MATRIX INEQUALITIES INCLUDING FURUTA INEQUALITY VIA RIEMANNIAN MEAN OF *n*-MATRICES

MASATOSHI ITO

(Communicated by M. Fujii)

Abstract. Very recently, Yamazaki has obtained an excellent generalization of Ando-Hiai inequality and a characterization of chaotic order (so called Furuta inequality for chaotic order) via weighted Riemannian mean, a kind of geometric mean, of n positive definite matrices.

In this paper, by discussing extensions of Yamazaki's results, we shall obtain a generalization of Furuta inequality via weighted Riemannian mean of n-matrices.

1. Introduction

We frequently use the weighted geometric mean of two positive definite matrices A and B defined by $A \sharp_{\alpha} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\alpha \in [0,1]$. In particular, we call $A \sharp_{\perp} B$ (denoted by $A \sharp B$ simply) the geometric mean of A and B.

It has been a longstanding problem to extend the (weighted) geometric mean for three or more positive definite matrices. Many authors attempt to find a natural extension, for example, Ando-Li-Mathias' mean and its refinement [2, 5, 15, 16] and Riemannian mean (or the least squares mean) [4, 18, 19]. We remark that Ando-Li-Mathias [2] originally proposed ten properties ((P1)–(P10) stated below) which should be required for a reasonable geometric mean of positive definite matrices.

Let $P_m(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of $m \times m$ positive definite matrices on \mathbb{C} , and also we recall that $\omega = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ is a probability vector if the components satisfy $\sum_i w_i = 1$ and $w_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. For $A, B \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$, Riemannian metric between A and B is defined as $\delta_2(A, B) = \|\log A^{\frac{-1}{2}}BA^{\frac{-1}{2}}\|_2$, where $\|X\|_2 = (\operatorname{tr} X^*X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (details are in [3]). By using Riemannian metric, Riemannian mean is defined as follows:

DEFINITION 1. ([3, 4, 18, 19]) Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $\omega = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ be a probability vector. Then weighted Riemannian mean $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) = \operatorname*{arg min}_{X \in P_m(\mathbb{C})} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \delta_2^2(A_i, X),$$

where arg min f(X) means the point X_0 which attains minimum value of the function f(X). In particular, we call $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ (denoted by $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ simply) Riemannian mean if $\omega = (\frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n})$.

Keywords and phrases: Positive definite matrix, Riemannian mean, Furuta inequality and Ando-Hiai inequality.



Mathematics subject classification (2010): Primary 15A45, 47A63, secondary 15B48, 47A64.

We remark that $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;A,B) = A \sharp_{\alpha} B$ for $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $\omega = (1-\alpha,\alpha)$ since the property $\delta_2(A,A \sharp_{\alpha} B) = \alpha \delta_2(A,B)$ holds.

On the other hand, the weighted geometric mean sometimes appears in famous matrix inequalities, for example, Furuta inequality [10] and Ando-Hiai inequality [1]. We remark that these inequalities hold even in the case of bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space. In what follows, we denote $A \ge 0$ if A is a positive semidefinite matrix (or operator), and we denote A > 0 if A is a positive definite matrix (or operator).

THEOREM 1.A. (Satellite form of Furuta inequality [10, 17])

$$A \ge B \ge 0$$
 with $A > 0$ implies $A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{1+r}{p+r}} B^p \le B \le A$ for $p \ge 1$ and $r \ge 0$.

THEOREM 1.B. (Ando-Hiai inequality [1]) For A, B > 0,

 $A \sharp_{\alpha} B \leq I$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ implies $A^r \sharp_{\alpha} B^r \leq I$ for $r \geq 1$.

For A, B > 0, it is well known that chaotic order $\log A \ge \log B$ is weaker than usual order $A \ge B$ since $\log t$ is a matrix (or operator) monotone function. The following result is known as the Furuta inequality for chaotic order.

THEOREM 1.C. (Furuta inequality for chaotic order [7, 12]) Let A, B > 0. Then the following assertions are mutually equivalent;

- (*i*) $\log A \ge \log B$,
- (*ii*) $A^{-p} \sharp B^p \leq I$ for all $p \geq 0$,
- (iii) $A^{-r} \ddagger_{\frac{r}{n+r}} B^p \leq I$ for all $p \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$.

