subspecialty codes were used to separate the Medical Service Corps (MSC) officers into Health Care Administrators (HCA) and Allied Health (AN)

segments. When this procedure was introduced in the data, forty-one MSCs previously identified as responding to the survey were dropped from the analysis because of failure to provide a subspecialty code. Additionally, five respondents included in an "other" category were excluded because of the small sample size. The final data size for this analysis was 422.

2. Rank

Table IV illustrates the breakdown of the HCA group by rank. Consistent with the targeted population of "senior" Navy Medical Department executives, 79.5 percent of the HCA respondents hold the rank of Commander and above with the Captain cohort representing 46.2 percent of the respondents.

Table IV

MSC (HCA) RESPONDENTS BY RANK

| RANK | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| CAPTAIN (0-6) | 43 | 46.2 |
| COMMANDER (0-5) | 31 | 33.3 |
| LIEUTENANT COMMANDER (0-4) | 17 | 18.3 |
| LIEUTENANT (0-3) | 2 | 2.2 |
| TOTAL | 93 | 100 |

3. Organizational Position

Table V shows the organizational position occupied by the HCA respondents at the time of the survey. Because of the large number of different organizational positions reported,

Table V

| MSC (HCA) | RESPONDENTS | BY | POSITION |
|-----------|-------------|----|----------|
|-----------|-------------|----|----------|

| Position | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Commanding Officer < 12 | 6 | 6.5 |
| Commanding Officer > 12 | 11 | 11.8 |
| Executive Officer < 12 | 10 | 10.8 |
| Executive Officer > 12 | 10 | 10.8 |
| Director | 40 | 43 |
| Department Head | 7 | 7.5 |
| Other | 7 | 7.5 |
| •.• | 2 | 2.2 |

N=93

the seven cohorts displayed in Table V were constructed by condensing survey responses into like categories. The Commanding Officer and Executive Officer categories are expressed in terms of months, i.e., "Commanding Officer < 12" would be a respondent that was in a Commanding Officer billet for less than twelve months. Appendix K provides the breakdown of the responses placed into each cohort.

B. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY MEDICAL COMMUNITY

Appendix L presents the table of negative and zero deltas that represent the "good" deltas (i.e., deltas where the current skill level exceeds that required for a management skill area) for each of the 60 questions across each medical community. The numbers reported in the table represent percentages of the population that reported "good" deltas for each question. The HCA cohort reported a higher number of "good" deltas in 16 of the 21 management categories. These findings are somewhat consistent with those reported in Reference 2 that noted that the HCA respondents rated their current skill levels higher than the other groups in 13 of the 21 categories. The number of categories with "good" deltas was slightly higher than the findings in Reference 2 because the "good" deltas included zero deltas as well as negative deltas. The findings in Reference 2 included only negative deltas as indicators that the respondents' current skill level was higher than the perceived required skill level for the particular management category. The remainder of this chapter explores the management-oriented training and education as well as the management career track of the HCAs to determine their effect on the perceived management capabilities of the survey respondents.

C. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY TRADITIONAL UNDERGRADUATE/GRADUATE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

1. Management Education/Training Background by Medical Community

Appendix M presents the frequencies of management education and training programs by medical community. Included here are a variety of education and training experiences were reported in the management that education/training background section of Part II of the survey (Appendix A). The cohort sizes for this analysis were similar to that reported in Table III with the following exceptions: MSC (AH) - n=30, and MC - n=154, and overall n=424. The differences are caused by reporting sample size inconsistencies by the respondents.

In analyzing corps trends, it is immediately evident that the HCA cohort attends the majority of the reported "traditional undergraduate/graduate management" programs as illustrated in Table VI. The programs included in this section are the Masters in Hospital Administration (MHA), Masters in Public Health (MPH), Masters in Business Administration (MBA), Bachelors of Science in Health Care Administration (BSHCA), Bachelors in Business Administration (BBA), and an "other" category where the respondents listed some other type of graduate/undergraduate management program other than those listed. The HCA cohort is responsible for over 80 percent of 4 out of the 6 programs listed.

Table VI

TRADITIONAL UNDERGRADUATE/GRADUATE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS REPORTED BY HCA RESPONDENTS AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL

| PROGRAM | HCA FREQUENCY | PERCENT OF OVERALL DEGREES |
|----------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| мна | 21 | 95.5 |
| MPH | 4 | 9.8 |
| MBA | 23 | 82.1 |
| BS (HCA) | 20 | 80 |
| BBA | 3 | 100 |
| OTHER | 26 | 49.1 |
| TOTAL | 97 | 56.4 |

Additionally, 56.4 percent of the traditional undergraduate/ graduate degrees reported by the overall population are held by HCA respondents.

2. Survey Responses by "Some" Versus "None" Traditional Undergraduate/Graduate Degree

This analysis is performed on the HCA cohort to examine the effects of having some type of management education on the "good" deltas. For this analysis, the "some" cohort includes anyone with any of the "traditional undergraduate/graduate management degrees" discussed in the previous section.

Table VII presents the total survey population broken down by medical community for the "some" versus "none" management education categories. As displayed in the table, the HCA cohort represents 53.03 percent of the 132 total

Table VII

TABLE OF OVERALL RESPONSES FOR "SOME" MANAGEMENT EDUCATION VERSUS "NONE" BY MEDICAL COMMUNITY

| CORPS | "SOME" | "NONE" | TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
|-------|--------|--------|-------|------------------|
| HCA | 70 | 23 | 93 | 53.03 |
| AH | 12 | 18 | 30 | 9.09 |
| MC | 34 | 120 | 154 | 25.76 |
| NC | 9 | 41 | 50 | 6.82 |
| DC | 7 | 90 | 97 | 5.30 |
| TOTAL | 132 | 292 | 424 | 31.13 |

respondents that indicated having some type of management degree. The common suggestion throughout this thesis is that the higher percentage of "good" deltas reported by the HCA cohort was due to their management education and experience backgrounds. This analysis determines the effects of management education on the responses.

The data for this analysis are presented in Appendix N. The results of this analysis reveal that the cohort that reported having "none" management education reported higher percentages of "good" deltas in 18 of the 21 management categories as displayed in Table VIII. Those individuals who reported having "some" type of management degree reported higher percentages of "good" deltas in productivity/outcomes management, labor/management relations, and materials management.

Table VIII

FUNCTION OF HCA RESPONDENTS REPORTING "GOOD" SKILL GAPS AS A FUNCTION OF TRADITIONAL MANAGEMENT EDUCATION

| MANAGEMENT CATEGORY | "SOME" MANAGEMENT EDUCATION | "NONE" MANAGEMENT EDUCATION |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Decision Making/Problem Solving | 52.9 | 64.4 |
| Communications | 45.9 | 57.5 |
| Quantitative Analysis | 40.0 | 41.3 |
| Information Management | 38.6 | 41.3 |
| Managing Quality | 42.9 | 43.5 |
| Strategic Planning | 39.3 | 47.8 |
| Systems Perspective | 62.7 | 71.0 |
| Financial Management | 49.7 | 47.8 |
| Personnel Management | 57.4 | 62.3 |
| Materials Management | 56.7 | 59.4 |
| Productivity/Outcomes Management | 48.6 | 34.8 |
| Facilities Management | 51.0 | 56.5 |
| Group Dynamics | 48.9 | 71.7 |
| Individual Behavior | 46.4 | 70.7 |
| Organizational Design | 47.1 | 67.4 |
| Labor/Management Relations | 45.7 | 43.5 |
| Conflict Resolution | 37.1 | 60.9 |
| Managing Change/Technology | 42.9 | 52.2 |
| Alternative Health Care Delivery Systems | 34.3 | 39.1 |
| Legal Issues | 55.0 | 57.2 |
| Ethics | 55.7 | 65.2 |

The findings from this analysis indicate that having some type of management degree doesn't seem to affect the perceptions of managerial competence among the HCA cohort. An additional analysis of the "some" versus "none" cohorts with respect to the managerial experience variables discussed in Chapter III reveals that the "none" cohort has more management experience as a commanding officer (CO) and as an executive officer (XO) as displayed in Table IX. It is expected that this dominance in CO/XO experience may account for the higher percentages of "good" deltas for the "none" cohort. This finding is further explored in the following sections.

Table IX

TABLE OF MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE VARIABLES FOR "SOME" VERSUS "NONE" MANAGEMENT EDUCATION IN HCA RESPONDENTS

| MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE VARIABLE | "NONE" COHORT | SOME COHORT |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| MONTHS AS A COMMANDING OFFICER | 16.15 | 8.28 |
| MONTHS AS AN EXECUTIVE OFFICER | 19.28 | 14.62 |
| NUMBER OF MANAGEMENT POSITIONS | 6.13 | 6.62 |
| YEARS IN A MANAGEMENT POSITION | 14.43 | 15.66 |

D. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY SERVICE SHORT COURSE

The service short courses used in this analysis and the abbreviations used in Appendix M are presented in Table X. Appendix M provides the frequencies, by medical community, of the short courses taken by the respondents used in this

Table X SERVICE SHORT COURSES WITH ABBREVIATIONS

| SHORT COURSE | ABBREVIATION |
|---|---------------|
| Prospective Commanding Officer/Executive Officer | PCOXO |
| Interagency Institute for Federal Health Care Executives | IIFHCE |
| Leader Development (Command) | COMMAND |
| Leader Development (Senior) | SENIOR |
| Leader Development (Intermediate) | INTERMEDIATE |
| Strategic Medical Readiness and Contingency Course | STRAT MRC |
| Management Development Course | MAN DEV |
| Financial and Material Management | FIN MAT MAN |
| Patient Services Administration | PAT SVC ADMIN |

analysis. There are no evident trends across medical communities that would indicate that one corps had dominated attendance at one of the courses except in the financial and materials management and the patient services administration courses, which are normally offered exclusively to HCAs.

The short courses listed in Table X are grouped together to analyze the impact of the "number of short courses attended" on the percentages of "good" deltas reported by the HCA cohort. For purposes of analysis, the short courses attended are added together for each HCA respondent and the total courses taken is used to group the respondents into the categories presented in Table XI.

Those respondents who indicated having 5 or more short courses reported higher percentages of "good" deltas in all 21

Table XI

CATEGORIES FOR NUMBER OF SHORT COURSES TAKEN FOR HCA RESPONDENTS

| COURSES | FREQUENCY | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| O TO 2 | 27 | 29 |
| 3 OR 4 | 42 | 45 |
| 5 OR MORE | 24 | 26 |
| TOTAL | 93 | 100 |

categories as compared to the other two cohorts. The results are displayed in Table XII. The HCA respondents seem to be more confident of their abilities after they have completed 5 or more short courses. The detailed results for each of the 60 questions are presented in Appendix 0.

E. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE

In order to establish the effects of management experience on the perceptions of management capabilities of the respondents, the following variables were isolated: YRSMGPOS, MGRPOS, MOSCO, and MOSXO. These variables are discussed in Chapter III and represent Years in a Management Position, Number of Management Positions, Months as a Commanding Officer, and Months as an Executive Officer, respectively. Table IX in Section C of this chapter suggested that the higher commanding officer/axecutive officer sepreince reported by the cohort with "none" management education may have been the cause of the higher percentages of "good" deltas

Table XII

PERCENTAGES OF HCA RESPONDENTS REPORTING "GOOD" SKILL GAPS FOR NUMBERS OF SHORT COURSES TAKEN

| MANAGEMENT CATEGORY | 0 TO 2 | 3 TO 4 | 5 OR MORE |
|---|--------|--------|-----------|
| Decision Making/Problem Solving | 64.4 | 43.8 | 66.7 |
| Communications | 50.6 | 40.9 | 60.6 |
| Quantitative Analysis | 50.0 | 27.4 | 52.1 |
| Information Management | 37.0 | 38.1 | 43.8 |
| Managing Quality | 33.3 | 40.5 | 58.3 |
| Strategic Planning | 42.6 | 32.1 | 56.3 |
| Systems Perspective | 66.7 | 55.2 | 79.2 |
| Financial Management | 55.6 | 38.1 | 60.0 |
| Personnel Management | 59.3 | 48.4 | 76.0 |
| Materials Management | 59.3 | 48.4 | 70.8 |
| Productivity/Outcomes Management | 40.7 | 33.3 | 70.8 |
| Facilities Management | 55.6 | 39.7 | 70.8 |
| Group Dynamics | 57.4 | 47.6 | 63.5 |
| Individual Behavior | 55.6 | 44.6 | 62.5 |
| Organizational Design | 50.0 | 41.7 | 72.9 |
| Labor/Management Relations | 44.4 | 35.7 | 62.5 |
| Conflict Resolution | 40.7 | 35.7 | 58.3 |
| Managing Change/Technology | 44.4 | 38.1 | 58.3 |
| Alternative Health Care Delivery Systems | 44.4 | 23.8 | 45.8 |
| Legal Issues | 53.1 | 52.4 | 63.9 |
| Ethics | 63.0 | 50.0 | 66.7 |

reported by that cohort in that analysis. This analysis will explore the relationship of these management experience variables on the HCA cohort. Table XIII shows the means for the management experience variables across each of the medical communities. The averages for the HCA cohort are higher than the overall averages in each of the four categories. Furthermore, the HCA cohort holds a distinct advantage in the YRSM3POS and MGRPOS categories.

Table XIII

| TABLE | OF | MANAGEMENT | EXPERIENCE | VARIABLES | AS | А | FUNCTION | OF |
|--------|----|------------|------------|-----------|----|---|----------|----|
| MEDICA | ьc | OMMUNITY | | | | | | |

| VARIABLE | HCA | AH | мс | NC | DC | AVERAGE |
|----------|------|------|-----|-----|------|---------|
| YRSMGPOS | 15.4 | 9.2 | 5.8 | 9.7 | 5.7 | 9.2 |
| MGRPOS | 6.5 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 3.8 |
| MOSCO | 10.1 | 10.3 | 3.1 | 6.6 | 13.5 | 9.36 |
| MOSXO | 15.7 | 9.3 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 17.6 | 11.1 |

1. Years in a Management Position

Table XIV displays the distribution for "years in a management position" for the HCA community. Appendix P presents the comprehensive data for the HCA cohort across the 60 questions.

The data in Table IV indicate that 79.5 percent of the HCA cohort held the rank of 0.5 or above; however, the data in Table XIV indicate that only 45 percent of the HCA cohort report having 16 or more years in a management position. Given the fact that it would take at least 16 years of service as an officer for an HCA to reach the rank of 0.5, these data

| YRSMGPOS | FREQUENCY | PERCENT OF TOTAL | |
|------------|-----------|------------------|--|
| 0 TO 10 | 22 | 24 | |
| 10.5 TO 15 | 29 | 31 | |
| 16 TO 20 | 25 | 27 | |
| OVER 20 | 17 | 18 | |
| TOTAL | 93 | 100 | |

Table XIV YEARS SPENT IN A MANAGEMENT POSITION FOR HCA COHORT

indicate that the more senior HCA personnel don't consider all of their career to have been in management positions. This finding may have been due to the wording of the question that inquired about "years in a managerial position" and "number of managerial positions." For these questions, "managerial" is defined as "50% of <u>time</u> involved in managerial (non-clinical) tasks." This finding will be further explored in the following section to see if this was due to misunderstanding of the question.

