



**Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive** 

Theses and Dissertations

**Thesis Collection** 

2009-12

# Characterization of Carbon Nanotube-Enhanced water as a phase change material for thermal energy storage systems

Ryglowski, Brian K.

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

> Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943

http://www.nps.edu/library



# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

**MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA** 

# THESIS

# CHARACTERIZATION OF CARBON NANOTUBE-ENHANCED WATER AS A PHASE CHANGE MATERIAL FOR THERMAL ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEMS

by

Brian K. Ryglowski

December 2009

Thesis Advisor: Co-Advisor: Young Kwon Randall Pollak

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

<b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington DC 20503.					
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)       2. REPORT DATE       3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED         December 2009       Master's Thesis					ND DATES COVERED r's Thesis
<ul> <li>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</li> <li>Characterization of Carbon Nanotube-Enhanced Water as a Phase Change Material for Thermal Energy Storage Systems</li> <li>6. AUTHOR(S) Brian K Ryglowski</li> </ul>					NUMBERS
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZAT Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	FION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING /MONITORIN N/A	NG AGENCY NA	AME(S) AND ADDRE	SS(ES)	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
<b>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> or position of the Department of D	S The views expr efense or the U.S.	essed in this thesis are Government.	those of the	e author and do n	ot reflect the official policy
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILA Approved for public release: distri	BILITY STATE	C <b>MENT</b> ed		12b. DISTRIB	UTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (maximum 200	words)	~			
Innovation in electronics and directed energy technologies is accelerating as the 21st century progresses. The requirement to process, store and interpolate information and signals faster and with compact electronic units has led to the engineering of high power electronics. As the power density of these electronic systems increases, the demand for cooling increases. Development of directed energy systems also requires the dissipation of large heat loads. If the heat generated by high power electronics and other high energy systems is not reduced or transferred efficiently and quickly, resultant pre-mature equipment failure, individual component failure or the inability to operate the equipment will occur. Carbon nanotube enhanced fluids have shown increases in the thermal conductivity from 20% to 250% when compared to the base heat transfer fluid. This study focuses on the stability of static, water-based, carbon nanotube enhanced mixtures during thermal cycling (i.e., freezing and thawing) of the nanofluid using various types of carbon nanotubes, loading percentages and surfactants. Electrical resistance measurements were recorded over a series of phase changes in order to assess the stability of the nanofluid. Experimental results showed that static, carbon nanotube enhanced nanofluids are stable between three to five freeze and thaw cycles before the carbon nanotubes start to agglomerate and subside. This resulted in an increased electric conductivity, and validated the use of electrical resistance measurements as a viable means of assessing the stability of the nanofluid. However, ultrasonication of the nanofluids after the instability recovers the original electric conductivity of the nanofluid.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS       15. NUMBER OF         Carbon Nanotube, Thermal Energy Storage Systems, Characterization of Nanofluids, Static Phase       PAGES         Change Materials, Heat Transfer Nanofluid Systems       97				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 97	
					16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICAT PAGE	TION OF THIS	19. SECU CLASSIF ABSTRA	RITY ICATION OF CT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
NSN 7540-01-280-5500	Ull	105511100	Ull	Stand	dard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)
				Presc	cribed by ANSI Std. 239-18

i

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

## CHARACTERIZATION OF CARBON NANOTUBE-ENHANCED WATER AS A PHASE CHANGE MATERIAL FOR THERMAL ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEMS

Brian K. Ryglowski Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S., United States Merchant Marine Academy, 2003

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

## MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

from the

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL December 2009

Author: Brian K. Ryglowski

Approved by:

Young Kwon Thesis Advisor

Randall Pollak Co-Advisor

Knox T. Millsaps Chairman, Department of Mechanical and Astronautical Engineering

## ABSTRACT

Innovation in electronics and directed energy technologies is accelerating as the 21st century progresses. The requirement to process, store and interpolate information and signals faster and with compact electronic units has led to the engineering of high power electronics. As the power density of these electronic systems increases, the demand for cooling increases. Development of directed energy systems also requires the dissipation of large heat loads. If the heat generated by high power electronics and other high energy systems is not reduced or transferred efficiently and quickly, resultant premature equipment failure, individual component failure or the inability to operate the equipment will occur.

Carbon nanotube enhanced fluids have shown increases in the thermal conductivity from 20% to 250% when compared to the base heat transfer fluid. This study focuses on the stability of static, water-based, carbon nanotube enhanced mixtures during thermal cycling (i.e., freezing and thawing) of the nanofluid using various types of carbon nanotubes, loading percentages and surfactants. Electrical resistance measurements were recorded over a series of phase changes in order to assess the stability of the nanofluid.

Experimental results showed that static, carbon nanotube enhanced nanofluids are stable between three to five freeze and thaw cycles before the carbon nanotubes start to agglomerate and subside. This resulted in an increased electric conductivity, and validated the use of electrical resistance measurements as a viable means of assessing the stability of the nanofluid. However, ultrasonication of the nanofluids after the instability recovers the original electric conductivity of the nanofluid.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION	.1
	А.	THERMAL MANAGEMENT PROBLEM FOR DENSE, HIGH	
		POWER ELECTRONICS AND DIRECTED ENERGY SYSTEMS	.1
	В.	APPLICATION OF NANOPARTICLES AND THEIR USE IN	
		NANOFLUIDS	.2
	C.	CARBON NANOTUBE ENHANCED FLUIDS	.3
		1.   Carbon Nanotubes	.3
		2. Carbon Nanotube-Based Nanofluids	.4
		3. The Relationship Between Thermal and Electrical	
		Conductivity	.5
		4. Naval Postgraduate School Previous Research	.6
	D.	THESIS CONCEPT	.6
II.	EXP	ERIMENTAL APPROACH AND VALIDATION	.9
	A.	DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT	.9
	B.	PROPERTIES OF SELECTED PARAMETERS	10
		1. Heat Transfer Fluid	10
		2. Carbon Nanotubes	10
		3. Volumetric Loading Percentages	11
		4. Surfactants	12
	C.	EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION	12
		1. Mechanical Homogenizer	12
		2. Ultrasonicator	12
		3. Commercial Freezer Unit	13
		4. Digital Multimeter	14
	D.	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	14
	Е.	EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION	16
		1. Ultrasonication Parameter Validation	24
	F.	EXPERIMENTAL SUMMARY	25
		1.         Ancillary Studies	26
III.	RES	ULTS	<u>29</u>
IV.	ANA	LYSIS OF RESULTS	35
	<b>A.</b>	NPS NANOFLUIDS	35
	B.	LUNA INNOVATIONS INCORPORATED NANOFLUIDS	39
	C.	COMBINED CNT NANOFLUIDS	41
	D.	SURFACTANT CYCLING	41
V.	CON	CLUSION	13
VI.	REC	COMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	15
APP	ENDIX	<b>X A. CALCULATED RESISTANCE VALUES USING THE PLATE</b>	
	ASS	EMBLY	<b>1</b> 7

APPENDIX B. PROBE ASSEMBLY—LUNA INNOVATIONS NANOFLUID
RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS49
APPENDIX C. PROBE ASSEMBLY—HOLLOW MULTI-WALL CARBON
NANOTUBE RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS
APPENDIX D. PROBE ASSEMBLY—BAMBOO MULTI-WALL CARBON
NANOTUBE RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS53
APPENDIX E. LUNA INNOVATION NANOFLUID SURFACE AND BOTTOM
RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS55
APPENDIX F. MULTIMETER LEAD ORIENTATION RESISTANCE
MEASUREMENTS57
APPENDIX G. NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL NANOFLUID
RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS59
APPENDIX H. LUNA INNOVATIONS NANOFLUID RESISTANCE
MEASUREMENTS63
APPENDIX I. COMBINED CNT NANOFLUID RESISTANCE
MEASUREMENTS67
LIST OF REFERENCES73
BIBLIOGRAPHY75
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Graphene Sheet Rolled into Carbon Nanotubes.	3
Figure 2.	(Left) SWCNT. (Right) MWCNT.	4
Figure 3.	(Left) Stir-Pak Mechanical Stirrer. (Right) Sonicator 3000 Ultrasonia	c
-	Liquid Processor	13
Figure 4.	Westinghouse Commercial Freezer Unit	13
Figure 5.	Fluke 8840A Digital Multimeter	14
Figure 6.	(Left) Aluminum Plate Assembly. (Right) Inadequate Dispersion of the	e
-	Nanofluid within the Plate Assembly.	17
Figure 7.	Initial Electrical Conductivity Trend using the Plate Assembly	18
Figure 8.	(Top) Probe Assembly. (Bottom) Probe Assembly with Leads	19
Figure 9.	(Left) Luna Innovation LnW-404 Nanofluid after Five Phase Changes	5.
	(Right) NPS Nanofluid after One Phase Change	21
Figure 10.	Multimeter Lead Construction	22
Figure 11.	Luna Innovation Nanofluids Surface and Bottom Average Electrica	1
	Resistance Measurements.	23
Figure 12.	Photographs Describing Physical Appearance of Nanofluids	30
Figure 13.	Average Resistance vs. Thermal Cycling of NPS Nanofluids	35
Figure 14.	0.1% CNT Loading: MWCNT & SWCNT Resistance Comparison	37
Figure 15.	0.2% CNT Loading: MWCNT & SWCNT Resistance Comparison	37
Figure 16.	(Left) Initial Appearance of LnW-402. (Middle) Appearance of LnW-402	2
	after 12th Cycle. (Right) Appearance of LnW-402 after Re-sonication	39
Figure 17.	117% Pure Luna Innovations Nanofluids	40
Figure 18.	144% Pure Luna Innovations Nanofluids	40

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Design Parameters for Thesis Research	9
Table 2.	Properties of Distilled Water	10
Table 3.	Nano-Lab Incorporated CNT Characteristics	11
Table 4.	Luna Innovations Incorporated Nanofluid Information	11
Table 5.	Ultrasonication Power Settings and Time Durations	24
Table 6.	NPS Nanofluid Experimental Matrix	25
Table 7.	Experimental Matrix for Thermal Cycling of Surfactants	
Table 8.	Combined CNT Nanofluid Experimental Matrix	27
Table 9.	Description of Physical Appearance of Nanofluid	29
Table 10.	NPS Nanofluid Results	
Table 11.	Luna Innovations Incorporated Nanofluid Results	
Table 12.	Combined CNT Nanofluid Results	
Table 13.	Thermal Cycling of Surfactant Results	

# LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AC	Alternating Current
ASTM	American Society of Testing and Materials
С	Clear
CNT	Carbon Nanotube
DC	Direct Current
Н	Homogenous
HS	Heavy Sediment
LA	Large Agglomerates
LDS	Lithium Dodecyl Sulfate
LS	Light Sediment
MA	Medium Agglomerates
MWCNT	Multi-wall Carbon Nanotube
NPS	Naval Postgraduate School
S	Sediment
SA	Small Agglomerates
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SWCNT	Single Wall Carbon Nanotube
VOL	Volume
VSA	Very Small Agglomerates

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my thesis advisors, Professor Young Kwon and Major Randall Pollak, for their guidance, interest and unending support throughout this study. Their vast knowledge and experience enabled a coherent, solid effort in pursuing this unexplored path in nanofluid technology.

Thank you to Professor Sarath Menon and Tom Christian for their assistance in providing testing equipment, generation of SEM and micrograph images as well providing advice on producing and testing stable nanofluid samples.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife, Kymberly, and children, Mochi and Max, for their unrelenting support and understanding while I was completing this endeavor.

# I. INTRODUCTION

#### A. THERMAL MANAGEMENT PROBLEM FOR DENSE, HIGH POWER ELECTRONICS AND DIRECTED ENERGY SYSTEMS

Innovation in electronics and directed energy technologies is accelerating as the 21st century progresses. The requirement to process, store and interpolate information and signals faster and with compact electronic units has led to the engineering of high power electronics. As the power density of these electronic systems increases, the demand for cooling increases. Development of directed energy systems also requires the dissipation of large heat loads. If the heat generated by high power electronics and other energy systems is not reduced or transferred efficiently and quickly, resultant pre-mature equipment failure, individual component failure or the inability to operate the equipment will occur. This problem can be solved by using large, elaborate cooling system arrangements with modern technology but defeats the purpose of using a compact unit.

In order to reduce the size, weight and cooling system complexity an efficient and effective means of heat transfer must exist. Optimization of cooling mediums, flow rates, ancillary equipment requirements and installation space restrictions must be taken into account. Conventional methods to increase cooling rates include using extended fin surfaces or microchannels within the cooling assembly. Another approach has revolved around increasing the thermal conductivity of the cooling medium. By improving the thermal properties of the cooling medium, the effective size of the cooling system arrangement can be scaled down to meet various space restrictions.

The inherent properties of water, oil and ethylene glycol mixtures render them as relatively poor heat transfer fluids. Maxwell's theoretical work first alluded to using solid-liquid mixtures to improve the heat transfer properties of conventional fluids since the thermal conductivity of solids is significantly higher than that of liquids [1]. Since then, many studies on the effects of metallic-based nanoparticles suspended in heat transfer fluids have shown a significant improvement in the thermal conductivity of the fluid [2]. This scientific achievement in the use and application of nanoparticles has laid the foundation for future experiments using nanoparticles and their effects in heat transfer in high power density systems.

## B. APPLICATION OF NANOPARTICLES AND THEIR USE IN NANOFLUIDS

Nanofluids are a new class of solid-liquid mixtures consisting of solid particles with diameter sizes on the order of 1 to 100 nanometers (nm) suspended in a heat transfer medium. Some common metallic and non-metallic solids that have been used in nanofluid research include but are not limited to silver, copper, aluminum, diamond, silicon, alumina, and carbon nanotubes. Common heat transfer mediums include water, ethylene glycol and engine oil [3].

Nanofluid research is a growing field and has focused primarily on the improvements in heat transfer properties of the nanofluid. Advantages to using nanofluids include higher cooling rates, smaller and lighter cooling systems, a reduced inventory of heat transfer fluid and miniaturization of individual heat exchangers [3], [4], [5]. However, as studies progress, there are still difficulties in dealing with nanofluids such as ensuring a homogenous dispersion of the nanoparticles throughout the heat transfer fluid, controlling processing techniques for the nanoparticles and understanding the physics of nanoparticle behavior.

Initial studies conducted by Choi et al. [6] showed significant increases in the thermal conductivity of a nanofluid using copper nanoparticles. When comparing the thermal conductivity of metallic and non-metallic solids to various non-metallic heat transfer fluids, solids exhibited thermal conductivities orders of magnitude higher than the base fluid. By adding a more conductive material (metallic or non-metallic solid) to a lower conducting heat transfer fluid, studies could focus on the resultant thermal conductivity properties of the nanofluid.

The improvement in the thermal conductivity of nanofluids can be attributed to the interfacial resistance and the resistance within the nanoparticle microstructure. Each plays an important role in the resistance to heat flow. Regardless of the type and size of nanoparticle, any interface at the microstructure is a barrier for heat flow. As the interface distance between

the nanoparticle and heat transfer fluid decreases or the thickness (diameter) of a nanoparticle decreases, the resistance drop across the particle also decreases. The high surface-to-volume ratio of nanoparticles decreases the overall particle resistance and enables them to be effective conductors. On a nano-scale level, the resistance at the surface of a nanoparticle is almost equivalent to the resistance of the particle itself. Therefore, the overall heat transfer becomes comparable to the nanoparticle microstructure and the conduction paths formed by dispersed nanoparticles [7].

#### C. CARBON NANOTUBE ENHANCED FLUIDS

#### 1. Carbon Nanotubes

Carbon nanotubes (CNT) were first discovered by S. Iijima in 1991 [8]. CNTs are a member of the fullerene family and are also an allotrope of carbon called graphene. Graphene is a densely packed single, hexagonal layer of carbon-bonded atoms that are rolled to form a cylindrical microstructure. The ends of the cylindrical microstructure can be capped with a hemispherical structure from the fullerene family or left open. The orientation of the graphene microstructure gives CNTs their unique strength, electrical and thermal properties. Figure 1 illustrates the microstructure of a single graphene layer rolled in different orientations [9].



Figure 1. Graphene Sheet Rolled into Carbon Nanotubes.

The two main types of carbon nanotubes are single wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNT) and multi-wall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT). SWCNTs are composed of a

single sheet of graphene rolled into a cylinder capped with one-half of a fullerene molecule at each end of the cylinder. A MWCNT consists of concentric sheets of rolled graphene that are either capped with one-half a fullerene molecule at each end or left open. See Figure 2 for an illustration of a SWCNT and MWCNT [10]. For more information regarding CNT structure, physical properties and synthesis (growth), see reference [11].



Figure 2. (Left) SWCNT. (Right) MWCNT.

Valence bond theory, or orbital mixing, explains why carbon nanotubes can form various microstructure orientations. Each carbon atom has six electrons which occupy the  $1s^2$ ,  $2s^2$  and  $2p^2$  orbitals. The  $1s^2$  orbital contains two strongly bound electrons where as the  $2s^2$  and  $2p^2$  orbital contain four weakly bonded valence electrons. These four electrons can readily mix with each other or bond with neighboring carbon atoms (hybridization) to form various planar bonds, also known as  $sp^2$  bonds [11].

The orientation of planar bonds between carbon atoms affects the overall energy state of the CNT, which directly impacts the thermal and electrical conductivity of the CNT. In general, a higher energy state carbon atom will have a higher conductivity than a lower energy state carbon atom. The detailed explanation of the electrical properties of various band structures is beyond the scope of this study, but is described in reference [11].

#### 2. Carbon Nanotube-Based Nanofluids

Numerous investigations on the improvement of the thermal conductivity of heat transfer fluids using solid particles have been conducted since Maxwell's theoretical observations in 1904 [1]. Using Choi's initial results with solid, metallic nanoparticles

[6], he and others studied the effects of using multiple types of CNTs from different synthesis processes using various dispersion techniques in multiple heat transfer fluids [6], [12], [13], [14], [15]. Those studies have shown increases in the thermal conductivity of the nanofluid ranging from 20% to 250% when compared to the base heat transfer fluid. Although theoretical calculations and modeling based upon experimental data have not been able to accurately predict the thermal conductivity of CNT enhanced nanofluids given various parameters, experimental results clearly demonstrate that CNT suspensions drastically improve the thermal properties of existing heat transfer fluids.

The intensive thermal and electrical properties of CNTs make them an appealing candidate for their use in nanofluids. However, the molecular attractive forces, or Van der Waals forces, between CNTs pose a significant problem in effectively employing CNTs in a heat transfer liquid. Since CNTs are unable to form strong bonds with their surroundings when placed in a liquid, they tend to form agglomerates, or large masses, with neighboring nanotubes. Various dispersion methods have been used to ensure a homogenous dispersion of the CNTs throughout the nanofluid.

CNT dispersion is accomplished by physical or chemical means. Physical dispersion techniques include high speed shearing of the nanofluid using mechanical mixing techniques, ball milling or grinding of CNTs prior to their addition into the nanofluid, and ultrasonication of the nanofluid. Chemical dispersion techniques include the chemical modification of the CNT surface during synthesis and use of surfactants to lower the interfacial tension of the heat transfer liquid and CNTs. The most stable suspensions have been achieved by using a combination of both physical and chemical techniques [16].

#### **3.** The Relationship Between Thermal and Electrical Conductivity

The strong atomic bonds between carbon atoms enable it to have excellent conductive properties. The Wiedemann-Franz law is an empirical law of physics that states that the thermal and electrical conductivity properties are directly related by a constant multiplied by the absolute temperature of the metal. This is also known as the Lorentz relation [17, 18]. A linear relationship between the thermal conductivity and

material absolute temperature has been proven in many studies of various metallic and semi-conducting materials. However, electrical conductivity testing of the same materials fails to yield the same linear relationship. Despite inconsistent results from various electrical conductivity tests, the conductivity does, in fact, increase with an increasing absolute temperature [18]. By applying this relationship to nanofluids, the thermal conductivity improvements achieved from using CNTs can be directly associated to improvements in the electrical conductivity of the nanofluid.

#### 4. Naval Postgraduate School Previous Research

In June of 2008, Kuhlmann studied the various thermal and fluid properties of CNT-enhanced nanofluids and their possible inclusion in advanced thermal management systems. He evaluated various CNT loading concentrations, temperature profiles, various ultrasonication settings and surfactant concentrations. The study also focused on the development of an appropriate protocol for producing stable, colloidal CNT suspensions. His thermal analysis of the nanofluids revealed that CNTs possess a sensitive architecture composed of loosely connected nanotube networks that directly impact the conductivity properties of the nanofluid [19].

#### **D.** THESIS CONCEPT

The goal of this study is to evaluate the stability of static, CNT-enhanced, waterbased nanofluids over a specified number of thermal cycles, or phase changes between freeze and thaw. The stability of the CNT-enhanced nanofluid is based upon changes in the conductive paths formed by the homogenous dispersion of the nanotube network. Theoretically, if the dispersed nanotubes maintained a homogenous network, the conductive properties of the nanofluid would remain intact. Any changes in the conductive properties during the thermal cycling of the nanofluid would indicate a change within the nanotube network.

The electrical conductivity of the nanofluid will be used to assess the stability of the nanofluid throughout thermal cycling. This study focuses on the ability to measure electrical resistance to accurately detect changes in the CNT network. This study will also evaluate the effects of CNT concentration, type of CNT, type of surfactant and the nanofluid temperature during preparation on the electrical conductivity and stability of the nanofluid.

Secondary studies were also conducted using electrical resistance measurements to evaluate the stability of the surfactant during thermal cycling, and the effects of combining different types of CNTs in the nanofluid.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH AND VALIDATION

#### A. DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT

Based on the results of previous research using CNT-enhanced nanofluids, the variables that had a significant impact on the thermal conductivity properties of a nanofluid are:

- Type of Base Fluid
- Type of CNT
- CNT Volumetric Loading Percentage (Concentration)
- Type of Surfactant
- Surfactant Volumetric Loading Percentage (Concentration)
- Size, or Aspect Ratio, of CNT

A factorial approach was used to develop an experimental matrix. Each parameter would have two levels, or settings. With the aim of keeping focus on the stability of the nanofluid, some parameters were fixed to reduce the number of samples that needed to be prepared. The base fluid, surfactant loading percentage and CNT size were fixed so as to only have one level. Utilizing the Kuhlmann findings in the NPS 2008 study, similar nanofluid processing techniques and comparable CNT and surfactant loadings were selected in order to produce a stable nanofluid. Table 1 lists the design parameters for this study.

