# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



A Lower Bound for the Intersection of Regular Forests

by Dennis M. Volpano

October 1993

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for:

Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943

FedDocs D 208.14/2 NPS-CS-94-005

FedDoce D 208. 14/2. NPS-CS-94-005

### NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

#### Monterey, California

#### REAR ADMIRAL T. A. MERCER Superintendent

HARRISON SHULL Provost

This report was prepared with research funded by the Naval Research Laboratory under the Reimbursable Funding.

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

This report was prepared by:

#### DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA 93943-5101

UNCLASSIFIED

URITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						
I. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		distribution is unlimited				
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NPSCS-94-005		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Naval Postgraduate School				
NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Computer Science Dept. Naval Postgraduate School	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) CS	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Naval Research Laboratory				
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Monterey, CA 93943		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Monterey, CA 93943				
NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Naval Postgraduate School	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) NPS	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER O&MN Direct Funding				
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF I	FUNDING NUMBERS	S I TASK		
Monterey, CA 93943		ELEMENT NO.	NÖ.	NO.	ACCESSION NO.	
. TITLE (Include Security Classification) A Lower Bound for the Intersection of Regular Forests						
Dennis M. Volpano						
Image: A start of the coverage						
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					er)	
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	tree automata, o	computational complexity				
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)						
Regular $\Sigma X$ -forests continue to play an important role in programming languages, specifically in the design of type systems. They arise naturally as terms of constructor-based, recursive data types in logic and functional languages. Deciding whether the intersection of a sequence of regular $\Sigma X$ -forests is nonempty is an important problem in type inference. We show that this problem is PSPACE-hard and as a corollary that the problem of constructing a regular $\Sigma X$ -grammar representing their intersection is PSPACE-hard.						
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION						
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS F	UNCLASSIFIED					
Dennis M. Volpano	(408) 656-3	(Include Area Code) 3091	CSV0	IMBUL		
FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE						

83 APR edition may be used until exhausted All other editions are obsolete

UNCLASSIFIED



## A Lower Bound for the Intersection of Regular Forests

Dennis M. Volpano

Department of Computer Science Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California, USA

email: volpano@cs.nps.navy.mil

October 5, 1993

#### Abstract

Regular  $\Sigma X$ -forests continue to play an important role in programming languages, specifically in the design of type systems [MiR85, AM91, Vol93]. They arise naturally as terms of constructor-based, recursive data types in logic and functional languages. Deciding whether the intersection of a sequence of regular  $\Sigma X$ -forests is nonempty is an important problem in type inference. We show that this problem is PSPACE-hard and as a corollary that the problem of constructing a regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammar representing their intersection is PSPACE-hard.

## 1 Introduction

Regular  $\Sigma X$ -forests are playing an increasingly important role in language design and in particular in the design of type systems. Type inference then usually relies upon various operations over regular forests, one of which is *RF-INT*, deciding the emptiness of their intersection.

**Definition 1.1** The problem RF-INT is given a sequence of regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars  $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ , decide whether  $\bigcap_{k=1}^m T(G_k)$  is nonempty.

Regular forests have been used to characterize the types of logic and functional programs [Mis84, MiR85, HeJ90, AM91] as well as overloadings introduced through classes in *Haskell* [Kae88, Vol93]. For example, Heintze and Jaffar propose what amounts to regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars as inferred "types" or approximations of the semantics of logic programs. Corresponding to a logic program, say

$$p(a).$$

$$p(f(X)) \leftarrow p(X).$$

$$r(b).$$

$$r(f(Y)) \leftarrow r(Y).$$

$$q(Z) \leftarrow p(Z), r(Z).$$

is a set of equations

$$X = a \cup f(X)$$
$$Y = b \cup f(Y)$$
$$Z = X \cap Y$$

whose simultaneous least fixed point is an approximate meaning of the program. The inferred approximation or "type" is given by

$$X = a \cup f(X)$$
$$Y = b \cup f(Y)$$
$$Z = \emptyset$$

Solving for variable Z requires deciding whether the intersection of the two regular forests described by the first two equations is nonempty.

