



**Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive** 

Theses and Dissertations

**Thesis Collection** 

1963

# Plasma oscillations in low pressure gaseous discharges

Ketchel, Robert J.

Monterey, California: U.S. Naval Postgraduate School

http://hdl.handle.net/10945/12847



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

> Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943

http://www.nps.edu/library

NPS ARCHIVE 1963 KETCHEL, R.

# PLASMA OSCILLATIONS IN LOW PRESSURE GASEOUS DISCHARGES ROBERT J. KETCHEL and WILLIAM M. ZOBEL'

LIBRARY U.S. NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA





### PLASMA OSCILLATIONS

IN

LOW PRESSURE GASEOUS DISCHARGES

\* \* \* \* \*

Robert J. Ketchel

and

William M. Zobel

### PLASMA OSCILLATIONS

IN

LOW PRESSURE GASEOUS DISCHARGES

by

Robert J. Ketchel

Lieutecant, United States Coast Guard

and

William M. Zobel

lieuterant Commander, Civil Engineer corps

United States Navy

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN PHYSICS

Monterey, California

1 9 6 3

MES MONDE MUS Kenchel F Thesis Krite

LIBRARY U.S. NAVAL PETER ADUALE SCHOOL MONTEKEY CALIFORNIA

# PLASMA OSCILLATIONS

IN

LOW PRESSURE GASEOUS DISCHARGES

by

Robert J. Ketchel

and

William M. Zobel

This work is accepted as fulfilling

the thesis requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

IN

PHYSICS

from the

United States Naval Postgraduate School

#### ABSTRACT

This is a continuation of work at the U. S. Naval Postgraduate School under Professor N. L. Oleson, investigating oscillations in low pressure ionized gases. Druyvesteyn analysis (using electronic methods for obtaining first and second derivatives of probe current versus probe potential) and Langmuir probe techniques, are utilized for the investigation of discharges in Neon, Argon, and Krypton at ten microns pressure. Plasma densities as determined from the positive ion section of the probe current curves, from Druyvesteyn analysis, and from observed electron oscillation frequencies, are compared with oscillation amplitudes. Electron oscillations were not detected throughout the discharge; however, at some positions multiple frequencies were detected. Ion oscillations, detected throughout the discharge, corresponded in magnitude to Langmuir electrostatic sound waves, and peaked in amplitude with the electron oscillations.

#### TOAN TERA

Inter Projector to the continuence of the order of the

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Particular appreciation is expressed to Professor Norman L. Oleson for his guidance, support, and cheerful encouragement throughout the course of our work. To Professor A. W. M. Cooper we extend our thanks for the loan of several pieces of equipment that saved us valuable time in the construction of our vacuum system. Our appreciation is expressed to Professor Gilbert F. Kinney, Chairman of the Department of Chemistry and Metallurgy, for the loan of the electronic equipment that made possible the recording and reduction of our data. To our predecessors, Lieutenant D. M. Alderson, Jr., USN, and Lieutenant J. D. Leonard, Jr., USN, go our thanks for their time and assistance in familiarizing us with the operation of the equipment and the problems involved in this type of investigation. In addition, the time and work that they expended in perfecting the design of the cathode heater was particularly helpful in that it provided us with an electrode that performed without breakdown for the duration of our experimentation. To Mr. Robert Smith our thanks are expressed for his help with our electronics maintenance problems. To Mr. Micheal O'Dea we extend our appreciation for his work in fabricating our Hook Gauge Manometer. To Messrs. Kenneth C. Smith, Robert C. Moeller, Milton K. Andrews, Allen N. Goodall, and Raymond Garcia, our thanks are given for their continuous advice and valuable assistance in technical matters. Last but not least, particular appreciation is reserved for Mr. John M. Calder whose craftsmanship in glass blowing provided us with a vacuum system that was problemfree during our entire nine months of operation.

. . .

· . / ......

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Т	itle	Page
1.	Introduction		1
2.	Previous Work		3
3.	Equipment		9
4.	Procedures		17
5.	Results		21
6.	Conclusions		32
7.	Recommendations		37
8.	Bibliography		110

,

### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
1 - 3	General Arrangement of Laboratory Equipment	38-40
4	Photograph of Discharge Tube	41
5	Sketch of Discharge Tube	42
6	Photograph of Modified Hook Gauge	43
7	Diagram of DC Electrical Circuitry	44
8	Master Data Sheet, Neon, Run 19	45
9	Master Data Sheet, Neon, Run 21	46
10	Master Data Sheet, Argon, Run 39	47
11	Master Data Sheet, Krypton, Run 40	48
12-36	X-Y Recorder Plots	49-73
37	I $-V$ Characteristic Curves, Runs 19 and 21	74
38	I - V Characteristic Curve, Run 39	75
39	I <sub>d</sub> -V <sub>d</sub> Characteristic Curve, Run 40	76
40	Sketch of Normal Diffuse Discharge	77
41	Sketches of Various Types of Discharges	78
42-45	Space Potential versus Position, All Runs	79,80
46	Sample Ln I <sub>e</sub> versus V <sub>P</sub> lot	81
47-50	Electron and Ion Densities plotted versus Probe Position, All Runs	82~85
51	Sample I 2 versus V Plot	86
52-55	Flectron Temperature plotted versus Probe Position, All Runs	87, 88
56-66	Electron Energy Distributions, All Runs	89-99
67	X-Y Recorder Plot Showing Effect of Focused Argon Dischar on Second Derivative	<b>g</b> e 100
68-71	Sample Photographs of Ion Oscillations	101-104

List of Illustrations (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
72-74	Electron and Ion Oscillation Amplitude and Electron Density plotted versus Probe Position, All Runs	105-107
75-76	Electron Oscillation Frequency versus Discharge Current, Argon	108-109



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

А	-	Surface area of the probe
x	-	Adiabatic compression coefficient
е	-	Electronic charge
fe	-	Frequency of electron oscillation
f	-	Frequency of ion oscillation
ľd	-101	Discharge current
Ie	-	Electron current
I <sub>i</sub>	-	Ion current
ľ P	-	Probe current
i. re	-	Random electron current at space potential
k	~	Boltzman's constant (or, in one case, a constant for ion density correction)
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$	-	Wave length
me	-	Mass of the electron
m i	-	Mass of the ion
ne	-	Electron density
n	*	Ion density
Te	-star	Electron temperature
T <sub>i</sub>	-	Ion temperature
V d	-	Discharge voltage
V	-	Amplitude of ionic oscillation
V p	-144	Probe potential
Vsp	5	Space potential

#### 1. Introduction.

In recent years, man's desire for greater knowledge in the field of plasma physics has been intensified. Major scientific programs in space exploration, thermo-nuclear research, and electronics, to name only a few, have required and will continue to require, an ever-better understanding of the basic physical processes occurring in highly-ionized, gaseous, conducting mediums.

The state in which matter exists is basically determined by the average kinetic energy of its particles. On earth, a relatively cold, dense fragment of our universe, we have become accustomed to dealing with three states of matter: the solid, the liquid, and the gaseous. The plasma, on the other hond, which may be regarded as a fourth state of matter, actually makes up nearly 99.9% of all space, and may exist whenever the kinetic energy of its particles exceeds the ionization potential of its atoms, which is usually a few electron volts /1/.

On earth, the medium most closely resembling an ideal plasma is a partually ionized gas, such as may be found in the positive columns of glow and arc discharges. In fact, Langmuir and Tonks /2/ originally defined the word "plasma" in these terms when they stated:

> The word "plasma" will be used to designate that portion of an arc type discharge in which the densities of ions and electrons are high but substantially equal. It embraces the whole space not occupied by the sheath.

It is in just this sort of laboratory-created plasma that our research

1

has been carried out; specifically, in vacuum tube glow discharges in Neon, Argon, and Krypton.

Under the guidance of Professor N. L. Oleson, this project is a continuation of work carried out in the past few years in the investigation of electron ion oscillation phenomena occurring in low pressure rare gas discharges.

2. Previous Work.

In 1924, Langmuir and Mott-Smith /3/ developed the first sound theoretical technique for the study of electrical discharges in low pressure gases with an auxiliary electrode, known as a "probe". Prior work with probes had been limited in value by a failure to recognize the importance of sheath formations in the determination of the true space potential of the discharges investigated. With the advent of the new theory, the study of discharges in an ionized gaseous plasma was revitalized.

In 1925, Langmuir /4/ reported that there were apparently three energy groups of electrons present in a diode-type discharge. These he classified as (1) "primary", (2) "secondary", and (3) "ultimate" electrons. The "primary" electrons were defined as those keeping nearly all of the momentum gained in traversing the ion sheath; the "secondary" electrons as those having a Maxwellian distribution of energies proportional to the energy of the primaries; and the "ultimate" electrons as those having a Maxwellian distribution of energies independent of the primaries' and much lower than the secondaries'. On the basis of these observations, Langmuir proposed that a phenomenon he called "scattering" involved the transfer of energy between electrons in the discharge.

Experiments by Dittmer /5/ showed that the scattering phenomenon was occurring in a region that could be defined between the cathode and some point a constant distance from it. He also noted that the scattering region approached the cathode with an increase in tube current, and predicted that the phenomenon may be due to oscillatory motion of plasma particles. He could, however, detect no oscillations with his equipment.

3

In 1929, Tonks and Langmuir /6/ developed a simple theory for electron and ion oscillations by viewing the electrons as oscillators embedded in a jelly-like substance made up of the ions of the plasma. This theory predicted electron oscillations of a natural frequency given by.

$$f_e = \left(\frac{ne^2}{\pi m_e}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} c_{PC}$$

and ion oscillations with an upper frequency limit given by.

$$f_i = \left(\frac{m_c}{m_i}\right)^2 f_e$$

Tentative verification of this theory was made by detecting oscillations in the 300 to 1000 megacycle range, which corresponded reasonably well with the predicted frequencies.

In 1939, Merrill and Webb /7/ reported on investigations in a low pressure mercury arc discharge using the probe technique to determine a possible relation between plasma oscillations and scattering as suggested by Tonks apo langmuir. They found that scattering occurred in several pairow regions and was associated with oscillations which agreed with the Languar frequency within ten percent. They concluded that the state regions within ten percent. They concluded that the state regions we do no of cl lations tecenving energy from the fast plectrons of clube provide the state percent.