Table XV displays only the management categories that showed direct relationships between YRSMOPOS and the percentages of "good" deltas. All of the categories are shown in Appendix P. The largest differences across the "years in a management position" occur in financial management and labor/management relations.

For the financial management category, the "over 20" cohort reports the highest percentages of "good" deltas in questions 1 through 3, of the category, which deal with

Table XV

TABLE OF MANAGEMENT CATEGORIES EXHIBITING DIRECT RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN YRSMSPOS AND THE PERCENTAGE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR HCA RESPONDENTS

| MANAGEMENT CATEGORY | 0 TO 10 | 10.5 TO 15 | 16 TO 20 | OVER 20 |
|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| Managing Quality | 36.4 | 41.4 | 44.0 | 50.0 |
| Strategic Planning | 31.8 | 41.4 | 44.0 | 50.0 |
| Financial Management | 34.5 | 41.4 | 56.0 | 58.0 |
| Personnel Management | 50.0 | 55.2 | 64.8 | 66.7 |
| Materials Management | 42.4 | 50.6 | 68.0 | 72.2 |
| Productivity/ Outcomes Management | 27.3 | 41.4 | 56.0 | 58.8 |
| Facilities Management | 36.4 | 50.6 | 61.3 | 62.7 |
| Labor/ Management Relations | 22.7 | 48.3 | 52.0 | 58.8 |
| Managing Change/ Technology | 36.4 | 44.8 | 48.0 | 52.9 |

financial statements, funding sources and limitations, and operating and capital budgets respectively. These areas are normally under the purview of more senior officers because of their critical nature.

The same rationale used to explain the financial management categories can be applied to labor/management relations. It would stand to reason that the responsibility for dealing with labor issues would be with the more senior officers, making it hard to gain experience in this area for junior personnel.

The lowest percentages of "good" deltas occurred in productivity/outcomes management and labor/management relations, which would indicate that these are areas where junior officers may need education.

2. Number of Management Positions

The distribution for this analysis is displayed in Table XVI. The comprehensive data for this analysis are presented in Appendix Q.

Table XVI

NUMBER OF MANAGEMENT POSITIONS FOR THE HCA COHORT

| MGRPOS | FREQUENCY | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
|------------|-----------|------------------|
| 0 TO 4 | 26 | 28 |
| 5 TO 7 | 33 | 35 |
| 8 AND OVER | 34 | 37 |
| TOTAL | 93 | 100 |

Considering the fact that the length of a normal tour of duty in the medical community is about 3 years, the data in Table XVI is consistent with the reported rank structure in Table IV. Table XVI indicates that 72 percent of the HCA cohort reports occupying 5 or more management positions. If each of these positions lasted about 3 years, this would account for 15 or more years of service, which is about the time an HCA would be eligible for the 0-5 ranking. These data

would seem to indicate that the HCA cohort feels that the majority of their jobs are in management positions, which contradicts the findings of the previous section for "years in a management position." This contradiction would seem to indicate a misunderstanding of the definition of "managerial" position used in the questionnaire [Ref. 1]. The career path of HCAs, as discussed in Reference 6, is designed to put HCAs in managerial positions at the beginning of their careers and allow them to progress through positions that allow for increased responsibility.

Table XVII reveals only those management categories that represent direct relationships between the number of management positions and the percentages of "good" deltas

Table XVII

TABLE OF MANAGEMENT CATEGORIES DEMONSTRATING DIRECT RELATIONSHIPS WITH MGTPOS AND PERCENTAGE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR HCA RESPONDENTS

| MANAGEMENT CATEGORY | 0 TO 4 | 5 TO 7 | 8 AND OVER |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|------------|
| Quantitative Analysis | 32.7 | 37.9 | 48.5 |
| Strategic Planning | 30.8 | 45.5 | 45.6 |
| Financial Management | 33.8 | 50.9 | 59.4 |
| Personnel Management | 52.6 | 58.6 | 63.3 |
| Materials Management | 50.0 | 51.5 | 52.9 |
| Productivity/Outcomes Management | 26.9 | 51.5 | 52.9 |
| Facilities Management | 42.3 | 55.6 | 56.9 |

reported by the HCA cohort. Financial management and productivity/outcomes management provide the largest differences between the "0 to 4" cohort and the "over 8" cohort. As mentioned in the analysis of "years in a management position," the expertise gained in the financial management arena is normally only available to the more senior HCAs because of the critical nature of this area.

Although materials management is listed with the management categories demonstrating positive relationships with MGTPOS, the increase of only 2.9 percentage points across the cohorts does not indicate that this category can be considered as acquiring increasing knowledge as a function of the number of management positions. The lowest percentage of "good" deltas occurred in productivity/outcomes management.

3. Months as an Executive Officer

The frequency distribution for "months as an executive officer" is presented in Table XVIII. The total sample size for this analysis was only 87 because of the inconsistencies in the responses provided. Appendix R presents the responses for the 60 questions.

The normal rank of an executive officer in an MTF is 0-5 to 0-6 depending on the size of the facility. Table IV indicates that 77.5 percent of the HCAs are 0-5 and above. The data in Table XVIII indicate that only 56 percent of the HCA cohort are reporting experience as an executive officer,

Table XVIII

TABLE OF MONTHS AS AN EXECUTIVE OFFICER FOR THE HCA COHORT

| MOSXO | FREQUENCY | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
|---------|-----------|------------------|
| NONE | 38 | 44 |
| 1 TO 24 | 26 | 30 |
| OVER 24 | 23 | 26 |
| TOTAL | 87 | 100 |

which would imply that 21.5 percent of the eligible HCA cohort has not been in an executive officer position.

The management categories that demonstrate direct relationships with MOSXO and the percentages of "good" deltas are displayed in Table XIX. Labor/management relations and alternative health care delivery systems account for the largest differences across the categories. Those individuals

Table XIX

TABLE OF MANAGEMENT CATEGORIES EXHIBITING DIRECT RELATIONSHIPS WITH PERCENTAGES OF "GOOD" DELTAS AND MOSXO FOR HCA RESPONDENTS

| MANAGEMENT CATEGORY | NONE | 1 TO 24 | OVER 24 |
|---|------|---------|---------|
| Financial Management | 45.3 | 48.5 | 60.9 |
| Productivity/Outcomes Management | 39.5 | 46.2 | 56.5 |
| Organizational Design | 48.7 | 50 | 52.2 |
| Labor/Management Relations | 34.2 | 50 | 56.5 |
| Alternative Health Care Delivery Systems | 26.3 | 38.5 | 47.8 |

with no experience as an executive officer are only reporting 34.2 and 26.3 percent "good" deltas, respectively, for these two management areas, which would indicate a need for education in these areas for individuals with no experience as an executive officer.

4. Months as a Commanding Officer

Table XX displays the frequency distribution for "months as a commanding officer" for the HCA cohort. Again, the total sample size of 85 differs slightly from the sample sizes used in previous analyses due to reporting errors and inconsistencies.

Table XX

| MOSCO | FREQUENCY | PERCENT OF TOTAL |
|---------|-----------|------------------|
| NONE | 55 | 65.0 |
| 1 TO 24 | 15 | 17.5 |
| OVER 24 | 15 | 17.5 |
| TOTAL | 85 | 100 |

TABLE OF MONTHS AS A COMMANDING OFFICER FOR HCA COHORT

The highest level of management within the medical community (or any community) would be at the Commanding Officer level. The normal rank for a commanding officer would be an 0-6. Additionally, this individual should have demonstrated an outstanding background of leadership in order to be considered for this position. While 46.2 percent of the

HCA cohort are 0-6 (Table IV), only 35 percent of the HCA cohort have any experience as a commanding officer, which would indicate that 11.2 percent of the 0-6 cohort have not been in a commanding officer billet.

Due to extremely small sample sizes in the "1 to 24" and the "over 24" cohorts, large changes in the percentages of "good" deltas can be attributed to subsequent small changes in the responses. The management categories that display a direct relationship with MOSCO are displayed in Table XXI.

Table XXI

TABLE OF MANAGEMENT CATEGORIES EXHIBITING DIRECT RELATIONSHIPS WITH PERCENTAGES OF "GOOD" DELTAS AND MOSCO FOR HCA RESPONDENTS

| MANAGEMENT CATEGORY | NONE | 1 TO 24 | OVER 24 |
|---|------|---------|---------|
| Financial Management | 46.5 | 54.7 | 61.3 |
| Facilities Management | 47.9 | 57.8 | 62.2 |
| Organizational Design | 47.3 | 56.7 | 56.7 |
| Conflict Resolution | 40.0 | 46.7 | 46.7 |
| Alternative Health Care Delivery Systems | 30.9 | 40.0 | 53.3 |

Alternative health care delivery systems provides the largest increases in the percentages of "good" deltas with respect to the distribution displayed in Table XX. Similar to the findings in the "months as an executive officer" analysis, the "none" cohort only reports 30.9 percent "good" deltas in

this category. It stands to reason that this management area will normally be the responsibility of only the most senior officers within a Military Treatment Facility because of the costs and politics involved in dealing with alternative health care delivery systems. However, because of a rapidly changing health care environment, which is shifting towards emphasizing cost cutting by utilizing alternative health care delivery systems, this management area is becoming one of utmost importance and shold be stressed in any management education program.

IV. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Previous research [Ref. 2] indicated that fewer members of the HCA community reported management skill gaps as compared to health care executives from the other corps. That is, the HCA cohort was least likely to report that their current management skills were less than those required for the positions they held. The authors of the research suggested that management-oriented training and education, as well as a management career track commencing at entry level, were the major contributors to this perceived management expertise. This thesis was designed to further explore those results. The analyses conducted in the present research support the conclusions and recommendations described below.

A. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY TRADITIONAL UNDERGRADUATE/GRADUATE DEGREE

This analysis was performed isolating the HCA cohort to see what effect management education had on their responses. Those individuals who reported having "some" type of management degree (one or more) were separated from those reporting no management education ("none") to see if management education was driving the higher percentages of "good" deltas.

The "some" cohort reported higher percentages of "good" deltas (current skills are equal to or greater than required

skills) in only 3 of the 21 management skill categories. Those categories were productivity/outcomes management, labor/management relations, and materials management. These results indicate that management education is not a major contributor to the respondents' perceptions of higher skills than what is required for the management categories. An analysis of the "none" cohort revealed that this cohort had considerable more experience as commanding officers and executive officers than the "some" cohort. This finding indicates that experience may have more of an impact on the respondents' perceptions than education.

B. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY SERVICE SHORT COURSE

The trend evident within this analysis was that the cohort that had attended 5 or more short courses reported a higher percentage of "good" deltas in all 21 categories than "0 to 2" or the "3 to 4" cohorts. There were no trends that would indicate a direct relationship between the number of short courses taken and the "good" deltas.

C. PERCEPTION OF MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY BY MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE

The variables used to analyze the influence of managerial experience on the "good" deltas were "years in a management position," "number of management positions," "months as a commanding officer," and "months as an executive officer."

The data for the "years in a management position" and "number of management positions" indicate a contradiction in the responses. This contradiction seemed to be due to the misunderstanding of the wording of the questions concerning these variables. A common theme throughout this thesis is that the HCA cohort spend their careers in management positions, which has a direct effect on their confidence in their skill levels reflected in the higher percentages of "good" deltas in their survey responses. This theme was validated in the "number of management positions" analysis where the HCA cohort reported that the majority of their careers were spent in management positions.

Analyses on all four experience variables revealed a direct relationship with financial management, which would indicate that as management experience increases, health care administrators feel more confident in their skills in this area. It stands to reason that this an area that should be addressed when tailoring educational programs targeted for the more junior HCAs. Additionally, productivity/outcomes management and labor/management relations were consistently among the categories exhibiting a direct relationship with the "good" deltas as experience increases. These are also categories where the respondents with the least management experience reported the lowest percentages of "good" deltas among the 21 management categories. These categories should

also be stressed in an education program directed at the officers with limited management experience.

Overall, management experience provided the best insight into the higher percentages of "good" deltas reported by the HCAs. The data indicate that as the HCAs gain more management experience, they are more confident about their knowledge in the areas that are normally the responsibility of more senior officers such as financial management and labor/management relations.

D. ADDITIONAL CONCLUSIONS AND COMMENTS

The data from this thesis indicated that the HCA cohort reported more "good" deltas than the other medical communities across the 21 management skill areas. Additionally, the HCA cohort held the majority of the traditional graduate/ undergraduate management degrees. The HCA cohort also reported higher averages in the "years in a management position" and the "number of management positions" variables. These factors indicate that the Medical Service Corps realizes the importance of these factors to producing Health Care Executives who are both knowledgeable and effective in managerial roles. In order to develop effective leaders within the other medical communities, management opportunities should be made available for those individuals who aspire to become leaders within Navy Medicine. Additionally, if the other medical community officers are expected to be

knowledgeable in the areas of patient services administration and financial management, these short courses should be made available to all officers within Navy Medicine.

E. RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are made based on the analyses provided in Chapter III and the conclusions provided in this chapter:

 Additional analyses should be performed to see what is causing the "good" deltas with respect to the education variables discussed in this thesis, i.e., higher reported current skills or low perception of skills required for a particular skill area.

2. The analyses in this thesis were performed, for the most part, on the HCA cohort, which ranged in size from 85 to 93 depending on the statistical technique utilized. This resulted in extremely small sample sizes for several of the analyses, which precluded the utilization of statistical tests for significance of variables such as the Chi Square test. This survey should be administered to the entire HCA community.

3. Short courses such as financial and materials management and patient services administration should be restructured and shortened versions should be made available to all Navy Medical Department officers.

APPENDIX A. MANAGING A MILITARY MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITY: A SURVEY OF EDUCATIONAL NEEDS

This survey is designed to assess your perception of the knowledge and ability required to effectively manage health care facilities, now and in the future. We will use the results of the survey to design executive management education programs.

The survey is based on the views and beliefs of over 100 Navy Medical Department executive managers, elicited through interviews and a pretesting process. As a result, survey questions represent management knowledge and abilities that were most frequently expressed as necessary for managing medical treatment facilities.

Your responses to this survey will become part of the aggregate of responses from others currendy serving in executive management positions throughout the Navy Medical Department. The combined results will allow us to quantify the importance of each management skill area.

All information gathered by this survey will be collated, in the aggregate, for <u>statistical use only</u>. The anonymity of each survey participant is assured since no need exists, and no effort will be made, to identify the participants.

Please do the following:

- 1. Follow the instructions provided in the survey.
- 2. Complete this survey within five (8) working days.
- Return your completed survey in the pre-addressed envelope provided for that purpose.

If you have any questions, contact Adj. Research Professor Ken Orioff at (408) 646-3339 or (DSN) 878-3339.

Thank you for your participation.
This survey has two purpose. Is designed to measure: 1) Tour current level of managerial skills.

2) Your perception of the required level of skills for an amounties in your min.

