



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

Theses and Dissertations

Thesis Collection

2001-03

A database of Adversary Decision Makers

Ward, Tyrone L.

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

http://hdl.handle.net/10945/2805



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



THESIS

A DATABASE OF ADVERSARY DECISION MAKERS

by

Tyrone L. Ward

March 2001

Thesis Co-Advisors:

Daniel R. Dolk Raymond Buettner

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

20010221 076

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington DC 20503.

| DC 20503. | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank | AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE March 2001 Master's T | | T TYPE AND DATES COVERED Thesis | | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE : A Data | base of Ad | versary Decision Make | rs | 5. FUNDIN | G NUMBERS |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) Ward, Tyrone L. | | | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000 | I NAME(S) A | ND ADDRESS(ES) | | 8. PERFOR ORGANIZA NUMBER | RMING ATION REPORT |
| 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING A N/A | GENCY NAI | ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | 10. SPONSO MONITORI AGENC NUMBER | |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | | | |
| The views expressed in this thesi Department of Defense or the U. | S. Governm | nent. | t reflect the official po | | |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABIL | ITY STATEM | IENT | | | IBUTION CODE |
| Approved for public release; dist | ribution is 1 | unlimited. | | Α | |
| 13. ABSTRACT (maximum 200 words Advances in database and decision Information Operations specialists. about adversary decision makers a relational database and associated u and development process is elabor presented for incorporating relevan Influence Assessment Module (SIA the database and decision technol USPACOM, yet is general enough to | technologies. This thesis of the mediser interface ated in deta t decision sum, which is ogies can be | describes, designs, and p a they access. The Adve of forms and reports, imp il, database administratio pport tools to augment the based upon influence dia e integrated. The ADMS | artially implements a sycreary Decision Maker: blemented in Microsoft and guidelines are documented decision agrams and belief networks developed in this the | existem that prospective systems (ADIA Access TM. The ented, and a support system rks, is used to exist meets the | ovides information MS) consists of a the database design migration path is tem, the Situational of demonstrate how |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS | | | | | 15. NUMBER |
| Information Systems, Database 7 | rechnology, | , Decision Support Syst | ems | | OF PAGES 124 |
| | | | , | | 16. PRICE CODE |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified | 18. SECUR OF THIS PA Unclassifi | | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified | , | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL |

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

A DATABASE OF ADVERSARY DECISION MAKERS

Tyrone L. Ward Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S., Elizabeth City State University, 1993

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL March 2001

Author:

Tyrone L. Ward

Daniel R. Dolk, Thesis Co-Advisor

Raymond Buettner, Thesis Co-Advisor

Dan Boger, Chairman

Information Systems Academic Group

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ABSTRACT

Advances in database and decision technologies provide abundant opportunities for implementing decision support systems for Information Operations specialists. This thesis describes, designs, and partially implements a system that provides information about adversary decision makers and the media they access. The Adversary Decision Maker Systems (ADMS) consists of a relational database and associated user interface of forms and reports, implemented in Microsoft AccessTM. The database design and development process is elaborated in detail, database administration guidelines are documented, and a migration path is presented for incorporating relevant decision support tools to augment the database. A decision support system, the Situational Influence Assessment Module (SIAM), which is based upon influence diagrams and belief networks, is used to demonstrate how the database and decision technologies can be integrated. The ADMS developed in this thesis meets the requirements of USPACOM, yet is general enough to be used for any theater commander's Area of Responsibility (AOR).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. INTRODUCTION | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---|
| A. BACKGROUND 1 | |
| B. PURPOSE2 | • |
| C. SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY2 | , |
| D. ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS4 | |
| E. DISCLAIMER 4 | |
| II. OVERVIEW OF DATABASE TECHNOLOGY 5 | |
| A. INTRODUCTION5 | |
| B. REVIEW OF RELEVANT DATABASE CONCEPTS 5 | |
| 1. Planning and Analysis | |
| 2. Conceptual Design | |
| a. The Data Model | |
| b. The Function Model | 1 |
| 3. Logical Design1 | 2 |
| 4. Physical Design | 4 |
| 5. Implementation | 4 |
| C. DATA MINING/WAREHOUSING AND INFLUENCE DIAGRAMS1: | 5 |
| D. CURRENT DATABASE OPTIONS 10 | 6 |
| 1. Ingres II | 6 |
| 2. Instabase 4.0 | 7 |
| 3. Microsoft Access 2000 | 7 |

| 4. Salsa for the Desktop |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| E. APPLICATION SELECTION |
| F. DECISION SUPPORT TOOL SELECTION |
| G. CHAPTER CONCLUSION |
| III. REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND DESIGN |
| A. INTRODUCTION |
| B. REQUIREMENTS |
| 1. Reports |
| 2. Functional Requirements |
| 3. Decision Support System (DSS) Requirements |
| 4. Security and Access Requirements |
| C. DATA MODEL |
| D. DATABASE STRUCTURE |
| 1. Tables and Relationships |
| 2. Tables and Attributes |
| E. CHAPTER CONCLUSION |
| IV. DATABASE IMPLEMENTATION39 |
| A. INTRODUCTION |
| B. FORMS |
| C. POPULATING THE DATABASE44 |
| D. QUERIES AND REPORTS48 |
| F DATABASE ADMINISTRATION ISSUES |

| F. CHAPTER SUMMARY | . 78 |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|
| V. DECISION SUPPORT FOR ADMS | . 79 |
| A. INTRODUCTION | . 79 |
| B. DECISION SUPPORT TECHNOLOGY | . 79 |
| C. SITUATIONAL INFLUENCE ASSESSMENT MODULE | . 80 |
| D. DATABASE AND DECISION SUPPORT INTEGRATION | . 82 |
| E. CHAPTER SUMMARY | . 85 |
| VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | . 87 |
| A. CONCLUSION | . 87 |
| B. RECOMMENDATIONS | . 87 |
| APPENDIX A: OBJECT SUMMARIES | . 89 |
| APPENDIX B: TABLE SUMMARIES | . 93 |
| APPENDIX C: TABLE RELATIONSHIPS | . 97 |
| APPENDIX D: ADMS DATA DICTIONARY | . 99 |
| LIST OF REFERENCES | . 101 |
| INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST | 103 |

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2-16 |
|-------------|
| Figure 2-2 |
| Figure 3-1 |
| Figure 3-2 |
| Figure 3-3 |
| Figure 3-4 |
| Figure 3-5 |
| Figure 3-6 |
| Figure 3-7 |
| Figure 3-8 |
| Figure 3-9 |
| Figure 3-10 |
| Figure 3-11 |
| Figure 3-12 |
| Figure 3-13 |
| Figure 4-1 |
| Figure 4-2 |
| Figure 4-3 |
| Figure 4-4 |
| Figure 4-5 |

| Figure 4-6. | 42 |
|--------------|----|
| Figure 4-7. | 42 |
| Figure 4-8 | 43 |
| Figure 4-9 | 43 |
| Figure 4-10. | 43 |
| Figure 4-11. | 44 |
| Figure 4-12. | 45 |
| Figure 4-13 | 45 |
| Figure 4-14. | 46 |
| Figure 4-15 | 46 |
| Figure 4-16 | 47 |
| Figure 4-17. | 48 |
| Figure 4-18. | 75 |
| Figure 4-19. | 76 |
| Figure 4-20. | 76 |
| Figure 5-1 | 82 |
| Figure 5-2 | 94 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2-1. | 12 |
|------------|----|
| Table 2-2. | 13 |
| Table 2-3 | 13 |
| Table 2-4 | 18 |
| Table 3-1 | 34 |
| Table 3-2 | 35 |
| Table 3-3 | 36 |
| Table 3-4 | 36 |
| Table 3-5 | 37 |
| Table 4-1 | 76 |
| Table 4-2 | 77 |

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to acknowledge the support of USPACOM, J39 for encouraging this research.

The author would also like to thank Professor Dolk and LT Buettner for their continued support, guidance, and patience during the research, preparation, and processing of this thesis. Your help is truly appreciated.

A special thanks to the inspirations in my life, my two sons Tyrone, Jr. and Tyler. Daddy loves you.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND

The Information Operations (J39) shop at USPACOM in Camp Smith, Hawaii expressed an interest in developing a database that would track the sources of information input to adversary decision makers in the Pacific theater Area of Responsibility (AOR). For example, do North Korean leaders read USA Today? Or do Chinese leaders surf the Internet? This information is directly tied to the "observe" phase of the adversary's observe-orient-decide-act (OODA) loop. Obviously knowing what the adversary is observing is a big help to our theater Commanders-in-Chief (CinCs) when they are deciding how to affect the adversary OODA process. The current problem is, though some of this information may be known, it is currently scattered among various PACOM resources and is not at the immediate fingertips of the theater CinCs. The information is not tracked in an efficient manner and is not in a format that can be easily attained to make quick decisions.

Attacking this problem with a database solution provides a storage location to keep relevant information, and provides an efficient tracking device that formats the data making it easily accessible to the Pacific theater CinC. The completed database will give the CinC a mechanism for conducting appropriate Information Operations during peacetime as well as contingency operations.

Since all theater CinCs have similar situations regarding their current posture in this matter, this solution can be applied to all theaters. Generally speaking, the shell of the database will be the same in all theaters and the data will vary. This thesis will provide a database shell for all theater CinCs and the utility of the database will be proven in a prototype database developed using PACOM data.

Just as current database technology will provide a useful solution to USPACOM's problem, there are tools available today that provide support to decision making. Use of a Decision Support System (DSS) along with this database will greatly enhance the speed and quality of decisions made with respect to the data stored in this database. The possibility of using a DSS in conjunction with this database will be discussed but not developed in this thesis.

B. PURPOSE

The purpose of this thesis is to prove that database technology is an appropriate solution to USPACOM's problem and that other theater CinCs can easily develop a database applicable to their own AOR using the shell created in this project. USPACOM will be provided with a prototype database for Adversarial Decision-making in the Pacific Theater AOR and other CinCs will have a structure with which to build a database specific to their AOR. CinCs will have an efficient mechanism for conducting appropriate Information Operations during peacetime and contingency situations.

C. SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

The scope of this thesis will include: (1) a concise review of Database Technology, (2) an overview of the database requirements generated from interviews

with USPACOM personnel, (3) data compiled from USPACOM resources about information sources (media) utilized by adversaries, (4) a prototype database storing and displaying this data, and (5) an analysis on appropriate decision support tools for this database. This thesis will address unclassified media only (i.e. – television, radio, newspapers, and magazines) and will not address how adversaries utilize information attained from SIGINT and other Intelligence sources.