From 1939 Orrigh 1940 Elettre Lourene el control de la company vided important communes au formant de la control d

- a. 100 mg/000 comments than the best title in the second comments of a second comment of the second comments of the second comments
- b "ac heros effecte of all and and a set of a se

(a 1929, Tanks and Langadir / of deviations a parameter and a second second

and the second the second s

the 300 to 1000 mayner in the second se

The second s

A DEST AND A DEST A DEST A DEST

mat nidily

introne real and the

the second se

section that we

- c. The frequency of oscillations varies directly with gas pressure.
- d. The amplitude of the detected oscillation signal depends on the electrode geometry and upon which electrodes are used for detection of oscillations.

Emeleus /9/ has pointed out the value of visual observations in low voltage electrical discharge studies using oxide-coated cathodes in Argon at a few microns pressure. A sketch showing the major sectors of a gaseous discharge in which high frequency oscillations are present, follows:



C, cathode; 1, cathode sheath; 2, primary beam; 3, meniscus;4,scattered beams; A, anode.

The principal static potential drop occurs in region 1, while the remainder of the discharge is essentially at the anode potential.

Easley /10/ in probe technique investigations of a mercury discharge demonstrated the importance of probe cleanliness for accurate measurements.

Oleson and Found /11/ have shown that non-linear logarithmic plots of probe data were obtained in discharges in which moving striations were present. The logarithmic plots obtained looked as though two Maxwellian distributions were present in the discharge. It had become fairly evident that in many discharges at low pressure, a Maxwellian distribution did not exist. Greater effort was therefore aimed at determining new techniques for calculating the actual electron energy distribution function rather

than assuming it to be Maxwellian.

As early as 1939, Druyvesteyn /12/ had shown that the electron energy distribution could be found from the expression:

$$N_{f(energy)} = \frac{2}{Ae} \left(\frac{2m_eV_p}{c}\right)^{\gamma_2} \frac{d^2I_p}{dV_p^2}$$

where  $N_{f(energy)}$  is the number of particles per unit of energy per unit volume. (Normally units of reciprocal electron volts and reciprocal cubic centimeters are used).

But, in spite of Druyvesteyn's development of this relation, no significant work was conducted to obtain energy distributions because of the inherent inaccuracies involved in the tedious graphical method of obtaining the second derivative of probe current with respect to probe voltage.

Medicus /13/, in 1956, developed a technique for determining the energy distribution function from probe characteristic curves that were plotted with an X-Y recorder. This method is one in which tangents are drawn to the probe characteristic curve and the difference between the tangents represent the second derivatives. The actual probe curves may be used as recorded only if the ion current is small relative to the electron current, or if the ion current miss linearly with the voltage. If not, the net electron current must be used.

Takayama, Ikegumi, and Miyozaki /l4/ developed a radio frequency technique of determining the plasma resonant frequency in a gaseous discharge. They found that if a radio frequency signal is superimposed on a probe maintained negative to space potential, a resonant current increase will appear in the circuit at the Langmuir frequency.

Allis /15/ has suggested that plasma oscillation growth may be correlated with decreasing plasma density gradients. He indicated that the average beam velocity of a monoenergetic beam of electrons passing through a

6


plasma is reduced by the growing amplitude of the oscillations. This would indicate that the beam energy is being transferred into field energy in the wave and increased kinetic energy of the random plasma electron group. Recent work by Mahaffey, McCullagh, Garscadden, and Emeleus /16/ is pertinent in correlating growth or decay of oscillations with plasma density gradients. They conclude that some correlation exists between the growth or decay of oscillations and the motion of the electron beam down and up a plasma concentration gradient which is in general agreement with the plasma dispersion theory.

Garscadden /17/ and Emeleus /18/ have pointed out subsequently that measurements taken with Langmuir probes in oscillating discharges must be carefully interpreted. This is especially true in the case of electron concentration and space potential determination. They point out that some of the detail in the results previously published regarding electron concentration versus oscillation intensity may not be real.

Allen /19/ studied Argon using a spectroscopic technique that detected scattered electrons by their excitation of high energy lines. Allen found good correlation between the measurements made by spectroscopic and probe techniques. In studying oscillation amplitudes and electron energy distributions, he found a sudden increase in oscillation amplitude to a maximum close to the anode side of the meniscus, and a decrease coupled with secondary maxima towards the anode.

Kojima, Kato, and Hagiwara /20/ studied plasma oscillations by using a super-regenerative detector. Many plasma oscillations were observed without auxiliary electron beams; however introducing small beams into the main plasma increased the amplitude of the oscillations, yet did not change their frequencies. Plasma oscillations were also detected from outside the tube

by use of a small antenna. Since no probe was necessary in this technique, it was shown that thick ion sheaths were not necessary for the excitation of plasma oscillations. Many plasma oscillations were detected having slightly different frequencies, and in a later work /21/ they describe more fully this result. They find three discrete plasma frequencies that correspond to (1) the central region of the beam, (2) the region near the edge of the beam, and (3) the outer plasma region near the walls of the tube.

Alexeff and Neidigh /22/ have conducted a study of ionic sound waves in plasmas. They have observed self-excited ionic sound wave oscillations both in magnetically supported plasma columns and in spherical discharge tubes. The oscillations show the expected overtones, and a dependence on wavelength, ion mass, and electron temperature as expected.

х

# 3. Equipment.

Figures 1 through 3 are photographs of the laboratory equipment and its general arrangement. The equipment used can be divided into five main component groupings as follows:

- (1) Gaseous discharge tube.
- (2) Vacuum system for evacuating the tube and the pressure calibration system.
- (3) Pressure measurement devices.
- (4) Frequency detection and measuring equipment.
- (5) D. C. electrical circuitry.

A brief description of each of these five groupings, their construction and/or history, and general comments concerning them is as follows:

> (1) <u>Gaseous discharge tube</u>. Figures 4 and 5 are a photograph and a detailed drawing of the tube (diode.) This is the same tube used by Leonard and Alderson in their work during 1961-1962 /23/. No changes were made to the tube other than repairing one of the double-probe tungsten wires. The electrodes were mounted on cylindrical iron cores sealed in pyrex to enable them to be moved within the tube by application of an external magnetic field. Electrical leads to the electrodes were coiled multi-strand oxygen-free copper, insulated where necessary with ceramic beads. Attachment to the tungsten seals at both ends of the tube and at the electrodes was by spot-welded nickel straps. The cathode was an indirectly heated dispenser type cathode supplied by Semicon of California, Incorporated. This cathode has an evaporation rate of one microgram per

centimeter per hour and negligible sputtering. The cathode was 28.5 mm. long, 22.1 mm. in diameter, and had a concave face with a radius of curvature of 36.7 mm. The cathode heaters were made from 20 mil malleable tungsten wire wound into a coil 14.2 mm. in diameter and consisting of 15 turns. Operating temperature (face of cathode) of 1100°C required approximately 13.7 amperes. Approximately 20 minutes was required to reach this temperature equilibrium, which was maintained to within + 5°C during each data run.

The anode was a 26 mm. diameter, 20 mil thick, nickel disk. The probes were constructed of 5 mil tungsten wire sheathed in pyrex to within 3.9 millimeters of the end. To prevent conduction on the surface of the glass due to contamination, the probe had a 5 mil stand-off from the glass sheath.

(2) <u>Vacuum System</u>. The vacuum system was capable of a vacuum of the order of 10<sup>-8</sup> millimeters of Hg and was tested leak-free with the Veeco MS-9 Helium Leak detector which is capable of detecting leaks as small as 1 x 10<sup>-18</sup> cubic centimeters per second. Fumping was done with a three-stage air-cooled oil diffusion pump, using Octoil-S, and a mechanical fore pump. The vacuum system was divided into two sections: an "internal" section consisting of the discharge tube and vacuum gauges; and an "external" section consisting of connecting tubing to bottles of argon, neon, and krypton gas, and of an oil manometer for pressure measurement and vacuum gauge calibration. The internal section was separated from the external system by a liquid air trap, and could be isolated completely by a

Granville-Phillips bakeable bellows type valve. There were no stopcocks in the internal system, and all stopcocks in the external system were vacuum type, lubricated by Type N Apiezon grease. The internal system was baked out by an overhead removable oven. The external system, separated from the pumps by a second liquid air trap, was baked out with heating tapes. The anode and all other metal parts within the discharge tube were out-gassed with an induction heater.

(3) <u>Pressure Measurement Devices</u>. Vacuum measurement in the high vacuum region (10<sup>-4</sup> to 10<sup>-8</sup> mm of Hg) was by a Veeco RG-75, Baird -Alpert type ionization gauge and Veeco Vacuum Gauge control RG31A.

Vacuum pressures in the micron range were measured primarily by a manometer, using the following method: A relatively small volume  $(V_1)$  of the external system, connected to the manometer, was filled with sufficient gas to give a manometer reading of over 200 mm. The manometer could be read to within  $\pm$  0.1 mm, by a telescope with hairline. The gas within volume  $V_1$  was then allowed to expand into the combined volumes of the external system and the internal system  $(V_2)$ . The new manometer reading, still over 15 mm., was again recorded and the volume ratio  $V_1/V_2$  calculated. After repeating this procedure numerous times, using each of the three gases, the ratio  $V_1/V_2$  was determined to be 26.2  $\pm$  0.5. Subsequently, by filling volume  $V_1$  to a pressure 26 2 times as great as the pressure desired in the discharge tube (even the smallest pressures required could be read with an error of less than

2%), and allowing the gas to expand into the greater Volume  $V_2$ , pressures in the micron range were obtained in the discharge tube, accurate to  $\pm$  5% ( $\pm$  0.5 microns in 10 microns,  $\pm$  2.5 microns in 50 microns). In order to obviate the time-consuming use of the manometer-expansion process on every experimental run, we spent considerable time during the earlier portion of our work attempting to calibrate the Veeco RG-75 ionization gauge and the resistor-modified RG31A control (as used by Alderson and Leonard in 1961-1962) in the micron range.

The first attempt at calibration was in Neon with the McLeod gauge used by Alderson and Leonard (See Appendix of their work /23/). After several attempts, it was obvious that the modified Veeco control gauge reacted strangely in the 30-60 micron range. As the pressure increased in the micron range, the meter reading would steadily increase until an off-scale reading was obtained (later it was determined that for our particular RG-75 gauge this was reproducibly at 30 microns). With further increase in pressure (as measured by the McLeod gauge) the meter reading would return to an onscale value, giving a spurious reading. Thinking that our calibration vacuum system may have become contaminated with air, water vapor, or other gases, we ceased this calibration attempt.