One can also view the logic program above as describing a set of valid overloadings in *Haskell* for p and r as operators where p has instances at types a and f, and r at b and f:

class  $P \alpha$  where  $p ::: \alpha$ instance P a where p = ...instance  $P X \Rightarrow P f(X)$  where p = ...class  $R \alpha$  where  $r :: \alpha$ instance R b where r = ...instance  $R Y \Rightarrow R f(Y)$  where r = ...

Instance declarations for an overloaded operator in *Haskell* describe a regular forest. So for example, deciding whether term p = r is typable requires

deciding whether the regular forest arising from p's instance declarations intersects with the forest described by instances for r.

## 2 Forests and Regular $\Sigma X$ -grammars

Given an alphabet A, an A-valued tree t is specified by its set of nodes (the "domain" dom(t)) and a valuation of the nodes in A. Formally, a k-ary, A-valued tree is a map  $t : dom(t) \to A$  where  $dom(t) \subseteq \{0, \ldots, k-1\}^*$  is a nonempty set, closed under prefixes. The frontier of t is the set

 $\{w \in dom(t) \mid \neg \exists i.wi \in dom(t)\}.$ 

It is assumed that A is partitioned into a ranked alphabet  $\Sigma$  and a frontier alphabet X. A ranked alphabet, or signature, is a finite nonempty operator domain. For any  $\Sigma$  and X, we denote the set of all finite  $\Sigma X$ -trees by  $F_{\Sigma}(X)$ . A forest, or tree language,  $T \subseteq F_{\Sigma}(X)$  is called regular if and only if for some finite set C disjoint from  $\Sigma$  and X, T can be obtained from finite subsets of  $F_{\Sigma}(X \cup C)$  by applications of union, concatenation  $\cdot_c$  (defined using tree substitution), and closure \*<sup>c</sup> where  $c \in C$  [Tho90].

A regular forest can alternatively be defined as a tree language generated by a regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammar [GeS84].

**Definition 2.1** A regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammar G consists of

- a finite nonempty set N of nonterminal symbols,
- a finite set P of productions of the form  $A \to r$  where  $A \in N$  and  $r \in F_{\Sigma}(N \cup X)$ , and
- an initial symbol  $S \in N$ .

**Definition 2.2** If  $G = (N, \Sigma, X, P, S)$  is a regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammar then the  $\Sigma X$ -forest generated by G is

$$T(G) = \{t \in F_{\Sigma}(X) \mid S \Rightarrow_{G}^{*} t\}$$

Regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars are a class of context-free grammars that define the same family of forests as those recognized by nondeterministic root-tofrontier (NDR)  $\Sigma X$ -automata. A root-to-frontier automaton can be viewed as an attribute evaluator for a tree whose attributes are states prescribed by an attribute grammar with *inherited* attributes only. Formally, a NDR  $\Sigma X$ -automaton **A** is a tuple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}', \alpha)$  such that

1.  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite NDR  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma)$ ,

2.  $A' \subseteq A$  is a set of *initial states*, and

3.  $\alpha: X \to \wp A$  is a final assignment.

In a NDR  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $(A, \Sigma)$ , A is a nonempty set of states and every  $\sigma \in \Sigma_m$  with  $m \ge 1$  is realized as a mapping  $\sigma^{\mathcal{A}} : A \to \wp(A^m)$ . For  $\sigma \in \Sigma_0$ ,  $\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$  is a subset of A.

