



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

Theses and Dissertations

Thesis Collection

1952

A study of the effect of engine size on heat rejection

Smith, Harrison B.

California Institute of Technology

http://hdl.handle.net/10945/14645



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

> Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943

http://www.nps.edu/library

A STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF ENGINE SIZE ON HEAT REJECTION

HARRISON B. SMITH HENRY J. NARDONE EARL A. BOHNER DONALD W. WILKINSON EUGENE B. MITCHELL Liteary U. S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California

÷.







¢

ł.

μ

Library U. S. Haval Postgraduate School Mentersy, Camerica μ

A STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF ENGINE SIZE ON HEAT REJECTION

by

HARRISON B. SMITH, LIEUTENANT, U. S. COAST GUARD B.S., U.S. Coast Guard Academy, 1944

HENRY J. NARDONE, LIEUTENANT, U. S. NAVY B.S., Rhode Island University, 1946

EARL A. BOHNER, LIEUTENANT, U. S. NAVY B.S., Georgia Institute of Technology, 1944

DONALD W. WILKINSON, LIEUTENANT, U. S. NAVY University of Michigan, 1945

EUGENE B. MITCHELL, LIEUTENANT, U. S. NAVY B.S., University of South Carolina, 1946

Submitted to the Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering on 16 May 1952 in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Naval Engineer.

1952



ABSTRACT

A STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF ENGINE SIZE ON HEAT REJECTION

by

Harrison B. Smith	Lieutenant,	U.	S٠	Coast	Guard
Henry J. Nardone	Lieutenant,	U.	S.	Navy	
Earl A. Bohner	Lieutenant,	U.	s.	Navy	
Donald W. Wilkinson	Lieutenant,	U.	s.	Navy	
Eugene B. Mitchell	Lieutenant,	U.	S.	Navy	

Submitted for the Degree of Naval Engineer in the Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering on May 16, 1952.

A theory has been formulated which states that the rate of heat rejection from the cylinder gases of an internal combustion engine may be approximated by the equation

$$q = \operatorname{constant} \left[\ell^2 \Delta T C_p \left(\rho e S \right)^n \left(\frac{\ell}{4} \right)^{n-1} \right]$$
(1)

where n is an exponent less than unity. For a given engine operating at constant fuel air ratio and water jacket temperature equation (1) takes the form

$$q = constant (S \times IMEP)^n$$
 (2)

The theory predicts that geometrically similar engines all have the same value of n. The relation between heat rejection and engine size for geometrically similar engines operating at the same piston speed and IMEP is given by

11,714H

$$\frac{2}{M} = CONSTANT (l)^{N-1}$$
 (3)



The purpose of this thesis was to investigate the validity of the theory with respect to the effect of engine size on heat rejection. Three geometrically similar spark ignition internal combustion engines installed in Sloan Laboratory were used in this . investigation.

The results of this study give, for the M.I.T. G.S.E., a value of .6 for the exponent n defined in equation (2) and a value of .9 for n in equation (3). By theory these two values should be the same. The results, therefore, indicate that as engine size increases, more heat per unit area is rejected than predicted by theory.

Since this study represents the first attempt to correlate engine size and heat rejection, it is recommended that further studies be conducted on the M.I.T. G.S.E. with particular emphasis on the effects of friction, spark advance, and thermal efficiency on heat rejection. It is further recommended that attempt be made to measure separately the heat rejected to the cylinder walls, cylinder head, lubricating oil and in the exhaust gas.

iii



May 16, 1952

Professor J. C. Newell Secretary of the Faculty Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts

Dear Professor Newell:

In compliance with the requirements for the degree of Naval Engineer from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, we hereby submit a thesis entitled, "A Study of the Effect of Engine Size on Heat Rejection."



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge with gratitude the suggestions, assistance, helpful advice, and criticisms given by:

Professor A. R. Rogowski Professor C. F. Taylor Professor W. A. Leary Professor R. D. Douglass Professor W. H. McAdams Mr. J. C. Livingood Mr. D. S. Doremus Mr. J. A. Caloggero

•







TABLE OF CONTENTS

																							Page
Abstr	act .	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	٠	ii
Lette	r of T	rans	smi	.tt	al		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iv
Ackno	wledge	ment	t	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	v
Nomen	clatur	е.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	l
Intro	ductio	n.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
Proce	dure	• •	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
Resul	ts.	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	20
Discu	ssion	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	36
Conclu	usions	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	47
Recom	mendat	ions	3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	0	48
Append	dix .	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	50
A.	Descri	lpti	on	0	f]	En	gi	ne	s	an	ıd	As	sac	ci	at	ed	E]qu	ip	me	nt	; •	51
в.	Water	Flo	W	Me	asi	ur	en	ien	t	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	65
С.	Air F	low	Me	ası	ir	Θm	er	ıt	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	70
D.	Fuel 1	Flow	/ M	ea	su	re	me	nt	;	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	77
E.	Fuel	and	Lu	br	Lca	an	ts	;	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	83
F.	Origin	nal	Da	ta	ar	nd	. 5	um	mø	ry	0	ſ	Ca	lc	ul	at	ic	ns		•	•	•	84
G.	Bibli	ogra	ph	У	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	105

9



ø

NOMENCLATURE

a,b,c,	Constant
BHP	Brake horsepower
BMEP	Brake mean effective pressure
BPSA	Best power spark advance
°BTC	Degrees before top center
Cp	Specific heat at constant pressure
D	Diameter
е	Volumetric efficiency
Ec	Lower heating value of fuel
F	Fuel air ratio
FHP	Friction horsepower
FMEP	Friction mean effective pressure
G	Mass velocity
GSE	Geometrically similar engines
h	Brake scale absolute reading
h	Coefficient of heat transfer between fluid and surface
hi	Local individual coefficient of heat transfer for the inner surface.
ho	Local individual coefficient of heat transfer for the outer surface
IHP	Indicated horsepower
IMEP	Indicated mean effective pressure
k	Thermal conductivity of fluid
k _w	Thermal conductivity of cylinder wall
K	Overall dynometer constant
K	Orifice flow coefficient
٤	Characteristic dimension
m	Exponent



Ma	Air flow rate
1 _f	Fuel flow rate
n	Exponent
N	Revolutions per unit time
Pa	Atmospheric pressure
Pe	Exhaust pressure
Pi	Inlet air pressure
ΔP	Pressure drop across orifice
psia	Absolute pressure
psig	Gage pressure
q	Rate of heat flow
Q	Rate of heat flow
Re	Reynolds number
RPM	Revolutions per minute
S	Piston speed ft/min
SA	Spark advance
4T	Mean gas temperature minus wall temperature
Tf	Fuel temperature
Th	Cylinder head temperature
Ti	Inlet air temperature
тј	Water jacket temperature
U	Local overall heat transfer coefficient
u	Local velocity
Vd	Displacement volume
Xw	Cylinder wall thickness
Yl	Orifice espansion factor
η.	Brake thermal efficiency
ni	Indicated thermal efficiency

Nm Mechanical efficiency

1

- 🗭 Function
- Absolute viscosity
- P Density



INTRODUCTION

The principle of similitude has been used as a tool by the Naval Engineer and Naval Architect since the days of William Froude, in 1870, to predict the performance of ships and propellers from the results of small scale model tests. The concept of dimensional analysis and its application to controlled model experiment have become a powerful tool in producing a practical solution to design problems in many engineering applications.

In the past twenty years a considerable amount of theory has been developed [1] concerning the performance and behavior of geometrically similar internal combustion engines. The validity of certain relations such as weight, gravity and inertia stresses can be demonstrated by mathematical proof [2], but the more complex relationships of heat rejection, combustion and detonation, friction and wear cannot be predicted by theory alone nor proved mathematically. The M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engines, described in Appendix A, have therefore been built as a means of attacking these and other complex problems through controlled experimentation on equipment which faithfully fulfills the conditions of similitude as they are presently understood.

Because of high cyclic temperatures existing inside the cylinder of an internal combustion engine while operating, it is necessary to remove heat from the cylinder and associated metal parts to prevent destruction.

Numbers in brackets refer to reference numbers.

4.



This is universally accomplished by circulating a cooling fluid, usually water, oil, or air, in or around the cylinder walls and heads. In a closed loop water cooling system some means must be provided for removing the cylinder heat from the cooling fluid before it can be recirculated. In order to design such a means, it is desirable to be able to predict the amount of heat which must be removed from a given engine and how this amount of heat will vary as the size of the engine is varied. The purpose of this study is to determine by experimentation with the M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engines the effect of engine size on heat rejection. Although the problem is here applied specifically to the internal combustion engine the broader implication to the problem of relating size and behavior of any power unit is obvious.

The general relation for the heat transferred from one fluid through a solid wall to another fluid was first expressed by Newton as

$$dq = U dA \Delta t$$
 (1)

For this case, considering no foreign material such as scale on either side of the solid wall, the local overall heat transfer coefficient U may be defined as follows:

$$\frac{1}{U} = \frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{x_{w}}{k_w} + \frac{1}{h_o}$$
(2)

where hi and ho are the "local individual coefficients of heat transfer"* for the inner and outer surfaces *McAdams, W. H., <u>Heat Transmission</u>, McGraw-Hill, N.Y., 1942.



respectively, and xw and kw are the thickness and thermal conductivity of the solid wall. The terms of equation (2) may be considered as resistances to heat flow. Since the thermal conductivity of metals, k_w , is large compared to xw in engines of usual size, the resistance is small. In the case of an engine cooling system, where heat must be transferred from a hot gas through the cylinder, to a coolant, the value of ho may also be very large compared with h; as long as the coolant in contact with the wall remains a liquid. Therefore, with ho and kw large, as is the case for an engine, hi becomes the controlling factor in determining the overall coefficient U, and hence the amount of heat transferred. In order to determine a relationship for h, let us compare the geometry of an engine cylinder with the geometry of shapes for which heat transfer study has been made. The engine cylinder most closely approximates a pipe. For this case McAdams in reference [6] gives by dimensional analysis

$$\frac{hD}{R} = \varphi\left(\frac{DG}{\mathcal{H}}, \frac{C_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{K}}\right) \tag{3}$$

which may be rewritten as

$$\frac{hl}{k} = \varphi\left(\frac{\rho ul}{u}, \frac{c_{p} u}{k}\right) \tag{4}$$

Assuming a power function McAdams rewrites (4) in the following form

$$\frac{hl}{R} = \text{constant} \left(\frac{\rho u l}{u} \right)^n \left(\frac{c \rho u}{k} \right)^m \tag{5}$$



Since from (1)

$$h = \Re A \Delta T$$
 (6)

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial A \Delta T} = C_{ONST} \left(\frac{\rho u l}{4} \right)^n \left(\frac{c_{\rho u}}{R} \right)^m$$
(7)

or

$$q = a A \Delta T (pu)^n \frac{k}{l} \left(\frac{l}{u}\right)^n \left(\frac{Cpu}{k}\right)^m \tag{8}$$

For gases

$$\frac{C_{p,\mathcal{H}}}{k} = C_{onstant}$$
, $k = (C_{p,\mathcal{H}}) \cdot C_{onstant}$ (9)

Then equation (8) may be written as

$$q = b A \Delta T C_{p} \left(p u \right)^{n} \left(\frac{l}{\mathcal{A}} \right)^{n-1}$$
(10)

Applying equation (10) to the transfer of heat from gases in an internal combustion engine through the cylinder walls, if we take the density of the gases in the cylinder as represented by inlet density times volumetric efficiency, and the velocity as represented by piston speed, and the heat transfer area as proportional to \mathcal{L}^2 we get

$$q = b(l^2)(\Delta T)C_{\rho}(\rho_{i}eS)^{n}(\frac{l}{u})^{n-1}$$
(11)

where ΔT is some mean gas temperature minus the wall


temperature, \mathcal{P}_i is the inlet density, e the volumetric efficiency, S the average piston speed, \mathcal{M} some mean gas viscosity, and \mathcal{L} some characteristic dimension.

Equation (11) is an approximation at best and its application involves theoretical difficulties. The velocity of the gas during exhaust blowdown at the exhaust valve is equal to the speed of sound in the gas and is independent of the piston speed. $\Delta T, C_P, P, M, U, R$, and the speed of sound vary continuously during the cycle. Further, the temperatures of the surfaces in contact with the gases are not all the same. However, despite the limitations involved, experiments on individual engines [1] , where $\mathcal L$ the size factor is a constant, have shown that equation (11) gives a good approximation for the rate of heat transfer from cylinder gases. The authors propose to determine in this study whether or not equation (11) may be used to approximate the rate of heat transfer for an engine in which the size is varied. By using the three Geometrically Similar Engines, we have in effect, one engine whose size has been varied. Geometric similarity is confined to the engines themselves; however, associated equipment is such that similarity of operating conditions may be maintained.

To the best of the authors' knowledge these engines are the only set of geometrically similar engines in existence; as no prior work on heat rejection has been done using these engines, this study represents the



first attempt at direct experimental verification of equation (11) with size as a variable.

In order to obtain data which may be correlated with engine size, the effect of other variables appearing in equation (11) must be eliminated by maintaining them similar or constant for the three engines. This involves maintaining the specific heat C_p and the viscosity \mathcal{M} of the working gas, ΔT , the temperature difference between the working gas and the cylinder wall, and the product ($\beta : e S$) constant.

 C_p and \mathcal{M} are functions of the gas temperature. Therefore if the average gas temperature is constant, C_D and \mathcal{M} will be constant. The gas temperature is a function of the fuel air ratio, if the compression ratio and thermal efficiency are constant. With compression ratio and fuel-air ratio constant, the thermal efficiency is dependent on spark advance. The point of optimum spark advance (greatest output) varies with load and with speed. If spark advance is maintained constant, thermal efficiency will vary with load and speed. Varying thermal efficiency will vary the exhaust gas temperature, and hence vary the average gas temperature. However, theory predicts [1] and experiments have verified [3] that, at constant load and speed, similar engines have the same thermal efficiency at the same spark advance. Therefore, for similar conditions of operation, speed and load, thermal efficiency and average gas temperature will be constant, and therefore $\mathtt{C}_{\mathtt{p}}$ and \mathcal{H} , the viscosity, will both be constant. In addition,

the results of other experiments indicate that the change in the rate of heat transfer is not large when the spark advance is changed a small amount [1] . In view of the above, it appears that the error introduced by using a constant spark advance for all the engines at all conditions of load and speed may be neglected.

As the size of the engine is varied, another difficulty is encountered. For geometrically similar cylinders of different sizes q varies as $\Delta T A(l)^{n-1}$ or $\Delta T(l)^{n+1}$ if all other conditions are constant. By dimensional analysis it may be shown that for heat flow through any solid body, in this case the cylinder wall,

$$q = k_w l \Delta T_w f(R_1, R_2, R_3, \dots R_n) \qquad (12)$$

where R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , are ratios describing the shape of the body, and ΔT_w is temperature drop in the cylinder wall.

If equations (11) and (12) are to give the same results, and assuming that the gas temperature is the same in each case, ΔT_{ur} in equation (12) must be varied as l^n to keep ΔT in equation (11) constant. This means that in order to have the same temperature drop across the cylinder walls of similar engines, the temperature of the cooling fluid would have to be lowered as \mathcal{A} is increased. This may be impracticable or undesirable when changes in size are made. However, if the thermal conductivity of the cylinder wall is large, the temperature drop through the wall will be

and the second sec

small if its thickness is a reasonable size. Since this is the case, the drop through the wall will be small in comparison with the total temperature drop from the gas to the cooling fluid. Therefore as an approximation the temperature difference ΔT in equation (11) may be considered constant for the three engines.

The product ($\rho i e S$) in equation (11) is not dependent on size and must be held constant if correlation with size is to be obtained. At constant gas temperature and thermal efficiency, as has been assumed, the mean gas density represented by ($\rho i e$) is proportional to the indicated mean effective pressure. Consequently, equation (11) may be written in the form

$$\frac{\theta}{\mathcal{U}^2} = \operatorname{const} (\operatorname{IMEP} X S)^n (\mathcal{L})^{n-1}$$
(13)

The IMEP is equal to the sum of friction mean effective pressure, which may be measured, and the brake mean effective pressure which may be measured and controlled. The piston speed, S, may be measured and controlled, therefore the product (IMEP) χ (S) may be measured and varied for each engine within the limits of its operating range. At any operating point where the product (IMEP) χ (S) is the same for the three engines the effect of this variable will be constant and may be eliminated.

It is seen that the effect of all factors not dependent on size in equation (11) may be eliminated.

The equation in its simplest form relating engine size to heat rejection may, therefore, be written as

$$8/p^2 = \text{CONSTANT}(L)^{n-1}$$
 (14)

Equation (11) predicts that heat rejection, with $\rho_i, e, S, L, C\rho$, and \mathcal{A} constant, is dependent on ΔT . Neglecting the variation in the temperature drop through the cylinder wall as size is varied, ΔT , with the gas temperature constant, is dependent on the average jacket temperature. Therefore, if heat rejection is plotted against the average jacket temperature, this curve may be extrapolated to the point at which no heat will be rejected. The temperature of the jacket at this point should be the same as the average temperature of the working gas in the cylinder.