Our second attempt at calibration was on the vacuum system as connected to our experimental discharge tube. Not being able to subject the system to mercury vapor contamination from a standard McLeod gauge, we connected a modified hook gauge,

using octoil, to the system. The basic hook gauge assembly, manufactured by the Dwyer Manufacturing Co., for reading vacuum pressures in the millimeters of Hg range, was modified to read pressures in the micron range in accordance with informat on obtained from Mr. Louis Biagi of the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, at the University of California at Berkele<sup>1</sup>. A photograph of the modified assembly is shown in Figure 6.

Due to difficulties encountered in its modification, the hook gauge assembly was not made leak-free in time to permit its use in our work; however, we believe that with relatively minor modifications it can become a valuable tool for making continuous readings of tube pressure in the micron range (to + 0.2 micron). On our third attempt at calibration of the RG-75, using the manometer-expansion method previously outlined, we were successful in calibrating our specific gauge (with its modified control) for Neon gas so that reproducible pressures could be determined from it. On attempting to calibrate the Veeco gauge for Argon and Krypton, we experienced considerable difficulty in obtaining satisfactorily reproducible pressures. We are therefore reporting pressures in the micron range as established by the manometer-expansion method, corrected for pressure change due to the increased temperature of the gas during operation. These pressures are considered accurate to + 5%. A Westinghouse type 7903 high pressure ionization gauge was also installed in the system; however, continuing control circuit difficulties precluded its use. This instrument is designed to operate in the 1 x  $10^{-5}$  to 5 x  $10^{-1}$  mm. of Hg range and is potentially valuable in future work.

(4) Frequency detection and measuring devices. A cavity wave meter test set, Model AN/UPM 2 with a frequency range of 80-1220 megacycles, was used to detect electron oscillation frequencies by capacitive coupling with a wire probe. The DC signal from the detector crystal of the cavity wave meter was fed to a Hewlett-Packard Model 425 A DC microvolt-ammeter. Currents as small as 10<sup>-12</sup> amperes could be detected with this instrument. Ion oscillations were detected and measured by directly coupling a Tektronix Type 543 oscilloscope to the probe.

The circuits for obtaining frequency data were coaxial lines from the probe to the wave meter in the case of electron oscillations, and to the oscilloscope through a 1000 cycle high-pass filter for ion oscillations. The high pass filter was included to eliminate low-frequency electrical noise in the laboratory.

(5) <u>DC Electrical Circuitry</u>. A diagram of the DC electrical circuitry is shown in Figure 7. The cathode heaters were fed by a DC power supply from a battery charger, in parallel with a battery bank of 10 nickel-iron 6-volt batteries (5 parallel with 5) to minimize voltage fluctuations (shown only as a battery supply on the diagram).

Cathode to Anode tube voltage (Vd) was supplied by a Kepco Labs model 1250 B voltage-regulated DC power supply, capable of supplying from 0-1000 volts at 0-500 milliamperes.

Probe to Anode voltage was controlled as follows:

(a) A triangular wave voltage signal, 15 volts peak to

peak, and varying linearly with time, was obtained from a Hewlett-Packard Low Frequency Function Generator, Model 202A.

- (b) This voltage was supplied to a nominal gain-ten amplifier in a Donner Analog Computer, Model 30<sup>1</sup>, with problem board Model 30-6.
- (c) The amplified voltage signal was then biased by one of the initial condition power supplies of the computer.
- (d) The biased signal was then supplied to the anode to obtain the desired positive and negative voltage excursions between probe and anode.

In order to outgas the probe by ohmic heating prior to taking each probe characteristic, a constant DC voltage was supplied to the probe from two of the initial condition power supplies of the computer.

Current drawn by the probe as a result of the imposed varying probe-anode potential was passed through a 500 ohm resistor to obtain a voltage proportional to probe current (the effect of the voltage drop in this resistor on probe potential was less than one per cent and was considered negligible). This proportional voltage was then fed through two gain-ten amplifiers on the computer. The amplified signal was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Donner Analog Computer, Model 30, has 10 operational amplifiers which operate in the range of -100 to +100 volts with a long time stability better than 0.5% of full scale value, maximum drift characteristic of 4 millivolts per hour with a short term random drift less than  $\pm 2$  millivolts. Five initial condition, regulated, DC power supplies, 0-100 volts are available on the computer.

then supplied to an F. W. Mosely Autograph X-Y recorder, Model 2-S<sup>1</sup> either directly as I<sub>p</sub>, or through a combination of one or two cascade RC differentiator circuits (time constant .025 seconds) as  $\frac{dI_p}{dV_p}$  or  $\frac{d^2I_p}{dV_p^2}$ .

<sup>1</sup>The F. W. Mosely Autograph X-Y Recorder, Model 2-S, has a full scale range on the horizontal axis of from 0-7.5 millivolts to 0-150 volts. The vertical axis has a full scale range of from 0-5 millivolts to 0-100 volts. Input impedances on both scales are of the order of 2 megohms. The recorder is accurate to 0.25% of full scale on all ranges, when calibrated. Calibration drift is negligible.

## 4. Procedures.

## Preparation of the System.

To insure the purity of the gas under investigation, the system was pumped out to as high a vacuum as possible. This was a vacuum of the order of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  millimeters of Hg. To obtain this high vacuum, the entire system was first pumped to a vacuum of approximately  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  mm of Hg, and then pumped on while the system was baked out. The internal section of the system was baked with a portable oven at approximately  $350^{\circ}$ C while the external system was baked with heating tapes at approximately  $250^{\circ}$ C. This baking process was continued for approximately twelve hours until a vacuum of the order of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  mm of Hg was obtained.

The anode was then heated by means of an induction heater to drive out absorbed gas. The cathode was brought to a temperature of  $1100^{\circ}C$  and maintained at this temperature for approximately one hour. By pumping on the system during the induction heating of the anode and the operation of the cathode, a vacuum of the order of 1 x  $10^{-7}$  mm of Hg was obtained.

A large amount of gas was found to be absorbed in the cathode, so with the introduction of each new gas, the system was flushed and filled three times. This was done by admitting a charge of gas at about 20 microns pressure, to the tube in a cold condition, bringing the cathode to a temperature of  $1100^{\circ}$ C, and obtaining and holding a discharge for thirty minutes. The cathode was then allowed to cool while the system was pumped to about 1 x  $10^{-7}$  mm of Hg. After the cathode had cooled the procedure was twice repeated.

At the time of making a data run, the system was filled with gas from an initial vacuum of about 1 x  $10^{-7}$  millimeters of Hg. The pressure of gas admitted to the tube was measured by means of the manometer method outlined

in the equipment section. After filling, the cathode was brought to a temperature of 1100°C and allowed to reach equilibrium. This required approximately 30 minutes. A cathode heater current of 13.7 amperes was necessary to maintain this temperature.

All electronic equipment was turned on during the initial stages of preparation for the data run so that the equipment would be stabilized by the time the actual data run was made.

While the cathode temperature was reaching equilibrium, the desired initial probe position and cathode to anode spacing were set. This was done by setting the cathetometer to a desired reference position for the cathode and then moving the cathode by means of a solenoid until its front face was lined up with the reference mark. The cathetometer was then traversed to the probe and a measurement made of its position. Finally, the cathetometer was traversed to the desired anode position and the anode moved to coincide with it. In making settings and measurements the cathetometer was always traversed in one direction only, so that backlash error would be reduced. This procedure was followed for making all cathode, anode, and probe settings.

A discharge was obtained when the cathode reached operating temperature, and the desired tube discharge current was set by properly varying the tube power supply voltage and the tube circuit resistance. The discharge was then allowed to stabilize and the cathode temperature allowed to reach equilibrium.

# Data Taking Procedures.

The discharge circuit was operated in a floating condition with no point being grounded. The probe circuitry was directly coupled to the anode, thus supplying a signal between the probe and the anode of the desired positive and negative voltage excursion. The current drawn by the probe on passing through a resistance (Rp), provided a voltage signal proportional to probe

current. After amplification by two gain-ten amplifiers, signal was plotted on the Y axis of the X-Y recorder as a function of the probe to anode potential. Voltages proportional to  $\frac{dI_p}{dV_p}$  and  $\frac{d^2I_p}{dV_p^2}$ , obtained by feeding the voltage proportional to probe current to one or two differentiating R-C circuits, were also plotted as functions of probe to anode potential on the X-Y recorder (See Figure 7). The three plots made were of greatly different amplitude, so different calibrated scales of the X-Y recorder were used.

Immediately prior to each plot the probe was outgassed with 50 to 125 volts from a constant voltage power supply.

The data curves were calibrated by measuring the actual probe current with a milliameter at the extreme probe to anode potentials for the initial probe position. The  $\frac{dI_P}{dV_P}$  curve was calibrated by measuring the slope of the I<sub>p</sub> vs. V<sub>p</sub> curve at the point of the maximum of the derivative. The  $\frac{d^2I_P}{dV_P}$  curve was calibrated by measuring the slope of the  $\frac{dI_P}{dV_P}$  vs. V<sub>p</sub> curve at the point of the maximum of the second derivative curve. These calibrations were then checked at various other points on the same curve and against curves of other sub-runs to insure accurate calibrations. The X input of the X-Y recorder was a varying probe to anode potential. This potential was obtained from a low frequency function generator with a triangular wave output, amplified by a gain-ten amplifier, and then biased to the desired voltage excursion. Calibration of the X-Y recorder was then obtained by measuring the probe to anode voltage (to  $\pm 2$  volts) with a vacuum tube volt meter at the extreme points of excursion.

The next step was the observation of ion oscillations. The probe circuitry was disconnected and the oscilloscope lead was directly coupled to the probe through a 1000 cycle high pass filter. The anode was grounded for this observation and the shield of the oscilloscope lead was grounded common

to it. The oscilloscope display was photographed and then later analyzed for amplitude and frequency of the observed signal.

A determination of electron oscillation frequency and relative amplitude was then made. This was done by capacitively coupling a cavity wave meter to the probe, with the probe circuitry dis-connected and the anode grounded. The output current of the cavity wave meter was measured by a micro-micro ammeter, with a deflection of this meter (at resonance) from its ambient value being an indication of the presence of an electron oscillation. The amount of the deflection indicated the relative amplitude of the electron oscillations and the scale reading of the wave meter indicated its frequency. The cavity wave meter tuning was a very slow and careful operation to ensure that resonant deflections were not missed or hidden by random deflections of the meter.

