



Smith, M. N., Taylor, T. C., van Haren, J., Rosolem, R., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Adams, J., Wu, J., de Oliveira, R. C., da Silva, R., de Araujo, A. C., de Camargo, P. B., Huxman, T. E., & Saleska, S. R. (2020). Empirical evidence for resilience of tropical forest photosynthesis in a warmer world. *Nature Plants*, *6*(10), 1225-1230. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-00780-2

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available): 10.1038/s41477-020-00780-2

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Springer Nature at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-00780-2. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

# University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

#### 1 Title Page

*Title:* Empirical evidence for resilience of tropical forest photosynthesis in a warmer
world

*Authors list:* Marielle N. Smith<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Tyeen C. Taylor<sup>1,3</sup>, Joost van Haren<sup>4</sup>, Rafael Rosolem<sup>5,6</sup>,
Natalia Restrepo-Coupe<sup>1,7</sup>, John Adams<sup>4</sup>, Jin Wu<sup>8</sup>, Raimundo C. de Oliveira<sup>9</sup>, Rodrigo da
Silva<sup>10</sup>, Alessandro C. de Araujo<sup>11,12</sup>, Plinio B. de Camargo<sup>13</sup>, Travis E. Huxman<sup>14</sup>, Scott R.
Saleska<sup>1\*</sup>

8 *Author affiliations:* 

<sup>9</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ

10 85721, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Forestry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI

11 48824, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of

12 Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA; <sup>4</sup>Biosphere 2, University of Arizona, 32540 S.

13 Biosphere Road, Oracle, AZ 85623, USA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, University of

14 Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TR, UK; <sup>6</sup>Cabot Institute, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1UJ, UK;

15 <sup>7</sup>School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia;

16 <sup>8</sup>School of Biological Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong SAR,

17 China; <sup>9</sup>Embrapa Amazônia Oriental, 68035-110 Santarém, Pará, Brazil; <sup>10</sup>Department

18 of Environmental Physics, University of Western Pará (UFOPA), Santarém, Pará, Brazil;

<sup>11</sup>Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil;

20 <sup>12</sup>Embrapa Amazônia Oriental, Belém, Pará, Brazil; <sup>13</sup>Laboratório de Ecologia Isotópica,

21 Centro de Energia Nuclear na Agricultura (CENA), Universidade de São Paulo, 13400-

22 970 Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil; and <sup>14</sup>Ecology and Evolutionary Biology & Center for

23 Environmental Biology, University of California, Irvine, CA 92629, USA

- 24 *Corresponding authors:*
- <sup>25</sup> \*Marielle N. Smith, Department of Forestry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI
- 26 48824, USA. Tel: (520) 314-6346. Email: mariellenatashasmith@gmail.com
- 27 \*Scott R. Saleska, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of
- Arizona, 1041 E Lowell Street, Biological Sciences West Room 310, Tucson, AZ 85721,
- 29 USA. Tel: (520) 626-1500. Email: <u>saleska@email.arizona.edu</u>
- *Keywords:* tropical forests, Biosphere 2, climate change, photosynthesis, thermal
   tolerance
- 32

## 33 Introductory paragraph

34 Tropical forests may be vulnerable to climate change<sup>1-3</sup> if photosynthetic carbon uptake 35 currently operates near a high temperature limit<sup>4–6</sup>. Predicting tropical forest function 36 requires understanding the relative contributions of two mechanisms of high-37 temperature photosynthetic declines: stomatal limitation (H1), an indirect response due 38 to temperature-associated changes in atmospheric vapour pressure deficit (VPD)<sup>7</sup>, and 39 biochemical restrictions (H2), a direct temperature response<sup>8,9</sup>. Their relative control 40 predicts different outcomes—H1 is expected to diminish with stomatal responses to 41 future co-occurring elevated atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>], whereas H2 portends declining 42 photosynthesis with increasing temperatures. Distinguishing the two mechanisms at 43 high temperatures is therefore critical, but difficult because VPD is highly correlated 44 with temperature in natural settings. We used a forest mesocosm to quantify for the 45 first time the sensitivity of tropical gross ecosystem productivity (GEP) to future 46 temperature regimes while constraining VPD by controlling humidity. We then 47 analytically decoupled temperature and VPD effects under current climate with flux 48 tower-derived GEP trends in situ from four tropical forest sites. Both approaches

showed consistent, negative sensitivity of GEP to VPD, but little direct response to
temperature. Importantly, in the mesocosm at low VPD, GEP persisted up to 38°C, a
temperature exceeding projections for tropical forests in 2100<sup>10</sup>. If elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]
mitigates VPD-induced stomatal limitation through enhanced water-use efficiency
(WUE) as hypothesised<sup>9,11</sup>, tropical forest photosynthesis may have a margin of
resilience to future warming.

55

## 56 Main text

57 Tropical plants may be vulnerable to even small amounts of climate warming, having 58 evolved in climates with low thermal variability<sup>12,13</sup>. This vulnerability is highlighted by 59 observations suggesting that tropical forests are already functioning near their high-60 temperature limit<sup>4-6,14,15</sup>, together with projections that tropical regions will likely 61 experience unprecedented high temperatures that will soon push forests above such 62 limits<sup>16</sup>.

63 A critical trait determining forest vulnerability to climate change is the thermal 64 sensitivity of photosynthesis. However, there is considerable debate over how different 65 component mechanisms of photosynthetic carbon uptake are influenced by climate as 66 temperatures increase above the apparent thermal optimum ( $T_{opt}$ ) in tropical forests<sup>4,9</sup>. 67 The temperature response curve of net ecosystem carbon uptake shows a decline at 68 high temperatures that may be caused by a decrease in photosynthesis (i.e. the balance 69 of gross photosynthetic carbon uptake and photorespiratory carbon emission) and/or 70 an increase in ecosystem respiration. We focus here on GEP (net ecosystem carbon 71 exchange minus ecosystem respiration, i.e. ecosystem photosynthesis), since the 72 relative impact of respiration is likely smaller due to low temperature sensitivity of 73 tropical ecosystem respiration over short timescales<sup>17</sup> and the small contribution of leaf

respiration to daytime  $CO_2$  exchange in tropical species<sup>9,18,19</sup>.