For example, a NDR  $\Sigma X$ -automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}', \alpha)$  recognizing set

 $\{\sigma(x,y), \sigma(y,x)\}$ 

can be defined as follows. Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_2 = \{\sigma\}$ ,  $X = \{x, y\}$ , and the set of initial states  $A' = \{S\}$ . Define  $\mathcal{A} = (\{\hat{x}, \hat{y}, S\}, \Sigma)$  such that

 $\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(S) = \{(\hat{x}, \hat{y}), (\hat{y}, \hat{x})\}$ 

and finally define the final assignment  $\alpha$  as

$$x\alpha = \{\hat{y}\}$$
$$y\alpha = \{\hat{x}\}$$

It is interesting to note that there is no deterministic root-to-frontier  $\Sigma X$ automaton that accepts the set above. Suppose automaton **A** accepts  $\sigma(x, y)$ and  $\sigma(y, x)$  and that  $\sigma(a) = (a_1, a_2)$  for some states  $a, a_1$ , and  $a_2$  of **A**. If  $\alpha$ is **A**'s final assignment function, then

 $x\alpha = a_1, \ y\alpha = a_2, \ y\alpha = a_1, \ x\alpha = a_2$ 

Since **A** is deterministic,  $a_1 = a_2$ . So we have  $\sigma(a) = (a_1, a_1)$  where  $x\alpha = y\alpha = a_1$ . Therefore on  $\sigma(x, x)$  and  $\sigma(y, y)$ , **A** enters the leaves in state  $a_1$  such that  $a_1 \in x\alpha$ , and  $a_1 \in y\alpha$ . Thus **A** accepts  $\sigma(x, x)$  and  $\sigma(y, y)$  as well.

Given that regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars define exactly the forests recognized by NDR  $\Sigma X$ -automata, one could formulate *RF-INT* in terms of the latter representation of regular forests. But we choose regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars instead since they are better suited for manipulation.

Regular forests are effectively closed under intersection.

**Theorem 2.1** If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars, for a given  $\Sigma$  and X, then  $T(G_1) \cap T(G_2)$  is a forest generated by a regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammar.

*Proof.* Suppose  $G_1 = (N_1, \Sigma, X, P_1, S_1)$  and  $G_2 = (N_2, \Sigma, X, P_2, S_2)$  are regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars. Let  $\Sigma X$ -grammar  $G = (N_1 \times N_2, \Sigma, X, P, [S_1, S_2])$  where

$$[A, B] \to a([Y_1, Z_1], \dots, [Y_n, Z_n]) \in P, \text{ for } n \ge 0$$

if and only if

$$A \to a(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in P_1, B \to a(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \in P_2,$$

and  $a \in \Sigma$ , or  $[A, B] \to a \in P$  if and only if  $a \in X$ . Then  $T(G) = T(G_1) \cap T(G_2)$ .  $\Box$ 

The theorem implies that the family of regular forests is properly contained within the context-free languages since the latter is not closed under intersection.

We now state and prove the main result.

#### **Theorem 2.2** RF-INT is PSPACE-hard.

**Proof.** The proof uses a result of [Koz77]. For every deterministic Turing machine M of polynomial space complexity, we give a *log-space* transducer that on input x, outputs a sequence of regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars whose intersection is nonempty iff M accepts x.

Let M be a single tape DTM of polynomial space complexity  $p(n) \ge n$ and assume that M always makes at least three odd number of moves, has a unique accepting state,  $q_{acc}$ , and erases its tape before accepting, positioning its tape head at the left end of the tape. Let  $x = a_1 \dots a_n$  be a string over M's input alphabet and suppose M has states Q and tape symbols  $\Gamma$  such that Q,  $\Gamma$ , and set  $\{nil, \#, \#\#\}$  are pairwise disjoint. If

 $\Delta = \Gamma \cup \{ [qX] \mid q \in Q \& X \in \Gamma \}$ 

then ranked alphabet  $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3$  where  $\Sigma_0 = \{nil\}, \Sigma_1 = \Delta, \Sigma_2 = \{\#\#\}$  and  $\Sigma_3 = \{\#\}$ . Suppose  $ID_{\Delta}$  derives regular forest

 $Z_1\left(Z_2\left(\cdots Z_{p(n)}\left(nil\right)\cdots\right)\right)$ 

for all  $Z_k \in \Delta$ ,  $1 \le k \le p(n)$ , and  $ID_i^{[X_1X_2X_3]}$  derives regular forest

$$Z_1\left(\cdots Z_{i-1}\left(X_1\left(X_2\left(X_3\left(Z_i\left(\cdots Z_{p(n)-3}\left(nil\right)\cdots\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)$$

for all  $X_1, X_2, X_3, Z_k \in \Delta, 1 \le k \le p(n) - 3$ .

