

# UCC Library and UCC researchers have made this item openly available. Please let us know how this has helped you. Thanks!

Title	Effect of thermal treatment on serum protein reduced micellar casein concentrate: An evaluation of rennet coagulability, cheese composition and yield
Author(s)	Xia, Xiaofeng; Tobin, John T.; Subhira, Surabhi; Fenelon, Mark A.; McSweeney, Paul L. H.; Sheehan, Jeremiah J.
Publication date	2020-10-21
Original citation	Xia, X., Tobin, J. T., Subhira, S., Fenelon, M. A., McSweeney, P. L. H. and Sheehan, J. J. (2020) 'Effect of thermal treatment on serum protein reduced micellar casein concentrate: An evaluation of rennet coagulability, cheese composition and yield', International Dairy Journal, 114, 104902 (8pp). doi: 10.1016/j.idairyj.2020.104902
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)
Link to publisher's version	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2020.104902 Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.
Rights	© 2020, Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Embargo information	Access to this article is restricted until 12 months after publication by request of the publisher.
Embargo lift date	2021-10-21
Item downloaded from	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/10843

Downloaded on 2021-11-27T14:03:14Z



Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

1	Effect of thermal treatment on serum protein reduced micellar casein concentrate: An
2	evaluation of rennet coagulability, cheese composition and yield
3	Xiaofeng Xia*†, J. T. Tobin*, Surabhi Subhir*, M. A. Fenelon*, P. L.H. McSweeney†,
4	and Jeremiah J. Sheehan <sup>*1</sup>
5	*Teagasc Food Research Centre, Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland P61 C996
6	<b>†School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Ireland T12 YN60</b>
7	<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: <u>Diarmuid.Sheehan@teagasc.ie</u>
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
10	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

## ABSTRACT

25	Microfiltration at 0.10 $\mu$ m removed ~70.29% of serum proteins from milk and the
26	resultant micellar casein concentrates (MCC) were subjected to: no heat treatment (control),
27	pasteurization (72°C×15s) and high heat treatment (HHT, 90°C×15s) before formulation of
28	cheese milk for Cheddar cheese manufacture. MCC showed good heat stability due to low
29	serum protein content. For cheese milk of typical casein content, both pasteurization and
30	HHT did not significantly influence pH, calcium distribution and rennet coagulability, or
31	subsequent cheese composition and yield; although HHT elongated cheese make time
32	significantly. On increasing casein content from 3.09% to 4.31%, there was no significant
33	difference for rennet to cut time between cheeses made from milk with different thermal
34	histories and casein contents. Overall, HHT of MCC had no significant impact on cheese
35	make properties, cheese composition and yield of Cheddar cheese.
36	Key words: high heat treatment, microfiltration, rennet coagulability, cheese yield
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43 44	
45	
46	
47	

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Heating of milk at temperatures  $\geq 70^{\circ}$ C can cause serum protein denaturation; such 49 denatured serum proteins can form complexes with other denatured serum proteins or with ĸ-50 casein (both on the surface of casein micelles or in milk serum phase) through thiol-51 disulphide bond exchange reactions (Bulca et al., 2004). Since disulphide bonds formed 52 between serum proteins and casein micelles are located in the para-  $\kappa$ -casein region; the 53 denatured serum proteins will be attached to the para-casein micelles after rennet addition 54 and thus, incorporated into cheese curd (Anema et al., 2007). Partition of denatured serum 55 proteins from cheese milk to cheese provides a way to increase cheese yield (Banks et al., 56 1987, Singh and Waungana, 2001). However, both serum protein/ para-casein micelle 57 complexes and serum protein/ soluble  $\kappa$ -casein complexes can impair the rennet coagulability 58 of cheese milk (Kethireddipalli et al., 2010). As a result, Guinee et al. (1997) and Fox et al. 59 60 (2017d) suggested that heat treatment above HTST pasteurization (72°C×15s) conditions should not be applied to cheese milk prior to cheese manufacture. 61

62 Native serum proteins can be separated from milk using microfiltration (MF) (Garem et al., 2000) and are considered as 'ideal whey protein' due to their superior functional and 63 64 nutritional value over serum proteins recovered from cheese whey (Bacher and Kønigsfeldt, 2000, Heino et al., 2007), as well as having an absence of caseinomacropeptide, starter 65 bacteria, colorants, coagulant enzymes, cheese fines and fat in this stream. Serum protein 66 reduced or depleted milk called micellar casein concentrate (MCC) can be used in cheese 67 manufacture, either to fortify the casein content in cheese milk (St-Gelais et al., 1995, 68 Govindasamy-Lucey et al., 2007) or to be used for standardisation of cheese milk (Garem et 69 al., 2000, Neocleous et al., 2002, Heino, 2008). MCC produced by MF has an enhanced heat 70 stability compared to milk of typical casein and serum protein contents (Renhe and Corredig, 71

2018). Milk which is partially or completely reduced of serum protein content can be subjected to high heat treatment (above pasteurization conditions) with little or no impairment of rennet coagulation properties, and the lower the serum protein content, the higher the heat stability (Bulca et al., 2004). Thus it could be hypothesized that high heat treatment (more intensive than HTST, 72°C×15s) could be applied to denature and recover residual serum proteins in MCC to cheese curd leading to increased cheese yield without compromising rennet gelation and cheese making properties.