At this point the probe, cathode, and anode were repositioned for the next data point and the amplifiers were checked for proper balance. This procedure was then repeated for all desired probe positions.

5. Results.

General.

During 1961-62 Alderson and Leonard /23/ reported considerable information on the behavior of Neon at a pressure of 58 microns. Their pressure determination was based on readings from a Veeco RG-75 ionization gauge modified to read in the micron range and calibrated against a McLeod gauge. At the beginning of our work in 1962-63, we attempted to continue the calibration of the Veeco gauge (which we had commenced calibrating with Alderson and Leonard) so as to use it in working with Argon and Krypton as well as Neon. Our initial attempt was to calibrate a new RG-75 gauge in Neon to confirm the earlier calibration. At that time we found that the new gauge was erratic in the micron range, and decided to shift to our manometer-expansion method. (See section on equipment.) Subsequent investigation of Neon using our manometer pressures (and comparing Id-Vd characteristic curves, electron oscillation frequencies, and discharge appearance with Alderson and Leonard's work) indicated that their work was done at 30 to 35 microns pressure, rather than 58 microns. We were able to match their data satisfactorily in this pressure range.

It was our original intent to study discharges in Neon, Argon, and Krypton under identical conditions of pressure, electrode spacing, and discharge current; however, as our work progressed we found it necessary to modify our original plans in order to work at those conditions which appeared to be most worthy of investigation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Veeco RG-75 ionization gauge and its associated control are designed by the manufacturer to function at pressures of  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  mm. of Hg to  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  mm. of Hg.

Since Alderson and Leonard had covered the 30-35 micron pressure range in Neon we decided to investigate Neon at 10 microns and to continue at that pressure in Argon and Krypton. In Neon at 10 microns we discovered that the maximum current for which a discharge could be consistently maintained was 30 ma. At currents below 30 ma the discharge was diffuse, exhibiting no "beam" between cathode and anode. As we increased the current above 30 ma (by increasing the supply voltage across the tube) the discharge would shift radically into a focused beam, the voltage between anode and cathode would rise (at first slowly and then with increasing speed as the discharge changed), and the discharge would then suddenly collapse. After a collapse, we were immediately able to re-initiate a discharge by dropping the supply voltage and then slowly increasing it; however, upon re-initiation, the discharge voltagecurrent characteristics were always altered from those observed prior to collapse. For corresponding currents, values of voltage between anode and cathode were from two to three volts higher, but the shape of the Id-Vd characteristic curve remained substantially the same. After a period of the order of 30 minutes, the values of voltage and current would return to their pre-collapse values. Similar collapse behavior was detected in Neon for pressures up to 40 microns (we made no investigation of pressures higher than this); however, the current at which collapse occurred increased as we increased pressure, so that at pressures of 30-40 microns a distinger could be maintained with currents of up to 75 ma.

In view of the above, we selected a current () at the four our investigation

The collapse phenomena at certain higher currents in a 10-40 micron pressure Neon dischar o (not seen in Argon or Kryptel) cas observed by alderson and Laboard during the previous year's work of 0 and has been observed by C. F.R: generation his current work at the 12.8 /25/ using a discharge tube an electrone spacing greatly 1 in the outpours.

- '

#### 11.00

Since Alderson and Leonard had covered the 30-35 micron pressure range in Neon we decided to investigate Neon at 10 microns and to continue at that pressure in Argon and Krypton. In Neon at 10 microns we discovered that the maximum current for which a discharge could be consistently maintained was 30 ma. At currents below 30 ma the discharge was diffuse, exhibiting no "beam" between cathode and anode. As we increased the current above 30 ma (by increasing the supply voltage across the tube) the discharge would shift radically into a focused beam, the voltage between anode and cathode would rise (at first slowly and then with increasing speed as the discharge changed), and the discharge would then suddenly collapse. After a collapse, we were immediately able to re-initiate a discharge by dropping the supply voltage and then slowly increasing it; however, upon re-initiation, the discharge voltagecurrent characteristics were always altered from those observed prior to collapse. For corresponding currents, values of voltage between anode and cathode were from two to three volts higher, but the shape of the Id-Vd characteristic curve remained substantially the same. After a period of the order of 30 minutes, the values of voltage and current would return to their pre-collapse values. Similar collapse behavior was detected in Neon for pressures up to 40 microns (we made no investigation of pressures higher than this); however, the current at which collapse occurred increased as we increased pressure, so that at pressures of 30-40 microns a distribution could be mainained with currents of up to 75 ma.

In view of the above, we selected a current of 40 months car investigation

The collapse theorems at certain higher corrects is a 10-40 microp pressure Neon discharge (not seen in Argon or Krypton bas observed by alderson and Leonard during the previous year's well in ad has been observed by C. F.H. gjetst in his current work of the time of 7257 using a subserved by a control of spacing greatly 1 in the current.

of Neon. Later in the work, while investigating Argon and Krypton we found that a current of 30 ma (pressure 10 microns) produced fewer and weaker electron oscillations than currents of 100-120 ma, which <u>could</u> be maintained in those gases without difficulty. On the other hand, at pressures of 30-35 microns in Argon and Krypton no electron oscillations could be detected with our instruments. We therefore maintained our pressure at 10 microns, but changed our discharge current from 30 ma in Neon to 100 ma in Argon and 115 ma in Krypton (A slight flicker occurring at 100 ma in Krypton was not visible at 115ma). All other discharge parameters were identical for all data runs.

Two main data runs were conducted in Neon (numbered 19 and 21) and one each in Argon (number 39) and Krypton (number 40). Figures 8 through 11 are "master" data recapitulations for each run in the various gases. Figures 12 through 36 are the actual X-Y recorder plots of Ip,  $\frac{dI_{P}}{dV_{P}}$ ,  $\frac{d^{2}I_{P}}{dV_{P}^{2}}$ versus Vp for representative probe positions in the various gases. In the interest of brevity, plots for some probe positions have been omitted where the information contained on them was very similar to plots for adjacent probe positions; however, values of space potential, electron temperature, density, etc. as obtained from the omitted plots are shown both on the "master" data sheets and in other figures throughout the report. Tube current-voltage characteristic curves for each run are shown in figures 37 through 39. In addition to the main data runs, several supplementary data runs were made in which pressures and discharge currents were varied to observe changes in discharge appearance and in electron and ion oscillations with these variations. No plots of probe current or its derivatives were made on these runs.

The appearance of the discharge for all main runs was essentially the same (except, of course, for color). It was diffuse, exhibiting no "beam"
appearance between anode and cathode (See Figure 40). No "meniscus" was observed. On some of our supplementary runs we observed different discharge forms as shown in figure 41.

### Space Potential.

Plots of space potential versus probe position for each main data run are shown in figures 42 through 45. First, for each probe position a value of space potential was determined from the maximum value of  $\frac{dI_p}{dV_p}$  and the zero of  $\frac{d^2 I_P}{d V_P^2}$  versus Vp. The two curves gave what we considered to be essentially the same value of space potential except for a maximum onevolt lag that was apparently inherent in the recorder between Ip and  $\frac{dL_p}{dV_0}$ and  $\frac{dI_p}{dV_0}$  and  $\frac{d^2I_p}{dV_0^2}$ . Second, for each probe position a value of space potential was determined from the first break from linearity of the ln Ie vs. Vp curve. (See Figure 46 for a sample plot). Third, for comparison purposes, a value of space potential was determined by the method of intersection of two tangents to the 1n Ie versus Vp curve. (See Figure 46). As can be seen from figures 42 through 45, the values of space potential obtained from the first and second methods are in fairly close agreement, particularly on the anode side of the region of maximum interaction. From the resulting plots of space potential vs. probe position, using the first and second methods, a "best" value of space potential was determined graphically and used in all succeeding calculations.

While the shapes of space potential versus probe position curves were consistent between runs, and were as expected, the negative values for runs 19 and 39 were not expected. We believe these negative values are spurious (although relative values between probe positions are correct) due to slight inaccuracies in our method of determining maximum and minimum values of imposed probe to anode potential. These values, as determined by a vacuum tube

voltmeter placed across the probe and the anode (See Figure 7 and the procedure section), were determined to within  $\pm 2$  volts by recording the maximum and minimum excursions of a moving meter needle. Based on this determination, the probe to anode voltage (Vp) scale on our X-Y recorder plots was calibrated. Since values of space potential are in most cases only one to two volts negative, a two volt inaccuracy in the VTVM reading could account for negative values which should actually be positive. In our opinion, this inaccuracy in our Vp scale calibration affects only the absolute value of space potential and none of our other data.

## Electron and Ion Densities.

Plots of electron and ion density versus probe position are shown in figures 47 through 50 for all data runs.

The electron density was determined from the random electron current at space potential, by Druyvesteyn analysis, and also from the frequency of electron oscillations.

In using the method of random electron current at space potential, the value of space potential was the estimated best value obtained from plotting the results of the several methods of determination. The value of the electron temperature used was also the estimated best value (see Electron Temperature Section, which follows). The relationship for electron density by this method is:

$$n_e = \frac{i_{re}}{Ae} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi m_e}{RT_e}}$$

where kT is in ergs, me in grams, ire in statamps, A in cm<sup>2</sup>, and e in statcoulombs. In the Druyvesteyn analysis /12/, the product of  $Vp^{1/2}$ 

plotted against Vp gives the shape of the electron energy distribution function. The area under this curve obtained by numerical integration is the

. θ. L 

electron density. Thus:

$$n_e = \int \frac{z}{Ae} \left(\frac{zm_e}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} V_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2 I_p}{d V_p^2} dV_p$$

using the MKS system of units. The probe used had a surface area of 1.557  $\times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>, resulting in a final expression:

$$n_{e} = \int 2.72 \times 10^{13} V_{p}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^{2} I_{p}}{d V_{p}^{2}} dV_{p}$$

where Vp is in volts and  $\frac{d^2 I_p}{d V_p^2}$  is in amps/volt<sup>2</sup>. The integration is carried out over areas where  $\frac{d^2 I_p}{d V_p^2}$  has a positive value.

