How reliable are standardised behaviour tests and are they valid in predicting the suitability for use in police horses?

Rachel Flentje, BSc Hons

Dissertation submitted to the University of Chester for the degree of Master of Science (Research Methods) in part fulfilment of the Modular Program in Research Methods

September 2008

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank everybody who has contributed to the completion of this dissertation project. I would especially like to thank my supervisors Dr Emma Creighton and Catherine Perry for their guidance, support and encouragement during the course of the study. I would also like to thank the staff at Merseyside and Manchester police units for their kind permission in allowing their horses to be used in this study and for their time in completing the questionnaires. I am especially grateful to Kim Bailey and Lynn Maher for handling the horses and to Brian Seddon for sharing his knowledge on police horses, which aided in the questionnaire development. I also appreciate the generous assistance and time given by Sarah Dinning in assisting with the data collection and inter-observer reliability, and to Barbara Arch for her valuable comments on the statistical analysis. I would finally like to thank my family for their support, especially my father who was kind enough to proof read the thesis.

Declaration

The work is original and has not been submitted previously in support of any qualification or programme.

Signed

Word count: 24, 867

Table of contents

AcknowledgementsiDeclarationiiTable of contentsiii-vList of tablesvi-viiList of figuresviiiAbstractixChapter 1: Introduction1.1Background and rationale1.2Aims and objectives6-76-71.3Structure of the dissertationChapter 2: Literature review2.1Police horses and their selection10-12.2Behaviour tests12
DeclarationiiTable of contentsiii-vList of tablesvi-viiList of figuresviiiAbstractixChapter 1: Introduction1.1Background and rationale1.2Aims and objectives6-76-71.3Structure of the dissertation8-9Chapter 2: Literature review2.1Police horses and their selection10-110-12.2Behaviour tests1212
Table of contentsiii-vList of tablesvi-viiList of figuresviiiAbstractixChapter 1: Introductionix1.1Background and rationale1-61.2Aims and objectives6-71.3Structure of the dissertation8-9Chapter 2: Literature review2.1Police horses and their selection10-12.2Behaviour tests12
List of tablesvi-viiList of figuresviiiAbstractixChapter 1: Introduction1-61.1Background and rationale1-61.2Aims and objectives6-71.3Structure of the dissertation8-9Chapter 2: Literature review10-12.1Police horses and their selection10-12.2Behaviour tests12
List of figuresviiiAbstractixChapter 1: Introduction1.61.1Background and rationale1-61.2Aims and objectives6-71.3Structure of the dissertation8-9Chapter 2: Literature review10-12.1Police horses and their selection10-12.2Behaviour tests1210-11212
AbstractixChapter 1: Introduction1-61.1Background and rationale1-61.2Aims and objectives6-71.3Structure of the dissertation8-9Chapter 2: Literature review10-12.1Police horses and their selection10-12.2Behaviour tests12121212
Chapter 1: Introduction1.1Background and rationale1-61.2Aims and objectives6-71.3Structure of the dissertation8-9Chapter 2: Literature review2.1Police horses and their selection10-12.2Behaviour tests12101012
1.1Background and rationale1-61.2Aims and objectives6-71.3Structure of the dissertation8-9Chapter 2: Literature review2.1Police horses and their selection10-12.2Behaviour tests12121212
1.2Aims and objectives6-71.3Structure of the dissertation8-9Chapter 2: Literature review2.1Police horses and their selection10-12.2Behaviour tests12121212
1.3Structure of the dissertation8-9Chapter 2: Literature review10-12.1Police horses and their selection10-12.2Behaviour tests12
Chapter 2: Literature review2.1 Police horses and their selection10-12.2 Behaviour tests121212
2.1 Police horses and their selection10-1.2.2 Behaviour tests1212 1212
2.2Behaviour tests12
2.2.1 Reaction to a novel object 12-1
2.2.2 Reaction to a loud/unexpected noise 19-2
2.2.3 Reaction to a sudden rapid and surprising object 21-2
2.2.4 Reaction to pain 23-2
2.2.5 Reaction to an unusual surface 24-2
2.2.6 Reaction to an unusual person 27-2
2.2.7 Reaction to social isolation 30-3
2.3 Predicting the suitability of animals 32-3
2.4 Summary 34-3
Chapter 3: Methodology
3.1 Research strategy 36-3