Very recently, Yamazaki [21] has obtained an excellent generalization of Theorems 1.B and 1.C via weighted Riemannian mean \mathfrak{G}_{δ} of *n*-matrices.

THEOREM 1.D. ([21]) Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $\omega = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ be a probability vector. Then

 $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq I$ implies $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq I$ for $p \geq 1$.

THEOREM 1.E. ([21]) Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$. Then the following assertions are mutually equivalent;

- (*i*) $\log A_1 + \dots + \log A_n \leq 0$,
- (ii) $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(A_1^p,\ldots,A_n^p) \leq I$ for all p > 0,

(iii)
$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq I$$
 for all $p_1, \dots, p_n > 0$, where $p_{\neq i} = \prod_{j \neq i} p_j$ and $\omega = \left(\frac{p_{\neq 1}}{\sum_i p_{\neq i}}, \dots, \frac{p_{\neq n}}{\sum_i p_{\neq i}}\right).$

Theorems 1.D and 1.E imply Theorems 1.B and 1.C, respectively, since $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;A,B) = A \sharp_{\alpha} B$ for $\omega = (1 - \alpha, \alpha)$. Moreover, it has been shown in [21] that Theorem 1.D does not hold for other geometric means satisfying (P1)–(P10).

In this paper, corresponding to Theorem 1.E, we shall obtain a generalization of Furuta inequality (Theorem 1.A) via weighted Riemannian mean of n-matrices. Moreover we shall show an extension of Theorem 1.D.

2. Preliminaries

Ando-Li-Mathias [2] originally proposed the following ten properties (P1)–(P10) which should be required for a reasonable geometric mean of positive definite matrices. It is shown in [3, 4, 18, 19] that weighted Riemannian mean satisfies (P1)–(P10) (see also [21]).

Let $A_i, A'_i, B_i \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ for i = 1, ..., n and let $\omega = (w_1, ..., w_n)$ be a probability vector. Then

(P1) Consistency with scalars. If A_1, \ldots, A_n commute with each other, then

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;A_1,\ldots,A_n)=A_1^{w_1}\ldots A_n^{w_n}.$$

(P2) Joint homogeneity. For positive numbers $a_i > 0$ (i = 1, ..., n),

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;a_1A_1,\ldots,a_nA_n)=a_1^{w_1}\ldots a_n^{w_n}\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;A_1,\ldots,A_n).$$

(P3) Permutation invariance. For any permutation π on $\{1, \ldots n\}$,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \ldots, A_n) = \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\pi(\omega); A_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, A_{\pi(n)}),$$

where $\pi(\omega) = (w_{\pi(1)}, \dots, w_{\pi(n)}).$

(P4) Monotonicity. If $B_i \leq A_i$ for each i = 1, ..., n, then

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; B_1, \ldots, B_n) \leq \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \ldots, A_n).$$

(P5) Continuity. For each i = 1, ..., n, let $\{A_i^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be positive definite matrix sequences such that $A_i^{(k)} \to A_i$ as $k \to \infty$. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{(k)}, \dots, A_n^{(k)}) \to \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

(P6) Congruence invariance. For any invertible matrix S,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; S^*A_1S, \dots, S^*A_nS) = S^*\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n)S.$$

(P7) Joint concavity.

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;\lambda A_{1}+(1-\lambda)A_{1}',\ldots,\lambda A_{n}+(1-\lambda)A_{n}') \\ \geqslant \lambda \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;A_{1},\ldots,A_{n})+(1-\lambda)\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;A_{1}',\ldots,A_{n}') \quad \text{for } 0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1.$$

MASATOSHI ITO

- (P8) Self-duality. $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{-1}, \dots, A_n^{-1})^{-1} = \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n).$
- (P9) Determinant identity. det $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\det A_i)^{w_i}$.

(P10) The arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequality.

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i A_i^{-1}\right)^{-1} \leqslant \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n w_i A_i.$$

We remark that, in [2], they require continuity from above as (P5). Riemannian mean has a stronger property (P5') than (P5).