PROCEDURE

The experimental procedure used was to maintain similarity of operating conditions between the three engines. The data obtained were such that correlation between engine size and heat rejection could be obtained by eliminating the effects of non-related variables by maintaining their effects constant. If curves of heat rejection plotted against the product of the load and the speed factors ($\rho_i \in S$) for each engine (\mathcal{L} -constant) are obtained, cross plots may be drawn at constant ($\rho_i \in S$), over a range of values, yielding curves of heat rejection plotted against the size factor \mathcal{L} .

The procedure described above, yielded information relating heat rejection to the output of the engine. The same procedure also yielded information relating heat rejection to the input to the engine, which, at constant fuel air ratio may be measured by the amount of air taken by the engine.

Likewise, data were obtained by which heat rejection may be related to the jacket temperature or ΔT , the effect of other factors being eliminated as far as possible. This was achieved by maintaining speed, air consumption at constant fuel air ratio, and all other operating variables constant or similar for the three engines while the average jacket temperature was varied independently.

In order to preserve similarity between the engines, lubricating oils were used with varying viscosity so that

the ratio $(\frac{\mu}{l})$ at 250°F was constant for the three engines.

For all runs, data were taken only after conditions had stabilized. The length of time between readings and the number of readings taken were determined by the degree of stability attained. During all runs at least 2 observers were present to maintain precise control and to insure nearly simultaneous data observation. For most runs satisfactory stability was easily obtained and maintained.

The actual values chosen for each of the operating variables in each series of test runs and the measuring means are given below.

Friction runs:

FMEP was measured by motoring each engine. This was done with electric dynamometers, and a hydraulic scale. Piston speed was arbitrarily varied over a range of 600 ft/minute to 2000 ft/minute and FMEP was measured at constant exhaust pressure and three inlet pressures for each piston speed. Thus a family of curves was obtained for each engine from which the FMEP may be read for any speed or inlet pressure by interpolation or extrapolation.

Firing runs on the $2\frac{1}{2}$ " engine indicated that with an inlet air temperature of 150°F, which was used for friction runs on that engine, fuel evaporation was incomplete. Therefore a value of 160°F was chosen, and this value was used for the friction runs for the 6" engine. However, as the inlet air temperature has small effect on the pumping friction only, it was decided that the friction data obtained for the $2\frac{1}{2}$ " and 4" engines were adequate, for the purpose intended.

The dynamometer zero position was determined by taking an average of 20 steady state zero positions reached after displacement from rest. The dynamometer coupling was disconnected during this determination. After a zero reading was found, the scale was adjusted to read zero.

SUMMARY OF OPERATING VARIABLES

Variable	Value	Means of Measurement	
Air consumption	Not controlled	edged orifice. (AP air	
Air inlet temperature	160 ⁰ F	by water manometer) Thermocouple in inlet pipe	
Main bearing temperature	Not controlled	Thermocouple	
Air inlet pressure	28" Hg to 10" Hg	Mercury manometer	
Exhaust pressure	l" Hg	Mercury manometer	
Oil sump temperature	150 °F	Mercury thermometer	
Main bearing oil supply temperature	Not controlled	Mercury thermometer	
Oil pressure	50 psi	Bourdon pressure gauge	
Piston speed	600-2000 ft./min.	Stroboscope and tachometer	
Water circulation rate through jacket	.04 lb/sec/in ²	ASME standard orifice	
Water jacket temperature	145°F	Mercury thermometer Dyn. & Hydraulic scale. Scale reading by mercury manometer.	
FMEP	Variable		

Heat Rejection Runs:

The procedure for firing runs was similar to that for friction runs in that piston speed was arbitrarily varied over a range of 600 ft./min. to 2000 ft./min. and inlet pressures were varied at each speed to give a range of BMEPS. Operating conditions were controlled as far as possible so that the friction curves would be directly applicable to obtain the IMEP at which the engine was

operating during each run.

At low speeds some difficulty was experienced in maintaining stable conditions at low brake loads. This difficulty was partially eliminated by changing the size of the air orifice for low loads. The orifice sizes chosen were such that the pressure drop across the orifices were sufficient to insure accurate air measurements.

The cooling water system used was so designed that only a portion of the water being circulated was cooled. The cooled water was then mixed with the uncooled water and recirculated through the engine. This was done in order to obtain a large temperature difference and thus increase the accuracy of the heat rejection measurement. The portion of the water to be cooled was determined arbitrarily, but, was such that a large temperature drop across the cooler was obtained while maintaining constant circulation rate through the engine water jacket. The recirculating portion of the cooling water system was insulated to prevent excessive unaccountable heat losses. The fuel-air ratio chosen was .078 pounds fuel per pound of air. This value, being a rather rich mixture, was chosen so that unavoidable variations in fuel-air ratio would have a little effect as possible on heat rejection. Fuel-air ratio of .078 also makes it possible for later workers to enter these data on Hottel charts in studying fuel-air cycles of similar engines. Although a previous investigation [3] indicated that the fuel-air ratio for best power for these engines is .073, it was decided that



a richer mixture would probably insure more nearly similar conditions. It was also observed from brake reading that .073 is lower than the actual best power fuel-air ratio.

The gasoline used was a commercial unleaded automotive fuel. An unleaded fuel was used to prevent lead deposits on the heat transfer surfaces which would alter the heat transfer characteristics of the surfaces during the period of testing. Before taking any data, the cylinder heads were removed and cleaned to remove the lead deposits resulting from previous firing of the engines. The cooling system was flushed with detergent and refilled with a weak rust prevention solution (potassium chromate).

After investigating previous work on these engines [3] it was found that best power spark advance varied from twenty to forty degrees depending on bore, RPM, and F. It was decided that 25 degrees spark advance was a good mean value at which all engines would operate satisfactorily at all conditions planned; therefore this value was used for all runs.

The spark plugs assigned to the engines are as follows:

	6" engine	- (Champion	' or e	quival	lent	
	4" engine	- (Champion	J8 or	equiva	alent	
	$2\frac{1}{2}$ " engine	- (Champion	Y-4A o	r equi	valent	;
	These plugs	represe	ent the	medium	heat r	cange,	being
ł	ner hot nor c	old. 1	Because	the low	densi	ty of	charge

neit

a gap of .035" was selected for all engines and all runs.

at the higher vacuum runs makes wide spark gap desirable,

SUMMARY OF OPERATING VARIABLES

Variable	Value	Means of Measurement	
Air consumption	Measured variable	Standard ASME square edged orifice. $(\Delta P ain)$	
Air inlet temperature	160 ⁰ F	Thermocouple	
Air inlet pressure	As noted above	Mercury manometer	
Main bearing temperature	Not controlled	Thermocouple	
Exhaust pressure	l" Hg	Mercury manometer	
Oil sump temperature	150°F	Mercury thermometer	
Oil to bearings supply temperature	Not controlled	Mercury thermometer	
0il pressure	Approx. 50 psi	Bourden pressure gauge	
Fuel - air ratio	.078	Calculated	
Fuel flow rate	As required for const. F	Calibrated rotameter	
Fuel temperature	Not controlled	Mercury thermometer	
Piston speed	2000 ft./min.	Stroboscope	
Water circulation rate through jacket	.04 lb/sec/in ²	ASME standard orifice	
Water jacket temperature	145 ⁰ F average	Mercury thermometer	
Water circulation rate through cooler	Measured variable	Calibrated rotameter	
Water temp. difference through cooler	Measured variable	Mercury thermometer	
BMEP	Measured variable	scale. Scale readings by Mercury manometer.	

Heat Rejection at Varying Jacket Temperature:

Data were taken at only one condition of speed and load. A piston speed of 1200 ft./min. and inlet pressure of 3" Hg. vacuum when the average jacket temperature was 145° were chosen as operating points. As the jacket temperature was varied from 145°, the air consumption



was maintained at the same value, rather than the inlet pressure. This was done to eliminate dependence on equal volumetric efficiencies for similarity between the three engines. Unequal volumetric efficiencies were possible because of the dissimilar air intake systems. Otherwise operating procedure was the same as for the heat rejection runs described above. Data for as wide a range of average jacket temperatures as was possible to obtain, with the equipment used, were taken.

RESULTS

Figure	I	Friction MEP (Motoring) versus Piston Speed, 2 ¹ / ₂ " G.S.E.
Figure	II	Friction MEP (Motoring) versus Piston Speed, 4" G.S.E.
Figure	III	Friction MEP (Motoring) versus Piston Speed, 6" G.S.E.
Figure	IV	Friction MEP (Motoring) versus Piston Speed, Three G.S.E
Figure	v	Heat Rejection versus (S) (IMEP)
Figure	VI	Output versus Input
Figure	VI-A	Output versus Input
Figure	VII	Heat Rejection versus Air Flow - Ma
Figure	VIII	Heat Rejection versus Air Flow - Ma/l^2
Figure	IX	Heat Rejection versus Size at Constant Air Flow
Figure	X	Size versus Heat Rejection at Constant Piston Speed
Figure	XI	Heat Rejection versus Air Consumption
Figure	XII	Heat Rejection versus Cylinder Head Temperature with Constant Water Jacket Temperature
Figure	XIII	Heat Rejection versus Water Jacket Temperature
Figure	VIX	Heat Rejection versus Cylinder Head Temperature with Various Water Jacket Temperatures










































PIN INCHES















,









DISCUSSION

Friction

In order to ascertain IMEP for the heat rejection runs it was decided to measure BMEP by the dynamometer and add FMEP read from curves to obtain IMEP. The curves were constructed from data obtained by motoring the engines, and fair correlation of the individual engines was obtained. However, when the data are plotted for the three engines at constant inlet pressure there is poor correlation of results. Theory states that FMEP due to viscous friction will be equal for similar engines when the ratio of the lubricant viscosity to a characteristic dimension is the same for each engine. FMEP due to coulomb friction is considered proportional to load, represented by IMEP, and should also be equal for similar engines.

Determination of FMEP firing from motoring test data is a questionable procedure. Such factors as lower gas pressure in the cylinder, lower piston and cylinder temperature, cleaner and cooler oil on the cylinder walls and the different pressure differential during the exhaust process make a correlation of motoring and firing friction uncertain. However, the errors introduced by these factors are not all in the same direction and their effects tend to cancel. Therefore, in many cases, it may be justified to take motoring friction as approximately equal to firing friction.

The results of the friction runs are shown in Figures I, II, and III. Figure IV shows a comparison of the FMEP



for each engine at the same conditions. This comparison clearly shows that the friction for the three engines was not in accordance with theory. This fact has previously been reported by Gaboury et al. in reference [3], and is not entirely unexpected considering the complex nature of friction and the difficulty in maintaining the ratio of oil viscosity to the linear dimension constant. Heat Rejection

Figure V is a plot of equation (13) for each engine, \mathcal{L} a constant. This curve clearly shows the effect of size on heat rejection. As theory predicts, the rate of heat rejection from the smaller engines per unit area is greater than that for the larger engine. One of the variables of this curve is IMEP. There is some doubt as to the accuracy of the measurement of this quantity, because of the inherent inaccuracies present in taking motoring friction as a measure of firing friction.

As a check on the accuracy of the measurement of FMEP, Figures VI and VI-A were plotted. These are plots of input versus output as measured. If, as theory predicts, indicated efficiencies for similar engines are equal at similar conditions, these plots would result in a single line for the three engines. In the actual plot of Figure VI there was a marked difference in output for a given input with change in size. If the three engines do not have equal efficiencies for similar conditions, the deviation from a single straight line could be explained on this basis. The deviation could also be explained if

it were to be determined that the values of IMEP used are actually in error. This latter explanation is probably the true one. Other investigators [3] have reported differences in motoring friction and firing friction. The assumption that motoring friction would be sufficiently accurate was apparently unjustified in this case.

Therefore, rather than attempting to correlate heat rejection for a given output, the measurement of which is doubtful, a plot which shows the relation between heat rejection from the engines and inputs to the engines was made. This plot is shown in Figure VII. At constant thermal efficiency, which may properly be assumed when conditions are similar, output is proportional to input. In this Figure VII, input is measured by the air consumption of the engines. In order to obtain correlation between the various engines the plot shown in Figure VIII was made. This plot which eliminates the uncertainties due to output measurement, provides a valid basis for determining the effect of size on heat rejection.

Figure VIII is similar in form to Figure V, though the curves for the three engines are more closely grouped. For a given engine, ℓ constant, equation (13) may be reduced to

$$\frac{q}{l^2} = \text{const.} \left[(\text{IMEP})(5) \right]^n$$
(15)

Theory predicts that the value of h in equation (15)



is the same for similar engines. In Figure VIII, equation (15) plots as a straight line for each engine. The slopes of the curves give values of .688, .651, and .578 for the exponent \aleph for the $2\frac{1}{2}$ ", 4" and 6" G.S.E. respectively. The difference in values of *n* may be explained by considering the variation of thermal efficiencies caused by using constant spark advance. A lower thermal efficiency, at constant fuel air ratio, means a higher exhaust temperature. A higher exhaust temperature raises the average ΔT . Since the rate of heat flow is proportional to the temperature difference, a lowering of thermal efficiency would result in a greater heat flow. With a spark advance of 25° used in this study, it is likely that for a given engine the thermal efficiency at low speed would be greater than at high speeds. Considering this, it appears that a higher rate of heat flow was actually present at high speed than would have existed had spark advance been adjusted with speed to maintain constant thermal efficiency. The order of magnitude of the error introduced by neglecting this consideration is believed to be small; however the tendency of compensating for this error would be to rotate each line in a clockwise direction about the lower end. If theory is correct in predicting a greater rate of heat rejection from the smaller engine, the curve for the $2\frac{1}{2}$ " engine would be rotated a little more than the curves for the larger engines. This would tend to make the exponents n more nearly equal for the three engines. Therefore, it is concluded that similar

engines do have a constant value for the exponent n in equation (15). This value is approximately .6 for the M.I.T. G.S.E.

Figure XI shows the relation of heat rejection to input on the basis of total rather than heat rejection per unit area as is shown in Figure VII. The orientation of the curves with respect to each other is different from Figure VII because of the difference in total heat transfer surfaces of the three engines. The values of n obtained from these curves are the same as those read from Figures VII and VIII.

Figure VIII may be used as a basis for determining the effect of size with other conditions similar or constant. This may be done by making a cross plot at constant rate of air flow per square inch. The results of such a cross plot are shown in Figure IX. The two curves plotted were taken at different points of constant input. The slope of these curves should be the exponent (n - 1) in equation (14). The fact that the slopes are different may be explained by considering the effects which made the various n's different in Figure VIII. The correction to Figure VIII discussed above would tend to make the slopes of the curves in Figure IX more nearly equal. The actual values of n found from the cross plots vary from .96 to .832. These values are in variance with the value predicted by theory. Theory predicts that the value of n should be the same as those obtained from plotting equation (15).



In order to check the conclusions which may be based on the results shown in Figure IX, Figure X was plotted. Figure X is a plot of actual measured rate of heat rejection from each engine at constant piston speed and inlet pressure versus engine size. Under these conditions, the thermal efficiency of each engine is constant. With constant F, all factors except size in equation (11) are constant for the three engines. The values of n so obtained from curves in Figure X are .90 and .805. The difference between the two values so obtained may be explained by inherent inaccuracies in experimental measurement. These values of n obtained by this method are substantially in agreement with those obtained by the cross plots of Figure IX and both are in variance of the value .6 predicted by theory. This study indicates that the theory is correct in predicting that a large engine will reject less heat per unit area of heat transfer surface than a smaller engine, but that the theory is in error in predicting the order of magnitude of the effect of engine size.

The results of this study are not in complete accordance with theory. As pointed out in the introduction, the basic equation of heat transfer was applied to an internal combustion engine in spite of the formidable theoretical difficulties involved in so doing. If the cyclic operation of an internal combustion engine and the other complications involved do in truth prevent the application of an equation derived for flow in pipes to

an engine, then there is reason to expect results in variance with theory.

Before concluding on the basis of experimental results that the theory is inadequate, the complete applicability of the data must be established. There are sources of error for which the data collected do not account. One of these errors is due to the fact that a portion of the heat rejection measured was not from the working gas but rather from mechanical friction in the cylinder. The heat rejection was not measured during the motoring friction runs except for the 6" engine. Within the limitations of experimental accuracy. data collected for the 6" engine motoring indicated that the heat rejection from the cylinder varied from about 36 BTU/min at lowest speed to 250 BTU/min at highest speed. A portion of this heat was due to the incoming air being at a higher temperature than the jacket water. To separate heat from this source from the heat due to friction is impossible. In any case, the applicability of the motoring data to the firing runs is subject to question. However, if a correction were made for friction heat, the tendency would be to decrease the heat flow at higher speeds. Another source of error is due to some heat from the working gas going to the lubricating oil and some by conduction to the engine foundation. These quantities of heat were not measured. If this correction were applied the result would be opposite in sense to the correction due to friction heat.

Whether the two corrections would cancel each other is not known. For lack of better information, it may be assumed that the results shown by this study are approximately correct as far as these two errors are concerned.

The largest source of heat loss in an internal combustion engine is the heat in the exhaust gases. No attempt was made to measure the temperature of the exhaust gases of the engine nor to account for the additional heat transfer due to the high velocities existing during the exhaust process. The theory however, also neglects these considerations.