Parameter	Level	Settings
CNT Turo	r	SWCNT
CNTType	2	MWCNT
CNT Loading	r	0.1 % volume
CINT Loading	2	0.2% volume
Surfactant Type	r	Lithium Dodecyl Sulfate (LDS)
Surfactant Type	2	Igepal
Base Fluid	1	Distilled Water
Surfactant Loading	1	3.0% volume
CNT Sizo	1	SWCNT: 1—10µm x 1nm
CINI SIZE	1	MWCNT: 5—20µm x 15 +/- 10nm

Table 1.Design Parameters for Thesis Research

#### **B. PROPERTIES OF SELECTED PARAMETERS**

### 1. Heat Transfer Fluid

The heat transfer fluid used for this research was distilled water. The excellent thermal conductivity properties, specific heat and latent heat of fusion characteristics make it a favorable choice in many heat transfer systems including high power electronics and directed energy systems. Table 2 lists some important properties of distilled water [20].

a	able 2. Froperties of Distilled wa				
	Parameter	Value			
	Density	liquid: 1000 kg/m <sup>3</sup> solid: 917 kg/m <sup>3</sup>			
	Melting Point	0 °C			
	Boiling Point	100 °C			
	Specific Heat	4180 J/kg per °C			
	Latent Heat	333.55 kJ/kg			
	Viscosity	893.5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> kg-s/m			
	pН	approximately 7.0			

Table 2.Properties of Distilled Water

#### 2. Carbon Nanotubes

The single-wall and hollow, multi-wall CNTs used in this study were purchased from Nano-Lab Incorporated located in Newton, Massachusetts. The CNTs were synthesized by the chemical-vapor deposition processing technique. CNTs with a high aspect ratio, or length to diameter ratio, were selected for this study. Residual impurities from the synthesis process include less than 1% weight of iron and sulfur [21]. Table 3 lists the Nano-Lab CNT characteristics.

CNT Type	Structure	Catalog Number	Length (µm)	Diameter (nm)	Purity
MWCNT	Hollow	PD15L520	5 - 20	15 +/- 5	>95%
SWCNT	-	D1L110	1 - 10	1	> 80%

 Table 3.
 Nano-Lab Incorporated CNT Characteristics

In support of this study, prepared and processed CNT-enhanced nanofluids were provided by Luna Innovations Incorporated located in Danville, Virginia. All nanofluids were SWCNT-based with two purity levels and three CNT concentration levels. The CNT synthesis and dispersion techniques used by Luna Innovations are unknown. Their purity classification relied upon the Haddon method where purification levels above 100% were achieved by oxidation and nitric acid treatments. The CNTs were ultrasonically dispersed in distilled water using a 2.0% volumetric loading of gum Arabic surfactant [17]. Table 4 is a summary chart of the nanofluids prepared by Luna Innovations.

Sample	CNT Loading % (vol)	Purity %
LnW - 0402	0.05	117.0
LnW - 0403	0.1	117.0
LnW - 0404	0.2	117.0
LnW - 0405	0.05	144.0
LnW - 0406	0.1	144.0
LnW - 0407	0.2	144.0

 Table 4.
 Luna Innovations Incorporated Nanofluid Information

#### 3. Volumetric Loading Percentages

Based on the results of previous research, the NPS research experimental values, and the concentration of the Luna Innovations nanofluids, CNT loadings for this study were selected to be 0.1% and 0.2% volume. The surfactant loading was fixed at 3.0% volume to reduce the number of samples that could be reasonably evaluated within the scope of this study.

#### 4. Surfactants

Chemical dispersion of the CNTs was accomplished by using Lithium Dodecyl Sulfate (LDS) (anionic) and Igepal CO-630 (non-ionic) surfactants manufactured by Sigma-Aldrich. These compounds were selected based on previous NPS research and to evaluate their effects on nanofluid electrical resistance measurements. Both LDS and Igepal are soluble in water, where LDS is in the form of a white-powder and Igepal is a clear, viscous liquid compound. Detailed information on the surfactants can be found in reference [22].

#### C. EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION

Physical dispersion was accomplished by using a mechanical homogenizer and ultrasonicator. An industrial freezer unit was used to enable the liquid-to-solid phase change of the nanofluid. All electrical resistance measurements were taken using a digital multimeter. The following sections describe the details of the equipment used in this study.

#### 1. Mechanical Homogenizer

A Stir-Pak mechanical homogenizer (stirrer) manufactured by Cole-Parmer Instrument Company was used to homogenize the surfactant and the CNT additions into the distilled water. A single propeller attachment was used during the homogenization process. The homogenizer did not have an isolation assembly to prevent atmospheric gasses from dissolving into the nanofluid during homogenization. Figure 3 shows a photograph of the homogenizer.

#### 2. Ultrasonicator

A Sonicator 3000 Ultrasonic Liquid Processor manufactured by Misonix Incorporated was used for CNT dispersion. The ultrasonicator comprised of a probe tip that transmitted ultrasonic energy into the nanofluid. The available ultrasonication power settings were from 6 Watts to 42 Watts in 3 Watt increments with no alternate output power setting available. A temperature probe was used to monitor the temperature of the nanofluid during ultrasonication. Figure 3 shows a photograph of the ultrasonicator.



Figure 3. (Left) Stir-Pak Mechanical Stirrer. (Right) Sonicator 3000 Ultrasonic Liquid Processor

# **3.** Commercial Freezer Unit

A commercial deep freezer unit manufactured by Westinghouse was used to conduct the liquid-to-solid phase change of the nanofluid. The freezer unit was set at a constant temperature of -13°C. Figure 4 is a photograph of the freezer unit.



Figure 4. Westinghouse Commercial Freezer Unit

#### 4. Digital Multimeter

An 8840A digital multimeter manufactured by Fluke Industries was used to measure the electrical resistance of the nanofluid. A two-wire setup was selected since the lead resistance was negligible when compared to the overall resistance of the nanofluid. Figure 5 is a photograph of the multimeter.



Figure 5. Fluke 8840A Digital Multimeter

# D. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The following procedure builds upon the nanofluid preparation protocol developed by Kuhlmann [19]. The following steps outline the preparation procedure used in this study:

- 20 mL of distilled water was poured into a non-conductive, glass beaker.
- Surfactant was measured out and placed directly into the distilled water in accordance with the prescribed test matrix.
- The distilled water and surfactant mixture was homogenized at 600 RPM using the Stir-Pak with a single-propeller attachment. The attachment was placed approximately 0.25 inches from the bottom of the beaker to avoid cavitation and foaming at the surface. The mixture was homogenized until the surfactant was completely dissolved into the distilled water. This process took approximately five minutes for samples containing LDS and thirty minutes for samples containing Igepal.

- CNTs were measured out in accordance with the prescribed test matrix and added to the distilled water and surfactant mixture. The sample will now be referred to as a nanofluid.
- The nanofluid was homogenized at 600 RPM using the Stir-Pak with the same single propeller attachment for thirty minutes.
- The nanofluid was ultrasonicated in accordance with the prescribed test matrix. The ultrasonicator probe was placed approximately 0.25 inches from the bottom of the beaker to avoid foaming in the nanofluid. The temperature of the nanofluid was monitored through the duration of ultrasonication.
  - Due to boiling of the nanofluid during ultrasonication, various ultrasonication power settings and time duration were selected. It should be noted that ultrasonication parameters varied in order to produce a well homogenized, stable nanofluid and *were not optimized for this study*.
- The initial resistance measurements were taken after the nanofluid cooled at room temperature for five minutes.
- All instruments were cleaned using only distilled water. The procedure was repeated for the next sample.

The American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) International document D1125—95, Standard Test Methods for Electrical Conductivity and Resistivity of Water, outlines the standard procedures that should be used for static (non-flowing) and continuous in-line conductivity and resistivity measurements. For this study, conductivity measurements could not be taken in strict adherence with the ASTM procedure due to the lack of available testing apparatus described by the ASTM. For detailed information on the ASTM measurement procedures see reference [23].

The nanofluids received from Luna Innovations Inc. were not modified for this study. All samples were taken directly from the container in which they arrived in from Luna Innovations.

Due to the potential health hazards that CNTs pose to the user, basic safety precautions were followed. Nitrile gloves, a protective face mask and safety glasses were used when handling CNTs and the nanofluids. All nanofluids were prepared inside a fume hood that was vented to the atmosphere. All waste and contaminated CNT-enhanced fluids were disposed of using a hazardous material storage tank.

#### E. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

The success of this study hinged upon the ability to effectively reduce the influence of physical phenomena on the electrical resistance of the nanofluid. Through the initial testing process, this study expected to show a trend that the addition of CNTs does improve the electrical conductivity of the base fluid by decreasing the overall electrical resistance. The results of the initial testing revealed that the most significant factor affecting the electrical resistance measurements of the nanofluid proved to be the construction of the testing assembly.

The initial test assembly consisted of two, 3000 series aluminum plates separated by a 0.5mm thick, non-conducting plastic insulator. The assembly was sealed with waterproof, silicone caulk and multiple, electrically insulated spring clamps placed around the perimeter of the assembly. A nanofluid with concentration of 3.0% Igepal and 0.5% (weight) Bamboo-type, MWCNTs was injected into the assembly using a syringe through a fill-hole drilled in the upper plate sealed with a cork stopper. A smaller hole was also drilled in the upper plate to allow air to escape during the fill process. A direct current (DC) resistance measurement was taken by placing the multimeter leads on the upper and lower plate. An alternating current (AC) voltage measurement using a low voltage signal generator and known resistance in series with the plate assembly was used to calculate the assembly resistance. The DC resistance measurements were compared to the calculated AC resistances to assess any variation due to the test assembly. Resistance measurements of only the distilled water; distilled water and surfactant; and distilled water, surfactant and CNTs were recorded to evaluate the effects each had on the resistance of the base fluid. Figure 6 shows a photograph of the plate assembly.

Since the construction of the test assembly closely resembled that of a capacitor, a capacitive reactance and sensitivity to both polarity and frequency existed within the assembly. This resulted in an unsteady and indefinite resistance measurement. Also, achieving an adequate dispersion of the nanofluid within the plate assembly was hindered due to the small dimensions of the channel between the upper and lower plate. These phenomena and physical variables prevented clear-cut measurements along with the

inability to accurately correlate the calculated AC resistance to the DC resistance measurement. Figure 6 shows the inadequate dispersion of the nanofluid within the plate assembly.



Figure 6. (Left) Aluminum Plate Assembly. (Right) Inadequate Dispersion of the Nanofluid within the Plate Assembly.

The suspected trend that CNTs would improve the electrical conductivity of a base fluid was shown through the initial testing. For example, the calculated AC resistance of the distilled water ranged between 3,100  $\Omega$  and 3,400  $\Omega$  compared to 645  $\Omega$  to 675  $\Omega$  with the distilled water and surfactant and finally 520  $\Omega$  to 575  $\Omega$  with the nanofluid. Since the calculated resistance values of distilled water did not approximately equal the accepted distilled water resistance value of 18.18 M $\Omega$ -cm [24] along with inconsistent resistance values, the plate assembly was suspect of error. Figure 7 shows the trend of decreasing resistance through the addition of surfactant and CNTs to the distilled water. Appendix A shows the plate assembly initial resistance measurements.


Figure 7. Initial Electrical Conductivity Trend using the Plate Assembly

In order to minimize error or variation introduced by the plate assembly construction, measurement setup, lead placement, contact resistance or nanofluid dispersion, a simplified probe-type test assembly was constructed. This assembly consisted of three, 0.125 inch diameter stainless steel rods, or probes, mounted in a non-conducting, plastic stopper. Each probe was labeled A, B, and C and were spaced 10mm apart. The probes were secured to the stopper so that the probe tips were in-line at 0.25 inches from the bottom of the beaker, but also able to be removed when taking resistance measurements. The multimeter leads were fastened to the probe using Fluke spring clips. DC Resistance measurements were taken between the A—B, B—C and A—C probes to measure the electrical resistance and to see if the resistance changed between various points in the nanofluid. Using the probe assembly, the intention was to show the same conductivity trend as seen with the plate assembly with minimal variation between measurements. Figure 8 is a photograph of the probe assembly.



Figure 8. (Top) Probe Assembly. (Bottom) Probe Assembly with Leads

The following nanofluids were used in validating this test assembly:

- All Luna Innovations Nanofluids
- 0.5% (weight) Hollow-MWCNT with 3.0% (weight) Igepal
- 0.5% (weight) Bamboo-MWCNT with 3.0% (weight) Igepal

Resistance measurements between probes were conducted for a period of ten seconds. The beginning resistance value was recorded at the initial connection of the lead to the probe and the ending resistance value was recorded at the expiration of ten seconds. Probes not in use during the measurement were removed from the assembly.

Using the probe assembly, the second phase of experimental validation began with the thermal cycling of the nanofluid. After the initial resistance measurements were recorded, the nanofluid was placed in the deep freezer until completion of the liquid-tosolid phase change. The nanofluid was then removed from the freezer and thawed at room temperature until the completion of the solid-to-liquid phase change. The electrical resistance of the nanofluid was measured and recorded with additional notes on the physical appearance of the nanofluid, i.e., formation of agglomerates, layered separation of the CNTs from the distilled water, sedimentation of CNTs out of solution, etc. All nanofluids underwent five thermal cycles or until the sample showed signs of instability.

The initial results using the probe assembly showed the same trend of decreasing resistance with the addition of surfactant and CNTs but with significantly higher resistance ranges in excess of 30 k $\Omega$  compared to values of less than 1 k $\Omega$  using the plate assembly. Sporadic resistance readings of less than 10 k $\Omega$  made the probe assembly suspect to error. A possible reason for the large difference in resistance ranges could possibly be attributed to the small contact area between the teeth of the spring clip. If the clips did not make good contact or any contact at all with the probes, the contact resistance would significantly increase and prevent accurate measurements.

The physical stability of the nanofluids varied drastically between the Luna Innovation and NPS nanofluids. All of the Luna Innovation nanofluids showed excellent stability with minimal agglomeration and sedimentation after five phase changes. On the other hand, the NPS nanofluids were unstable due to the formation of a large, single agglomerate after the first thaw cycle. These initial results showed the sensitivity of nanofluids to the preparation procedure, loading concentrations and shows the need for nanofluid optimization. Figure 9 shows the physical appearance of the nanofluids upon completion of initial thermal cycling.



Figure 9. (Left) Luna Innovation LnW-404 Nanofluid after Five Phase Changes. (Right) NPS Nanofluid after One Phase Change

These initial results proved that the probe assembly needed to be further refined in order to achieve an accurate resistance measurement and that a lower CNT concentration needed to be selected to prevent the formation of agglomerates. To have comparable nanofluids, 0.2% and 0.1% volume CNT concentration were selected for NPS nanofluids. Appendices B, C and D show the raw electrical resistance data from the Luna Innovations, Hollow and Babmoo MWCNT nanofluids respectively.

The final test assembly was constructed using only the multimeter leads. This setup removed any variation introduced through the construction of a test assembly. The leads were fastened together using electrical tape with a 10mm distance between leads. The multimeter lead resistance was less than 1 $\Omega$  and considered negligible when compared to the overall nanofluid resistance. A total of 15 resistance measurements were recorded to evaluate the variation between measurements and obtain a standard deviation between data points. Figure 10 shows a photograph of the multimeter lead construction.



Figure 10. Multimeter Lead Construction

To determine the proper submersion depth of the leads, resistance measurements of all the Luna Innovations nanofluids were taken at the nanofluid surface and bottom of the beaker. Based on the results, the electrical resistance at the nanofluid surface was higher than the bottom of the beaker. This is possibly due to sedimentation effects of static, nanofluids where the CNTs naturally settle out of solution to the bottom of the container creating a more conductive path. In order to avoid these extreme electrical resistance values, resistance measurements would be taken at the mid-level of the nanofluid. Figure 11 shows a plot of the nanofluid surface and bottom average resistance values with the standard deviation between measurements. Appendix E shows the raw data of the electrical resistance measurements.



Figure 11. Luna Innovation Nanofluids Surface and Bottom Average Electrical Resistance Measurements.

The leads were rotated in 90° increments at the submerged mid-level in order to determine if the orientation of the leads affected the resistance measurements. Luna Innovation samples LnW-402 and LnW-405 were tested. The results showed less than a 3% difference between resistance measurements of different orientations. The orientation of the multimeter leads was considered negligible for the remainder of this study. Appendix F shows the raw data from the multimeter lead orientation measurements.

After establishing the submerged depth and orientation of the multimeter leads, all Luna Innovation nanofluids were tested and thermally cycled five times using new samples. The initial resistance measurement displayed on the multimeter screen at the moment of lead insertion was recorded. The results using this technique were the most promising of all the tests conducted. Not only did the nanofluids show excellent physical stability, but the resistance measurements ranged between 3 k $\Omega$  and 13 k $\Omega$  compared to readings in excess of 30 k $\Omega$  using the probe assembly.

#### 1. Ultrasonication Parameter Validation

In order to determine the effects of ultrasonication during the preparation process of the nanofluid, four different power settings and time durations were evaluated using distilled water with a 3.0% (volume) concentration of LDS and Igepal surfactants. Table 5 lists the tested ultrasonication settings.

Table 5.Ultrasonication Power Settings and Time Durations

Power (Watts)	Time (Minutes)
39	5
24	30
15	15
15	60

The largest problem encountered during ultrasonication was controlling the mixture temperature. The energy input from the ultrasonicator caused an increase in mixture temperature, which resulted in excessive foaming, boiling and evaporation of liquid. This significantly altered the volume concentration of surfactant in the mixture. 4mL to 7mL of liquid evaporated when the mixture was ultrasonicated at 24 Watts for 30 minutes and 15 Watts for 60 minutes. Less than 1ml of liquid evaporated using an ultrasonication setting of 39 Watts for 5 minutes and 15 Watts for 15 minutes. In some cases, the mixtures containing Igepal turned an opaque color at temperatures above 40°C whereas the LDS mixtures did not.

In order to control the mixture temperature while ensuring an adequate homogenization of CNTs, using an ice bath during preparation or using the 15 Watts for 15 minutes setting with no ice bath (uncontrolled temperature) could be used. To ensure the preparation of a well homogenized, stable sample with minimal liquid loss, nanofluids were prepared using an ultrasonication setting of 15 Watts for 15 minutes both with and without an ice bath.

#### F. EXPERIMENTAL SUMMARY

Nanofluids prepared by NPS consisted of 0.1% (volume) and 0.2% (volume) concentrations of MWCNTs and SWCNTs dispersed in distilled water using a 3.0% (volume) concentration of LDS or Igepal surfactant. The nanofluids were prepared using a combination of physical and chemical means. Based on the results of the experimental validation, the DC electrical resistances of the nanofluids will be tested using only the multimeter leads submerged at mid-level at any orientation in the nanofluid. 15 resistance measurements were recorded from the initial insertion of the leads into the nanofluid and subsequent display on the multimeter screen. All nanofluids (except Luna Innovations samples) will be ultrasonicated at a power setting of 15 Watts for 15 minutes both with and without the use of an ice bath. The initial resistance measurements will be taken five minutes after the completion of ultrasonication. All samples will be thermally cycled up to five times or until the sample shows any signs of instability, in which testing will be discontinued. Table 6 lists the NPS Nanofluid Experimental Matrix and Table 4 lists the Luna Innovation Nanofluids that will be used for this study.

Sample	Ultrasonication Temperature Control	CNT Type	CNT Concentration (% Volume)	Surfactant Concentration (3.0% Volume)
1	Ice Bath	MWCNT	0.2	LDS
2	Ice Bath	MWCNT	0.2	Igepal
3	Ice Bath	SWCNT	0.2	LDS
4	Ice Bath	SWCNT	0.2	Igepal
5	Ice Bath	MWCNT	0.1	LDS
6	Ice Bath	MWCNT	0.1	Igepal
7	Ice Bath	SWCNT	0.1	LDS
8	Ice Bath	SWCNT	0.1	Igepal
9	None	MWCNT	0.2	LDS
10	None	MWCNT	0.2	Igepal
11	None	SWCNT	0.2	LDS
12	None	SWCNT	0.2	Igepal
13	None	MWCNT	0.1	LDS
14	None	MWCNT	0.1	Igepal
15	None	SWCNT	0.1	LDS
16	None	SWCNT	0.1	Igepal

Table 6.NPS Nanofluid Experimental Matrix

The Luna Innovations samples will be thermally cycled up to twelve times to evaluate the stability through extended thermal cycles and re-ultrasonicated at 15 Watts for five minutes to investigate the need for re-homogenization of static, CNT-enhanced nanofluids. Resistance measurements will only be taken at the sixth and twelfth thermal cycles.

#### 1. Ancillary Studies

Ancillary studies focused on the stability of the surfactant during thermal cycling and the effects of mixing of Luna Innovation nanofluids with Nano-Lab Industries. The selected surfactants, LDS and Igepal, were homogenized in 20 mL of distilled water and prepared using the ultrasonication settings listed in Table 7, both with and without an ice bath. All mixtures were thermally cycled three times to evaluate the overall stability during the initial phase changes.

Surfactant	LDS & Igepal	LDS & Igepal	LDS & Igepal	LDS & Igepal
Concentration	3.0 % vol	3.0 % vol	3.0 % vol	3.0 % vol
Ultrasonication Power	39 W	24 W	15 W	15 W
Ultrasonication Time	5 min	30 min	15 min	60 min

 Table 7.
 Experimental Matrix for Thermal Cycling of Surfactants

For the mixing of different types of CNTs, Nano-Lab Industries hollow-type MWCNTs were mixed with Luna Innovations SWCNT nanofluids. 0.05% (volume) MWCNTs were added to LnW-402 and LnW-405 and ultrasonicated at 39 Watts for 5 minutes and 15 Watts for 15 minutes both with and without and ice bath. The combined CNT concentration of 0.1% (volume) was compared to the LnW-403 and LnW-406 to evaluate their effects on the nanofluid resistance. The ultrasonication settings were selected in order to minimize liquid evaporation during ultrasonication. The nanofluids will be thermally cycled up to five times or until the nanofluid shows signs of instability. Table 8 lists the combined CNT nanofluid experimental matrix.

Sample	Luna Innovation Nanofluid	Nano- Lab CNT	Ice Bath during Ultrasonication (Yes / No)	Ultrasonication Power (Watts)	Ultrasonication Time (Minutes)
L1		0.05%	Yes	15	15
L2	$I_{\rm pW} 402$	volume Hollow MWCNT	No	15	15
L3	Lnw-402		Yes	39	5
L4			No	39	5
L5		0.05%	Yes	15	15
L6	LnW-405	volume	No	15	15
L7		Hollow	Yes	39	5
L8		MWCNT	No	39	5

Table 8.Combined CNT Nanofluid Experimental Matrix

## III. RESULTS

The results of this study are presented in a tabular format on the following pages. Each table lists the average DC resistance of 15 resistance measurements using only the multimeter leads. A transparency test was conducted upon the completion of the solid-to-liquid phase change, where the nanofluid was tilted on-edge against white, ambient light and evaluated for the existence of sediment or agglomerates. Table 9 identifies the terms assigned to describe the physical appearance of the nanofluids and Figure 12 is a photograph of the respective terms. Tables 10 through 13 summarize the average resistance measurements of the NPS nanofluids, Luna Innovations nanofluids, combined CNT nanofluids and thermal cycling of surfactant tests respectively. All electrical resistance measurements can be found in Appendices G, H, and I respectively.