A computation of M consists of a sequence of instantaneous descriptions  $ID_0 \vdash ID_1 \vdash \cdots \vdash ID_{2m+1}$ , each containing the contents of M's tape padded with blanks (B's) to length p(n). If according to a move of M, symbols  $Y_1Y_2Y_3$  in positions i, i + 1, and i + 2 respectively of an ID can follow from symbols  $X_1X_2X_3$  in the same positions of another ID, we write

$$ID_{i}^{[X_{1}X_{2}X_{3}]} \vdash_{M} ID_{i}^{[Y_{1}Y_{2}Y_{3}]}$$

We give two regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars  $F_i^{odd}$  and  $F_i^{even}$  such that  $F_i^{odd}$  ensures that even ID's follow from odd ones, and  $F_i^{even}$  that odd ones follow from even ones. Let  $F_i^{odd}$  be a regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammar with empty frontier alphabet, start symbol S and productions

$$S \to \#(ID_{\Delta}, ID_i^{[Z_1 Z_2 Z_3]}, F_i^{[Z_1 Z_2 Z_3]})$$

for all  $Z_k \in \Delta$ ,  $1 \le k \le 3$ ,

$$F_i^{[X_1X_2X_3]} \to \#(ID_i^{[Y_1Y_2Y_3]}, ID_i^{[Z_1Z_2Z_3]}, F_i^{[Z_1Z_2Z_3]})$$

for all  $X_k, Y_k, Z_k \in \Delta$ ,  $1 \le k \le 3$ , such that  $ID_i^{[X_1X_2X_3]} \vdash_M ID_i^{[Y_1Y_2Y_3]}$ , and

$$F_i^{[X_1X_2X_3]} \to \#\#(ID_i^{[Y_1Y_2Y_3]}, ID_\Delta)$$

for all  $X_k, Y_k \in \Delta$ ,  $1 \le k \le 3$ , such that  $ID_i^{[X_1X_2X_3]} \vdash_M ID_i^{[Y_1Y_2Y_3]}$ .

Let  $F_i^{even}$  be a regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammar with empty frontier alphabet, start symbol S and productions

$$S \to \#(ID_i^{[X_1X_2X_3]}, ID_i^{[Y_1Y_2Y_3]}, S)$$
  
$$S \to \#\#(ID_i^{[X_1X_2X_3]}, ID_i^{[Y_1Y_2Y_3]})$$

for all  $X_k, Y_k \in \Delta$ ,  $1 \le k \le 3$ , such that  $ID_i^{[X_1X_2X_3]} \vdash_M ID_i^{[Y_1Y_2Y_3]}$ . Finally, suppose *initID* derives the unary tree

$$[q_0a_1](a_2(\cdots a_n(B_{n+1}(\cdots B_{p(n)}(nil)\cdots)$$

where  $B_k$  is a blank and  $q_0$  is the start state of M, and final ID derives

 $[q_{acc}B](B_2(\cdots B_{p(n)}(nil)\cdots)$ 

Then let  $F_{end}$  be a regular grammar with start symbol S and productions

$$S \to \#(initID, ID_{\Delta}, F_{acc})$$
$$F_{acc} \to \#(ID_{\Delta}, ID_{\Delta}, F_{acc})$$
$$F_{acc} \to \#\#(ID_{\Delta}, finalID)$$