The most probable source of error is inherent in the assumption that since the fuel air ratio was constant at all times, the gas temperature was constant. The theory as advanced acknowledges the difference in ΔT due to variation in cylinder wall thickness. Therefore the results should not be corrected for this difference in the various engines. Allowing this approximation,

 ΔT is dependent on the jacket temperature and the gas temperature. Jacket temperature was accurately controlled and was in fact maintained constant. Fuel-air ratio was accurately controlled within the degree of accuracy of the equipment used, and may be considered constant. However, the fuel supplied was proportional to the inlet air only. The inlet air on the suction stroke, is mixed with the residual gases in the cylinder. The conditions of similarity require that exhaust pressure be
maintained constant, which was accurately done. As inlet pressure is varied, the gases in the cylinder are composed of varying proportions of inlet air end residual gas. At very low inlet pressures, the proportion of inlet air to residual gas is less that at higher inlet pressures. Considering this, it may be concluded that the gas temperature is indeed less at a low inlet pressure than at a high inlet pressure. The effect of this variation of gas temperature on heat transfer would depend on the order of magnitude of the temperature variation. Neither theory nor experimental results account for this variation. However, the fact remains that this condition does exist in any actual engine. Therefore, if the theory is applicable, no correction to experimental results is required.

In view of the above discussion it is considered that the experimental data obtained is applicable and the experimental results may be compared with predicted results. Therefore the conclusion that engine size does not have the magnitude of effect predicted by theory is justified.

Cylinder Head Temperatures

Theory of heat flow states that as the amount of heat flow across a body increases, the temperature drop across the path of flow must increase. Figure XII, a plot of cylinder head temperature versus heat flow per unit area, for each engine, clearly shows the proportionality of heat flow and temperature drop. A size effect is clearly

indicated though the correlation is not clear.

Theoretically the curves should intersect the axis at the jacket temperature, the point at which the temperature difference and consequently the heat flow are zero. The curves do not intersect at 145°F at the axis for two reasons; first, the ordinate is total heat per unit area through the cylinder walls and head rather than through the head alone, and second, the ordinate also contains heat due to piston friction. If the ordinate of the curves are reduced by the amount of heat flow through the walls the curves would be lowered and the slope decreased. If a correction for friction is made, since there is more friction at high speeds, the slopes would be decreased. Therefore, the combined effect of these two corrections would be to lower and rotate the curves clockwise, tending to bring their intersection closer to the predicted point. Heat rejection at various jacket temperatures

In order to justify the assumption that a constant overall temperature difference existed in the three engines, runs at constant IMEP and piston speed were made while varying average jacket temperature. Theoretically, if the curve of heat rejection versus jacket temperature is extrapolated to zero heat rejection, the jacket temperature at this point will be equal to the mean gas temperature in the cylinder.

The results of these runs are shown in Figures XIII and XIV. While fairly good curves were obtained for

each engine, and a size effect was clearly indicated, the correlation between engines was obscure. When extrapolation is attempted in Figure XIII, no common point of intersection is found. Figure XIV, in which cylinder head temperature is plotted against heat rejection gives no better results. This curve would not be expected to give pertinent information unless the ordinate were heat rejection through the head alone rather than total heat rejection.

The difficulty in obtaining a common point of intersection in Figure XIII may be due to the fact that either extrapolation is not justified, because of the narrow range of temperatures which could be used, or the data on which the curves are based are unreliable. At the higher jacket temperature runs, boiling of the cooling water in the jacket was detected. Accurate water flow measurement under such conditions was difficult.

Considering the above, conclusions based on information in Figure XIII are not justified. If the range of possible jacket temperatures were extended by the use of a cooling fluid with a higher boiling point, more reliable data could be obtained.

CONCLUSIONS

- Heat transfer theory, as applied to internal combustion engines, in the form of equation (11), may be used to approximate heat rejection from the cylinder gases.
- Similar engines, considered independently, act as theory predicts. The value of n in equation (15) is approximately .6 for the M.I.T. G.S.E.
- Large engines reject less heat per unit area than small engines.
- 4. The effect of engine size on heat rejection per unit area is less than predicted by theory. The value of n in equation (14) is approximately .9 for the M.I.T. G.S.E.
- 5. Motoring friction may not be taken as a measure of firing friction for the M.I.T. G.S.E.



RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to augment the information resulting from this study and to provide a basis for future studies using the M.I.T. G.S.E., it is recommended that:

- An attempt be made to correlate heat rejection data obtained in this study with IMEP measured by indicator cards rather than by motoring friction and BMEP.
- Heat rejection due to friction be measured during motoring.
- A cooling circuit be engineered whereby heat rejection to the oil in the crankcase can be measured.
- 4. An attempt be made to measure separately the heat rejection through the cylinder walls and through the cylinder head.
- 5. The effect of the use of varying spark advance with load and speed to obtain constant efficiency, as opposed to the use of constant spark advance to maintain similar areas exposed to the burning gases, be studied.
- 6. Heat rejected in the exhaust gas be measured.
- 7. Additional thermocouples be installed in the cylinder heads and walls in order to better approximate the temperature drop across them.



- 8. The range of possible jacket temperatures be extended by the use of a coolant with a high boiling point.
- 9. The validity of selecting lubricating oils with a single temperature used as a basis for selecting equal *H* ratios be investigated and compared with the feasibility of having a series of lubricating oils with equal ratios at several different temperatures or using a lubricant, perhaps one of the silicones, in which temperature has less effect on viscosity.
- 10. The use of contact oil seals in these engines be discontinued in order to attempt to eliminate uncertain performance in friction forces. It is suggested that a non-contact labyrinth type seal be investigated as a possible replacement.
- II. Future studies be made, with the heat rejection cooling system installed by the authors, in order to supplement the experimental data in this study relating heat rejection and engine size.

APPENDIX

N ١.

APPENDIX A

DESCRIPTION OF ENGINES & ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT

Table	A-I	Description of Engines
Figure	A-I	M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engines Disassembled
Figure	A-II	M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engines Assembled
Figure	A-III	M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engines Assembled
Figure	A-IV	Schematic Diagram of G.S.E. & Dynamometer
Figure	V− A	Schematic Diagram of Hydraulic Scale Installation
Figure	A-VI	Schematic Diagram of Heat Rejection Circuit
Figure	A-VII	$2\frac{1}{2}$ " M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engine
Figure	A-VIII	4" M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engine
Figure	A-IX	6" M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engine

DESCRIPTION OF ENGINES & ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT

The three geometrically similar engines used in this study were designed and built under the supervision of the Mechanical Engineering Department of The Massachusetts Institute of Technology. They were built to provide a means of study of the effect of size on engine characteristics; to verify a rapidly growing theory of similar engines, and to evolve a more rational approach to engine design.

Geometrically similar engines are defined as engines of different sizes, whose corresponding dimensions bear the same ratio as some characteristic dimension and whose corresponding parts are constructed of the same material. In the case of the M.I.T. engines all linear dimensions are in ratio of the bore diameters, which is the dimension used to identify the individual engines. See Table A-I for detail dimensions. Great care was taken in design to preserve geometric similarity even down to screw thread sizes. C. F. Taylor in Reference (2) describes these engines in considerable detail. It is believed that these are the only completely geometrically similar research engines in existence.

The engines under study, Figures A-I, A-II, and A-III are single cylinder, four stroke cycle, spark ignited internal combustion engines. They are mounted on spring supported bed plates located in individual test cells and are equipped with suitable operating controls and



instrumentation.

Associated equipment includes a double shell vaporizing tank for mixing fuel and air at any desired temperature; a water jacketed exhaust tank with a valve for controlling exhaust pressure; a circulating oil pump provides high velocity circulation through the crank-case and oil heatercooler, a second pressure pump is installed to service the main bearings and cylinder. The four and six inch engines are provided with remote control electrically operated throttle valves, and the two and a half inch engine with a manually operated valve.

Brake measurements are made by rheostat controlled dynamometers equipped with hydraulic scales. Figures A-IV and A-V show schematically the engine and dynamometer set up and the hydraulic scale installation respectively. See also Figures A-VII, A-VIII, and A-IX. The 4" engine is provided with a conventional direct current dynamometer while the $2\frac{1}{2}$ " and the 6" engines are equipped with alternating current dynamometers with magnetic speed control clutches.

The cooling water circuit redesigned and installed by the authors was suggested by Professor Rogowski. It is shown schematically in Figure A-VI and photographically in Figures A-VII, A-VIII and A-IX. This redesigned cooling system provides a large flow, high velocity, small temperature rise main circuit through the cylinder, and a small flow, large temperature drop secondary parallel circuit through the rotameter and heat exchanger. This system ensures that jacket surfaces are adequately cooled and



scrubbed free of gas bubbles and also provides a large temperature difference secondary circuit. The heat rejected is measured in the secondary circuit by accurately measuring the flow through the rotameter and the temperature difference between the water to the rotameter and the water from the heat exchanger. (See Figure A-V). This large temperature difference, of the order of fifty degrees, and an accurately measured nominally large flow provides a reasonably accurate method of determining the heat rejected. This system eliminates the uncertainties involved in measuring the heat rejected to a stream having a small temperature rise as was the case with the original cooling system. This rise, eight to ten degrees, through the cylinder was considered to yield questionable data and therefore the new circuit was designed and installed.



TABLE A-I					
DESCRIPTION OF ENGINES					
Engine	6 "	4 ⁿ	2 <mark>≟</mark> #		
Bore (in)	6.0	4.0	2.5		
Stroke (in)	7.2	4.8	3.0		
Pis ton a rea (in ²)	28.27	12.57	4.91		
v_d (in3)	203.5	60.35	14.71		
Compression ratio	5.74	5.74	5•74		
Inlet valve Clearance cold (in)	.012	•008	.005		
Exh. valve clear. cold (in)	.015	.012	.006		
Piston speed per rpm (ft/min)	1.2	•8	•5		
Spark plug, Champion	J7-18mm	J8	Y-4A		
Valve overlap (deg.)	30	30	30		
Dynamometer					
Scale piston diam. (in)	2.795	1.614	•932		
Dyn. torque arm (in)	21.008	15.765	12.605		
Overall dyn. const. K	1000	4000	15000		
Scale force for 1" Hg. (1b)	3	1	•333		
Bmep	3.89h	3.28h	3.59h		
BMEP	= <u>792,000 H</u> K V	1			
BHP	N h				

e,



Figure A-I M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engines Disassembled
























Figure A-VII 2¹/₂" M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engine







Figure A-VIII 4" M.I.T. Geometrically Similar Engine











APPENDIX B

9

WATER FLOW MEASUREMENT

Figure	B-I	Water	Orifice (Calibration
Figure	B-II	Water	Rotameter	Calibration



APPENDIX B

WATER FLOW MEASUREMENT

Water circulating through the engines was maintained at equal velocities and measured by means of A.S.M.E. standard water orifices. Water to and from the cooler and surge tank was measured by Fischer and Porter Rotameters. Calibration data was taken at 150°F. which was the average temperature through the rotameter during runs. Flow was measured by electrical timer scale for the lower rates and by beam balance and stop watch for higher rates. The floats used had an average zero suppression of fifty percent of maximum flow.

Repeat calibration data was taken at other temperatures to find the effect of variation in viscosity and density. When data taken at 72°F. was plotted it showed a regular plus error of about three percent compared to the 150° calibration curve.

Calibration data was also taken at higher temperatures but in the range from 150° to 200° it was found that the combined effects of viscosity, specific gravity, and cavitation gave erratic results, although the error in the temperature range in which the runs were made at no time was in excess of four percent. It is suggested that this condition might have been improved by maintaining the rotameter under pressure by throttling at the surge tank. Water Orifice and Water Rotameter calibration curves are appended as Figures B-I and B-II respectively.









.

.

*

•

APPENDIX C

AIR FLOW MEASUREMENT

Figure	C-I	Air	Orifice	Calibration	Curve,	21/2	G.S.E.
Figure	C-II	Air	Orifice	Calibration	Curve,	4"	G.S.E.
Figure	C-III	Air	Orifice	Calibration	Curve,	6"	G.S.E.

.

APPENDIX C

AIR FLOW MEASUREMENT

Air flow to all engines was measured by ASME square edged orifices with flange taps located one inch from the upstream and downstream faces of the orifice. The equation for flow through this type orifice is

$$W = .1145 D_2^2 KY \sqrt{\frac{P_1}{T_1}} GY \Delta P \qquad \text{Reference (7)}$$

where

flow rate, 1b. mass/second. W = orifice diameter, inches. $D_2 =$ K = flow coefficient, dimensionless, Table 6.Reference [7]. Y = expansion factor, dimensionless, Table 37, Reference [7]. $P_1 =$ static pressure before orifice, inches H₉ abs. $T_1 =$ temperature before orifice, OF absolute. specific gravity of gas (1.00 for air). G = y = super compressibility factor, dimensionless, Figure 11, Reference (7). ΔP = pressure drop across orifice, inches H₂O.

Flow coefficient K

This coefficient combines the discharge coefficient $C = \frac{\text{actual mass rate of flow}}{\text{theoretical mass rate of flow}}$ and the velocity of approach factor $\frac{1}{1 - (\frac{D_z}{D_r})^4}$ where D_l is the diameter of the pipe.

Expansion factor, Y.

This factor takes into account uncontrolled expansion of the gas after the orifice due to reduced pressure in that region. A table values of Y has been





determined experimentally, Reference (7), and found to fit the following empirical formula.

Y = 1 - $\begin{bmatrix} 0.41 & 0.35 & (\frac{D_2}{D_1})^4 & (\frac{\Delta P}{P_1} \cdot \frac{1}{K}) \end{bmatrix}$ where K = $\frac{C_p}{C_v}$. Pressure drop across orifice, ΔP .

 ΔP was measured by water manometers. No readings were taken at less than 3" of water. For the lower air flows orifice plates were replaced with plates of smaller diameter.

Super-compressibility factor y .

This factor corrects for departure from perfect gas conditions

 $y = \frac{\text{actual density}}{\text{theoretical density}}$

Correction curve.

A flow curve was plotted for standard conditions and mean Reynold's number. This curve was then corrected for the particular Reynold's number at each flow rate. Reynold's number.

$$\mathcal{R}_{e} = \frac{\mathcal{P} u \mathcal{D}_{e}}{\mathcal{I}_{e} u}$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \text{fluid density before orifice, } \frac{\# \text{ mass}}{\text{ft}}$$

$$u = \text{velocity before orifice, } \frac{\# \text{ mass}}{\text{ft/sec}}$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \text{fluid viscosity before orifice, } \frac{\# \text{ mass}}{\text{ft/sec}}$$

Precision.

For flows measured, accuracy is considered to be within -1.5%. Calculations were made for average conditions in the engine cells. Errors due to departures from temperature, pressure, and humidity in the laboratory were not considered to be significant.



Results.

Curves of air flow vs. manometer reading are shown, for the various engines, in Figures C-I, C-II, and C-III. .











.

APPENDIX D

FUEL FLOW MEASUREMENT

Figure	D-I	Fuel	Rotameter	Calibration Curves	в,2 <u></u> ;	',4",6", G.S.E
Figure	D-II	Fuel Fuel	Rotameter Air Ratio	vs. Air Manometer	for	Constant
Figure	D-III	Fuel Fuel	Rotameter Air Ratio	vs. Air Manometer 4" G.S.E.	for	Constant
Figure	D-IV	Fuel Fuel	Rotameter Air Ratio	vs. Air Manometer 6" G.S.E.	for	Constant

APPENDIX D

FUEL FLOW MEASUREMENT

Fuel was measured with Fischer and Porter Rotameters. The Rotameters were calibrated with fuel at room temperature, and curves of fuel flow versus Rotameter reading plotted. From the air calibration curve and the fuel calibration curve cross curves of pressure drop across air orifice in inches of water versus Rotameter reading for constant fuel air ratio of .078 were plotted. Errors due to departure from pressure and temperature conditions for which curves were plotted were found to be insignificant. These curves permitted quick and accurate adjustment of fuel air ratio. Fuel Rotameter curves are shown in Figure D-I, and cross curves for constant fuel air ratio are shown in Figures D-II, D-III, and D-IV.

. .










.

•





· ·





APPENDIX E

FUELS AND LUBRICANTS

4









APPENDIX E

FUELS AND LUBRICANTS

In all runs a premium grade unleaded gasoline was used. The octane number was 91.5 to 92 by research method and 80.5 to 81.5 by motor method.

Similitude with respect to lubricating oils was achieved by mixing SAE 20 and SAE 60 as follows:

2글"	100%	URSA	P	20	(Texad	00	Symbol
4"	54%	URSA	P	60,	46% P	20)
61	95%	URSA	P	60,	5% P	20)

properties of the mixtures are listed in the following table,

Viscosity S.U.S.

Engine	100°F	130°F	210 ⁰ F	Gravity
2불"	349	160.3	52.8	.88
4 "	828	339.0	76.2	•89
6"	1665	627.0	114.1	•90

Oils used were straight mineral, parafin base, distilled with no additives.

It was determined by Gaboury et al. reference [3] that the above oils had the same $\frac{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{L}}$ at 250°F. This temperature was selected arbitrarily to attempt to satisfy lubrication requirements regarding viscous friction. A crankcase inlet temperature of 150° was selected and maintained throughout the runs.