(P5') Non-expansive.

$$\delta_2(\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;A_1,\ldots,A_n),\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;B_1,\ldots,B_n)) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \delta_2(A_i,B_i).$$

It was obtained in [18, 19] that Riemannian mean has a useful characterization via a matrix equation.

THEOREM 2.A. ([18, 19]) Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $\omega = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ be a probability vector. Then $X = \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ is the unique positive solution of the following matrix equation:

$$w_1 \log X^{\frac{-1}{2}} A_1 X^{\frac{-1}{2}} + \dots + w_n \log X^{\frac{-1}{2}} A_n X^{\frac{-1}{2}} = 0.$$

3. Main results

Firstly, we show an extension of Theorem 1.D. Theorem 1.D follows from Theorem 3.1 by putting $p_1 = \cdots = p_n = p$.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $\omega = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ be a probability vector. If $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \ldots, A_n) \leq I$, then

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega'; A_1^{p_1}, \ldots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \ldots, A_n) \leq I \text{ for } p_1, \ldots, p_n \geq 1,$$

where $\widehat{\omega'} = (\frac{w_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n})$ and $\omega' = \frac{\widehat{\omega'}}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_1}$.

We remark that $\|\cdot\|_1$ means 1-norm, that is, $\|x\|_1 = \sum_i |x_i|$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we use the following result.

THEOREM 3.A. ([21]) Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $\omega = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ be a probability vector. Then

$$w_1 \log A_1 + \dots + w_n \log A_n \leq 0$$
 implies $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq I$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $X = \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq I$. Then for each $p_1, \dots, p_n \in [1, 2]$, by Theorem 2.A and Hansen's inequality [14],

$$0 = \frac{1}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_{1}} \sum w_{i} \log X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{i}^{-1} X^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_{1}} \sum \frac{w_{i}}{p_{i}} \log (X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{i}^{-1} X^{\frac{1}{2}})^{p_{i}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_{1}} \sum \frac{w_{i}}{p_{i}} \log X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{i}^{-p_{i}} X^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

that is, $\sum \frac{\frac{w_i}{p_i}}{\|\widehat{\omega}'\|_1} \log X^{\frac{-1}{2}} A_i^{p_i} X^{\frac{-1}{2}} \leq 0$. By applying Theorem 3.A,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega'; X^{\frac{-1}{2}}A_{1}^{p_{1}}X^{\frac{-1}{2}}, \dots, X^{\frac{-1}{2}}A_{n}^{p_{n}}X^{\frac{-1}{2}}) \leqslant I$$

where $\widehat{\omega'} = (\frac{w_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n})$ and $\omega' = \frac{\widehat{\omega'}}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_1}$. Therefore we have that

$$X \leq I \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega'; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq X \leq I \text{ for } p_1, \dots, p_n \in [1, 2].$$
(3.1)

Put $Y = \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega'; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq I$. Then by (3.1), we get

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega'';A_1^{p_1p_1'},\ldots,A_n^{p_np_n'}) \leqslant Y \leqslant X \leqslant I$$

for $p'_1, \ldots, p'_n \in [1,2]$, where $\widehat{\omega''} = (\frac{w_1}{p_1 p'_1}, \ldots, \frac{w_n}{p_n p'_n})$ and $\omega'' = \frac{\widehat{\omega''}}{\|\widehat{\omega''}\|_1}$. Therefore, by putting $q_i = p_i p'_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have that

$$X \leqslant I \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega''; A_1^{q_1}, \dots, A_n^{q_n}) \leqslant X \leqslant I \text{ for } q_1, \dots, q_n \in [1, 4],$$
(3.2)

where $\widehat{\omega''} = (\frac{w_1}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{q_n})$ and $\omega'' = \frac{\widehat{\omega''}}{\|\widehat{\omega''}\|_1}$. By repeating the same way from (3.1) to (3.2), we have the conclusion.