The methodology used in this thesis research will consist of the following steps:

- Conduct a thorough review of database technology and specifically the use of Microsoft Access, which will be used to build the prototype for USPACOM.
- 2. Elicit requirements from the Information Operations Shop at USPACOM.
- 3. Elicit data requirements for each high priority country in the USPACOM AOR to include key decision-makers, what media sources they use, how they use it, and the credibility of each source using USPACOM resources such as theater target specialists (data collection/interviews), and various websites.
- 4. Create a database shell using data fields that emerge from research and discussions, and which fulfill USPACOM requirements. This shell will be general in nature so that it can, in principle, be used by any theater CinC.
- Build a prototype database using the shell developed in step 5 and the compiled theater data for use by USPACOM.
- Review and analyze decision support tools that can utilize the data in the
 Database. The specific decision technology that will be looked at will be influence diagrams.

D. ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS

The following chapters in this thesis provide a discussion on database technology and how it should be implemented for this particular problem. It is organized as follows.

Chapter II is a concise overview of database technology. It includes current database options as well as a short analysis on which option is best for this application.

Chapter III outlines the various database requirements as defined by USPACOM and other theater CinCs and the database system is developed using these requirements.

Chapter IV walks through the implementation of the system. Forms to populate the database will be created along with the queries that will be used to generate the reports the system will be expected to provide.

Chapter V discusses decision support tools that can be used to analyze the data and provides analysis on the possibility of using a decision support system with this database.

Chapter VI provides a conclusion along with recommendations for further work on this project.

E. DISCLAIMER

The information generated from this system could be used in support of both friendly and adversary Information Operations. The use of any country or decision-maker in this thesis is for example purposes only and does not indicate any official position of the United States Government regarding the status of the country or the decision-maker.

II. OVERVIEW OF DATABASE TECHNOLOGY

A. INTRODUCTION

Prior to deriving the specific requirements for this database, it is important to understand the database technology landscape, including what options are currently available to database users today. In addition, it must be determined which of these options is the most appropriate selection for this particular case. This chapter will provides a concise review of relevant database concepts, an overview of options available to database users, and an application selection summarization.

B. REVIEW OF RELEVANT DATABASE CONCEPTS

A database is a collection of data that is organized so that its contents can be easily accessed, managed, and updated. Data in a relational system is stored in a tabular format. More specifically, a relational database is a collection of data items organized as a set of formally-described tables from which data can be accessed or reassembled in many different ways without having to reorganize the database tables. Figure 1 on the following page is an example of a relational database.

A Relational Data Base

AUTHOR

| au_id | au_lname | au_fname | address | city | state |
|-------------|------------|----------|----------------------|---------------|-------|
| 172-32-1176 | White | Johnson | 10932 Bigge Rd. | Menio Park | CA |
| 213-46-8915 | Green | Marjorie | 309 63rd St. #411 | Oakland | CA |
| 238-95-7766 | Carson | Chery 1 | 589 Darwin Ln. | Berkeley | CA |
| 267-41-2394 | O'Leary | Michael | 22 Cleveland Av. #14 | San Jose | CA |
| 274-80-9391 | Straight | Dean | 5420 College Av. | Dakland | CA |
| 341-22-1782 | Smith | Meander | 10 Mississippi Dr. | Lawrence | KS |
| 409-56-7008 | Bennet | Abraham | 6223 Bateman St. | Berkeleu | CA |
| 427-17-2319 | Dull | Ann | 3410 Blonde St. | Palo Alto | CA |
| 472-27-2349 | Gringlesby | Burt | P0 Box 792 | Covelo | CA |
| 486-29-1786 | Locksley | Charlene | 18 Broadway Av. | San Francisco | CA |

TITLE

| title_id | title | type | price | pub_id |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------|--------|
| BU1032 | The Busy Executive's Database Guide | business | 19.99 | 1389 |
| BU1111 | Cooking with Computers | business | 11.95 | 1389 |
| BU2075 | You Can Combat Computer Stress! | business | 2.99 | 736 |
| BU7832 | Straight Talk About Computers | business | 19.99 | 1389 |
| MC2222 | Silicon Valley Gastronomic Treats | mod_cook | 19.99 | 877 |
| MC3021 | The Gourmet Microwave | mod_cook | 2.99 | 877 |
| MC3026 | The Psychology of Computer Cooking | UNDECIDED | l | 877 |
| PC1035 | But Is It User Friendly? | popular_comp | 22.95 | 1389 |
| PC8888 | Secrets of Silicon Valley | popular_comp | 20 | 1389 |
| PC9999 | Net Etiquette | popular_comp | | 1389 |
| PS2091 | Is Anger the Enemy? | psychology | 10.95 | 736 |

PUBLISHER

| pub_id | pub_name | city |
|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| 736 | New Moon Books | Boston |
| 877 | Binnet & Hardley | Washington |
| 1389 | Algodata Infosystems | Berkeley |
| 1622 | Five Lakes Publishing | Chicago |
| 1756 | Ramona Publishers | Dallas |
| 9901 | GGG&G | München |
| 9952 | Scootney Books | New York |
| 9999 | Lucerne Publishing | Paris |

AUTHOR_TITLE

| au_id | title_id |
|-------------|----------|
| 172-32-1176 | PS3333 |
| 213-46-8915 | BU1032 |
| 213-46-8915 | BU2075 |
| 238-95-7766 | PC1035 |
| 267-41-2394 | BU1111 |
| 267-41-2394 | TC7777 |
| 274-80-9391 | BU7832 |
| 409-56-7008 | BU1032 |
| 427-17-2319 | PC8888 |
| 472-27-2349 | TC7777 |

Figure 2-1: Example of Relational Database

There are many software applications that can be utilized to design, build, and implement a database. Some of these will be discussed in a later section. In the database design phase, there are five steps.

- Planning and analysis
- Conceptual design
- Logical design
- Physical design
- Implementation

Each phase will be described in the following sub-sections with heavier emphasis on the Planning and Analysis and Conceptual Design phases since these are regarded as two of the more important aspects of database design. Using the analogy of building a house, it is important that the foundation be laid correctly or the integrity of the structure will be in question. For database design, the foundation consists of defining the requirements and building a proper data model. If this is not done correctly, the database cannot be expected to function as a useful tool for the user.

1. Planning and Analysis

The planning and analysis phase deals with the specifications of user requirements. The objective of this phase is to define what the database is expected to do. [Maciaszek 53] Before a database can be designed and developed, certain information must be obtained which will be used to drive the design phase. This critical information is gathered through requirements analysis. The goals of requirements analysis are:

- To determine the data requirements of the database in terms of primitive objects.
- To classify and describe the information about these objects.
- To identify and classify the relationships among the objects.
- To determine the types of transactions that will be executed on the database and the interactions between the data and the transactions.
- To identify the rules governing the integrity of the data.

This information can be gathered in several ways. Most commonly, information is obtained by reviewing existing documents and by interviewing end users. If a database already exists, another method would be to study the current system. In this case, there is no current system in existence to examine.

2. Conceptual Design

There are two models that make up the Conceptual phase. The Data Model and the Function Model are each described below.

a. The Data Model

A data model is a conceptual representation of the data structures that are required by a database. The data structures include three things: 1) the data objects, 2) the associations between data objects, and 3) the rules that govern operations on the objects. A data model is analogous to an architect's building plans or 'blueprints.' Just as it would be unwise for an architect to build without blueprints, it is equally unwise for a database developer to build a database without a data model. The data model focuses on representing the data as viewed by the user (vice the database) in the real world and

serves as a bridge between the concepts that make up real world events and the physical representation of those concepts in a database. A useful data model should be simple enough to be understood by the end-user, yet it must provide enough details so it can be used as a 'blueprint' by the developer for building the physical database.

There are two frequently used methodologies for creating data models: the Entity-Relationship (E-R) Model and the Semantic Object Model. The two methodologies are similar in that they are both tools for understanding and documenting the structure of users' data. They both strive to model the structure of the things in the users' world and the relationships among those things.

The primary difference between the two models is one of orientation. The E-R Model is the most common method used to build data models for relational databases and it views the real world as a construct of entities and association between those entities. The Semantic Object Model, on the other hand, uses the set of semantic objects as a map of the essential structure of things that the user sees as important. [Kroenke 102] The set of objects in a data model represents the structure of things about which a user wants to collect information. This project will utilize the Semantic Object Model for development of the data model. The following section provides a more detailed description of the Semantic Model.

The Semantic Object Model

The Semantic Object Model consists of objects and the relationships that exist between them. An object is a principal data item about which information is to be collected. They are usually recognizable concepts such as persons, places, things, or

events that have relevance to the database. An object in the Semantic Object Model translates to a table in the relational model. A relationship in the Semantic Object Model is an association between two or more objects.

Attributes are used to describe objects. Keys are a type of attribute that uniquely identifies an instance of an object (An instance would be an individual occurrence of an object and is analogous to a row in the relational table). Each object must have a primary key that uniquely identifies individual instances of that particular object. In order to be designated as a primary key for an object, an attribute must meet the following requirements:

- It must have a non-null value for each instance of the object.
- The value must be unique for each instance of the object.
- The values must not change or become null during the life of each instance.

Figure 2 depicts an example of a Semantic Object Model diagram and shows all of the concepts outlined above.




Figure 2-2: Example of Semantic Object Model

b. The Function Model

While the data model focuses on what data should be stored in the database, the function model focuses on how the data is processed. Stating this in the context of the relational database, the data model is used to design the relational tables, while the functional model is used to design the queries that will access and perform operations on those tables. The question to be answered here is, "What do you want the database to show you or provide to you?" The functional model outlines the answers to this question in as much detail as possible. Using this model, queries will be constructed to perform operations such as adding/deleting items to/from the database, updating information, and providing reports.

The two models in the conceptual design phase are built using input from the planning and analysis phase and, when performed correctly, provide a solid foundation with which to build the database. It is essential that the proper time and energy be devoted to these areas during the design process to ensure that a viable product will result.