С	Clear
Н	Homogenous
VSA	Very Small Agglomerates
SA	Small Agglomerates
MA	Medium Agglomerates
LA	Large Agglomerates
LS	Light Sediment
S	Sediment
HS	Heavy Sediment

Table 9.Description of Physical Appearance of Nanofluid









Very Small Agglomerates



Small Agglomerates



Clear



Homogenous



Medium Agglomerates



Large Agglomerates



Sedimentation





Heavy Sedimentation (Layered Appearance)

Figure 12. Photographs Describing Physical Appearance of Nanofluids

					Ave	erage Resistar	ice (kΩ)		
Sample	Type of	DW		Initial	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
Sample	Surfactant	$(M\Omega)$	DW/LDS	muai	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw
1	LDS	0.34	13.47	12.24	8.53	8.43	6.97	6.73	7.13
1	Appearance	С	С	Н	LS	SA & S	SA & S	SA & S	SA & S
2	Igepal	0.19	38.95	37.71	30.36	31.25	30.26	30.97	26.43
	Appearance	С	С	Н	LS	SA & S	SA & S	MA & S	MA, LA & S
3	LDS	0.23	13.62	6.01	8.69	10.21	8.26	8.25	7.26
5	Appearance	С	С	Н	LS	LS	SA & S	SA & S	SA & S
4	Igepal	0.24	34.86	36.43	30.57	30.21	28.97	-	-
4	Appearance	С	С	Н	LS	LS	Unstable	e - Layered A	pperance
5	LDS	0.28	14.96	9.65	8.07	9.85	10.18	8.69	7.17
3	Appearance	С	С	Н	SA	SA	SA	SA & MA	LA
6	Igepal	0.24	35.23	36.53	30.18	28.03	30.54	24.7	24.69
0	Appearance	С	С	Н	LS	VSA	VSA	VSA	VSA
7	LDS	0.22	13.54	5.58	12.15	12.02	10.35	9.19	8.73
/	Appearance	С	С	Н	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS
0	Igepal	0.26	34.59	40.56	31.07	29.11	25.76	-	-
8	Appearance	С	С	Н	LS	HS	Unstable	- Layered Aj	opearance
0	LDS	0.71	9.87	2.55	8.23	7.53	5.77	8.36	5.17
9	Appearance	С	С	Н	SA	SA	MA	MA	MA
10	Igepal	0.68	37.29	15.58	29.61	30.24	24.91	27.38	27.55
10	Appearance	С	С	Н	SA	SA & S	LA & S	LA & S	LA & S
11	LDS	0.71	12.01	3.48	8.98	8.07	8.17	8.19	8.41
11	Appearance	С	С	Н	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS
12	Igepal	0.52	31.41	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Appearance	С	С		Unstable -	Layered Sep	aration after	Ultrasonication	n
12	LDS	0.62	8.97	4.62	8.36	8.17	7.18	7.89	9.99
15	Appearance	С	С	Н	SA	SA	SA	SA	SA
14	Igepal	0.65	32.55	12.03	29.64	25.55	25.73	30.79	31.26
14	Appearance	С	С	Н	VSA	SA	SA	SA	SA
15	LDS	0.56	8.85	5.55	9.46	8.97	9.19	6.61	8.08
15	Appearance	С	С	Н	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS
16	Igepal	0.61	29.53	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Appearance	С	С		Unstable -	Layered Sep	aration after	Ultrasonication	n

Table 10.NPS Nanofluid Results

					Av	verage Re	sistance (	kΩ)			
Sample	Type of Surfactant	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw	6th Thaw	12th Thaw	Re- Sonicate	1st Thaw
InW-402	Gum Arabic	10.73	11.91	12.82	12.12	12.7	8.77	9.97	21.55	7.73	11.84
2000 102	Appearance	Н	Н	Н	Η	Н	Н	SA	LA & S	Н	SA
LDW 402	Gum Arabic	8.58	11.3	11.55	11.41	12.3	9.13	9.78	20.54	6.94	11.23
LIIW-405	Appearance	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	SA	LA & S	Н	SA
LDW 404	Gum Arabic	7.82	9.05	8.92	8.85	8.27	8.53	8.81	17.9	6.71	7.23
LIIW-404	Appearance	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	LS	MA & S	Н	SA
LpW/ 405	Gum Arabic	4.69	6.68	7.31	7.28	6.3	5.1	6.35	13.16	6.47	9.91
LIIW-403	Appearance	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	SA	MA & S	Н	SA
1 pW 406	Gum Arabic	6.47	9.44	8.45	8.77	7.55	6.76	7.45	12.37	7.34	9.49
LIIW-400	Appearance	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	S	MA & S	Н	SA
LpW/ 407	Gum Arabic	7.4	10.14	9.05	9.77	8.22	7.28	7.99	16.41	6.9	9.63
LIIV-407	Appearance	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	LS	MA	Н	SA

 Table 11.
 Luna Innovations Incorporated Nanofluid Results

				А	verage Res	sistance (kg	Ω)			
Sample	Luna Innovation Nanofluid	Luna Innovati on	Mixed CNT Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw		
T 1	Gum Arabic	8.47	7.94	16.51	7.95	10.35	14.45	17.15		
	Appearance	Н	Н	S	Unstable	- HA and I	Layered Ap	opearance		
тэ	Gum Arabic	8.43	6.01	16.98	9.05	11.93	12.01	16.81		
L2	Appearance	Н	Н	S	SA & S	SA & S	SA & S	SA & S		
12	Gum Arabic	8.66	7.32	16.83	9.09	13.41	17.05	18.73		
L3	Appearance	Н	Н	S	Unstable	- HA and Layered Appearance				
I.A	Gum Arabic	8.11	5.53	18.1	8.81	11.59	17.58	18.99		
L4 -	Appearance	Н	Н		Unstable - HA & S					
15	Gum Arabic	7.86	7.33	9.55	11.93	11.27	-	-		
LJ	Appearance	Н	Н	S	Unstable	- HA and I	Layered Aj	opearance		
16	Gum Arabic	8.27	4.03	9.07	11.15	9.66	-	-		
LO	Appearance	Н	Н	S	Unstable	- HA and I	Layered Aj	opearance		
	Gum Arabic	7.67	6.1	8.04	10.16	8.95	-	-		
L7	Appearance	Н	Н	S	Unstable	- HA and I	Layered Aj	opearance		
L O	Gum Arabic	7.36	5.76	8.43	8.85	9.35	-	-		
L8	Appearance	Н	Н	Unsta	ble - HS &	e HA, Laye	ered Appea	arance		

Table 12.Combined CNT Nanofluid Results

Surfa	actant	LDS using an Ice Bath					LDS (No Ice Bath)			
Loa	nding	3.0% vol					3.0% vol			
Ultraso Power	onication (Watts)	39	24	15	15		39 24 15 15			
Ultraso Time (N	onication (linutes)	5	30	15	60		5 30 15 60			
	Initial	13.84	9.93	13.45	8.48		10.35	5.09	10.55	3.67
Average	1st Thaw	13.17	9.85	13.74	9.25		13.34	11.32	12.21	-
(LO)	2nd Thaw	13.9	10.23	13.36	8.45		9.34	9.72	13.16	-
(KS2)	3rd Thaw	15.66	12.61	14.87	9.01		15.06	12.1	13.33	-
Surfa	actant	Igepal using an Ice Bath					Igepal (No Ice Bath)			
Loa	nding	3.0% vol					3.0% vol			
Ultraso Power	onication (Watts)	39	24	15	15		39	24	15	15
Ultraso Time (N	onication (Linutes)	5	30	15	60		5 30 15 60			
A	Initial	30.55	33.93	34.47	36.89	]	31.13	14.91	31.18	18.19
Average	1st Thaw	30.03	32.39	29.98	30.18		35.95	32.87	36.1	-
	2nd Thaw	39.79	37.34	38.11	38.11		42.93	36.71	40.05	-
(152)	3rd Thaw	38.95	36.22	35.18	36.69		44.41	38.39	38.45	-

Table 13.Thermal Cycling of Surfactant Results

## IV. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

#### A. NPS NANOFLUIDS

Figure 13 is a plot of the average resistance throughout thermal cycling of all NPS samples. It shows a top-level view of the effects of ultrasonication temperature on the conductivity of the nanofluid and a conductivity comparison of nanofluids dispersed with either Igepal or LDS. Both SWCNT and MWCNT of all concentrations are displayed on the graph. Solid lines represent the nanofluids prepared using an ice bath during ultrasonication and the dashed lines represent nanofluids prepared without an ice bath during ultrasonication (uncontrolled temperature).



Figure 13. Average Resistance vs. Thermal Cycling of NPS Nanofluids

Using an ice bath during nanofluid ultrasonication did not have a significant effect on the nanofluid resistance throughout thermal cycling. All samples showed both increasing and decreasing changes in electrical resistance after the start of thermal cycling (1<sup>st</sup> Thaw). The largest difference in nanofluid resistance occurred at the initial measurement due to the higher nanofluid temperatures at the time of measurement. Nanofluids prepared with no temperature control reached temperatures in excess of 70°C compared to 21°C using an ice bath. The conductivity of carbon is notably higher at warmer temperatures due to the increase in atomic movement within the lattice structure. This resulted in higher initial conductivities, or lower resistances, for samples ultrasonicated with no temperature control. After the first phase change, all nanofluids were at the same temperature, which resulted in a convergence of similar surfactant-based resistance measurements. Resistances both increased and decreased for the second through fifth phase changes. A decrease in resistance is possibly due to the natural sedimentation of CNTs out of solution, whereas, an increase in resistances is attributed to the breakdown of the CNT network and formation of agglomerates.

Nanofluids dispersed using LDS had lower resistances than those dispersed with Igepal. The conductive property of LDS assisted in the completion of the conductive paths within the CNT network, whereas the non-conductive property of Igepal was suspect of insulating adjacent CNTs or CNT networks. Also, nanofluids using LDS appeared to have less variation in average resistance values between phase changes than those using Igepal. The resistance values of all the LDS and Igepal samples after the final phase change were relatively the same showing that temperature control during ultrasonication does not have a significant impact on the conductivity of the nanofluid.

No apparent relationship between the effects of controlling nanofluid temperature during ultrasonication on the physical stability of the nanofluid could be identified. All samples showed signs of agglomeration or sedimentation, however, slightly larger agglomerates formed throughout the thermal cycling process in nanofluids using an ice bath.

Figure 14 and Figure 15 illustrate the effects that 0.1% and 0.2% concentration of MWCNTs and SWCNTs have on the resistance of the nanofluid. Each figure shows the average resistance of the nanofluid throughout thermal cycling. Nanofluids prepared both with and without an ice bath during ultrasonication are plotted in each figure.



Figure 14. 0.1% CNT Loading: MWCNT & SWCNT Resistance Comparison



Figure 15. 0.2% CNT Loading: MWCNT & SWCNT Resistance Comparison

Based on the illustrated results in Figure 14 and Figure 15, the type of CNT does not significantly affect the resistance of the nanofluid. Neither MWCNTs nor SWCNTs dispersed in LDS or Igepal proved to show exceptional stability through thermal cycling. All samples using MWCNTs showed signs of agglomeration after the first phase change and SWCNTs showed signs of sedimentation as thermal cycling progressed. Neither type of CNT proved superior over the other within the respective concentration.

On the other hand, the concentration of CNTs had a minor impact on the resistance of the nanofluid. The 0.2% concentration of MWCNTs and SWCNTs showed a small decrease in the nanofluid resistance in both types of surfactants. However, largersize agglomerates formed as thermal cycling progressed. Using higher concentrations of both SWCNTs and MWCNTs in LDS increased the conductivity of the respective nanofluids. Intuitively, this was expected since using more of a conducting material in a naturally conductive medium should increase the conductivity of the nanofluid. Further optimization of individual nanofluids should balance this trade-off between CNT concentration and acceptable agglomeration.

In both concentration cases, MWCNTs exhibited higher conductivities than SWCNTs. This result was unexpected since SWCNTs have a lower number of defects than MWCNTs, theoretically rendering a higher conductivity. Despite the conductivity gains with MWCNTs, the associated increase in agglomerate size during the progression of thermal cycling yielded those nanofluids more unstable than those using SWCNTs.

SWCNTs dispersed in Igepal showed to be more unstable than those dispersed in LDS. Igepal-based, SWCNT nanofluids prepared with an ice bath during ultrasonication were only stable until the third phase change, whereas, nanofluids using the same parameters were unable to be produced without using an ice bath during ultrasonication. These results should direct future research optimize individual nanofluid combinations.

#### B. LUNA INNOVATIONS INCORPORATED NANOFLUIDS

The Luna Innovations nanofluids showed exceptional stability throughout the first five thermal cycles. Light sedimentation and small agglomeration started after the sixth cycle followed by severe instability and agglomeration after the twelfth phase change. After the nanofluids were re-ultrasonicated, the resistances returned to a value near or lower than the initial resistance values. All nanofluids exhibited both increasing and decreasing average resistance values just as the NPS nanofluids. Under identical thermal cycling conditions, the similar trends displayed between each nanofluid may be due to the properties of the surfactant or characteristics of the CNTs selected by Luna Innovations. Figure 16 shows the physical breakdown of sample LnW-402 from initial testing, to the twelfth thermal cycle and appearance after re-sonication.

The nanofluids with a lower purity exhibited a decreasing resistance with higher CNT concentrations just as the NPS nanofluids, but the resistance of the higher purity samples increased as CNT concentration increased. No distinct conclusion could be drawn to explain this behavior. Figure 17 and Figure 18 show the average resistances throughout thermal cycling of the 117% and 144% pure nanofluids.



Figure 16. (Left) Initial Appearance of LnW-402. (Middle) Appearance of LnW-402 after 12th Cycle. (Right) Appearance of LnW-402 after Re-sonication



Figure 17. 117% Pure Luna Innovations Nanofluids



Figure 18. 144% Pure Luna Innovations Nanofluids

#### C. COMBINED CNT NANOFLUIDS

Combining the Nano-Lab MWCNTs with the Luna Innovations nanofluids did not have a significant effect on the resistance of the nanofluid. The initial measurement after ultrasonication was slightly less than the initial resistances of the 0.05% and 0.1% Luna nanofluid. Although this may seem beneficial, all mixed nanofluids were physically very unstable. In the lower purity samples, signs of agglomeration were noticed after the second phase change with increasing agglomerate formation in subsequent phase changes. The higher purity nanofluids were unstable after the first phase change exhibiting a layered appearance with heavy sedimentation and agglomerate formation.

This combination of CNTs and nanofluid showed to be unstable. However, this does not prove that combining various types of CNTs will always yield unstable nanofluids. Further investigation is required in this area of nanofluid technology.

#### D. SURFACTANT CYCLING

The average resistance of Igepal and LDS does slightly increase as thermal cycling progresses. The fluctuating trend during thermal cycling resembles the trend displayed by the NPS and Luna Innovations nanofluids. Regardless of the temperature control method used during ultrasonication, all surfactant mixtures maintained a homogenous distribution with no precipitation out of solution. The initial resistances of LDS and Igepal samples prepared without an ice bath were lower than those prepared with an ice bath due to the effects of heat generation during ultrasonication. Samples prepared without an ice bath that were ultrasonicated at 24 Watts for 30 minutes and 15 Watts for 60 minutes lost 4mL to 6mL of liquid due to evaporation. While the increasing resistance of the surfactants during thermal cycling may have a minor contribution to the increasing resistance trends of the nanofluids, it is not indicative of the effects on the heat transfer properties of the nanofluids. This study also shows the need for temperature control during preparation to prevent severely altered surfactant and CNT concentrations from the evaporation of liquid.

## V. CONCLUSION

Regardless of CNT type, size, concentration, preparation procedure, surfactant type and concentration, static, CNT-enhanced nanofluids used as a phase change material are stable between three to five thermal cycles. This study has shown that the breakdown of the CNT network during thermal cycling adversely affects the electrical conductivity properties of the nanofluid. By using electrical resistance measurements as a surrogate means to identify an approximate range where the nanofluid begins to significantly breakdown, a system can possibly be calibrated to re-sonicate the nanofluid before becoming unstable. The trade-off between the addition of ultrasonication equipment and the reduction in cooling equipment size can only be validated through field-tested investigations.

## **VI. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK**

The versatility of CNTs makes them ideal for a wide range of applications. The limits of CNTs are still being discovered in various industries, while new ideas continue to emerge. For thermal transport applications, the first step after selecting CNT-enhanced nanofluids for use as a phase change material should be to optimize the nanofluid to achieve the highest concentration for the lowest agglomeration over a pre-determined number of thermal cycles. Whether functionalized CNTs or nanotubes of various synthesis techniques are used, this still remains an overwhelming task due to a vast number of combinations and factors that give nanofluids their unique properties. If the focus is directed toward improving the conduction properties of the nanofluid, researchers should focus on how to complete the conductive path within the CNT architecture and network.

Pending nanofluid optimization, field testing with mathematical modeling or computer simulation should be conducted to better understand the heat transfer behavior of the nanofluid. By actually employing nanofluids and validating the calculated gains, proper cost-benefit analyses can be conducted to initiate the acquisition process and phased implementation cycle.

Finally, a follow on study conducting thermal conductivity tests after each thermal cycle would show the actual change in conduction properties throughout thermal cycling. By comparing those results to the conductivity measurements in this study, the relationship between the thermal and electrical conductivity properties of the nanofluid may be better understood.

# APPENDIX A. CALCULATED RESISTANCE VALUES USING THE PLATE ASSEMBLY

Test #1	Distilled Water	D. W. 3.0 wt% Igepal	D.W. 3.0 wt% Igepal 0.5 wt% CNT
Frequency (Hz)	10	10	10
Known Resistance - $R_1(\Omega)$	100,000	100,000	100,000
Input Voltage to Unknown Res - $E_{R1}$	1.020	1.007	1.17
AC Voltage Across Unknow Resistance - E - E <sub>R2</sub> (V)	0.032	0.0065	0.0061
Calcualted Unknown Resistance - $R_2(\Omega)$	3137.255	645.482	521.368
DC Resistance Measurement ( $\Omega$ ) DC Reverse polarity Measurement ( $\Omega$ )	<ul> <li>Unsteady, increase from</li> <li>58 - 75 kohm</li> <li>Somewhat unsteady,</li> <li>decrease from 72 - 64</li> <li>kohm</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Unstable, 61 kohm decreasing to</li> <li>45 kohm</li> <li>Unstable, 53 kohm decreasing to</li> <li>26 kohm</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Unstable, fluctuate between</li> <li>36 - 40 kohm</li> <li>Somewhat Stable between 8</li> <li>10 kohm</li> </ul>
Test #2	Distilled Water	D. W. 3.0 wt% Igepal	D.W. 3.0 wt% Igepal 0.5 wt% CNT
Frequency (Hz)	10	10	10
Known Resistance - $R_1(\Omega)$	100,000	100,000	100,000
Input Voltage to Unknown Res - $E_{R1}$	1.023	1.0106	1.0100
AC Voltage Across Unknow Resistance - E - E <sub>R2</sub> (V)	0.0349	0.0068	0.0058
Calcualted Unknown			
Resistance - $R_2(\Omega)$	3411.535	672.868	573.267

# APPENDIX B. PROBE ASSEMBLY—LUNA INNOVATIONS NANOFLUID RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS

Sample	Solution	A - B	B - C	A - C
	Initial Conductivity	9.4 - 12.5	7.8 - 11.4	86 - 101
402	1st Thaw	68 - 103	14.1 - 19.1	72 - 110
	2nd Thaw	35 - 91	14.1 - 21	105 - 145
	3rd Thaw	50 - 101	142 - 158	221 - 223
	4th Thaw	61 - 111	72 - 103	210 - 219
	5th Thaw	63 - 119	17 - 156	278 - 300
	Initial Conductivity	41 - 75	6.5 - 13.4	191 - 210
	1st Thaw	48 - 78	12.1 - 16.8	188 - 220
405	2nd Thaw	6.2 - 16.5	12.5 - 17.1	258 - 267
405	3rd Thaw	45 - 102	30 - 96	229 - 238
	4th Thaw	49 - 113	33 - 102	238 - 246
	5th Thaw	36 - 90	52 - 105	248 - 259
	Initial Conductivity	11.2 - 15.7	8.6 - 13.2	183 - 200
	1st Thaw	58 - 91	14.6 - 19.1	72 - 110
402	2nd Thaw	48 - 112	20 - 80	183 - 220
403	3rd Thaw	29 - 65	79 - 114	223 - 226
	4th Thaw	51 - 116	26 - 100	229 - 238
	5th Thaw	27 - 80	80 - 120	262 - 273
	Initial Conductivity	42 - 79	6.7 - 16.4	96 - 122
	1st Thaw	29 - 79	9.6 - 18	186 - 216
406	2nd Thaw	44 - 80	73 - 109	237 - 242
400	3rd Thaw	51 - 112	23 - 98	248 - 256
	4th Thaw	44 - 119	23 - 100	256 - 265
	5th Thaw	56 - 108	37 - 95	252 - 262
	Initial Conductivity	34 - 75	7.6 - 14.2	188 - 199
	1st Thaw	9.4 - 16.2	11.1 - 16.9	91 - 93
404	2nd Thaw	33 - 45	91 - 100	48 - 56
404	3rd Thaw	35 - 83	50 - 95	217 - 232
	4th Thaw	43 - 105	25 - 92	233 - 240
	5th Thaw	47 - 92	56 - 115	256 - 265
	Initial Conductivity	45 - 91	8.9 - 12.8	35 - 79
	1st Thaw	8.5 - 17.9	18.1 - 32	101 - 120
407	2nd Thaw	46 - 95	56 - 102	244 - 157
<del>'1</del> 07	3rd Thaw	50 - 116	24 - 101	253 - 265
	4th Thaw	58 - 124	24 - 90	256 - 263
	5th Thaw	47 - 115	28 - 103	256 - 267