Theorem 3.1 also implies generalized Ando-Hiai inequality [9] since $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega;A,B) = A \sharp_{\alpha} B$ for $\omega = (1 - \alpha, \alpha)$ and $\omega' = \left(\frac{\frac{1-\alpha}{r}}{\frac{1-\alpha}{r} + \frac{\alpha}{s}}, \frac{\frac{\alpha}{s}}{\frac{1-\alpha}{r} + \frac{\alpha}{s}}\right) = \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)s}{(1-\alpha)s + \alpha r}, \frac{\alpha r}{(1-\alpha)s + \alpha r}\right).$

THEOREM 3.B. (Generalized Ando-Hiai inequality [9]) Let A, B > 0. If $A \sharp_{\alpha} B \leq I$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then

$$A^{r} \sharp_{\frac{\alpha r}{(1-\alpha)s+\alpha r}} B^{s} \leqslant A \sharp_{\alpha} B \leqslant I \text{ for } s \ge 1 \text{ and } r \ge 1.$$

The following Theorem 3.2 is a variant from Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.2. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $\omega = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ be a probability vector. For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, if

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_i^{p_i}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq A_i^q \text{ for } p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } p_i > q,$$

then

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega'; A_{1}^{p_{1}}, \dots, A_{i-1}^{p_{i-1}}, A_{i}^{p_{i}'}, A_{i+1}^{p_{i+1}}, \dots, A_{n}^{p_{n}}) \\ \leqslant \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_{1}^{p_{1}}, \dots, A_{i-1}^{p_{i-1}}, A_{i}^{p_{i}}, A_{i+1}^{p_{i+1}}, \dots, A_{n}^{p_{n}}) \\ \leqslant A_{i}^{q}$$

for $p'_i \ge p_i$, where $\widehat{\omega'} = (w_1, \dots, w_{i-1}, \frac{p_i - q}{p'_i - q}w_i, w_{i+1}, \dots, w_n)$ and $\omega' = \frac{\widehat{\omega'}}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_1}$.

Proof. We may assume i = 1 by permutation invariance of \mathfrak{G}_{δ} . For $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p_1 \ge q$, $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, A_2^{p_2}, \ldots, A_n^{p_n}) \le A_1^q$ if and only if

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_{1}^{p_{1}-q}, A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}A_{2}^{p_{2}}A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}, \dots, A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}A_{n}^{p_{n}}A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}) \leqslant I$$

By applying Theorem 3.1,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega'; A_{1}^{p_{1}'-q}, A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}A_{2}^{p_{2}}A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}, \dots, A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}A_{n}^{p_{n}}A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}) \\ \leqslant \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_{1}^{p_{1}-q}, A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}A_{2}^{p_{2}}A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}, \dots, A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}A_{n}^{p_{n}}A_{1}^{\frac{-q}{2}}) \\ \leqslant I,$$

holds for $\frac{p'_1-q}{p_1-q} \ge 1$, where $\widehat{\omega'} = (\frac{p_1-q}{p'_1-q}w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega'; A_1^{p_1'}, A_2^{p_2}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leqslant \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, A_2^{p_2}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leqslant A_1^q$$

holds for $p'_1 \ge p_1$. \Box

Next, we show our main result. The following Theorem 3.3 is a parallel result to (i) \implies (iii) in Theorem 1.E. In the next section, we shall recognize that Theorem 3.3 is a generalization of Theorem 1.A.

THEOREM 3.3. Let
$$A_1, \dots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$$
 and $w_1, \dots, w_n > 0$. If
 $A_i^{q_i} \ge A_n^{q_n} > 0$
(3.3)

and

$$\frac{w_1}{p_1 - q_1} \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_1^{p_1} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} + \cdots + \frac{w_{n-1}}{p_{n-1} - q_{n-1}} \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} + \frac{w_n}{p_n - q_n} \log A_n^{p_n - q_n} \leqslant 0$$
(3.4)

hold for $q_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_i > q_i$ and i = 1, ..., n, then

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega'; A_1^{p_1'}, \dots, A_n^{p_n'}) \leq \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq A_n^{q_n} \quad \text{for all } p_i' \geq p_i \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\widehat{\omega} = \left(\frac{w_1}{p_1 - q_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n - q_n}\right), \ \widehat{\omega'} = \left(\frac{w_1}{p_1' - q_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n' - q_n}\right), \ \omega = \frac{\widehat{\omega}}{\|\widehat{\omega}\|_1} \text{ and } \omega' = \frac{\widehat{\omega'}}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_1}.$