Uning the scale, rate each of the following managerial activities in terms of your <u>surrent level</u> of knowledge or ability. A '0' indicates that you have no knowledge or ability in this area. A rating of '1' to '3' indicates a low level of innovindge or ability, a rating of '4' to '1' holicates a moderate irrel, and a rating of '3' to '1' indicates a high level. Use the numbers within a category to indicate your position more precisely. (Put your ratings in the column labeled "Current Skill Level.")

Then, using the same scale, rate the same managerial activities in terms of the required level of knowledge or ability necessary to function effectively as an executive in your role. (Pul your rating in the column labeled 'Required Sidii Level.')

| 1 NOHE | Ļ | 1 | ŗ | Ļ | DERA | 1 | Ļ | ۰ Ļ | 1 1 an LE | "ĩ |
|------------|----------|------|---------|----------|------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| CURRENT SX | LL LEVEL | RECT | URED SE | LL LEVEL | | TINANC | ULAESC | URCE MA | NAGENE | 277 |
| | - | | | | | 1. Interp MEPR, 1 | reting fin VC2199, d | uncial state no. | mente, ev | D. OPTAR |
| H | - | | | | | 2. Recog their use | miating fla 16. | nding sour | ne and B | mitations of |
| | - | | | | | 8. Evalu budgeta | ating ope and mon | nting (O&) taring thei | v(,N) and r executio | napital (CP,N) |
| - | - | | | | | 4. Enow and draw systems. | ng the re rbacks o | adistrative | agement health c | advantages are delivery |
| | | | | | | 8. Maxim Insurano | nising ber e compar | večis žrom Vec) reimb | ihird part arwanena | y payar (a.g., L |
| | - | | | | | 8. World contract services | ng with B Ing, evalu | e procures ating bids, | nent syste acquiring | en (negotiating. goods and |
| | • | | | | | 7. Under (make o | vianding r buy dec | nosi-beneð Islana, sow | l analysis effective | techniques trade-cits). |
| | | | | | | PROGRA | M PLAN | NING AND | EVALUE | TION |
| | | | | | | 8. Mana; methods | ring a pla of both a | aning proc trategic an | des: using d busines | s models and s planning. |
| | | | | | | 8. Under affective | standing nees and | methods to efficiency e | r ovahaati xi variooa | ng the programs. |
| | - | | | | | 10. Eval: strategie needs. | ating and a, includia | applying ng methoda | markeri az 10 analys | alysis se customer |
| | | | | | | 11. Empl methoda | oying qui | ulity impeox | ement pr | incipies and |

| I L | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| CURRENT STOLL 1 | WEL REQUIRED SKILL | LEVE |
| | - | Understanding the interminitionships of departments and functions of military treatment facilities, i.e., the systems perspective. |
| | | DECISION MAKING/PROBLEM BOLVING |
| | | Amounting the quality and usefulness of available information when faced with complex problems. |
| | | Deciding the extent to which others should be included in decision making. |
| | | Using decision making techniques/problem solving approaches and methods. |
| | | Using management information systems sechoologies to achive complex problems. |
| | | Using statistical tools in planning and day-to-day decision making. |
| | | Understanding the strengths and weaknesses of the statistical techniques that comptrollers or quality assumes analysis most often use. |
| | | 19. Undermanding how information systems are designed to meet information needs. |
| | | 20. Analyzing risks/alternatives. |
| | | LEGAL INSTER |
| | | Enowing what constitutes a violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCBQ). |
| | | Encouring what non-judicial pursishments are evaluable under the UCBQ. |
| | | \$3. Initiating appropriate actions for UCMJ violations. |
| | | Enoming administrative separation authority and procedures. |
| | | Kaving a working knowledge of liability, both hospital and professional. |
| | | 26. Having a working knowledge of environmenial impact leaves. |

| NONE | LOW LEVEL | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|-------|---|---|
| CURRENT SKILL | L LEVEL REQUIRED SKILL | LEVEL | OPERATIONS M | NACIEMENT INSUE |
| | | | 27. Understanding on hospital opera | the impact of OSKA requirements tions. |
| | | | SI. Evaluating the technology. | ments of proposals to acquire new |
| | | | 29. Undermanding the DoD/DoN mat | the opportunities and limitations of scials management system. |
| | | | 30. Overseeing eq | ulpment management programe. |
| | | | 3). Ensuring prop requirements for t | er execution of security he physical plant. |
| | | | 32. Overneeing fai | dides management. |
| | | | ORGANIZATIONA | LECHANICE |
| | | | 33. Understanding operating forces. | the support requirements of the |
| | | | 34. Developing an command. | d communicating a vision for the |
| | | | 35. Empowering in | dividuals and work groups. |
| | | | 36. Developing a sparapective. | son-parochial/"generalist" |
| | | | 37. Building trust. | |
| | | | 38. Managing cha | nge. |
| | | | 38. Managing cont | lici. |
| | | | 40. Building tearny | ratik. |
| | | | 41. Developing a p climate/culture. | ositive organizational |
| | | | 42. Mothsting peo | pie. |
| | | | 43. Employing eoo task forces, ad ho | rdinating mechanisms (e.g., learns, 5 work groups). |
| | ····· | | 44. Developing sal mentoring. | condinates: conching, teaching, |

| | | MODERATE LEVEL |
|---------------|--------------------|---|
| CURRENT SKILL | LEVEL REQUIRED SKE | LIVE. |
| | | 45. Understanding the rola/scope of the job of CO/III. |
| | | 48. Promoting invovation and tisk taking behavior. |
| | | MANPOWER AND MUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT |
| | | 47. Managing civilian personnel according to regulations and procedures. |
| | | Managing military personnel according to regulations and procedures. |
| | · | 49. Evaluating manpower and staffing needs. |
| | | Maxaging labor relations (union negotiations, grievances, stc.) |
| | | Managing multi-cultural diversity in the workplace. |
| | | Building a climate that promotes ethical practices in clinical and managerial operations. |
| | | COMMUNICATION |
| | _ | 83. Writing effectively. |
| | | 54. Giving positive and negative feedback. |
| | | 53. Delivaring effective oral presentations. |
| | - | 54. Listening effectively. |
| | | Building and maintaining working and support relationships possible your institution. |
| | · · | Representing the organization to external groups, e.g., public relations functions. |
| | | \$3. Poststing a climate of open communication. |
| | | Conducting meetings effectively. |

If a management education program were to be developed for an essective in your role, what level of need would you areach to providing education in each of the following managerial activity groups. Using the scale balance is maining of "P to 3" indications a modern to ever, and are not equip the scale balance is maining to a scale balance is madern to a scale balance in the scale balance is maining of the scale balance is madern to a scale balance is maining of the scale balance is maining and the scale balance is made and the scale balance is maining an

| VERY LOW PR | | Ĺ | | e Í PRIORITY | Ľ | L | |
|-------------|---------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|---|---|--|
| PRICETT | MANAGERIA | | T CROUPS | | | | |
| | Financial/Res | ource Man | agement | | | | |
| | Program Plas | ning and 1 | Evaluation | | | | |
| | Decision Mal | dng/Proble | m Solving | | | | |
| | Legal issues | | | | | | |
| | Operations & | lanagemen | t larves | | | | |
| | Organization | l Behavior | | | | | |
| | Manpower as | nd Human I | Resource Ma | nagement | | | |
| | Communicati | on. | | | | | |

Please provide below any additional comments you may have.



In addition to the "managerial skill level" questionnaire you have just completed, please provide the demographic data and management education information requested below.

This information is part of the data collection effort and will be colleted, in the aggregate, for statistical use only. The anonymity of each survey participant is assured since no need exists and no effort will be made to identify individuals participating in this survey.

Instructions: Please check only those blocks that apply in your individual case and legibly complete any other information in the underlined spaces provided for that purpose.

 Demographic Data - blocks involving subspecialty codes should be completed gnly where codes are formally assigned to you as an individual.
 Management Education/Training - check only those courses/programs you have

(2) Management Education/Training - check <u>only</u> those courses/programs you have successfully completed. DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

| 1. | Rank: | | | 2. Gender: | 🗆 Male | 🗆 Female |
|-----|---|--|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| 3. | Designator: | C) 21xx C) 22xx | □ 23xx □ 29xx | D Other | | |
| Ł | Subspecialties: (List by code if kn | own) | | | | |
| 5. | Length of active of | ommissioned | service: Yes | LTB | Months | - |
| 6. | Degrees complete | 4: 08 0M 0D | achelors - N lasters - N octorate - N | fajor fajor fajor | | |
| 7. | Current position/tit | le | | | | |
| 8. | Facility Size: Beds Outp Teac | (Set-up): atient Visits (hing Hospital Family Prac | annual): : DYes :tice Residen | D No | אם | 0 |
| 9. | Time served in cur Less than 6 mor 12-24 months | rent position ths | : D 6-12 mo D 24-36 m | nths onths | D Greater | than 36 months |
| 10. | Total months servi Total months servi | ce (past and ce (past and | present) in C present) in E | Commanding Office | licer billets: r billets: | |
| 11. | Years in current ge | ographical is | cation: | | | |
| 12 | Number of prior m (managerial = >50 | anagerial po % of <u>time</u> im | sitions: volved in mar | agerial (non-cl | inical) tasks) | • |
| 13. | Years service in m | nagerial pos | itions: | | | |

MANAGEMENT EDUCATION/TRAINING BACKGROUND

DOD Posteraduate Education Programs

- Armed Porces Staff College
 Industrial College of the Armed Porces
 Inval Postgraduate School
 Prancel Management
 Manpower Planning, Traking, Analysis
 Industrial College
 Community
 Naval War College
 Community Marfan
 Naval Warfan
 Marke Cores Communit and Staff College
- Other Intermediate/Senior Service Schools:

Other Traditional Undergraduate/Graduate Management Programs

D MHA D MPH D MBA D BS (HCA) D BBA D Other

Non-Traditional Postgraduate/Executive Management Programs

Univ Wisconsin - Madison (MS Admin Medicine)

D Physicians in Management (PIM) Series, ACPE

D Management Education for Physicians (MEP), ACMGA

Univ North Carolina - Kron Scholar Program

Cornell Univ - Health Executives Development Program

D Johnson & Johnson - Wharton Fellows Program for Nurses

Estes Park Institute (annual seminar)

C Other _____

MANAGEMENT EDUCATION/TRAINING BACKGROUND (cont)

Service Short Courses D Prospective Commanding Officer/Executive Officer D Interagency Institute for Federal Health Care Executives D Leader Development (LMET) Command D Senior CI Intermediate D Strategic Medical Readiness and Contingency D Management Development D Financial & Material Management C Patient Services Administration D Plans, Operations and Medical Intelligence D Manpower Management D Professional Military Comptroller Senior Leaders Seminar (TQL) D Other

MANAGEMENT CERTIFICATION/ FELLOWSHIP

- ABMM (Board Certified)
- ACHE (Fellow)
- D ACMGA (Fellow)
- ACPE (Fellow)
- AAMA (Fellow)
- Other _____

Thank you for your participation in this study. Results will form an integral part of research efforts directed at identifying the knowledge and skills needed to effectively manage health care facilities, now and in the Antre.

Please return your completed survey (both Parts I & II) in the envelope provided for that purpose to the following address:

SUPERINTENDENT Code AS/Or Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000

APPENDIX B. CATEGORIZATION OF NPS SURVEY QUESTIONS BASED ON DOD APPROACH

General Management

| Decision Making/Problem Solving | Ouestion # |
|---|--|
| Cost-benefit analysis techniquas Quality of available information Decision-making participants Decision-making techniques Riaks and alternatives | 7 13 14 15 20 |
| Communications | |
| Develop & communicate vision Writing effectively Providing feedback Listening effectively Building work/support relations Representing the organization Feeting Bungement | 34 53 55 56 57 58 59 60 |
| <u>Quantitative Analysis</u> Statistical tools Techniques used by comptrollers | 17 18 |
| Information Management | |
| Using management information systems Understanding the MIS design | 16 19 |
| Cuplity improvement actuals | |
| Strategic Planning | |
| Kodels and mathods Market analysis Systems approach Support regularments of operating forces Non-parochial perspective | 8 10 12 33 36 |
| Health Resources Management | |

Financial Management

| Financia | al states | sents | | 1 |
|----------|-----------|-------|-------------|---|
| Funding | sources | and | limitations | 2 |

| Oparating and capital budgets Maximizing benefits from 3rd party payers Procurement system | 3 5 6 |
|---|----------------------|
| Personnel Management | |
| Civilian personnel regs and procedures Military personnel regs and procedures Manpower and staffing needs | 47 48 49 |
| Materials Management | |
| Proposals for new technology DoD/DoN materials management system Equipment management programs | 28 29 30 |
| Productivity/outcomes Management | |
| Program evaluation methods | 9 |
| Facilities Management | |
| OSHA requirements Security requirements Facilities management oversight | 27 31 32 |
| Organizational Behavior | |
| Group Dynamics | |
| Building trust Building taamork Positive olimate/culture Multi-cultural diversity Tadiudal Bohavior | 37 40 41 51 |
| | |
| Motivation | 35 |
| Developing subordinates | 44 |
| Promoting innovation and risk taking | 46 |
| Organizational Design | |
| Coordination CO/XO roles | 43 45 |
| Labor/management Relations | |
| Labor relations | 50 |
| Conflict_Resolution | |
| Conflict management | 39 |
| | |

| Managing change | 38 |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Alternative Health Care Delivery Systems | |
| Alternative Health Care Delivery Systems | 4 |
| Realth Care Law and Policy | |
| Legal Issues | |
| Violations of UCKJ Non-indicial punishearts Action under UCKJ Adsinistrative separation authority Hospital and professional liability Environmental impact issues | 21 22 23 24 25 26 |

Ethics

Managing Change/technology

| - | | | |
|---------|-----------|--|----|
| Ethical | practices | | 52 |

| SPECIALTI CODE | ABBREVIATION | SPECIALTI |
|----------------|----------------------|--|
| 0031 | Financial Mgt | FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT |
| 0032 | Mat'l Log Mgt | MATERIALS AND LOGISTICS MANAGEMENT |
| 0033 | мрта | MANPOWER/PERSONNEL TRAINING ANALYSIS |
| 0037 | Educ/Trng Mgt | EDUCATION/TRAINING MANAGEMENT |
| 0042 | Ops Research | OPERATIONS RESEARCH |
| 0095 | Computer Tech | COMPUTER TECHNICIAN |
| 1800 | Hith Care Adm | HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATOR |
| 1801 | Pt Admin | PATIENT ADMINISTRATION |
| 1802 | Med Logistics | MEDICAL LOGISTICS |
| 1803 | Med Data Svcs | MEDICAL DATA SERVICES |
| 1804 | Med Const Lia | MEDICAL CONSTRUCTION LIAISON |
| 1805 | Plans/Ops/Med Int | PLANS/OPERATIONS/MEDICAL INTELLIGENCE |

APPENDIX C. MEDICAL SERVICE CORPS SPECIALTIES

Source: BUMIS, MED-5123, end May 93

APPENDIX D. BASIC PRINCIPLES AND DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES

Basic Principles for all MSC officers:

 Professional specialization in accordance with naval service needs, allowing progression to senior grade as required.