79 Previous research by this group (Xia et al., 2020) produced MCC of high casein number (casein content as a percentage of total protein,  $\sim$ 91%) using a cascade membrane filtration 80 process, this MCC had a high pH ( $\sim$ 7.0) as a result of diafiltration (DF) with RO water 81 during the MF. Beliciu et al. (2012) suggested that aggregation or gelation in sterilised MCC 82 can be prevented when the pH in unheated MCC is >6.7 and thus it is postulated that MCC 83 produced by MF and DF with RO water might have a good heat stability. The objective of 84 this study was to: 1) characterise the heat stability of MCC manufactured by MF and DF with 85 RO water and; 2) evaluate the rennet coagulability, cheese making properties and cheese 86 87 yield of cheese milks standardised from MCC of different thermal histories.

88

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 89 Cascade filtration process

90 A pilot scale cascade membrane filtration process was carried out in triplicate (Figure
91 1) at Moorepark Technology Limited, Fermoy, Co Cork, Ireland:

Pasteurized skim milk was sourced from a local dairy company (Dairygold,
Mitchelstown, Co Cork), stored at 4°C overnight, pre-heated to 50°C for 30min and then
microfiltered at a membrane pore size of 0.1µm (Pall Corporation, New York, USA, model

no. EP 3730, surface area 0.35m<sup>2</sup>, length 1020mm) on a GEA Model F filtration unit (GEA
Process Engineering A/S, Skanderborg, Denmark) at 50°C. The volume concentration factor
(VCF) was 3. Two steps of diafiltration with RO water (50°C) were also undertaken during
MF, with a dilution factor of 2. MF retentate was immediately chilled and stored at 4°C until
day 2. MF permeate was firstly subjected to reverse osmosis (VCF=5) and then ultrafiltration,
where RO permeate (water) and UF permeate (containing lactose and minerals) were
collected in sterilized containers, chilled in an ice bath and stored at 4°C until day 30.

102 On day 2 (Figure 1), MF retentate was divided into three portions and subjected to the 103 following treatments using a pilot-scale tubular heat-exchanger (MicroThermics®, Raleigh, 104 NC, USA): portion 1, unheated (control), denoted as CON MCC; portion 2, pasteurized at 105  $72^{\circ}C \times 15s$ , denoted as PS MCC; portion 3, high heat treatment: 90°C for 15s, denoted as 106 HHT MCC. The MCCs were stored separately in sterilized containers, cooled in an ice bath 107 and stored at 4°C until day 3.

## 108 Preparation of cheese milk

On day 3 (Figure 1), 4 cheese milks, namely CON- (control), PS-, HHT1.0-, and 109 HHT1.5 cheese milk (CM) were prepared from the following streams: pasteurized cream 110 (fat), CON-, PS-, and HHT MCC (casein), UF permeate (lactose and minerals) and RO 111 permeate (water) as described in Table 1. The protein, fat and lactose contents in the 112 pasteurised cream, MCCs and cheese milks were measured by FTIR (FOSS MilkoScan™ 113 FT+, Hillerød, Denmark), the total solids in UF permeate was measured by microwave (CEM 114 Smart Trac moisture analyser, Damastown, Dublin, Ireland), while RO permeate is 115 essentially water and its composition was not determined. The lactose content, obtained by 116 multiplying total solids by 0.87 and expressed as a percentage of the total solids in UF 117 permeate, was estimated to be  $\sim 87\%$ , in keeping with levels observed by previous studies 118

(unpublished data) undertaken by this research group. The casein contents for CON-, PS-, and HHT1.0 CM were standardised to 2.72-2.74% and the casein content in HHT1.5 CM was standardised to 1.5 times the casein content in HHT1.0 CM. The casein: fat ratio and lactose content in the four cheese milks were standardised to 0.74 and 4.45-4.52% respectively. HHT1.5 CM was formulated to mitigate any potential negative influence of high heat treatment (90°C for 15s) on the rennet coagulability of cheese milk, by increasing casein concentration.

## 126 **Preparation of cheese**

127 On the same day of cheese milk formulation, Cheddar cheese was manufactured as128 described by Xia et al. (2020).

## 129 Calcium in MCC and cheese milk

Total calcium in MCCs, cheese milk and cheeses as well as colloidal- and soluble
calcium in cheese milk were determined with atomic absorption spectrometry (AA240,
VarianAA, Varian Inc., CA, USA) as described by Guinee et al. (2000), Gaucheron (2005)
and Lin et al. (2016). Colloidal- and soluble calcium were measured in fresh milk.