75 GEP may decline with warming as a result of stomatal closure, a mechanism for 76 reducing water loss as atmospheric demand for water vapour (VPD) rises, which 77 consequently reduces uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> (H1: indirect temperature effect)<sup>7</sup>. High 78 temperature can also disrupt the coordination of leaf biochemical components with 79 different temperature optima, resulting in downregulation of the biochemistry 80 underlying photosynthesis and accumulation of secondary stresses such as oxidation<sup>8</sup>, 81 and very high temperatures degrade enzymes and reduce membrane stability<sup>9,20</sup> (H2: 82 direct temperature effects). While both hypotheses are presumed to contribute to 83 observed plant responses to temperature over some range, a more precise 84 understanding of their relative contributions at supra-optimal temperatures is critical 85 for accurate prediction of forest function given future climate change. If direct effects 86 are strong, temperature-induced changes to photosynthetic infrastructure pose a more 87 immediate threat to forests, but if direct effects are weak, tropical forest photosynthetic 88 processes may have a margin of resiliency to warming, especially if concurrent elevated 89 atmospheric  $[CO_2]$  increases leaf WUE and ameliorates the effect of higher VPD on leaf gas exchange $^{9,11,21,22}$ . 90

91 A number of empirical studies at the leaf-23-28 and ecosystem-scale<sup>24,29,30</sup> suggest 92 that declines in photosynthesis at high temperatures are associated with rising VPD, 93 supporting H1. However, few of these studies experimentally decouple both 94 temperature and VPD, and only one—a study of a boreal spruce<sup>23</sup>—does so above the 95  $T_{\text{opt.}}$  Analytical differentiation of direct and indirect effects from temperature-96 response<sup>31</sup> and temperature×CO<sub>2</sub>-response<sup>18</sup> curves of photosynthesis in tropical plant 97 leaves showed evidence for stomatal limitations above  $T_{opt}$  in some species (H1), and 98 stronger biochemical limitation (H2) or co-limitation above  $T_{opt}$  in other species.

99 Considering the narrow thermal niches to which tropical trees are expected to be 100 adapted, a general paucity of data from tropical trees, and some empirical support for 101 H2 at the leaf level, there is a clear need for ecosystem scale experiments and 102 observations that help us distinguish mechanisms of high temperature photosynthetic 103 declines in tropical forests. 104 To address this question, we used an experimental tropical forest with 105 significant climate control—the Biosphere 2 Tropical Forest Biome (B2-TF; Arizona, 106 USA). We compared the response of light-saturated GEP to air temperature and VPD in 107 the B2-TF to that of three evergreen forest sites in the Brazilian Amazon (K34, K67, and 108 K83) and of a tropical dry forest in Mexico (Tesopaco) (Methods). The B2-TF is a 0.2 ha 109 enclosed mesocosm with a complex vertical canopy structure including mature trees up 110 to 13-17 m<sup>32</sup>. The B2-TF allows assessment of the temperature sensitivity of tropical 111 forest photosynthesis within the range of mean annual temperatures projected for 112 Amazonia by 2100 (1-7°C above present-day means<sup>10</sup>; Fig. 1) and up to 40°C. 113 approximately 6°C higher than maximum temperatures recorded at the Amazonian 114 sites. Additionally, the sensitivity of VPD to temperature can be experimentally 115 manipulated by controlling humidity, achieving a greater independence of the 116 environmental factors that control photosynthesis than can be observed in natural 117 forests (see Methods). 118 To test whether declines in GEP above  $T_{opt}$  are predominantly due to indirect 119 (H1) or direct (H2) temperature effects, we first quantified the response of light-120 saturated GEP to temperature and VPD in the experimental mesocosm in which VPD 121 and temperature were partially decoupled (B2-TF) (Fig. 2). Guided by the results from 122 the experiment in B2, we analysed the *in situ* sites in which temperature and VPD are 123 highly correlated (K34, K67, K83, and Tesopaco). At all sites, we examined the

124 independent effects of temperature and VPD on GEP by performing separate

regressions on GEP-by-VPD and GEP-by-temperature, binning by temperature and VPD,
respectively (Methods).

Light-saturated GEP was maintained in the B2-TF to air temperatures at least 10°C higher than the threshold for natural tropical forests (Fig. 3a; Supplementary Figs 1 and 2). Whereas GEP distinctly declined above 27°C at the Amazon sites (K34, K67, and K83) and 28°C at the seasonally dry tropical forest (Tesopaco), GEP showed little response in the B2-TF until air temperatures exceeded 38°C. In contrast to the GEPtemperature relationship, the response of GEP to VPD in the B2-TF was nearly identical to the natural forest sites (Fig. 3b).

134 Using the B2-TF mesocosm, we were able to expose a tropical forest system to 135 lower VPD for a given temperature than is experienced in *in situ* sites (Fig. 2). This 136 experimental manipulation resulted in a reduced stomatal response, as evidenced by 137 the observed sustained GEP at high temperatures. In contrast, results from the *in situ* 138 forests suggest that the steeper relationship between temperature and VPD induced 139 more rapid stomatal closure with increasing temperatures. These results support the 140 hypothesis (H1) that VPD, rather than temperature *per se*, is the main driver of high-141 temperature declines in photosynthesis.

We tested the consistency of support for H1 (indirect temperature effect) by partially isolating the effect of each variable (VPD and temperature) on GEP at high temperatures ( $\geq 28^{\circ}$ C) with reciprocal binned regressions—regressing GEP on VPD within bins of temperature (1°C bins) and vice versa (0.2 kPa bins) (Supplementary Fig. 3). At the B2-TF and all *in situ* sites, the mean response of GEP to increasing VPD across temperature bins was negative (Fig. 4). Slopes were statistically distinguishable from zero (two-tailed *t*-test, *p* < 0.05) for all datasets except K83. Across VPD bins, the mean

149 response of GEP to increasing temperature was either nonsignificant or positive

150 (Tesopaco and B2-TF, two-tailed *t*-test, *p* < 0.05). Taken together, these data from *in situ* 

151 patterns of CO<sub>2</sub> flux suggest that, in common with the B2-TF, VPD is the major control

152 on GEP at high temperatures in tropical forests (H1).