APPENDIX F

ORIGINAL DATA AND SUMMARY OF CALCULATIONS

FRICTION

Table F-I	Motoring Friction Summary 22	",4",6" G.S.E.
Table F-II, F-III	Friction Data 2 ¹ / ₂ " G.S.E.	
Table F-IV, F-V	Friction Data 4" G.S.E.	
Table F-VI, F-VII	Friction Data 6" G.S.E.	
HEAT REJECTION		
Table F-VIII	Heat Rejection Calculations	2 ¹ / ₂ " G.S.E.
Table F-IX	Heat Rejection Calculations	4" G.S.E.
Table F-X	Heat Rejection Calculations	6" G.S.E.
Table F-XI	Heat Rejection Data	2 ^늘 " G.S.E.
Table F-XII, F-XIII	Heat Rejection Data	4" G.S.E.
Table F-XIV	Heat Rejection Data	4" G.S.E.
Table F-XV, F-XVI	Heat Rejection Data	6" G.S.E.
HEAT REJECTION AT VAN	RIOUS JACKET TEMPERATURES	
Table F-XVII	Summary of Calculations	
Table F-XVIII	Heat Rejection Data	2 ¹ / ₂ ⁿ G.S.E.
Table F-XIX	Heat Rejection Data	4" G.S.E.
Table F-XX	Heat Rejection Data	6" G.S.E.

TABLE F-I

MOTORING FRICTION SUMMARY

		2之"(G.S.E.	
Run	PISTON	DYN. READING	DYN. Lord	FMEP
		Pi= -2	2" Hg	
I	600	3.40	6.60	23.70
VI	900	2.63	7.37	26.45
VI	1200	1.60	8.40	30.19
XI	1450	. 97	9.03	32.40
XIII	1700	.21	9.79	35.10
		Pi = - 1	10" Hg	
I	600	2.73	7.2°7	26.10
Ŧ	900	1.98	8.02	28.80
YUL	1200	.94	9.06	32.55
I	1450	. 35	9.65	34.60
XIV	1700	40	10.40	37.35
		Pi =	-20" Hg	
Ш	600	1.77	8.23	29.55
IV	900	. 90	9.10	32.62
II	1200	• 30	9.70	34.80
X	1450	35	10.35	37.20
THE	1700	98	10.98	39.40

		4" G.SE	. .	
	SPEED	DYN	DYN	EMED
	PISTON	READING	LOAD	
		Pi=-3	"Hg	
			Ø	
IX	600	3.42	6.58	21.60
T	840	3,25	6.75	22.15
IIV.	1020	2.65	7.35	24.10
XV	1200	2.20	7.80	25.59
IVIL	1500	1.27	8.73	28.60
		2		
		<i>ti</i> = ·	-10" Hg	
TIL	600	2.93	7.07	23.20
TIT	840	2.52	7.48	24.58
	1020	2.14	7.86	25.80
771	1200	1.70	8.30	27.20
TI	1500	1.00	9.00	29.55
YUM	1800	50	10.50	34.42
ALL				
		Pa	5= - 18" He	7
r	600	1.93	8.07	26.50
π	840	1.77	8.23	2700
	640	156	8.44	27.00
 ▼	1200	117	883	28.98
रात	1500	52	948	2110
VI	1800	- 85	1085	35.60
-	1000			50.00

		6" G	SE	
	PISTON	DYN	DYN	EMER
	SPEED	READING	LOAD	FILEF
		Pi =	4" Hg	
I	720	3.20	6.80	26.45
VI	1080	3.00	ס.ר	27.20
VI	1320	2.50	7.50	29.20
XII	1560	2.26	7.74	30.10
M	1800	1.50	8.50	3 3.05
		Pù=-	10" Hg	
I	720	2.95	7.05	27.42
V	1080	2.55	7.45	29.00
YIII	1320	2.10	7.90	30.72
TT	1560	1.75	8.25	32.10
I	1800	.95	9.05	35.20
		Pi	=-16" Hg	
ш	720	2.61	7.39	28.78
V	1080	1.95	8.05	31.32
I	1320	1.60	8.40	32.70
II	1560	1.30	8.70	33.85
X	1800	.45	9.55	37.20

85.

TABLE F-I

F	R	1CT	ION	RUNS	22 (GSE
	_					

						Ti	EMPERATI	URES I	N • F		(0110		• • •	-	PRESSUR	E IN IN.H.				
Tim	e R	ใบพ่	RPM	PISTON SPEED FT/MIN	CYL. HEAD	INLET AIR	H20 Exit	CYL, WAL	L MN.BRNG. OIL INLET	MN. BRNG	OIL FROM SUMP	DYN. Reading	(P) AIR	Pi INTAKE	Pe Exhqust	P. Orifice	δ' (ΔP) 4.0	H20 FROM	H H O To Rotanster	H20 FROM COOLER •F
P	ί=	20" Ha	<u>}</u>																	
8 1293	3	32	2400	1200	159	150	145	155	141	180	152	0.30	0.60	- 19.9	1.05	0	16.6	147	147	146
<u></u> 130	0	33	2400	1200	159	1 50	145	155	139	180	149	0.30	0.60	- 20.0	1.00	0	16.6	146	146	146
131	0	34	2400	1200	159	150	149	154	139	180	149	0.30	0.58	-20.0	1.00	0	16.6	146	146	145
<u>Pi</u>	= ~ ;	20 "Hy		1430	163	151	125	157	140	189	150	-0.35	0.30	- 20 ()	1.10	0	16.6	(4.6	141	10.0
1 341		37	2900	1450	163	150	145	157	140	190	150	-0.35	0.70	- 20.0	1.10	Ň	14.6	144	146	
1358	3	37	2900	(450	163.5	150	144	157	138	190	150	~ 0.35	0.70	- 20.0	1.00	0	16.6	146	146	144
Р	i= -	(0"Hg																		
1400)	38	2900	1450	165	150	144	156	135	190	150	+ 0.35	6.50	- 10.0	1.00	0	16.6	146	146	194
140	5	39	2900	1450	166	150	145	157	132	190	149	1 0.35	6.50	-10.0	1.00	0	16.6	145	145	143
141	o	40	2900	1450	166	150	145	157	131	190	150	+ 0.35	6.50	- 10.0	1.00	0	16.6	144	149	143
F	<u>i= -</u>	2"Hg	_																	
I 142	2	41	2900	1450	1 65	150	144	156	128	190	152	+0.96	15.95	- 2.0	1.00	٥	16.6	145	14 5	144
142	8	42	2900	1450	166	150	145	157	120	190	150	+ 0.98	15.85	- 2.0	1.00	٥	16.6	146	146	144
14	35	43	2900	14 50	166	150	145	(57	011	190	150	+0.98	15.85	- 2.0	1.00	٥	16.6	14 6	146	145
	<u>Pi = -</u>	-2" Hg	-					150					10.95			•				
A 100	5	44	3400	1700	170	150	143	154	138	199	150	0.21	14.05	- 2.0	1.00		16.1	145	145	140
16	10	45	3400	1700	169	150	143	150	138	198	150	0.21	19.83	~2.0	1.00	0	16.5	144	144	141
10	-15 	-1.60	5400	(100	101	130	140	150		150	(33	0.44	11.05	2.0	1.00	5	16.0	144	ालल	142
J 162	<u> Pc=-</u> 2	-10-Hg 47	3400	1700	170	150	143	159	140	198	151	- 0.35	7.80	- (0.0	1.00	0	16.6	145	145	142
162	7	48	3400	1700	17.0	150	144	159	139	198	150	- 0.45	7.80	-10.0	1.00	0	16.6	146	146	143
163	5	49	3400	1700	170	150	144	159	140	198	150	- 0.40	7.80	-10.0	1.00	0	16.6	147	147	144
	<u> Pi=-</u>	- 20 H	}											'						
L 164	>	50	3400	1700	168	153	144	160	140	197	150	-0.75	0.70	- 20.0	1.0	0.	16.6	146	146	144
		51	3400	1700	167	150	144	(60	140	(97	150	-0.65	0.70	- 20.0	1.0	0	16.6	146	146	144
						•				-	25 M	ARCH	1952		BARAMETER	0 7/~1	3 3 mm He	Tene I	9° C	
-	Pi = -	-20" <u>H</u>	9												CREDITE	100		/ m. 16. 1		
1018		52	3400	1700	166	150	142	158	140	195	150	-1.00	0.75	-20.0	1.01	0	16.6	145	145	143
1028	1	53	3400	1700	166	150	143	158	140	195	150	-1.00	0.70	- 20.0	1.00	0	16.6	145	145	142
1035	5	54	3400	1700	166	150	143	158	141	195	150	-0.95	0.70	- 20.0	1.00	0	16.7	1:45	145	142

.

•

24 MARCH 1952 (CONTINUED) 86.

										TA	ABLE F	·-III '						L C	9 MARCH, I DBSERVER	952 s: Smith, Bo	NNER
1	DYNA	MOMI	ETER	ZERO R	EADING	10" Hg				FRICTI	ON R	ЧИS	$2\frac{1}{2}$ G.	S.E.			- 41	1	BAROMETER TEMP. 22.7*	768.2 mmH C \$ 74°F = \$	m TEMP.
7	lime R	ใบพ ไ	RPM	PISTON SPEED FT/MIN	CYL. HEAD	INLETAIR	H20 EXIT	CYL. WAL	L ° F	MN. BRNG	OILFROM	DYN. READING	(AP)AIR " H20	Pi INTAKE	RSSURES Pe Exhaust	Po Po ORIFICE	(AP) 410	H20 FRO ENGINE	M H2O TO ROTAMETER °F	H2O FROM COOLER OF	
۲ I	<u>Pi=</u>	<u>-2.0'</u> I	"Ha 1200	600	152	I 4 8	149	145	127	150	150	3.4	2.9	- 2.0	1.0	0	16.5	146	146	147	
-	1610 1615	2 3	1200	600 600	151 150	149	143	142	127 128	150	150 150	3.4 3.4	J.85 J.85	-2.0 -2.0	1.0 1.0	0 0	16.4 16.4	146	146 144	144 144	
	<u>Pi=-</u>	10.0"	Hq									2 7 0	() 5	- (0.00		0	1.40	1116			
-	1605	4	1200	600	150	199	192	142	128	12.1	150	2.12	1.45	-10.00	1.0	0	16.45	145	144	146	
IL	1652	6	1200	(400	(5)	150	143	143	127	151	150	2.73	1.20	- 10.00	1.0	0	16.60	145	146	145	
	1645	7	1200	600	150	150	141	141	127	151	150	2.73	1.20	-10.00	1.0	0	16.60	144	144	141	
									2	1 MAR	CH 19	52						b			
	P:=	- 20.0	o"H∢															TEMP. 2	TER 163	mm Hg	
	312	9	1200	600	153	150	145	150	141	149	150	1.78	0.15	- 20.0	10	0	16.6	/48	148	148	
July	317	9	1200	600	154	150	146	146	140	150	150	1.78	0.15	-20.0	1.0	0	16.6	148	148	147	
	325	/0	1200	600	151	150	143	143	141	150	150	1.76	0.15	- 20.0	1.0	0	16.6	145	145	145	
	<u> Pi =</u>	- 20.0	<u>)" HG</u>		_																
	1340	- H	1800	900	155	151	143	143	140	163	150	0.80	0.30	- 20.0	1.0	0	16.6	145	145	144	
I	1345	12	1800	900	156	151	144	144	142	164	150	0.90	0.30	- 20.0	1.0	0	16.6	146	146	146	
	1352	/3 /4	1800	900	156	148	144	144	141	164	150	0.40 0.90	0.30	- 20.0	1.0 1.0	0	16.6	146	146	145	
	Pi =	-10.0	" <u>Hg</u>																		
	1406	15	1800	900	155	150	143	149	141	164	150	1.90	2.70	- 10.0	1.0	0	16.6	145	145	145	
V	1415	16	1800	900	155	150	142	150	142	165	150	1.93	2.70	- 10.0	1.0	0	16.6	145	145	145	
-	1422	17	1800	900	155	147	143	149	142	164	150	1.95	2.70	- 10.0	1.0	0	16.6	144	144	145	
	1427	18	1800	900	155	150	142	149	142	164	150	1.98	2.70	- 10.0	1.0	0	16.6	144	144	144	
	1433	19 20	1800	900	155 151	150	143	145	142	65 65	150	2.02	2.10	- 10.0 - 10.0	1.0	0	16.6	146	146	145	
	Pi	;=-2.	-О"на																		
	1455	21	1800	900	157	149	145	146	142	165	150	2.65	6.55	- 2.0	1.0	0	16.6	147	147	146	
VI	1501	22	1800	900	156	150	144	144	142	165	150	2.63	6.55	- 2.0	1.0	0	16.6	146	146	145	
	1508	23	1800	900	156	149	144	144	142	165	150	2.62	6.55	- 2.0	1.0	0	16.6	146	146	145	
	<u> </u>	<u>i = -2</u>	.0" Hg	10.00	11.0		142		. 4 /					- 2 0		4	10.0		1.6.1	145	
VII'	525	24	2400	1200	160	146	145	135	141	178	150	(16	11.5	- 1.0	1.0	0	16.6	146	146	143	
	537	26	2400	1200	160	150	143	154	142	179	150	1.6	11.45	- 2.0	1.0	0	16.6	145	145	144	
	Pi	= -10	" На						2	4 MAI	RCH 19	52		BAROM:	761.2 mm	TEMP.	23.5°C				
~	155	27	2400	1200	159	150	143	151	140	178	148	0.85	4.75	-10.0	1.0	0	16.6	146	146	145	
XIII 1	2/8	28	2400	1200	160	151	143	153	140	178	150	0.86	4.75	- 9.9	1.04	0	16.7	145	145	144	
1	225	29	2400	1201	160	150	143	153	140	179	150	1.00	4.80	- 9.9	1.05	0	16.6	146	146	144	
1	2 80	30	2400	1200		150	145	155	140	180	150	1.00	4.75	- 10.0	1.00	0	16.6	148	147	146	

TABLE FIL

	DY	NAMO	METER	ZERO RI	EADING :	10" HG.		FRICT	ION	RUNS	4" G.S	5, E.						BAROME	ETER 74	3mmHg 21.9°C
	TIME	Run F	DISTON SPEED FT/MIN	CYL. HEAD	INLET AIR	-TEMPERATURE H10 ENTER GY ING ENG.	L. WALL MN. BRNG. INLET OIL	MN. BRNG	OIL FROM SUMP	DYN. Reading	(P) AIR	Pi INTAKE	RESSURE Pe exhaust	IN INCHES P. ORIFICE	Hg ((() P)) H20	H ₂ O FROM ENGINE OF	TEMPERATU H ₂ O To Rotameter °F	RES H10 FROM COOLER °F	RPM	WATER Rotameter Reading
	<u>Pi</u>	= -2.0"	Hg												0.0					
	1250	L	°1020	164	151	150	146	175	148	2.58	11.2	- 2.0	1.0	0	18.05		150.0	144	1275	
I	1315	2	.1030	164	150.5	(50	150	175	150	2.50	11.4	- 2.0	1.0	0	1807		150	140	1275	
	1321		1020	164	150	150	130	175	146	2.60	11.2	- 2.0	1.0	0	18.00		150	148	1275	
	1352	5	1020	164	150	150	148	175	148	2.60	11.2	- 2.0	1.0	0	18.00		150	148	1275	
	Pi	= -10 <u>" H</u>	Hq_																	
	1425	1	1020	165	151	150	150	177	1 50	2.1	4.7	-10.0	1.0	0	18.0		150	148	1275	
T	1430	2	1020	164	151	150	. 151	ררו	151	2.09	4.7	- 10-0	1.0	0	18.0		150	148	1275	
-	1438	3	1020	164	150	150	150	177	150	2.12	4.7	~10.0	1.0	٥	18.0		150	148	1275	
	1530	4	1020	165	151.5	150	153	177.5	[5]	2.15	4.65	÷ 10.0	1.0	٥	18.0		150	148	1275	
	1535	5	1020	162	151	(49	150	177	151	2.19	4.65	~ 10.0	1.0	0	18.0		(50	147	1275	
	1642	6	. 1020) [64	150	150	150	177	100	2.19	4.65	~ 10.0	1.0	0	18.0		(50	140	1275	
	P	1=-18"	Hq						24 M	arch 19	352	MITCHELL	& WILKINS	ON						•
TL	1073	2	1020	164	152	130	150	174	150	1.45	1.03	- 18.15	1.0	0	18.0		150	146	1275	
June 1	1045	∡ 3	1020	164	150	(50	150	174	150	1.60	1.0.3	~18.10	1.0	0	18.0		150	146	1275	
	1054	4	1020	168	151	150	150	175	150	1.61	1.15	- 18.10	1.05	0	1 8.0		150	146	1275	
	10 58	5	1020	165	151	150	150	175	150	1.58	1.15	- 1840	1.0	0	18.0		150	146	1275	
		<u> 21= - 2" H</u>	49																	
IV	1115	L.	1200	167	150	150	150	181	150	2.45	15.2	- 2.0	1.0	0	18.0		150	146	1500	
	1120	2	1200	167	149	150	150	181	150	2.48	15.25	- 2.0	1-0	0	18.0		150	146	1500	
	(125	3	1200	167	149	150	150	181	150	2.45	15.3	-2.0	(.0	0	18.0		150	146	1500	
	1130	4	(200) (67	150	150	(50	181	120	C 4-2	13.3	- 2.0	1.0	Ũ	14.0		150	מידי	1000	
	F	Pi= - 18"	на																	
V	1215	t	1200	168	150	150	150	187	150	1.0	1.55	-18.0	1.0	٥	18.0		150	146	1500	
	1240	2	1200	168	150	150	150	185	150	1.25	1.45	~ 18.10	1.0	Ģ	18.0		120	146	1500	
	1247	3	1200	168	149	150	150	185	150	1.25	1.50	-18.05		0	18.0		150	146	1500	
	1252	5	1200	(68 168	194	150	150	185	150	1.15	1.50	- 18.00		0	(8.0		150	146	1500	
	2	•i = − (8'	" Ha																	
TT	1515	<u> </u>	1800	184	151	150	150	210	150	85	2.15	-18.0	1.00	0	18.0	150	150	148	2250	59
14	(520	2	1800	184	150	150	150	210	150	80	2.20	~18.0	1.00	0	18.0	150	150	148	2250	59
	1595	3	1800	184	150	150	150	210.	150	82	2.20	-18.0	1.00	0	1.8-0	150	150	148	2250	59
		Pi=-10"	' H q																	
	1542	t	1500	176	151	150	150	200	150	1.00	9.1	- 10.0	1.0	6	- 1 8.0	F20	150	148	1875	58
YII	1545	2	1500	(77	150	150	150	200	150	1.00	9.1	- 10.0	1.0	0	~ 1 \$.0	150	150	148	1875	57.8
	1552	3	1500	D 178	152	(50	150	200	150	1.00	9.1	-10.0	1.0	0	- 18.0	150	150	148	1875	58
		Pi = -18	"Hg_																	
TUL	1600	1	1500	178	151	150	150	197	150	.50	2.0	- 18.0	1.0	0	-18.0	150	150	148	1875	58.5
	1605	2	1500	175	150	150	150	197	150	. 55	2.0	-18.0	1.0	0	- 18.0	150	150	148	1875	58.5
	1610	3	1500	175	148	150	150	197	150	. 50	2.0	-18.0	1.0	0	~ 8-0	150	150	148	1775	58.5