- Emphasis on Medical Department contingency capabilities in support of Navy and Marine Corps operations.
- · Early development of and continuous attention to communication skills-written, verbal, and "presence."

 Assignment progression based on diversity of function and level of responsibility from junior to senior officer grades.

 Continuous professional development through education, training, and active affiliation with professional societies.

 Continuous development of staff skills and leadership abilities through correspondence, special training coarses, service colleges, and varied assignments.

Development Objectives, Basic Phase:

· Develop basic naval officer skills through appropriate watch standing, collateral duties, and training programs.

 Gain broad base of professional specialty experience under qualified mentors and in subsequent independent study.

- · Serve in varied tours with Navy/Marine Corps operations, training, research, and fleet support activities.
- · Begin subspecialty tracking through training and job assignments.
- · Establish initial goals for naval career as Regular or Ready Reserve officer.

Development Objectives, Intermediate Phase:

 Achieve and certify subspecialty qualifications through completed training and job experience (e.g., licensure, AQDs, etc.).

- · Assume broader leadership responsibilities (e.g., OIC or department head, or "2XXX" general management).
- · Prepare for senior staff officer duties through service college, headquarters duty, or other special training.
- · Complete significant specialty utilization and leadership tour.

Development Objectives, Advanced and Senior Phases:

 Expand management responsibilities in professional area (e.g., Director of Administration, Director of Ancillary Services, or department head of larger activity); or

Execute program management responsibilities (e.g., research and development, education and training, occupational and preventive medicine, medical support activities); or

 Assume "2XXX" command or headquarters executive staff responsibilities (e.g., FMF, fleet, and Navy/Defense Department assignments).

APPENDIX E. MEDICAL SERVICE CORPS CAREER PLANNING CHART

| GRADE | YEARS | PHASE | ADMINISTRATIVE / 2XXX | CLINICAL (CONUTROCONUS) | OPERATIONAL | RESEARCH |
|---------|---------|--------------|---|--|---|--|
| FLG | 24-30 | эмпоэх | 1A Dimase, Mindeal Service Corps/ Assistant Clack, BILINED | | | |
| CAPT | 8-8 | I BOINES | 24.CO A. NO 4. NO 4. Derest 3. Derest 3. Derest 5. CO 5. CO | 28.00 SD Dept Manu | 2000 38 X0 40 010 98 HQ Sulf | 20:00 3C XO 5C Research Management |
| 8 | 16 · 22 | ADVANCED | ex x0 74 OIC 94 Administration 94 Administration 95 Deve Mean 95 Hig Saff | 68 XO SD Dryr Haad 92 Atet Drya Head | 6C X0 78 OIC 88 HQ Major Sulf 99 HQ Sulf | 40 CO TC 200 90 Research Mengeneer |
| LCDR | 6-16 | atakiampatni | IBA OIC 11.A Dept Read 12.A HQ Staff 13.A Dept Read PUMNS | 11B Dept Head 13B Dept Head 12UNS | 108 XO 11C Surr 13C Conpary Commenter | 13D Research Managenesis DUNS |
| ENS -LT | 1 - 10 | DISYO | 14A Assi Dopt Head | 148 Assa Depa Head 14C Assa Depa Head | HDSuff | HE Specially Tour |

APPENDIX F. EDUCATION AND TRAINING GOALS

Basic Phase (0-1/0-3)

Officer Indoctrination School, Newport, Rhode Island

Basic Military Short Courses/Correspondence Courses (e.g., Navy Regulations, UCMJ), Basic/Advanced Division Officer Course

Membership (active participation) in professional associations

Continuing education courses through professional organizations, academic institutions, correspondence courses, and self study

Short courses related to specialty or interest (e.g., C4 for those assigned to operational tours; FMSS; Financial and Material Management; Patient Administration Courses)

Commence preparation for advanced education or degree

Management Development Course

Graduate Medical Education (medical corps)

Intermediate Phase (O-4)

Continue specialty and leadership courses

Advanced Management Development Course (proposed)

Intermediate Leader Development Course

DUINS for specialty education or advanced degree

Expand participation in professional organizations to include publications and presentations

Intermediate service college

Armed Forces Staff College (AFSC)

Marine Corps Command and Staff College

Continue short courses related to specialty or interest (e.g., C4 for those assigned to operational tours; FMSS; Financial and Material Management; Patient Administration Courses)

Advanced Phase (O-5) and Senior Phase (O-6)

Continue educational courses and professional association participation to maintain current state-of-theart practices in specialty

Senior Leader Development Course

Strategic Medical Readiness Contingency Course (SMRCC)

Interagency Institute for Federal Health Care Executives

PCO/PXO Course for those enroute to Commanding Officer or Executive Officer positions

Command Leader Development Course

Industrial College of the Armed Forces (ICAF)

Naval War College

Senior Service College

Executive Training Program

Directors' Course (proposed)

Executive Phase (Selected O-6 and Flag)

Capstone Course

Executive Training Program

APPENDIX G. POSTGRADUATE EDUCATION PROGRAMS

| Course/Program | Length of Course | Annual Quota | мс | Avail: DC | ible to: MSC | NC |
|---|--|-----------------|----|--------------|-----------------|----|
| Anesthesia Program | 9 mos. Didactic 15 mos. Clinical | 12 | | | | x |
| Army-Baylor University Program in Health Care Administration | 52 wks. Diflactic 52 wks. Residency | 5 | | | x | x |
| Armed Forces Staff College (AFSC)' | 20-24 wks. | Varies | х | х | х | х |
| Blood Bank Fellowship | 52 wks. | 1 | | | x | |
| Education & Training Management | 12-18 mos. | Varies | | | х | х |
| Graduate Dental Education (GDE) | 1-4 yrs. | Varies | | х | | |
| Graduate Medical Education (GME) | 1-5 yrs. | Varies | х | | | |
| Graduate Outservice Programs for Subspecialties | Varies | Varies | | | x | x |
| Industrial College of the Armed Forces (ICAF) ¹ | 40 wks. | 2 | x | x | x | x |
| Marine Corps Command and Staff College | 43 wks. | 2 | x | x | x | х |
| Naval Postgraduate School | | | | | | |
| Computer Systems | 18 mos. | Varies | | | x | |
| Financial Management | 12-18 mos. | 2 | | | х | |
| Manpower, Personnel, and Training Analysis | 18-22 mos. | Varies | | | x | x |
| Material Logistics | 12-18 mos. | 2 | | | x | |
| Navai War College | | | | | | |
| Command and Staff | 10 mos. | 1 | x | х | x | х |
| Naval Warfare | 10 mos. | 1 | x | х | x | |
| Pharmacy Residency | 50 wks. | 2 | | | x | |

APPENDIX H. POSTGRADUATE EDUCATION PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

Anesthesia Program

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Betheada, Maryland; George Washington University, Washington, DC

Scope: Training for nurse corps officers leading to a Master of Science in Nurse Anesthesia and certification as a Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetist skills necessary to manage anesthesia department activities, teach other Medical Department personnel, and provide assistance in medical emergency situations.

Army-Baylor University Program in Health Care Administration

Location: Fort Sam Houston, Texas

Scope: Theories, concepts, and practices in the administration and organization of health care delivery systems; managerial tenets and tochniques fundamental to the effective administration of these systems, with emphases on the military hospital. Specific academic pereoquisities apply.

Armed Forces Staff College

Location: Norfolk, Virginia

Scope: Concepts and principles of joint and combined military operations, U.S. military capability and the environment in which it operates, formulation of sound decisions within the parameters of joint doctrine, and established staff practices. Designed for O-4O-5 officers.

Blood Bank Fellowship

Location: Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington, DC; Bowling Green University, Bowling Green, Kentucky

Scope: Preparation of medical technologists to be blood bank directors, including military blood banking, blood grouping, and blood transfusion.

Education and Training/Management

Location: Civilian universities designated by Commander, Naval Education and Training (CNET)

Scope: Management of education and training activities, curriculum development and evaluation, organizational development and personnel management, and applications of computer technology in the education and training arena.

Full-time Outservice Programs for Corps Subspecialties

Location: Accredited civilian colleges and universities

Scope: Undergraduate, graduate, and fellowship programs in corps-specific area of subspecialty based on the needs of the service.

Graduate Dental Education

Location: Naval Dental School, Bethesda, Maryland: naval hospitals; appropriate civilian dental schools.

Scope: Advanced training in general dentistry and most specialties, ranging from Lyear fellowships to 3-year residencies, Additional information is provided in chapter V.

Graduate Medical Education

Location: Naval hospitals with training missions; NAMI; NUMI; other federal institutions; appropriate civilian institutions

Scope: Entire range of formal graduate medical education for physicians; includes internships, flight surgeon and undersca medicine training, residency training in specializes, and fellowship training in subspecializes. Typical internship, residency, and fellowship training opportunitizes er summarized in appendix B, chapter IV.

Industrial College of the Armed Forces

Location: Fort Lesley J. McNair, Washington, DC

Scope: National security with emphasis on management of national resources under current and predicted environments; national and world interrelated military, economic, political, scientific, and social factors. Designed for O-S/O-6 officies.

Marine Corps Command and Staff School

Location: Marine Corps Development and Education Command, Quantico, Virginia

Scope: Planning and conduct of force-in-readiness operations by the Marine Air-Ground Task Force with emphasis on amphabious operations, leadership, effective communications, programming, budgeting, and the use of computers. Designed for 0-4 officers.

Naval Postgraduate School

Location: Monterey, California

Computer Systems

Scope: Evaluation of changes and advances in the management of computers; effective decision-making processes regarding the development and utilization of military computer-based systems. Specific prerequisites apply.

Financial Management

Scope: Financial management in the Armed Forces, inventory management, policy analysis, accounting theory and standards for financial control, cost accounting and estimation, internal control, and auditing. Specific academic perceptusites apply.

Manpower, Personnel and Training Analysis

Scope: Multivariate data analysis, personnel testing and selection, job analysis and personnel training, manpower conomics and requirements determination, and manpower/personnel models. Specific academic prerequisites apply.

Material Logistics Support

Scope: Logistics curricula specific to material or inventory management, logistics engineering, production management, contracts management and administration, systems acquisition, and project management.

Naval War College

Location: Newport, Rhode Island

College of Naval Command and Staff

Scope: Military planning and staff precedures with emphasis on the integration and employment of compus-

۱

platforms into total systems to obtain specific objectiver; physical properties and limits of sensors, weapons, and platforms and their relationship to the selection of tactical alternatives. A Master of Arts in National Security and Strategic Studies is awarded. Designed for O-4 officers.

College of Naval Warfare

Scope: Fundamentals of military strategy and foreign policy; political uses of military power; roles of both military and political leaders in policy formulation; military planning, and the conduct of war. A Master of Arts in National Security and Strategies Studies is awarded. Designed for 0-5X-0-6 officiers.

Pharmacy Residency

Location: Naval Hospital, Bethesda, Maryland; Naval Hospital, San Diego, California

Scope: Principles of clinical and administrative aspects of institutional pharmacy.

APPENDIX I. SHORT COURSES

| | Length of | Annual | | Ava | lable to: | |
|--|-----------|--------|----|-----|-----------|----|
| Course/Program | Course | Quota | MC | DC | MSC | NC |
| Casualty Treatment Training Course (CTTC) | 5 days | 20 | | x | | |
| Cold Weather Medicine | 3 wika. | Varies | х | х | х | х |
| Combat Casualty Care Course (C4) | 8 days | 800 | x | х | x' | х |
| Designing Effective Education Programs for Medical Department Personnel Workshop | i wk | 40 | x | x | x | x |
| Financial & Material Management | 12 wks. | 30 | | | х | |
| Interagency Institute for Federal Health Care Executives | 2 wks. | 18 | х | x | x | x |
| Leader Development | | | | | | |
| Command | 2 wiks. | 40 | x | х | x | х |
| Senior | 2 wks. | 144 | x | x | x | х |
| Intermediate | 2 wks. | 360 | x | x | x | x |
| Manugement Development Course (MANDEV) | 2 wks. | 155 | x | x | x | x |
| Manpower Management | l wk. | 30 | | | x | |
| Medical Effects of Nuclear Weapons | 5 days | Varies | x | x | x | х |
| Medical Management of Chemical Casualties | 3 days | Varies | x | x | x | х |
| Medical Regulating | l wk. | 30 | | | х | |
| Operating Forces Management Seminar (OFMS) | 1 wk. | Varies | | x | | |
| Operating Room Nurse Orientation | 6 wks. | Varies | | | | х |
| Operational Entomology | lwk. | 20 | x | | x | |
| Patient Services Administration | 4 wks. | 30 | | | × | |
| Plans, Operations and Medical Intelligence (POMI) | 2 wks. | 60 | | | x | |
| Practical Comptrollership | 2 wks. | Varies | | | x | |

²MSC officers serve as tactical officers, rather than students.

| | Length of | Annual | | Avails | able to: | |
|---|-----------|--------|----|--------|----------|----|
| Course/Program | Course | Quota | MC | DC | MSC | NC |
| Professional Military Comptroller | 8 wks. | 3 | | | х | |
| Prospective Commanding Officer/ Executive Officer (PCO/PXO) | 3 wks. | Varies | x | x | x | x |
| Quality Assurance/Risk Management (QA/RM) | 1 wk. | 25 | x | x | x | x |
| Strategic Medical Readiness and Contingency Course (SMRCC) | 2 wks. | 100 | x | x | x | x |
| Surface Warfare Medical Officer Indoctrination Course (SWMOIC) | 3-4 wks. | Varies | х | | | |
| Tropical Medicine | 6 wks. | 24 | х | | | |

APPENDIX J. SHORT COURSE DESCRIPTIONS

Casualty Treatment Training

Location: Naval Dental Centers, Norfolk, Virginia: Great Lakes, Illinois; San Diego, California; Pearl Harbor, Hawaji

Scope: Casualty treatment training for recently appointed dental officers and refresher training for career dental officers.

Cold Weather Medicine

Location: Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center, Bridgeport, California

Scope: Survival and providing medical care in the arctic environment; principles of perception; early recognition and treatment of cold weather injuries/ilnesses. Includes strenuous, realistic training.

Combat Casualty Care Course (C4)

Location: Academy of Health Sciences, Fort Sam Houston, San Antonio, Texas

Scope: Initial management of casualties in high-intensity conflict at forward points in the casualty care system; leadership and decision making; tactical aspects of combat. Academically and physically demanding field trausing; primarily for physicians and detusts; triscrice.

Designing Effective Education Programs for Medical Department Personnel Workshop (DEEPMEDDEP)

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland

Scope: Provides Medical Department officers serving in education billets with the requisite educational knowledge and skills essential for planning, coordinating, conducting, and evaluating sound training programs.

Financial and Material Management

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland

Scope: Basic overview of financial and material management at activities and DOD levels; audit and internal review, activity budget formulation and execution; capital budgeting and property management; Nary Stock Fund; automated data protesting systems: and apply operatore and parthasing. Designed for health are administration MSCs entering financial management or supply positions. Basic understanding of accounting, business mathematics; and stantistics are provenguisted.