153 Our observations of GEP responses to distinct VPD-temperature regimes at the 154 experimental mesocosm and *in situ* sites consistently indicate that the contribution of 155 H1 (stomatal sensitivity to VPD) to GEP reductions above  $T_{opt}$  is larger than H2 (direct 156 thermal restrictions on biochemistry), and that this trend persists for canopy air 157 temperatures well above those observed in the Amazon today, extending into the range 158 of future predictions for tropical forests<sup>10</sup> (Fig. 1). Although negative (direct) effects of 159 temperature on photosynthesis undoubtedly occur in concert with indirect (VPD) 160 effects at temperatures above  $T_{opt}$  (Fig. 4), alleviating VPD stress in the B2-TF enabled 161 GEP to continue up to air temperatures approaching lethal limits for photosynthesis 162  $(\sim 40^{\circ} \text{C})^{20}$ . Extending these findings, if the hypothesised increase in WUE under elevated 163 atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] compensates for stomatal sensitivity to VPD, tropical trees may be 164 capable of maintaining high rates of photosynthesis at temperatures above those that 165 currently occur in this biome.

Given that upper canopy leaf temperatures can exceed air temperatures by a few
degrees<sup>4,5</sup>, our results are consistent with leaf-level studies. Specifically, model studies
represent declines in tropical forest photosynthesis above leaf temperatures of 30°C as
predominantly due to indirect temperature effects through VPD<sup>9</sup>, and empirical studies
show that direct, irreversible effects of temperature that damage the photosynthetic
machinery tend to occur at leaf temperatures of 40-50°C<sup>20</sup>; Supplementary Fig. 4;

172 Supplementary Note 1).

173 Our results go beyond previous ecosystem-scale studies<sup>24,29,30</sup> that have

174 examined this question, because we were able to experimentally investigate 175 temperatures in the B2-TF that are not expected to be experienced by *in situ* tropical 176 rainforests until late this century. Little work has been done at any scale that 177 experimentally decouples temperature and VPD while also assessing their impacts on 178 photosynthesis at temperatures above the apparent thermal optimum. Ecosystem-scale 179 studies<sup>29,30</sup> have used approaches similar to our binned regressions to differentiate the 180 effects of temperature and VPD on GEP. But it is not possible to manipulate VPD at this 181 scale except in an experimental mesocosm such as B2 (ref. 24; Methods). At the leaf-182 level, studies at both high temperature and low VPD are reported to be rare due to the 183 methodological challenge of maintaining low VPD when temperatures in an enclosure 184 are high<sup>27</sup>. Combining natural observations with experimental manipulations is a 185 powerful and underutilised approach to understanding tropical forest responses to 186 future climates<sup>33</sup>. The B2-TF enables this approach in a uniquely large-scale, complex 187 tropical forest system. 188 The environmental conditions in the experimental mesocosm (B2-TF) differ

189 from the Amazonian sites in some key respects, in particular higher  $[CO_2]$  and lower soil 190 water content (Methods). However, our results are unlikely to be sensitive to these two 191 variables. Moderately elevated  $[CO_2]$  (25-38 ppm above the Amazonian sites) may have 192 enhanced photosynthetic capacity of the B2-TF, but studies have shown only small 193 effects of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] on thermal tolerance<sup>31,34</sup>; see Methods), and lower soil 194 moisture would be expected to increase temperature sensitivity, not reduce it. 195 Thermal tolerance of tropical forests may also vary in time via thermal 196 acclimation and community assembly change. Photosynthetic acclimation to warming 197 can result in an increase in  $T_{opt}^{11}$  or in the temperatures that are lethal for leaf 198 function<sup>20</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 4). However, evidence for acclimation in tropical species

199 is mixed<sup>13,35</sup>. Acclimation may involve a tradeoff that reduces maximum assimilation 200 rates<sup>31</sup> which, if not balanced by acclimation of respiration<sup>11</sup>, provides a mechanism for 201 long-term reductions in carbon uptake that are not reflected by short-term temperature 202 response curves<sup>14</sup>. In the B2-TF, differential species mortality during two decades of 203 forest maturation led to an increase in the proportion of trees that emit isoprene<sup>36</sup>, a 204 trait shown to differentiate the photosynthetic thermal tolerance of tropical plant 205 species<sup>37</sup>. Understanding future function of diverse tropical forests requires not only 206 understanding general physiological limitations, but also the extent of physiological 207 plasticity and variation among species.

208 The representation of photosynthetic sensitivities to VPD and temperature for 209 tropical trees varies among Earth system models<sup>7</sup>, and accordingly, so does the relative 210 importance of indirect versus direct temperature effects<sup>1,38</sup>. Our results suggest that 211 models showing strong direct effects under current climate conditions should adjust 212 parameters that impose direct thermal restrictions on photosynthetic biochemistry at 213 high temperatures, and improve representation of stomatal conductance responses to 214 VPD, especially given potential interactions with changing atmospheric  $[CO_2]$ . Future 215 elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] may increase WUE, though empirical support is mixed from eddy-216 covariance data<sup>22,39</sup> and Free-Air Carbon dioxide Enrichment (FACE) experiments<sup>11,21,40</sup>. 217 Higher WUE could reduce transpiration rates, resulting in further increases in leaf 218 temperatures, reduced atmospheric humidity, and consequently increased leaf-level 219 VPD. Understanding these integrated stomatal responses and feedbacks to climate is a 220 high research priority<sup>7,11</sup>.

The analysis we present here is the first to examine the empirical response of tropical forest photosynthesis to VPD and temperature at higher temperatures than are currently found in Amazonian forests. We provide compelling evidence that stomatal

224 response to VPD is the primary mechanism for high-temperature photosynthetic 225 declines in tropical forests under current climate, and will likely continue to 226 predominate over direct biochemical responses to temperature until at least several 227 degrees of climate warming have been reached. This helps to resolve an outstanding 228 debate concerning the mechanism by which temperature limits photosynthesis, and 229 provides data to test and improve model predictions of tropical forest responses to 230 climate change. Although the actual response to future high temperatures will depend 231 critically on the degree to which VPD rises<sup>7</sup>, and on leaf responses to VPD in the 232 presence of elevated atmospheric  $[CO_2]$ , our findings suggest that tropical forest 233 photosynthesis does not currently operate close to a high temperature threshold, and 234 may be resilient to future warming.