Observation of the probe characteristic curves revealed that not all electron energies were being included in the energy distributions for the runs in Neon. It was observed that the  $\frac{dI_p}{dV_p}$  curve had noticeable shape for a region of approximately 25 volts before the slope was represented by a  $\frac{d^2I_p}{dV_p^2}$  plot (See Figures 12, 13, 14, for examples).<sup>1</sup> Thus a number of electrons were in effect not included in the energy distribution function. An approximate correction for this loss in Neon was made by extending the plot of  $\frac{d^2I_p}{dV_p^2}$  from the last readable ordinate out along a straight line until the  $\frac{dI_p}{dV_p}$  curve was at zero. This correction factor was determined to be a constant value of 1.55 for both runs in Neon. Such a correction was not necessary for the runs in Argon and Krypton as the first and second derivatives corresponded very well.

Electron density was also determined from the relationship  $n_e = \left(\frac{f_e}{8980}\right)^2$ 

<sup>1</sup>This is due to an insensitive response of the X Y recorder at very small probe currents. It did not occur in Argon and Krypton runs since discharge currents were 3 to 4 times as great and resultant probe currents were 10 times as great.

in the regions where electron oscillations were detected. In this relationship, "f," is the detected frequency in cycles per second.

Ion densities were determined from the positive ion region of the probe characteristic curves by the method of Langmuir. The relationship for ion density is:

$$m_{i} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^{2}m_{i}}{Ze^{3}A^{2}}} \frac{\mathcal{d}(I_{p}^{2})}{\mathcal{d}V_{p}}$$

where e is in esu, A in cm<sup>2</sup>, m<sub>i</sub> in grams, and  $\frac{d(I_p^2)}{dV_p}$  in statamps<sup>2</sup>/statvolt.

For Neon this expression is:

$$h_{i} = 4.09 \times 10^{15} \left[ \frac{d(I_{p}^{2})}{dV_{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For Argon:

$$n_i = 5.76 \times 10^{15} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}(I_p^2)}{\mathcal{L}V_p} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For Krypton:

$$n_i = 8.35 \times 10^{15} \left[ \frac{d(I_p^2)}{dV_p} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where  $\frac{d(I_p^2)}{dV_p}$  is in amp<sup>2</sup>/volt (See Figure 51 for a sample plot)

Ion densities computed by this method were found to be greater than the densities obtained from the random electron current and the Druyvesteyn analysis. The ion densities were corrected by a factor k  $\sqrt{\frac{Tc}{Te}}$  similar to that proposed by L. S. Hall /24/, and used by Leonard and Alderson during the previous year's work. In this correction we assumed a constant ion temperature of 1000°K (as did Leonard and Alderson), and for electron temperature used the estimated best value as determined from the two methods described in the electron temperature section of these results. A value of "k"

was then determined for each gas that gave agreement between the ion and electron densities. For Neon "k" was determined to be 0.6, for Argon k = 1.25, and for Krypton k = 1.0. It may be of interest to note that we found  $\frac{Kargon}{Kneon}$  = 2.08 while Alderson and Leonard found  $\frac{Kargon}{Kneon}$  = 2.0, although the values were determined from experiments performed under different conditions.

With only a few exceptions, excellent agreement was found between the several methods of density determination (Figures 47 through 50). In the maximum interaction region in Krypton (between 28 and 34 volts on Figure 50), the densities as determined by Druyvesteyn analysis and the other methods do not correspond. In Neon (Figures 47 and 48) the densities calculated from observed electron oscillation frequencies do not correlate well with those determined by the other methods, but in this case the oscillations detected were weak and few in number.

#### Electron Temperature

Plots of electron temperature, expressed as energy, against probe position are shown in Figures 52 through 55. Electron temperatures were determined by the method of Langmuir /3/. In this method the electron temperature is the reciprocal of the slope of the plot of ln Ie vs. Vp. (See Figure 46 for a sample plot).

Average electron temperatures, expressed as energies, were also determined from the energies described by the electron energy distribution functions. These were calculated by numerically integrating the electron energy distribution function as:

 $\overline{eV} = \frac{V_{p_1}}{V_{p_1}} \frac{V_p^{3/2}}{V_p^{3/2}} \frac{d^2 I_p}{d V_p^{3/2}} \frac{dV_p}{dV_p}$ 

The excellent agreement of the two methods is shown on the plots. The estimated "best value" of electron temperature determined by a visual averaging of the results of the two methods, is also shown.

# Electron Energy Distributions.

Electron energy distribution functions for several runs are shown in Figures 56 through 66. These electron energy distribution functions were determined by the method of Druyvestyn /12/ and are obtained from the product  $V^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2 I_p}{d V_p^2}$ . This product was plotted against Vp to give the shape of the electron energy distribution function.

Beam electrons were not seen in the runs in Neon as plots appeared to be zero in the region within ten millimeters of the cathode. Beam electrons were not seen in the runs in Argon although very substantial  $\frac{d^2 J_p}{d V_p^2}$ plots were obtained in the region near the cathode.

Another form of discharge was observed in Argon by accident in which a definite focused beam type structure was visibly evident. While working with the "normal" diffuse type discharge (at ten microns) the current was increased until the discharge suddenly collapsed. Upon reinitiation, the focused type appeared. (See Figure 41). In this type of discharge the presence of the beam electrons was clearly seen in the  $\frac{\mathcal{A}^{+}T_{\rho}}{\mathcal{A}^{-}V_{\rho}^{-1}}$  plot; however, this form of discharge could not be maintained for more than a few minutes, after which time it would gradually change to the more diffuse type that was investigated on our main runs. This effect was produced several times, and during each transition between discharge forms, we observed the disappearance of the beam or high energy electron group (See Figure 67). As a result of the above, we feel that the appearance of the high energy "beam" electrons is a function of the type of discharge investigated.

The beam electrons were observed in Krypton close to the cathode even

though the discharge was of the diffuse appearing type (See Figure 66).

In an attempt to find some plausible explanation for the appearance of the beam in one discharge and not in another, the mean free paths of the electrons were computed for each run by use of Ramsauer's Probability of Collision versus Electron Energy Curves. The mean free path was computed for electrons near the cathode having an energy equal to the cathode-anode potential, and for the random electrons in the positive column having energies as previously determined by computing electron temperature. The results were:

Run	Mean Free Path at Cathode (cm)	Mean Free Path Random Elect. (cm)	
19, 21, Neon	8.3	10	
39, Argon	1.5	4.5	
40, Krypton	1.0	2.7	

No obvious conclusions were drawn from the Mean Free Path data except to point out the need for such a mechanism as plasma oscillations to maintain the discharge.

## Ion Oscillations

Ion oscillations were detected through out the length of each discharge in all three gases. Photographs of sample oscillations as shown by oscilloscope trace are shown in Figures 68 through 71 for each run. Values of oscillation frequency and amplitude, as calculated from photographs taken at each probe position, are shown on the master data sheets in Figures 8 through 11.

No ion oscillations near the upper frequency limit predicted by Langmuir's relation:

 $f_{i} = f_{e} \left(\frac{m_{e}}{m_{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

were detected.

Plots of ion oscillation amplitude, electron oscillation amplitude, and electron density versus probe position, are shown in Figures 72 through 74 for each run.

### Electron Oscillations.

Data on the electron oscillations detected is shown on the master data sheets in Figures 8 through 11. Plots of electron density as determined by electron oscillation frequency are shown in Figures 47 through 50. Electron oscillation amplitude, ion oscillation amplitude, and electron density are plotted against probe position for all runs in Figures 72 through 74.

Supplementary runs were made in Argon in which the discharge current was varied while the probe was maintained at one position. The discharge "form" was "diffuse" for these runs. Plots of electron oscillation frequency against discharge current for these runs are shown in Figures 75 and 76. At many discharge currents two, and in some cases three, different frequencies were detected at the same probe position. This appears to be the same result as was reported by Kojima, Kato, and Hagiwara /20/.

The detection of one, two, or three different frequencies at one probe position was also made in Neon at a pressure of 32 microns and discharge current of 50 ma. This discharge had a visible structure with a central region of a convergent beam and a general glow region surrounding it. The probe was moved to the central region, the boundary region between the two different regions, and the outer region, while being kept at the same distance from the cathode. Again different frequencies of oscillation were detected. This is as reported by Kojima, Kato, Hagiwara, and Matsuzaki /21/. A diagram of this discharge form with the frequencies detected is shown in Figure 41.

----

- ....

6. Conclusions.

Based on information obtained from our investigations, our conclusions are as follows:

First, that we have found evidence in Argon of the theory first advanced by Allis /15/ that oscillations are more likely to grow when a beam of electrons is moving down a plasma electron concentration gradient, and that the maximum intensity will occur at the end of such a gradient (See Figure 73). In Krypton (See Figure 74), the evidence is less convincing, since electron energy concentrations as calculated from observed electron oscillation frequencies, random electron current at space potential, and Druyvesteyn analysis, do not coincide in the very region in which we are most interested (the region of maximum interaction). The structure of the electron concentration cruve as determined by Druyvesteyn analysis indicates the effect (See Figure 74), but by other methods it does not. In both Argon and Krypton, however, we find that after the growth of the oscillations to a maximum they decrease in amplitude with an increase in plasma electron concentration.

Dr. K. G. Emeleus has indicated /18/ that structural depressions of the electron concentration versus position curves, occurring near where recorded electron oscillations are strongest, may be due to partial falsification of the probe analyses on account of the oscillating fields in the plasma. He apparently feels that the structural depressions may be due to inaccuracies in the calculation of electron concentrations from space potential determined from the first break from linearity of the In Te vs. Vp curves. He feels that space potential so determined may be negative to true space potential. In our work in Argon, however, we find the structural depression

of the concentration curve coinciding with the strongest oscillations, using not only the ln Ie vs. Vp curves for space potential (and therefrom, concentration) calculation, but also using concentrations calculated from observed oscillation frequencies by the relation fe = 8980 n<sup>1/2</sup>.

Second, that we have shown the existence of multiple (triple) electron oscillation frequencies at various longitudinal probe positions in a diffuse discharge in Argon. Kojima, Kato, and Hagiwara have reported /20/ triple frequencies in a plasma which they theorize correspond to: (1) electron concentration in the center of the beam, (2) electron concentration in the diffuse body of the plasma, and (3) a sheath reasonance effect occuring at the boundary between the two regions. Our observations, though limited in scope, tend to confirm this theory, and indicate the existence of two separate concentrations of electrons even when the beam is not clearly visible, i.e., when we have a "diffuse" type discharge.