21 MARCH 1952 88.

OBSERVERS: MITCHELL NAROONE

0.000 Tene 79°F

TABLE FI

									FRICT	ION	9"GSE									· ·
	TIME	RUN	RPM	RSTON	CYL.HEAD	INLETAIR	TEMPERATURES IN °F H2OERTER- CYL WALL	MN BRNG	MN BRNG.	OIL FROM	DYN.	(AP) AIR	Pi	PRESSU Pe	RE IN "HO Po	(AP) H20	H20 FROM	TEMPERATU H20 To	H20 FROM	WATER
			•	SPEED			ING ENG.	OLINLET		SUMP	READING	<u>"н.о</u>	INTAKE	EIRAUST	ORIFICE		ENGINE	ROTAMETER	COOLER	ROTAMETER
	 Pi:	- 3" H	 tq	PLIPANE	- <u> </u>	• ••		241	MARCH	1952 (0)	ONTINUED)		4				.£	r		
18	1020	1	750	600	157	151	150	149	145		3.4	3.4	- 3.0	1.0	0	18	150	150	148	58
J.	1030	2	750	600	158	150	íso	150	146		3.42	3.4	- 3.0	1.0	0	18	150	150	149	58
	1040	3	750	600	158	149	150	150	147		3.44	3.4	- 3.0	1.0	٥	18	150	(50	149	58
	p.	10	" ().					25	MARC	H 195	2 (NARDONE ,	WILKINSON)						
X	<u></u>	1 <u>0</u> 1	750	600	157	152	150	150	149	150	1.90	. 40	-18.0	1.0	0	18	150	150	148	56
-		2	750	600	157	150	150	150	149	150	1.93	.40	-18.0	1.0	0	18	150	150	148	56
		3	750	600	157	150	150	150	(50	150	1.95	.40	-18.0	1.0	0	18	150	150	148	56
王	1445	4	1050	840	160	150	150	150	163	150	1.80	.70	-18.0	1.0	0	18	150	150	148	56
	1500.	5	1050	840	161	151	150	150	164	150	1.75	.75	-18.0	1.0	0	18	150	150	148	56
	P	<u>i=-10</u>	<u>p" Hg</u>							٠					•					
T	1515	1	1050	840	160	151	(50	150	164	150	2.52	3.0	-10.0	1.0	0	18	150	150	148	56
	1525	2	1050	840	160	150	150	150	164	150	2.51	3.05	-10.0	1.0	0	18	150	150	148	56
	<u>_1</u>	<u>i = - 3</u>	3" Hg												_	• •				
III	1530	1	1050	840	160	151	150	150	164	150	3.25	6.7	- 3.0	1.0	0	(8.0	150	150	148	56
	1240		(030 0" U.	610	100	130	(30	(30	164	150	ل کے رو	6.1	- 3.0	1.0	U	1 8.0	/30	750	/+0	00
TL	(550	1	1275	(020)	165	152	(50	150	172	150	2.6	9.9	- 3.0	1.0	0	18.0	150	150	148	56
2	1600	2	1275	(020	165	150	150	150	172	150	2.7	10.0	- 3.0	1.0	. 0	18.0	150	150	148	56
_		Pi = -	3" Hg			- 4			-0			127								1-1
EX	1610	ſ	1500	1200	167	152	150	150	178	150	2.2	13.7	- 3.0	1.0	0	18.0	150	150	148	36
	1620	2	(500	(200	167	152	(50	120	178	150	2.2	13.7	- 3.0	1.0	0	18.0	150	150	148	56
1	4.00	Pi=	-10" Hg	-	11-7			150	. 90	. 50	1.7	1. 0			0	180		150	10.8	SI.
IVI .	1625	4	1500	1200	167	124	150	150	180	150	17	6.0	~10.0	1.0	0	18.0	1.00	150	14.9	56
	1622	~	(300	1200		101	100		(80			6.4	-10.0	7.0	-		130	150		
	1650	<u><u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u></u>	-3"Hq	1500	175	149	150	150	193	150	1.27	20.8	- 3.0	1.0	0	18.0	150	150	148	56
YVIL	1655	2	1875	1500	175	150	150	150	195	150	1.27	20.8	- 3.0	1-0	0	18.0	150	150	148	56
		Pi= -	10" Ha																	
E LI	1700	1	2250	1800	175	150	150	150	205	150	-0.50	11-t	~ 10.0	(.0	0	180	150	150	148	5-6
	1710	2	2250	1800	(75	150	150	150	205	150	- 0.50	u. ı	- 10.0	1.0	0	18-0	150	150	148	5-6
		Pi=	-10"																14.0	<i>C</i> (
TIL			750	600	157	152	150	150	149	150	2.90	1. 6	-10.0	1.0		- 18.0	150		148 	
			750	600	157	150	150	150	150	150	2.95	1.6	- 10.0	1.0	0	18.0	150	150	148	56

TABLE F-

	DY	NAMO	METER	ZERC	READI	NG:	10" HG		FR	ICTION	RUN	s 6"	G.S.E.						
	TIME	RUN	RPM	PISTON SPEED FT/ MIN	CYL. HEAD	TEMPE INLET AIR	RATURES IN MIL BRNG. INLET OIL	NN. GRN	SUMP	DYN. READING "HG	(ΔΡ) _{ΑιR} "H ₂ O	- PRES P: INTAKE	EXMANST	"нс → (ДР) _{Н2} о	TEM H20 TO ENGINE	PERATURE H20 FROM ENGINE	S IN . HO TO ROTA- METER	F	H20 Rota.
	Pi	= -4	.0 " HG																
	1035	1	600	720	160	160	120	165	151	2.81	3.9	-4	1	17.5	145	145	145	145	26.5
~	1060	2	600	720	180	160	150	160	151	3.1	3.9	-4	1	17,4	145	145	145	144	27.4
	1055	3	600	720	(60	160	150	IGO	150	3.2	3.9	~4	1	17.4	145	145	145	144	27.3
	1100	4	600	720	160	160	1578	162	150	3.3	3.8	-4	6	17.4	145	145	145	143	27.5
	1105	5	600	720	(60	160	150	162	150	3.3	3.9	-4	1	17.4	145	145	145	143	27.6
	<u>Pi</u>	= -10	O" HG																
	1115	6	600	720	159	160	150	166	150	2.9	1.65	-10	t	17.4	145	145	145	142	27.6
Π	1120	7	600	720	159	165	151	166	150	3.0	1.55	-10	1	17.4	145	145	145	142	27.5
щ	1125	8	600	720	159	160	151	167	150	3.0	1.55	-10	t	17.4	145	145	145	142	27.5
	1132	ອ	600	720	159	159	152	167	(50	2.9	1.6	-10	1	17.4	145	145	145	142	27.7
	Pi	16	" He													•			
	1140	10	600	720	157	164	152	168	150	2.6	.35	-16	1	17.4	145	145	145	142	27.6
	1150	11	600	720	157	159	152	168	150	2.63	.35	-16	(17.4	145	145	145	142	27.5
	1157	12	600	720	157	159	152	169	150	2.6	.35	-16	1	17,4	145	145	145	142	31.5
	Pi =	-16	"HG																
	1210	13	900	1080	164	163	151	185	150	1.95	1.2	-16	1	17.4	145	145	145	140	31.6
I	1215	14	900	1080	164	160	151	186	150	1.95	1.2	-16	1	17.4	145	145	145	140	31.4
	1223	15	900	1080	1 G 4	160	151	(87	150	1.95	1.25	-16	ł	17.4	145	145	145	140	31.4
	Pi -	- 10*	HG																
_	1232	16	900	1080	164	160	151	187	150	2.5	4.4	-10	1	/7.4	145	145	146	139	31.6
X	1240	D 17	900	1080	165	161	151	i 88	150	2.6	4.3	-10	1	(7.4	145	145	145	139	31.5
	1245	5 18	900	1080	165	159	151	188	150	2.55	4.3	-10	1	17,4	145	145	145	139	31.6
	Pi -	- 4'	HG																
	1300) 19	900	1080	IGG	160	151	188	150	3.0	9.6	~4	1	17.4	145	145	145	139	31.5
V	1305	5 20	900	1080	166	158	151	188	150	3.0	9.6	-4	1	17.4	145	145	145	139	31.5
	1310	21	900	1080	166	159	151	188	150	3.0	୨.୦	-4	1	17.4	145	145	145	139	31.5
	Pi -	- 4"	HG														·		
	1320	22	1100	1320	173	160	150	199	149	2.5	14.5	-4	l	17.4	(44	145	145	137	31.6
T	1330	23	1100	1320	173	160	151	190	151	2.5	14.5	-4	1	17.4	145	145	145	138	31.6
	1340	24	1100	1820	173	160	151	200	151	2.5	14.5	-4	t	17.4	144	145	145	137	31.8
	Pi.≠	- 10	"H6																
	1350	25	1100	1320	171	160	151	201	151	2.1	6.7	-10	1	17.4	145	145	145	137	31.8
YIL	1355	- 26	1100	1320	171	160	151	200	151	2.11	6.7	-10	1	17.4	144	145	145	(37	31.9
	1400	27	1100	1320	171	160	151	200	151	2.1	6.7	-10	1	17.4	144	146	145	138	31.8
	Pi-	- 16"	HG																
-	, 1410	28	1100	1380	169	161	150	201	150	1.6	2.05	-16	1	17.4	144	146	145	138	31.7
	1415	29	1100	1320	170	161	150	201	150	1.6	2.1	-16	1	17.4	144	146	145	138	31.8
	142.0	30	1100	1320	170	161	150	201	150	1.6	2.1	-16	1	17.4	144	146	145	138	32.0

90.

26 MARCH, 1952 OBSERVERS: SMITH, BOHNER BAROMETER 765.2 mm HG TEMP. 24 °C ;

TABLE F-VII

DYNAMOMETER ZERO READING: 10"HG FRICTION RUNS 6" G.S.E.																			
	TIME	RUN	RPM	PISTON SPEED FT/MIN	CYL. HEAD	TEMPE INLET AIR	RATURES MN. BRNG INLET OIL	IN OF -	OIL FROM	DYN. READING	(1) P)AIR "H20	- PRES Pi INTAKE	EXHAUST	" нс	H20 TO ENGINE	H20 FROM	RES IN H2O TO ROTA- METER	°F	H20 ROTA.
	$\frac{P_i}{1630} =$	<u>- 16'</u> 31	'HG 1500	1800	184	161	151	210	151	0.4	3.5 5	-16	1	17.5	144	146	146	128	(6.9
Y	1635	32	1500	1800	184	160	151	210	150	0.45	3.5	-16	1	175	144	146	146	(30	16.8
<u> </u>	1640	33	1500	1800	184	160	150	210	150	0.45	3.6	-16	1	17.5	144	146	146	(28	16.8
_	Pi=	-104	HG											10 5				100	14.0
X	1645	- 34	1500	(800	.186	160	150	212	150	0.9	(1.1	-10		17.5	144	146	146	128	14.5
	1650	35	1500	1800	186	160	150	212	150	1.0	11.1	-10	1	17.5	144	146	146	(28	15.0
	0	A 1							I API	RIL, 19	52		SERVER	5: 5M 2R 7G 3°C	1TH , N 3.6 mm	ARDONE HG	, Вонн	ER	
	1215	- 4 "	1500	1800	187	160	150	212	150	1.15	23.6	-4	1	17.4	144	146	146	118	11,5
	1220	37	1500	1800	187	1G0	150	212	150	1.15	23.6	-4	1	17.4	148	150	150	120	11.5
XI	1228	38	1500	1800	187	160	150	214	150	1.5	23.6	-4	1	17.4	144	147	147	123	11.5
	12.34	- 39	1500	1800	187	160	150	214	150	1.5	23.6	-4		17.4	144	146	146	124	11.4
	1240	40	1500	1800	187	160	150	214	150	1.5	23.6	-4	Ì	17.4	144	146	146	125	11.5
	<u>Pi = -</u>	- 4"1	<u>+G</u>																
6	1245	41	1300	1560	184	161	150	210	150	2.3	19.6	-4	1	17.4	146	146	146	142	19.6
ХШ	1250	42	1300	1000	185	160	150	210	150	2.2	19.6	-4	1	17.4	150	150	150	142	18.0
	1255	43	1300	1560	185	160	150	210	150	2.3	196	-4	/	17.4	150	150	(50	142	18.0
	P; +	-10*	HG	1560	183	160	150		150	(75	() e	- 10	,	175	146	146	146	131	15 A
VIV	1300	44	1300	1560	105	160	130	210	150	1.75	8.8	-10		12 5	146	146	146	130	15.2
ALL	1310	45	1300	1560	182	160	150	210	150	1.75	8.8	-10	1	17.5	144	144	144	130	16.1
	P: =	- 16"	HG																
	1315	47	1300	1560	180	164	150	210	150	1.3	2.6	-16	1	17.4	146	146	146	138	16.5
XX	1320	48	1300	1560	180	160	150	210	150	1.3	2.6	-16	1	17.4	146	146	146	138	16.5
	1325	49	1300	1560	180	160	150	210	150	1.3	2.6	-16	1	17.4	146	146	146	138	17.5
	<u>Pi =</u>	-4"	HG		1.5.5	. ~				2 -	0.4			10.0	107	14.0	14.9	14.0	71.0
XVI	1405	50	200	600	(33	150	151	130	151	5.7	2.4	-4.0	1	17.5	147	148	140	140	30.7
	1915	51	200	600	133	143	150	137	150	5.75	2.5	- 3.9		17.5	146	146	146	144	20.7

26	MA	RCH ,	1952		91.
OBS	ERV	ERS:	SMITH	, 00	HNER
BAR	OME	TER	765.2	mm	HG
TEN	AP.	24.	C		

TABLE F- VIII

			HEA	TK	EJE	TION	V CA	ALCU	LAT	IONS	ĥ	~/z " C	7. S. E		
TEUN	(AT) _{H.0} °=	W 100 #/MIN	Q BTU/MIN.	hbnake "Hs	BMEP BISHA	hfrict.	FMEP S.594	hindicate hithe	J INEP PSI: 3.594	1HP 16000	S Filmin	Srimed		Ma # AIR/	$\frac{M_{R}}{L^{2}}$
A-1	32	4.180	134.0	11.32	40.6	7.85	28.2	19.17	68.8	1.15	900	62,000	Z1.43	-00625	
A-Z	29.5	6.445	190.0	20.8	74.7	8.25	29.6	29.05	104.3	2.325	1200	125,300	30.40		
A-3	32.9	4188	138.0	10,45	37.5	8.87	31.8	19.32	69.4	1.545	1200	83,300	22.07		
A-4	43.5	2.908	126.5	3.2	11.5	9.2	33.0	12.4	44.6	.992	1200	53,500	20.25	•	
A-5	28	4.750	133.0	21.4	76.8	6.9	24.8	28.3	101.6	2.717	720	75,100	21.30	.00525	.000840
A-6	28	4.750	133.0	3.5	12.6	9.2	33.0	12.7	45.6	2.030	1200	54,700	21.30	.00513	.000821
A-7	32	4.780	153.0	8.67	31.1	8.87	31.8	17.54	43.0	2.810	1200	75,600	24.50	.00412	.000980
A-8	39	4.819	188.0	19.53	70.2	8.25	29.6	27.78	99.7	4.445	1200	119,600	30.1	.00891	.00/43
A-9	38.6	6.290	242.7	13.5	48.5	10.15	36.4	23.65	85.0	5.676	1800	153,000	38.85	.01274	. 00204
A-10	45	4.175	188.0	4.65	16.7	10.72	38.5	15.37	65.2	3.694	1800	99,450	301	.00881	.00141
A-11	49	4.315	211.5	17.25	67.	9.0	32.3	26.25	94.3	5.038	1440	135,800	33.85	. 0/063	.001702
A-12	41	4.032	165.4	7.02	25.2	9.6	34.5	16.62	59.7	3.188	1440	86.000	26.46	. 00720	.00115
A-13	20	4.58	91.6	10.8	38.8	7.35	26.4	18.15	65.2	1.453	600	39,100	14.67	. 00300	00048
A-14	23	4.23	97.3	15.45	55.5	6.9	24.8	22.35	80.3	1.787	600	48,100	15.58	.003725	0005%
A-15	35.9	3.02	108.4	21.2	76.1	6.64	23.8	27.84	99.9	2.224	600	59,940	17.35	.0045	.000721
Ai	50	3.51	175.5	17.9	64.25	8.35	30.0	26.25	94.25	2,100	1200	113.200	28.1	.00%64	.00131

NOTE: RUNS A-1 THRU A-4 NOT USED DUE TO INCOMPLETE VAPORIZATION OF FUEL CAUSED BY LOW VAPORIZING TANK TEMPERATURE.