235

### 236 Methods

237 **Study sites.** B2 is a large-scale Earth science facility near Tucson (Arizona, USA), 238 comprising five biomes, of which the B2 Tropical Forest Biome (B2-TF) is one. The B2-239 TF has a complex vertical canopy structure including mature trees up to 13-17 m in 240 height<sup>32</sup>, comprising a phylogenetically diverse assemblage of species typical of lowland 241 tropical rainforests in Southern and Central America<sup>41</sup>. The B2-TF provides a controlled 242 environment that can be sealed off from the outside world, allowing researchers to 243 measure forest responses to specific environmental variables<sup>42-44</sup>. Climate conditions 244 are maintained to be broadly similar to Amazonian forest sites<sup>32</sup>, however, the B2-TF 245 receives less rainfall (1300 mm per year), mean temperature is higher (Fig. 1), there is a 246 stronger vertical temperature gradient (generated by heat trapped beneath the glass 247 enclosure and the shaded understory), and vapour pressure deficit (VPD) for a given 248 temperature is lower (Fig. 2). At the time of data collection, the facility was run as a

249	semi-closed system (closed in the daytime, open at night). In contrast to Amazonian
250	sites, there is no rainfall seasonality, but strong seasonality of temperature and VPD,
251	and extreme high temperatures are achieved during the five summer months (May-
252	September <sup>32</sup> ). The dominant soil texture in the B2-TF is sandy clay loam <sup>43</sup> , comprising
253	20-35% clay and >70% sand, which is similar to soil properties measured at K83 (18-
254	$60\%$ clay, 37-80% sand $^{45}$ ), as are values of soil carbon and nitrogen (2% C and 0.1% N
255	in the B2-TF <sup>41</sup> ; 2.1-2.8% C and 0.1-0.2% N at K83 <sup>45</sup> . Soil volumetric water content (0.14-
256	0.25 cm <sup>3</sup> water cm <sup>-3</sup> soil, ref. 44) tends to be moderately lower than values recorded at
257	K67 (0.20-0.44 cm <sup>3</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> , ref. 46) for the top 30 cm of the soil. Hence, increased plant
258	thermal tolerance in the B2-TF is unlikely attributable to reduced soil moisture stress.
259	Data from the Brazilian sites (K34, K67, and K83) are from Large-scale
260	Biosphere-Atmosphere Experiment in Amazonia (LBA) eddy covariance towers, part of
261	the Brazil flux network <sup>47</sup> . K67 and K83 are located within the Tapajós National Forest
262	(TNF), near Santarém, Pará. The TNF is a <i>terra firme</i> (upland) moist tropical forest,
263	receiving an average rainfall of 1993 mm per year and experiencing a 5-month dry
264	season between July and November <sup>47</sup> . The K34 site, located in the Cuieiras reserve, near
265	Manaus, Amazonas, is an old-growth terra firme tropical rainforest. This site receives
266	$\sim$ 2400 mm rainfall per year and has a 3-month dry season from July until
267	September <sup>48,49</sup> . The tropical dry forest site (Tesopaco) in Sonora, Mexico experiences a
268	9-month dry season from October until June when the majority of the species lose their
269	leaves <sup>50</sup> (unlike the Brazilian sites, which are all evergreen forests); annual rainfall is
270	712 mm <sup>51</sup> .
271	The mean atmospheric $CO_2$ concentration was moderately higher in the B2-TF
272	than in the natural forest sites (406 ppm, compared to 368 ppm at K34 and 381 ppm at

K83). The difference in [CO<sub>2</sub>] is sufficient to moderately enhance photosynthetic

capacity in the B2-TF, but is unlikely to cause significant variation in the thermal sensitivity of photosynthesis. For example, varying  $[CO_2]$  from 300 to 900 ppm increased leaf-level  $T_{opt}$  of four tropical tree species by an average of only 2.2°C<sup>31</sup>, and varying  $[CO_2]$  from 360 to 500-1000 ppm for a variety of temperate zone plant types on average led to a small increase in the lethal temperature (0.78°C<sup>34</sup>).

279

280 **Data selection and environmental drivers.** Overlapping net ecosystem exchange 281 (NEE), photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), temperature, and VPD data were 282 selected for the B2-TF from a non-gap-filled dataset compiled by ref. 52; this comprised 283 almost 4 months of data from 2000 and 2002. All complete years of overlapping NEE, 284 PAR, temperature, and VPD data were included for the three sites in the Brazilian 285 Amazon (K34, K67, and K83). According to this criteria, 3 years of data were included 286 for K34 (1999-2000 and 2003-2005), 7 years for K67 (2002-2006 and 2008-2011), and 287 3 years for K83 (2000-2003). We excluded periods when the tropical deciduous forest 288 site (Tesopaco) was dormant by using a leaf area index (LAI) threshold of >2.08 (mean 289 growing season LAI, with the growing season defined as periods when LAI  $\geq$  0.5). As a 290 result, we included data from 7 July to 20 September 2006 in the analyses presented. 291 Air temperature was measured at the height of the upper canopy (15 m) in the 292 B2-TF<sup>44</sup> and above the canopy for the natural tropical forest sites. Similar to natural 293 forests, the above-canopy and understory air temperatures are distinguished by the 294 shading effects of the canopy, however in the B2-TF the gradient is steeper<sup>32</sup>. In B2, the 295 high glass ceiling and the upper canopy surface bound a volume of air that is much 296 hotter than in natural forests, while air temperature in the shaded understory is more 297 similar to that of natural forests<sup>32</sup>. While measured canopy air temperature both in B2-298 TF and the natural sites represents the hottest part of the canopy, this is also the region

that intercepts the most light and hence is likely the most important contributor to totalforest photosynthesis.