\*All Resistances in kilo-ohm, Resistance recorded over 10 second immersion

# APPENDIX C. PROBE ASSEMBLY—HOLLOW MULTI-WALL CARBON NANOTUBE RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS

\*All Resistances in kilo-ohm

Sample	Solution	A - B	B - C	A - C	General Notes	
	Distilled Water	99 - 160	83 - 140	267 - 305	Ultrasonication Power	39 Watts
	Distilled Water / Surf	84 - 119	94 - 106	193 - 228	Time Elapsed until Boiling	(Min:sec)
-	Inbetween Stir and Ultra	70 - 100	69 - 102	197 - 225	Sample 5	5:02
5	Distilled Water / Surf / CNT	0.75 - 1.1	0.9 - 1.32	3.8 - 4.9	Sample 6	4:59
	1st Thaw	-	-	-	Sample 7	5:31
	2nd Thaw				Sample 8	5:46
	Distilled Water	140 - 180	92 - 150	298 - 326	Freeze Time (Hours)	6+
	Distilled Water / Surf	52 - 99	24 - 66	190 - 223	1st Thaw Temp	24 °C
G	Inbetween Stir and Ultra	-	-	-		
0	Distilled Water / Surf / CNT	0.81 - 0.92	0.86 - 1.53	2.6 - 3.5		
	1st Thaw	-	-	-		
	2nd Thaw					
	Distilled Water	254 - 284	86 - 146	296 - 335		
	Distilled Water / Surf	51 - 92	41 - 97	198 - 245		
7	Inbetween Stir and Ultra	76 - 107	25 - 81	190 - 234	- Conductivity Test inbetween Mech	Stir and
	Distilled Water / Surf / CNT	0.56 - 0.62	0.78 - 0.84	0.98 - 1.26	Ultrasonication Test not conducted du	ue to
	1st Thaw	-	-	-	human error	
	Distilled Water	223 - 283	84 - 142	302 - 343	- Heavy agglomeration in all samples	after first
	Distilled Water / Surf	41 - 98	95 - 120	197 - 232	thaw. Testing Discontinued.	
8	Inbetween Stir and Ultra	49 - 96	22 - 79	183 - 228		
	Distilled Water / Surf / CNT	0.90 - 0.97	1.2 - 1.46	2.56 - 7.1		
	1st Thaw	-	-	-		

#### **Measurement Explanation**

- All Resistances in  $k\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ 

- 10 sec Elapsed for Recorded Readings
  - First Number Initial Reading when leads were clamped
  - Second Number Reading when 10 seconds elapsed
  - All readings increased Capacitive effects
- Mechanical Stirring for 30 min prior to Ultrasonication
- Ultrasonication only conducted for solutions with CNT's

<u>Loading</u>	<u>Weight %</u>	<b>Dimension</b>
Surfactant	3.0	0.618 mL
CNT Type	0.5	0.1005 g
Volume of Dist Water	-	20 mL

# APPENDIX D. PROBE ASSEMBLY—BAMBOO MULTI-WALL CARBON NANOTUBE RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS

Sample	Solution	A - B	B - C	A - C	General Notes	
1	Distilled Water	78 - 160	77 - 128	138 - 175	Ultrasonication Power	39 Watts
	Distilled Water / Surf	71 - 122	68 - 97	75 - 128	Time Elapsed until Boiling (Min:sec)	5:03
	Distilled Water / Surf / CNT	5.5 - 6.2	4.9 - 5.7	5.9 - 7.3	Freeze Temp	-14°C
	First Thaw	-	-	-	Freeze Time (Hours)	6
3	Distilled Water	105 - 181	78 - 170	296 - 334	1st Thaw Temp	23°C
	Distilled Water / Surf	69 - 120	91-112	103 - 136	- Heavy Agglomeration noticed at end of 1st	
	Distilled Water / Surf / CNT	6.7 - 12.7	8.4 - 12.2	8.9 - 11.8	Thaw, conductivity tests not conducted.	
	First Thaw	-	-	-	- CNT precipitated out of solution	
Sample	Solution	A - B	B - C	A - C	General Notes	
2	Distilled Water	123 - 187	109 - 169	297 - 341	Ultrasonication Power	15 Watts
	Distilled Water / Surf	81 - 121	49 - 99	102 - 133	Time Elapsed until Boiling (Min:sec)	No Boil
	Distilled Water / Surf / CNT	31 - 41	32 - 40	37 - 39	Freeze Temp	-14°C
	First Thaw	-	-	-	Freeze Time (Hours)	6
4	Distilled Water	145 - 199	82 - 176	272 - 350	1st Thaw Temp	23°C
	Distilled Water / Surf	38 - 92	93 - 108	103 - 124	- Heavy Agglomeration noticed at end of 1st	
	Distilled Water / Surf / CNT	21 - 35	39 - 47	29 - 48	Thaw, conductivity tests not conducted.	
	First Thaw	-	-	-	- CNT precipitated out of solution	

#### **Measurement Explanation**

- All Resistances in  $k\boldsymbol{\Omega}$
- 10 sec Elapsed for Recorded Readings
  - First Number Initial Reading when leads were clamped
  - Second Number Reading when 10 seconds elapsed
  - All readings increased Capacitive effects
- Mechanical Stirring for 30 min prior to Ultrasonication
- Ultrasonication only conducted for solutions with CNT's

<u>Loading</u>	<u>Weight %</u>	<b>Dimension</b>
Surfactant	3.0	0.618 mL
CNT Type	0.5	0.1005 g
Volume of Dist Water	-	20 mL
# APPENDIX E. LUNA INNOVATION NANOFLUID SURFACE AND BOTTOM RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS

	S	urface R	esistan	ce (kΩ )		
Insertion	LnW-402	LnW-403	LnW-404	LnW-405	LnW-406	LnW-407
1	11.6	11.7	9.9	5.2	6.9	8.1
2	10.8	11.4	10.1	5.7	7.3	10.1
3	10.8	11.2	12.2	5.8	9.3	9.7
4	11.2	10.0	9.6	5.9	6.9	10.2
5	11.7	10.2	10.3	6.3	7.1	9.6
6	10.2	10.8	9.8	5.5	9.2	8.8
7	10.1	11.3	12.1	6.0	7.4	11.5
8	11.4	10.7	9.9	5.7	12.2	8.7
9	13.2	11.4	10.5	5.8	7.0	9.6
10	10.3	12.8	10.1	5.3	8.2	8.3
11	10.4	10.5	10.3	5.1	11.3	9.0
12	11.3	11.1	9.9	6.3	8.6	10.0
13	10.5	11.3	9.8	6.8	10.6	8.7
14	12.8	11.0	9.9	6.1	7.1	7.9
15	10.9	11.1	10.5	5.9	7.7	8.4
Mean	11.15	11.10	10.33	5.83	8.45	9.24
Std Dev	0.91	0.66	0.79	0.45	1.73	0.98

		Bottom I	Resistan	ce(kΩ)		
Insertion	LnW-402	LnW-403	LnW-404	LnW-405	LnW-406	LnW-407
1	8.3	7.5	6.1	4.4	5.5	6.2
2	8.1	7.5	6.6	4.2	5.3	5.9
3	7.8	8.1	6.0	3.8	4.8	6.4
4	8.2	7.0	6.4	3.9	4.9	6.0
5	7.9	7.3	6.6	3.9	5.0	5.7
6	8.0	6.7	6.5	4.1	4.9	5.9
7	7.4	6.8	6.2	3.8	4.5	6.1
8	8.0	7.1	6.3	3.9	4.7	5.0
9	8.2	7.3	5.9	4.0	5.1	6.1
10	7.9	7.0	6.4	3.7	4.6	5.5
11	8.2	7.3	6.2	3.6	4.7	5.8
12	7.4	7.1	6.1	3.9	5.0	5.6
13	7.6	6.4	6.2	3.6	4.6	5.7
14	7.5	6.6	6.0	4.1	5.1	5.1
15	7.7	7.0	6.4	3.7	4.8	6.0
Mean	7.88	7.11	6.26	3.91	4.90	5.80
Std Dev	0.30	0.42	0.22	0.23	0.28	0.39

# APPENDIX F. MULTIMETER LEAD ORIENTATION RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS

Sample	Trial	0°	90°	180°	270°
	1	7.8	7.9	7.8	7.6
402	2	7.6	7.8	7.8	7.5
	3	7.7	7.4	7.4	7.5
	1	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5
405	2	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.8
	3	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.9

# APPENDIX G. NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL NANOFLUID RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS

					N	WCNT -	LDS vs.	Igepal Ir	nitial Te	sting						
						(10	E BATH	DURING	U/S)							
0.2% CNT			S	ample #	1 - LDS						S	ample #	2 - Igepa			
3.0% Surf	DW (MΩ)	DW / LDS	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw	DW (MΩ)	DW/Ige	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw
1	0.33	12.3	14.1	8.1	9.7	6.7	7.2	8.4	0.29	32.8	32.8	25.9	27.1	34.4	28.4	26.7
2	0.36	12.1	16.7	9.5	8.8	6.2	6.4	6.7	0.14	34.3	38.6	27.9	34.6	30.0	34.4	25.6
3	0.37	12.4	14.8	9.6	9.0	6.1	6.1	9.1	0.22	38.9	39.6	27.1	31.0	24.5	23.8	34.5
4	0.36	13.4	11.5	10.1	8.0	6.7	5.9	4.8	0.11	38.2	36.0	29.2	42.1	25.3	28.8	21.4
5	0.42	13.6	12.8	9.1	8.6	5.9	5.4	5.5	0.20	41.9	39.5	35.6	35.7	34.0	34.7	23.4
6	0.33	14.4	10.1	8.0	8.7	8.8	7.1	6.3	0.18	42.5	40.0	36.7	30.4	28.0	28.5	24.1
7	0.30	14.7	11.6	7.4	9.2	7.8	6.6	6.7	0.21	36.3	34.6	31.7	28.6	33.7	28.0	25.3
8	0.34	14.0	11.4	7.0	7.9	6.5	7.1	7.3	0.22	32.4	42.2	34.5	29.7	31.2	31.5	22.6
9	0.32	13.5	12.6	7.2	8.0	7.4	7.3	7.8	0.25	39.6	35.0	31.5	29.1	28.5	31.3	23.1
10	0.32	12.1	10.6	8.0	7.1	7.2	5.7	3.9	0.12	39.8	36.9	26.2	32.5	24.6	30.9	30.8
11	0.34	12.4	10.4	9.1	7.5	7.9	5.1	6.6	0.17	44.1	36.6	27.5	30.3	25.3	35.5	23.1
12	0.39	14.3	10.0	6.6	8.0	6.0	8.5	7.0	0.21	40.5	42.3	31.9	33.1	34.1	33.4	28.0
13	0.31	13.7	12.9	7.0	8.1	6.9	7.4	9.3	0.13	40.6	39.4	32.5	27.1	28.4	32.9	32.0
14	0.33	14.1	12.3	11.1	8.6	7.4	8.7	8.3	0.16	44.1	34.7	26.5	28.4	37.0	29.2	25.4
15	0.33	15.1	11.8	10.1	9.3	7.1	6.5	9.3	0.18	38.3	37.5	30.7	29.1	34.9	33.2	30.5
Mean	0.34	13.47	12.24	8.53	8.43	6.97	6.73	7.13	0.19	38.95	37.71	30.36	31.25	30.26	30.97	26.43
Std Dev	0.03	1.00	1.86	1.38	0.71	0.81	1.04	1.62	0.05	3.69	2.83	3.52	3.93	4.24	3.18	3.91
Notes			1	st Thaw - L	ight Sedin	ent at bot	tom		1st T	'haw - Light S	ediment a	t bottom				
- Hollow MWC	NT, 20 mL	Sample	2	2nd Thaw -	Small Agg	lomerates	and Sedin	nent at Bot	tom2nd T	haw - Small A	Agglomera	tes and Se	diment at	Bottom		
- All Resistanc	es in k-Oh	m	3	Brd Thaw -	Small Aggl	omerates	and Sedim	ent at Bot	tom 3rd T	haw - Small A	Agglomera	tes and Se	diment at	Bottom		
- Ultrasonicate	ed at 15W i	for 15 Min, Sam	ple at 21°0	2 4th Thaw	- Small Ag	glomerate	es and Sed	iment at B	ottom 4th	Thaw - Medi	ium Aggloi	merates ar	nd Sedime	nt		
- 0.2% vol CN1	T (0.04 g)		1	5th Thaw -	Small Agg	omerates	and Sedin	nent at Bot	tom 5th T	haw - Mediu	m and Larg	e Agglome	erates and	Sediment		
- 3.0% Surfact	ant (0.6 g)															

r																
					S	WCNT -	LDS vs.	lgepal In	itial Tes	sting						
						(10	E BATH	DURING	i U/S)							
0.2%/ CNT			S	ample #	3 - LDS						S	ample #	4 - Igepa			
0.2% CNT	DW			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	DW			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
5.0% Sull	(MΩ)	DW / LDS	Initial	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	(MΩ)	DW/lge	Initial	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw
1	0.23	9.3	6.3	10.4	10.5	6.9	6.9	5.7	0.21	29.9	31.8	25.2	27.1	29.9		
2	0.32	12.0	6.5	6.4	11.5	8.5	6.7	5.3	0.22	33.0	35.5	29.1	27.2	29.6		
3	0.22	12.5	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.8	5.6	4.5	0.26	37.6	32.0	29.7	29.9	28.7		
4	0.28	14.6	6.0	7.7	10.2	6.5	8.6	5.2	0.24	36.5	41.3	30.8	33.2	32.2		
5	0.21	15.1	6.4	9.4	9.3	6.0	7.8	5.9	0.23	38.5	36.6	31.0	31.6	22.1		
6	0.22	14.0	5.3	7.2	10.6	7.4	8.2	7.2	0.30	39.6	30.7	29.4	29.2	23.7		
7	0.25	15.3	5.5	8.5	9.9	8.3	8.7	8.0	0.28	37.0	42.4	29.5	30.6	25.8	Comple	Unstable
8	0.24	12.6	5.7	9.1	10.1	8.1	8.1	8.5	0.21	31.2	41.7	30.3	35.0	27.9	Sample	Unstable
9	0.19	14.5	6.3	10.5	10.2	10.0	7.9	9.3	0.19	33.1	35.0	32.5	29.2	30.1		ting
10	0.28	15.8	5.8	11.1	9.4	8.5	8.7	7.6	0.31	34.7	43.1	31.7	31.8	30.4	Discor	stinued
11	0.23	12.2	5.7	7.5	11.0	7.1	8.9	9.0	0.24	34.0	37.8	33.7	29.6	29.4	DISCOI	linueu
12	0.22	14.7	6.0	8.5	11.2	11.5	9.6	8.0	0.22	35.5	42.5	33.0	30.0	28.0		
13	0.20	14.1	6.3	9.6	10.6	10.6	9.8	7.8	0.23	34.8	35.0	30.2	30.3	32.7		
14	0.18	13.0	5.2	9.9	10.4	9.1	9.3	8.6	0.22	35.1	29.9	30.8	27.4	30.6		
15	0.25	14.6	6.1	7.5	11.0	7.6	9.0	8.3	0.19	32.3	31.2	31.6	31.0	33.4		
Mean	0.23	13.62	6.01	8.69	10.21	8.26	8.25	7.26	0.24	34.86	36.43	30.57	30.21	28.97		
Std Dev	0.04	1.69	0.50	1.44	1.03	1.53	1.15	1.54	0.04	2.71	4.78	2.01	2.18	3.15		
Notes			1st	: Thaw - Lig	ght Sedime	ent at botto	om			1st Thaw	- Light Se	diment at	bottom			
- SWCNT, 20 m	nL Sample		2n	d Thaw - L	ight Sedim	ent at bot	tom			2nd Tha	w - Light se	diment at	Bottom			
- All Resistance	es in k-Oh	m	3r	d Thaw - Si	mall Agglo	merates a	nd Sedime	nt at Botto	m	3rd Thav	v - Sample	Unstable -	Layered A	ppearance	e	
- Ultrasonicate	ed at 15W	for 15 Min, , Sai	mple at 21 <sup>o</sup>	°C 4th Tha	w - Small /	Agglomera	ites and Se	diment at	Bottom		- Heavy	Sedimen	t at Botton	n		
- 0.2% vol CN	T (0.04 g)			5th Thaw	- Small Ag	glomerate	s and Sedi	ment at Bo	ottom							
- 3.0% Surfact	ant (0.6 g)															

					M	IWCNT -	LDS vs.	Igepal Ir	nitial Te	sting						
						(10	E BATH	DURING	i U/S)							
0.1% CNT			S	ample #	5 - LDS						S	ample #6	6 - Igepa	I		
3.0% Surf	DW		Initial	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	DW	DW//Ico	Initial	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
0.070 04.1	(MΩ)	DW / LDS	initial	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	(MΩ)	Dw/ige	initial	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw
1	0.26	9.7	8.9	8.0	11.1	10.7	9.2	5.7	0.21	28.2	34.1	27.8	24.2	36.0	23.8	22.0
2	0.33	12.7	7.9	6.3	8.3	11.1	9.7	6.3	0.29	28.8	32.7	28.9	26.6	31.5	24.0	26.3
3	0.21	12.9	8.8	6.5	7.8	12.1	7.2	7.5	0.33	29.5	35.7	35.4	32.5	28.0	27.2	23.5
4	0.31	14.2	10.0	7.1	10.3	10.6	7.4	4.0	0.28	36.5	35.6	25.4	29.1	28.8	23.6	25.2
5	0.40	15.8	10.1	7.9	8.6	9.1	10.2	6.4	0.21	32.1	39.5	28.6	29.6	39.9	24.7	23.9
6	0.36	17.3	9.2	9.1	11.1	10.8	8.6	7.0	0.19	35.7	37.1	40.1	27.1	30.9	27.3	35.2
7	0.37	14.2	9.1	8.0	9.3	9.8	8.0	7.8	0.20	35.8	40.4	28.1	27.9	29.2	30.9	20.4
8	0.25	15.9	10.8	7.7	9.9	10.5	8.6	6.7	0.27	37.0	37.0	27.3	31.3	29.8	28.9	20.6
9	0.26	16.6	9.6	8.1	10.0	8.6	7.8	8.1	0.23	34.3	40.1	29.9	23.4	27.1	30.9	22.0
10	0.25	16.4	9.8	9.2	11.0	10.8	8.8	6.6	0.20	36.4	37.5	28.0	22.6	32.2	29.7	24.1
11	0.22	14.0	7.8	8.6	10.3	11.5	9.1	9.0	0.22	34.6	32.7	30.6	26.5	23.8	24.7	21.8
12	0.20	17.0	11.3	7.5	10.8	8.8	9.0	8.0	0.28	41.2	34.7	28.5	25.0	33.4	27.8	26.1
13	0.28	15.9	10.4	10.5	10.2	8.9	9.4	8.6	0.21	39.0	36.6	27.8	28.0	29.8	31.8	25.0
14	0.29	15.5	9.8	7.9	8.5	9.5	9.1	7.0	0.19	40.5	39.1	34.7	34.0	29.6	31.3	29.9
15	0.25	16.3	11.3	8.7	10.6	9.9	8.2	8.9	0.22	38.8	35.2	31.6	32.6	28.1	33.2	24.4
Mean	0.28	14.96	9.65	8.07	9.85	10.18	8.69	7.17	0.24	35.23	36.53	30.18	28.03	30.54	24.70	24.69
Std Dev	0.06	2.04	1.07	1.07	1.09	1.05	0.84	1.33	0.04	4.07	2.49	3.84	3.49	3.83	3.26	3.81
Notes				1st Thaw -	Small Agg	lomerates	at bottom			1st Th	aw - Light :	Sedimenta	at Bottom			
- Hollow MWC	NT, 20 mL	Sample		2nd Thaw	- Small Ag	glomerate	s at bottor	n		2nd T	haw - Very	/ small agg	lomerates	at Bottom		
- All Resistanc	es in k-Oh	m		3rd Thaw	- Small Ag	glomerate	s at bottor	n		3rd T	haw - Very	/ small agg	lomerates	at Bottom		
- Ultrasonicate	ed at 15W f	for 15 Min, , Sar	mple at 21°	C 4th Tha	w - Mediu	m and Sma	all Agglom	erates and	Suspensi	ons 4th	Thaw - Ve	ry small ag	glomerate	s at Botto	m	
- 0.1% vol CN1	Г (0.02 g)			5th Thaw	- Large Ag	glomerate	s and Susp	ensions a	t Bottom	5th Th	naw - Very	small aggl	omerates	at Bottom		
- 3.0% Surfact	ant (0.6 g)															

SWCNT - LDS vs. Igepal Initial Testing (ICE BATH DURING U/S) Sample #7 - LDS Sample #8 - Igepal 0.1% CNT DW 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th DW 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 3.0% Surf DW / LDS DW/ Ige Initial Initial (MΩ) Thaw Thaw Thaw Thaw Thaw (MΩ) Thaw Thaw Thaw Thaw Thaw 0.21 9.2 5.5 12.9 12.2 9.5 7.1 7.1 0.25 28.2 43.0 27.4 30.4 25.5 0.19 11.3 5.2 12.0 12.9 9.3 9.6 7.7 0.26 32.2 45.9 30.2 26.7 25.3 2 3 0.25 12.0 6.0 14.5 9.7 9.4 79 7.1 0.30 31.3 44.2 29.5 28.7 29.9 4 0.18 12.4 6.3 13.6 11.3 9.8 9.2 7.5 0.29 37.0 39.2 27.8 26.5 22.6 45.7 5 0.19 12.2 5.8 12.3 12.1 9.7 10.2 7.7 0.32 33.0 29.2 24.7 25.0 0.26 11.4 5.4 13.1 11.1 10.6 8.8 9.3 0.25 38.5 41.8 32.2 29.3 26.4 6 7 0.27 14.8 5.7 9.7 12.4 11.2 9.0 0.24 33.4 35.2 34.8 27.4 23.8 6.1 Sample Unstable 8 0.19 13.9 4.9 12.9 13.0 11.1 8.3 9.1 0.28 33.9 37.0 33.8 29.1 25.9 0.20 13.6 5.4 9.6 11.9 9.7 8.5 0.29 41.7 26.8 26.8 9 11.3 31.9 29.2 Testing 5.7 9.6 10 0.22 17.1 10.6 11.8 10.8 11.3 0.25 32.8 33.5 27.6 29.5 22.1 Discontinued 4.9 0.26 11 0.19 14.0 12.1 12.0 10.7 9.7 10.8 37.1 40.4 32.7 32.1 22.6 12 0.20 16.6 6.4 13.8 11.5 9.1 10.5 9.9 0.24 39.1 36.2 30.3 28.9 28.9 0.19 6.2 11.0 0.18 13 16.7 13.1 11.3 11.1 9.6 41.6 47.1 31.3 33.6 26.2 14 0.29 14.4 5.1 11.2 14.2 10.4 11.6 7.2 0.28 35.3 39.5 33.9 32.4 28.9 15 0.24 13.5 5.2 10.9 12.9 11 3 8.2 9.4 0.27 33.5 38.0 36.1 30.5 26.5 Mear 0.22 13.54 5.58 12.15 12.02 10.35 9.19 8.73 0.26 34.59 40.56 31.07 29.11 25.76 Std Dev 0.04 2.21 0.49 1.48 1.04 0.80 1.50 1.31 0.03 3.49 4.14 2.45 2.75 2.35 Notes 1st Thaw - Light Sediment at Bottom 1st Thaw - Light Sediment at Bottom SWCNT, 20 mL Sample 2nd Thaw - Light Sediment at Bottom 2nd Thaw - Heavier Sediment Layer at Bottm All Resistances in k-Ohm 3rd Thaw - Light Sediment at Bottom 3rd Thaw - Sample Unstable - Layered Apperance Ultrasonicated at 15W for 15 Min, , Sample at 21°C 4th Thaw - Light Sediment at Bottom - Heavy Sediment at Bottom 0.1% vol CNT (0.02 g) 5th Thaw - Light Sediment at Bottom 3.0% Surfactant (0.6 g)