Third, that the value of space potential obtained from the point of first break from linearity of the ln Ie-Vp curve is a value closely representing true space potential. While it is true that for both Argon and Krypton this value is slightly (in the majority of cases one volt or less) negative to the value determined by the maximum of the  $\frac{dI_p}{dV_p}$  vs. Vp curve, the correlation between the two separately determined values is extremely good. We feel that the two-tangent method for determining space potential gives a relative picture of potential between longitudinal positions in the plasma, but that its absolute values are higher than true space potential in the majority of cases (See Figures 42 through 45). This confirms the conclusions of Nicoll and Basu in their comparison study of micro-wave and Langmuir probe measurements on a gaseous plasma /25/.

-----

Fourth, that ion densities determined by the slope of the  $\text{Ip}^2$  vs. Vp curve must be corrected by a factor  $k - \sqrt{\frac{T_i}{T_c}}$  to yield densities corresponding to electron densities as calculated from observed electron oscillations (and by other methods). The factor "k" differs for each gas, but has no apparent relation to atomic weight.

Fifth, that the ion oscillations detected in the 100 to 150 KC frequency range are the electrostatic sound waves predicted by Langmuir. In a discharge of the form utilized during this work, where the discharge is not confined to the anode-cathode space, the frequency of these oscillations is not a function of the anode to cathode spacing. In a discharge such as ours, where the length of the discharge is not greatly different from the width, no definite conclusion can be made as to whether the transverse, longitudinal, or azimuthal modes have been excited. For example, using the basic Tonks-Langmuir equation.

$$f = \frac{n}{2k} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma k T_{e}}{m_{i}}}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}$  is the adiabatic compression coefficient of the electron gas in the plasma, having values of 3 for the one dimensional case, 1 for the two dimensional case, and 5/3 for the three dimensional case (in our work we used the value 5/3, as the most representative). We is 1/2 where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the ion wave. and (1) assuming that a longitudinal mode has been excited, we can obtain

close agreement between observed and calculated ion frequencies from our data by using values of  $\ell$  , n, and  $\mathscr T$  as follows.

RUN	GAS	l	n	8	Calc. Freq.	Observed Frequency
19	Neon	10 cm	2	5/3	139КС	135 KC
21	Neon	10 cm	2	5/3	133КС	125KC
39	Argon	10 cm	4	5/3	151KC	145KC
40	Krypton	10 cm		5/3	156KC	1-546

or (2) assuming that a transverse mode has been excited, we can obtain close agreement between observed and calculated frequencies by using the following values:

RUN	GAS	L	n	لا	Calc. Freq.	Observed Frequency
19	Neon	5.5 cm	1	5/3	126KC	135KC
21	Neon	5.5 cm	1	5/3	121KC	125KC
39	Argon	5.5 cm	2	5/3	137 KC	145KC
40	Krypton	5.5 cm	3	5/3	141KC	145KC

Since 10 cm is the approximate length of all our discharges, and 5.5 cm is the approximate discharge diameter, the observed data can be "made" to fit either situation by an auspicious selection of "n". According to Alexeff and Neidigh /22/ the effect of gas damping of ionic sound oscillations can be serious at 10 microns pressure, and at the higher gas densities the fundamental mode may be prevented from appearing while higher overtones may be detected. This could possibly account for the differing values of "n" between gases.

An attempt was also made to correlate frequencies under the assumption that azimuthal modes had been excited, using the relation used by Alexeff and Neidigh /22/:

$$f = \frac{n}{cd} \sqrt{\frac{s kT_e}{m_i}}$$

In this case, we found that at a given probe position, using the electron temperature previously calculated, we could select values of n and c to make the computed frequency agree with that observed. We then attempted

to get similar agreement at other probe positions, however, using the values of n and c already selected, the calculated frequency at any other position, since it was dependent on its electron temperature, could not be made to agree with the observed. Several selections of n and c were made in combination for various probe positions, but still no obvious correlation could be obtained.

For this reason, we conclude that the frequencies are due to electrostatic sound waves, but that the mode is not determinable in our case. Our information does tend toward either a longitudinal or transverse mode, however.

### 7. Recommendations.

Based on results obtained from our investigation, the following recommendations are offered for future work:

- Continue investigation of rare gas plasmas, including Xenon, at various other pressures, discharge currents, and anodecathode spacings.
- (2) Investigate in detail the apparent correlation between oscillation amplitude and electron density, calculating density primarily from oscillation frequencies.
- (3) Investigate in detail the occurrence of triple oscillation frequencies and their amplitudes, by moving the probe in and out of the discharge.
- (4) Investigate ion oscillation frequencies in tubes of differing length to width ratios.
- (5) Determine the cause for the existence or non-existence of high energy "beam" electrons in Argon, that apparently depends upon mode of discharge.
- (6) Investigate effects of imposed magnetic fields on oscillations and plasma parameters.



Figure 1. Photograph of Equipment Components





Figure 2. Photograph of General Arrangement of Laboratory Equipment





Figure 3. Photograph of General Arrangement of Laboratory Equipment



Figure 4. Photograph of Discharge Tube






Figure 6. Photograph of Modified Hook Gauge





														) ma /	
SNOTA	FREQ	(Kc)		0	147	143	143	143	143	125	119	611		/Id=3(	
osciu	MALTUDE	(NW)	r		$\infty$	00	00	12	-	2	m	00		40 mm	
LADONS	FRED	(MC)	2		641	£	t	642	633		1	-		cing :	
0501	AMINTUR	(AMB)	21	2410	1.5×10-12	ĩ	8	1.6×10-12	1.0×10	1	ł	1		'e Spa	
SITY	$\chi k \sqrt{\frac{T_i}{Te}}$			01 X 71 5	6-31×108	7,15×10 <sup>8</sup>	7.51×10	7.09×10	7.11×10 <sup>8</sup>	6,12×10	4,87×10	2.29×10		Cathoo	
DEN	alla		6	4-72 × 10	8.1×109	8.69×103	10.33×10	3.67×10	9.63×10	8.72×10	6.38×10	4,29×10		ode - d	
2	calc. fm. Osc.		6	01 291 00	5.08×103	1	1	510×10	5.06×10	1	e	fi		ns/Au	
LECTRO	Drugv.		8	445/10	5,35×18	383×10	6.83×108	5.50×10	5,45×10	6.20x10	5.80 × 10	ii.		micre	
A A	Kandom E.C.			4.74×10	4.22×10	4.04×10	3,49,x10	3.79×10	3.51×10	3,64×10	3.04-x10	1.96×10	a	c: 10	
W URE	Best. Valve		- 14	64.0	5.10	4.60	5.30	5,80	5.70	6.30	6,40	10.92		Inssau	
LECTEC	(eV) Drvyv.		r v	1017	3,98	4.88	6.50	5.05	5.46	6.60	6.06	ł			
222	Slope Intp-Vp		EDE	000	5.54	4,37	5.50	6.40	5.95	5.96	6.85	10.92		NEOI	
7	Best Value			1.0-	-20	-2.2	-4.0	6.5 -	-2.7	-4.5	-49	-3.5		6	
SPACE	LnTp-Up	Preak		11.0	-2.0	-25	-5.0	27-	- 2,3	-5.0	0.9-	-3.0		NN	
\$	AV MAX		20	パフェ	-2.0	-20	-3,0	-3.0	-3.5	74.0	0.4-	-40			
PRCBE-	SPACING			2	4	7	6	14.5	19.7	24.6	29.8	34,8		FIG. S	
20B RUN				1.21	19.2	19.3	19.4	19.5	19.6	19.7	19.6	19.9		PAGE 45	

12ND MPS 96 (11-59)

.



													/ 2
		-		· Wi Wi · Wi Wi	08 1 9 <b>08</b> 1 9	SPACIN(	HODE .	DE - CAT	10MA -	- * *	JOLE		30 mc
N N	FREQ.	(Kc) 114		114	147	<u>54</u>	611	122	125	1:47	611	115	/Id=
020117	AMPLITUDE	(MV)	9	20	10	01	9	x	m	16	٩	00 00	40 M
TRON GROWS	tte, FREQ.	(MC) 187	1	1	1	300	300	321	321	L	1		cing :
ELEC	AMPLIT	(AMP 5)	t	1	1	6×10-12	7 × 10	4 x 10	3×10	I	N	1	c Spa
SITY	x & 1/11	3.91 × 10	4.78×10	704.10	01×1C.5	6,38×10 <sup>8</sup>	5.47×10	3.97×10	1,23×10	61×711	6.59×10	7.83×10 <sup>8</sup> 3.92×10 <sup>8</sup>	Sat hoo
DEN	dup	\$4.15×10	6.25×109	7.53 410	7.37 x10	91,0011	7.02×10	5.56×10	2.54×10	2.83×103	10,53×10	341×10 5.37×10	ode - 1
2	Cale. Fm. Osc.	6 4.33 ×10	1	1	1	llixio	111 × 10	12.75 ×10 <sup>8</sup>	12.75×10		1	1 1	ins/Au
LECTRO	Drugy.	65.05	9,68×10	3.92 × 10	6,35~10	5.66 ×10	6.14×10	4.15×10	-	1	1	11	micro
MQ	Random E.C.	4.88×10	60×10 <sup>8</sup>	SIXIO	4.63×10 <sup>8</sup>	5.62 × 10	5.2×10	4.12×108	2.90~10	2.20×10	6.39×1	7.95×10 5.59×10	0
N	Post Value	3.70	4.85	3,55	4.80	4.40	5,10	6.	13.27	17.85	4.75	4.48 5.81	ressur
LECTE	Droyk	3.02	1.95	2.58	4.31	4.35	4.80	1	ſ	2	1	1 I	2
12	Slepc	4.47	4.67	4.35	5.36	4.45	5.10	6.04	13.27	17, 85	4.75	4.48 5.81	O 山 乙
76	Cest Value	+4.2	+4.75	+ 3, 65	44,40	+3.56	+3,58	+3.60	+ 3.0	+ 9.0	13.55	+3.4	21/
SPACE	LnJp-1/2 (brcok	Fr. Inin	+3.5	+3.0	+3.5	+2.0	+1.5	+2.5	+ 5.5	+ 2,0	+2.0	+3.4	Nric
ď	ZVI NYX	+ 5,0	+6.0	+4.U	+5.2	+5.0	+5.0	+5.0	+12.0	1	+5.0	8	0
PRCENE-	SPACING (mm)	2	4	5. 0	0	9	22	29.8	35.7	37.7	11.6	51.6	FIG.
20B RUN		21.1	21.2	21.3	21.4	21.5	21.6	21.7	21.8	617	21,10	21.12	PAGE 46