3. Logical Design

From the Conceptual design, primarily the ER diagram, the Logical design of the database can be derived automatically. Once the logical design is developed, it is subjected to normalization. Normalization is the process of organizing the database into tables in such a way that the results of using the database are always unambiguous and as intended. Normalization sometimes has the effect of increasing the duplication of data items and quite often results in the creation of additional tables. Currently, there are five levels of normalization defined. Each level satisfies a different set of constraints. Tables 1, 2, and 3 show an example of normalizing data.

| S# | Status | City | P# | Qty |
|----|--------|-------------|----|------|
| S1 | 20 | Atlanta | P1 | 3000 |
| S1 | 20 | Atlanta | P2 | 2000 |
| S1 | 20 | Atlanta | P3 | 4000 |
| S1 | 20 | Atlanta | P4 | 2000 |
| S1 | 20 | Atlanta | P5 | 1000 |
| S1 | 20 | Atlanta | P6 | 1000 |
| S2 | 10 | Los Angeles | P1 | 3000 |
| S2 | 10 | Los Angeles | P2 | 4000 |
| S3 | 10 | Houston | P2 | 2000 |
| S4 | 20 | Chicago | P2 | 2000 |
| S4 | 20 | Chicago | P4 | 3000 |
| S4 | 20 | Chicago | P5 | 4000 |

Table 2-1: Example of Normalization

| S# | Status | City | |
|-----------|--------|-------------|--|
| S1 | 20 | Atlanta | |
| S2 | 10 | Los Angeles | |
| S3 | 10 | Houston | |
| S4 | 20 | Chicago | |
| S5 | 30 | New York | |

Table 2-2: Example of Normalization

| P# | S# Qty | | |
|----|------------|------|--|
| P1 | S1 | 3000 | |
| P1 | S2 3000 | | |
| P2 | S 1 | 2000 | |
| P2 | S2 | 4000 | |
| P2 | S 3 | 2000 | |
| P2 | S4 | 2000 | |
| P3 | S1 4000 | | |
| P4 | S 1 | 2000 | |
| P4 | S4 3000 | | |
| P5 | S1 | 1000 | |
| P5 | S4 | 4000 | |
| P6 | S1 | 1000 | |

Table 2-3: Example of Normalization

In Table 1, notice that the following update anomalies are present:

- Supplier S5 is located in New York but cannot be added until they supply a part.
- If a row is deleted, information is lost about quantity, part, and supplier.
- If supplier S1 moved from Atlanta to Charlotte, six rows would have to be updated with the new information.

When Table 1 is divided into Tables 2 and 3, these anomalies are fixed though others exist. In this example, further normalization should occur to reduce the amount of

anomalies. However, the example clearly shows the process of normalization and why it is important.

4. Physical Design

Physical characteristics of data tend to be hidden from the user in relational models. As database technology has improved through the years, designers are given less opportunity to control physical aspects of a relational database. The goal of physical database design is performance. A good physical design minimizes the number of input/output transfers and makes efficient use of external and virtual storage [Maciaszek 246]. Storage, access paths, and processing efficiency are all important aspects in meeting the performance objective. Since today's database management systems essentially take care of these issues for us, they will not be discussed any further for the purposes of this project.

5. Implementation

The final phase of the design phase, implementation, is actually creating the database and all of the functions that will be used with it. This involves creating the tables that will store the data, defining the relationships among the tables, and creating queries, forms and reports.

Queries, generally, are questions posed to the database to extract certain data. They are used as the basis for forms and reports. A form is a computer screen display used to present, enter, and modify data. A report is an extraction of data from a database. They can be printed, displayed or stored. Queries must be structured in a language that

can be understood by the database management application. The most widely used language for this purpose is known as Standard Query Language (SQL).

C. DATA MINING/WAREHOUSING, AND INFLUENCE DIAGRAMS

Three technologies that will aid in the development of a follow-on associated decision support system for this project include data mining, data warehousing, and influence diagrams. These technologies will be briefly introduced here, and then relevant issues pertaining to them will be discussed in greater detail along with suggestions for implementation in Chapter V.

Data mining is the analysis of data for relationships that have not previously been discovered. The results of data mining include the following:

- Associations when one event can be correlated to another event.
- Sequences when one event leads to another later event.
- Classification recognition of patterns resulting in a new organization of data.
- Clustering Finding and visualizing groups of facts not previously known.
- Forecasting discovering patterns in the data that can lead to predictions about the future.

Due to the possibilities of successful data mining, the data warehouse concept is gaining more and more acceptance as a viable option for decision support systems and database developers. A data warehouse is a store of enterprise data that is designed to facilitate management decision-making. It includes not only data, but also tools, procedures, training, personnel, and other resources that make access to the data easier and more relevant to decision-makers. The goal of the data warehouse is to increase the

value of the organization's data asset [Kroenke, 382]. Additionally, data warehousing allows users to define multiple dimensions by which to drill-down through data to get very specific, or conversely, highly aggregated information.

Once a decision-making problem has been analyzed, understood, and defined, one or more models are often constructed to provide decision alternatives to users. One class of models that is used frequently for this purpose is influence diagrams. Influence diagrams are used to map the structural design of a model. An influence diagram is a graphic representation of a model used to assist in model design, development, and understanding. It provides visual communication to the model developer(s), and serves as a framework for expressing the exact nature of model relationships [Turban, 150]. An influence diagram is similar to a flowchart, which is used as a design tool to graphically represent the flow of a computer program.

D. CURRENT DATABASE OPTIONS

There are many database products that can be used to meet the requirements for this project. However, a few of the more prominent applications will be introduced in this section in order to give the user brief familiarity with a some of the database options that exist today. All of the following options are desktop database products and will be the only type considered for this project.

1. Ingres II

Ingres II is a relational database management system that runs on Windows NT, Linux, and most Unix platforms. Like many of the relational database management system applications currently available, Ingres has begun to add object-oriented

development features to address the growing paradigm shift in this market towards more object-oriented database management systems. It uses Structured Query Language as its language for queries and database transactions.

2. InstaBase 4.0

InstaBase allows users to easily design any kind of database and publish it to the web. Therefore, multitudes of information can be shared with others through the web using an on-line database. InstaBase uses java technology so it can be run on any platform and viewed by anyone.

3. Microsoft Access 2000

Microsoft Access is one of the more popular relational database management systems for creating desktop and client/server database applications. It runs under the Windows operating system and is relatively easy to use. The 2000 version includes powerful tools for managing data and allows users to share their databases with others through an intranet. It allows for quick search and retrieval of information, and automated pre-packaged solutions help to quickly create new databases. Access supports SQL for development of queries.

4. Salsa for the Desktop

Salsa uses the Semantic Object Model vice the Entity-Relationship Model for database development. Database applications with SALSA, for the Desktop, are created by designing a model of the items to be tracked. The model simply describes the data. Using this model, SALSA for the desktop will create the database application. It runs on

the Windows operating system and can be used with other database management systems such as Microsoft's Access.

E. APPLICATION SELECTION

Table 4 shows the products discussed in the previous section and the criteria used to aid in the selection of an application to be used for this project. It is meant to give the reader a visual graph of the selection criteria so that the selection process can be easily understood.

| Products | Selection Criteria | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Ease of Use (0,1,2) | Uses SQL | Runs on Windows OS | IT-21 Compliant | |
| Ingres II | 1 | Yes | Yes | No | |
| Access 2000 | 0 | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Instabase 4.0 | 0 | Yes | Yes | No | |
| Salsa | 1 | Yes | Yes | No | |

Table 2-4: Database Product Selection Criteria

The selection of an application to use for this project takes into consideration the quality of the current options available, as well as the climate in which this database is to be used. Because of these considerations, Microsoft Access 2000 is best suited for the development of this database. Access is one of the more common Database Management Systems, is easy to use, and can be learned in a short amount of time. Most, if not all, military personnel are already familiar with the Microsoft Windows operating environment of which all Microsoft Office applications utilize. At the present time, Access 2000 is the latest version of Access and is fully deployed and fully capable. Even

though this will be used in the joint environment, IT-21 standards call for the utilization of Microsoft Office products; therefore Access 2000 is the logical choice to avoid joint-interoperability issues in the future.

F. DECISION SUPPORT TOOL SELECTION

This project is being developed in conjunction with other follow-on projects, which will all integrate to become one system, and takes into consideration the implementation issues of the big picture. Because of this, a tool called Situational Influence Assessment Module (SIAM) will be introduced in Chapter V as a decision support technology that can analyze the information in the database using influence diagrams to suggest decisions that could be used to benefit theater interests.

G. CHAPTER CONCLUSION

With a better understanding of some basic database concepts and technologies, we now focus on the design of the system. The development begins in Chapter III with a discussion and analysis of the project requirements. These requirements will be used to develop the data model, which leads to the creation of database tables.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

III. SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

A. INTRODUCTION

Requirements analysis is the first and most important aspect of building a database. It is a major part of the foundation on which the database will rest. During the requirements analysis phase, information that details what the database will be expected to do is collected from users. This critical information includes what reports and queries the users desire, what data is to be stored in the database, what types of functions the database will perform, and what kinds of security and access mechanisms will be implemented. For new database applications, requirements information is obtained primarily through an interview process with users, and focuses on reports that must be generated by the application.

The system being developed from this point forward will be referred to as ADMS, which is an acronym that stands for Adversary Decision Makers System. ADMS will be expected to store information on many countries and how these countries attain and use information from such unclassified media sources as newspapers, magazines, and television. Countries will be broken down into specific key decision-makers. Functionally, the database should be able to provide reports on such things as which media sources are most commonly used by a certain country, which decision-makers can be influenced by certain media sources, etc. These reports will be very useful when conducting theater Information Operations.

B. REQUIREMENTS

1. Reports

There are several reports that are expected to be provided by ADMS. These reports will provide the output of important data in the system in a form that is easy to read and understand, and will help CinCs in making important decisions regarding Information Operations. An example of each report type is provided in this section along with the query that will retrieve the data for the report.

The first five reports simply display general information in the form of lists about each of the main objects. These objects include Country, Decision-Maker, Media Source, Alliance, and Affiliation. Figure 3-1 shows an outline of the report that lists all of the countries in ADMS. It provides the name, priority, and type of government for each country in the system.

ADMS Report

All Countries

| Name | Priority | Gov't Type |
|------|----------|------------|
| | | |
| | | |

Figure 3-1: Sample Report

Figure 3-2 shows an outline of the report listing all of the decision-makers in ADMS. It provides the name, title, and country for each decision-maker in the system.

ADMS Report

All Decision-Makers

| LName | FName | Title | Country |
|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |

Figure 3-2: Sample Report

Figure 3-3 shows an outline of the report listing all of the media sources in ADMS. It provides the name, location, media type, and periodicity for each media source in the system.

ADMS Report

All Media Sources

| Name | Location | Туре | Periodicity |
|------|----------|------|-------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |

Figure 3-3: Sample Report

Figure 3-4 shows an outline of the report that listing all of the alliances in ADMS. For a specific country selected by the user, it provides the ally and the ally's type of government for each of that country's alliances stored in the system.

ADMS Report

All Alliances for Thailand




Figure 3-4: Sample Report

Figure 3-5 shows an outline of the report listing all of the affiliations in ADMS. For a specific country selected by the user, it provides the organization and type of affiliation for each of that country's affiliations stored in the system.