Sample #9 - LDS         Sample #10 - Igepal           0.02% CNT 3.0% Surf         DW (MQ)         DW/LDS         Initial Thaw         1st Thaw         2nd Thaw         3rd Thaw         4th Thaw         5th Thaw         DW/LBP         Initial Initial         1st Thaw         7rd Thaw         4th Thaw         5th Thaw         DW/LBP         Initial         1st Thaw         7rd Thaw         4th Thaw         5th Thaw         DW/LBP         Initial         1st Thaw         7rd Thaw         4th Thaw         5th Thaw         Thaw						N	IWCNT -	LDS vs.	lgepal Ir	nitial Te	sting						
3.0% Surf         DW (M0)         DW / LDS         Initial         1st Thaw         2nd Thaw         3rd Thaw         4th Thaw         5th Thaw         DW (M0)         DW/ / lge (M0)         Initial         1st Thaw         2nd Thaw         3rd Thaw         4th Thaw         5th Thaw         Thaw	0.2% CNT			Si	ample #	9 - LDS						Sa	mple #1	0 - Igep	al		
1       0.61       10.9       3.2       10.1       7.3       6.0       7.9       4.8       0.71       38.8       17.2       24.8       24.4       24.0       23.5       34.9         2       0.62       8.2       1.7       9.7       5.6       2.5       6.6       4.6       0.65       36.4       17.9       29.6       29.8       22.4       21.8       24.5         3       0.70       7.9       2.8       8.3       7.7       1.9       6.8       2.9       0.69       40.0       16.0       28.5       32.2       26.0       28.2       31.2         4       0.69       9.1       1.5       7.7       5.7       3.1       9.2       4.4       0.80       34.5       14.0       24.4       33.6       23.7       26.8       26.4         5       0.72       8.6       1.4       7.3       4.5       0.6       8.6       5.1       0.65       35.0       15.7       31.4       31.1       21.5       25.2       27.1         6       0.73       9.3       3.0       9.2       6.4       5.8       6.7       7.4       6.1       0.65       35.9       12.3       31.8 <td< th=""><th>3.0% Surf</th><th>DW (MΩ)</th><th>DW / LDS</th><th>Initial</th><th>1st Thaw</th><th>2nd Thaw</th><th>3rd Thaw</th><th>4th Thaw</th><th>5th Thaw</th><th>DW (MΩ)</th><th>DW/ Ige</th><th>Initial</th><th>1st Thaw</th><th>2nd Thaw</th><th>3rd Thaw</th><th>4th Thaw</th><th>5th Thaw</th></td<>	3.0% Surf	DW (MΩ)	DW / LDS	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw	DW (MΩ)	DW/ Ige	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw
2       0.62       8.2       1.7       9.7       5.6       2.5       6.6       4.6       0.65       36.4       17.9       29.6       29.8       22.4       21.8       24.5         3       0.70       7.9       2.8       8.3       7.7       1.9       6.8       2.9       0.69       40.0       16.0       28.5       32.2       26.0       28.2       31.2         4       0.69       9.1       1.5       7.7       5.7       3.1       9.2       4.4       0.80       34.5       14.0       24.4       33.6       23.7       26.8       26.4         5       0.72       8.6       1.4       7.3       4.5       0.66       8.6       5.1       0.65       35.0       15.7       31.4       31.1       21.5       25.2       27.1         6       0.73       9.3       3.0       9.2       6.4       5.8       9.1       4.5       0.72       36.0       12.3       31.8       28.1       28.9       29.8       26.5         7       0.65       9.2       3.1       8.0       7.1       8.7       7.4       6.1       0.65       35.9       12.3       31.8       28.1 <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.61</td><td>10.9</td><td>3.2</td><td>10.1</td><td>7.3</td><td>6.0</td><td>7.9</td><td>4.8</td><td>0.71</td><td>38.8</td><td>17.2</td><td>24.8</td><td>24.4</td><td>24.0</td><td>23.5</td><td>34.9</td></td<>	1	0.61	10.9	3.2	10.1	7.3	6.0	7.9	4.8	0.71	38.8	17.2	24.8	24.4	24.0	23.5	34.9
3       0.70       7.9       2.8       8.3       7.7       1.9       6.8       2.9       0.69       40.0       16.0       28.5       32.2       26.0       28.2       31.2         4       0.69       9.1       1.5       7.7       5.7       3.1       9.2       4.4       0.80       34.5       14.0       24.4       33.6       23.7       26.8       26.4         5       0.72       8.6       1.4       7.3       4.5       0.6       8.6       5.1       0.65       35.0       15.7       31.4       31.1       21.5       25.2       27.1         6       0.73       9.3       3.0       9.2       6.4       5.8       9.1       4.5       0.72       36.0       12.3       31.4       31.1       21.5       25.6       24.2       26.5         7       0.65       9.2       3.1       8.0       7.1       6.1       6.4       6.2       0.60       37.0       17.0       32.0       29.3       21.4       22.6       29.4         8       0.71       9.4       2.0       7.6       8.5       6.7       7.4       6.1       0.65       35.9       12.3       31.8	2	0.62	8.2	1.7	9.7	5.6	2.5	6.6	4.6	0.65	36.4	17.9	29.6	29.8	22.4	21.8	24.5
4       0.69       9.1       1.5       7.7       5.7       3.1       9.2       4.4       0.80       34.5       14.0       24.4       33.6       23.7       26.8       26.4         5       0.72       8.6       1.4       7.3       4.5       0.6       8.6       5.1       0.65       35.0       15.7       31.4       31.1       21.5       25.2       27.1         6       0.73       9.3       3.0       9.2       6.4       5.8       9.1       4.5       0.72       36.0       12.3       33.2       33.9       23.6       27.2       26.5         7       0.65       9.2       3.1       8.0       7.1       6.1       6.4       6.2       0.60       37.0       17.0       32.0       29.3       21.4       22.6       29.4         8       0.71       9.4       2.0       7.6       8.5       6.7       7.4       6.1       0.65       35.9       12.3       31.8       28.1       28.9       29.8       26.5         9       0.67       10.8       2.5       7.8       8.7       9.8       6.7       0.71       37.6       15.8       25.6       34.4       26.4 <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>0.70</td><td>7.9</td><td>2.8</td><td>8.3</td><td>7.7</td><td>1.9</td><td>6.8</td><td>2.9</td><td>0.69</td><td>40.0</td><td>16.0</td><td>28.5</td><td>32.2</td><td>26.0</td><td>28.2</td><td>31.2</td></t<>	3	0.70	7.9	2.8	8.3	7.7	1.9	6.8	2.9	0.69	40.0	16.0	28.5	32.2	26.0	28.2	31.2
5       0.72       8.6       1.4       7.3       4.5       0.6       8.6       5.1       0.65       35.0       15.7       31.4       31.1       21.5       25.2       27.1         6       0.73       9.3       3.0       9.2       6.4       5.8       9.1       4.5       0.72       36.0       12.3       33.2       33.9       23.6       27.2       26.5         7       0.65       9.2       3.1       8.0       7.1       6.1       6.4       6.2       0.60       37.0       17.0       32.0       29.3       21.4       22.6       29.4         8       0.71       9.4       2.0       7.6       8.5       6.7       7.4       6.1       0.65       35.9       12.3       31.8       28.1       28.9       28.8       26.5         9       0.67       10.8       2.5       7.8       7.7       7.1       8.7       9.8       6.7       0.71       37.6       15.8       25.6       34.4       26.4       31.9       26.5         10       0.70       9.9       3.4       7.7       7.8       8.7       9.8       6.7       0.71       37.6       15.8       25.6 <td< td=""><td>4</td><td>0.69</td><td>9.1</td><td>1.5</td><td>7.7</td><td>5.7</td><td>3.1</td><td>9.2</td><td>4.4</td><td>0.80</td><td>34.5</td><td>14.0</td><td>24.4</td><td>33.6</td><td>23.7</td><td>26.8</td><td>26.4</td></td<>	4	0.69	9.1	1.5	7.7	5.7	3.1	9.2	4.4	0.80	34.5	14.0	24.4	33.6	23.7	26.8	26.4
6       0.73       9.3       3.0       9.2       6.4       5.8       9.1       4.5       0.72       36.0       12.3       33.2       33.9       23.6       27.2       26.5         7       0.65       9.2       3.1       8.0       7.1       6.1       6.4       6.2       0.60       37.0       17.0       32.0       29.3       21.4       22.6       29.4         8       0.71       9.4       2.0       7.6       8.5       6.7       7.4       6.1       0.65       35.9       12.3       31.8       28.1       28.9       29.8       26.5         9       0.67       10.8       2.5       7.8       7.7       7.1       8.7       9.8       6.7       0.71       37.6       15.8       25.6       34.4       26.4       31.9       26.3         10       0.70       9.9       3.4       7.7       7.8       8.7       9.8       6.7       0.71       37.6       15.8       25.6       34.4       26.4       31.9       26.3         11       0.93       11.5       2.2       7.8       8.9       8.9       8.2       4.8       0.75       38.7       17.1       30.7       <	5	0.72	8.6	1.4	7.3	4.5	0.6	8.6	5.1	0.65	35.0	15.7	31.4	31.1	21.5	25.2	27.1
7       0.65       9.2       3.1       8.0       7.1       6.1       6.4       6.2       0.60       37.0       17.0       32.0       29.3       21.4       22.6       29.4         8       0.71       9.4       2.0       7.6       8.5       6.7       7.4       6.1       0.65       35.9       12.3       31.8       28.1       28.9       29.8       26.5         9       0.67       10.8       2.5       7.8       7.7       7.1       8.7       5.2       0.66       36.3       15.5       26.6       26.3       26.0       31.6       27.7         10       0.70       9.9       3.4       7.7       7.8       8.7       9.8       4.8       0.75       38.7       17.1       30.7       31.2       23.2       32.9       24.2         11       0.93       11.5       2.2       7.8       8.9       8.9       8.2       4.8       0.75       38.7       17.1       30.7       31.2       23.2       32.9       24.2         12       0.73       12.5       2.7       7.4       8.7       8.0       9.1       5.6       0.70       40.1       13.2       31.9       27.5	6	0.73	9.3	3.0	9.2	6.4	5.8	9.1	4.5	0.72	36.0	12.3	33.2	33.9	23.6	27.2	26.5
8       0.71       9.4       2.0       7.6       8.5       6.7       7.4       6.1       0.65       35.9       12.3       31.8       28.1       28.9       29.8       26.5         9       0.67       10.8       2.5       7.8       7.7       7.1       8.7       5.2       0.66       36.3       15.5       26.6       26.3       26.0       31.6       27.7         10       0.70       9.9       3.4       7.7       7.8       8.7       9.8       6.7       0.71       37.6       15.8       26.6       26.3       26.4       31.9       26.3       29.9       24.8       26.3         11       0.93       11.5       2.2       7.8       8.9       8.9       8.2       4.8       0.70       40.1       13.2       31.9       27.5       28.6       27.5       28.2         12       0.73       12.5       2.7       7.4       8.7       8.0       9.1       5.6       0.70       40.1       13.2       31.9       27.5       28.6       27.5       28.2         13       0.80       10.3       2.6       9.5       9.0       7.2       8.4       6.0       0.62       42.4	7	0.65	9.2	3.1	8.0	7.1	6.1	6.4	6.2	0.60	37.0	17.0	32.0	29.3	21.4	22.6	29.4
9       0.67       10.8       2.5       7.8       7.7       7.1       8.7       5.2       0.66       36.3       15.5       26.6       26.3       26.0       31.6       27.7         10       0.70       9.9       3.4       7.7       7.8       8.7       9.8       6.7       0.71       37.6       15.8       25.6       34.4       26.4       31.9       26.3         11       0.93       11.5       2.2       7.8       8.9       8.9       8.2       4.8       0.75       38.7       17.1       30.7       31.2       23.2       32.9       24.2         12       0.73       12.5       2.7       7.4       8.7       8.0       9.1       5.6       0.70       40.1       13.2       31.9       27.5       28.6       27.5       28.2         13       0.80       10.3       2.6       9.5       9.0       7.2       8.4       6.6       0.62       42.4       17.0       27.9       38.1       24.5       26.2       28.9         14       0.63       11.3       3.0       7.6       9.4       6.1       10.0       5.4       0.64       35.2       17.2       30.6       28.8	8	0.71	9.4	2.0	7.6	8.5	6.7	7.4	6.1	0.65	35.9	12.3	31.8	28.1	28.9	29.8	26.5
10       0.70       9.9       3.4       7.7       7.8       8.7       9.8       6.7       0.71       37.6       15.8       25.6       34.4       26.4       31.9       26.3         11       0.93       11.5       2.2       7.8       8.9       8.9       8.2       4.8       0.75       38.7       17.1       30.7       31.2       23.2       32.9       24.2         12       0.73       12.5       2.7       7.4       8.7       8.0       9.1       5.6       0.70       40.1       13.2       31.9       27.5       28.6       27.5       28.2         13       0.80       10.3       2.6       9.5       9.0       7.2       8.4       6.0       0.62       42.4       17.0       27.9       38.1       24.5       26.2       28.9         14       0.63       11.3       3.0       7.6       9.4       6.1       10.0       5.4       0.65       35.2       17.2       30.6       28.8       25.6       27.6       27.4         15       0.71       9.2       3.1       7.8       8.6       7.8       9.2       5.2       0.65       35.2       17.2       30.6       28.8	9	0.67	10.8	2.5	7.8	7.7	7.1	8.7	5.2	0.66	36.3	15.5	26.6	26.3	26.0	31.6	27.7
11       0.93       11.5       2.2       7.8       8.9       8.9       8.2       4.8       0.75       38.7       17.1       30.7       31.2       23.2       32.9       24.2         12       0.73       12.5       2.7       7.4       8.7       8.0       9.1       5.6       0.70       40.1       13.2       31.9       27.5       28.6       27.5       28.6       27.5       28.6       28.9         13       0.80       10.3       2.6       9.5       9.0       7.2       8.4       6.0       0.62       42.4       17.0       27.9       38.1       24.5       26.2       28.9         14       0.63       11.3       3.0       7.6       9.4       6.1       10.0       5.4       0.64       35.4       15.5       35.2       24.9       27.9       24.1         15       0.71       9.2       3.1       7.8       8.6       7.8       9.2       5.2       0.65       35.2       17.2       30.6       28.8       25.6       27.6       27.4         Mean       0.71       9.87       2.55       8.23       7.53       5.77       8.36       5.17       0.68       37.29 </td <td>10</td> <td>0.70</td> <td>9.9</td> <td>3.4</td> <td>7.7</td> <td>7.8</td> <td>8.7</td> <td>9.8</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>0.71</td> <td>37.6</td> <td>15.8</td> <td>25.6</td> <td>34.4</td> <td>26.4</td> <td>31.9</td> <td>26.3</td>	10	0.70	9.9	3.4	7.7	7.8	8.7	9.8	6.7	0.71	37.6	15.8	25.6	34.4	26.4	31.9	26.3
12       0.73       12.5       2.7       7.4       8.7       8.0       9.1       5.6       0.70       40.1       13.2       31.9       27.5       28.6       27.5       28.2         13       0.80       10.3       2.6       9.5       9.0       7.2       8.4       6.0       0.62       42.4       17.0       27.9       38.1       24.5       26.2       28.9         14       0.63       11.3       3.0       7.6       9.4       6.1       10.0       5.4       0.65       35.2       17.2       38.1       24.5       26.2       28.9         15       0.71       9.2       3.1       7.8       8.6       7.8       9.2       0.65       35.2       17.2       3.06       28.8       25.6       27.6       27.9         Std Dev       0.08       1.31       0.64       0.92       1.44       2.56       1.13       0.93       0.05       2.27       1.83       3.22       3.80       2.40       3.29       2.81         Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable       111111111111111111111111111111111111	11	0.93	11.5	2.2	7.8	8.9	8.9	8.2	4.8	0.75	38.7	17.1	30.7	31.2	23.2	32.9	24.2
13       0.80       10.3       2.6       9.5       9.0       7.2       8.4       6.0       0.62       42.4       17.0       27.9       38.1       24.5       26.2       28.9         14       0.63       11.3       3.0       7.6       9.4       6.1       10.0       5.4       0.64       35.4       15.5       35.2       24.9       27.9       27.9       24.1         15       0.71       9.2       3.1       7.8       8.6       7.8       9.2       5.2       0.65       35.2       17.2       30.6       28.8       25.6       27.6       27.3         Mean       0.71       9.87       2.55       8.23       7.53       5.77       8.36       5.17       0.68       37.29       15.8       29.61       30.24       24.91       27.38       27.38       27.55         Std Dev       0.08       1.31       0.64       0.92       1.44       2.56       1.13       0.93       0.05       2.27       1.83       3.22       3.80       2.40       3.29       2.81         Notes       Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable       Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable       1st Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom       2nd Thaw - Small Agglome	12	0.73	12.5	2.7	7.4	8.7	8.0	9.1	5.6	0.70	40.1	13.2	31.9	27.5	28.6	27.5	28.2
14       0.63       11.3       3.0       7.6       9.4       6.1       10.0       5.4       0.64       35.4       15.5       35.2       24.9       27.9       27.9       24.1         15       0.71       9.2       3.1       7.8       8.6       7.8       9.2       5.2       0.65       35.2       17.2       30.6       28.8       25.6       27.6       27.4         Mean       0.71       9.87       2.55       8.23       7.53       5.77       8.36       5.17       0.68       37.29       15.8       29.61       30.24       24.91       27.38       27.55         Std Dev       0.08       1.31       0.64       0.92       1.44       2.56       1.13       0.93       0.05       2.27       1.83       3.22       3.80       2.40       3.29       2.81         Notes       Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable       Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable       Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable       1st Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom       - Ultrasonicated at 15W         - Hollow MWCNT, 20 mL Sample       1st Thaw - Saml Agglomerates at Bottom       2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom       2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom       - Ultrasonicated at 15W         for 15 Min	13	0.80	10.3	2.6	9.5	9.0	7.2	8.4	6.0	0.62	42.4	17.0	27.9	38.1	24.5	26.2	28.9
15       0.71       9.2       3.1       7.8       8.6       7.8       9.2       5.2       0.65       35.2       17.2       30.6       28.8       25.6       27.6       27.4         Mean       0.71       9.87       2.55       8.23       7.53       5.77       8.36       5.17       0.68       37.29       15.58       29.61       30.24       24.91       27.38       27.55         Std Dev       0.08       1.31       0.64       0.92       1.44       2.56       1.13       0.93       0.05       2.27       1.83       3.22       3.80       2.40       3.29       2.81         Notes       Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable       - Ultrasonicated at 15W         - Hollow MWCNT, 20 mL Sample       1st Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom       1st Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom       2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Sediment       - Ultrasonicated at 15W         - All Resistances in k-Ohm, except DW       2nd Thaw - Saml Agglomerates and Sediment       3rd Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment       - Ultrasonicated at 15W         - 0.2% vol CNT (0.04 g)       4th Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment       4th Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sed	14	0.63	11.3	3.0	7.6	9.4	6.1	10.0	5.4	0.64	35.4	15.5	35.2	24.9	27.9	27.9	24.1
Mean         0.71         9.87         2.55         8.23         7.53         5.77         8.36         5.17         0.68         37.29         15.58         29.61         30.24         24.91         27.38         27.55           Std Dev         0.08         1.31         0.64         0.92         1.44         2.56         1.13         0.93         0.05         2.27         1.83         3.22         3.80         2.40         3.29         2.81           Notes         Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable         Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable         Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable         - Haw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom         - Ultrasonicated at 15W           - Hollow MWCNT, 20 mL Sample         1st Thaw - Small Agglomerates and Bottom         2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates and Sedimer         - Ultrasonicated at 15W           - All Resistances in k-Ohm, except DW         2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates and Sediment         3rd Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment         - Ultrasonicated at 15W           - 0.2% vol CNT (0.04g)         4th Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sedimer         3rd Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment         4th Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment	15	0.71	9.2	3.1	7.8	8.6	7.8	9.2	5.2	0.65	35.2	17.2	30.6	28.8	25.6	27.6	27.4
Std Dev         0.08         1.31         0.64         0.92         1.44         2.56         1.13         0.93         0.05         2.27         1.83         3.22         3.80         2.40         3.29         2.81           Notes         Max Temp during U/5 - 73°C, Sample Stable         - Ultrasonicated at 15W           - Hollow MWCNT, 20 mL Sample         2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom         1st Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom         - Ultrasonicated at 15W           - All Resistances in k-Ohm, except DW         2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates and Sediment         3rd Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment         - Ultrasonicated at 15W           - 0.2% vol CNT (0.04g)         4th Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment         3rd Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment         - Ultrasonicated at 15W	Mean	0.71	9.87	2.55	8.23	7.53	5.77	8.36	5.17	0.68	37.29	15.58	29.61	30.24	24.91	27.38	27.55
Notes     Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable     Max Temp during U/S - 73°C, Sample Stable       - Hollow MWCNT, 20 mL Sample     1st Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom     1st Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom       - All Resistances in k-Ohm, except DW     2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom     2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom       - Il Resistances in k-Ohm, except DW     2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom     2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates and Sediment     - Ultrasonicated at 15W       for 15 Min     3rd Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment     3rd Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment     - Ultrasonicated at 15W       - 0.2% vol CNT (0.04 g)     4th Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment     4th Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment     - Ultrasonicated at 15W	Std Dev	0.08	1.31	0.64	0.92	1.44	2.56	1.13	0.93	0.05	2.27	1.83	3.22	3.80	2.40	3.29	2.81
- Hollow MWCNT, 20 mL Sample1st Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom1st Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom- All Resistances in k-Ohm, except DW2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom- Ultrasonicated at 15Wfor 15 Min3rd Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment3rd Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment- Ultrasonicated at 15W- 0.2% vol CNT (0.04 g)4th Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment4th Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment- Ultrasonicated at 15W	Notes			Max Tem	p during	U/S - 73°C,	Sample St	able		Max	Temp durin	g U/S- 73°(	C, Sample	Stable			
- All Resistances in k-Ohm, except DW       2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates at Bottom       2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerates and Sediment       - Ultrasonicated at 15W         for 15 Min       3rd Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment       3rd Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment       - Ultrasonicated at 15W         c 0.2% vol CNT (0.04 g)       4th Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment       4th Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment       - Ultrasonicated at 15W	- Hollow MWC	NT, 20 mL	Sample	1st Thav	v - Small A	Agglomera	tes at Bott	om		1st	Thaw - Small	Agglome	ates at Bo	ottom			
for 15 Min     3rd Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment     3rd Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment       - 0.2% vol CNT (0.04 g)     4th Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment     4th Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment	- All Resistanc	es in k-Oh	m, except DW	2nd Th	aw - Smal	Agglome	rates at Bo	ttom		2n	d Thaw - Sma	II Agglom	erates and	d Sedimen	t - Ul	trasonicat	ed at 15W
- 0.2% vol CNT (0.04 g) 4th Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment 4th Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment	for 15 Min	3rd T	haw - Medium	Agglomer	ates and S	Sediment		3rd 1	Thaw - Larg	ge Agglom	erates and S	ediment					
	- 0.2% vol CN	T (0.04 g)		4th Tha	w - Mediu	ım Agglom	erates and	d Sedimen	t	4t	h Thaw - Larg	e Agglom	erates and	d Sedimen	t		
- 3.0% Surfactant (0.6 g) 5th Thaw - Medium Agglomerates and Sediment 5th Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Sediment	- 3.0% Surfact	ant (0.6 g)		5th Th	aw - Medi	um Agglor	nerates an	d Sedimer	nt	5	th Thaw - Lar	ge Agglon	nerates ar	nd Sedimer	nt		