																						/	1 11	
SNORS	FREQ.	(140)		147	147	147	143	143	143	143	143	C 7 1	143	143	147	147	143	143				7995	Corrept 1	
osciu	AMPLITUDE	(MM)		e	~	0	11	14	0	24	35	C T	5	90	80	g	70	70				0		
LANONS	FREQ.	(Mc)		1	1	1	1	1	2855	1843	839	F C	645	808	733	6698	1	1	-				Sim	
0501	AMPLITUD	(AMPS		•	1	1	l	(	7×10-1	3×10	8×10	4 + 10	2×10	× ~	4.	3×10	1	1				50	5	
ENSITY	x & 7			•	01×61.5 01×	1 ×10 5,46×13	×10 5.42×10	×10 6.35 ×18	× 10 7.75 × 10	~10 8.40 ×10	×10 8.35×10	6 ( )	10 0 2 2 X 10	VIO 6.BEXID	× 10 7.36×10	×10 8.0×10	x10 9.4 ×10	61~ 647 61~				- (04400-	201100	
9	Sc. du			1	2.40	2.54	2.51	2.92	10 3.70	10 3.97	16 4.19	000 C	4,04	102.65	10 4.36	10 4.22	4,44	344		-		10000	0	
22	V. fm. 0			1	1	1	- 1	1	0 3.05×	0 8.92 ×	>253 0	7.36×	6.87	9 8,09*	30.00	9 6.04	1	1				1200		
NISN3	Drug			3.36×1	5.10 ×11	5.92 21	S6.54x	6.81 ×11	7.32×	×60.7	1×0/72		0.10	6.94×1	7.85 ×	5,69%	GOI XI	7 4.21 ×1				, JUL		
10	Randon E.C.			4.62 (10	5.56×10	6.21×10	6.78 11	J.YOXIC	8.51×10	8.48 410	8.09×10	ŗ	1.14×10	01×61.7	7.0×10	6.84×1	8.75×10	7.12×10				0	)	
JRE	Best Valuc			2.73	3.00	2.92	2.90	2.85	3.08	3.00	3,40	2 21	27.5	3.80	4.70	3.75	3,00	2.85				recen		
APERAN	(cV) Drvyk			01.7	3.10	3.32	3.17	3.12	3.22	3.01	3.66	0 1 1	10.0	3.92	5.18	3.25	2.97	2.65			6	0		
751 7751	Slope		-	2.77	2.86	2.54	2.66	2.56	2.94	2.89	3.18	5 0 7	4.94	25.2	4.25	4.35	3.05	3.04				ARGON		
7	Cost Value			-2.5	-2.0	-2.2	-2.2	1.7-	2.1	0.1-	2-1-	-7.0	4.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.4	-1.8	-2.2		-		0		
TENTIA	LnTp-16	break		-2.5	-2.0	-2.5	-2.5	-2.5	5.1-	- 2.0	1.7	-) L	C-7_	-2.5	-0.0	-3.0	8.1	-2.5				E MI		
\$	AT MAX	*		-2.5	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-1.6	2.1-	-1.6	[.]-	5	-	1.5	-1.5	-2.0	· 00. 	-2.0				d		
ANODE	SPACING (um)			2.0	4.0	7.5	6.6	12.5	15.4	18.0	20.4	127		24.6	27,4	30.3	32.5	35,6				FIG. 10		
KUN			1	30.1	39.2	39.3	39.4	30.5	39.6	39.7	39.8	29.0	1	39.10	39.11	39.12	39.13	39.14			PAG	E 47		

1289 8PS 96 (11-59)



								•		·eu	<u>ج</u> .و	6 =	T	*	37E	ON		ma		
S	EQ	c)	~	2		4	0			2	~		m	(	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$	L.	7	7, e115	3	
1011211	UDE FR	V) (X	E C	4	-	×-	=	4		4	4	*	14		4	4	 4			e airean airean
5 050	LI TOME O	M) (M	906	600	-	2	91	00		20	л 2	}	- 24	-	2			- 4		
LATION	DE, FRE	(Mc	1	1		609	806	142		992	790		1004		1	1	1	cing	>	
DISCIL	NTIANA	(AMPS	1	1		3×10	5×10 2×10 <sup>-8</sup>	9 - 1 - 6		1,5×10	3×10-7		1 × 10		1	1	1	te Spi		
SITY	$x k \sqrt{\frac{Ti}{Re}}$		5.84×10	617 × 10	6.00	01854.0	5.54×10	6. 11	0	9.63×10	9.14 10		2 58×10	6	11-57 x11	6-52×10	6.70×10	athoc		
DEN	0172 dVp	-	1.69×10	1.03×10	0	nixlo'C	4.09x10	2	2	6,32×10	C) X IO		5.78~10	0	71 X10	3.88×16	3.68×10	- 000 - 0		
>	Calc. fm. Osc.		ι	1		0/X/1/2	6.59×107	CULLES		12.2×10	COLXET.		12.51 × 10		}	1	1	nslan		
ECIRO	Druyv.		1	1		0127.11	14.03×10	6.75	010101	8.89×10	61271		lodexio	6	10.4810	8.61×10	5.46×10	micro		
1 D	Random E.C.		2.41×10	4.55×10	6.0	012/210	01×98.1	B.TENIO		10.62×18	6 VI X 8 11		12.57×10	C	21×20-21	10.32×10	5.82×10	01:0		
NURE	Best- Value	,	5.59	6.75	י ע ע ד	50°.+	470		2.400	3.70	3,65		3.10		5.25	3.05	2.60	ressur		
NPERM	(eV) Drvyv.		1	١	7 - 1	F/.c	6.52	200		3.58	3.85		3.29		3.39	3.14	2.75	A/NO		
2 27	Slope		5.59	6.75	110		3.19	424	-	3.83	3.40		2.97		5.06	2.96	2.40	TYPT		
7	Best Value		-10.2	- 2.5	и С		+1.1	114		+1.8	+ 2.0		6.1+		+1.8	+1.8	0	to /		
SPACE	LnTp-Up	(brcak fm. Inical	2.01-	- 6.0		>	0	4.l+		+1.3	1.5		+1.3	1	<u>,</u> い	+1.5	0			
8	di max		0	+1.0			+2,0	0 1		+2.0	+2,5	Y	+2.2		+ 7.0	+2.0	0	X		
PROSE-	SPACING (mm)		37.2	35.5	122	Hinc	31.6	101	011	27.6	24.9		22.6		n n	11.4	2.8	FIG. 1		
SUB RUN			+0-1*	40.2	20		40,4	40 F		40.6	40.7		40.8		<i>1</i> 0.0	40.10	40.11	PAG	E 4	8

12ND NPS 96 (11-59)

















.









----
















. . .











x, X

	(mu)			4							0E)- Q
	Ip(	0	·· <del>/</del>	<u>.</u>				<b>N</b> 20		, ,	 01
	1			and group		-					-110
	,							,			0.7.
	N 40.					יין נגוב					
	RUI					od der					
	NPTON					pr )					136 - 1
	×.	3 1 1	1			אנייטר כ ויז וניומס	- 60				-10
	33		0	4		the liet					13-
-	Fig.		ł			ing en	00				( inits)
						ינבק:כיו					1
and the second second						1000					-40
1						the (the					54
	(				1	2 2		Lin	the f		<u>.</u>
					ξ	۲. ۲	>		$\mathbf{M}$	2. 20	
					5		3	_	5		-10
					<		3		m		د ـــ
				(1			NA		5~~		-10 -1
						67					ſ











× .

(nu)		a t				a
i d'T	1.40	( <i>T</i> 2)	, 6.2-	ليخر	2. 9.	0
						5/1
N RUN 40.6						ي. جو
Fig. 34 KRYPID		1				(roit.) ~~ (roit.)
						4
	UTD ( Cine ) (Vp - ( Cine )	3.65×10.5	$\frac{dT_{y}}{dv_{y}} \left( \frac{a_{xy}}{ct^{y}} \right)$	15 k 10-5 16 x 10-5 5 x 10-5	9	3
						<u>9</u>
		l'il	9	ave		¥.
						0-
			71			



	TF (init) iveo	<i>₽+</i> ′′	0?.1	1,100 V.	<i>.</i>		a a	 15. CE/-
								- <i>in</i> - <i>in</i>
	10N RUN 40.9							05- 06-
	<b>19.35</b> . KRVP.	·			· ,			Vr (10115)
	7	(211 m		() (.k.:				
		1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		15 × 10 5	ي م به الا <sup>ش</sup>		
			the - atte		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			4.
T				72				,

,

















Figure 40. Sketch of Normal Diffuse Discharge - 10 microns Neon 30ma - Argon 100ma - Krypton 115ma

















