ADMS Report

All Affiliations for Japan

| Organization | Affiliation Type |
|--------------|------------------|
| | |
| | |

Figure 3-5: Sample Report

The rest of the reports dig deeper into the data to provide information that shows relationships among the entities within the system which can be analyzed and possibly used to suggest what actions can be taken in given situations. For example, we can

display all decision-makers in ADMS that use a specific media source. Figure 3-6 provides an outline of what this type of report should look like. It displays the name, title, and country for all decision-makers in ADMS that use a specific media as a reliable source.

ADMS Report Decision-Makers that use Newsweek magazine

| LName | FName | Title | Country |
|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |

Figure 3-6: Sample Report

Now, we can take that report a step further by "drilling down" a little more in the data. Figure 3-7 shows a similar report as in Figure 3-6, except that we now specify a country. Figure 3-7 shows a sample report listing all decision-makers in a specific country that use a specific media source. The report shows the name and title of each decision-maker in ADMS that fit this criterion.

ADMS Report Decision-Makers in North Korea that use USA Today

| LName | FName | Title |
|-------|-------|-------|
| | | |
| | | |

Figure 3-7: Sample Report

Figure 3-8 is an example of a report showing all of the media sources in the systems that are used by a particular country. The report shows the name, type of media source, and periodicity for each media source in ADMS that is used by a particular country.

ADMS Report

Media Sources used by Japan

| Name | Location | Туре | Periodicity |
|------|----------|------|-------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |

Figure 3-8: Sample Report

Figure 3-9 is an example of a report showing all of the countries in the system that uses a particular media source. The report shows the country, leader, and type of government for each country in ADMS that use a particular media source.

ADMS Report

Countries that use CNN

| Country | Leader | Govt Type |
|---------|--------|-----------|
| | | |
| | | |

Figure 3-9: Sample Report

Figure 3-10 is an example of a report showing all of the alliances in the systems that are with a particular government type. The report shows the ally and type of government for all alliances in ADMS that are with a particular type of government.

ADMS Report

All Alliances for China with Communist Governments

| Ally | Govt Type |
|------|-----------|
| | |
| | |

Figure 3-10: Sample Report

Figure 3-11 is an example of a report showing all of the affiliations of a particular type for a particular country. The report shows the organization, and type of affiliation for each affiliation listed in ADMS of a particular country and of a particular type.

ADMS Report

All National Affiliations for Russia

| Organization | Affiliation Type |
|--------------|------------------|
| | |
| | |

Figure 3-11: Sample Report

2. Functional Requirements

The functions that this database will be expected to perform will provide users a clear understanding of the data which will, in turn, enable theater CinCs to choose appropriate and effective Information Operations whenever necessary. A database full of data is grossly ineffective without proper functionality. It must be determined what data should be extracted from the database in order to receive specific information in a form that is useful to the end-user. The data will be retrieved from the database in the form of queries. The functional requirements outline the information that the database will be expected to provide.

The basic functional requirements for this project are as follows:

- Allow users to add, delete, or change data in the database using forms.
- Provide a report of all decision-makers that use a specific media source.
- Provide a report of all countries that use a specific media source.
- Provide a report of all media sources used by a specific country.
- Provide a report of all alliances for a particular country.
- Provide a report of all affiliations for a particular country.

In addition, there should be a capability for users to drill down through the data to get down to very specific information. For example, Affiliations can be categorized into National, Trans-National, and Organizational. Users may first want to see all affiliations for a particular country. Of that set, they can ask to see all Trans-National Affiliations for that country and a new table will appear. Of that set, they can ask to see all Trans-

National Affiliations for that country with a certain organization. The drilldown categories for ADMS are as follows:

1) All alliances

>>All alliances for a specific country

>>All alliances for a specific country where the ally has a specific government type.

2) All affiliations

>>All affiliations for a specific country

>>All affiliations for a specific country with a specific type of affiliation.

3) All media sources

>>All media sources for a specific country

>>All media sources of a specific type for a specific country.

4) All decision-makers

>>All decision-makers for a specific country

>>All decision-makers for a specific country who use a specific media source.

These functional requirements and drill-down categories allow users to "slice and dice" the data as necessary while providing potentially valuable information that can be analyzed and possibly used to determine what Information Operations actions may be effective.

3. Decision Support System (DSS) Requirements


With the database as a foundation, ADMS can utilize appropriate decision support tools to analyze the data contained in the system and contribute towards informed decisions with respect to Information Operations. These decisions will be influential in nature. Once we know who the key decision-makers are and how they are influenced, appropriate actions can be taken, if they are deemed necessary, in a given situation. The DSS component should take inputs from the database as well as other factors such as current political environment, military capabilities, and logistical constraints to aid CinCs in deciding what actions to take. This component will be discussed in Chapter V, but will not be implemented as a part of this project.


4. Security and Access Requirements


The data that will be contained in ADMS is sensitive and therefore will require a secure system as well as access constraints. Once ADMS is populated with data, it's classification will likely become SECRET and must be treated as such. Access to, and modification of, the data must be limited to those with the appropriate clearance and access privileges to complete tasks related to their jobs. A password system will be implemented to prevent unauthorized access to ADMS and all security measures should be strictly adhered to and enforced to avoid disclosure of ADMS information. Database encryption is another option depending on where the database will reside and whether or not it will be shared.


C. DATA MODEL

The next step in the design of ADMS is to use the requirements outlined above to create a data model. This model will provide a blueprint of the entities and how they relate to one another enabling an easy transition to the actual development of the database tables. The data model for ADMS uses a semantic object model representation, which is consistent with the object-oriented approach to systems development that characterizes contemporary information systems development. The ADMS semantic object model is shown in Figure 3-12. The objects and relationships in this model are described in Appendices A, B, and C.












Figure 3-12: ADMS Semantic Object Model

D. DATABASE STRUCTURE

1. Tables and Relationships

Using the data model developed in the previous section, tables can then be generated automatically in Microsoft Access 2000. Subsequently, a relationship model is created displaying all of the tables and their relationships. This model represents the database schema and is shown in Figure 3-13.




Figure 3-13: ADMS Relationships

The tables along with their relationships provide the structure of ADMS. Now the database is ready for implementation. Forms can be created to populate the database, queries can be formally developed, and the reports shown earlier can be designed. This implementation phase of the development will be presented in Chapter IV.

2. Tables and Attributes

This section discusses the data tables generated for ADMS. The following narrative enumerates a data dictionary that will describe the attributes for each table. The data that will be required to build this database and make it useful is quite extensive.

Seven (7) tables form the basis of ADMS: Country, Decision Maker, Media Source, Alliance, Affiliation, Country_AffiliationGroup_X, and DecisionMaker_Media_Source_X.

The first table, *Country*, contains adversarial countries in the theater's Area of Responsibility (AOR). In the case of USPACOM, there are more than forty instances of potentially adversarial countries. However, six countries have been selected as the top priorities in this AOR, and these six countries will be used for building the prototype. The users of this prototype will have the capability to add or delete countries as they deem necessary, subject of course to the appropriate access privileges. Table 3-1 displays the data dictionary for the Country table.

| Attribute | Data Type | Description |
|-------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| CountryName | Text (15) | Name of Country |
| Priority | Number (2) | Current Theater Priority |
| Leader | Text (30) | Name of the Country's Leader |
| GovtType | Text (Select) | Type of Government utilized by the Country |

Table 3-1: Data Dictionary for Country Object

The second table, *Decision Maker*, contains a row for each person within a country who is a key player in his/her government's decision-making loop and whose decisions and actions may be influenced by certain media sources. Decision-makers will be the focus of any Information Operations conducted against a target country and information regarding all key decision-makers is highly important to the utility of the database. Table 3-2 displays the data dictionary for the table Decision-Maker.

| Attribute | Data Type | Description |
|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|
| LName | Text (15) | Last Name of Decision-Maker |
| FName | Text (15) | First Name of Decision-Maker |
| Title | Text (15) | Title of Decision-Maker |
| Country | Text (25) | Decision-Makers Country |

Table 3-2: Data Dictionary for Decision-Maker Object

The third table, *Media Source*, contains publications (such as a newspaper or magazine) or broadcasts (such as radio or television) that is utilized by key decision-makers in a country. The media source is what potentially can be used to perform theater Information Operations. Table 3-3 displays the data dictionary for the table Media Source.

| Attribute | Data Type | Description |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Name | Text (15) | Name of Media Source |
| Туре | Text (Select) | Type of Media Source |
| Location | Text (15) | Location of Media Source |
| Periodicity | Text (Select) | Periodicity of Media Source |
| Ownership | Text (20) | Owner of Media Source |

Table 3-3: Data Dictionary for Media Source Object

The fourth table, *Alliance*, captures recognized partnerships between two countries that should be taken into consideration when making Information Operations decisions. The data dictionary for the table alliance is shown in Table 3-4.

| Attribute | Data Type | Description |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Country | Text (25) | Name of Country |
| Ally | Text (25) | Name of Ally |
| Ally_Govt_Type | Text (Select) | Ally's type of government |

Table 3-4: Data Dictionary for Alliance Object

The fifth table, Affiliation, represents understood relationships between countries and organizations that should also be taken into consideration when making Information Operations decisions. For example, if a country is affiliated with a certain kind of organization, this could effect how a theater CinC approaches a situation and

subsequently, his final decisions. The data dictionary for the table affiliation is shown in Table 3-5.

| Attribute | Data Type | Description |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Country | Text (25) | Name of Country |
| Affiliation | Text (25) | Name of Organization |
| AffliationType | Text (Select) | Type of Affiliation |

Table 3-5: Data Dictionary for Affiliation Object

The final two tables, Country_AffiliationGroup_X, and DecisionMaker_Media_Source_X relate objects that have a many-to-many relationship. The attributes in these two tables exist in previously mentioned object tables and have already been described above.

These seven tables and their attributes form the database schema resulting from the requirements for ADMS. A complete data dictionary is provided in Appendix D.

E. CHAPTER CONCLUSION

Having created the database schema, ADMS is now ready for implementation. Forms will be created that will be used to populate the database. Queries that will serve as the foundation for the reports to users will be formally developed. These forms, queries, and reports will be the focus of Chapter IV.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

IV. DATABASE IMPLEMENTATION

A. INTRODUCTION

Once the database structure has been developed, the implementation of the database can begin. This implementation phase consists of creating forms that will help users input data, populating the database (in this case, using prototype data), developing queries that will poll and retrieve data, and finally creating reports in a format that conforms to the report requirements identified by users (see Chapter II). The results of ADMS implementation are contained in the following sections.