Interagency Institute for Federal Health Care Executives

Location: Washington, DC (area)

Scope: Examines current issues in national bealth care policy and management and explores potential impact on foderal health care systems; provides interaction of senior health care executives of Air Force, Army, Navy, Public Health Service, and Veterans Administration with course civilian and DOD faculty.

Leader Development Courses (formerly LMET)

Scope: Development of sequential levels of leadership and management skills at specific career points through problem solving, situational exploration, and familiarization with competencies needed for outstanding performance.

Command Leader Development

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland

Operating Forces Management Seminar

Location: Navai Dentai School, Bethesda, Maryland

Scope: Principles unique to management of a dental care delivery system in the fleet (e.g., shipboard supply procedures, legal issues, current policies).

Operating Room Nurse Orientation

Location: Naval Hospital, Charleston, South Carolina

Scope: Orientation to perioperative nursing: operating room environment, procedures, instruments, and equipment: aseptic technique; and nursing management of surgical suites. Graduates will be assigned as an operating room staff nurse.

Operational Entomology

Location: Navy Disease Vector Ecology Control Centers, Alameda, California; Jacksonville, Florida

Scope: Advanced training in vector-borne disease profiles, field epidemiological principles for vector-borne diseases, contingency vector control principles, ground vector control operations and equipment, aerial dispersal, vector surveillance techniques, and contingency planning and problem solving.

Patient Services Administration

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland

Scope: Principles of management of patient services programs focused on alternative federal and civilian health care services; health benefits programs and beneficiaries, audits, quality assurance, patient disposition, and decedent affars.

Plans, Operation, and Medical Intelligence (POMI)

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland

Scope: Introduces or updates knowledge and skills required to plan, implement, and monitor command readiness programs; coordinate and execute command medical support for contingency operations (e.g., MMARTs, CMCHS). Destruct for incumbents of POMI billets. SECRET clearance required.

Practical Comptrollership

Location: Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California

Scope: Overview of all facets of comptrollership: accounting, budgeting, planning, internal review, management evaluation, and performance. For incumbents or those going to financial management billets.

Professional Military Comptroller

Location: Air University Leadership and Management Development Center, Maxwell Air Force Base, Montgomery, Alabama

Scope: A broad, general course emphasizing theoretical, legal, and management concerns within a comptroller organization.

Prospective Commanding Officer/Prospective Executive Officer (PCO/PXO) Shore Station Management

Location: Washington, DC (area) conducted by BUPERS

Scope: For commanding officers and executive officers.

Senior Leader Development

Location: Variable, local area

Scope: For OICs, directors of hospital services, heads of major departments, others in significant supervisory positions.

Intermediate Leader Development

Location: Various locations

Scope: For heads of small departments, division officers, other supervisors at O-3/O-4 level.

Management Development (MANDEV)

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland

Scope: Theory and practice of basic management principles as applied to the Navy health care system; realistic doction making exercises. Primarily for officers whose duties have been clinical but are gaining more management responsibility (0-2/20-5).

Manpower Management

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland

Scope: Basic instruction in the technical aspects of both military and civilian manpower management functions including Uniform Staffing Methodologies, Commercial Activities and the Navy Manpower Engineering Program.

Medical Effects of Nuclear Weapons

Location: Various locations

Scope: Conducted by the Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute (AFRRI), Bethesda, Maryland. Familiarity with history, biomedical effects, and basic principles of fatoclear weapons: principles of fallout, acute rolation syndrome, electromagnetic rolation, and diagnosis and reatment of rolation casualities.

Medical Management of Chemical Casualties

Location: Biomedical Laboratory, Chemical Systems Laboratory (Edgewood Area), Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland

Scope: Principles of management and treatment of acute chemical warfare agent injuries. For officers, especially physicians and nurses, assigned to areas or contingency units with greatest potential for managing chemical casualizes.

Medical Regulating

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland, and other sites

Scope: Instruction in the functions and responsibilities of coordinating and controlling the evacuation and movement of patients through the various levels of medical support including operational communication procedures. Scope: Principles of managing personnel, financial, and facility resources of major shore establishments. PCOs/PXOs usually attend enroute to permanent duty station.

Quality Assurance/Risk Management

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland

Scope: In-depth analysis of BUMED and JCAH standards and methods of developing viable assessment tools and performance standards required to implement and sustain a QA/RM program at the activity level. Designed for incumbent or proprocever QA/RM coordinators.

Strategic Medical Readiness and Contingency Course

Location: Naval School of Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland

Scope: Examines policy development at National, Department of Defence, Navy and Marine Corps, and Medical Department tertific includes overrises of rational and intermaticinal accouncie and political analysis, junit command organizations, and organizational and operational doctrine and plans. Designed for O-SrO-6 officers; SECRET clearance required.

Surface Warfare Medical Officer Indoctrination Course (SWMOIC)

Location: Naval Schools of Health Sciences, Portsmouth, Virginia; San Diego, California

Scope: To provide Medical Officers newly assigned to surface ships the opportunity to acquire skills in shipboard operauons, department head duties, preventive medicine, and clinical aspects of medical practice at sea.

Tropical Medicine

Location: Naval Hospital Roosevelt Roads, Puerto Rico

Scope: Clinical and research aspects of tropical (malarial, diarrheal, parasitic, viral, and nutritional) diseases: practical field experience with the modifying influence of a tropical environment on diseases prevalent in temperate zones.

APPENDIX K. CATEGORIZATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL POSITION

| COHORT | RESPONSES |
|--------------------|--|
| Conmanding Officer | Surgeon General Commanding Officer Officer-in-Charge |
| Executive Officer | Executive Officer |
| Director | Director for Administration Director for Ancillary Services Director for Branch Clinics Director for Coordinated Care Policy Director for Coordinated Care Policy Director for Logistics Director for Logistics Director for Neath Services Director for Nursing Services Director for Occupations Health Director for Resources, Plans, 4 Policy Director for Services Molican Director for Berlal Labs Director for Services Director for Services Molican Director for Services Molican Molican Director Molican Services |
| Department Read | Department Mead Comptroller |
| Operational Forces | Division Surgeon Director Undersea Medicine Fleet Lision Officer Fleet Surgeon Force Surgeon Wing Medical Officer |
| other | Amethasiologiat Assignment Officer Assistant CRB Defames Assistant CRM Defames Assistant Naval Impector General MURD Division OffiAmiyes Division OffiAmiyes Climic Diventor Climic Diventor Climic Diventor Climic Diventor Climic Diventor Climic Diventor Climic Diventor Divector Aerospace Medical Division/BUMEE Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defames |

86

Deputy Chief Medical Corps Deputy Director/Non-Hospital Deputy Director Nurse Corps Director Dental Clinic Director Health Care Planning/BUHED Dental Officer Director Officer Indoctrination School Director Officer Indoctrination School Director Pianning/SUMED Director Professional Development/BUMED Director Rediobiology Research Institute Director Tropical Public Maslth Environmental Maslth Officer Epidemiologist Force Master Chief Medical Corps Detailer Medical Flag Officer MSC Career Plans Officer/BUMED Medical Services Officers Nurse Corps Plans Officer Navy Liaison OCHAMPUS Professor Obstatrics and Gynacology Oral Surgeon Physician's Assistant Program Manager Specialty Advisor Special Assistant Evaluations Special Assistant Headquarters Staff Senior Nurse IG Team Staff Audiologist Staff Physician Student Surgeon Total Quality Leadership Coordinator

APPENDIX L. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS, BY MEDICAL COMMUNITY

| | n=422 | n=93 | n=29 | n=153 | n=50 | n=97 |
|-----|------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------|
| | OVERALL | HCA | AH | MC | NC | DC |
| | G | ENERAL | MANAG | EMENT | | |
| | 1. DECISIO | ON MAKI | NG/ PRO | BLEM S | OLVING | |
| Q7 | 34.6 | 49.5 | 31 | 32 | 18 | 34 |
| Q13 | 41 | 54.8 | 41.4 | 41.8 | 22 | 36.1 |
| Q14 | 58 | 74.2 | 58.6 | 52 | 44 | 55.7 |
| Q15 | 44 | 57 | 58.6 | 39.5 | 46 | 37.1 |
| Q20 | 37 | 43 | 31 | 40.5 | 22 | 37.1 |
| AVG | 42.9 | 55.7 | 44.1 | 41.2 | 30.4 | 40 |
| | | 2. COM | MUNICA | TIONS | | |
| Q34 | 43 | 48.8 | 37.9 | 39.2 | 38 | 46.4 |
| Q53 | 51 | 53.8 | 58.6 | 51.6 | 44 | 47.4 |
| Q54 | 44 | 45.2 | 48.3 | 39.9 | 46 | 40.2 |
| Q55 | 41 | 46.2 | 44.8 | 41.8 | 32 | 32 |
| Q56 | 44 | 44.1 | 41.4 | 46.4 | 52 | 38.1 |
| Q57 | 43 | 43 | 51.7 | 41.4 | 46 | 40.2 |
| Q58 | 50 | 50.5 | 55.2 | 49 | 54 | 44.3 |
| Q59 | 55 | 57 | 65.5 | 57.5 | 50 | 52.6 |
| Q60 | 43 | 51.1 | 58.6 | 40.5 | 42 | 35.1 |
| AVG | 46 | 48.9 | 51.3 | 45.3 | 44.9 | 41.8 |
| | 3. | QUANT | ATIVE A | NALYSIS | | |
| Q17 | 35 | 40.9 | 37.9 | 36.2 | 12.2 | 34 |
| Q18 | 31 | 39.8 | 34.5 | 32.9 | 12 | 24.7 |
| AVG | 33 | 40.4 | 36.2 | 34.6 | 12.1 | 29.4 |
| | 4. INI | ORMAT | ION MA | NAGEME | NT | |
| Q16 | 22 | 31.2 | 27.6 | 21.7 | 6 | 17.5 |
| Q19 | 31 | 47.3 | 27.6 | 30.7 | 18 | 25.8 |
| AVG | 26.5 | 39.3 | 27.6 | 26.2 | 12 | 21.7 |
| | | 5. MANA | GING Q | UALITY | | |
| Q11 | 39 | 43 | 34.5 | 43.8 | 22 | 36.1 |
| | 6 | . STRAT | EGIC PL | ANNING | | |

| Q8 | 26 | 35.5 | 27.6 | 23.5 | 14 | 22.7 | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|------|--|
| Q10 | 29 | 47.3 | 17.2 | 22.5 | 14 | 27.8 | |
| AVG | 27.5 | 41.4 | 22.4 | 23 | 14 | 25.3 | |
| 7. SYSTEMS PERSPECTIVE | | | | | | | |
| Q12 | 50 | 64.5 | 44.8 | 41.2 | 49 | 46.4 | |
| Q33 | 52 | 64.5 | 51.7 | 45.8 | 38 | 53.6 | |
| Q36 | 59 | 65.2 | 65.5 | 58.2 | 42.6 | 64.2 | |
| AVG | 53.7 | 64.7 | 54 | 48.4 | 43.2 | 54.7 | |
| | HEALTH RESOURSES MANAGEMENT | | | | | | |
| | 1. | FINANCIA | L MANA | GEMEN | г | | |
| Q1 | 29 | 52.7 | 20.7 | 24.3 | 12 | 21.9 | |
| Q2 | 30 | 49.5 | 27.6 | 22.9 | 14 | 27.8 | |
| Q3 | 33 | 51.6 | 34.5 | 21.7 | 18 | 30.9 | |
| Q5 | 37 | 43 | 48.3 | 29.6 | 22 | 40.9 | |
| Q6 | 32 | 49.5 | 34.5 | 24.8 | 16 | 28.9 | |
| AVG | 32.2 | 49.3 | 33.1 | 24.7 | 16.4 | 30.1 | |
| | 2. P | ERSONN | EL MAN | AGEMEN | т | | |
| Q47 | 32 | 53.8 | 24.1 | 24.8 | 30 | 22.7 | |
| Q48 | 49 | 67.7 | 48.3 | 35.3 | 44 | 45.4 | |
| Q49 | 42 | 54.3 | 37.9 | 32.7 | 42 | 45.4 | |
| AVG | 41 | 58.6 | 36.8 | 30.9 | 38.7 | 37.8 | |
| | 3.1 | MATERIA | _S MAN/ | AGEMEN | т | | |
| Q28 | 37 | 47.3 | 31 | 37.3 | 22 | 37.1 | |
| Q29 | 32 | 54.8 | 27.6 | 21.7 | 12 | 28.1 | |
| Q30 | 43 | 69.9 | 34.5 | 32 | 34 | 42.3 | |
| AVG | 37.3 | 57.3 | 31 | 30.3 | 22.7 | 35.8 | |
| 4 | . PRODUC | TIVITY/O | итсом | ES MANA | GEMEN | т | |
| Q9 | 31 | 45.2 | 27.6 | 24.2 | 20 | 28.9 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 5. | FACILITIE | S MANA | GEMEN | г | | |
| Q27 | 34 | 31.2 | 58.6 | 35.9 | 24 | 32 | |
| Q31 | 43 | 63.4 | 44.8 | 34.6 | 30 | 40.2 | |
| Q32 | 44 | 62.4 | 48.3 | 35.3 | 40 | 40.2 | |
| AVG | 40.3 | 52.3 | 50.6 | 35.3 | 31.3 | 37.5 | |

| ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|------|
| 1. GROUP DYNAMICS | | | | | | |
| Q37 | 55 | 58.1 | 50 | 54.2 | 52 | 53.6 |
| Q40 | 49 | 51.6 | 53.6 | 49 | 46 | 44.3 |
| Q41 | 44 | 47.3 | 37.9 | 42.5 | 42 | 48.5 |
| Q51 | 48 | 61.3 | 48.3 | 41.2 | 40 | 46.4 |
| AVG | 49 | 54.6 | 47.5 | 46.7 | 45 | 48.2 |
| | 2 | . INDIVID | UAL BEH | AVIOR | | |
| Q35 | 54 | 62.4 | 55.2 | 51 | 38 | 52.6 |
| Q42 | 41 | 45.2 | 48.3 | 37.9 | 40 | 38.1 |
| Q44 | 51 | 52.7 | 51.7 | 48.4 | 54 | 49.5 |
| Q46 | 48 | 49.5 | 41.4 | 49.3 | 36 | 51.5 |
| AVG | 48.5 | 52.5 | 49.2 | 46.7 | 42 | 47.9 |
| 3. ORGANIZATIONAL DESIGN | | | | | | |
| Q43 | 44 | 47.3 | 51.7 | 40.5 | 46 | 40.2 |
| Q45 | 47 | 57 | 48.3 | 40.5 | 24 | 51.5 |
| AVG | 45.5 | 52.2 | 50 | 40.5 | 35 | 45.9 |
| 4. LABOR / MANAGEMENT RELATIONS | | | | | | |
| Q50 | 38 | 45.2 | 24.1 | 20.9 | 18 | 27.1 |
| | 5. | CONFLIC | CT RESC | LUTION | | |
| Q39 | 47 | 43 | 34.5 | 32 | 36 | 38.1 |
| | 6. MANA | GING CH | ANGE / | TECHNO | LOGY | |
| Q38 | 45 | 45.2 | 48.3 | 43.1 | 44 | 44.3 |
| ALT | ERNATIVE | HEALTH | CARE | DELIVER | Y SYSTE | MS |
| 1. AL | TERNATIV | E HEALT | H CARE | DELIVER | RY SYS | rems |
| Q4 | 30 | 35.5 | 37.9 | 29.6 | 12 | 34.7 |
| | HEAL | TH CARE | E LAW A | ND POLI | CY | |
| | | 1. LEC | GAL ISSU | JES | | |
| Q21 | 47 | 60.2 | 34.5 | 40.1 | 26 | 53.6 |
| Q22 | 52 | 62.4 | 44.8 | 43.8 | 34 | 60.8 |
| Q23 | 50 | 61.3 | 48.3 | 41.8 | 36 | 50.5 |
| Q24 | 45 | 60.2 | 41.4 | 37.9 | 40 | 41.2 |
| Q25 | 49 | 48.4 | 27.6 | 43.8 | 34 | 28.9 |
| Q26 | 32 | 40.9 | 51.7 | 34.6 | 22 | 24.7 |
| AVG | 45.8 | 55.6 | 41.4 | 40.3 | 32 | 43.3 |
| | | 2. | ETHICS | | | |
| Q52 | 55 | 58.1 | 58.6 | 53.6 | 48 | 58.8 |