3.1	Research shaleyy	30-30
3.2	Ethics (animals)	38-44
3.3	Design of tests	44-45
3.3.1	Social isolation test	45-46
3.3.2	Stranger approach test	46-47
3.3.3	Unexpected noise test: Shaker	47-48
3.3.4	Novel object test: Lampshade	49-50
3.3.5	Sudden fast moving object test: Automatic umbrella opening	50-51
3.3.6	Pain/discomfort test: Skin pinch	51-52
3.3.7	Unusual surface: Large plastic sheet	52-53

Chapter 4: Reliability assessment of the standardised behaviour tests

4.1	Subjects and location	54-55
4.2	Materials	55
4.3	Test procedure	55-56
4.3.1	Stage one – social isolation tests	56-57
4.3.2	Stage two – handling tests	57-59

 4.4 Analysi 4.5 Results 4.5.1 Test-res 4.5.2 Test for 4.5.3 Inter-ob 4.6 Discuss 4.7 Summa 	s methods test reliability differences between trials pserver reliability sion of reliability assessment ary	59-61 62-69 62-64 65-67 68-69 70-79 79-80
Chapter 5: D	evelopment of the 'suitability of use questionnaire'	
 5.1 Questic 5.1.1 Rating 5.2 Ethics (5.3 Piloting 5.4 Target 5.5 Proced 5.6 Analysi 5.7 Results 5.8 Develop 	onnaire design scales (human) population/sampling strategy ure s methods of the reliability assessment oment of the suitability of use indices	81-83 83-84 84 85-86 86 86-87 87 87 87-88 89-91
Chapter 6: C	omparison of police horses' behavioural reactions nd suitability scores	
 6.1 Aim of 1 6.2 Subject 6.3 Test pro 6.4 Analysi 6.5 Results 6.5.1 Effects 	the chapter is and locations ocedure s methods of location on the horses' behaviour responses	92 92-93 93-94 94-95 95-98 99-100
Chapter 7: D	iscussion	
7.0 discuss7.1 Implication7.2 Future re	ion Ins of the study search	101-113 113 113-114
Chapter 8:	Conclusion	115-116
References		117-123
Appendices		
Appendix 1 Appendix 2 Appendix 3 Appendix 4	Literature search strategy Evidence of ethical approval for use of animal subjects Informed consent for the use of police horses in this study Poster and abstract presented at the International Society for Equitation Science and the Universities Federation for Animal Welfare 2008.	124-129 130 131-132 133-134
Appendix 5	behavioural reactions to the behaviour tests	135-137

Appendix 6Test procedure for equine behaviour tests138-139

Appendix 7	Suitability of use questionnaire	140-142
Appendix 8	Evidence of ethical approval for the use of human subjects in the study	143-145
Appendix 9	Informed consent for the use of human subjects in the study	146-147
Appendix 10	Covering letter	148
Appendix 11	Participant information sheet	149-151
Appendix 12	Project timetable	152-153
Appendix 13	Rationale of questions used in the suitability for use questionnaire	154-159