B. FORMS

Seven forms have been created to allow users to enter data into the seven tables developed in Chapter III. These forms contain text boxes where users can enter the information by typing or, in the case of drop-down boxes, selecting the appropriate data. Each of the forms has "add" and "delete" command buttons that, when selected, will add, update, or delete records from the relevant table. In addition, each form has a textbox to enter the "Date Last Updated." This information will be stored so that users will know how old records are. The created forms are shown in Figures 4-1 through 4-7.




Figure 4-1: Country Form




Figure 4-2: Decision-Maker Form




Figure 4-3: Media Source Form




Figure 4-4: Alliance Form




Figure 4-5: AffiliationGroup Form




Figure 4-6: Decision-Makers Media Source Sub-Form




Figure 4-7: Country's Affiliations Form

In addition to the forms that are used to enter data into the database, forms will be included in system menus and sub-menus to allow the user to navigate through the ADMS and create a user-friendly environment. This 'switchboard menu system' will welcome users into ADMS displaying a menu with a list of options. These options will each lead to a sub-menu and, subsequently, will eventually lead to a form that will allow the user to add a record, edit a record, delete a record, or view a specified report. Some of these menus are shown in Figures 4-8 through 4-10.




Figure 4-8: Main Menu Form




Figure 4-9: Add/Update Sub-Menu Form




Figure 4-10: Reports Sub-Menu Form

C. POPULATING THE DATABASE

Now that forms have been created, the database can be populated with sample data creating a prototype to be used as a proof of concept. Using the forms created in the previous section, sample data was entered into each table. These tables containing the sample data are shown in Figures 4-8 through 4-14. All sample data is notional and does not represent official U.S. Government positions.

| | CountryName | GovtType | Priority Leader |
|---|---------------|-----------|----------------------|
| > | + China | Communist | 1 Jiang Zemin |
| | + India | Democracy | 2 Kicheril Narayanan |
| | + Indonesia | Democracy | 3 Abdurrahman Wahio |
| | + Japan | Socialist | 4 Emperor Akihito |
| | + Russia | Democracy | 5 Vladimir Putin |
| | + North Korea | Socialist | 6 Kim Chong-II |

Figure 4-11: Country Table

| 1 | DMId_LastName | DMId_FirstName | Title | Country CountryName FK |
|---|---------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| | Akihito | Emperor | Emperor | Japan |
| | Bangguo | ₩u | Vice-Premier | China |
| | Chong-II | Kim | Chief of State | North Korea |
| | Jiabao | Wen | Vice-Premier | China |
| | Jintao | Hu | Vice-President | China |
| | Kant | Krishnan | Vice-President | India |
| | Kasyanov | Mikhail | Premier | Russia |
| 1 | Khristenko | Viktor | Deputy Premier | Russia |
| | Klebanov | liya | Deputy Premier | Russia |
| | Koshman | Nikolay | Deputy Premier | Russia 👑 👵 |
| | Kuzhugetovsky | Sergey | Deputy Premier | Russia |
| | Langing | Li | Vice-Premier | China |
| 1 | Matviyenko | Valentina | Deputy Premier | Russia |
| 1 | Megawati | Rahmil | Vice-President | Indonesia |
| 1 | Mori | Yoshiro | Prime Minister | Japan |
| ı | Narayanan | Kicheril | President | India |
| | Putin | Vladimir | President | Russia |
| I | Qichen | Qian | Vice-Premier | China |
| 1 | Rongji | Zhu | Premier | China |
| 1 | Shcherbak | Vladimir | Deputy Premier | Russia |
| 1 | Song-Nam | Hong | Premier | North Korea |
| 1 | Vajpayee | Atal | Prime Minister | India |
| | Wahid | Abdurrahman | President | Indonesia |
| | Zemin | Jiang | President | China |

Figure 4-12: Decision-Maker Table

| ¥2 | MediaName | MediaPeriodicity | MediaType | MediaLocation | Ownership |
|-------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|
| > | * 550 | hourly | radio | London | Big Ben |
| | * CNN | daily | television | Atlanta | Red Hot |
| | + CSPAN | daily | television | Chicago | The White Snow Co |
| | ★ New York Times | daily | newspaper | New York | The Gray Brothers |
| | * Newsweek | weekly | magazine | New York | Black and White |
| 55 | | weekly | magazine | Los Angelos | Gold Mine |
| | + WABC | variable | radio | Miami | The Heat Group |
| | * Washington Post | daily | newspaper | Washington | The Blue Group |
| | * www.dowjone.com | hourly | ₩eb | New York | Green Backs |
| î d | * www.tech.com | variable | web | Boston | Red Sock |

Figure 4-13: Media Source Table

| | Allianceld_AllyCountry | Allianceld_Country_CountryName_FK | AllyGovtType |
|----------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| <u> </u> | Budapest | India | Democracy |
| | Kazakhstan | Russia | Democracy |
| | Lithuania | Russia | Democracy |
| | Philippines | Japan | Socialist |
| | Russia | China | Democracy |
| Î | Saudi Arabia | India | Democracy |
| | Singapore | Indonesia | Socialist |
| | South Korea | Indonesia | Democracy |
| | Thailand | China | Socialist |
| | Turkey | North Korea | Socialist |
| | United States | Japan | Democracy |
| | Vietnam | North Korea | Communist |

Figure 4-14: Alliance Table

| 2.3 | AffiliationName | AffiliationTyp |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| ▶ | 🗈 Asian Anti-Terrorism Group | Trans-National |
| | Chinese Reform Group | National |
| | Communist Resurgence Board | Organizational |
| 7 | * Eastern Asian Unification Org | Organizational |
| | + Former Soviet States | Trans-National |
| | Indian Technology Association | National |
| | Indonesian Relief Associates | National |
| | * Pacific Rim Organization | Organizational |
| | + Red Moon Group | Trans-National |
| | * Rising Sun Association | National |
| | + Russian Federation | National |

Figure 4-15: Affiliation Group Table

| | Decision Maker_ | DMId_LastName_FK | Decision Maker_DMId_ | FirstName_FK Media Source |
|----|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| > | Akihite | | Emperor | US World Report |
| | Akihito | | Emperor | WABC |
| | Bangguo | | Wu | CNN |
| | Chong-I! | | Kim | New York Times |
| | Chong-II | | Kim | www.tech.com |
| - | Jiabao | | Wen | New York Times |
| | Jintao | | Hu | Newsweek |
| | Jintao | | Hu | Washington Pos |
| | Kant | | Krishnan | New York Times |
| | Kant | | Krishnan | Washington Pos |
| | Khristenko | | Viktor | www.dowjone.co |
| | Klebanov | 1 175 - Ann Auffe, in a concentration of a state of a s | llγa | BBC |
| | Klebanov | | llya | CSPAN |
| | Koshman | | Nikolay | US World Report |
| ٦ | Kuzhugetovsky | Conservation of the advancement for the conservation of the conser | Sergey | CNN |
| | Kuzhugetovsky | | Sergey | WABC |
| | Langing | <u> </u> | i | US World Report |
| | Langing | į | j | www.dowjone.com |
| ٦ | Matviyenko | | /alentina | Washington Post |
| | Matviyenko | | /alentina | www.tech.com |
| ٦ | Megawati | | Rahmil | US World Report |
| ٦ | Megawati | : [| Rahmil | www.tech.com |
| 1 | Mori | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | oshiro (| CSPAN |
| 1 | Mori | | oshiro | Newsweek |
| | Narayanan | : } | Gcheril | BBC |
| | Narayanan | () | Gcheril | CSPAN |
| | Qichen | į |)ian | CSPAN |
| | Rongji | 2 | hu | WABC |
| 1 | Rongji | Z | hu | www.tech.com |
| | Shcherbak | | /ladimir | New York Times |
| | Shcherbak | | /ladimir | Newsweek |
| | Sono-Nam | | lona | Washington Post |
| | Vajpayee | | tal | Newsweek |
| - | Vajpayee | | tal | WABC |
| -1 | Wahid | ž | bdurrahman | Newsweek |

Figure 4-16: Decision Maker's Media Sources Table

| Country_CountryName_FK | AffiliationGroup_AffiliationName_FK |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| > Shina | Asian Anti-Terrorism Group |
| China | Chinese Reform Group |
| China | Communist Resurgence Board |
| China | Eastern Asian Unification Org |
| India | Asian Anti-Terrorism Group |
| India | Indian Technology Association |
| Indonesia | Indonesian Relief Associates |
| Indonesia | Red Moon Group |
| Japan | Asian Anti-Terrorism Group |
| Japan | Eastern Asian Unification Org |
| Japan | Pacific Rim Organization |
| Japan | Rising Sun Association |
| North Korea | Communist Resurgence Board |
| North Korea | Eastern Asian Unification Org |
| North Korea | Red Moon Group |
| Russia | Former Soviet States |
| Russia | Red Moon Group |
| | Russian Federation |

Figure 4-17: Country's Affiliations Table

D. QUERIES AND REPORTS

Once the database has been populated, SQL queries can be directed to ADMS to retrieve data of interest to users. Database query objects in Access 2000 are written in Structured Query Language (SQL), a common database language similar to a programming language. The SQL statements tell Access which tables or queries you want to query (queries can also be queried since a query always creates a table), which fields you want returned as part of the answer, and which data selection operations should be performed as part of the query (i.e. – sorting, selection criteria, etc.) [Nelson, 93].

The queries developed for ADMS will yield the reports that users will want to see. There are well over a hundred queries needed to provide all of the ADMS reports.

Thus, each query and report will not be shown here, but rather a sample of each type of

query and report. Using the queries, reports that will display the retrieved data in an easily understood format can be created. For each type of query, a sample report of the same type follows it. These queries and reports follow and are preceded by an explanation of what the query is asking in general terms.

The following query retrieves a list of all of the countries stored in ADMS along with attributes.

SELECT [CountryName], [GovtType], [Priority], [Leader] FROM Country;

ADMS Report

All Countries

| Priority Country Name | Govt Type | Leader |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 1 China | Communist | Jiang Zemin |
| 2 India | Democracy | Kicheril Narayanan |
| 3 Indonesia | Democracy | Abdurrahman Wahid |
| 4 Japan | Socialist | Emperor Akihito |
| 5 Russia | Democracy | Vladimir Putin |
| 6 North Korea | Socialist | Kim Chong-II |

The following query retrieves a list of all of the decision-makers stored in ADMS along with their attributes.