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.A to (3.4), we have

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_{n}^{\frac{-q_{n}}{2}} A_{1}^{p_{1}} A_{n}^{\frac{-q_{n}}{2}}, \dots, A_{n}^{\frac{-q_{n}}{2}} A_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} A_{n}^{\frac{-q_{n}}{2}}, A_{n}^{p_{n}-q_{n}}) \leqslant I,$$

so that by (3.3),

$$X_0 \equiv \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}, A_n^{p_n}) \leqslant A_n^{q_n} \leqslant A_1^{q_1}.$$
(3.5)

By applying Theorem 3.2 to (3.5) and by (3.3),

$$X_1 \equiv \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega_1; A_1^{p_1'}, A_2^{p_2}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leqslant X_0 \leqslant A_n^{q_n} \leqslant A_2^{q_2}$$
(3.6)

for $p'_1 \ge p_1$, where $\widehat{\omega}_1 = \left(\frac{w_1}{p'_1 - q_1}, \frac{w_2}{p_2 - q_2}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n - q_n}\right)$ and $\omega_1 = \frac{\widehat{\omega}_1}{\|\widehat{\omega}_1\|_1}$. By applying Theorem 3.2 to (3.6) and by (3.3),

$$X_{2} \equiv \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega_{2}; A_{1}^{p_{1}'}, A_{2}^{p_{2}'}, A_{3}^{p_{3}}, \dots, A_{n}^{p_{n}}) \leqslant X_{1} \leqslant X_{0} \leqslant A_{n}^{q_{n}} \leqslant A_{3}^{q_{3}}$$

for $p'_1 \ge p_1$ and $p'_2 \ge p_2$, where $\widehat{\omega}_2 = \left(\frac{w_1}{p'_1 - q_1}, \frac{w_2}{p'_2 - q_2}, \frac{w_3}{p_3 - q_3}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n - q_n}\right)$ and $\omega_2 = \frac{\widehat{\omega}_2}{\|\widehat{\omega}_2\|_1}$. By repeating this argument, we can get

$$X_n \equiv \mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega'; A_1^{p_1'}, \dots, A_n^{p_n'}) \leqslant X_{n-1} \leqslant X_0 \leqslant A_n^{q_n}$$

for $p'_i \ge p_i$ for i = 1, ..., n, where $\widehat{\omega'} = \widehat{\omega}_n = \left(\frac{w_1}{p'_1 - q_1}, ..., \frac{w_n}{p'_n - q_n}\right)$. \Box

REMARK. (i) in Theorem 1.E, that is, $\log A_1 + \cdots + \log A_n \leq 0$ holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p_1}\log A_1^{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n}\log A_n^{p_n} \leqslant 0 \quad \text{for every } p_i > 0 \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore we recognize that Theorem 3.3 implies (i) \implies (iii) in Theorem 1.E by putting $q_1 = \cdots = q_n = 0$ and $w_1 = \cdots = w_n = 1$ since

$$\frac{\frac{1}{p_i}}{\|\widehat{\omega}\|_1} = \frac{\frac{1}{p_i}}{\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n}} = \frac{p_{\neq i}}{\sum_j p_{\neq j}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

ensures
$$\omega = \frac{\widehat{\omega}}{\|\widehat{\omega}\|_1} = \left(\frac{\frac{1}{p_1}}{\|\widehat{\omega}\|_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}\right) = \left(\frac{p_{\neq 1}}{\sum_i p_{\neq i}}, \dots, \frac{p_{\neq n}}{\sum_i p_{\neq i}}\right).$$

4. Furuta inequality

Furuta inequality [10] (see also [6, 11, 13, 17, 20]) has the following original form.



We remark that Theorem 4.A implies Löwner-Heinz theorem " $A \ge B \ge 0$ *ensures* $A^{\alpha} \ge B^{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$ " by putting r = 0. By Löwner-Heinz theorem, we recognize that the essence of Theorem 4.A is the case that $p \ge 1$ and $q = \frac{p+r}{1+r}$ (cf. Theorem 1.A). We can interpret Theorem 1.A as a consequence of monotonicity of an operator function.