List of tables

Table number	Table legend	Page number
2.2.1.1	Summary of 'novel object tests', methods used and the claims authors made as to what their tests measured.	13-14
2.2.3.1	Summary of 'unexpected noise tests', methods used and the claims authors made as to what their tests measured.	20
2.2.3.1	Summary of 'surprising objects tests', methods used and claims authors made as to what their tests measured.	22
2.2.5.1	Summary of 'unusual surfaces tests', methods used and claims the authors made as to what their tests measured.	25
2.2.6.1	Summary of 'stranger approach tests', methods used and claims the authors made as to what their tests measured.	28
2.2.7.1	Summary of 'social isolation tests', methods used and claims the authors made as to what their tests measured.	31
4.2.1	List of equipment required to conduct the standardised behaviour tests on the horses.	55
4.4.1	Interpretation of Kappa values, adapted by Altman (1991).	60
4.4.2	Summary of the number of measures used for each standardised behaviour test and the alpha level achieved from using Bonferroni correction.	61
4.5.1.1	Reliability of responses to standardised behavioural tests in riding horses. Data showing moderate reliability or better are indicated in bold	63-64
4.5.2.1	Shows the median (IQR) and Wilcoxon's signed-rank test results for the test-retest data of the standardised behaviour tests, the results in bold indicate a significant difference between trials one and two	66-67
4.5.3.1	Inter-observer reliability of responses to standardised behaviour tests in riding horses. Data showing good reliability are shown in bold	69
5.7.1	Summary of the Weighted Kappa test (Kw) to assess the reliability of the suitability of use questionnaire. Bold values of Kw indicate moderate to good reliability between two independent raters.	88

- 5.8.1 Summary of police horse roles and situations relating to them, the
 90 mean (SD) for the horses overall scores for each role and the number of horses used in the analysis are also presented
- 6.4.1 Table to show informal interpretations of correlation coefficients taken 94 from Martin & Bateson (1993).
- 6.5.1 Summary of Spearman's rank order (rs) correlations between police 97-98 horses' responses to the standardised behavioural tests and the scores of indices of police horse roles. Results in bold indicate a moderate or better correlation.
- 6.5.1.1 Summary of Mann Whitney U test results to explore the differences in 100 horses' behavioural reactions between Merseyside and Manchester mounted police units. The means and standard deviations for each location are also shown

List of figures

Figure number	Figure legend	Page number
4.3.1.1	Layout of the arena for the social isolation tests	57
4.3.2.1	Layout of the arena for the handling tests	58

Abstract

Standardised behaviour tests have the potential to improve equine welfare by enabling the selection of horses suitable for a particular role. A range of tests are found in scientific literature which make such claims. However, with notable exceptions, authors have failed to establish the reliability of their tests or the validity of their claims.

This study aimed to determine a set of reliable behaviour tests based on those reported in literature; and to test the validity of these as predictors of horses' suitability as police horses.

Reliability of response to a novel object, social isolation and handling tests was established by tests repeated at three week intervals on 33 horses. Behavioural responses were recorded *post hoc* to enable the full range of responses to be measured. There was some evidence that the horses habituated to static stimuli used in the tests, and the majority of the tests were at best moderately reliable, with only reactivity tests showing good or better reliability. Tests with moderately reliable results or results which could be explained by habituation, were included in the validity testing. This compared the horses' responses to the behavioural tests with handlers' ratings of key aspects of police horse performance.

These subjective scales of suitability of police horses were developed from interviews with instructors and yard managers. The items were combined to develop a set of specific indices of police horse roles. Spearman's rank order correlations were used to explore whether any relationships existed between the horses' behavioural responses to the tests and subjective ratings of their suitability for their use. The results revealed eight moderate associations which were on the whole between horses' responses to the reactivity tests (unexpected noise and sudden moving object) and the suitability scores.

These findings suggest that standardised behavioural tests are not strongly reliable. The validity analysis indicated that either the tests used in this study did not serve as predictors of suitability for use, or that behavioural responses to standardised tests cannot be generalised to the wider challenges faced by these horses in their roles. However the reactivity tests did reveal stronger reliability and they were the only measures to show any association with the suitability scores, suggesting that reactivity tests may have some validity in measuring a horse's suitability. Overall, the findings of this study cannot support claims made for these (or similar) tests to be valid predictors of a horse's suitability for use.