301 The sensitivity of VPD to temperature in our B2-TF dataset is both lower and 302 more variable (Fig. 2) than in the natural forests. The variable relationship between 303 VPD and temperature in the B2-TF depends on variation in the input of water vapour 304 (Supplementary Note 2; Supplementary Fig. 5). During normal operating conditions, soil 305 water was replenished twice weekly via nighttime rainfall events. During the daytime, 306 water vapour was added via misters, and the significant evapotranspiration from the 307 soil and multi-layer canopy was trapped in the glass enclosure, causing sustained high 308 humidity up to higher temperatures than is observed in natural systems open to 309 diffusion to the sky. The highest VPD in our B2-TF dataset comes from periods during 310 which rainfall was withheld for 4-6 weeks at a time. During these periods, the drying of 311 surface soil (less in magnitude than a TNF dry season, Supplementary Note 2) and 312 suspended use of misters contributed to reduced humidity, while tree water status was 313 maintained due to little change in deeper soil water (> 0.5 m, ref. 44). The ability to 314 significantly reduce VPD at high temperatures is a unique strength of large enclosures in 315 the B2 facility, as previously demonstrated with an experimental cottonwood stand $^{24}$ . 316 We considered the impact of environmental characteristics unique to B2— 317 namely, low radiation levels (due to light interception of the space-frame) and wind 318 speeds—on the leaf to air temperature differential in comparison to natural forests. We 319 modelled leaf temperatures for the B2-TF and one Amazonian site (K34) at their site-320 specific air temperature T<sub>opt</sub> values (38 and 28 °C, respectively) using the R package 321 'tealeaves'<sup>53</sup> (Supplementary Note 3). Predicted leaf temperatures were higher than 322 measured air temperatures at both sites, but the mean leaf to air temperature 323 differential was lower in the B2-TF  $(0.51^{\circ}C)$  than in the natural forest site (K34, 2.41°C;

Supplementary Fig. 6), predominantly due to reduced radiation in B2. As a result, the predicted mean leaf  $T_{opt}$  increased (relative to the air temperature  $T_{opt}$  values) to 38.51°C for the B2-TF and 30.41°C for K34. Converting the  $T_{opt}$  values derived from air temperatures to leaf temperatures reduces the difference between B2-TF and K34 optimum temperatures, but only by 1.9°C (from 10 to 8.1°C), giving us confidence in our over overall conclusion that the B2 forest is considerably more temperature tolerant than natural forest sites.

331

Flux calculations. NEE in the B2-TF is calculated from the rate of change of CO<sub>2</sub> inside
 the biome:

$$NEE = \frac{d[CO_2]_a}{dt}M_a + F_{leak} + F_{conc}$$
(1)

334

where d[CO<sub>2</sub>]a/dt is the rate of change in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the air inside the mesocosm,  $M_a$  is the number of moles of air within the mesocosm per unit ground area (m<sup>2</sup>),  $F_{\text{leak}}$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> flux between the B2-TF and the neighbouring mesocosms due to air leakage through the partition curtains, and  $F_{\text{conc}}$  is the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by the concrete structure due to a carbonation reaction between CO<sub>2</sub> and calcium oxide<sup>42</sup>.

340 NEE for natural forest sites was calculated from CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes according to methods

detailed in ref. 47 (for K34 and K83), ref. 54 (for K67), and ref. 50 (for Tesopaco). At all

342 natural sites, we filtered periods of low turbulent mixing known to produce erroneously

343 low NEE values using site-specific friction velocity ( $u^*$ ) threshold values of 0.20, 0.22,

0.24, and 0.15 m s<sup>-1</sup> for K34, K67, K83, and Tesopaco, respectively. We calculated gross

345 ecosystem exchange (GEE) from hourly (or for Tesopaco, half hourly) NEE

346 measurements, where GEE is NEE minus ecosystem respiration ( $R_{eco}$ ). Here, we present

347 gross ecosystem productivity (GEP), calculated as negative GEE. *R*<sub>eco</sub> was assumed to

equal night-time NEE values; as such, daily *R*<sub>eco</sub> values for B2-TF, Tesopaco, and K67
were calculated as the mean of night-time NEE for each day; *R*<sub>eco</sub> values for K67 were
further gap-filled by a linear interpolation of 50 night-time NEE measurements<sup>54</sup>. *R*<sub>eco</sub>
for K34 and K83 was calculated as the mean of night-time NEE within a 5 to 15-day
window (30+ hourly values). GEP data for K67, K34, and K83 were gap-filled based on a
relationship with PAR<sup>47</sup>.

354 While our data treatment accounts for seasonal variation in  $R_{eco}$ , we follow refs 355 47 and 17 and estimate daytime  $R_{eco}$  as the mean of nighttime NEE for each day or 356 window of several days. We do not fit nighttime NEE to a function of temperature, an 357 approach that is commonly used at higher latitude sites because little to no dependence 358 on temperature is observed at these tropical sites<sup>17,47</sup> (see below). Low temperature 359 variation in tropical sites leads to precipitation being the primary driver of variation in 360 soil respiration (the dominant component of  $R_{eco}^{55}$ ). We tested this assumption in our 361 datasets using linear regressions of nighttime NEE on temperature in monthly binned 362 data. All correlations were either non-significant or weak ( $R^2 < 0.1$ ) with variable slope 363 signs, except for one month at K67 ( $R^2 = 0.57$ ) showing decreasing  $R_{eco}$  with 364 temperature, and two months at K34 ( $R^2 = 0.13$  and 0.29) and at the B2-TF ( $R^2 = 0.21$ 365 and 0.24) in each case showing decreasing and increasing  $R_{eco}$  with temperature, 366 respectively. These weak and variable relationships are consistent with studies at the 367 TNF sites during the dry season—the period of greatest diurnal temperature variation— 368 which recorded diurnal variation in soil respiration up to 1-3  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1 56,57</sup> and the 369 diurnal range in soil temperatures is similarly small in the B2-TF<sup>43</sup>. There is evidence 370 that leaf respiration and its temperature sensitivity are suppressed in the light<sup>19</sup> and is 371 regardless shown to have a small effect on light-saturated net photosynthesis in tropical 372 species<sup>18</sup>. We therefore expect any biases in GEP estimates resulting from

unaccountable daytime respiration to be small and unlikely to influence the relativepositions of site thermal optimums.