					S	WCNT -	LDS vs. I	gepal In	itial Tes	sting						
0.2% CNT			Sa	mple #1	L1 - LDS						Sa	mple #1	2 - Igep	al		
3.0% Surf	DW (MΩ)	DW / LDS	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw	DW (MΩ)	DW/ Ige	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw
1	0.75	10.2	3.6	8.5	6.0	6.8	6.5	4.4	0.52	33.1						
2	0.80	11.1	3.5	8.8	6.5	5.1	4.3	9.8	0.55	34.8						
3	0.79	9.8	3.3	7.9	8.1	6.6	7.5	7.0	0.48	29.7						
4	0.67	12.3	3.7	6.4	8.2	7.2	7.4	8.6	0.52	29.1						
5	0.71	13.6	3.2	8.7	7.9	8.0	8.9	9.0	0.49	28.8						
6	0.64	11.5	3.3	7.8	8.3	8.9	10.5	8.5	0.48	30.3						
7	0.82	10.3	3.4	9.4	8.8	6.6	7.4	9.8	0.51	33.0						
8	0.75	12.5	3.6	10.0	8.3	7.5	7.2	7.2	0.53	32.4		Sa	mple Unst	able after	U/S	
9	0.71	14.2	3.9	9.6	8.7	9.6	8.8	9.4	0.57	34.3						
10	0.66	13.6	3.3	10.4	8.3	12.3	8.5	9.8	0.49	33.1			Testing Di	scontinue	ł	
11	0.62	13.6	3.4	8.7	8.5	8.6	10.2	5.2	0.60	34.5						
12	0.65	13.0	3.7	9.1	9.3	9.5	11.0	9.6	0.49	29.9						
13	0.68	14.0	3.9	9.5	7.2	7.1	8.1	9.0	0.48	31.0						
14	0.71	9.7	3.3	10.0	8.2	9.5	7.5	9.2	0.52	28.7						
15	0.63	10.7	3.1	9.9	8.7	9.3	9.0	9.6	0.50	28.4						
Mean	0.71	12.01	3.48	8.98	8.07	8.17	8.19	8.41	0.52	31.41	I					
Std Dev	0.06	1.62	0.25	1.05	0.88	1.76	1.69	1.71	0.04	2.30						
<u>Notes</u>			Max Tem	p during l	U/S - 70°C,	Sample St	able	Ma	ax Temp d	luring U/S- 74	1°C, Sampl	e Unstabl	e			
- SWCNT, 20 m	LSample		1st Thaw	- Light Se	diment at	bottom		-	Layered S	Separation af	ter U/S ->	Heavy sec	diment			
- All Resistance	es in k-Oh	m, excpet DW	2nd Tha	w - Light S	ediment a	t bottom			at bottor	m with small	agglomera	ates				
- Ultrasonicate	d at 15W i	for 15 Min	3rd Tha	w - Light	Sediment	at bottom										
- 0.2% vol CNT	(0.04 g)		4th Thaw	/ - Light Se	ediment at	bottom										
- 3.0% Surfacta	ant (0.6 g)		5th Thav	v - Light S	ediment a	t bottom										

					M	IWCNT -	LDS vs.	Igepal Ir	nitial Te	sting						
0.1% CNT			Sa	mple #1	L3 - LDS						Sa	mple #1	4 - Igep	al		
3.0% Surf	DW (MΩ)	DW / LDS	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw	DW (MΩ)	DW/ Ige	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw
1	0.63	9.9	4.2	10.6	6.6	6.4	5.0	7.7	0.65	32.2	10.5	30.0	23.3	20.3	25.9	28.8
2	0.66	7.1	5.1	10.2	6.8	5.5	6.5	9.1	0.67	28.4	9.8	27.7	18.8	25.6	33.3	27.5
3	0.71	9.8	4.8	7.3	8.0	7.1	5.8	9.8	0.61	28.5	10.6	32.6	24.7	21.1	29.5	37.5
4	0.56	8.4	5.3	7.8	7.5	12.1	6.6	7.9	0.60	33.4	11.1	31.2	26.6	24.9	34.9	32.5
5	0.55	8.9	3.7	9.5	8.8	8.6	8.3	9.5	0.59	31.7	14.3	29.7	23.1	26.2	28.2	29.3
6	0.59	9.2	5.5	8.0	9.5	6.2	7.2	10.4	0.71	33.8	12.3	27.6	24.6	31.3	34.5	27.1
7	0.68	9.4	4.8	7.1	6.1	6.1	8.6	8.9	0.69	32.1	12.7	28.8	26.9	27.4	26.4	36.2
8	0.62	8.6	3.6	8.9	7.1	8.0	10.3	8.8	0.68	29.3	12.3	29.6	25.6	19.4	32.0	35.3
9	0.57	9.3	4.0	8.0	9.2	7.4	9.3	12.4	0.62	36.8	12.1	33.3	27.6	22.7	34.4	32.4
10	0.54	10.0	4.7	7.7	8.9	6.8	9.1	11.0	0.65	34.7	10.9	27.8	29.1	30.7	31.7	28.0
11	0.61	9.9	4.8	7.6	9.5	5.4	7.2	9.0	0.63	35.7	11.9	27.0	25.0	29.4	28.6	29.1
12	0.70	8.4	6.0	8.5	8.5	7.5	7.1	10.4	0.70	36.8	13.6	28.4	27.2	27.3	33.0	31.5
13	0.68	7.8	5.1	8.1	8.7	8.8	10.5	12.4	0.69	32.4	12.9	27.6	29.3	23.8	31.9	27.8
14	0.55	8.8	4.8	7.9	8.3	5.6	8.1	11.8	0.59	30.1	12.1	30.8	23.2	24.0	25.8	32.1
15	0.59	9.0	2.9	8.2	9.1	6.2	8.7	10.8	0.63	32.3	13.3	32.5	28.3	31.8	31.7	33.8
Mean	0.62	8.97	4.62	8.36	8.17	7.18	7.89	9.99	0.65	32.55	12.03	29.64	25.55	25.73	30.79	31.26
Std Dev	0.06	0.82	0.81	1.02	1.10	1.73	1.59	1.49	0.04	2.73	1.26	2.05	2.78	3.96	3.17	3.36
Notes			Max Tem	np during	U/S - 72°C,	Sample S	table		Max Terr	np during U/S	- 77°C, Sar	nple Stab	le			
- Hollow MWC	NT, 20 mL	Sample	1st Thav	w - Small /	Agglomera	ates at Bot	tom		1st Thaw	- Very Small	Agglomer	ates at Bo	ottom			
- All Resistance	es in k-Oh	m, except DW	2nd Th	aw - Smal	l Agglome	rates at Bo	ottom		2nd Tha	w - Small Agg	lomerates	at Botto	m			
- Ultrasonicate	d at 15W i	for 15 Min	3rd Th	naw - Sma	II Agglome	erates at B	ottom		3rd Th	aw - Small Ag	glomerate	es at Botto	om			
- 0.1% vol CNT	(0.02 g)		4th Tha	aw - Small	Agglome	rates at Bo	ttom		4th Tha	w - Small Agg	lomerates	at Botto	m			
- 3.0% Surfacta	ant (0.6 g)		5th Th	aw - Smal	l Agglome	rates at Bo	ottom		5th Tha	w - Small Ag	glomerate	s at Botto	m			

					S	WCNT -	LDS vs. I	gepal In	itial Tes	sting						
0.1% CNT			Sa	mple #1	L5 - LDS						Sa	mple #1	6 - Igep	al		
3.0% Surf	DW (MΩ)	DW / LDS	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw	DW (MΩ)	DW/ Ige	Initial	1st Thaw	2nd Thaw	3rd Thaw	4th Thaw	5th Thaw
1	0.53	8.2	5.5	9.3	7.2	6.2	4.5	4.2	0.61	25.4						
2	0.56	9.7	6.2	8.1	6.4	7.9	4.9	5.4	0.65	34.1						
3	0.52	9.6	5.3	8.4	8.7	9.0	5.3	6.4	0.59	27.9						
4	0.57	9.5	5.8	8.5	8.0	8.1	7.1	7.2	0.57	27.8						
5	0.53	7.3	7.1	9.4	9.5	9.4	5.1	8.6	0.62	26.5						
6	0.54	8.2	6.0	9.0	8.6	9.1	7.5	9.6	0.55	30.3						
7	0.55	8.3	4.5	9.6	9.7	8.2	6.0	9.5	0.61	29.9						
8	0.59	8.0	5.1	12.2	10.0	9.3	6.5	7.2	0.60	28.4		Sa	mple Unst	able after	U/S	
9	0.63	8.2	4.8	10.0	9.0	11.7	8.5	8.6	0.70	27.7						
10	0.51	10.1	5.4	9.8	9.4	11.4	5.9	7.3	0.62	34.4			Testing Di	scontinue	ł	
11	0.65	9.4	5.3	7.8	9.3	9.6	6.0	9.1	0.64	29.2						
12	0.51	8.8	5.7	9.5	10.9	8.4	7.1	9.6	0.63	30.7						
13	0.52	9.3	5.4	11.2	10.8	10.1	7.5	10.4	0.60	28.9						
14	0.55	9.1	4.9	8.7	8.3	8.9	7.7	10.1	0.62	29.1						
15	0.60	9.0	6.2	10.4	8.7	10.5	9.5	8.0	0.59	32.7						
Mean	0.56	8.85	5.55	9.46	8.97	9.19	6.61	8.08	0.61	29.53						
Std Dev	0.04	0.78	0.65	1.17	1.21	1.40	1.41	1.79	0.04	2.59						
Notes			Max Te	mp during	g U/S - 69°C	, Sample S	Stable	N	lax Temp	during U/S- 7	2°C, Samp	le Unstab	le			
- SWCNT, 20 m	LSample		1st Tha	w - Light S	ediment a	at Bottom			- Layered	Separation a	fter U/S -:	> Heavy se	ediment			
- All Resistance	es in k-Oh	mexcept DW	2nd Th	naw - Light	Sediment	t at Botton	n		at botto	m with small	agglomer	ates				
- Ultrasonicate	d at 15W	for 15 Min	3rd T	haw - Ligh	nt Sedimer	nt at Botto	m									
- 0.1% vol CNT	Г (0.02 g)		4th Th	aw - Light	Sediment	at Bottom	n									
- 3.0% Surfact	ant (0.6 g)		5th Th	naw - Ligh	t Sedimen	t at Botton	n									

# APPENDIX H. LUNA INNOVATIONS NANOFLUID RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS

				LnW - 4	402 Resist	ance (kΩ)				
Insertion	Initial	Thaw #1	Thaw #2	Thaw #3	Thaw #4	Thaw #5	Thaw #6	Thaw #12	Re-Son	Thaw #1
1	11.2	12.3	14.0	12.0	13.5	8.5	10.0	21.6	7.6	10.2
2	10.6	11.4	14.2	12.2	12.3	8.9	9.2	17.4	8.2	13.3
3	10.9	11.7	10.8	11.7	12.6	7.8	10.2	18.4	8.5	11.0
4	10.1	10.8	12.7	13.4	13.5	8.6	9.1	21.0	8.0	11.1
5	10.5	11.8	14.9	12.6	11.7	8.3	9.3	20.9	6.4	12.7
6	11.0	12.7	11.5	11.8	12.3	8.9	9.5	22.0	7.6	9.5
7	10.9	11.7	13.8	11.5	13.4	8.4	9.6	27.9	7.7	11.0
8	10.4	12.2	11.7	11.9	13.0	8.6	10.1	23.1	8.1	10.6
9	10.8	11.6	12.1	12.6	12.9	9.1	10.4	23.7	8.0	10.1
10	11.0	11.9	13.6	12.0	12.3	9.7	10.1	19.5	7.2	11.1
11	10.6	12.7	14.1	11.3	13.0	8.6	10.2	21.9	8.4	11.7
12	12.1	11.3	12.0	13.5	12.7	8.2	9.8	23.4	8.0	12.8
13	10.4	12.1	11.5	11.7	12.6	10.1	12.5	20.8	8.9	13.5
14	9.9	13.1	11.7	12.7	11.9	9.3	9.6	19.5	6.5	15.6
15	10.6	11.4	13.7	10.9	12.8	8.6	10.0	22.1	6.8	13.4
Mean	10.73	11.91	12.82	12.12	12.70	8.77	9.97	21.55	7.73	11.84
Std Dev	0.52	0.61	1.28	0.73	0.54	0.59	0.80	2.51	0.73	1.66
				LnW - 4	403 Resist	ance (kΩ)				
Insertion	Initial	Thaw #1	Thaw #2	LnW - 4 Thaw #3	103 Resist Thaw #4	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5	Thaw #6	Thaw #12	Re-Son	Thaw #1
Insertion 1	Initial 9.1	<b>Thaw #1</b> 11.7	<b>Thaw #2</b> 12.0	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8	<b>103 Resist</b> Thaw #4 12.3	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4	<b>Thaw #6</b> 9.3	<b>Thaw #12</b> 18.4	<b>Re-Son</b> 4.4	<b>Thaw #1</b> 12.8
Insertion 1 2	<b>Initial</b> 9.1 8.4	<b>Thaw #1</b> 11.7 11.3	<b>Thaw #2</b> 12.0 10.6	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5	<b>103 Resist</b> Thaw #4 12.3 12.0	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5	<b>Thaw #6</b> 9.3 9.0	<b>Thaw #12</b> 18.4 18.1	<b>Re-Son</b> 4.4 7.1	<b>Thaw #1</b> 12.8 10.3
Insertion 1 2 3	<b>Initial</b> 9.1 8.4 8.9	<b>Thaw #1</b> 11.7 11.3 10.7	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7	<b>103 Resist</b> Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0	<b>Thaw #6</b> 9.3 9.0 10.3	<b>Thaw #12</b> 18.4 18.1 20.5	<b>Re-Son</b> 4.4 7.1 6.3	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4
Insertion 1 2 3 4	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2	<b>Thaw #1</b> 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7	<b>Thaw #2</b> 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7	<b>403 Resist</b> Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8	<b>Thaw #6</b> 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6	Thaw #12 18.4 18.1 20.5 25.1	<b>Re-Son</b> 4.4 7.1 6.3 7.1	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2 8.0	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6	<b>103 Resist</b> Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9	Thaw #6           9.3           9.0           10.3           9.6           9.9	Thaw #12 18.4 18.1 20.5 25.1 22.8	<b>Re-Son</b> 4.4 7.1 6.3 7.1 7.0	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2 8.0 8.3	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5	<b>403 Resist</b> Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8 12.7	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 8.4	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.5	Thaw #12 18.4 18.1 20.5 25.1 22.8 19.6	<b>Re-Son</b> 4.4 7.1 6.3 7.1 7.0 7.5	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2 8.0 8.3 9.1	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5 11.2	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0 11.3	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5 11.2	<b>103 Resist</b> Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8 12.7 12.9	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 8.4 9.9	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.5 9.9	Thaw #12 18.4 18.1 20.5 25.1 22.8 19.6 16.7	Re-Son 4.4 7.1 6.3 7.1 7.0 7.5 6.9	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5 11.6
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2 8.0 8.3 9.1 8.3	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5 11.2 10.5	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0 11.3 12.0	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5 11.2 11.6	403 Resist Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8 12.7 12.9 11.9	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.5	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.9 9.3	Thaw #12 18.4 18.1 20.5 25.1 22.8 19.6 16.7 20.5	Re-Son 4.4 7.1 6.3 7.1 7.0 7.5 6.9 6.7	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5 11.6 12.3
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2 8.0 8.3 9.1 8.3 8.5	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5 11.2 10.5 12.2	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0 11.3 12.0 11.0	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5 11.2 11.6 12.7	403 Resist Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8 12.7 12.9 11.9 11.5	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 8.4 9.9 9.5 8.3	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.3 9.0	Thaw #12 18.4 18.1 20.5 25.1 22.8 19.6 16.7 20.5 18.8	Re-Son           4.4           7.1           6.3           7.1           7.0           7.5           6.9           6.7           5.5	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5 11.6 12.3 10.3
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2 8.0 8.3 9.1 8.3 8.5 8.2	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5 11.2 10.5 12.2 11.6	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0 11.3 12.0 11.0 11.0 10.9	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5 11.2 11.6 12.7 12.4	403 Resist Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8 12.7 12.9 11.9 11.5 12.2	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 8.4 9.9 9.9 8.4 9.9 9.5 8.3 8.7	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.0 10.4	Thaw #12 18.4 18.1 20.5 25.1 22.8 19.6 16.7 20.5 18.8 20.8	Re-Son           4.4           7.1           6.3           7.1           7.0           7.5           6.9           6.7           5.5           7.2	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5 11.6 12.3 10.3 10.3 12.2
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2 8.0 8.3 9.1 8.3 8.5 8.2 8.8	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5 11.2 10.5 12.2 11.6 10.9	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0 11.3 12.0 11.0 10.9 11.5	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5 11.2 11.6 12.7 12.4 11.3	403 Resist Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8 12.7 12.9 11.5 11.5 12.2 12.9	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 8.4 9.9 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.9 9.0 10.4 10.1	Thaw #12           18.4           18.1           20.5           25.1           22.8           19.6           16.7           20.5           18.8           20.8           22.8	Re-Son           4.4           7.1           6.3           7.1           7.0           7.5           6.9           6.7           5.5           7.2           7.9	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5 11.6 12.3 10.3 12.2 11.6
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12	Initial           9.1           8.4           8.9           8.2           8.0           8.3           9.1           8.3           9.1           8.3           9.1           8.3           8.5           8.2           8.8           8.9	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5 11.2 10.5 12.2 11.6 10.9 10.8	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0 11.3 12.0 11.0 10.9 11.5 12.2	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5 11.2 11.6 12.7 12.4 11.3 11.5	403 Resist Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8 12.7 12.9 11.9 11.5 12.2 12.9 11.5 12.2 12.9 12.3	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 8.4 9.9 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.3 9.0 10.4 10.1 10.2	Thaw #12           18.4           18.1           20.5           25.1           22.8           19.6           16.7           20.5           18.8           20.8           22.6           21.8	Re-Son           4.4           7.1           6.3           7.1           7.0           7.5           6.9           6.7           5.5           7.2           7.9           7.4	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5 11.6 12.3 10.3 12.2 11.6 13.3
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2 8.0 8.3 9.1 8.3 8.5 8.2 8.8 8.9 8.3	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5 11.2 10.5 12.2 11.6 10.9 10.8 11.6	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0 11.3 12.0 11.0 10.9 11.5 12.2 11.0	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5 11.2 11.6 12.7 12.4 11.3 11.5 12.6	403 Resist Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8 12.7 12.9 11.9 11.5 12.2 12.9 11.5 12.2 12.9 12.3 11.6	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 8.4 9.9 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.6	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.3 9.0 10.4 10.1 10.2 10.5	Thaw #12 18.4 18.1 20.5 25.1 22.8 19.6 16.7 20.5 18.8 20.5 18.8 20.8 22.6 21.8 20.6	Re-Son           4.4           7.1           6.3           7.1           7.0           7.5           6.9           6.7           5.5           7.2           7.9           7.4           8.2	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5 11.6 12.3 10.3 12.2 11.6 13.3 9.9
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2 8.0 8.3 9.1 8.3 8.5 8.2 8.8 8.8 8.9 8.3 8.7	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5 11.2 10.5 12.2 11.6 10.9 10.8 11.6 10.9	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0 11.3 12.0 11.0 10.9 11.5 12.2 11.0 11.8	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5 11.2 11.6 12.7 12.4 11.3 11.5 12.6 10.9	403 Resist Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8 12.7 12.9 11.9 11.5 12.2 12.9 12.3 11.6 11.9	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 8.4 9.9 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.6 9.7	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.3 9.0 10.4 10.1 10.2 10.5 10.2	Thaw #12           18.4           18.1           20.5           25.1           22.8           19.6           16.7           20.5           18.8           20.8           21.8           20.6           21.7	Re-Son           4.4           7.1           6.3           7.1           7.0           7.5           6.9           6.7           5.5           7.2           7.9           7.4           8.2           7.0	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5 11.6 12.3 10.3 12.2 11.6 13.3 9.9 10.6
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Initial 9.1 8.4 8.9 8.2 8.0 8.3 9.1 8.3 8.5 8.2 8.8 8.9 8.3 8.7 9.0	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5 11.2 10.5 12.2 11.6 10.9 10.8 11.6 10.9 11.5	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0 11.3 12.0 11.0 11.3 12.0 11.0 11.5 12.2 11.0 11.8 11.3	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5 11.2 11.6 12.7 12.4 11.3 11.5 12.6 10.9 11.1	403 Resist Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.8 12.7 12.9 11.9 11.5 12.2 12.9 12.3 11.6 11.9 11.8	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 8.4 9.9 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.6 9.7 8.7	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.3 9.0 10.4 10.1 10.2 10.5 10.2 9.5	Thaw #12           18.4           18.1           20.5           25.1           22.8           19.6           16.7           20.5           18.8           20.6           21.7           20.1	Re-Son           4.4           7.1           6.3           7.1           7.5           6.9           6.7           5.5           7.2           7.9           7.4           8.2           7.0           7.9	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5 11.6 12.3 10.3 12.2 11.6 13.3 9.9 10.6 10.5
Insertion 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean	Initial           9.1           8.4           8.9           8.2           8.0           8.3           9.1           8.3           8.9           8.3           8.7           9.0           8.58	Thaw #1 11.7 11.3 10.7 11.7 11.4 11.5 11.2 10.5 12.2 11.6 10.9 10.8 11.6 10.9 10.8 11.6 10.9 11.5 11.30	Thaw #2 12.0 10.6 13.2 12.0 11.4 11.0 11.3 12.0 11.0 11.3 12.0 11.0 11.5 12.2 11.0 11.8 11.3 11.55	LnW - 4 Thaw #3 11.8 10.5 10.7 11.7 11.6 9.5 11.2 11.6 12.7 12.4 11.3 11.5 12.6 10.9 11.1 11.1	403 Resist Thaw #4 12.3 12.0 13.3 12.4 12.4 12.7 12.9 11.5 12.2 12.9 11.5 12.2 12.9 11.6 11.6 11.9 11.8 12.30	ance (kΩ) Thaw #5 8.4 9.5 9.0 9.8 9.9 8.4 9.9 8.4 9.9 8.4 9.9 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.3 8.7 9.0 9.5 8.6 9.5 8.6 9.7 8.6 9.7 8.6 9.7 8.7 9.5 8.6 9.7 9.7 8.7 9.5 8.6 9.7 9.7 8.7 9.7 9.5 8.6 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5	Thaw #6 9.3 9.0 10.3 9.6 9.9 9.5 9.9 9.3 9.0 10.4 10.1 10.2 10.5 10.2 9.5 9.78	Thaw #12           18.4           18.1           20.5           25.1           22.8           19.6           16.7           20.5           18.8           20.6           21.8           20.6           21.7           20.1	Re-Son           4.4           7.1           6.3           7.1           7.5           6.9           6.7           5.5           7.2           7.9           7.4           8.2           7.0           7.9           7.4           8.2           7.0           7.9           6.94	Thaw #1 12.8 10.3 10.4 11.9 10.3 10.5 11.6 12.3 10.3 12.2 11.6 13.3 9.9 10.6 10.5 11.23