 $L^2 = 6.25$



TABLE FIX

Run		АТ _{Нао}	Rotameter. Reading	W410	Q BTU/	h BRAKE	BMEP	HERICTION	FMEP	h indicated	IMEP	IHP	S	(SXIMEP)	QL 2	Ma # 418/	Ma/L²
		<u> </u>	205	ALIE .	- / MIN	Hg	00.00	715	2510	261	1.1.5.1.0	10.1	1000	100000	00 /	/SEC	
В	ł	60	32.5	1.615	401	27.40	40.00	1.63	25.10	35.1	115.10	13.16	1200	138 200	28.6	.02295	.001432
	2	51	30.2	7.340	376.5	15.20	50.00	8.45	27.05	23.3	41.0	8.81	1200	42300	23.55	.01534	.000960
	3	38.5	42.5	8.525	828.2	27.40	89.90	6.55	21.50	33.45	111.40	7.64	720	80250	20.50	.01355	.000846
	4	68	30.8	7.455	505.5	24.93	81.75	8.75	28.70	33.68	110.45	15.84	1500	165600	31.60	.02730	.001706
	5	55.5	34.7	7.815	434.5	14.25	46.75	9.06	29.70	23.31	76.45	10.92	1500	114 700	27.10	.01900	.001188
	6	43.7	34.5	7.800	341.0	3.47	11.4	9.45	31.0	12.92	42.40	۵ 0.ما	1500	63600	21.30	0115	.000719
	7	525	50.0	9.135	488.5	14.2.0	46.6	1640	34.1	24.6	80.70	13.84	1800	145200	30.50	.0240	.001.500
	ģ	593.0	44.3	8675	514	24 87	81.7	8.74	2017	2361	110 40	1575	1500	165800	2210	026.58	1001660
	0	360	236	7715	514	21.75	01.7	6.30	20.15	22.05	10.40	10.10	600	45 150	1930		000481
	1	38.0	03.0	1.115	275		81.8	6.50	20.65	99.03	101.45	6.20	600	63 130	11.50	.0/04	.000601
	10	44.0	32.5	7.615	335	26.875	88.2	6.30	21.35	33.375	104.55	7.51	720	79,000	20.40	.0/30	. 000812
	н	63.0	46.9	8.885	560	22.85	75	10.0	32.8	32.85	107.8	1 8.48	1800	193800	35.00	.0320	.00200
	12	61.5	51.75	9.280	571	20.10	66	11.2	36.7	31.30	102.7	19.56	2000	205400	35.70	.03387	.002118
	13	52.0	48.05	8.975	466.5	11.15	36.6	10.4	34.1	21.55	70.8	1212	1800	127 500	29.10	.02127	.01330
	ر ا م	44.0	39.50	7.80	354	25 35	83.2	7.0	2295	2235	1061	9 00	900	95500	2240	01546	A00966
	17	100	04.95	7 8 2 1	2 35	1660	54.4	1 91.	12 50	12 46	76.05		(00)	10,000	1020	0000	000512
	15	30	34.83	(.• 5 A	A 0 A	14.7	04.4	6.00	22.50	23.40	10.75	4.4	600	46170	74.70	1000 x	.000072
	Br	68.5	12.76	5.89	404	29.1	81.0	1.15	23.43	32.45	106.4	12.17	1200	12/300	23.23	.02150	.001330
	16	26.5	29.95	7.37	195.3	4.2	30.2	7.55	24.75	16.75	54.44	3.14	600	32964	12.20	.00396	.000366
	17	36	80.35	7.40	266.5	21.90	71-8	6.57	21.53	28.47	93.40	5.34	600	56040	16.65	.00975	.000609

.

.

.

HEAT REJECTION CALCULATIONS - 4" GSE

.

.

 $l^{2} = 16$

TABLE F-X

HEAT REJECTION CALCULATIONS - 6"G.S.E.

•

6

RUN		AT HIO	ROTAMETER READING	W 1120	ġ	h BRAKE	BMEP	hFRICTION	FMEP	h INDICATED	IMEP	IHP	S	(SXIMEP)	Q/22	Ma	Ma/l2
		°F	KEADING	#/min	BTU/MIN	"Hg	3.89 he	FROM	3.89 hr	hgt he	3.89 hing	1000	FT/MIN			# AIR/SEC	
						0			150				~ ~ ^ ^				- 00
С	1	44	31.6	15.42	674	a	88.10	6.63	23.8	24.93	110.6	17.4	720	79 500	18.89	.0284	,000789
	2	49	43.5	12.59	771.5	22.18	86.10	6.78	26.4	28.46	112.45	23.2	960	(08000	21.45	.0387	.001075
	3	52	44.3	17.70	920	23.26	90.50	6.98	27.2	30.24	113.70	30.24	1200	141,100	25.60	. 0496	.001378
	4	44	44.6	17.75	780	14.25	55.50	7.50	29.2	21.75	84.70	21.75	1200	101,700	21.70	·0356	.000990
	5	40	45.2	17.85	714	8.90	34.60	780	30.3	16.70	64.90	1670	1200	77,850	19.85	.0275	.000764
	6	58	39.5	16.89	977	23.53	91.50	7.20	28.0	30.73	1 18.50	33.80	1320	157,600	27.15	.0 <i>55</i> 8	.001550
	7	55	39.5	16.84	926	19.10	7440	7.40	28.8	26.50	102.10	29.10	1320	136,200	25.70	.0478	.001328
	8	49	39.5	16.84	825	12.40	48.25	7.81	30.4	20.21	78.65	22.20	1320	109,000	2290	,0356	.000987
	Ŷ	56	38.0	16.57	927	11.80	4595	8.30	32.3	20.10	78.25	26.10	1560	122,000	25.75	.0425	.001178
	10	60	38.0	1657	993	16.70	6500	7.92	30.8	24.62	95.80	32.0	1560	149,400	27.60	.05275	.001461
	- 11	67	38.0	16.57	1109	23.80	9250	7.58	29.5	31.38	122.00	40.7	1560	190,700	30.80	.0662	.001835
	12	71	39.0	16.75	1190	21.40	83.25	8.33	32.4	29.73	115.65	44.5	1800	208,000	. 33.01	.0736	.00 2 0 9 0
	13	67	37.0	16.38	1096	16.30	63.45	8.61	33.5	24.91	96.95	37.3	1800	174,000	30.40	.0624	.001730
	14	60	37.0	16.38	982	11.20	43.55	9.10	35.4	20.30	78.95	30.4	1200	142000	27.25	.0487	.001339
	15	32	54	19.40	621	17.45	67.90	6.84	26.6	24.29	44.50	14.6	720	68000	17.25	.02351	.000653
	16	32	54.5	19.48	623	12.30	47.80	7.30	28.4	19.60	76.20	15.7	960	73100	17.80	.02589	.000718
	17	37	54.5	19.48	720	16.95	65.90	7.04	27.4	23.99	93.30	19.2	960	89600	20.00	,0322	.000893

ASME STD. SQ.EDGE ORIFICE DIA - 413"

TABLE F-XI

HEAT REJECTION RUNS 23 GSE

	SPARK F	ADVANCE	25° BT	C.			HEAT RE	EJECT	ION RU	NS 2	Ż G.S.E.		٠						
TIME RUN	RPM	PISTON Spee d	CYL HEAD	TEMPE INLET AIR	RATURES- WATER TO ENGINE	MN BRNG	DYN. READ.	(AP) AIR	- PRESSU FUEL ROTA. READING	Pi INTAKE	Pe EXHAUST	P. • RIFICE	(AP) +20	WATER FRO	TEMP M OIL FROM SUMP	A MN BENG	WATER TO ROTAMETE	WATER FRO R COOLER	MWATER Rotameter
·		FT/MIN	•F	• F	• F	°.F	"Hq	"H,0	<u></u>	"Нд	<u> </u>		Нд	°F	OF	°F	of	٥F	
1042-0	1 1440	720	335	161	140	154	21.2	3.7	5.4	-20	1.0	0	16.6	150	149	140	150	122	25.3
+10 A-5	2 1440	720	331	163	140	155	21.4	3.7	5.4	- 2.0	1.0	0	16.6	150	151	192	150	122	25.4
+20	3 1440	720	333	160	140	155	21.4	3.7	5.4	-2.0	1.0	0	16.6	150	150	142	150	122	25.4
T 30	4 1440	120	900	161	140	133	21.7	37	3.4	-2.0	1.0	٥	16.6	150	150	192	144	<i>{</i> - - 1	23.6
1132-0	1 2400	1200	331	160	140	171	3.2	3.0	5.15	-13.90	1.0	0	16.6	150	149	140	150	122	25.3
+10 A-6	2 2400	1200	330	165	140	178	3.5	3.0	5.15	-13.80	1.0	0	16.6	۱ <i>5</i> 0	152	142	150	122	25.4
+20	3 2400	1200	328	160	140	179	3.5	3.0	5.15	- 13.90	1.0	0	16.6	150	150	142	150	122	25.4
+30	4 2400	1200	327	160	140	178	3.5	3.0	5.15	- 13.90	1.0	٥	166	149	150	142	149	121	25.6
1253-0	1 2400	1200	352	161	141	178	8.6	4.95	5.8	- (0.0	1.0	٥	16.6	151	149	141	151	119	26.0
+10 A.T	2 2400	1200	348	161	139	179	8.65	4.95	5.8	-10.0	1-0	0	16.6	149	152	143	149	117	25.7
+20 11	3 240	o 1200	353	161	139	179	8.70	4.95	5.8	- 10.0	1.0	٥	16.6	150	153	144	150	11 🐒	25.8
+ 30	4 240	0 1200	352	161	139	179	8.70	4.95	5.B	- 10.0	1.0	٥	16.6	150	151	142	150	118	25.75
1345-0	360	0 1800	441	160	137	199	13.50	22.85	8.90	- 2.10	1.0	٥	16.6	153	152	145	153	114	42.8
+10 A-9	2 360	0 1800	438	160	137	200	13.50	12.85	8.90	-2.10	1.0	Q	16.6	150	149	143	154	115	42.6
+20	3 360	0 1800	435	160	137	201	13.50	22.85	8.90	-2.10	1.0	0	16.6	153	153	127	153	11 <i>5</i>	43.0
1415-0	1 240	0 1200	297	163	128	179	1950	10.60	715	- 2 0		0	16.6	151	151	143	151	113	25.B
A-A	1 240		393	162	138	179	19.60	10.60	7.10	- 2 0	1.0	0	16.6	151	151	143	151	112	262
+20	3 240		392	162	138	179	19.50	10.65	7.17	-2.0	1.0	0	16.6	151	151	143	151	112	26.2
100				• • • •				10.00											
1520-0	1 360	0 1800	38 9	159	138	199	4.60	10.45	7.12	- 10.0	1.0	0	16.6	151	150	120	151	106	18.5
+10 A-10	2 360	0 1800	388	160	139	200	4.65	10.40	7.10	- 10.0	1.0	0	16.6	152	151	124	152	107	18.6
+30	3 360	0 1800	387	160	134	200	4.70	10.40	2.10	-10.0	1.0	0	l6.6	152	150	ي يو ا	132	104	10.6
1600-0	1 288	0 1440	410	161	137	185	17.3	15.3	7.99	- 2.0	1.0	0	16.6	151	146	137	151	102	20.0
+10 A-1	2 288	0 1440	412	161	138	187	17.2	15.3	7.99	- 2.0	1.0	٥	16.6	152	154	143	152	103	20.2
+20	3 288	0 1440	412	161	138	185	17.25	15.3	7.99	- 2.0	1.0	0	16.6	152	150	142	152	103	20.2
									LAPR	IL 19	52	WILKINSO	N.E. MIJCHE	LL.					
1245-0	1 2880	1440	365	161	139	188	6.95	6.90	7.37	-10.0	1.0	0	16.6	150	150	142	150	109	17.0
+10 A-17	2880	1440	361	162	139	187	7.05	6.90	7.37	-10.0	1.0	0	16.6	150	149	141	150	109	16.9
+20	3 2880	1440	361	163	139	187	7.05	6.90	7. 37	~10.0	1.0	0	166	150	147	140	150	109	17.0
ASME	std sq	EDGE OR	IFICE - D)ia=.31(2"				9 APR	IL- 19	152	BAROME	TER 753	MM Hg T	≈ 22° C	MITCHELL	& WILKIN	\$0N.	
1015-0	1 1200	600	288	160	142	151	10.8	3.7	4.15	-10.25	1.0	0	16.6	148	150	140	148	128	23.4
+10 A.13	2 1200	600	290	(60	142	151	10.8	3.7	4.15	- 10.30	1.0	0	16.6	148	50	140	148	128	23.3
+20	3 1200	600	290	160	142	151	10.8	3.7	4.15	-10.35	1.0	0	16.6	148	150	140	148-	128	23.35
1701-0	4 1204	(200	140	14.0	152	15.45	5 1	41-0	-6 45		0	11.10	148	151	142	148	124	17.5
+10	5 120		300	100	142	145	15.45	5.1	4 60	-6.45		0	16.6	148	151	142	148	126	20.2
+20 A-14	6 120		300	160	142	153	15.45	5.7	4.60	- 6.45	1.0	0	16.6	148	152	142	148	125	19.2 2
+30	7 120	0 600	300	160	142	153	15.45	5.7	4.60	- 6.45	1.0	0	16.6	142	151	142	148	125	19.2 5
1400 0	0	100	0.01				01.0	9.4	15 0	- 215	1.0	0	14.6	141	148	140	149	11.2	31
+10	9 1200	600	324	160	141	150	21.0	8.4	5.0	-2.15	1.0	0	16.6	141	150	141	149	113	5.5)
+ 20	/0 (200	600	324	(60	141	150	21.2	8.4	5.0	-2.15	1.0	٥	16.6	141	150	141	149	113.3	5.6
730	11 1200	0 600	324	160	141	150	21.2	8.4	5.0	- 2.15	1.0	0	16.6	141	150	141	149	113	56