375	Light saturation curves were plotted between NEE and PAR for each site for all
376	available observations in order to estimate the light value at which GEP saturates. These
377	were as follows: 300 W m $^{\text{-}2}$ (global incident radiation) for Tesopaco, 1000 $\mu\text{mol}\ \text{m}^{\text{-}2}\ \text{s}^{\text{-}1}$
378	(PAR) for K34, K67, and K83, and 200 W m <sup>-2</sup> (downward shortwave radiation) for the
379	B2-TF. Mean values of light-saturated GEP values were calculated for 1°C temperature
380	bins and 0.2 kPa VPD bins. We scaled GEP to the maximum GEP value for each location
381	to compare the response of canopy-level photosynthesis in the B2-TF with natural
382	forest sites (Fig. 3), rather than the magnitude.
383	To simplify the figures in the main text, we combined the data for the three
384	Amazon forests (K34, K67, and K83) because the sites all experience broadly similar
385	environmental conditions, and exhibit similar responses of GEP to temperature and VPD
386	(Supplementary Fig. 2). Figs 1 and 2 present the raw data for all Amazon sites
387	combined, and Fig. 3 presents the mean GEP of Amazon sites (i.e. the mean of values for
387 388	
	combined, and Fig. 3 presents the mean GEP of Amazon sites (i.e. the mean of values for

## 391 Data availability

- 392 The datasets analysed in this study (eddy flux and environmental data) are available at
- 393 <u>https://daac.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/dsviewer.pl?ds\_id=1174</u> (for K34 and K83), and
- 394 <u>https://ameriflux.lbl.gov/sites/siteinfo/BR-Sa1</u> (for K67). Datasets for Tesopaco and
- 395 the B2-TF are available at https://github.com/m-n-smith/B2-temp-paper-datasets.
- 396
- 397 **Code availability**

398 The R code used to conduct the analyses presented in this paper is available upon

399 request from the corresponding authors.

400

## 401 **References**

- 402 1. Galbraith, D. *et al.* Multiple mechanisms of Amazonian forest biomass losses in three
- 403 dynamic global vegetation models under climate change. *New Phytol.* **187**, 647–665 (2010).
- 404 2. Brienen, R. J. W. *et al.* Long-term decline of the Amazon carbon sink. *Nature* 519, 344–348
  405 (2015).
- 406 3. Longo, M. *et al.* Ecosystem heterogeneity and diversity mitigate Amazon forest resilience to
  407 frequent extreme droughts. *New Phytol.* **219**, 914–931 (2018).
- 408 4. Doughty, C. E. & Goulden, M. L. Are tropical forests near a high temperature threshold?
  409 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* vol. 113 (2008).
- 410 5. Mau, A., Reed, S., Wood, T. & Cavaleri, M. Temperate and tropical forest canopies are
- 411 already functioning beyond their thermal thresholds for photosynthesis. *Forests* vol. 9 47412 (2018).
- 413 6. Huang, M. *et al.* Air temperature optima of vegetation productivity across global biomes.
- 414 Nat Ecol Evol **3**, 772–779 (2019).
- 415 7. Grossiord, C. *et al.* Plant responses to rising vapor pressure deficit. *New Phytol.* 226, 1550–
  416 1566 (2020).
- 417 8. Sharkey, T. D. Effects of moderate heat stress on photosynthesis: importance of thylakoid
- 418 reactions, rubisco deactivation, reactive oxygen species, and thermotolerance provided by
  419 isoprene. *Plant Cell Environ.* 28, 269–277 (2005).
- 420 9. Lloyd, J. & Farquhar, G. D. Effects of rising temperatures and [CO2] on the physiology of
  421 tropical forest trees. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*422 vol. 363 1811–1817 (2008).
- 423 10. IPCC. in Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to

- 424 the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (ed. Stocker,
- T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M.
  Midgley) (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- 427 11. Dusenge, M. E., Duarte, A. G. & Way, D. A. Plant carbon metabolism and climate change:
- 428 elevated CO2 and temperature impacts on photosynthesis, photorespiration and
- 429 respiration. *New Phytol.* **221**, 32–49 (2019).
- 430 12. Janzen, D. H. Why mountain passes are higher in the tropics. *Am. Nat.* **101**, 233–249 (1967).
- 431 13. Cunningham, S. C. & Read, J. Do temperate rainforest trees have a greater ability to
- 432 acclimate to changing temperatures than tropical rainforest trees? *New Phytol.* 157, 55–64
  433 (2003).
- 434 14. Sullivan, M. J. P. *et al.* Long-term thermal sensitivity of Earth's tropical forests. *Science* 368,
  435 869–874 (2020).
- 436 15. Lancaster, L. T. & Humphreys, A. M. Global variation in the thermal tolerances of plants.
  437 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 13580–13587 (2020).
- 438 16. Mora, C. *et al.* The projected timing of climate departure from recent variability. *Nature*439 **502**, 183–187 (2013).
- Hutyra, L. R. *et al.* Seasonal controls on the exchange of carbon and water in an Amazonian
  rain forest. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* vol. 112 (2007).
- 442 18. Slot, M. & Winter, K. In situ temperature relationships of biochemical and stomatal controls
  443 of photosynthesis in four lowland tropical tree species. *Plant Cell Environ.* 40, 3055–3068
- 444 (2017).
- Tcherkez, G. *et al.* Leaf day respiration: low CO2 flux but high significance for metabolism
  and carbon balance. *New Phytol.* 216, 986–1001 (2017).
- 20. O'sullivan, O. S. *et al.* Thermal limits of leaf metabolism across biomes. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23,
  209–223 (2017).
- 449 21. Leakey, A. D. B. *et al.* Elevated CO2 effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations:
- 450 six important lessons from FACE. J. Exp. Bot. **60**, 2859–2876 (2009).