THEOREM 4.B. ([7]) Let $A \ge B \ge 0$ with A > 0. Then

$$f(p,r) = A^{\frac{-r}{2}} \left(A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1+r}{p+r}} A^{\frac{-r}{2}} = A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{1+r}{p+r}} B^p$$
(4.1)

is decreasing for $p \ge 1$ and $r \ge 0$.

In fact, Theorem 4.B ensures Theorem 1.A since $A \ge B \ge 0$ with A > 0 implies $f(p,r) \le f(1,0) = B \le A$ for $p \ge 1$ and $r \ge 0$.

REMARK. Similarly to Theorem 4.B, we can easily get monotonicity of $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, \ldots, A_n^{p_n})$ corresponding to Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, respectively.

It is well known that we have a variant from Theorem 1.A by replacing A, B with A^q, B^q and p, r with $\frac{p}{q}, \frac{r}{q}$ in Theorem 1.A respectively.

THEOREM 4.C. ([8]) Let A > 0, $B \ge 0$ and q > 0. Then

$$A^q \ge B^q$$
 implies $A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{q+r}{p+r}} B^p \le B^q \le A^q$ for $p \ge q$ and $r \ge 0$.

Here we show that Theorem 3.3 is a generalization of Furuta inequality via weighted Riemannian mean of n-matrices. Precisely, we show that Theorem 3.3 ensures the following Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.1 is a generalization of Theorem 4.C.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ and q > 0. Then $A_i^q \ge A_n^q > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ implies

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{-p_1}, \dots, A_{n-1}^{-p_{n-1}}, A_n^{p_n}) \leqslant A_n^q \leqslant A_i^q$$

$$(4.2)$$

for all $p_i \ge 0$, i = 1, ..., n-1 and $p_n > q$, where $\widehat{\omega} = \left(\frac{1}{p_1+q}, ..., \frac{1}{p_{n-1}+q}, \frac{n-1}{p_n-q}\right)$ and $\omega = \frac{\widehat{\omega}}{\|\widehat{\omega}\|_1}$.

Proof. Assume that $A_i^q \ge A_n^q > 0$ for q > 0 and i = 1, ..., n-1. Then $A_i^q \ge A_n^q > 0$ implies $\log A_i \ge \log A_n$. By (i) \Longrightarrow (iii) in Theorem 1.C, $\log A_i \ge \log A_n$ implies $A_i^{-p_i} \ddagger_{\frac{q}{q+p_i}} A_n^q \le I$ for all $p_i \ge 0$. This is equivalent to $A_n^{-q} \ddagger_{\frac{q}{q+p_i}} A_i^{p_i} \ge I$, that is, $(A_n^{\frac{q}{q}} A_i^{p_i} A_n^{\frac{q}{q}})^{\frac{q}{p_i+q}} \ge A_n^q$. By taking logarithm, we have $\frac{1}{p_i+q} \log A_n^{\frac{q}{q}} A_i^{p_i} A_n^{\frac{q}{q}} \ge \frac{1}{p_n-q} \log A_n^{p_n-q}$, that is,

$$\frac{1}{p_i+q}\log A_n^{\frac{-q}{2}}(A_i^{-1})^{p_i}A_n^{\frac{-q}{2}} + \frac{1}{p_n-q}\log A_n^{p_n-q} \leqslant 0$$
(4.3)

for all $p_i \ge 0$, i = 1, ..., n-1 and $p_n > q$. Summing up (4.3) for i = 1, ..., n-1, we have

$$\frac{1}{p_{1}+q}\log A_{n}^{\frac{-q}{2}}(A_{1}^{-1})^{p_{1}}A_{n}^{\frac{-q}{2}}+\cdots +\frac{1}{p_{n-1}+q}\log A_{n}^{\frac{-q}{2}}(A_{n-1}^{-1})^{p_{n-1}}A_{n}^{\frac{-q}{2}}+\frac{n-1}{p_{n}-q}\log A_{n}^{p_{n}-q}\leqslant 0.$$
(4.4)

By applying Theorem 3.3 to $(A_i^{-1})^{-q} \ge A_n^q > 0$ and (4.4), we can obtain