| APPENDIX M. | TABLE OF | EDUCATIONAL | BACKGROUND | VARIABLES |
|-------------|----------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| | | | | |

| | n=93 | n=30 | n=50 | n=154 | n=97 | |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|------|-------|------|--------|
| EDUCATION | MSC/HCA | MSC/AH | NC | MC | DC | TOTALS |
| DOD POSTGRAD | | | | | | |
| ARMED FORCES STAFF | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| IND COL ARM FOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 9 |
| NPS | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| ARMY BAYLOR | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| NWC | 13 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 20 |
| MC CSC | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| OTHER | 20 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 37 |
| TRAD GRAD MAN | | | | | | |
| MHA | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| MPH | 4 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 41 |
| MBA | 23 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 28 |
| BS/HCA | 20 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| BBA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| OTHER | 26 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 53 |
| NON TRAD MAN | | | | | | |
| UNIV WISC-MAD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PIM SERIES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 |
| MEP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| UNIV NC KRON SCHOLAR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CORNELL HEDP | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | з | 12 |
| J&J WHARTON NURSES | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| ESTES PARK SEMINAR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OTHER | 10 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 32 |
| | SERVICE SH | IORT COUF | RSES | | | |
| PROS CO/XO | 24 | 7 | 5 | 42 | 29 | 107 |
| INTER INST FHCE | 15 | 3 | 15 | 27 | 22 | 82 |
| LMET | 64 | 18 | 38 | 101 | 88 | 309 |
| COMMAND | 27 | 8 | 5 | 41 | 41 | 122 |
| SENIOR | 34 | 7 | 29 | 63 | 67 | 200 |

| INTERMEDIATE | 30 | 10 | 14 | 38 | 28 | 120 |
|--------------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| STRAT MRC | 48 | 15 | 31 | 89 | 67 | 250 |
| MAN DEV | 10 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 47 |
| FIN & MAT MAN | 16 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| PAT SVC ADMIN | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| PLAN OPS MED INT | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| MAN MGT | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| PROF MIL COMPT | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| SEN LEAD SEM (TQL) | 45 | 8 | 22 | 60 | 40 | 175 |
| OTHER | 20 | 8 | 11 | 32 | 13 | 84 |

APPENDIX N. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR HCA COHORT BY "SOME," VERSUS "NONE" MANAGEMENT EDUCATION

| ONLY MSC (HCA) COHORT | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| SOME VS NO MGT EDUCATION | | | | | | |
| | n=23 | n=70 | | | | |
| | NONE | SOME | | | | |
| GENE | GENERAL MANAGEMENT | | | | | |
| 1. DECIS | ION MAKING/ F SOLVING | PROBLEM | | | | |
| Q7 | 47.8 | 50 | | | | |
| Q13 | 56.5 | 54.3 | | | | |
| Q14 | 87 | 70 | | | | |
| Q15 | 69.6 | 52.9 | | | | |
| Q20 | 60.9 | 37.1 | | | | |
| AVG | 64.4 | 52.9 | | | | |
| 2.0 | 2. COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | |
| Q34 | 56.5 | 45.7 | | | | |
| Q53 | 60.9 | 51.4 | | | | |
| Q54 | 43.5 | 45.7 | | | | |
| Q55 | 56.5 | 42.9 | | | | |
| Q56 | 52.2 | 41.4 | | | | |
| Q57 | 52.2 | 40 | | | | |
| Q58 | 60.9 | 47.1 | | | | |
| Q59 | 73.9 | 51.4 | | | | |
| Q60 | 60.9 | 47.8 | | | | |
| AVG | 57.5 | 45.9 | | | | |
| 3. QU | ANTATIVE ANA | ALYSIS | | | | |
| Q17 | 43.5 | 40 | | | | |
| Q18 | 39.1 | 40 | | | | |
| AVG | 41.3 | 40 | | | | |
| 4. INFOF | MATION MANA | AGEMENT | | | | |
| Q16 | 30.4 | 31.4 | | | | |
| Q19 | 52.2 | 45.7 | | | | |
| AVG | 41.3 | 38.6 | | | | |

| 5. N | ANAGING QUA | LITY | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Q11 | 43.5 | 42.9 | | |
| 6. STRATEGIC PLANNING | | | | |
| Q8 | 34.8 | 35.7 | | |
| Q10 | 60.9 | 42.9 | | |
| AVG | 47.8 | 39.3 | | |
| 7. SYSTEMS PERSPECTIVE | | | | |
| Q12 | 73.9 | 61.4 | | |
| Q33 | 60.9 | 65.7 | | |
| Q36 | 78.3 | 60.9 | | |
| AVG | 71 | 62.7 | | |
| HEALTH RESOURSES MANAGEMENT | | | | |
| 1. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT | | | | |
| Q1 | 56.5 | 51.4 | | |
| Q2 | 47.8 | 50 | | |
| Q3 | 47.8 | 52.9 | | |
| Q5 | 34.8 | 45.7 | | |
| Q6 | 52.2 | 48.6 | | |
| AVG | 47.8 | 49.7 | | |
| 2. PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | | | | |
| Q47 | 43.5 | 57.1 | | |
| Q48 | 82.6 | 62.9 | | |
| Q49 | 60.9 | 52.2 | | |
| AVG | 62.3 | 57.4 | | |
| 3. MATERIALS MANAGEMENT | | | | |
| Q28 | 56.5 | 44.3 | | |
| Q29 | 52.2 | 55.7 | | |
| Q30 | 69.6 | 70 | | |
| AVG | 59.4 | 56.7 | | |
| 4. PRO | DUCTIVITY/OU MANAGEMEN | TCOMES | | |
| Q9 | 34.8 | 48.6 | | |
| 5. FACILITIES MANAGEMENT | | | | |
| Q27 | 43.5 | 27.1 | | |
| Q31 | 65.2 | 62.9 | | |
| Q32 | 60.9 | 62.9 | | |
| AVG | 56,5 | 51 | | |

| ORGAN | IZATIONAL BE | HAVIOR |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 1.0 | ROUP DYNAM | lics |
| Q37 | 69.6 | 54.3 |
| Q40 | 73.9 | 44.3 |
| Q41 | 78.3 | 37.1 |
| Q51 | 65.2 | 60 |
| AVG | 71.7 | 48.9 |
| 2. INI | DIVIDUAL BEH | AVIOR |
| Q35 | 87 | 54.3 |
| Q42 | 60.9 | 40 |
| Q44 | 69.6 | 47.1 |
| Q46 | 65.2 | 44.3 |
| AVG | 70.7 | 46.4 |
| 3. ORG | ANIZATIONAL | DESIGN |
| Q43 | 56.5 | 44.3 |
| Q45 | 78.3 | 50 |
| AVG | 67.4 | 47.1 |
| 4. LABOR / I | MANAGEMENT | RELATIONS |
| Q50 | 43.5 | 45.7 |
| 5. CO | NFLICT RESOL | UTION |
| Q39 | 60.9 | 37.1 |
| 6. MANAGIN | G CHANGE / T | ECHNOLOGY |
| Q38 | 52.2 | 42.9 |
| ALTERNATIV | E HEALTH CAI SYSTEMS | RE DELIVERY |
| 1. ALTEF DE | NATIVE HEAL | TH CARE MS |
| Q4 | 39.1 | 34.3 |
| HEALTH | CARE LAW AN | D POLICY |
| 1 | LEGAL ISSUE | s |
| Q21 | 56.5 | 61.4 |
| Q22 | 60.9 | 62.9 |
| Q23 | 65.2 | 60 |
| Q24 | 60.9 | 60 |
| Q25 | 56.5 | 45.7 |
| Q26 | 43.5 | 40 |
| AVG | 57.2 | 55 |
| 1 | 2. ETHICS | |
|-----|-----------|------|
| Q52 | 65.2 | 55.7 |

APPENDIX O. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR NUMBER OF SHORT COURSES TAKEN FOR HCA COHORT

| NUMBERS OF SHORT COURSES TAKEN | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| N=93 | n=27 | n=42 | n=24 | |
| | 0 to 2 | 3 to 4 | 5 or more | |
| | GENERAL M | ANAGEMENT | | |
| 1. DEC | ISION MAKING | PROBLEM S | DLVING | |
| Q7 | 59.3 | 33.3 | 66.7 | |
| Q13 | 63 | 45.2 | 62.5 | |
| Q14 | 85.2 | 61.9 | 83.3 | |
| Q15 | 59.3 | 45.2 | 75 | |
| Q20 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 45.8 | |
| AVG | 64.4 | 43.8 | 66.7 | |
| | 2. COMMU | NICATIONS | | |
| Q34 | 48.2 | 33.3 | 75 | |
| Q53 | 55.6 | 47.6 | 62.5 | |
| Q54 | 51.9 | 45.2 | 37.5 | |
| Q55 | 48.2 | 38.1 | 58.3 | |
| Q56 | 44.4 | 42.9 | 45.8 | |
| Q57 | 40.7 | 33.3 | 62.5 | |
| Q58 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 75 | |
| Q59 | 63 | 47.6 | 66.7 | |
| Q60 | 48.2 | 46.3 | 62.5 | |
| AVG | 50.6 | 40.9 | 60.6 | |
| | 3. QUANTATI | VE ANALYSIS | | |
| Q17 | 51.9 | 28.6 | 50 | |
| Q18 | 48.2 | 26.2 | 54.2 | |
| AVG | 50 | 27.4 | 52.1 | |
| 4. | INFORMATION | N MANAGEME | NT | |
| Q16 | 33.3 | 31 | 29.2 | |
| Q19 | 40.7 | 45.2 | 58.3 | |
| AVG | 37 | 38.1 | 43.8 | |
| | 5. MANAGIN | NG QUALITY | | |
| Q11 | 33.3 | 40.5 | 58.3 | |

| 6. STRATEGIC PLANNING | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|---|--|
| Q8 | 37.1 | 26.2 | 50 | |
| Q10 | 48.2 | 38.1 | 62.5 | |
| AVG | 42.6 | 32.1 | 56.3 | |
| | 7. SYSTEMS | PERSPECTIVE | | |
| Q12 | 66.7 | 52.4 | 83.3 | |
| Q33 | 63 | 57.1 | 79.2 | |
| Q36 | 70.4 | 56.1 | 75 | |
| AVG | 66.7 | 55.2 | 79.2 | |
| HE | ALTH RESOURS | ES MANAGEM | IENT | |
| | 1. FINANCIAL | MANAGEMENT | - | |
| Q1 | 66.7 | 38.1 | 62.5 | |
| Q2 | 59.3 | 40.5 | 54.2 | |
| Q3 | 59.3 | 38.1 | 66.7 | |
| Q5 | 40.7 | 35.7 | 58.3 | |
| Q6 | 59.3 | 38.1 | 58.3 | |
| AVG | 57 | 38.1 | 60 | |
| | 2. PERSONNEL | MANAGEMEN | т | |
| Q47 | 48.2 | 45.2 | 75 | |
| Q48 | 70.4 | 57.1 | 83.3 | |
| Q49 | 59.3 | 42.9 | 69.6 | |
| AVG | 59.3 | 48.4 | 76 | |
| | 3. MATERIALS | MANAGEMEN | г | |
| Q28 | 55.6 | 35.7 | 58.3 | |
| Q29 | 48.2 | 52.4 | 66.7 | |
| Q30 | 74.1 | 57.1 | 87.5 | |
| AVG | 59.3 | 48.4 | 70.8 | |
| 4. PRC | DUCTIVITY/OUT | COMES MANA | GEMENT | |
| Q9 | 40.7 | 33.3 | 70.8 | |
| | 5. FACILITIES | MANAGEMENT | r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | |
| Q27 | 25.9 | 23.8 | 50 | |
| Q31 | 70.4 | 50 | 79.2 | |
| Q32 | 70.4 | 45.2 | 83.3 | |
| AVG | 55.6 | 39.7 | 70.8 | |
| | ORGANIZATION | NAL BEHAVIOR | 1 | |
| | 1. GROUP | DYNAMICS | | |
| Q37 | 63 | 50 | 66.7 | |

| Q40 | 51.9 | 45.2 | 62.5 |
|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Q41 | 44.4 | 40.5 | 62.5 |
| Q51 | 70.4 | 54.8 | 62.5 |
| AVG | 57.4 | 47.6 | 63.5 |
| | 2. INDIVIDUA | AL BEHAVIOR | |
| Q35 | 74.1 | 50 | 70.8 |
| Q42 | 40.7 | 38.1 | 62.5 |
| Q44 | 48.2 | 50 | 62.5 |
| Q46 | 59.3 | 40.5 | 54.2 |
| AVG | 55.6 | 44.6 | 62.5 |
| | 3. ORGANIZAT | IONAL DESIG | N |
| Q43 | 48.2 | 38.1 | 62.5 |
| Q45 | 51.9 | 45.2 | 83.3 |
| AVG | 50 | 41.7 | 72.9 |
| 4. LA | BOR / MANAG | EMENT RELAT | IONS |
| Q50 | 44.4 | 35.7 | 62.5 |
| | 5. CONFLICT | RESOLUTION | |
| Q39 | 40.7 | 35.7 | 58.3 |
| 6. MA | ANAGING CHAP | NGE / TECHNO | LOGY |
| Q38 | 44.4 | 38.1 | 58.3 |
| ALTERNAT | IVE HEALTH C | ARE DELIVER | Y SYSTEMS |
| 1. ALTERNA | TIVE HEALTH | CARE DELIVER | RY SYSTEMS |
| Q4 | 44.4 | 23.8 | 45.8 |
| н | EALTH CARE L | AW AND POLI | CY |
| | 1. LEGA | L ISSUES | |
| Q21 | 63 | 57.1 | 62.5 |
| Q22 | 63 | 59.5 | 66.7 |
| Q23 | 59.3 | 57.1 | 70.8 |
| Q24 | 48.2 | 59.5 | 75 |
| Q25 | 48.2 | 42.9 | 58.3 |
| Q26 | 37 | 38.1 | 50 |
| AVG | 53.1 | 52.4 | 63.9 |
| | 2. E1 | THICS | |
| Q52 | 63 | 50 | 66.7 |