27 MARCH 1952 95. OBSERVERS: WILKINSON & MITCHELL
TABLE F-XI

	Dynamom	ETER 13	20+7	EADING	LISTED	PERATUR	PEC 0E	, н	EAT	REJE	ECTION	RUN INCHES	15 4	-" G.S.E	2.	AIR	ORIFICE	ASME 5-	το. D=.614"
	TIME	RUN	R.P.M.	SPEED FT./MIN.	Cyl. HEAD	INLET AIT	MAW BRIN	i. Dyn. Reading 	(AP)AIR "HzO	Pi	Pe Exnaust	Po ORIFICE	AP H20	Hz0 INLET	MAN BRA	G OIL FROM Sun	HLO TO PROTAMORE	HID FROM	READING
	1153	1	1500	1200	438	151	200	+ 7.24	14.55	-2	1.0	0	8	142	152	150	153	88	32.6
	1158	Z	1500	1200	440	152	201	+7.24	14.3	-2	1.0	0	8	144	152	150	153	87	32.8
	1205	3	1500	1200	435	151	203	+7.28	14.4	- 7	1.0	0	8	140	152	150	150	89	32.6
B-1	1210	4	1500	1200	436	150	203	+7.3	14.6	-2	1.0	0	8	139	151	150	149	89	32.7
	1215	5	1500	1200	4 36	150	203	+7.4	14.6	- 2	1.0	0	8.05	140	151	150	150	92	32.6
	1220	6	1500	1200	435	151	203	+7.5	14.6	- 2	1.0	0	8.	140	151	150	150	90	32.5
	1230	7	1500	1200	434	150	203	+ 7.45	14.6	- 2	1.0	0	8	139.5	151	150	150	90	32,4
	1320	8	1500	1200	377	150	199	-4.68	6.5	-10	1.0	0	8.05	140	150	150	150	102	30,2
	1347	9	1500	1200	376	150	199	-4.70	6.5	-10	1.0	0	8.05	14 Z	150	150	151	98	3az
_	1356	10	1500	1200	374	151	198	- 4.62	6.5	- 10	1.0	0	8.05	140	150	150	150	99	30.1
3.2	1400	4.4	1500	1200	374	150	198	-4.72	6.5	- 10	1.0	0	8.05	140	150	150	150	100	30,3
	1405	12	1500	1200	376	149	198	-4.9	6.5	- 10	1.0	0	8.0	140	. 150	150	150	102	. 30,2
	1417	13	1500	1200	378	151	198	-4.82	6.5	- 10	1.0	o	8.05	141	150	150	149.5	105	30.0
	14 29	+4	1500	1200	377	150	198	-4.89	6.5	-10	1.0	0	8.05	140	150	150	149.5	99	29.7
	1573	15	900	720	358	149	178	+7.4	5.0	- 2	1.0	٥	8.0	141	150	150	149.5	100	29.9
3-3	1550	16	900	720	360	148	177	+7.5	5.0	- 2	1.0	0	8.0	141	150	130	149	112	43./
	1605	17	900	720	359	120	178	+ 7,45	2.0	- 4	1.0	0	7.98	141	150	150	149	111	43
	1610	18	900	120	360	150	178	+7.4	3.0	- 4	7.0	0	8.0	141.5	150	130	149.5	111	44.3
	1618	19	900	720	359	151	177	+7.4	5.0	-2	1.0	۵	1.0	141.5	150	130	149.5	[]	44.3
																	21 0183	APTCI & ERVERS :	1952 Mitchell , Wilkins
	(0.32	20	10 71	10-00	A 7 1		240	14.8	20 (- 3	1.0	0	180	130	149	149	152	8.5	307
	1072	21	1813	1200	741	160	2,2	1 95	20.6	- 3	1.0	0	180	130	157	157	151	53	31.0
3.4	1034	22	1010	1500	741	160	215		2010		1.0	0	18.0	1.29	152	152	151	83	51.0
2.4		72	1875	1500	4 7 4		215	1 95	2015	- 3	10	0	180	1.20	157	152	151	8.2	30.7
	1062	24	1875	1500	430	161	215	-4.95	20.65	- 3	1.0	0	18.0	139	153	. 153	151	83	50.7
	1340	25	1875	1500	385	161	213	- 5.80	10.00	-10	1.0	0	18.0	140	150	148	150	94.5	34.6
	1350	24	1875	1500	388	160	214	-5.70	10.00	-10	1.0	0	18.0	140	150	148	150	95	34.7
B-5	1400	27	1875	1500	385	160	214	-5.75	10.00	-10	1.0	0	18.0	140	150	150	150	94	34.7
	1415	28	1875	1500	386	160	214	-5.75	10.00	-/0	1.0	0	18.0	140	15Z	150	160	94.5	34.8

.

•

27MARCH 1952 OBSERVERS: SMITH, BOHNER BAROMETER 764.3 mm Hg TEMP. 19.8°C

96.

W

TABLE F XIII

	DYNAMO TIME	RUN	ZERC RPM	PISTON SPEED FT/MIN.	O" HA K TEM CYL HEAD	PERATURS INLET AIR	HE S °F	EAT TO Dyn. "Hg	REJEC (AP)AIR "H20	TION FUEL READING	TEUNS PE PE INTAKE	4" (S.S.E. S INCHES PORTRICE	Hg	AIR C	RIFICE MPERATUR MAINISKIG IMETOIL	ADME 265 °F OIL FIZON SCHEP	STD. I	HZOFREN CODLER	o 14" Ha O Roranace Remons
B-6	1540 1550 1600	29 30 31	1875 1875 1875	1500	336 325 336	162 162 161	210 210 210	3.5 3.45 3.45	5.6 5.5 3.5	5.9 5.85 5.85	-17,1 -17,1 -17,0	1,0 1,0 1,0	0 0 0	1000	141 141 141	150 150 150	150 150 150	149 149 149	105 105 106	34.5 ⁻ 34.6 34.4
B-7	1625 1635 1645	32 33 34	725°0 725°0 725°0	1800 1800 1800	412 412 412	160 160	725 725 725	14.25 14.2 14.1	15.85 16.0 16.0	10.8 10.8 10.8	- 8.5 - 8.5 - 8.5	1.0 1.0 1.0	000	18 18 18	139 139 139	150 150 150	150 150 150	150 150 151	96 97 97.2	50 50 50
																	4 APRI OBSER BAROM TEMP	L 1952 Kers: Min Eter 7 2 21.25	гснец, м 67.0 <i>л</i> •С	lickinson Im Ng
B-8	1076 1038 1050	35 36 37	1875 1875 1875	1500 1500 1500	4 38 440 440	160	215 215 215	24.8 24.9 24.9	19.6 19.6 19.6	11.75 11.75 11.75	-3.1 -3.1 -3.1	1.0 1.0 1.0	000	18 18 18	139 139 139	152 149 150	151 147 149	152 152 152	92 93 93	44.1 44.3 44.6
B-9	1125	38 39	750 750	600 600	336 336	160	172	Z6.75 Z6.75	3.15	576 576	-1.9 -1.9	1.0	00	18	142 142	150 150	150 150	149 149	///	33.6 33.6
8-10	1226	41 42	900 900	720 720	356 356	160	177	Z6.85 Z6.9	4.60	6.53 6.53	-2.08 -2.08	1.0 1.0	00	18	141 141	150	150 150	149 149	105	32.5
B-11	1372 1332 1342	43 44 45	2250 2250 2250	1800 1800 1800	450 450 450	160 160 160	225 225 225	22.85 22.85 22.85	28.2 78.2 28.2	13.64 13.64 13.64	-2.95 -2.95 -2.95	1.0 1.0 1.0	000	1888	138 138 138	150 150 150	150 150 150	151 151 151	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	46.9 47.2 46.7
B-1 2	1405	46 47	2500 2500	2000 2000	450 450	160	228 228	20.1 20.1	31.55 31.55	14.3	-3,3 -3,3	1.0 1.0	00	18	138 138	151	149	15Z 15Z	90.5° 90.5°	57.7 57.8
13-13	1450 1500 1515	49 50 51	2250 2250 2250	1800 1800 1800	406 404 404	6 6 6	212 212 212	11.1 11.15 11.20	12.50 12.50 12.50	9.8 9.8 9.8	- 10. Z - 10. Z - 10. Z	1.0 1.0 1.0	000	1 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 1	139 139 139	152 150 151	152 150 151	150 150 150	98 98 98	47.9 48.2 48.0
78-14	1630 1640 1650	52 53 54	1125 1125 1125	900 900 900	364 364 364	160 160 160	178 178 178	25.3 25.35 25.35	6.65	7.6	-3.0 -3.0 -3.0	1.0 1.0 1.0	000	18 18 18	140.5 140.5 140.5	150 150 150	150 150 150	149 149 149	/03 /03 /03	34.5 34.4 34.5

÷

2 APRIL 1952 (CONTO.)

-

TABLE F-XIV

	DYNF		+1	EATT	SEJE C	TION.	RUNS	5 4" (G.S.E	• A	ITZ OTZIE	ice f	SME ST	D. DIA.	.461"					
	TIME	RUN	RPM	PIBTON BREED FT/MIN	к- темре Суц н ел с	AIR INLE		DYN "Hg	(AP)AIR "H20	PL	Pe Ex MAUST	Por price	(AP)H.0	N20 IMET	MAIN BRING	OIL FROM	HIOTO ROTH	HEDFROM COOLER	HLO Burnwarse IECODME	FUEL RorAnstee READINE
B-15	1035	55 56	750 750	600	305 304	160 160	165	16.65 16.6	5.85	-8.0 -8.0	1.0	00	18.0	143.0 143.0	149	150	148 148	118	34.85	4.52
8-16	1105 1115 1130	57 58 59	750 750 750	000 000 000	278 277 277	160 160 160	162 162 162	9.2 9.2 9.2	3.0 3.0 3.0	-13.35 -13.35 -13.35	1:0 1:0 1:0	000	18.0 18.0 18.0	142,5 143 143	149 148 149	150 149 150	147 147 147	119.5 120.5 120.5	80.35 29.95 29.95	3.29 3.29 3.29
B-17	1210	60	750 750	600	320 317	160	166	ZI.9 ZI.9	8.25	-4.5	1.0	00	18.0	142	149	150	148	112	30.5	5.2

.

IRAPRIL 1952 90. OTBOGTEVE TED. MITCHELL WILKINSON

TABLE F-XV

AS	ME S	TD. SQU	UARE ED	GED ORIFA	ACE DI	AM920	," 	-1F AT	REJE	CTION	RUN	s 6"	GSF				TEM	r. 21	.5 C		
TIME	RUN	RPM	PISTON SPEED FT/MIN	CYL. HEAD	INLET AIR	ATURES MN. BRNG. INLET OIL	IN •F - MN. BRNG.	OIL FROM	DYN. READING	(DP) _{AIR} " H20	- PRE P: INTAKE	SSURES II Pe EXHAUST	N "HG→ ((]P) _{H2O}	H20 TO	HPERATU H20 FROM Engine	H20 TO ROTA- METER	°F	H2O Rota.	FUEL TEMP. °F	FUEL ROTA- METER	
1400	13	1300	1560	534	160	(51	208	150	16.7	15.1	-6.5	i	17.4	140	150	150	90	38.0	86	8.35	
1405	14	1300	1560	533	161	151	208	160	16.7	15.1	-6.5	1	17.4	140	150	150	ଚତ	38.0	86	8.3 <i>5</i>	C 10
1410	15	1300	1560	533	160	151	208	150	16.7	15.1	- 6.5	1	(7.4	140	150	150	. 90	38.0	86	8.3 <i>5</i>	
425	16	1300	1560	554	159	151	207	150	23.8	23.6	- 2.2	1	17.4	139	151	151	84	38.0	86	10.8	
1430	17	1300	1560	554	159	151	207	150	23.8	23.6	-2.2	1	17.4	139	151	151	84	38.0	86	10.8	СП
1435	19	1300	1560	559	160	151	207	150	23.8	23.6	-2.2	l	17.4	139	151	151	84	38.0	86	10.8	
1505	19	1500	1800	oft scale	160	151	213	150	21.4	29.1	-2.7	1	17.4	138	152	152	81	39.0	86	12.1	
510	20	1500	1900	off scale	160	151	213	150	21.4	29.2	-2.7	t	17.4	138	152	152	81	39.0	86	12.1	C 12
1515	21	1500	1800	off scale	(60	151	213	150	21.3	29.2	-2.7	ť	17.4	138	152	152	81	39.0	୫େ	12.1	
1540	22	1500	1800	554	160	151	213	150	16.3	20.9	- 6	t	17.4	139	151	151	. 84	37.0	86	10.1	
545	23	1500	1800	556	160	151	213	150	16.2	21.0	-6	1	17.4	139	151.	151	84	37.0	86	10.1	C 13
550	24	1500	1800	556	159	151	512	150	16.4	21.0	-6	1	17.4	139	151	151	84	37.0	86	10.1	
1610	25	1500	1800	524	158	151	212	150	11.2	13.0	-10	1	(7.4	140	150	150	90	37.0	86	7.6	
1615	- 26	1500	1900	524	158	151	212	150	11.2	12.9	-10	£	17.4	140	150	150	90	37.0	86	7.6	C 14
1620	27	1500	1800	524	160	151	213	150	11.2	12.9	-10	I	17.4	140	150	150	90	37.0	86	7.6	
ASM	IE 51	rd. Squ	UARE E	DGED ORI	FACE	DIAM	614 [#]										II APR Obser Baron Temp.	NL, 19 VER5: 1ETER 23.	52 5MITH 766.0 3°C	, Bohner mm H	R G
1455	1	600	720	414	160	151	169	150	17.45	15.5	-6	t	17.4	142	148	148	116	54.0	81	2.95	
1500	2	600	720	415	159	151	170	150	17.45	15.4	- 6	t	17.4	142	148	148	11G	54.0	81	2.95	C 15
1505	3	600	720	415	159	151	170	150	17.45	15.4	-6	1	17.4	142	148	148	116	54.0	82	2.95	
1525	4	800	960	426	159	151	179	150	12.5	18.6	- 9.9	(17.4	142	148	148	116	54.5	82	3.4	
1530	5	800	960	428	160	151	180	150	12.3	18.6	- 9.9	t	17.4	142	148	148	116	54.5	82	3.4	C 16
1535	6	800	960	428	160	151	180	150	12.3	18.6	- 9.9	t	17.4	142	148	148	116	54.5	82	3.4	
1540	7	800	960	428	160	151	180	150	12.3	18.6	- 9.9	1	17.4	142	148	148	116	54.5	82	3.4	
1600	8	800	960	444	161	151	180	150	17.0	28.6	- 6.3	1	17.4	141	149	149	112	54.S	82	4.6	
1605	ି	800	960	445	161	151	180	150	16.9	28.6	-6.3	1	17.4	141	149	149	112	54.5	82	4.6	C 17
1610	10	800	960	446	160	151	180	150	17.0	28.7	-6.3	1	17.4	141	149	149	112	54.5	85	4.6	
1615	11	800	960	445	160	151	180	150	16.9	28.6	-6.3	1	17.4	141	149	149	112	54.5	83	4.6	

4 APRIL, 1952 .99. OBSERVERS: SMITH, BOHNER BAROMETER 766.8 mm HG TEMP. 21.5 °C

AS	ME	STD. S	QUARE	EDGED C	RIFACE	DIMM.	,920"			CTION				C			TEMP	p. 22 °C	•		
DY	NAMO	METER	ZERO PISTON	READIN	NG : O	TURES	IN OF -	HEAT	REJE	CITON	F-PRE	SSURES I	G. J. N "HG-1	E. ₩ TE	MPERAT	URES IN	°F→	4	THE	5 1151	
TIME	RUN	RPM	SPEED	CYL.HEAD	AIR	MN. BRA	G MN. BRNG	OIL FROM	DYN. READING	(∆P) _{AIR} "H₂O	P <u>i</u> Intake	Pe EXHAUST	(∆P) _{H20}	H20 TO ENGINE	H20 FROM	HAO TO ROTA- METER	H20 FROM	H2O ROTA,	TEMP.	ROTA- METER	
1005	l	600	720	446	160	151	168	150	22.4	4.2	-2	Ĩ	17.4	141	149	149	105	31.6	79	4.0	
1010	2	600	720	446	160	151	168	150	22.45	4.4	-2	ł	17.4	141	149	149	105	31.7	79	4.0	
1015	3	600	720	445	159	151	168	150	22.8	4,3	-2	I I	17.4	141	149	149	105	31.7	79	4.0	CI
1020	4	600	720	446	160	151	168	150	22.75	4,4	-2	ſ	17.4	141	149	149	105	31.5	79	4.0	
1030	5	600	120	446	160	151	168	120	22.85	4,4	-2	1	17,4	141	149	149	105	31.7	79	4.0	
1300	6	800	960	468	160	151	180	150	22.1	8.05	-2	e e	17.4	141	149	149	105	43.6	79	5.85	
1310	7	800	960	468	160	151	180	150	22 15	8.0	-2	1	(7.4	141	149	149	105	43.5	80	5.85	C 2
1315	පි	800	960	470	160	151	181	150	22.23	8.1	-2	ł	17.4	141	149	149	105	43.6	80	5.85	
1325	9	800	960	470	160	151	181	120	22,25	8.1	-2	ť	17.4	141	149	149	105	43.5	80	5.85	
1423	10	1000	1200	509	159	151	192	150	23.8	13.4	-2	1	17.4	140	150	150	98	44.0	80	7.8	
1929	11	1000	1200	511	160	151	192	150	23.1	13.3	- 2	1	17.4	140	150	150	98	44,2	81	7.8	
1434	15	1000	1200	รีแ	159	151	193	150	23.2	13.5	-2	4	17.4	140	150	150	98	44.5	83	7.8	C 3
1439	(3	1000	1200	510	IGI	151	193	150	23.1	13.4	- 2	1	17.4	140	150	150	98	44.5	83	7.8	
1945	14	1000	1200	509	IGI	151	193	158	23.1	13.4	-2	4	17.4	140	150	150	98	44.5	83	7.8	
150 5	15	1000	1200	470	163	151	194	150	14.3	6.8	- 8	ł	17.4	141	149	149	105	43.5	83	5,3	
1510	16	1000	1200	471	160	151	194	150	14.3	6.8	- 8	I	17.4	141	149	149	105	44.8	82	5,3	C4
1530	17	1000	1200	470	160	151	194	150	19.2	6.85	- 8	t	17,4	141	149	149	105	44.7	85	5.3	-
1535	18	1000	1200	470	160	(3)	194	150	14.2	6.85	~ B	1	17,4	[4]	149	149	105	44.4	65	5.3	
1605	19	1000	1200	441	160	151	195	150	8.9	3.9	-12	ŧ	רו.4	(41	149	149	109	45.3	82	3.7	
1615	20	1000	1200	444	1 Go	151	195	150	8.9	4.0	- 12	1	17.4	141	149	149	109	45.3	82	3.7	C 5
1650	51	1000	1200	442	160	151	195	150	8.9	4.0	-12	r	17.4	141	149	149	109	45.1	83	3.7	
165	22	(000	1200	443	IGO	151	195	150	8.9	4.0	-12	1	17.4	141	149	149	109	45.1	82	3. 7	
																	4 APRIL	L, 1957 ERS: 5	2 MITH, 66 8 mi	BOHNER NG	
																	TEMP.	21.50	C		
1125	1	1100	1320	539	158	151	193	150	23.4	17.0	-2	l	17.4	140	150	150	92	39.5	81	8.9	
1140	2	1100	1320	537	161	151	196	150	23.6	16.8	-2	1	17.4	140	150	150	92	39.5	81	8.9	CG
1145	3	1100	1320	538	1G1	151	196	150	23.6	IG.8	-2	t	17.4	140	150	150	92	39.5	82	8.9	
1205	4	1100	1320	516	160	151	197	150	19.1	12.4	-5	t I	17.4	140	150	150	95	39.5	82	7.4	
1215	5	1100	1320	517	160	151	197	150	19.1	12.4	-5	I.	17.4	140	150	150	95	39.5	83	7.4	C 7
1220	6	1100	1320	517	160	151	197	150	19.1	12.4	-5	I	17.4	140	150	150	୭୫	39.5	83	7.4	
12.40	7	1105	1320	480	159	151	200	150	12.4	6.8	-10	1	17.4	140	150	150	101	39.5	80	5.3	
1845	8	1100	1320	481	160	151	200	150	12.4	6.8	-10	1	17:4	140	150	150	101	39.5	80	5.3	CB
1250	9	1100	1320	481	160	151	200	150	12.4	6.8	-10	t	17.4	140	150	150	101	39.5	8(5.3	
1320	10	1300	1560	507	159	151	209	150	11.8	9.85	-10	1	17.4	140	150	150	94	38.0	84	6.5	
1325		1300	1560	507	160	151	209	150	11.8	9.85	-10		17.4	140	150	150	94	38.0	84	6.5	60
1335	12	1300	1560	507	160	151	209	150	11.8	9.85	-10	1	17.4	140	150	150	94	38.0	84	6.5	

.