				•	A			•••	• • •																	1		1
					p è					1 -						Ē			1								; 	
					-		;::; ;::;		11 .		1 -															- man the start		
																		•										
	-																				0 -							
AD BLANCE AND A REAL A											in the second second		mater	5	12.2	RU	5 ×	- s	1			1						
		1				C										1 . 1 . 1 .												-
40 31.5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		ale -									1			-			- 10			÷Ε								
		2											10	31	5		• •				5		· · · · · ·					- (m. 1) (m. 1) (m. 1)
		$\mathbf{M}$										F [ 7 ]			· · · · ·											* * * * *		
X S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		S S S													*									· · · · · ·				+
AND		×																										
		10																										
A C P St C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		d'II C'A									H  +=+-!				**************************************				T:LL .:±:					: F1,				
A CONTRACT (SW) 12 W STONE 20		2													in in its second	2)	1	in the		+								
											2-1	J.	Come de			1,T.							· · · · ·	•				1
A REAL PROPERTY (SUBJECT OF THE TOP OF THE T			ľ										111						+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		· · · · · · · ·					****		
A AN A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A															1 1  	· · · · ·			* * * *	 			· · · · · · ·		· · · · · ·	*** * * *** * *** * *		-
			6		2		4		EN	FRG		E SH	8		2	· · · · ·		· · · · ·				2	ð					
AB-BUN HAB					n																							
NB-RUN 483					5	2								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										- 1 ;		4 4 4 9 4 4 4		+
NR-RUN 423 NR-RUN																								+ + +		*		
														ε	UB	- 124		- 5-1-1	1.3					· · · · · ·	· · · ·		Artistic Action  A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
		5										: F F1-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	++++ ++++								· · · · ·				+++
		DO (																			· · · · ·					4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	· · · · · · · · ·	
		E				1	0						6	P														- + +
		Y												hit		+		- 1 · + - +							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* * * *		-
X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		2		ļ											<pre></pre>													+++
		× .	4											1										••••	•	-		
		34		<b>0</b>																1 <sup>-1</sup> -			and a second sec	nafa. Bi	- 56		111111	+++
								2										·;		1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4	· · · · · · ·	<u>秋日</u> ア	. E	1. Jr 1. 197	्रहर	17 		-
		22																			4	1		17 Z				-
													1			.11	1	- X	2-Q	1		E 	•	••		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
									n 111	1			-				1	1		1			· · ·					
			Ø						1			1	4	-	3-1										-			
											0-(							11.1				•						
ENERSY (EN) 13			6	++++	2		4		EN	ERS	46	br)	13					· · · ·	6		in .		ς)		1	A 5. 5	99	-
FIG 66 ELECTRON ENERGY DISTR BUTION, RUN 40 KRY FON			FIE	46	6 1	LE	CTI	RO	1-5	ME	RE		P15	ŦR]-	325	F10	1)	20	1	-0-3	K		1.3	U				4 - 5 - 5

×15 ----- 40mm-Pressure : 6 microns Gas: Argon -Dormal Second Derivative before Collapse - 50 ma - Diffuse disch. 2 Second Derivative after collapse and reinitiation at 50 ma. Discharge tocused. 3) Second Derivative a few seconds after 2. Discharge still focused, but beginning to diffuse. Decond Derivative a few seconds after 3. Discharge has only slight focus left. Note "HUMP" DUE TO HIGH - ENERGY 5 Second Derivative a few seconds ELECTRONS after 4. No focus. Discharge now in same form as for (1)-5 Note HUMPS X-Y Recorder Plot Showing Effect of Figure 67. Focused Argon Discharge on d'Ip Vs. Vp 100



-



Run 19.5 Neon Probe 14.5 mm from Anode



Run 19.6 Neon Probe 19.7 mm from Anode



Run 19.7 Neon Probe 24.6 mm from Anode

Figure 68. Sample Ion Oscillation Photographs

101



Run 21.5 Neon Probe 16 mm from Anode



Run 21.6 Neon Probe 22 mm from Anode



Run 21.7 Neon Probe 29.8 mm from Anode

Figure 69. Sample Ion Oscillation Photographs



Run 39.1 Argon Probe 2 mm from Anode



Run 39.2 Argon Probe 4.8 mm from Anode



Run 39.3 Argon Probe 7.5 mm from Anode



Run 39.13 Argon Probe 32.5 mm from Anode



Run 39.14 Argon Probe 35.6 mm from Anode

Figure 70. Sample Ion Oscillation Photographs





Run 40.1 Krypton Probe 37.2 mm from Anode



Run 40.7 Krypton Probe 24.9 mm from Anode



Run 40.2 Krypton Probe 35.5 mm from Anode



Run 40.8 Krypton Probe 22.6 mm from Anode



Run 40.3 Krypton Probe 33.4 mm from Anode



Run 40.9 Krypton Probe 18.8 mm from Anode

Figure 71. Sample Ion Oscillation Photographs

















## BIBL LUG RAPAY

- 2. L. Tonks and I. Langmuir, Phys. Rev. 33 pt 1 224
- 3. I. Langmuir and H. Mott-Smith L-1, Elen R- 445 Lyour 1924
- 4. I. Langmuir, Phys. Rev. 26, p. 585 1921
- 5. A. F. Dittmer, Phys. Rev. 28 p. 507 1926
- 6. Lewi Tonks and I. Langmuir Phys. Rev. 33 p. 1. 1929
- 7. H. J. Merrill and H. W. Webb, Phys. Rev. p. 191 1437.
- 8. E. B. Armstrong, Nature 160. p. 713, 1947.
- K. G. Emeleus, et al., British Journal or applied Physics Vil.
  p. 320-322, September, 1955.
- 10. M. A. Easley, Journal of Applied Physics 22 pt 199 5-3
- N. L. Oleson and C. G. Found, Journal of Applied Physics 20, p. 416-417, 1949.
- 12. M. J. Druyvesteyn, Zeits. Phys. 29, 1958.
- 13. G. Medicus, Journal of Applied Physics 27 pt 1242 556.
- K. Takayama, H. Ikegama, and S. Mivozaki Phys. Rev. 5 p. 238-243 1960.
- 15. W. P. Allis, <u>Electronic Waveguldes</u>, p. 149 100 Mar. Research Inst. Symposium Series, Vol. VI, Polyter, J. Press E. 1817 - 8-
- D. W. Mahaffey, <u>et al</u>, Proceedings if the Kikal Historic Vol. 61, Sect. A, No. 9, 1961.
- 17. A. Carscadden, Experiments on Plasma Usulla Luss Doc oper lifeste Queen's Univ., Belfast, 1961
- K. G. Emeleus, Journal of Electronics and Control VIII 2 Nump. 393, 1962.
- T. K. Allen, Plasma Electron Oscillations (unitered disease Quere a Univ., Belfast, 1954.
- S. Kojima, K. Kato, and S. hagiward starts of research of Japan, Vol. 12, No. 11, p. 12 6 .261



- 21. S. Kojima, K. Kato, S. Hagiwara, and R. Matsuzaki, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan, Vol. 14, No. 6, p. 821-827, 1959.
- 22. I. Alexeff and R. V. Neidigh, Phys. Rev. 129, p. 516, 1963.
- D. M. Alderson, Jr., and J. D. Leonard, Jr., Plasma Oscillations in a Low Pressure Neon Discharge (Master's Thesis), U. S. Naval Postgraduate School, June, 1962.
- 24. L. S. Hall, Probes and Magnetic Pumping in Plasma (Doctoral Thesis) University of California, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory; U. S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C., 1961.
- 25. G. R. Nicoll and J. Basu, Comparison of Microwave and Langmuir Probe Measurements on a Gaseous Plasma, Journal of Electronics and Control, January, 1962.
- 26. G. F. Haggquist, Plasma Resonance in Argon and Neon, (Master's Thesis) U. S. Naval Postgraduate School, June 1963.

## APPE.IDIM

Alter compiling the data for this report, additional work was undertaken in Argon in an attempt to explain the non-appearance of high energy beam electrons near the cathodo during our main runs in Argon.

Three separate investigations were made, each initially at 10 microns pressure, 100 ma discharge current, 40 mm anode-cathode spacing, and 1100°C cathode temperature. On one run these parameters were varied to observe the effect of the variation.

On the first run, after detaining the initial conditions and waiting approximately 30 minutes for equilibrium conditions to be established, a discharge was observed with definite visible



The  $\frac{dT}{dV_p}$  versus ", plot revealed a "inju-chergy tail" or "highenergy hump" (see figure 67 for an example) indicating the presint of a group of high energy been electrons when the probe was in region 1. When the probe was in region 2, no high-energy electrons were observed. In region 3, a "figme-shaped" dark optics projecting from the cathode, the relative number of high energy electrons was greatly reduced (indicated by only a small

Ν

Line worst Ip plot). After some 30 minutes of involtigation, and with no apparent change in any of the initial conditions, the visible structure disappeared: however, the high energy electron distribution remained essentially the same in the various regions. A check for detectable oscillations produced unusual recults (compared to our previous results under like conditions). With the probe 6.5 mm from the cathode, in the region which had been the "flone-shaped" dark space, we at threat detected no escillations in the 200-2000 me range. After 5 to 10 minutos, we began to blok up an oscillation whose frequchey and amplitude appeared to be continuously changing. From the time at mas finet observed at 861 me, the amplitude decreased from 1.0 x 10-7 amps to 3.0 x 10-9 amps at 796 me and then disappschool, Since the challetion floateney and amplitude were changing with the probe in a final position (sunter of the discharge), no radial proba noronana a artantea.

Ch the second renge on after deciding identical initial continues and traiting for at least 30 minutes, a discharge was observed with visible structure (but a structure entirely different from that observed on the previous run):

China Joseph and a - ANODE
Int plot lovelled high energy beam electrons in region 2, decreasing in relative number as the probe was retracted from the center of the discharge into region 1. Oscillations were observed of frequency and amplitude comparable to these detected in our "weim runs" in Figen, for comparable probe positions in the center of the discharge.

On this scoond run, we varied eathode temperature, discharge current, and pressure to observe the sensitivity of discharge characteristics (particularly the appearance or non-appearance of high-energy been electrons) with the variation of these parameters. Constally, the results were as follows:

- Decreasing the cathodo temperature caused no visible change in stracture; the relative number of high-energy electrons decrease
- (2) Increasing the content temperature caused no change in visible correcture; the relative number of high energy electrons increased.
- (3) Derucsing the orthold temperature, after having inorthold and caucad no visible change in structure; however, in this case the relative number of high energy electrons did not opplan to decrease.
- (4) Electroning the discharge current caused no change in the visible structure, but did brighten the discharge as a thele, the relative number of high energy electrons increased chighely. Decreasing the discharge current echoed the opposite offect.

(5) A creating the presence caused a relative increase

in the member of high-energy electrons , and an incicups in their energy.

(C) Changing clubedo-anodo spacing by as much as 10 mm produced no observable clubge.

On the third run, again with identical initial conditions, a defined electrony was obtained, similar in all respects to that obtained during our main runs in Argon, emhibiting no structure and no observable high energy been electrons in any parts of the discharge.

ine runs were conducted on separate days, using identical precedures, but using separate charges of gas from the same source bottle. Frier to making the first run the cathode the carefully "activated" and the tube was flushed three targe while hegen.

Heal this additional notio, it appoints that the non-appearand or appearance, or the change in concentration, of the high appropriate is in some way dependent upon the discharge structtree is a the probe position thrinks the discharge; however, our parts for opportune was not eachiedently well adapted to milding analytee of structure (e.g., our probe could not be moved in a radial direction without changing the distance between cathode and probe), to properly study all of the aspects involved.

It is recommended this further investigation of discharge but notice to interpret discharge tube designed to allow for accurate model novement of the probe over small distances.