- 451 22. Keenan, T. F. *et al.* Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide
- 452 concentrations rise. *Nature* **499**, 324–327 (2013).
- 453 23. Fredeen, A. L. & Sage, R. F. Temperature and humidity effects on branchlet gas-exchange in
- white spruce: an explanation for the increase in transpiration with branchlet temperature. *Trees* 14, 161–168 (1999).
- 456 24. Barron-Gafford, G. A., Grieve, K. A. & Murthy, R. Leaf- and stand-level responses of a
- 457 forested mesocosm to independent manipulations of temperature and vapor pressure
  458 deficit. *New Phytol.* **174**, 614–625 (2007).
- 459 25. Vargas G, G. & Cordero S, R. A. Photosynthetic responses to temperature of two tropical
  460 rainforest tree species from Costa Rica. *Trees* 27, 1261–1270 (2013).
- 461 26. Slot, M., Garcia, M. N. & Winter, K. Temperature response of CO2 exchange in three tropical
  462 tree species. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 43, 468–478 (2016).
- 463 27. Slot, M. & Winter, K. The effects of rising temperature on the ecophysiology of tropical
- 464 forest trees. in *Tropical Tree Physiology: Adaptations and Responses in a Changing*
- *Environment* (eds. Goldstein, G. & Santiago, L. S.) 385–412 (Springer International
  Publishing, 2016).
- 467 28. Santos, V. A. H. F. dos *et al.* Causes of reduced leaf-level photosynthesis during strong El
- 468 Niño drought in a Central Amazon forest. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **24**, 4266–4279 (2018).
- 469 29. Wu, J. *et al.* Partitioning controls on Amazon forest photosynthesis between environmental
  470 and biotic factors at hourly to interannual timescales. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23, 1240–1257
- 471 (2017).
- 472 30. Tan, Z.-H. *et al.* Optimum air temperature for tropical forest photosynthesis: mechanisms
  473 involved and implications for climate warming. *Environmental Research Letters* 12, 054022
  474 (2017).
- 31. Slot, M. & Winter, K. In situ temperature response of photosynthesis of 42 tree and liana
  species in the canopy of two Panamanian lowland tropical forests with contrasting rainfall
  regimes. *New Phytol.* 214, 1103–1117 (2017).

- 478 32. Arain, M. A., Shuttleworth, W. J., Farnsworth, B., Adams, J. & Sen, O. L. Comparing
- 479 micrometeorology of rain forests in Biosphere-2 and Amazon basin. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*480 **100**, 273–289 (2000).
- 481 33. Cavaleri, M. A., Reed, S. C., Smith, W. K. & Wood, T. E. Urgent need for warming experiments
  482 in tropical forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 21, 2111–2121 (2015).
- 483 34. Taub, D. R., Seemann, J. R. & Coleman, J. S. Growth in elevated CO2 protects photosynthesis
  484 against high-temperature damage. *Plant Cell Environ.* 23, 649–656 (2000).
- 485 35. Doughty, C. E. An in situ leaf and branch warming experiment in the Amazon. *Biotropica* 43,
  486 658–665 (2011).
- 487 36. Taylor, T. C. *et al.* Isoprene emission structures tropical tree biogeography and community
  488 assembly responses to climate. *New Phytol.* 220, 435–446 (2018).
- 489 37. Taylor, T. C., Smith, M. N., Slot, M. & Feeley, K. J. The capacity to emit isoprene differentiates
- 490 the photosynthetic temperature responses of tropical plant species. *Plant, Cell Environ.* 42,
  491 2448–2457 (2019).
- 492 38. Rowland, L. *et al.* Modelling climate change responses in tropical forests: similar
- 493 productivity estimates across five models, but different mechanisms and responses.
- 494 *Geoscientific Model Development* **8**, 1097–1110 (2015).
- 495 39. Tan, Z.-H. *et al.* Interannual and seasonal variability of water use efficiency in a tropical
  496 rainforest: Results from a 9 year eddy flux time series. *J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos.* 120, 464–
  497 479 (2015).
- 498 40. Gray, S. B. *et al.* Intensifying drought eliminates the expected benefits of elevated carbon
  499 dioxide for soybean. *Nature Plants* 2, 1–8 (2016).
- Leigh, L. S., Burgess, T., Marino, B. D. V. & Wei, Y. D. Tropical rainforest biome of Biosphere
  Structure, composition and results of the first 2 years of operation. *Ecol. Eng.* 13, 65–93
  (1999).
- 503 42. Lin, G. *et al.* An experimental and modeling study of responses in ecosystems carbon
- 504 exchanges to increasing CO2 concentrations using a tropical rainforest mesocosm. *Funct.*

- 505 *Plant Biol.* **25**, 547–556 (1998).
- 506 43. Lin, G. *et al.* Ecosystem carbon exchange in two terrestrial ecosystem mesocosms under
  507 changing atmospheric CO2 concentrations. *Oecologia* **119**, 97–108 (1999).
- 508 44. Rascher, U. *et al.* Functional diversity of photosynthesis during drought in a model tropical
- 509 rainforest the contributions of leaf area, photosynthetic electron transport and stomatal
- 510 conductance to reduction in net ecosystem carbon exchange. *Plant, Cell Environ.* **27**, 1239–
- 511 1256 (2004).
- 512 45. Silver, W. L. *et al.* Effects of soil texture on belowground carbon and nutrient storage in a
  513 lowland Amazonian forest ecosystem. *Ecosystems* 3, 193–209 (2000).
- 46. Davidson, E. A., Nepstad, D. C., Ishida, F. Y. & Brando, P. M. Effects of an experimental
- 515 drought and recovery on soil emissions of carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and

516 nitric oxide in a moist tropical forest. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **10**, 1 (2008).

- 517 47. Restrepo-Coupe, N. *et al.* What drives the seasonality of photosynthesis across the Amazon
- 518 basin? A cross-site analysis of eddy flux tower measurements from the Brasil flux network.

519 *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **182-183**, 128–144 (2013).

- 48. Araújo, A. C. *et al.* Comparative measurements of carbon dioxide fluxes from two nearby
  towers in a central Amazonian rainforest: The Manaus LBA site. *J. Geophys. Res.* 107,
- 522 (2002).
- 49. Gonçalves, L. G. de *et al.* Overview of the Large-Scale Biosphere–Atmosphere Experiment in
  Amazonia Data Model Intercomparison Project (LBA-DMIP). *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 182-183,
  111–127 (2013).
- 52650. Perez-Ruiz, E. R. *et al.* Carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange in a tropical dry forest as527influenced by the North American Monsoon System (NAMS). *Journal of Arid Environments*
- **528 74**, 556–563 (2010).
- 529 51. Álvarez-Yépiz, J. C., Martínez-Yrízar, A., Búrquez, A. & Lindquist, C. Variation in vegetation
- 530 structure and soil properties related to land use history of old-growth and secondary
- 531 tropical dry forests in northwestern Mexico. *Forest Ecology and Management* **256**, 355–366

532 (2008).