| YEARS IN A MANAGEMENT POSITION | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|---------|
| N=93 | n=22 | n=29 | n=25 | n=17 |
| | 0 TO 10 | 10.5 TO 15 | 16 TO 20 | |
| | | | | OVER 20 |
| | GENE | ERAL MANAGEN | IENT | |
| | ISION MAR | 1. DEC (ING/ PROBLEM | SOLVING | |
| Q7 | 31.8 | 44.8 | 60 | 64.7 |
| Q13 | 50 | 62.1 | 52 | 52.9 |
| Q14 | 81.8 | 79.3 | 52 | 88.2 |
| Q15 | 59.1 | 62.1 | 48 | 58.8 |
| Q20 | 31.8 | 55.2 | 32 | 52.9 |
| AVG | 50.9 | 60.7 | 48.8 | 63.5 |
| | 2. 0 | COMMUNICATIC | NS | |
| Q34 | 45.5 | 41.4 | 48 | 64.7 |
| Q53 | 59.1 | 62.1 | 48 | 41.2 |
| Q54 | 36.4 | 58.6 | 44 | 35.3 |
| Q55 | 50 | 44.8 | 48 | 41.2 |
| Q56 | 40.9 | 44.8 | 52 | 35.3 |
| Q57 | 54.6 | 37.9 | 40 | 41.2 |
| Q58 | 50 | 51.7 | 48 | 52.9 |
| Q59 | 50 | 65.5 | 56 | 52.9 |
| Q60 | 45.5 | 53.6 | 56 | 47.1 |
| AVG | 48 | 51.2 | 48.9 | 45.8 |
| | 3. QU | ANTATIVE ANA | LYSIS | |
| Q17 | 31.8 | 37.9 | 56 | 35.3 |
| Q18 | 22.7 | 44.8 | 44 | 47.1 |
| AVG | 27.3 | 41.4 | 50 | 41.2 |
| | 4. INFOR | RMATION MANA | GEMENT | |
| Q16 | 31.8 | 27.6 | 36 | 29.4 |
| Q19 | 54.6 | 44.8 | 40 | 52.9 |
| AVG | 43.2 | 36.2 | 38 | 41.2 |

APPENDIX P. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR YEARS IN A MANGEMENT POSITION FOR HCA COHORT

| 5. MANAGING QUALITY | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------|
| Q11 | 36.4 | 41.4 | 36 | 64.7 |
| | 6. ST | RATEGIC PLAN | NING | |
| Q8 | 22.7 | 37.9 | 44 | 35.3 |
| Q10 | 40.9 | 44.8 | 44 | 64.7 |
| AVG | 31.8 | 41.4 | 44 | 50 |
| | 7. SYS | TEMS PERSPE | ECTIVE | |
| Q12 | 63.6 | 69 | 56 | 70.6 |
| Q33 | 54.6 | 58.6 | 68 | 82.4 |
| Q36 | 59.1 | 69 | 60 | 75 |
| AVG | 59.1 | 65.5 | 61.3 | 76 |
| | HEALTH RE | SOURSES MA | NAGEMENT | |
| | 1. FINA | NCIAL MANAG | EMENT | |
| Q1 | 40.9 | 37.9 | 56 | 88.2 |
| Q2 | 22.7 | 51.7 | 44 | 88.2 |
| Q3 | 31.8 | 44.8 | 56 | 82.4 |
| Q5 | 31.8 | 37.9 | 36 | 76.5 |
| Q6 | 45.5 | 34.5 | 52 | 76.5 |
| AVG | 34.5 | 41.4 | 48.8 | 82.4 |
| | 2. PERS | ONNEL MANA | GEMENT | |
| Q47 | 40.9 | 44.8 | 68 | 64.7 |
| Q48 | 59.1 | 72.4 | 68 | 70.6 |
| Q49 | 50 | 48.3 | 58.3 | 64.7 |
| AVG | 50 | 55.2 | 64.8 | 66.7 |
| | 3. MATE | ERIALS MANAG | GEMENT | |
| Q28 | 31.8 | 44.8 | 52 | 64.7 |
| Q29 | 40.9 | 48.3 | 68 | 64.7 |
| Q30 | 54.6 | 58.6 | 84 | 88.2 |
| AVG | 42.4 | 50.6 | 68 | 72.6 |
| | 4. PRODUCTIVI | TY/OUTCOMES | 5 MANAGEMEN | т |
| Q9 | 27.3 | 41.4 | 56 | 58.8 |
| | 5. FACI | LITIES MANAG | SEMENT | |
| Q27 | 31.8 | 20.7 | 32 | 47.1 |
| Q31 | 40.9 | 72.4 | 72 | 64.7 |
| Q32 | 36.4 | 58.6 | 80 | 76.5 |
| AVG | 36.4 | 50.6 | 61.3 | 62.7 |

| ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|------|
| | 1. G | ROUP DYNAM | IICS | |
| Q37 | 50 | 55.2 | 60 | 70.6 |
| Q40 | 54.6 | 55.2 | 48 | 47.1 |
| Q41 | 50 | 44.8 | 48 | 47.1 |
| Q51 | 50 | 72.4 | 68 | 47.1 |
| AVG | 51.1 | 56.9 | 56 | 52.9 |
| | 2. INE | DIVIDUAL BEH/ | AVIOR | |
| Q35 | 59.1 | 69 | 52 | 70.6 |
| Q42 | 50 | 44.8 | 36 | 52.9 |
| Q44 | 45.5 | 55.2 | 56 | 52.9 |
| Q46 | 40.9 | 58.6 | 48 | 47.1 |
| AVG | 48.9 | 56.9 | 48 | 55.9 |
| | 3. ORG | ANIZATIONAL | DESIGN | |
| Q43 | 44.5 | 51.7 | 52 | 35.3 |
| Q45 | 54.6 | 55.2 | 56 | 64.7 |
| AVG | 49.5 | 53.4 | 54 | 50 |
| | 4. LABOR / M | MANAGEMENT | RELATIONS | |
| Q50 | 22.7 | 48.3 | 52 | 58.8 |
| | 5. CO | VFLICT RESOL | UTION | |
| Q39 | 40.9 | 48.3 | 32 | 52.9 |
| | 6. MANAGIN | G CHANGE / T | ECHNOLOGY | |
| Q38 | 36.4 | 44.8 | 48 | 52.9 |
| ALT | ERNATIVE HE | ALTH CARE DE | LIVERY SYST | EMS |
| 1. AL | TERNATIVE H | EALTH CARE D | ELIVERY SYS | TEMS |
| Q4 | 27.3 | 31 | 28 | 64.7 |
| | HEALTH (| CARE LAW AN | D POLICY | |
| | 1 | LEGAL ISSUE | s | |
| Q21 | 40.9 | 69 | 60 | 70.6 |
| Q22 | 36.4 | 72.4 | 64 | 76.5 |
| Q23 | 50 | 65.5 | 64 | 64.7 |
| Q24 | 36.4 | 65.5 | 64 | 76.5 |
| Q25 | 40.9 | 44.8 | 40 | 76.5 |
| Q26 | 31.8 | 34.5 | 48 | 52.9 |
| AVG | 39.4 | 58.6 | 56.7 | 69.6 |
| | | 2. ETHICS | | |
| Q52 | 40.9 | 65.5 | 64 | 58.8 |

APPENDIX Q. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR NUMBER OF MANAGEMENT POSITIONS FOR HCA COHORT

| NUMBER OF MANAGEMENT POSITIONS | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|--|
| N=93 | n=26 | n=33 | n=34 | |
| | 0 TO 4 | 5 TO 7 | 8 AND UP | |
| | GENERAL MA | NAGEMENT | | |
| 1. DEC | ISION MAKING | PROBLEM S | OLVING | |
| Q7 | 38.5 | 45.5 | 61.8 | |
| Q13 | 46.2 | 69.7 | 47.1 | |
| Q14 | 80.8 | 75.8 | 67.7 | |
| Q15 | 57.7 | 60.6 | 52.9 | |
| Q20 | 42.3 | 51.5 | 35.3 | |
| AVG | 53.1 | 60.6 | 52.9 | |
| | 2. COMMUN | VICATIONS | | |
| Q34 | 50 | 48.5 | 47.1 | |
| Q53 | 57.7 | 60.6 | 44.1 | |
| Q54 | 50 | 48.5 | 38.2 | |
| Q55 | 53.9 | 45.5 | 41.2 | |
| Q56 | 42.3 | 51.5 | 38.2 | |
| Q57 | 46.2 | 45.5 | 38.2 | |
| Q58 | 46.2 | 54.6 | 50 | |
| Q59 | 50 | 72.7 | 47.1 | |
| Q60 | 50 | 56.3 | 47.1 | |
| AVG | 49.6 | 53.7 | 43.5 | |
| | 3. QUANTATI | VE ANALYSIS | | |
| Q17 | 38.5 | 36.4 | 47.1 | |
| Q18 | 26.9 | 39.4 | 50 | |
| AVG | 32.7 | 37.9 | 48.5 | |
| 4 | INFORMATION | N MANAGEME | NT | |
| Q16 | 26.9 | 36.4 | 29.4 | |
| Q19 | 53.9 | 39.4 | 50 | |
| AVG | 40.4 | 37.9 | 39.7 | |
| | 5. MANAGIN | IG QUALITY | | |
| Q11 | 46.2 | 39.4 | 44.1 | |

| 6. STRATEGIC PLANNING | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------|--|
| Q8 | 19.2 | 42.4 | 41.2 | |
| Q10 | 42.3 | 48.5 | 50 | |
| AVG | 30.8 | 45.5 | 45.6 | |
| | 7. SYSTEMS | PERSPECTIVE | | |
| Q12 | 61.5 | 69.7 | 61.8 | |
| Q33 | 61.5 | 57.6 | 73.5 | |
| Q36 | 57.7 | 78.8 | 57.6 | |
| AVG | 60.3 | 68.7 | 64.3 | |
| н | EALTH RESOURS | SES MANAGEN | IENT | |
| | 1. FINANCIAL | MANAGEMENT | r | |
| Q1 | 42.3 | 48.5 | 64.7 | |
| Q2 | 26.9 | 57.6 | 58.8 | |
| Q3 | 34.6 | 48.5 | 67.7 | |
| Q5 | 26.9 | 54.6 | 44.1 | |
| Q6 | 38.5 | 45.5 | 61.8 | |
| AVG | 33.8 | 50.9 | 59.4 | |
| | 2. PERSONNEL | MANAGEMEN | т | |
| Q47 | 42.3 | 51.5 | 64.7 | |
| Q48 | 65.4 | 66.7 | 70.6 | |
| Q49 | 50 | 57.6 | 54.6 | |
| AVG | 52.6 | 58.6 | 63.3 | |
| | 3. MATERIALS | MANAGEMEN | г | |
| Q28 | 38.5 | 45.5 | 55.9 | |
| Q29 | 42.3 | 54.6 | 64.7 | |
| Q30 | 69.2 | 54.6 | 85.3 | |
| AVG | 50 | 51.5 | 68.6 | |
| 4. PR0 | DUCTIVITY/OUT | COMES MANA | GEMENT | |
| Q9 | 26.9 | 51.5 | 52.9 | |
| | 5. FACILITIES | MANAGEMENT | r | |
| Q27 | 30.8 | 33.3 | 29.4 | |
| Q31 | 46.2 | 75.8 | 64.7 | |
| Q32 | 50 | 57.6 | 76.5 | |
| AVG | 42.3 | 55.6 | 56.9 | |
| ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR | | | | |
| | 1. GROUP | DYNAMICS | | |
| Q37 | 53.9 | 60.6 | 58.8 | |

| Q40 | 57.7 | 60.6 | 38.2 |
|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Q41 | 50 | 48.5 | 44.1 |
| Q51 | 57.7 | 63.6 | 61.8 |
| AVG | 54.8 | 58.3 | 50.7 |
| | 2. INDIVIDUA | L BEHAVIOR | |
| Q35 | 69.2 | 66.7 | 52.9 |
| Q42 | 53.9 | 42.4 | 41.2 |
| Q44 | 53.9 | 54.6 | 50 |
| Q46 | 42.3 | 60.6 | 44.1 |
| AVG | 54.8 | 56.1 | 47.1 |
| | 3. ORGANIZAT | IONAL DESIG | N |
| Q43 | 42.3 | 57.6 | 41.2 |
| Q45 | 42.3 | 69.7 | 55.9 |
| AVG | 42.3 | 63.6 | 48.5 |
| 4. L/ | ABOR / MANAG | EMENT RELAT | IONS |
| Q50 | 23.1 | 57.6 | 50 |
| | 5. CONFLICT | RESOLUTION | |
| Q39 | 50 | 48.5 | 32.4 |
| 6. M/ | ANAGING CHAI | IGE / TECHNO | LOGY |
| Q38 | 38.5 | 51.5 | 44.1 |
| ALTERNAT | IVE HEALTH C | ARE DELIVER | Y SYSTEMS |
| 1. ALTERNA | ATIVE HEALTH | CARE DELIVE | RY SYSTEMS |
| Q4 | 19.2 | 42.4 | 41.2 |
| н | EALTH CARE L | AW AND POLI | CY |
| | 1. LEGA | LISSUES | |
| Q21 | 53.9 | 63.6 | 61.8 |
| Q22 | 50 | 63.6 | 70.6 |
| Q23 | 53.9 | 66.7 | 61.8 |
| Q24 | 42.3 | 63.6 | 70.6 |
| Q25 | 46.2 | 51.5 | 47.1 |
| Q26 | 38.5 | 45.5 | 38.2 |
| AVG | 47.4 | 59.1 | 58.3 |
| | 2. ET | HICS | |
| Q52 | 57.7 | 57.6 | 58.8 |