SELECT [DMId_LastName], [DMId_FirstName], [Title], [Country_CountryName_FK]
FROM [Decision Maker];

ADMS Report

All Decision Makers

| Country Name | LastName | FirstName | Title |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| China | - 100 - 1 - 100 - 1 - 100 - 1 - 100 - 1 - 1 | | 2 P 400 C 1 Mills 2 C Add 2 C 400 C 7 May C 2 Add 7 C 400 C 7 C 400 C 2 C 400 C 2 C 400 C 2 C |
| | Bangguo | Wu | Vice-Premier |
| | Jiabao | Wen | Vice-Premier |
| | Jintao | Hu | Vice-President |
| | Lanqing | Li | Vice-Premier |
| | Qichen | Qian | Vice-Premier |
| | Rongji | Zhu | Premier |
| | Zemin | Jiang | President |
| India | | | |
| | Kant | Krishnan | Vice-President |
| | Narayanan | Kicheril | President |
| | Vajpayee | Atal | Prime Minister |
| Indonesia | | | |
| | Megawati | Rahmil | Vice-President |
| | Wahid | Abdurrahman | President |
| Japan | | | |
| | Akihito | Emperor | Emperor |
| | Mori | Yoshiro | Prime Minister |
| North Korea | | | |
| | Chong-II | Kim | Chief of State |
| | Song-Nam | Hong | Premier |
| Russia | | | |
| | Kasyanov | Mikhail | Premier |
| | Khristenko | Viktor | Deputy Premier |
| | Klebanov | llya | Deputy Premier |
| | Koshman | Nikolay | Deputy Premier |
| | Kuzhugetovsky | Sergey | Deputy Premier |
| | Matviyenko | Valentina | Deputy Premier |
| | Putin | Vladimir | President |
| | Shcherbak | Vladimir | Deputy Premier |

The following query retrieves a list of all of the media sources stored in ADMS along with their attributes.

SELECT [MediaName], [MediaPeriodicity], [MediaType], [MediaLocation], [Ownership]
FROM [Media Source];

ADMS Report

All Media Sources

| Media Name | Periodicity | Туре | Location | Ownership |
|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------------|
| BBC | hourly | radio | London | Big Ben |
| CNN | daily | television | Atlanta | Red Hot |
| CSPAN | daily | television | Chicago | The White Snow |
| New York Ti | daily | newspaper | New York | The Gray Brother |
| Newsweek | weekly | magazine | New York | Black and White |
| US World R | weekly | magazine | Los Angelos | Gold Mine |
| WABC | variable | radio | Miami | The Heat Group |
| Washington | daily | newspaper | Washington | The Blue Group |
| www.dowjon | hourly | web | New York | Green Backs |
| www.tech.co | variable | web | Boston | Red Sock |

The following query retrieves a list of all of the alliances stored in ADMS along with their attributes.

SELECT [AllianceId_Country_CountryName_FK], [AllianceId_AllyCountry], [AllyGovtType] FROM Alliance;

All Alliances

| Country Name | Ally | Ally Govt Type |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| China | ٠ (١١٠٠ - ١١٠٠ - ١٠ (١١٠٠ - ١٠ (١١٠٠ - ١١٠ (١١٠٠ - ١١٠ (١١٠٠ - ١١٠ (١١٠ - ١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠) (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠) (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠) (١١٠ (١١٠ (١١٠) (١١٠ (١١٠) (١١٠ (١١٠) (١١٠ (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠ (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠ (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (11.0 (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (11.0 (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١٠) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (11) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١١) (١ | |
| | Russia | Democracy |
| | Thailand | Socialist |
| India | | |
| | Budapest | Democracy |
| | Saudi Arabia | Democracy |
| Indonesia | | |
| | Singapore | Socialist |
| | South Korea | Democracy |
| Japan | | |
| | Philippines | Socialist |
| | United States | Democracy |
| North Korea | | |
| | Turkey | Socialist |
| | Vietnam | Communist |
| Russia | | |
| | Kazakhstan | Democracy |
| | Lithuania | Democracy |
| | | |

The following query retrieves a list of all affiliation groups stored in ADMS along with their attributes.

SELECT [AffiliationName], [AffiliationType] FROM AffiliationGroup;

All Affiliations

| Affiliation Name | Affiliation Type | | | |
|------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |

Asian Anti-Terrorism Group Trans-National

Chinese Reform Group National

Communist Resurgence Boar Organizational

Eastern Asian Unification Org Organizational

Former Soviet States Trans-National

Indian Technology Association National

Indonesian Relief Associates National

Pacific Rim Organization Organizational

Red Moon Group Trans-National

Rising Sun Association National

Russian Federation National

The following query retrieves a list of all affiliations of a given country along with their attributes.

SELECT AffiliationGroup.AffiliationName,
AffiliationGroup.AffiliationType
FROM AffiliationGroup INNER JOIN Country_AffiliationGroup_X ON
AffiliationGroup.AffiliationName =
Country_AffiliationGroup_X.AffiliationGroup_AffiliationName_FK
WHERE (((Country_AffiliationGroup_X.Country_CountryName_FK)=
[CountryName:]))
ORDER BY AffiliationGroup.AffiliationName;

All Indian Alliances

| Ally | Govt Type |
|--------------|-----------|
| Budapest | Democracy |
| Saudi Arabia | Democracy |

The following query retrieves a list of all alliances of a given country along with their attributes.

SELECT [Alliance].[AllianceId_AllyCountry], [Alliance].[AllyGovtType]
FROM Alliance
WHERE
((([Alliance].[AllianceId_Country_CountryName_FK])=[CountryName:]))
ORDER BY [Alliance].[AllianceId_AllyCountry];

All Japanese Affiliations

| Affiliation Name | Affiliation Type |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Asian Anti-Terrorism Group | Trans-National |
| Eastern Asian Unification Org | Organizational |
| Pacific Rim Organization | Organizational |
| Rising Sun Association | National |
| | |

The following query retrieves a list of a given country's decision-makers along with their attributes.

SELECT [Decision Maker].DMId_LastName, [Decision Maker].DMId_FirstName, [Decision Maker].Title FROM [Decision Maker] WHERE ((([Decision Maker].Country_CountryName_FK)=[CountryName:)) ORDER BY [Decision Maker].DMId_LastName;

All Indonesian Decision-Makers

| Last Name | First Name | Title |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|
| Megawati | Rahmil | Vice-President |
| Wahid | Abdurrahman | President |

The following query retrieves a list of all media sources used by a given country along with their attributes.

SELECT [Media Source].MediaName, [Media Source].MediaPeriodicity, [Media Source].MediaType, [Media Source].MediaLocation
FROM [Media Source] INNER JOIN ([Decision Maker] INNER JOIN
[Decision Maker_Media Source_X] ON ([Decision Maker].DMId_FirstName
= [Decision Maker_Media Source_X].[Decision
Maker_DMId_FirstName_FK]) AND ([Decision Maker].DMId_LastName = [Decision Maker_Media Source_X].[Decision
Maker_DMId_LastName_FK])) ON [Media Source].MediaName = [Decision Maker_Media Source_X].[Media Source_MediaName_FK]
WHERE
((([Decision Maker].Country_CountryName_FK)=[CountryName:]))
ORDER BY [Media Source].MediaName;

All Media Sources used by Russia

| MediaName | Periodicity | Туре | Location |
|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| CSPAN | daily | television | Chicago |
| Newsweek | weekly | magazine | New York |
| US World Rep | weekly | magazine | Los Angelos |
| WABC | variable | radio | Miami |

The following query retrieves a list of all decision-makers who use a given media source along with their attributes.

SELECT [Decision Maker].DMId_LastName, [Decision Maker].DMId_FirstName, [Decision Maker].Title, [Decision Maker].Country_CountryName_FK
FROM [Decision Maker] INNER JOIN [Decision Maker_Media Source_X]
ON ([Decision Maker].DMId_FirstName = [Decision Maker_Media Source_X].[Decision Maker_DMId_FirstName_FK]) AND ([Decision Maker].DMId_LastName = [Decision Maker_Media Source_X].[Decision Maker_DMId_LastName_FK])
WHERE ((([Decision Maker_Media Source_X].[Media Source_MediaName_FK])=[MediaName:]))
ORDER BY [Decision Maker].DMId_LastName;

All Decision-Makers who use CNN

| Country Name | Last Name | First Name | Title |
|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------|
| China | | | |
| | Bangguo | Wu | Vice-Premier |
| Russia | | | |
| | Kuzhugetovsky | Sergey | Deputy Premier |

The following query retrieves a list of all alliances with a specific government type of a given country along with their attributes.

SELECT [JapanAlliancesQuery].[AllianceId_AllyCountry]
FROM JapanAlliancesQuery
WHERE ((([JapanAlliancesQuery].[AllyGovtType])=[GovtType:]))
ORDER BY [JapanAlliancesQuery].[AllianceId_AllyCountry];

| Ali | Democratic | Alliances | for | Japa |
|-----|------------|-----------|-----|------|
|-----|------------|-----------|-----|------|

Ally

United States

The following query retrieves a list of a given country's affiliations of a specific type along with their attributes.

SELECT [IndiaAffiliations].[AffiliationName]
FROM IndiaAffiliations
WHERE ((([IndiaAffiliations].[AffiliationType])=[AffiliationType:]))
ORDER BY [IndiaAffiliations].[AffiliationName];

All Indian Affiliations

Affiliation Name

Indian Technology Association

The following query retrieves a list of a given country's decision-makers who use a specific media source along with their attributes.

SELECT [AllNewsweekDM's].[DMId_LastName], [AllNewsweekDM's].[DMId_FirstName], [AllNewsweekDM's].[Title] FROM [AllNewsweekDM's] WHERE

((([AllNewsweekDM's].[Country_CountryName_FK])=[CountryName:]))

All Decision-Makers in China who use Newswee

| Last Name | First Name | Title |
|-----------|------------|----------------|
| Jintao | Hu | Vice-President |

Users can retrieve certain information from ADMS and then ask more specific questions (through queries) to further 'drill-down' into the data. For example, a user may want to see all decision-makers in India. Of that list the user may ask to see which use a printed media source (magazine or newspaper). Of that list the user may then want to see which use newspapers. Finally, a user could poll the last query to find out which use either the Washington Post or the Chicago Tribune. For drill-down queries, the following dimensions are relevant and can be defined further through hierarchies:

- Media source
- Government type
- Affiliation type

Each of these dimensions can be broken down into the following hierarchical structures shown in Figures 4-15 through 4-17:




Figure 4-18: Media Source Hierarchy




Figure 4-19: Government Type Hierarchy




Figure 4-20: Affiliation Type Hierarchy

Using these drill-down dimensions, a query can be structured to retrieve, as an example, the number of decision-makers in each country who use print media and communications media. A sample table reflecting this retrieval is shown in Table 4-1.

| | Print | Comms | Total |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
| China | 21 | 35 | 56 |
| India | 17 | 31 | 48 |
| Indonesia | 11 | 18 | 29 |
| Japan | 41 | 38 | 79 |
| North Korea | 12 | 19 | 31 |
| Russia | 54 | 67 | 121 |
| Total | 156 | 208 | 364 |

Table 4-1: Drill-down Sample

The user could then drill down by print media to see how many decision-makers use magazines and newspapers. A sample table is shown in Table 4-2.

| | Magazines | Newspapers | Total |
|-------------|-----------|------------|-------|
| China | 10 | 11 | 21 |
| India | 9 | 8 | 17 |
| Indonesia | 7 | 4 | 11 |
| Japan | 17 | 24 | 41 |
| North Korea | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Russia | 23 | 31 | 54 |
| Total | 72 | 84 | 156 |

Table 4-2: Drill-down Sample

E. DATABASE ADMINISTRATION ISSUES

Because ADMS will store highly sensitive data, certain database administration issues need to be addressed. These issues include Security and Recovery/Backup. The main concern with security is ensuring that only those users with the appropriate clearance and need-to-know will be able to access/manipulate information contained in ADMS. As mentioned in Chapter II, passwords and data encryption are two measures that can be taken to provide additional security. With respect to Recovery/Backup, the concern is to ensure the system can be restored if it is completely or partially destroyed. Replication is a feature that allows a database to be copied into a backup file and subsequently recovered if necessary. These features, provided in Access 2000, will be briefly discussed in the following paragraphs.