				LnW - 4	404 Resist	ance (kΩ)				
Insertion	Initial	Thaw #1	Thaw #2	Thaw #3	Thaw #4	Thaw #5	Thaw #6	Thaw #12	Re-Son	Thaw #1
1	8.6	8.4	9.6	9.8	8.9	8.9	9.0	15.4	5.1	6.3
2	7.4	9.3	9.4	7.8	9.2	7.7	8.5	15.6	6.4	3.9
3	7.2	8.8	9.5	7.3	9.1	8.4	9.2	16.1	6.7	3.4
4	7.4	8.8	7.9	9.0	7.8	8.7	9.0	19.4	6.5	6.7
5	7.9	9.7	9.1	9.2	7.3	8.3	7.4	17.6	7.5	7.1
6	7.6	9.4	8.4	8.8	7.4	7.8	9.0	18.8	6.8	8.2
7	8.1	9.7	9.3	9.3	7.4	9.8	8.6	15.2	6.9	7.3
8	7.4	8.7	9.0	9.0	8.5	8.6	9.9	17.1	6.6	8.5
9	7.9	9.1	9.5	8.5	8.3	8.3	8.5	18.5	6.4	5.4
10	8.2	9.5	8.4	7.8	7.9	9.2	8.1	16.8	7.5	7.9
11	8.0	9.1	8.0	9.5	8.2	9.7	8.9	23.5	7.1	6.4
12	7.5	9.2	8.8	8.6	8.4	8.6	8.5	17.5	6.4	8.0
13	8.3	8.3	9.3	9.8	7.8	7.6	9.6	21.5	7.2	7.7
14	8.1	8.7	9.2	9.0	8.9	8.0	9.1	16.7	6.3	11.7
15	7.7	9.0	8.4	9.4	9.0	8.3	8.9	18.8	7.2	9.9
Mean	7.82	9.05	8.92	8.85	8.27	8.53	8.81	17.90	6.71	7.23
Std Dev	0.40	0.43	0.57	0.74	0.65	0.66	0.60	2.30	0.60	2.10
				LnW - 4	405 Resist	ance (kΩ)				
Insertion	Initial	Thaw #1	Thaw #2	Thaw #3	Thaw #4	Thaw #5	Thaw #6	Thaw #12	Do Com	Thouse #1
1	<b>F</b> 4					Thaw #5	man	mawnii	Re-Son	Thaw #1
	5.1	6.9	7.3	6.4	7.1	4.8	6.4	13.1	5.7	8.7
2	4.9	6.9 6.9	7.3 7.2	6.4 8.2	7.1 6.9	4.8	6.4 6.9	13.1 13.5	5.7 6.0	8.7 11.5
2	4.9 5.3	6.9 6.9 8.0	7.3 7.2 7.8	6.4 8.2 7.0	7.1 6.9 8.9	4.8 5.7 4.6	6.4 6.9 6.7	13.1 13.5 13.0	5.7 6.0 6.1	8.7 11.5 9.1
2 3 4	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6	6.9 6.9 8.0 6.3	7.3 7.2 7.8 8.4	6.4 8.2 7.0 8.2	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7	6.4 6.9 6.7 6.9	13.1 13.5 13.0 12.3	5.7 6.0 6.1 6.2	8.7 11.5 9.1 9.5
2 3 4 5	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6	6.9 6.9 8.0 6.3 7.3	7.3 7.2 7.8 8.4 7.3	6.4 8.2 7.0 8.2 7.0	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1	6.4 6.9 6.7 6.9 6.5	13.1 13.5 13.0 12.3 16.0	5.7 6.0 6.1 6.2 6.8	8.7 11.5 9.1 9.5 9.3
2 3 4 5 6	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6 4.2	6.9 6.9 8.0 6.3 7.3 6.9	7.3 7.2 7.8 8.4 7.3 7.7	6.4 8.2 7.0 8.2 7.0 6.7	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4	6.4 6.9 6.7 6.9 6.5 6.8	13.1 13.5 13.0 12.3 16.0 11.8	5.7 6.0 6.1 6.2 6.8 6.0	8.7 11.5 9.1 9.5 9.3 10.3
2 3 4 5 6 7	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6 4.2 4.5	6.9 6.9 8.0 6.3 7.3 6.9 6.1	7.3 7.2 7.8 8.4 7.3 7.7 7.3	6.4 8.2 7.0 8.2 7.0 6.7 8.1	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3 5.9	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4 4.6	6.4 6.9 6.7 6.9 6.5 6.8 6.0	13.1 13.5 13.0 12.3 16.0 11.8 13.0	5.7 6.0 6.1 6.2 6.8 6.0 6.3	8.7 11.5 9.1 9.5 9.3 10.3 9.2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.2 4.5 4.4	6.9 6.9 8.0 6.3 7.3 6.9 6.1 6.8	7.3 7.2 7.8 8.4 7.3 7.7 7.3 6.6	6.4 8.2 7.0 8.2 7.0 6.7 8.1 7.2	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3 5.9 6.2	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4 4.6 5.9	6.4 6.9 6.7 6.9 6.5 6.8 6.8 6.0 6.2	13.1           13.5           13.0           12.3           16.0           11.8           13.0           13.8	Ke-son           5.7           6.0           6.1           6.2           6.8           6.0           6.3           6.7	8.7           11.5           9.1           9.5           9.3           10.3           9.2           9.1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6 4.2 4.5 4.4 5.0	6.9 6.9 8.0 6.3 7.3 6.9 6.1 6.8 6.2	7.3 7.2 7.8 8.4 7.3 7.7 7.3 6.6 6.7	6.4 8.2 7.0 8.2 7.0 6.7 8.1 7.2 8.2	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3 5.9 6.2 5.7	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4 4.6 5.9 4.9	6.4 6.9 6.7 6.9 6.5 6.8 6.0 6.2 6.1	13.1 13.5 13.0 12.3 16.0 11.8 13.0 13.8 16.0	Ke-Son           5.7           6.0           6.1           6.2           6.8           6.0           6.3           6.7           7.2	naw #1           8.7           11.5           9.1           9.5           9.3           10.3           9.2           9.1           10.9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6 4.2 4.5 4.4 5.0 4.7	6.9 6.9 8.0 6.3 7.3 6.9 6.1 6.8 6.2 6.7	7.3 7.2 7.8 8.4 7.3 7.7 7.3 6.6 6.7 7.0	6.4 8.2 7.0 8.2 7.0 6.7 8.1 7.2 8.2 6.9	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3 5.9 6.2 5.7 5.8	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4 4.6 5.9 4.9 4.3	6.4 6.9 6.7 6.9 6.5 6.8 6.0 6.2 6.1 5.8	13.1 13.5 13.0 12.3 16.0 11.8 13.0 13.8 16.0 13.0	Ke-Soli           5.7           6.0           6.1           6.2           6.8           6.0           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1	8.7 11.5 9.1 9.5 9.3 10.3 9.2 9.1 10.9 11.5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6 4.2 4.5 4.4 5.0 4.7 4.6	6.9 6.9 8.0 6.3 7.3 6.9 6.1 6.8 6.2 6.7 6.1	7.3 7.2 7.8 8.4 7.3 7.7 7.3 6.6 6.7 7.0 7.4	6.4 8.2 7.0 8.2 7.0 6.7 8.1 7.2 8.2 6.9 7.4	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3 5.9 6.2 5.7 5.8 5.7	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4 4.6 5.9 4.9 4.3 5.0	6.4 6.9 6.7 6.9 6.5 6.8 6.0 6.2 6.1 5.8 5.7	13.1           13.5           13.0           12.3           16.0           11.8           13.0           13.8           16.0           13.8           16.0           13.8           16.0           13.1	Ke-Son           5.7           6.0           6.1           6.2           6.8           6.0           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1           6.3	naw #1           8.7           11.5           9.1           9.5           9.3           10.3           9.2           9.1           10.9           11.5           10.5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6 4.2 4.5 4.4 5.0 4.7 4.6 4.9	6.9           6.9           8.0           6.3           7.3           6.9           6.1           6.8           6.2           6.7           6.1           6.3	7.3 7.2 7.8 8.4 7.3 7.7 7.3 6.6 6.7 7.0 7.4 8.2	6.4 8.2 7.0 8.2 7.0 6.7 8.1 7.2 8.2 6.9 7.4 6.8	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3 5.9 6.2 5.7 5.8 5.7 6.0	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4 4.6 5.9 4.9 4.3 5.0 6.1	6.4 6.9 6.7 6.9 6.5 6.8 6.0 6.2 6.1 5.8 5.7 5.9	13.1           13.5           13.0           12.3           16.0           11.8           13.0           13.8           16.0           13.8           16.0           13.8           16.0           13.5	Ke-Son           5.7           6.0           6.1           6.2           6.8           6.0           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1           6.3           6.9	naw #1           8.7           11.5           9.1           9.5           9.3           10.3           9.2           9.1           10.9           11.5           10.5           11.1
$ \begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ \end{array} $	5.1         4.9         5.3         4.6         4.6         4.2         4.5         4.4         5.0         4.7         4.6         4.9         4.8	6.9           6.9           8.0           6.3           7.3           6.9           6.1           6.8           6.2           6.7           6.1           6.3           6.7           6.3           6.7	7.3           7.2           7.8           8.4           7.3           6.6           6.7           7.0           7.4           8.2           6.6	6.4           8.2           7.0           8.2           7.0           6.7           8.1           7.2           8.2           7.4           6.8           7.2	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3 5.9 6.2 5.7 5.7 5.7 6.0 6.3	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4 4.6 5.9 4.9 4.3 5.0 6.1 4.6	6.4 6.9 6.7 6.9 6.5 6.8 6.0 6.2 6.1 5.8 5.7 5.9 6.2	$\begin{array}{c} 13.1 \\ 13.1 \\ 13.5 \\ 13.0 \\ 12.3 \\ 16.0 \\ 11.8 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.8 \\ 16.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 11.0 \\ 12.5 \\ 13.4 \\ \end{array}$	Ke-Son           5.7           6.0           6.1           6.2           6.8           6.0           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1           6.3           6.9           7.4	naw #1           8.7           11.5           9.1           9.5           9.3           10.3           9.2           9.1           10.9           11.5           10.5           11.1           9.7
$ \begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ \end{array} $	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6 4.2 4.5 4.4 5.0 4.7 4.6 4.9 4.8 4.2	6.9           6.9           8.0           6.3           7.3           6.9           6.1           6.8           6.2           6.7           6.3           6.7           6.2	7.3           7.2           7.8           8.4           7.3           6.6           6.7           7.0           7.4           8.2           6.6           7.3	6.4           8.2           7.0           8.2           7.0           6.7           8.1           7.2           8.2           6.9           7.4           6.8           7.2           7.0	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3 5.9 6.2 5.7 5.8 5.7 6.0 6.3 5.4	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4 4.6 5.9 4.9 4.3 5.0 6.1 4.6 5.7	6.4         6.9           6.7         6.9           6.5         6.8           6.0         6.2           6.1         5.8           5.7         5.9           6.2         7.0	13.1           13.5           13.0           12.3           16.0           11.8           13.0           13.8           16.0           13.8           16.0           13.8           16.0           13.4           12.5           13.4	Re-Son           5.7           6.0           6.1           6.2           6.8           6.0           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1           6.3           6.9           7.4           7.0	naw #1           8.7           11.5           9.1           9.5           9.3           10.3           9.2           9.1           10.9           11.5           10.9           11.5           10.5           11.1           9.7           9.9
$ \begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ \end{array} $	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6 4.2 4.5 4.4 5.0 4.7 4.6 4.9 4.8 4.2 4.6	6.9           6.9           8.0           6.3           7.3           6.9           6.1           6.8           6.2           6.7           6.1           6.3           6.7           6.1           6.3           6.7           6.3           6.7           6.3           6.7           6.3           6.7           6.2           6.8	7.3           7.2           7.8           8.4           7.3           6.6           6.7           7.0           7.4           8.2           6.6           7.3           6.6	6.4           8.2           7.0           8.2           7.0           6.7           8.1           7.2           8.2           6.9           7.4           6.8           7.2           7.0           6.9	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3 5.9 6.2 5.7 5.8 5.7 6.0 6.3 5.4 5.7	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4 4.6 5.9 4.9 4.3 5.0 6.1 4.6 5.7 5.1	6.4         6.9           6.7         6.9           6.5         6.8           6.0         6.2           6.1         5.8           5.7         5.9           6.2         7.0           6.2         6.2	$\begin{array}{c} 13.1 \\ 13.5 \\ 13.0 \\ 12.3 \\ 16.0 \\ 11.8 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.8 \\ 16.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.0 \\ 11.0 \\ 12.5 \\ 13.4 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.4 \end{array}$	Re-Son           5.7           6.0           6.1           6.2           6.8           6.0           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1           6.3           6.9           7.4           7.0           6.3	naw #1           8.7           11.5           9.1           9.5           9.3           10.3           9.2           9.1           10.9           11.5           10.9           11.5           10.7           9.7           9.8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mean	5.1 4.9 5.3 4.6 4.6 4.2 4.5 4.4 5.0 4.7 4.6 4.9 4.8 4.2 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.6	6.9           6.9           8.0           6.3           7.3           6.9           6.1           6.8           6.2           6.7           6.1           6.3           6.7           6.1           6.3           6.7           6.1           6.3           6.7           6.3           6.7           6.3           6.7           6.2           6.8           6.68	7.3           7.2           7.8           8.4           7.3           6.6           6.7           7.0           7.4           8.2           6.6           7.3           6.6           7.3	6.4           8.2           7.0           8.2           7.0           6.7           8.1           7.2           8.2           6.9           7.4           6.8           7.2           7.0           6.9           7.2	7.1 6.9 8.9 6.4 6.2 6.3 5.9 6.2 5.7 5.8 5.7 6.0 6.3 5.4 5.7 6.30	4.8 5.7 4.6 4.7 5.1 5.4 4.6 5.9 4.9 4.3 5.0 6.1 4.6 5.7 5.1 5.1	6.4         6.9           6.7         6.9           6.5         6.8           6.0         6.2           6.1         5.8           5.7         5.9           6.2         7.0           6.2         6.35	13.1           13.5           13.0           12.3           16.0           11.8           13.0           13.8           16.0           13.8           16.0           13.8           16.0           13.4           12.6           12.4           13.16	Re-Soli           5.7           6.0           6.1           6.2           6.8           6.0           6.3           6.7           7.2           6.1           6.3           6.9           7.4           7.0           6.3           6.47	naw #1           8.7           11.5           9.1           9.5           9.3           10.3           9.2           9.1           10.9           11.5           10.5           11.1           9.7           9.9           8.4           9.91

				LnW - 4	406 Resist	ance (kΩ)				
Insertion	Initial	Thaw #1	Thaw #2	Thaw #3	Thaw #4	Thaw #5	Thaw #6	Thaw #12	Re-Son	Thaw #1
1	6.2	9.1	7.4	7.6	6.3	6.2	7.2	9.4	8.0	7.0
2	6.4	8.6	8.6	8.2	7.4	6.7	7.3	11.0	7.8	8.2
3	6.9	9.2	8.7	9.4	7.7	5.6	7.6	10.1	7.7	8.4
4	6.6	9.5	8.5	8.4	7.1	6.2	7.3	11.2	8.1	8.9
5	6.3	9.9	8.2	7.6	7.6	7.3	7.4	13.2	8.0	9.1
6	6.0	9.2	7.9	9.6	8.6	7.0	6.9	13.7	9.1	9.5
7	6.2	10.6	7.8	8.8	7.3	5.9	7.3	13.3	5.6	11.4
8	6.1	8.9	8.9	9.1	6.2	6.5	7.9	10.1	7.3	9.5
9	6.9	8.6	8.2	8.2	7.7	6.9	7.8	11.4	7.0	10.3
10	6.3	8.9	9.3	9.3	8.1	8.1	7.7	12.1	6.4	8.5
11	6.5	9.7	8.9	8.7	7.2	7.5	7.8	14.9	7.3	10.7
12	6.9	9.8	7.9	9.2	7.8	6.1	7.7	14.2	7.5	10.0
13	6.2	10.3	8.3	8.5	8.6	7.1	7.3	15.3	6.6	13.9
14	6.9	10.2	7.8	9.1	8.3	6.7	7.2	12.5	6.5	8.6
15	6.7	9.1	10.4	9.9	7.4	7.6	7.3	13.1	7.2	8.3
Mean	6.47	9.44	8.45	8.77	7.55	6.76	7.45	12.37	7.34	9.49
Std Dev	0.32	0.62	0.74	0.69	0.71	0.69	0.29	1.80	0.85	1.65
				LnW - 4	407 Resist	ance (kΩ)				
Insertion	Initial	Thaw #1	Thaw #2	Thaw #3	Thaw #4	Thaw #5	Thaw #6	Thaw #12	Re-Son	Thaw #1
1	7.5	9.5	9.3	9.7	8.0	6.2	7.1	15.4	5.8	9.2
2	7.1	10.5	9.1	9.3	7.4	6.7	8.2	18.3	5.9	8.0
3	7.3	9.9	8.6	10.1	8.3	7.8	7.7	16.7	5.8	9.9
4	7.4	10.6	8.2	9.4	8.8	7.9	9.0	16.0	6.2	8.9
5	7.4	10.3	8.8	10.7	7.7	6.6	8.8	17.7	7.3	9.7
6	7.6	10.5	9.5	9.5	7.8	8.0	8.1	15.3	6.5	10.1
7	7.3	9.9	8.5	9.8	8.6	6.6	7.1	16.4	8.0	9.6
8	7.6	10.0	8.7	10.7	8.4	7.5	7.9	16.2	7.4	8.7
9	7.2	10.4	8.6	9.2	7.9	7.6	7.3	15.9	8.2	10.3
10	7.3	10.0	9.0	10.8	8.9	7.7	8.2	16.1	7.3	10.2
11	7.2	10.1	8.6	8.3	7.6	6.9	8.4	16.7	7.4	9.5
12	7.9	9.5	10.8	9.0	8.1	7.7	8.8	17.2	7.5	9.7
13	7.3	9.9	8.4	10.6	8.4	7.3	7.9	16.0	7.3	10.1
14	7.1	10.0	9.4	9.7	8.3	6.9	7.3	16.4	6.5	10.2
15	7.8	11.0	10.2	9.8	9.1	7.8	8.1	15.9	6.4	10.4
Mean										
TVICall	7.40	10.14	9.05	9.77	8.22	7.28	7.99	16.41	6.90	9.63

## APPENDIX I. COMBINED CNT NANOFLUID RESISTANCE MEASUREMENTS

	Mixed LnW - 402 Resistance										
	L1 - 15W / 15 min, U/S with Ice bath										
Incortion	Initial	Mixed	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th				
insertion	mua	wiixeu	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw				
1	8.8	8.6	16.1	7.5	11.1	12.4	16.7				
2	7.6	7.3	16.6	8.4	12.0	14.2	17.3				
3	8.1	8.5	17.4	7.8	10.3	13.6	18.6				
4	9.0	8.3	17.1	8.2	9.2	14.0	17.1				
5	8.1	8.1	18.4	7.3	8.7	13.3	16.5				
6	8.8	7.8	17.4	7.4	10.6	13.4	15.4				
7	7.2	7.4	15.4	7.9	9.9	16.2	22.9				
8	8.8	7.9	16.2	8.5	10.1	14.3	13.6				
9	7.6	7.8	14.1	8.4	9.4	14.1	15.4				
10	8.7	7.5	15.1	7.9	12.7	15.3	15.9				
11	8.9	7.8	15.4	8.0	9.6	18.3	17.9				
12	7.9	8.2	18.8	8.1	11.5	15.7	19.2				
13	8.8	8.0	17.3	7.9	11.7	13.6	15.9				
14	9.1	8.0	15.1	7.2	10.0	14.1	17.9				
15	9.6	7.9	17.3	8.8	8.5	14.2	17.0				
Mean	8.47	7.94	16.51	7.95	10.35	14.45	17.15				
Std Dev	0.67	0.37	1.32	0.47	1.23	1.44	2.12				