Then we have

$$u \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{p(n)-2} T(F_i^{odd})$$

iff  $u = #(ID_0, ID_1, #(\dots #(ID_{2m-2}, ID_{2m-1}, ##(ID_{2m}, ID_{2m+1})\dots))$  and from  $ID_{2k-1}$  follows  $ID_{2k}$  according to the transition rules of M for  $1 \le k \le m$ . Likewise,

$$u \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{p(n)-2} T(F_i^{even})$$

iff  $u = #(ID_0, ID_1, #(\dots #(ID_{2m-2}, ID_{2m-1}, ##(ID_{2m}, ID_{2m+1}) \dots)$  and from  $ID_{2k}$  follows  $ID_{2k+1}$  according to the rules of M for  $0 \le k \le m$ . Then

$$T(F_{end}) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{p(n)-2} T(F_i^{odd}) \cap T(F_i^{even})$$

is nonempty iff M accepts x.  $\Box$ 

As is the case for emptiness of intersection of a sequence of DFA's, the source for the hardness of RF-INT lies not in deciding emptiness but rather in computing the intersection of regular forests.

**Corollary 2.3** Given regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars  $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ , constructing a regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammar G such that  $T(G) = \bigcap_{k=1}^m T(G_k)$  is PSPACE-hard.

**Proof.** The emptiness of T(G) for a regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammar G is decidable in time  $O(|G|^2)$  in the usual way. From the proof of Theorem 2.2 then every problem in PSPACE is P-time Turing reducible to the problem of constructing the intersection of a sequence of regular  $\Sigma X$ -grammars.  $\Box$  A simple algorithm for constructing G is based on the usual construction of forming the cartesian product of reachable states as is suggested in the proof of Theorem 2.1 [AiM91]. It has worst-case time complexity exponential in m. Unfortunately this naive construction is likely the best we can do. It should be pointed out that for a fixed m, constructing G from  $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ can be done in polynomial time.

Deciding whether some number of DFA's accept a common string can be done in nondeterministic linear space, but this does not appear to be true for RF-INT, which can be decided in deterministic exponential time. This suggests that a tighter lower bound exists for RF-INT.

## References

- [AM91] Aiken, A. and Murphy, B.: Static Type Inference in a Dynamically Typed Language, Proc. 18th ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages, pp. 279-290, 1991.
- [AiM91] Aiken, A. and Murphy, B.: Implementing Regular Tree Expressions, Proc. 5th Conf. on Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture, LNCS 523, Springer-Verlag, pp. 427-447, 1991.
- [GeS84] Gecseg, F. and Steinby M.: Tree Automata, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest Hungary, 1984.
- [HeJ90] Heintze N. and Jaffar J.: A Finite Presentation Theorem for Approximating Logic Programs, Proc. 17th ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages, pp. 197-209, 1990.
- [Kae88] Kaes, S.: Parametric Overloading in Polymorphic Programming Languages, Proc. 2nd European Symposium on Programming, LNCS 300, Springer-Verlag, pp. 131-144, 1988.
- [Koz77] Kozen, D.: Lower Bounds for Natural Proof Systems, Proc. 18th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, IEEE Computer Society, Long Beach, CA pp. 254-266, 1977.
- [Mis84] Mishra, P.: Toward a Theory of Types in PROLOG, Proc. 1st IEEE Symposium on Logic Programming, pp. 289-298, 1984.

- [MiR85] Mishra, P. and Reddy, U.: Declaration-free Type Checking, Proc. 12th ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages, pp. 7-21, 1985.
- [Tho90] Thomas, W.: Automata on Infinite Trees, Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science, Volume B, Formal Methods and Semantics, J. vanLeeuwen, Ed. pp. 165–184, 1990.
- [Vol93] Volpano, D.: Haskell-style Overloading is NP-hard, submitted for publication, 1993. 5th |EEE tril'/ Conf. Towlouse France

## Distribution List

Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	2
Library, Code 52 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	2
Director of Research Administration Code 08 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	1
Dr. Neil C. Rowe, Code CSRp Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Department Monterey, CA 93943-5118	1
Prof. Robert B. McGhee, Code CSMz Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Department Monterey, CA 93943-5118	1
Dr. Ralph Wachter Software Program Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington VA 22217-5000	2
Dr. Dennis Volpano, Code CSVo Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Dept.	
Monterey, CA 93943-5118	20