TABLE F-XVI

2 APRIL, 1952 100. OBSERVERS: SMITH, BOHNER BAROMETER 757.6 mm HG

								SU.	MMA	RY					
		H	EAT	RE	TECT.	ION	AT	VA	RIO	US U	TACI	YET	TL	FMP	2
RUN	AT	212 ROTA	G.S. #/MIN	E. Ti	ВТU/MIN	9/L#	RUN	DT °F	4" ROTA	G.S.E WIMIN	Ti of=	9 814/11	v %2	RUN	
1. 12 13 1	50 43.5 28 51	11.2 20.75 48.8 76.5	3.51 4.36 6.95 3.2	145.5 120.2 100.5	175.5 189.8 201.5	2 8.05 30.35 32.2 26 1	1234	683 68 59 52	5 12.76 11.75 20.6 36.75	5.89 5.8/ 6.54	145 165 185 134	404 395 386	25:25 24.7 24.1 26 0	1234	
5670	31.83 29 29 27.75	530.1 33.5 45.2 51.8	5.14 5.39 6.54 7.3	170.5 196.5 125 100.5	164.0 156.1 189.9 202.5	26.2 25.0 30. 32 32.4	خ	43	58.55	983	//9	423	26.4	56	

ASME STD. SQ. EDGE ORIFACE DIAM. .413" DYN. ZERO READING O"HG

TABLE F - XVIII

				L		HEAT	REJEC	TION H	T VAR	ious J	ACKET	TEMPE	RATUR	ES d	E G	5. E.				
TIME	RUN	RPM	PISTON SPEED FT/MIN	GTL. HEA	IPERATURE	WATER TO	O WATER FROM ENGINE	MN, BRNG	DYN, READING	(AP) AIR " H20	P: INTAKE	EXHAUST	P. ORIFACE	(11 P)H20	CIL FROM	IPERATURE	S IN OF	WATER FROM COOLER	WATER	FUEL Rotanetea
1530	1	2400	1200	405	160	139	152	175	17.9	10.0	-3	1.0	0	16.6	150	138	152	102	11.2	7.02
1545	2	2400	1200	405	160	139	152	175	17.9	10.0	- 3	1.0	0	16.6	150	139	152	102	(1.2	7.02
	3	2400	1200	375	160	114.5	127	174	17.95	10.0	- 3	1.0	0	16.6	14 B	139	127	83	20.75	7.02
	4	2400	1200	375	160	114.0	126.5	174	17.95	10.0	- 3	1.0	0	16.6	148	(39	126.5	85	20.75	7.02
	5	2400	1200	375	160	114.0	126.5	174	17.95	10.0	- 3	1.0	0	16.6	150	139	126.5	83	20.75	7.02
	6	2400	1200	362	160	94.5	107.5	175	18.0	10.0	- 3	1.0	Q	16.6	146	136	107.5	78	48.8	7.02
	7	2400	1200	362	160	94.0	107.0	174	18.0	10.0	- 3	1.0	0	16.6	149	139	107	78	48.8	7.02
	8	2400	1200	362	160	94.0	107.0	174	18.0	10.0	- 3	1.0	0	16.6	151	140	107	78	48.7	7.02
																II AP	RIL , 19	52		
						·										OBSER	VERS: W	ILKIN So.	N, MITC	HELL
	9	2400	1200	410	160	156	168	177	18.0	9.6	-3	1.0	0	16.6	148	140	168	116	7.5	6.95
	10	2400	1200	412	160	158	169.5	178	18.4	10.0	-2.65	1.0	0	16.6	198	190	169.5	118	7.65	7.02
	11	2400	1200	410	160	158	169	179	18.4	10.0	-2.65	1.0	٥	16.6	151	141	169.0	119	7.65	7.02
	12	2400	1200	410	160	158	169.5	179	18.4	10.0	-2.65	1.0	0	16.6	150	142	169.5	118	7.65	7.02
	13	2400	1200	456	160	191	202	179	19.2	10.0	- 2.3	1.0	0	16.6	150	143	202	173 .	33.0	7.02
	14	2400	1200	455	160	191	202	180	19.2	10.0	~2.3	1.0	0	16.6	150	143	202	173	39.0	7.02
	15	2400	12:00	415	160	164	176	180	19.0	10.0	-2.55	1.0	0	16.6	150	142	176	143	29.85	7.02
	16	2400	1200	411	160	165	177	180	19.0	10.0	-2.55	1.0	0	16.6	150	142	177	145	30.05	7.02
	17	2400	1200	413	160	164.5	176.5	180	19.0	10.0	-2.55	1.0	0	16.6	150	142	176.5	145	30.2	7.02
	18	2400	1200	413	160	164.5	176.5	180	19.0	10.0	-2.55	1.0	0	16.6	150	142	176.5	144	30.3	7.02
	19	2400	1200	365	160	118	130	דרו	18,8	10.0	-30	1.0	0	16.6	148	140	130	101	45.0	7.02
	20	2400	1200	366	160	119	131	180	18.8	10.0	- 3.0	1.0	0	16.6	150	141	131	103	45.1	7.02
	21	2400	1200	368	160	119	131	178	18.6	10.0	- 3. 0	1.0	٥	16.6	150	142	131	105	45.2	7.02
	22	2400	1200	356	160	94.5	107. S	175	18.2	(0.0	- 3,25	1.0	0	16.6	150	142	107.5	80	51.7	7.02
	23	2400	1200	349	160	95.0	IOB	175	18.6	10.0	- 3.20	1.0	0	16.6	150	142	108	80	52.0	7.02
	24	2400	1200	346	160	94	107	176	18.3	10.0	- 3. (1.0	0	16.6	148	140	107	79.5	51.8	7.02
	25	2400	1200	348	160	94	107	176	18.3	10.0	- 3, (1.0	0	16.6	199	141	107	79.0	51.8	7.02

.

9 APRIL, 1952 OBSERVERS: WILKINSON, MITCHELL

TABLE FIXIX

	ASME STR OR	IFIC6 .614	EF	FECT	- OF	JA	CKET	TE	MPE	RAT	URE	ON	HE	AT	REJ	ECTI	ON	4 G.S.	E. DYN	EERO AT O"Hy
	TIME	TRUN	RPM	PISTON SPEED FT/MIN	çyl. He rd	AIR INLE	MAIN BRIK	Dyn Ha	(AP)ane	FUEL Rommetel R EA DAG	RINTAKE	Pe ExmAust	Po	(AP)H20	HzO INLE	MAN Sind INLET	OIL FRO SUMP	HED TO ROTANSTEE	HO FROM COOLER	HLO Barnmertez FECADINA
78-i	1104	 7 3	1500 1500 1500	1200 1200 1200	388 390 3 90	160 160 160	184 185 185	24.7 24.7 24.7	12.55 12.55 12.55	9.81 9.81 9.81	-3.0 -3.0 -3.0	1.0 1.0 1.0	000	18 18 18	140 140 140	1 45 150 150	1 44 150 150	150 150 150	81.5 82.0 81.0	12.8 12.8 12.7
B-i	1146	4 5	1500	1 2,00 1 7,00	408 409	160 160	190 190	24.9 24.9	12.50	9.80 9.80	-3,0 -3,0	1.0	0	18	160 160	150 150	150 150	170 170	107 107	11.8
B-11	i 1246 1301	7 8	1500 1500	1200	416 416	160	190 190	75.1 75.1	12.40 12.40	9.78 9.78	- <i>3.</i> 0 -3.0	1.0	00	18	181 180	150 150	150 150	190	13/ 130	ZO.7 ZO.5
B-1	1345 1400 1410 1420	11 12 13 14	1500 1500 1500	1200 1200 1200 1200	369 366 369 369	160 001 160 160	10000	24.65 24.5 24.5 24.5	12.48 12.40 12.40 12.40	9.80 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78	-3,0 -3,0 -3,0 -3,0	1.0 1.0 1.0	0000	1880818	133 131 129 129	149 150 150 150	149 150 151 150	43 40.5 39 39	90.5 89 87 87	36.9 36.9 36.7 36.8
B-7	ri 1441 1456	15	1500	1200	356 356	160	188	24.2 24.2	12.40	9.78 9.78	-3.0 -3.0	1.0	00	18	114	150 150	150	124 184	81 81	58.5

.

•

 \blacklozenge

5 APRIL 1952 103. OBSGRUBRS: MITCHELL, WILKINSON

× .	

.

																	ى	APP	R12,1	952
								TAG	BLE F	- XX							C	BSEF	RVEL	₹5: H
			MER	TR	EJEC	TION	AT	VARI	ous	TACH	ETT	EMP	PER	ATUP	7ES	6 65	E.		BOHN	IER
TIME	R.R.M.	PISTON SPECO FT./MIN.	FUEL	TEMPEI INLET AIR	MN. BA. INLET OIL	CYL. HEAD	DYN READ. "HG	(GP)AII (H20	= SSURD = Pi INTAN "HG	E EXHAUS	(0 P)H20 T "HG	O OIL FROM SUNP	FOIL FOIL BEAR	H20 AOTO. READ.	WATER To ROTO	NPER WATER FROM (OOLER	NTURA WATER TO ENG.	E •F WATER FROM ENG.	FUEL ROTO	AVG. TALK.
1125 1130 1140 1145 1150	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	1200 1200 1200 1200 1200	80 80 80 80 80	160 160 160 161 161	185 186 187 187 188	506 507 506 505 505	21.6 21.6 21.6 21.6 21.6	12.3 12.4 12.33 12.83 12.83	-3.0 -3.0 -3.0 -3.0 -3.0 5-3.0	.0 .0 .0 .0	17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4	5 50 50 5 5	5 5 50 50 5	55.5 55.5 55.5 55.5 55.5	49 49 49 50 50	103 103 104 104 104	40 39 40 40 40	149 149 149 150 150	7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4	144.5 144.0 144.5 145 145
1200 1210 1225 1230 1235	1000 1000 1000 1000	200 200 200 200 200	8/ 8/ 82 82 82 82 82	160 159 160 160 160	B 9 B 8 B 9 B 9 B 9	500 498 496 496 49 6	21.5 21.5 21.6 21.6 21.6	12.35 12.35 12.35 12.35 12.35		1.00000	17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4	1 48 150 152 150 150	148 150 152 150 150	49.5 49.0 49.0 49.0 49.0	42 41 40 40 40	9 4 91 90 90 90	32 3 30 30 30	42 41 40 40 40	7.7.7.7.7.7	137 136 135 135 135
1250 1255 1300	1000 1000 1000	200 200 200	8] 8] 82	160 159 160	89 89 89	485 484 483	21.5 21.6 21.6	2.35 2.35 2.35	- 3. 0 -3. 0 -3. 0	1.0 1.0 1.0	17.4 17.4 17.4	150 150 150	150 150 150	50.3 50.3 50.3	129 129 129	78 78 78	18 18 18	129 129 129	7.4	123.5 123.5 123.5
							117	APA	R12,	1952	>	SMIT	Hat L	BOHN	IER					
115 120 125 130	1000 1000 1000 1000	1200 1200 1200 1200	78 78 78 79	160 160 159 159	86 86 87 88	526 525 523 524	21.0 21.1 21.1 21.0	12.35 12.35 12.35 12.35	3.0 3.0 3.0	1.0 1.0 5 1.0 1.0	17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4	150 150 150 150	151 151 151 151	56 56 56 56	160 160 160 160	116 116 116	150 150 150 150	60 60 60 60	7.447.4	155 155 155 155
1210 1215 1220	1000 1000 1000	1200 1200 1200	82 82 83	160 160 160	190 190 192	535 532 534	22.0 22.0 22.0	12.30 12.30 12.30	5 - 3.0 5 - 3.0 5 - 3.0	1.00	17.4 17.4 17.4	150 150 150	151 151 151	38.5 38.5 38.5	172 172 172	120 120 120	162 162 162	72 72 72	7.4	167 167 167
1245 1255 1300	1000 1000 1000	1200 1200 1200	83 83 83	162 161 160	9 9 9	525 525 525	22.0 22.1 22.1	12.35 12.35 12.35	<u>3</u> .0 <u>3</u> .0 <u>3</u> .0	1.0 1.0 1.0	17.4 17.4 17.4	150 150 150	151 151 151	41.5 41.5 41.5	164 164 164	112 112 112	154 154 154	164 164 164	7.4 7.4 7.4	159 159 159

.



APPENDIX G BIBLIOGRAPHY

w



BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Taylor, C.F. and Taylor, E.S.; <u>The Internal Combustion Engine</u>: International Textbook Company, 1938 revised 1948.
- 2. Taylor, C.F.; "The Effect of Size on the Design and Performance of Internal Combustion Engines", ASME paper 49-A-116 Presented 27 November-2December 1949.
- 3. Gaboury, W.D.; Meyer, O.F.; Greenlee, P.E.; Salassi, J.W.; and Wiggins, R.; <u>A Study of Friction and Detonation in Geometrically</u> Similar Engines, M.I.T. thesis 1950
- 4. Taylor, C.F.; <u>Heat Transmission in Internal Combustion Engines</u>, unpublished.
- 5. Riekert, P. and Held, A.; <u>Heat Transfer in Geometrically Similar</u> Cylinders; NACA technical memorandum number 977, May 1941.
- 6. McAdams, W.H.; Heat Transmission, McGraw-Hill, 1942
- 7. Leary, W.A.; Measurement of Air Flow by Means of ASME Square Edged Orifice with Flange Taps; Sloan Laboratory, M.I.T.
- 8. Theory of the Flowrator, catalog section 98-A, 1947, Fischer and Porter Co., Hatboro, Pa.
- 9. Breed, E. and Cowdery, J.R.; <u>A Study of the Laws of Similitude</u> Using the Six Inch G.S.E., M.I.T. thesis 1949.
- 10. Lobdell, L.W. and Clark, R.E.; <u>A Study of the Principle of</u> Similitude Using the Four Inch G.S.E.; M.I.T. thesis 1949
- 11. Mikel, F.G. and McSwiney, D.D.; A Study of the Laws of Similitude Using the Two and One-Half Inch G.S.E.; M.I.T. thesis 1949.
- 12. Taylor, E.S. and Draper, C.S.; <u>A New High Speed Indicator</u>, Mechanical Engineering, March 1933.
- 13. Aroner, R. and O'Reilly, P.J.; An Investigation of Friction in M.I.T. Four Stroke Geometrically Similar Engines, M.I.T. thesis 1951.





μ^{*} •



γ.

•

AUG 31

BINDERY

Thesis 558 Smith A study of the effect of engine size on heat rejection.

DATE ISSUED TO

Thesis 17144 S58 Smith A study of the effect of engine size on heat rejection.

Li' ary U. S. Navai Post_ere duate School" Montarey, Cal

GOLD LETTERING AND SMITH BINDEPY CAMLAND, CALLE