Access provides a password feature that restricts access to the database until a valid password is entered. Whenever the database is opened, a dialogue box that requests

the password is displayed and the user cannot access the database until a valid password is entered. The password is encrypted so that it cannot be accessed by anyone reading the database file. Once the database is opened, the user has access to all objects and functions within the system. Access can also encrypt the database making it indecipherable to unauthorized users. Only authorized users will have the ability to decrypt the database and view its contents.

A complete backup copy of ADMS should be made anytime there are changes to the database in case of tampering or physical destruction of the system. With a backup copy, the system can be fully restored. Additionally, if the database behaves unpredictably, it may be damaged. Access has a Repair Database feature under the Database Utilities tool.

F. CHAPTER SUMMARY

The completion of the implementation phase brings an end to the development cycle of the database. The system has now been designed and subsequently proven to be capable of meeting all of the requirements discussed in Chapter III. It is envisioned that the ADMS system will also include one or more decision support tools that will analyze the data contained in the database to help users make operational decisions. Suggestions for implementation of decision support capabilities are discussed in the next chapter.

V. DECISION SUPPORT FOR ADMS

A. INTRODUCTION

As stated earlier it is envisioned that ADMS will not only be composed of the database developed in this thesis, but also will integrate various decision support tools to analyze the database information and help users make better informed decisions. The actual decision support system will be developed in a follow-on project. Here, as the final part of this project, a suggestion for integrating the decision support tool called SIAM will be proposed as a starting block for the developers of the follow-on decision support system. The proposal will follow a brief review of decision support systems as well as a brief overview of the SIAM tool.

B. DECISION SUPPORT TECHNOLOGY

A decision support system couples the intellectual resources of individuals with the capabilities of the computer to improve the quality of decisions. It is a computer-based support system for management decision-makers who deal with semi-structured problems [Turban, 13].

There are many different types of decision support tools. Knowledge-based Systems, Expert Systems, Artificial Intelligence, Data Mining, Neural Networks, Genetic Algorithms, and Intelligent Agents are a few of the various decision technologies. Some have been around for a number of years and are more commonly used while others are new technologies that are still proving their utility today. The selection of the appropriate type of decision support tool is primarily dependent on what requirements users have for

analysis. For ADMS, it is inherently obvious that the concern is how decision-makers are influenced. Consequently, the influence diagram is a reasonable choice as a type of decision support tool to be used with ADMS. Since Influence networks are an appropriate selection and because follow-on work is already in progress using an influence networking decision support tool known as Situational Influence Assessment Module (SIAM), it is recommended that SIAM be used with ADMS. An overview of SIAM is provided in the following section.

C. SITUATIONAL INFLUENCE ASSESSMENT MODULE (SIAM)

SIAM is an automated decision support tool that implements the principle of Influence Net modeling (see Influence Diagrams, Chapter II). Influence Net modeling, or Influence diagrams, allows users to construct graphical representations of complex, cause-and-effect relationships involving uncertainty [Sands, 1]. These networks can be used to identify those important issues, actions, or factors that have the potential for influencing a specific outcome in a given situation. SIAM is used to provide a quick analysis of causal relationships in the following ways: 1) Help give structure to a problem, 2) Identify the various elements that come into play, and 3) Explore how those elements interact via "what if" analysis. As an example, when a theater CinC is planning Information Operations and wants to affect the outcome of a situation, SIAM can be used to identify the primary factors that could possibly influence the situation towards a favorable outcome.

The first step is to construct the influence network and then, once this is done, "what if" analysis can be conducted to examine the possible effects that certain actions

will have on the outcome. Unintended consequences can also be identified. SIAM can show how a small change in one event can affect the entire net. Thus, SIAM essentially highlights the links that could change the outcome of a scenario.

A SIAM influence network is developed by first identifying a root node. This root node constitutes the ultimate goal or outcome of a situation. Secondly, nodes that have immediate causal effect on the root node are identified. These immediate causal nodes have a direct effect on the root node and may also affect other nodes in the network. It is important to note here that influence diagrams are probabilistic models and there are uncertainties and probabilities associated with each causal effect. The network expands until all possible influences on each node have been included. Causal nodes are nodes that do not directly affect the root node, but affect it indirectly through other nodes. Initial causal nodes are nodes that affect other nodes but are not affected themselves. Initial nodes can be thought of as the start of a series of chain reactions (influenced events) that ultimately lead to the root node through a set of intermediate nodes [Sands, 2]. Figure 5-1 depicts an influence network that reinforces these concepts.

Just as it is important to understand what SIAM is, it is equally important to understand what SIAM is not. SIAM is a tool; it is not a solution. SIAM is not a substitute for judgment. It is possible, however, to capture expert judgment in it. In fact, the ability to capture expertise and keep it up to date is one of the valuable benefits of using SIAM. It must be underscored that this tool is very useful for exploring alternatives, and as the situation changes, users must update the model to reflect those changes [Sands, 10].




Figure 5-1: Influence Network Diagram

D. DATABASE AND DECISION SUPPORT INTEGRATION

As indicated in the introduction of this chapter, ADMS is envisioned to consist of not only the database developed in Chapter III, but also an integrated decision support tool (SIAM). The integration of these two systems will be performed as follow-on to this project. This section provides a suggested point of origin on how to go about building the SIAM architecture using the database developed here. The SIAM portion of ADMS

will actually consist of a set of influence networks. Each country listed in the ADMS database will have an influence network summarizing the structure of the events, people, and media sources that have an influence on decision-makers and, ultimately, the top-level decision-maker.

The top-level decision-maker will be the root node for each countries influence network diagram. Everything that directly influences the top-level decision-maker (i.e. his advisors, events, media sources) will branch off of and point to the root node. These direct influences will become immediate causal nodes. From here, we continue to back down the "chain" so to speak repeating these steps for each node creating intermediate and, eventually, initial causal nodes. When all nodes have been expanded to the full extent and all paths begin with an initial causal node, the influence network is complete. It is important to note here that information on countries, decision-makers, media sources, alliances, and affiliations will come from the ADMS database. Any extraneous information that has an influence in the network schema will have to be provided by a separate source or entered individually. Figure 5-2 shows a sample of a simple influence network diagram using data from the ADMS prototype created in Chapter IV.




Figure 5-2: Influence Network Sample

When a SIAM model is being designed for a particular country, the ADMS database should feed the model appropriate information to aide in the setup. The root node should be established by selecting the appropriate country from a list of countries stored in the database. Any media sources directly tied to the country's leader should automatically be placed in the model as immediate causal influences. Each decision-

maker stored in the ADMS database for that particular country should then be placed in the model and the model's designer will have to create the influence links showing what decision-makers influence other decision-makers. Each decision-maker's media sources are automatically placed in the model. Similarly, each alliance and affiliation associated with this particular country should be placed in the model and the model's designer will have to insert the appropriate links as to which decision-makers are influenced by these alliances and affiliations. In general, once an abstract template has been generated, a Visual Basic program, or equivalent, can be written to query ADMS and automatically populate the SIAM model.

E. CHAPTER SUMMARY

Using the previous section as a foundation for the development of an integrated decision support tool incorporated with the ADMS database, development can begin on a the decision support portion of ADMS using SIAM. Once the ADMS database has been populated with real data, decision support developers can use this framework to begin building the influence networks for each country. The decision support portion of ADMS will be a very important piece in making the ADMS system fully functional in meeting the objectives for which it was designed.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. CONCLUSION

This thesis has shown how a useful database for theater commanders can be built that meets all stated requirements and will provide the data for part of their Information Operations processes. The ADMS database was designed using Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) technology, which avoids the complexities of having to use non-COTS software/equipment. The database was built in a general way that allows it to be used not just by USPACOM, but by other theater CinCs as well. In addition, a framework was proposed for integrating the ADMS database with a decision support tool called SIAM which would augment the database with the capability to do detailed influence analysis.

B. RECOMMENDATIONS

This thesis provides a foundation for other potential projects to build upon. After having completed this initial ADMS prototype, the following recommendations are made:

- USPACOM should immediately begin populating the ADMS database with real data and begin using it as a functional resource to prove its value to other interested parties.
- The ADMS should be introduced to all theater CinCs and they should be given the opportunity to populate the ADMS with data from their respective theaters.

- The suggested framework for the decision support portion of ADMS should be implemented to enhance the value of the system to decision-makers. Customer data collection and subsequent database population will be a key factor in the ability for decision support developers to complete this aspect of system development.
- With a working system in hand, USPACOM and other theater CinCs can
 provide additional feedback and suggest incremental enhancements to ensure they will
 get a final product that evolves to their full requirements.
- Once theater CinCs are satisfied with the ADMS, a contractor can be hired to build the final product using the blueprints developed here and in follow-on projects, and incorporating any changes as mandated by the customer.