APPENDIX R. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR MONTHS AS AN EXECUTIVE OFFICER FOR HCA COHORT

| MONTHS AS AN EXECUTIVE OFFICER (HCA) | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|
| N=87 | N=38 | N=26 | N=23 |
| | NONE | 1 to 24 | OVER 24 |
| | GENERAL MA | ANAGEMENT | |
| 1. DEC | SISION MAKING | PROBLEM S | OLVING |
| Q7 | 44.7 | 38.5 | 69.6 |
| Q13 | 47.4 | 57.7 | 60.9 |
| Q14 | 76.3 | 65.4 | 73.9 |
| Q15 | 52.6 | 61.5 | 56.5 |
| Q20 | 42.1 | 38.5 | 47.8 |
| AVG | 52.6 | 52.3 | 61.7 |
| | 2. COMMU | NICATIONS | |
| Q34 | 39.5 | 50 | 56.5 |
| Q53 | 52.6 | 50 | 56.5 |
| Q54 | 55.3 | 38.5 | 34.8 |
| Q55 | 50 | 46.2 | 30.4 |
| Q56 | 50 | 34.6 | 39.1 |
| Q57 | 42.1 | 34.6 | 43.5 |
| Q58 | 44.7 | 46.2 | 60.9 |
| Q59 | 57.9 | 53.9 | 56.5 |
| Q60 | 54.1 | 42.3 | 47.8 |
| AVG | 49.6 | 44 | 47.3 |
| | 3. QUANTATI | VE ANALYSIS | |
| Q17 | 44.7 | 46.2 | 30.4 |
| Q18 | 39.5 | 34.6 | 47.8 |
| AVG | 42.1 | 40.4 | 39.1 |
| 4 | . INFORMATIO | N MANAGEME | NT |
| Q16 | 36.8 | 30.8 | 21.7 |
| Q19 | 44.7 | 46.2 | 43.5 |
| AVG | 40.8 | 38.5 | 32.6 |
| | 5. MANAGIN | NG QUALITY | |
| Q11 | 44.7 | 34.6 | 47.8 |

| 6. STRATEGIC PLANNING | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------|--|
| Q8 | 34.2 | 30.8 | 43.5 | |
| Q10 | 47.4 | 46.2 | 47.8 | |
| AVG | 40.8 | 38.5 | 45.7 | |
| | 7. SYSTEMS | PERSPECTIVE | | |
| Q12 | 68.4 | 53.9 | 60.9 | |
| Q33 | 57.9 | 61.5 | 73.9 | |
| Q36 | 68.4 | 69.2 | 60.9 | |
| AVG | 64.9 | 61.5 | 65.2 | |
| HEA | ALTH RESOURS | SES MANAGEM | ENT | |
| | 1. FINANCIAL | MANAGEMENT | | |
| Q1 | 50 | 50 | 65.2 | |
| Q2 | 44.7 | 42.3 | 69.6 | |
| Q3 | 44.7 | 53.8 | 65.2 | |
| Q5 | 36.8 | 53.9 | 47.8 | |
| Q6 | 50 | 42.3 | 56.5 | |
| AVG | 45.3 | 48.5 | 60.9 | |
| 2. PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | | | | |
| Q47 | 50 | 50 | 60.9 | |
| Q48 | 65.8 | 61.5 | 73.9 | |
| Q49 | 50 | 52 | 60.9 | |
| AVG | 55.3 | 54.5 | 65.2 | |
| | 3. MATERIALS | MANAGEMEN | г | |
| Q28 | 47.4 | 38.5 | 56.5 | |
| Q29 | 57.9 | 50 | 60.9 | |
| Q30 | 71.1 | 57.7 | 82.6 | |
| AVG | 58.8 | 48.7 | 66.7 | |
| 4. PROD | OUCTIVITY/OUT | COMES MANA | GEMENT | |
| Q9 | 39.5 | 46.2 | 56.5 | |
| | | | | |
| | 5. FACILITIES | MANAGEMENT | | |
| Q27 | 23.7 | 26.9 | 43.5 | |
| Q31 | 63.2 | 61.5 | 60.9 | |
| Q32 | 57.9 | 53.9 | 73.9 | |
| AVG | 48.2 | 47.4 | 59.4 | |

| | ORGANIZATIO | VAL BEHAVIOR | ۹ | |
|---|---------------|--------------|-----------|--|
| | 1. GROUP | DYNAMICS | | |
| Q37 | 60.5 | 50 | 60.9 | |
| Q40 | 50 | 57.7 | 47.8 | |
| Q41 | 44.7 | 46.2 | 47.8 | |
| Q51 | 73.7 | 46.2 | 52.2 | |
| AVG | 57.2 | 50 | 52.2 | |
| | 2. INDIVIDUA | L BEHAVIOR | | |
| Q35 | 63.2 | 69.2 | 56.5 | |
| Q42 | 42.1 | 42.3 | 43.5 | |
| Q44 | 63.2 | 42.3 | 43.5 | |
| Q46 | 50 | 46.2 | 52.2 | |
| AVG | 54.6 | 50 | 48.9 | |
| | 3. ORGANIZAT | IONAL DESIG | N | |
| Q43 | 44.7 | 50 | 39.1 | |
| Q45 | 52.6 | 50 | 65.2 | |
| AVG | 48.7 | 50 | 52.2 | |
| 4. L | ABOR / MANAG | EMENT RELAT | TIONS | |
| Q50 | 34.2 | 50 | 56.5 | |
| | 5. CONFLICT | RESOLUTION | | |
| Q39 | 44.7 | 38.5 | 39.1 | |
| 6. M | ANAGING CHAP | NGE / TECHNO | LOGY | |
| Q38 | 44.7 | 46.2 | 43.5 | |
| ALTERNA | TIVE HEALTH C | ARE DELIVER | Y SYSTEMS | |
| 1. ALTERNATIVE HEALTH CARE DELIVERY SYSTEMS | | | | |
| Q4 | 26.3 | 38.5 | 47.8 | |
| F | IEALTH CARE L | AW AND POLI | CY | |
| 1. LEGAL ISSUES | | | | |
| Q21 | 57.9 | 46.2 | 78.3 | |
| Q22 | 57.9 | 50 | 82.6 | |
| Q23 | 65.8 | 50 | 69.6 | |
| Q24 | 50 | 53.9 | 78.3 | |
| Q25 | 55.3 | 30.8 | 56.5 | |
| Q26 | 42.1 | 26.9 | 47.8 | |
| AVG | 54.8 | 42.9 | 68.8 | |
| 2. ETHICS | | | | |
| Q52 | 65.8 | 57.7 | 47.8 | |

APPENDIX S. TABLE OF "GOOD" DELTAS FOR MONTHS AS A COMMANDING OFFICER FOR HCA COHORT

| MONTH | S AS A COMMA | NDING OFFIC | ER (HCA) |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------|
| N=85 | N=55 | N=15 | N=15 |
| | NONE | 1 to 24 | OVER 24 |
| | GENERAL MA | ANAGEMENT | |
| 1. DE | CISION MAKING | / PROBLEM S | OLVING |
| Q7 | 43.6 | 46.7 | 73.3 |
| Q13 | 52.7 | 40 | 66.7 |
| Q14 | 72.7 | 80 | 73.3 |
| Q15 | 54.6 | 53.3 | 60 |
| Q20 | 41.8 | 33.3 | 60 |
| AVG | 53.1 | 50.7 | 66.7 |
| | 2. COMMU | NICATIONS | |
| Q34 | 40 | 60 | 60 |
| Q53 | 54.6 | 53.3 | 53.3 |
| Q54 | 47.3 | 33.3 | 46.7 |
| Q55 | 43.6 | 53.3 | 46.7 |
| Q56 | 41.8 | 53.3 | 40 |
| Q57 | 41.8 | 46.7 | 40 |
| Q58 | 47.3 | 53.3 | 60 |
| Q59 | 52.7 | 66.7 | 53.3 |
| Q60 | 50 | 53.3 | 40 |
| AVG | 46.6 | 52.6 | 48.9 |
| 3. QUANTATIVE ANALYSIS | | | |
| Q17 | 45.5 | 33.3 | 40 |
| Q18 | 45.5 | 26.7 | 40 |
| AVG | 45.5 | 30 | 40 |
| 4. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT | | | |
| Q16 | 36.4 | 26.7 | 26.7 |
| Q19 | 49.1 | 46.7 | 46.7 |
| AVG | 42.7 | 36.7 | 36.7 |
| 5. MANAGING QUALITY | | | |
| Q11 | 41.8 | 40 | 46.7 |

| | STRATEG | IC PLANNING | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Q8 | 36.4 | 33.3 | 20 |
| Q10 | 47.3 | 26.7 | 66.7 |
| AVG | 41.8 | 30 | 43.3 |
| | 7. SYSTEMS I | PERSPECTIVE | |
| Q12 | 61.8 | 66.7 | 60 |
| Q33 | 61.8 | 73.3 | 66.7 |
| Q36 | 63.6 | 71.4 | 66.7 |
| AVG | 62.4 | 70.5 | 64.4 |
| HE | ALTH RESOURS | SES MANAGEN | IENT |
| | 1. FINANCIAL | MANAGEMENT | r i |
| Q1 | 47.3 | 53.3 | 73.3 |
| Q2 | 45.5 | 60 | 60 |
| Q3 | 47.3 | 53.3 | 66.7 |
| Q5 | 43.6 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| Q6 | 49.1 | 60 | 53.3 |
| AVG | 46.5 | 54.7 | 61.3 |
| | 2. PERSONNEL | MANAGEMEN | т |
| Q47 | 50.9 | 53.3 | 53.3 |
| Q48 | 65.5 | 73.3 | 60 |
| Q49 | 50.9 | 64.3 | 60 |
| AVG | 55.8 | 63.7 | 57.8 |
| | 3. MATERIALS | MANAGEMEN | г |
| Q28 | 49.1 | 33.3 | 53.3 |
| Q29 | 61.8 | 40 | 53.3 |
| Q30 | 70.9 | 66.7 | 73.3 |
| AVG | 60.6 | 46.7 | 60 |
| 4. PRC | DUCTIVITY/OUT | COMES MANA | GEMENT |
| Q9 | 45.5 | 40 | 60 |
| | 5. FACILITIES | MANAGEMENT | г |
| Q27 | 27.3 | 20 | 53.3 |
| Q31 | 61.8 | 73.3 | 60 |
| Q32 | 54.6 | 80 | 73.3 |
| AVG | 47.9 | 57.8 | 62.2 |
| ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR | | | |
| 1. GROUP DYNAMICS | | | |
| Q37 | 54.6 | 60 | 66.7 |

| Q40 | 49.1 | 46.7 | 66.7 |
|---|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| Q41 | 41.8 | 40 | 60 |
| Q51 | 69.1 | 46.7 | 40 |
| AVG | 53.6 | 48.3 | 58.3 |
| | 2. INDIVIDUA | AL BEHAVIOR | |
| Q35 | 63.6 | 53.3 | 66.7 |
| Q42 | 40 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| Q44 | 50.9 | 46.7 | 60 |
| Q46 | 45.5 | 46.7 | 66.7 |
| AVG | 50 | 48.3 | 61.7 |
| | 3. ORGANIZAT | IONAL DESIGN | 4 |
| Q43 | 45.5 | 46.7 | 46.7 |
| Q45 | 49.1 | 66.7 | 66.7 |
| AVG | 47.3 | 56.7 | 56.7 |
| 4. LA | BOR / MANAG | EMENT RELAT | IONS |
| Q50 | 38.2 | 60 | 53.3 |
| | 5. CONFLICT | RESOLUTION | |
| Q39 | 40 | 46.7 | 46.7 |
| 6. M/ | ANAGING CHAI | NGE / TECHNO | LOGY |
| Q38 | 41.8 | 53.3 | 46.7 |
| ALTERNAT | IVE HEALTH C | ARE DELIVERY | Y SYSTEMS |
| 1. ALTERNATIVE HEALTH CARE DELIVERY SYSTEMS | | | |
| Q4 | 30.9 | 40 | 53.3 |
| н | EALTH CARE L | AW AND POLIC | CY |
| | 1. LEGA | L ISSUES | |
| Q21 | 60 | 60 | 53.3 |
| Q22 | 60 | 53.3 | 73.3 |
| Q23 | 61.8 | 46.7 | 73.3 |
| Q24 | 54.6 | 60 | 66.7 |
| Q25 | 49.1 | 33.3 | 60 |
| Q26 | 38.2 | 26.7 | 60 |
| AVG | 53.9 | 46.7 | 64.4 |
| | 2. ET | THICS | |
| Q52 | 60 | 60 | 46.7 |

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Hudak, Ronald P., Brooke, Paul P. Jr., Finstuen, Kenn, and Riley, Pat. "Health Care Administration in the Year 2000: Practitioners' Views of Future Issues and Job Requirements." Hospital & Health Services Administration, Vol. 38., pp. 181-196, 1993.
- Crawford, Alice M., Roberts, Benjamen J., and Orloff, Kenneth L. "Initial Results of Educational Needs Analysis for Navy Health Care Executives." Naval Postgraduate School, 1993.
- Department of the Navy, <u>1988 Blue Ribbon Panel Report</u>, Department of the Navy, Washington, DC, 1988.
- Schwartz, Judy, and Cox, Kenneth. "Administrative Skill Qualification for Command of Medical Facilities Task Force" (Washington, DC: Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, 1992), 17, typewritten.
- Morrison, John R., "The Relationship Between the Perceived Executive Management Capabilities of Senior Navy Medical Department Executives and Their Reported Managerial Requirements." (Masters Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, 1993), 78.
- Department of the Navy, <u>U.S. Navy Medical Department</u> Officer Career Guide, <u>NAVMED P-5128</u>, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, Mashington, D.C., 1991.
- Kissick, William L., M.D., Dr. P.H., "Health Care Management According to Ben Franklin." Journal of Health Administration Education, Fall, 1989, pp. 723-733.
- Neuhauser, D., "Coming of Age: A 50-Year History of the American College of Hospital Administrators and the Profession It Serves. Chicago: Pluribus Press, 1983.

- Greene, J., "Graduate Programs Get Back to Basics." Modern Healthcare 20 (27 August 1990): 28-37.
- Louis, M.R. "The Gap in Management Education." Selections (Winter): 1-12, 1990.
- Senge, P.M. "The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organization. New York: Doubleday, 1990.
- Eubanks, P., "The New Hospital CEO: Many Paths to the Top." Hospitals 64 (5 December 1990): 26-31.
- Reagon, J.T. "Practitioner Perceptions of the Knowledge and Skill Required for Successful Practice in Health Administration." Journal of Health Administration Education. 8 (Spring 1990): 245-255.
- 14. Weil, P.A., and A.A. Herman., "1996 Forecast: Physician, Hospital Relationships." Healthcare Executive 6 (July-August 1991): 26-28.
- Myrsiades, L.S., Ph.D. and Walker, L.R., Ph.D., "Integrating Knowledge and Behavior: Development of a Management and Career Development Program for Graduate Health Administration Education," The Journal of Health Administration Education (Winter 1966): 7-30.
- Nurkin, H.A., "Town vs. Gown: A Poor Excuse for Not Communicating." Hospital and Health Services Administration 29 (5) (1984): 37-48.
- Rehder, R.R., and Porter, J.L. "The Creative MBA: A New Proposal for Balancing the Science and the Art of Management." Business Horizons, November-December, 1983, 52-54.
- Burke, G.C., "Renewal and Change for Health Care Executives." Hospital and Health Services Administration, 36:1, (Spring 1991), 13-23.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

| 1. | Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145 | 2 |
|----|---|---|
| 2. | Library, Code 52 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5100 | 2 |
| 3. | Chairman, Code AS/Wp Systems Management Department Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5100 | 1 |
| 4. | Professor Alice Crawford, Code AS/Cr Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5100 | 1 |
| 5. | Professor Benjamin J. Roberts, Code AS/Ro Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943–5100 | 1 |
| 6. | Commanding Officer Health Services Education and Training Command Code 2 MSC Bethesda, MD 20889-5022 | 1 |
| 7. | Lt. Jerry L. Gardner ATTN: Manpower 6000 West Highway 98 Pensacola, FL 32512-0003 | 5 |

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOO! MONTEREY CA 93943-5101