- 533 52. Rosolem, R., James Shuttleworth, W., Zeng, X., Saleska, S. R. & Huxman, T. E. Land surface
- 534 modeling inside the Biosphere 2 tropical rain forest biome. *J. Geophys. Res.* **115**, G4 (2010).
- 535 53. Muir, C. D. tealeaves: an R package for modelling leaf temperature using energy budgets.
  536 *AoB Plants* 11, plz054 (2019).
- 537 54. Hayek, M. N. *et al.* A novel correction for biases in forest eddy covariance carbon balance.
  538 *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 250, 90–101 (2018).
- 539 55. Chambers, J. Q. *et al.* Respiration from a tropical forest ecosystem: partitioning of sources
  540 and low carbon use efficiency. *Ecological Applications* 14, 72–88 (2004).
- 541 56. Saleska, S. R. *et al.* Carbon in Amazon forests: unexpected seasonal fluxes and disturbance542 induced losses. *Science* 302, 1554–1557 (2003).
- 543 57. Goulden, M. L. *et al.* Diel and seasonal patterns of tropical forest CO2 exchange. *Ecological*544 *Applications* 14, 42–54 (2004).

545

### 546 Acknowledgments

547 We gratefully acknowledge Enrico A. Yepez and Jaime Garatuza-Payan for providing the

548 Tesopaco eddy flux data and J. Berry for the leaf-level chlorophyll fluorescence

- 549 measurements, collected at B2 in collaboration with J.A. We thank M. Strangstalien, B.
- 550 Enquist, A. Swann, and five anonymous reviewers for useful comments on the
- 551 manuscript. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation's (NSF)
- 552 Partnerships for International Research and Education (PIRE) (#OISE-0730305), and
- the Philecological Foundation, with additional support from the US Department of
- 554 Energy (DOE) (GOAmazon award #3002937712), the National Aeronautics and Space
- 555 Administration (NASA) (LBA-DMIP project, award #NNX09AL52G), and the University
- of Arizona's Agnese Nelms Haury Program in Environment and Social Justice. M.N.S. and

557 J.W. were supported by the NASA Earth and Space Science Fellowship (NESSF) program

558 (grant #NNX14AK95H). In addition, J.W. was partly supported by the DOE's next-

559 generation ecosystem experiments project in the tropics (NGEE-Tropics) at Brookhaven

- 560 National Laboratory. T.C.T. was supported by the NSF Division of Biological
- 561 Infrastructure with grant #NSF-PRFB-1711997. Meteorological data collection and
- 562 quality control analysis of the B2-TF dataset by R.R. were also supported by the NESSF
- 563 program (grant #NNX09A033H) and the UK National Environment Research Council

564 (NERC, grants #NE/M003086/1 and #NE/R004897/1).

565

## 566 Author contributions

567 M.N.S., T.C.T., S.R.S., T.E.H., conceived the study, designed the analyses, and led the data

568 interpretation, with extensive help from J.v.H. and R.R. M.N.S. performed the data

analysis and drafted the manuscript, with substantial input from T.C.T., S.R.S., and T.E.H.

570 R.R. provided carbon exchange data for the B2-TF and advice on its analysis. N.R.C.,

571 R.C.d.O., R.d.S., A.C.d.A., P.B.d.C., and S.R.S. contributed to the installation, maintenance,

- 572 or analysis of eddy flux data from LBA tower sites. J.W. provided advice on binned
- 573 regression analysis. J.A. collected and analysed leaf-level chlorophyll fluorescence
- 574 measurements in the B2-TF. All authors contributed towards writing the final
- 575 manuscript.
- 576

## 577 **Competing financial interests**

578 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

579

580

## 582 Figure Legends

583

584	Figure 1. Air temperature distributions recorded at the B2-TF mesocosm (red), a
585	seasonally dry tropical forest (Tesopaco, gold), and Amazon forest sites (K34, K67, and
586	K83, blue). Dashed lines show the current mean temperatures at Amazon forest sites
587	(28°C) and the B2-TF (32°C); grey area shows the range of mean annual temperatures
588	projected for the Amazon region by 2100 <sup>10</sup> . Only temperatures corresponding to light-
589	saturated gross ecosystem productivity (GEP) have been included.
590	
591	Figure 2. Relationship between vapour pressure deficit (VPD) and air temperature for
592	the B2-TF mesocosm (red), a seasonally dry tropical forest (Tesopaco, gold), and
593	Amazon forest sites (K34, K67, and K83, blue). Boxplots represent median values
594	(horizontal lines at box midpoints), first and third quartiles (box bottom and top), while
595	vertical lines extending from the boxplots (whiskers) show the data that lies within 1.5
596	interquartile range of the lower and upper quartiles, and data points at the end of the
597	whiskers represent outliers. Vertical lines indicate the edges of five temperature bins,
598	evenly distributed across the full range of the dataset (binwidths = 4.63°C). Lines show
599	logistic growth equation fits for each site.
600	

601 **Figure 3.** Light-saturated gross ecosystem productivity (GEP) versus (**a**) air

602 temperature and (b) vapour pressure deficit (VPD) for the B2-TF mesocosm (red), a

603 seasonally dry tropical forest (Tesopaco, gold), and Amazon forest sites (K34, K67, and

K83, blue). **a**, Points show the average GEP for each 1°C temperature bin, scaled to the

605 maximum GEP value for each forest site; **b**, points show the average light-saturated GEP

606 for each 0.2 kPa VPD bin, scaled to the maximum GEP value for each site. Error bars are

607 standard errors.

608

- 609 **Figure 4.** Distributions of the sensitivity of gross ecosystem productivity (GEP) to air 610 temperature (µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> / °C, red lines) and vapour pressure deficit (VPD, µmol 611 CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> / kPa, blue lines) derived from separate regressions between GEP and 612 temperature, binning by VPD, and between GEP and VPD, binning by temperature. Data 613 ≥ 28°C have been selected for each site to examine the driving factor of high
- 614 temperature declines in GEP. Dashed lines show the mean slope value for each type of
- 615 regression. Stars indicate mean slopes that are significantly different from zero (p < p
- 616 0.05, two-tailed Student's *t*-tests).