APPENDIX A: OBJECT SUMMARIES

Object Summary

Model: Adms

COUNTRY Object

Caption: Description:

Data Item:

| Pata Item: | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------|-----|-----|---------------|-----|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Item Name | ID Type | Min | Max | Value Type | Len | Initial Value | Edit Permission | Source |
| CountryName | Unique | 1 | 1 | Text | 15 | | Change | User |
| Leader | None | Ó | 1 | Text | 30 | | Change | User |
| Priority | None | Ō | 1 | Small | | | Change | User |
| 1 11011) | | | | Whole | | | | |
| | | | | Number (± | | | | |
| | | | | 127) | | | 04 | llase |
| GovtType | None | 1 | 1 | Text | 15 | | Change | User |
| Decision Maker | None | 1 | N | Object | | | | |
| Alliance | None | 0 | N | Object | | | | |
| AffiliationGroup | None | 0 | N | Object | | | | |

Object Summary

Model: Adms

DECISION MAKER Object

Caption: Description:

Data Item:

| Item Name | ID Type | Min | Max | Value Type | Len | Initial Value | Edit Permission | Source |
|--------------|---------|-----|-----|---------------|-----|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| DMId | Unique | 1 | 1 | Group | | | | |
| LastName | None | 1 | 1 | Text | 15 | | Change | User |
| FirstName | None | 1 | 1 | Text | 15 | | Change | User |
| Title | None | 0 | 1 | Text | 20 | | Change | User |
| Country | None | 1 | 1 | Object | | | _ | |
| Media Source | None | 0 | N | Object | | | | |

Object Summary

Model: Adms

MEDIA SOURCE Object

Caption: Description:

Data Item:

| tem Name | ID Type | Min | Max | Value Type | | Initial Value | Edit | |
|------------------|---------|-----|-----|---------------|-----|------------------|------------|--------|
| | | | | | Len | | Permission | Source |
| MediaName | Unique | 1 | 1 | Text | 15 | | Change | User |
| MediaType | None | 1 | 1 | Text | 15 | | Change | User |
| MediaLocation | None | 0 | 1 | Text | 20 | | Change | User |
| MediaPeriodicity | None | 0 | 1 | Text | 15 | | Change | User |
| Ownership | None | 0 | 1 | Text | 20 | | Change | User |
| Decision Maker | None | 0 | N | Object | | | 3 - | |

Object Summary

Model: Adms

ALLIANCE Object

Caption Description

Data Item:

| User |
|------|
| User |
| |

Object Summary

Model: Adms

AFFILIATIONGROUP Object

Caption: Description:

Data Item:

| Item Name | ID Type | Min Max | Value Type | Len | initial Value | Edit Permission | Source |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------------|-----|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| AffiliationName | Unique | 1 1 | Text | 30 | | Change | User |
| AffiliationType | None | 1 1 | Text | 15 | | Change | User |
| Country | None | 0 N | Object | | | • | |

APPENDIX B: TABLE SUMMARIES

Table Summary

Model: Adms

| "Decision | ⊩Maker" | lable |
|-----------|---------|-------|
| | | |

Source Object or Item: Decision Maker Object

| | | | Null | Object from Name | Index |
|------------------------|-----------|----|------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Column Name | Data Type | | | Object Item Name | moux |
| Country_CountryName_FK | Text | 15 | No | ForeignKey | |
| DMId_FirstName | Text | 15 | No | Decision Maker.DMId. FirstName | (DMId_LastName, DMId_FirstName) PrimaryKey |
| DMId_LastName | Text | 15 | No | Decision Maker.DMId. LastName | (DMId_LastName, DMId_FirstName) PrimaryKey |
| Title | Text | 20 | Yes | Decision Maker. Title | |

Table Summary

Model: Adms

| "Decision | maker_ | media | Source | _X | lable | |
|-----------|--------|-------|--------|----|-------|--|
| | | | | | | |

Source Object or Item: Media Source Decision Maker Item

| Column Name | Data Type | Length | Null Allowed | Object Item Name | index |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| "Decision Maker_DMId_ FirstName_FK" | Text | 15 | No | ForeignKey | ("Decision Maker DMId_LastName FK", "Decision Maker_DMId_ FirstName_FK", "Media Source_ MediaName_FK", PrimaryKey |
| *Decision Maker_DMId_ LastName_FK* | Text | 15 | No | ForeignKey | ("Decision Maker DMId_LastName FK", "Decision Maker_DMId_ FirstName_FK", "Media Source_ MediaName_FK", PrimaryKey |
| "Media Source_MediaName_FK" | Text | 15 | No | ForeignKey | ("Decision Maker, DMId_LastName, FK", "Decision Maker_DMId_FirstName_FK", "Media Source_MediaName_FK") PrimaryKey |

Table Summary

Model: Adms

| "Media Source" Table Source Object or Item: Media Source Object | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------|----|-----|------------------------------------|------------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| MediaLocation | Text | 20 | Yes | Media Source.MediaLocation | | |
| MediaName | Text | 15 | No | Media Source.MediaName | PrimaryKey | |
| MediaPeriodicity | Text | 15 | Yes | Media Source. Media Periodicity | | |

No

Yes

Media Source.MediaType

Media Source.Ownership

15

20

Text

Text

MediaType

Ownership

Table Summary

| AffiliationGroup Table | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Source Object or Item: AffiliationGroup Object | | | | | | |
| Column Name | Data Type | Length | Null Allowed | Object Item Name | Index | |
| AffiliationName | Text | 30 | No | AffiliationGroup. AffiliationName | PrimaryKey | |
| Affiliation Type | Text | 15 | No | AffiliationGroup.AffiliationType | | |

Table Summary

Model: Adms

| Alliance Table Source Object or Item: Alliance Object | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------|----|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Allianceld_Country_ CountryName_FK | Text | 15 | No | ForeignKey | FK) PrimaryKey (AlianceId_ AllyCountry, AlianceId_ Country_ CountryName_ FK) PrimaryKey |
| AltyGovtType | Text | 15 | Yes | Alfiance.AlfyGovtType | · |

Table Summary

| Country Table | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| Source Object or Item: Country Object | | | | | | |
| Column Name | Data Type | Length | Null Allowed | Object Item Name | Index | |
| CountryName | Text | 15 | No | Country.CountryName | PrimaryKey | |
| GovtType | Text | 15 | No | Country.GovtType | | |
| Leader | Text | 30 | Yes | Country.Leader | | |
| Priority | Small Whole Number (± 127) | | Yes | Country.Priority | | |

Table Summary

| Country_AffiliationGroup_X Table | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source Object or Item: AffiliationGroup.Country Item | | | | | |
| Column Name | Data Type | Length | Null Allowed | Object Item Name | Index |
| AffiliationGroup_AffiliationName_ FK | Text | 30 | No | ForeignKey | (Country_ CountryName_FK, AffiliationGroup_ AffiliationName_ FK) PrimaryKey |
| Country_CountryName_FK | Text | 15 | No | ForeignKey | (Country_ CountryName_FK, AffiliationGroup_ AffiliationName_ FK) PrimaryKey |

APPENDIX C: TABLE RELATIONSHIPS

Table Relationships

| Table | Relationship Type | Mandatory | Related Table | Foreign Key Relationships |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| "Decision Maker" | N:1 | Yes | Country | Country_CountryName_FK matches CountryName |
| | 1:N | No | *Decision Maker_ Media Source_X* | (DMId_FirstName, DMId_LastName) matches ("Decision Maker_DMId_FirstName_FK", "Decision Maker_DMId_LastName_FK") |
| "Decision Maker_ Media Source_X" | N;1 | Yes | "Decision Maker" | ("Decision Maker_DMId_LastName_FK", "Decision Maker_DMId_FirstName_FK") matches (DMId_ LastName, DMId_FirstName) |
| | N:1 | Yes | "Media Source" | "Media Source_MediaName_FK" matches MediaName |
| "Media Source" | 1.N | No | "Decision Maker_ Media Source_X" | MediaName matches "Media Source_MediaName_ FK" |
| AffiliationGroup | 1;N | No | Country_ AffiliationGroup_X | AffiliationName matches AffiliationGroup_ AffiliationName_FK |
| Alliance | N.1 | Yes | Country | AllianceId_Country_CountryName_FK matches CountryName |
| Country | 1:N | Yes | "Decision Maker" | CountryName matches Country_CountryName_FK |
| | 1:N | No | Alliance | CountryName matches Allianceld_Country_ CountryName_FK |
| | 1.N | No | Country_ AffiliationGroup_X | CountryName matches Country_CountryName_FK |
| Country_ AffiliationGroup X | N:1 | Yes | Country | Country_CountryName_FK matches CountryName |
| , <u>-</u> | N:1 | Yes | AffiliationGroup | AffiliationGroup_AffiliationName_FK matches AffiliationName |

APPENDIX D: ADMS DATA DICTIONARY

| Attribute | Data Type | Description |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------|
| CountryName | Text (15) | Name of Country |
| Priority | Number (2) | Current Theater Priority |
| Leader | Text (30) | Name of the Country's Leader |
| GovtType | Text (Select) | Type of Government utilized by the Country |
| LName | Text (15) | Last Name of Decision-Maker |
| FName | Text (15) | First Name of Decision-Maker |
| Title | Text (15) | Title of Decision-Maker |
| MediaName | Text (15) | Name of Media Source |
| MediaType | Text (Select) | Type of Media Source |
| MediaLocation | Text (15) | Location of Media Source |
| MediaPeriodicity | Text (Select) | Periodicity of Media Source |
| Ownership | Text (20) | Owner of Media Source |
| AllyCountry | Text (25) | Name of Ally |
| AllyGovtType | Text (Select) | Ally's type of government |
| AffiliationName | Text (25) | Name of Organization |
| AffliationType | Text (Select) | Type of Affiliation |

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Harrington, Jan L., Relational Database Design, Academic Press, 1998.
- 2. Kroenke, David M., Database Processing, Prentice Hall, 6th ed., 1998.
- 3. Maciaszek, L.A., Database Design and Implementation, Prentice Hall, 1990.
- 4. Nelson, Stephen L., Microsoft Pocket Guide, Microsoft Press, 1999.
- Prague, Cary N., and Jennifer Reardon, Access 2000 Programming, IDG Books Worldwide, Inc., 2000.
- 6. Rosen, Julie A., and Wayne L. Smith, *Influencing Global Situations: A Collaborative Approach*, 1996.
- 7. Sands, Jeffrey I. and Bradd C. Hayes, Understanding and Using SIAM.
- Turban, Efraim and Jay E. Aronson, Decision Support Systems and Intelligence Systems, Prentice Hall, 5th ed., 1998.
- 9. UTEXAS, http://www.utexas.edu, 2000.
- 10. VIC, http://www.vic-info.org, 2000.
- 11. WHATIS, http://www.whatis.com, 2000.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

| 1. | Defense Technical Information Center |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2. | Dudley Knox Library |
| 3. | Dean Dan Boger, Code IW |
| 4. | Professor Dan Dolk, Code IS/DK |
| 5. | LT Ray Buettner, Code IW/BR |
| 6. | CDR Sam Mills J39 |
| 6. | Dr. Lynda Jaques J081 |
| 7. | LT Tyrone L. Ward |