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\delta}(\omega; A_1^{-p_1}, \dots, A_{n-1}^{-p_{n-1}}, A_n^{p_n}) \leqslant A_n^q \leqslant A_i^q$$

for all $p_i \ge 0 > -q$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $p_n > q$. \Box

Proof of Theorem 4.C. Put n = 2, $p_1 = r$ and $p_2 = p$ in Theorem 4.1. Then $\widehat{\omega} = \left(\frac{1}{r+q}, \frac{1}{p-q}\right)$ and $\omega = \left(\frac{p-q}{p+r}, \frac{q+r}{p+r}\right)$. Therefore we obtain the desired result. \Box

5. Remarks on (3.4) in Theorem 3.3

Here we discuss the following inequality (3.4) in Theorem 3.3.

$$\frac{w_1}{p_1 - q_1} \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_1^{p_1} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} + \cdots + \frac{w_{n-1}}{p_{n-1} - q_{n-1}} \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} + \frac{w_n}{p_n - q_n} \log A_n^{p_n - q_n} \leqslant 0.$$
(3.4)

Firstly, we obtain monotonicity of left hand side of (3.4).

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$, $q_1, \ldots, q_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_n \ge 0$. If $A_i^{q_i} \ge A_n^{q_n} > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, then

$$F(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}) = \frac{w_1}{p_1 - q_1} \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_1^{p_1} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} + \dots + \frac{w_{n-1}}{p_{n-1} - q_{n-1}} \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} + \frac{w_n}{p_n - q_n} \log A_n^{p_n - q_n}$$

is decreasing for $p_1 > q_1, ..., p_{n-1} > q_{n-1}$.

Proposition 5.1 is immediately shown by the following Proposition 5.2.

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let A, B > 0 and $q, r \in \mathbb{R}$. If $A^q \ge B^r > 0$, then

$$F(p) = \frac{1}{p-q} \log B^{\frac{-r}{2}} A^p B^{\frac{-r}{2}} \quad is \ decreasing \ for \ p > q.$$

Proof. By Hansen's inequality [14], we easily obtain that $T^*T \ge I$ ensures

$$(T^*ST)^{\alpha} \leq T^*S^{\alpha}T \text{ for } S \geq 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in [0,1].$$
 (5.1)

Put $T = A^{\frac{q}{2}}B^{\frac{-r}{2}}$ and $S = A^{p'-q}$. Then by (5.1),

$$F(p') = \frac{1}{p'-q} \log B^{\frac{-r}{2}} A^{p'} B^{\frac{-r}{2}} = \log(B^{\frac{-r}{2}} A^{\frac{q}{2}} A^{p'-q} A^{\frac{q}{2}} B^{\frac{-r}{2}})^{\frac{p-q}{p'-q} \cdot \frac{1}{p-q}}$$
$$\leq \log(B^{\frac{-r}{2}} A^{\frac{q}{2}} A^{p-q} A^{\frac{q}{2}} B^{\frac{-r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{p-q}} = \frac{1}{p-q} \log B^{\frac{-r}{2}} A^{p} B^{\frac{-r}{2}} = F(p)$$

for $p' \ge p > q$. \Box

Put $p_i = q_i + \alpha$ for $\alpha > 0$ and i = 1, ..., n in (3.4). Then

$$\frac{w_1}{\alpha}\log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}}A_1^{q_1+\alpha}A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}}+\dots+\frac{w_{n-1}}{\alpha}\log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}}A_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}+\alpha}A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}}+\frac{w_n}{\alpha}\log A_n^{\alpha}\leqslant 0,$$

that is,

$$w_1 \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_1^{q_1+\alpha} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} + \dots + w_{n-1} \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}+\alpha} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} + w_n \log A_n^{\alpha} \leqslant 0, \quad (5.2)$$

Let $\alpha \rightarrow +0$ in (5.2). Then we have

$$w_1 \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_1^{q_1} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} + \dots + w_{n-1} \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} \leqslant 0.$$
(5.3)

We have the following proposition on (5.3).