Notes

Max Temp during U/S - 21 °C

1st Thaw - Sediment at Bottom

2nd Thaw - Heavy Agglomerates at Bottom - Sample Unstable

3rd Thaw - Small and Large Agglomerates Suspended and Sediment at Bottom

4th Thaw - Heavy Agglomeration and Sediment at Bottom, Layered Appearance

5th Thaw - Heavy Agglomeration and Sediment at Bottom, Layered Appearance

	Mixed LnW - 402 Resistance										
	L2 - 15W / 15 Min, No Ice bath										
Insortion	Initial	Mixed	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th				
Insertion	IIItiai	WILLEU	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw				
1	7.8	6.6	17.6	9.0	12.1	8.4	17.2				
2	8.7	7.0	18.2	8.6	14.3	8.7	16.4				
3	8.9	6.3	17.4	8.7	10.9	6.3	16.8				
4	8.1	6.4	18.0	9.6	10.3	7.2	15.1				
5	7.4	7.4	17.0	9.5	9.9	9.2	17.9				
6	8.2	7.2	16.7	8.1	10.2	11.3	16.3				
7	9.0	5.2	19.1	9.8	10.0	11.8	18.1				
8	8.4	6.0	14.7	9.3	11.2	13.2	17.0				
9	8.3	5.5	15.9	9.0	10.7	14.6	15.6				
10	9.2	6.2	17.9	10.0	15.8	16.8	15.0				
11	8.1	5.4	16.7	9.4	12.0	16.2	16.8				
12	9.1	5.0	15.6	8.3	13.3	13.6	17.3				
13	9.0	4.7	18.2	9.0	10.3	13.8	19.4				
14	8.4	6.1	16.2	8.9	14.6	14.0	15.8				
15	7.8	5.2	15.5	8.5	13.3	15.0	17.4				
Mean	8.43	6.01	16.98	9.05	11.93	12.01	16.81				
Std Dev	0.54	0.83	1.23	0.55	1.90	3.33	1.18				

#### Notes

Max Temp during U/S - 72 °C

1st Thaw - Sediment at Bottom

2nd Thaw - Small Agglomerate Suspensions and Sediment at Bottom

3rd Thaw - Small Agglomerates and Sediment at Bottom

4th Thaw - Small Agglomerates and Suspensions with Sediment at Bottom

5th Thaw - Small Agglomerates and Suspensions with Sediment at Bottom

### \*All Resistances in kilo-ohm

	Mixed LnW - 402 Resistance									
L3 - 39 W / 15 Min, U/S with Ice Bath										
Insertion	Initial	Mixed	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th			
macreton	initial	mua	mitia	WIXCu	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	
1	8.4	7.4	16.6	9.1	15.0	15.8	21.3			
2	7.8	7.3	17.1	9.2	13.0	16.2	22.6			
3	9.0	7.2	18.9	9.4	13.3	16.3	18.0			
4	9.1	6.0	15.1	9.2	14.2	19.0	17.5			
5	8.1	6.9	16.2	10.2	12.3	16.8	16.7			
6	8.2	7.2	18.0	9.8	15.6	17.1	18.4			
7	8.9	7.1	15.1	9.5	10.4	17.0	16.6			
8	8.7	7.7	17.8	9.2	11.6	15.8	17.8			
9	9.6	8.1	17.5	8.4	13.6	16.9	18.3			
10	10.1	6.7	16.4	8.7	11.7	16.2	23.2			
11	8.1	7.0	16.9	8.1	14.0	15.9	15.2			
12	8.7	8.1	15.5	9.5	12.5	18.4	18.9			
13	8.2	7.4	16.0	8.8	14.1	17.2	23.4			
14	8.6	7.7	18.5	9.0	14.6	19.2	17.2			
15	8.4	8.0	16.8	8.3	15.3	18.0	15.9			
Mean	8.66	7.32	16.83	9.09	13.41	17.05	18.73			
Std Dev	0.61	0.56	1.16	0.57	1.50	1.12	2.65			

### <u>Notes</u>

Max Temp during U/S - 34 °C

1st Thaw - Minor Sediment at Bottom

2nd Thaw - Heavy Agglomeration at Bottom - Sample Unstable

3rd Thaw - Small and Large Agglomerates Suspended and Sediment at Bottom

4th Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Suspensions with Sediment at Bottom

5th Thaw - Large Agglomerates and Suspensions with Sediment at Bottom

	Mixed LnW - 402 Resistance										
	L4 - 39W / 15 Min, No Ice bath										
Incortion	Initial	Mixed	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th				
insertion		wiixeu	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw				
1	8.5	5.0	17.4	8.3	13.8	14.3	17.9				
2	9.0	4.9	18.3	9.5	11.4	15.6	20.1				
3	7.2	5.6	17.1	8.6	12.7	17.8	17.1				
4	7.3	4.9	17.3	8.8	8.7	17.2	15.2				
5	7.4	5.8	20.1	8.9	11.4	17.5	18.5				
6	8.6	5.7	22.3	7.9	9.9	18.1	19.5				
7	9.2	5.5	15.4	8.5	8.0	16.2	22.9				
8	8.5	5.2	18.3	8.3	8.8	19.1	20.4				
9	7.4	4.8	16.2	9.3	12.7	16.8	19.2				
10	8.3	5.5	19.6	9.5	12.4	18.0	19.0				
11	8.5	5.9	18.2	8.5	12.9	19.1	21.8				
12	7.4	6.4	19.8	8.4	12.0	17.2	18.2				
13	8.3	6.2	15.7	9.8	11.9	19.2	16.9				
14	8.5	5.6	17.7	9.3	14.3	18.4	19.1				
15	7.6	6.0	18.1	8.6	13.0	19.2	19.0				
Mean	8.11	5.53	18.10	8.81	11.59	17.58	18.99				
Std Dev	0.66	0.49	1.81	0.55	1.91	1.43	1.91				

<u>Notes</u>

Max Temp during U/S - 78 °C

1st Thaw - Heavy Sediment and agglomeration at Bottom - Sample Unstable

2nd Thaw - Heavy Sediment and agglomeration at Bottom

3rd Thaw - Heavy Sediment and agglomeration at Bottom

4th Thaw - Heavy Sediment and agglomeration at Bottom

5th Thaw - Heavy Sediment and agglomeration at Bottom

	D	• .		•	1 .1	1	1
*All	К	esist	ances	ın	<b>K</b> 1	lo-0	hm

Mixed LnW - 405 Resistance											
	L5 - 15W / 15 min, U/S with Ice bath										
Insertion	Initial	Mixed	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th				
insertion	mitiai	WIIXEU	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw				
1	8.4	7.5	11.8	15.2	12.5						
2	7.4	7.3	9.9	16.6	11.7						
3	7.8	7.8	10.1	11.5	11.1						
4	7.6	7.1	9.2	16.8	9.3						
5	7.9	6.8	8.7	9.8	13.9						
6	7.3	7.1	9.8	12.5	9.0						
7	8.0	7.0	11.6	10.8	13.0						
8	7.9	8.0	8.6	10.6	10.5						
9	8.8	7.1	9.1	9.1	10.1						
10	6.8	7.6	7.7	10.6	11.5						
11	7.8	7.1	10.5	9.6	14.9						
12	7.5	7.7	8.8	9.7	10.3						
13	7.7	6.8	8.9	13.6	11.3						
14	7.4	6.6	9.2	11.7	10.7						
15	9.6	8.5	9.3	10.8	9.3						
Mean	7.86	7.33	9.55	11.93	11.27	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				
Std Dev	0.67	0.51	1.11	2.52	1.71	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				
Notes											
Max Temp dur	ing U/S - 2	0°C									
1st Thaw - Min	or Sedime	nt at Botto	m								
2nd Thaw - Lay	vered Appe	earance, Sa	imple Unstab	ole, Heavy Ag	gglomeration	and Sedime	nt at				
Bottom											
3rd Thaw - Lay	ered Appe	arance, Sa	mple Unstab	le, Heavy Ag	glomeration a	and Sedime	nt at				
Bottom											

	Mixed LnW - 405 Resistance										
	L6 - 15W / 15 Min, No Ice bath										
Incortion	Initial	Mixed	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th				
insertion	initial	wiixed	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw				
1	7.7	3.9	10.7	14.1	12.6						
2	8.5	4.0	8.8	13.4	9.7						
3	8.6	4.6	9.0	11.4	10.7						
4	8.1	4.0	8.8	14.0	9.9						
5	7.9	4.8	9.7	10.8	9.3						
6	8.3	3.8	9.0	9.2	10.0						
7	8.0	4.2	9.1	9.6	8.1						
8	8.3	4.0	9.7	8.8	8.2						
9	6.8	3.8	8.7	13.9	12.1						
10	9.7	3.6	7.6	10.6	8.7						
11	7.6	3.9	9.5	10.3	7.7						
12	10.0	3.7	11.3	9.3	8.0						
13	8.4	3.9	8.2	9.5	9.3						
14	8.0	4.0	8.4	8.7	8.9						
15	8.2	4.3	7.6	13.6	11.7						
Mean	8.27	4.03	9.07	11.15	9.66	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				
Std Dev	0.78	0.32	1.02	2.08	1.53	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!				

#### <u>Notes</u>

Max Temp during U/S - 69 °C

1st Thaw - Minor Sediment at Bottom

2nd Thaw - Layered Appearance, Sample Unstable, Heavy Agglomeration and Sediment at Bottom 3rd Thaw - Layered Appearance, Sample Unstable, Heavy Agglomeration and Sediment at Bottom

		Mixe	d LnW - 4	05 Resis	stance				
	I	L7 - 39 W	/ / 15 Min	, U/S with	n Ice Bath				
Insertion	Initial	Mixed	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th		
			Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw		
1	6.8	6.1	8.7	15.4	7.5				
2	7.3	5.7	6.9	9.4	7.8				
3	7.7	5.5	7.8	11.6	8.8				
4	6.6	5.8	7.1	9.0	8.3				
5	7.5	6.0	7.6	7.9	10.5				
6	7.3	5.7	8.5	9.1	9.0				
7	7.5	6.0	9.2	9.3	8.3				
8	7.0	5.4	8.1	9.1	7.3				
9	7.2	5.7	8.6	9.5	10.5				
10	8.6	6.2	9.0	9.6	7.6				
11	10.0	7.0	6.5	15.4	8.9				
12	8.4	7.5	8.3	8.8	10.7				
13	7.5	7.0	8.5	10.0	9.6				
14	8.4	6.2	8.3	9.9	9.1				
15	7.2	5.7	7.5	8.4	10.4				
Mean	7.67	6.10	8.04	10.16	8.95	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
Std Dev	0.87	0.61	0.79	2.28	1.17	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
<u>Notes</u> Max Temp dur	ing U/S - 4	3°C							
1st Thaw - Min	or Sedime	nt at Botto	m						
2nd Thaw - Lay	vered Appe	earance, Sa	mple Unstat	ole, Heavy A	gglomeration	and Sedime	nt at		
Bottom 3rd Thaw - Lav	Bottom 2 and Thay, Layored Appagatance, Sample Unstable, Heavy Agglementation and Sediment at 2 and Thay, Layored Appagatance, Sample Unstable, Heavy Agglementation and Sediment at								
Bottom	e.eu/ippe	a.acc, 5u		,					

		Mixed	LnW - 405	Resistan	ce					
	L8 - 39W / 15 Min, No Ice bath									
Incortion	Initial	Mixed	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th			
insertion	initial	wiixed	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw	Thaw			
1	6.7	6.2	8.9	7.8	11.0					
2	7.3	5.4	7.9	8.2	10.5					
3	7.0	5.8	7.6	8.4	9.6					
4	7.8	7.5	8.0	7.4	9.0					
5	6.8	6.4	6.9	8.5	10.7					
6	7.1	5.4	6.6	9.1	8.2					
7	8.2	5.0	7.8	10.0	7.6					
8	7.5	5.6	7.9	9.4	9.5					
9	8.7	5.3	9.7	8.5	8.1					
10	7.1	5.7	8.5	8.6	10.0					
11	6.8	5.0	11.4	9.1	8.3					
12	6.9	6.4	8.5	8.1	9.1					
13	7.4	5.6	9.7	9.9	7.9					
14	7.2	5.9	8.1	10.6	9.4					
15	7.9	5.2	9.0	9.1	11.3					
Mean	7.36	5.76	8.43	8.85	9.35	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
Std Dev	0.57	0.66	1.20	0.87	1.18	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			

<u>Notes</u>

Max Temp during U/S - 84 °C 1st Thaw - Heavy Sediment and agglomeration at Bottom

2nd Thaw - Layered Appearance, Sample Unstable, Heavy Agglomeration and Sediment at Bottom

3rd Thaw - Layered Appearance, Sample Unstable, Heavy Agglomeration and Sediment at Bottom

### LIST OF REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Maxwell, *Electricity and Magnetism, Part 2*, 3rd ed. United Kingdom: Clarendon Press, 1904.
- [2] S. U. S. Choi, "Nanofluid Technology: Current Status and Future Research," Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois, Tech. Rep. 97466, 1999.
- [3] J. A. Eastman, S. R. Phillpot, S. U. S. Choi, and P. Keblinski, "Thermal Transport in Nanofluids," *Annual Review of Materials Science*, p. 219, 2004.
- [4] J. Li and C. Kleinstreuer, "Thermal Performance of Nanofluid Flow in Microchannels," *International Journal of Heat and Fluids Flow*, p. 1221, 2008.
- [5] X. Wang and A. S. Mujumdar, "A Review on Nanofluids—Part II: Experiments and Applications," *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, vol. 25, p. 631, 2008.
- [6] S. U. S. Choi and J. A. Eastman, "Enhancing Thermal Conductivity of Fluids with Nanoparticles," Argonne National Laboratory, Illinois, Tech. Rep. ANL/ET/CP– 88239; CONF-951135–29, 1995.
- [7] E. T. Swartz and R. O. Pohl, "Thermal Boundary Resistance," *Review of Modern Physics*, vol. 61, 1989.
- [8] S. Iijima, "Helical Microtubules of Graphitic Carbon," in *Nature*. Anonymous, 1991, pp. 56–354.
- [9] Penn Engineering, "Carbon Nanotube," 2009.
- [10] Web site Graphic: <u>http://www.antnanousa.cn</u> "SWCNT & MWCNT," 2009.
- [11] M. S. Dresselhaus and G. Dresselhaus, *Carbon Nanotubes: Properties, Synthesis, Structure and Applications.* New York: Springer, 2001.
- [12] H. Xie, H. Lee, W. Youn, and M. Choi, "Nanofluids Containing Mulitwalled Carbon Nanotubes and Their Enhanced Thermal Conductivities," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 94, p. 4967, 2003.
- [13] D. Wen and Y. Ding, "Effective Thermal Conductivity of Aqueous Suspensions of Carbon Nanotubes (Carbon Nanotube Nanofluids)," *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, vol. 18, 2004.
- [14] M. J. Assael, C. F. Chen, I. Metaxa, and W. A. Wakeham, "Thermal Conductivity of Suspensions of Carbon Nanotubes in Water," *International Journal of Thermophysics*, vol. 25, p. 971, 2004.

- [15] F. D. S. Marquis and L. P. F. Chilbante, "Improving the Heat Transfer of Nanofluids and Nanolubricants with Carbon Nanotubes," *JOM*, p. 32, 2005.
- [16] M. Bahrami, M. M. Yovanovich, and J. R. Culham, "Assessment of Relevant Physical Phenomena Controlling Thermal Performance of Nanofluids," *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, vol. 21, 2007.
- [17] S. Joslin, "Nanofluids," 2009.
- [18] A. Jorio, G. Dresselhaus, and M. S. Dresselhaus, Carbon Nanotubes: Advanced Topics in the Synthesis, Structure, Properties and Application, 1st ed.Springer, 2008.
- [19] J. A. Kuhlmann, "Development of Thermal Management Systems for High Energy Applications," Master's thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA 2008.
- [20] The International Association for the Properties of Water and Steam, "Guidelines on the Use of Fundamental Physical Constants and Basic Constants of Water," 2001.
- [21] Web site: Nano-Lab Incorporated, Internet Home Page, http://www.nano-lab.com/nanotubes-research-grade.html, 2009.
- [22] Web site: Sigma-Aldrich, Internet Home Page, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/sigma-aldrich/home.html, 2009.
- [23] American Society of Testing and Materials International, "Standard Test Methods for Electrical Conductivity and Resistivity of Water," ASTM International, Tech. Rep. D1125-95, 2009.
- [24] T. S. Light, S. Licht, A. C. Bevilacqua, and K. R. Morashc, "The Fundamental Conductivity and Resistivity of Water," *Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters*, vol. 8, p. E16, 2005.

### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Nano-lab Incorporated Home Page. 2009 [cited 11/14 2009]. Available from <u>http://www.nano-lab.com/nanotubes-research-grade.html</u>.
- Sigma-aldrich Home Page. 2009 [cited 08/15 2009]. Available from http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/sigma-aldrich/home.html.

SWCNT & MWCNT. [cited 11/12 2009]. Available from <u>http://images.google.com/imgres?imgurl=http://www.antnanousa.cn/dev/samples/</u> <u>images/thumb/mwnt.gif&imgrefurl=http://www.antnanousa.cn/dev/samples/nanot</u> <u>ubes.asp&usg=\_\_wC77ILUkrHc5yMksEMHvvr419s=&h=147&w=160&sz=13</u> <u>&hl=en&start=10&um=1&itbs=1&tbnid=8mkhHKhqIrZYqM:&tbnh=90&tbnw=</u> <u>98&prev=/images%3Fq%3DMWNT%2Band%2BSWNT%2Bpicture%26hl%3D</u> <u>en%26sa%3DN%26um%3D1</u>.

- American Society of Testing and Materials International. 2009. *Standard Test Methods* for Electrical Conductivity and Resistivity of Water. ASTM International, D1125-95.
- Assael, M. J., C. F. Chen, I. Metaxa, and W. A. Wakeham. 2004. Thermal conductivity of Suspensions of Carbon Nanotubes in Water. *International Journal of Thermophysics* 25, (4): 971.
- Bahrami, Majid, M. M. Yovanovich, and J. R. Culham. 2007. Assessment of Relevant Physical Phenomena Controlling Thermal Performance of Nanofluids. *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer* 21, (4).
- Bigg, Donald M. 1979. Mechanical, Thermal and Electrical Properties of Metal Fiberfilled Polymer Composites. *Polymer Engineering and Science* 19, (16).
- Choi, S. U. S. 1999. *Nanofluid technology: Current Status and Future Research*. Argonne, Illinois: Argonne National Laboratory, 97466.
- Choi, S. U. S. and J. A. Eastman. 1995. Enhancing Thermal Conductivity of Fluids with Nanoparticles. Illinois: Argonne National Laboratory, ANL/ET/CP—88239; CONF-951135—29.
- Choi, S. U. S., Z. G. Zhang, W. Yu, F. E. Lockwood, and E. A. Grulke. 2001. Anomalous Thermal Conductivity Enhancement in Nanotube Suspensions. *Applied Physics Letters* 79, (14): 2252.
- Dresselhaus, M. S. and G. Dresselhaus. 2001. Carbon Nanotubes: Properties, Synthesis, Structure and Applications, ed. Ph Avouris. New York: Springer.

- Eastman, J. A., S. R. Phillpot, S. U. S. Choi, and P. Keblinski. 2004. Thermal Transport in Nanofluids. *Annual Review of Materials Science* (August): 219.
- Grace, Tom. 2003. An Introduction to Carbon Nanotubes.
- Hong, Haiping, Jesse Wensel, Feng Liang, W. E. Billups, and Walter Roy. 2006. Heat Transfer Nanofluids Based on Carbon Nanotubes. *American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics* (June).
- Hong, Haiping, Jesse Wensel, Shelley Peterson, and Walter Roy. 2007. Efficiently Lowering the Freezing Point in Heat Transfer Coolants Using Carbon Nanotubes. *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer* 21, (2).
- Iijima, S. 1991. Helical Microtubules of Graphitic Carbon. In *Nature*, 56–354.
- Jorio, A., G. Dresselhaus, and M. S. Dresselhaus. 2008. Carbon Nanotubes: Advanced Topics in the Synthesis, Structure, Properties and Application, eds. G. Dresselhaus, M. S. Dresselhaus. 1st ed.Springer.
- Joslin, S. 2009. Nanofluids. Luna Innovations, Danville, VA.
- Kim, Bong Hun, and G. P. Peterson. 2007. Effect of Morphology of Carbon Nanotubes on Thermal Conductivity Enhancement of Nanofluids. *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer* 21, (3).
- Kuhlmann, James A. 2008. Development of Thermal Management Systems for High Energy Applications. Master's thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA.
- Lee, Shinpyo and S. U. S. Choi. 1996. Application of Metallic Nanoparticle Suspensions in Advanced Cooling Systems. Paper presented at International Mechanical Engineering Conference, Atlanta, GA.
- Li, Jie and Clement Kleinstreuer. 2008. Thermal Performance of Nanofluid Flow in Microchannels. *International Journal of Heat and Fluids Flow* (January): 1221.
- Light, T. S., S. Licht, A. C. Bevilacqua, and K. R. Morashc. 2005. The Fundamental Conductivity and Resistivity of Water. *Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters* 8, (1): E16.
- Liu, Zhen-Hua and Lin Lu. 2009. Thermal Performance of an Axially Microgrooved Heat Pipe Using Carbon Nanotube Suspensions. *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer* 23, (1).
- Marquis, F. D. S. and L. P. F. Chilbante. 2005. Improving the Heat Transfer of Nanofluids and Nanolubricants with Carbon Nanotubes. *JOM* (December): 32.

- Maxwell, J. C. 1904. *Electricity and Magnetism, part 2*. 3rd ed. United Kingdom: Clarendon Press.
- Penn Engineering. Carbon Nanotube. [cited 11/06 2009]. Available from <u>http://www.seas.upenn.edu/mse/images/nanotube1.jpg</u>.
- Rahman, Muhammad M. and Shantanu S. Shevade. 2003. Microchannel Thermal Management During Volumetric Heating or Cooling. *American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics* (August).
- Swartz, E. T. and R. O. Pohl. 1989. Thermal Boundary Resistance. *Review of Modern Physics* 61, (3).
- The International Association for the Properties of Water and Steam. 2001. *Guideline on the Use of Fundamental Physical Constants and Basic Constants of Water*. International Association for the Properties of Water and Steam.
- Wang, Xiang-Qi and Arun S. Mujumdar. 2008. A Review on Nanofluids Part II: Experiments and Applications. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering* 25, (4): 631.
- Wen, Dongsheng and Yulong Ding. 2004. Effective Thermal Conductivity of Aqueous Suspensions of Carbon Nanotubes (Carbon Nanotube Nanofluids). Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer 18, (4).
- Xie, H., H. Lee, W. Youn, and M. Choi. 2003. Nanofluids Containing Mulitwalled Carbon Nanotubes and Their Enhanced Thermal Conductivities. *Journal of Applied Physics* 94, (8): 4967.
- Young, Stuart H. 1996. An Optimization Technique Using the Finite Element Method and Orthogonal Arrays. M.S. in Mechanical Engineering., Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, <u>http://edocs.nps.edu/npspubs/scholarly/theses/1996/Sep/96Sep\_Young.pdf</u>

## **INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST**

- 1. Defense Technical Information Center Ft. Belvoir, Virginia
- 2. Dudley Knox Library Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California
- Young W. Kwon, Professor Naval Postgraduate School Dept. of Mechanical & Astronautical Engineering Monterey, California
- Randall Pollak, Major USAF Asst Professor, Space Systems Academic Group Naval Postgraduate School Dept. of Mechanical & Astronautical Engineering Monterey, California
- 5. Brian Ryglowski, LT USN Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California