PROPOSITION 5.3. Let
$$A_1, \ldots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$$
 and $w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1} > 0$. If

$$A_i^{q_i} \geqslant A_n^{q_n} > 0 \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$w_1 \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_1^{q_1} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} + \dots + w_{n-1} \log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} \leqslant 0$$
(5.3)

hold for $q_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and i = 1, ..., n, then $A_i^{q_i} = A_n^{q_n}$ for i = 1, ..., n - 1.

Proof. (5.4) is equivalent to

$$\log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_i^{q_i} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} \ge 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

so we get $\log A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} A_i^{q_i} A_n^{\frac{-q_n}{2}} = 0$, that is, $A_i^{q_i} = A_n^{q_n}$ by (5.3). \Box

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his cordial thanks to Professor Takeaki Yamazaki for sending his paper [21] before publication.

REFERENCES

- T. ANDO AND F. HIAI, Log majorization and complementary Golden-Thompson type inequalities, Linear Algebra Appl., 197, 198 (1994), 113–131.
- [2] T. ANDO, C. K. LI AND R. MATHIAS, Geometric means, Linear Algebra Appl., 385 (2004), 305– 334.
- [3] R. BHATIA, *Positive definite matrices*, Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.
- [4] R. BHATIA AND J. HOLBROOK, *Riemannian geometry and matrix geometric means*, Linear Algebra Appl., 413 (2006), 594–618.
- [5] D. A. BINI, B. MEINI AND F. POLONI, An effective matrix geometric mean satisfying the Ando-Li-Mathias properties, Math. Comp., 79 (2010), 437–452.
- [6] M. FUJII, Furuta's inequality and its mean theoretic approach, J. Operator Theory, 23 (1990), 67–72.
- [7] M. FUJII, T. FURUTA AND E. KAMEI, Furuta's inequality and its application to Ando's theorem, Linear Algebra Appl., 179 (1993), 161–169.
- [8] M. FUJII, J. F. JIANG AND E. KAMEI, A characterization of orders defined by $A^{\delta} \ge B^{\delta}$ via Furuta inequality, Math. Japon., **45** (1997), 519–525.
- [9] M. FUJII AND E. KAMEI, Ando-Hiai inequality and Furuta inequality, Linear Algebra Appl., 416 (2006), 541–545.
- [10] T. FURUTA, $A \ge B \ge 0$ assures $(B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \ge B^{(p+2r)/q}$ for $r \ge 0$, $p \ge 0$, $q \ge 1$ with $(1+2r)q \ge p+2r$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **101** (1987), 85–88.
- [11] T. FURUTA, An elementary proof of an order preserving inequality, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., **65** (1989), 126.
- [12] T. FURUTA, Applications of order preserving operator inequalities, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 59 (1992), 180–190.
- [13] T. FURUTA, Invitation to Linear Operators, Taylor & Francis, London, 2001.
- [14] F. HANSEN, An operator inequality, Math. Ann. 246 (1979/80), 249–250.
- [15] S. IZUMINO AND N. NAKAMURA, Weighted geometric means of positive operators, Kyungpook Math. J., 50 (2010), 213–228.
- [16] C. JUNG, H. LEE, Y. LIM AND T. YAMAZAKI, Weighted geometric mean of n-operators with nparameters, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010), 1515–1530.
- [17] E. KAMEI, A satellite to Furuta's inequality, Math. Japon., 33 (1988), 883-886.
- [18] J. D. LAWSON AND Y. LIM, Monotonic properties of the least squares mean, Math. Ann., 351 (2011), 267–279.
- [19] M. MOAKHER, A differential geometric approach to the geometric mean of symmetric positive-definite matrices, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 26 (2005), 735–747.
- [20] K. TANAHASHI, Best possibility of the Furuta inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124 (1996), 141– 146.
- [21] T. YAMAZAKI, *The Riemannian mean and matrix inequalities related to the Ando-Hiai inequality and chaotic order*, to appear in Oper. Matrices.

(Received December 15, 2011)

Masatoshi Ito Maebashi Institute of Technology 460-1 Kamisadorimachi, Maebashi Gunma 371-0816, Japan e-mail: m-ito@maebashi-it.ac.jp

Journal of Mathematical Inequalities www.ele-math.com jmi@ele-math.com