## 134 Composition of liquid samples and cheese at 14 days

Total solids and ash contents in the liquid samples (including MCCs, cheese milk and cheese whey) and cheeses were determined by the methods described in IDF (2010) and IDF (1964a) respectively. The fat content in liquid samples was measured by a gravimetric method (IDF, 1996) and in cheese by NMR (CEM SMART Trac II, Damastown, Dublin, Ireland). Total nitrogen, non-protein nitrogen and non-casein nitrogen contents were determined using the Kjeldahl (IDF, 1964b, 1993) with a nitrogen-protein conversion factor of 6.38. The casein number and native whey protein content (NWP, expressed as a percentage of total protein) were calculated as described by Lin et al. (2018). The percentage
of whey protein denaturation (%WPD, as a percentage of total whey protein) in MCCs and
cheese milk was calculated with equations adapted from Lin et al. (2018):

145 % WPD=
$$\frac{100 \times (NWP_{CON} - NWP_h)}{NWP_{CON}}$$
,

where  $NWP_{CON}$  represented the level of native whey protein in CON MCC or CON CM and NWP<sub>h</sub> represented the level of native whey protein in heated MCCs (PS MCC and HHT MCC) or cheese milk prepared from heated MCCs (PS CM and HHT1.0 CM). The level of serum protein denaturation arising due to pasteurisation of the feed milk was not considered during the calculation of %WPD in MCCs to enable a comparison of the effects of the various heat treatments on the MCCs.

## 152 Rennet coagulation characterisation

A volume of 20mL of cheese milk was transferred from the cheese vat to a rheometer 153 (AR-G2 rheometer; TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA) 3min after rennet addition, and a 154 time sweep and frequency sweep were carried out as described by Xia et al. (2020). Rennet 155 coagulation time (RCT) (Sandra et al., 2011), storage modulus and tan  $\delta$  at 40min after rennet 156 addition (A<sub>40</sub> and tan  $\delta_{40}$  respectively) and time to achieve storage modulus 35Pa (K<sub>35</sub>) or 157 70Pa (K<sub>70</sub>) (Panthi et al., 2019b) were recorded from the storage modulus-time curve. Since 158 the curds were cut on achieving gel firmness of 35Pa, K<sub>35</sub> was used to represent set to cut 159 160 time (time from rennet addition to cutting). After a frequency sweep, the following equation can be derived from the frequency ( $\omega$ )-storage modulus (G') curve: 161

162 Log G'=  $n^* \log \omega + K$ ;

163 Where n was defined as degree of frequency dependence (Tunick, 2010).

164 Cheese yield

Actual and compositional adjusted cheese yield was calculated as described by Guineeet al. (2006):

167 1.  $Y_a$ , actual cheese yield per 100kg of cheese milk (kg/100kg of cheese milk);

168 2.  $Y_{ma}$ , moisture adjusted ( to 38.5%) cheese yield (kg/100kg of cheese milk):

169 
$$Y_{ma} = Y_a \times (\frac{100 - Ma}{100 - Mr})$$

170 Where  $M_a$  and  $M_r$  refer to the actual and reference (38.5%) cheese moisture content 171 respectively.

172 3.  $Y_{afcam}$ , actual cheese yield per 100kg of fat and casein adjusted milk:

173 
$$Y_{afcam} = Y_a \times (\frac{Frm + Crm}{Fcm + Ccm})$$

Where  $F_{cm}$  and  $C_{cm}$  refer to the actual fat and casein concentrations in cheese milk, and  $F_{rm}$ (3.4%) and  $C_{rm}$  (2.53%) the concentrations in the reference milk.

4. Y<sub>mafcam</sub>, moisture adjusted cheese yield per 100kg of fat and casein adjusted milk,
which was calculated from Yma with a similar formula to that described in formula 3.

#### 178 Statistical analysis

The cascade filtration process and cheese manufacture trials were carried out in triplicate. The effect of heat treatment on the composition of MCC, cheese milk, cheese composition, yield, texture and gel properties were compared with least-squares difference (LSD) at a 95% significance level in a one-way ANOVA using IBM SPSS statistics 24.0 (IBM Corp., 2016, Chicago, IL, USA).

184

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## 185 *Composition of MCC*

A level of 70.29% of serum protein originally present in pasteurised skim milk was removed to permeate after microfiltration at 0.1µm, giving a serum protein reduced MCC (casein number: 93.64%, Table 2). The total solids, total protein, ash and total calcium contents in MCC were not affected by heat treatment (Table 2). As the intensity of heat treatment increased, the native whey protein (NWP, as a percentage of total protein) content 191 in MCC decreased and %WPD increased (Table 2). There was no significant difference in the NWP content and %WPD between CON MCC and PS MCC, which was not surprising, since 192 pasteurization only leads to 1% whey protein denaturation in skim milk (casein number: 193 194 75%) as reported by Guinee et al. (1996b). The %WPD in HHT MCC was significantly higher than the CON- and PS MCC, corresponding to the significantly lower NWP content in 195 this stream (Table 2). The %WPD (15.97%, Table 2) in HHT MCC (90°C×15s) observed in 196 the current research was substantially lower than the %WPD (36.1%) reported in skim milk 197 (casein number: 74.2%) after high heat treatment (88°C×15s) by Guinee at al. (1997). The 198 199 enhanced heat stability in MCC (manifest by the lower %WPD in HHT MCC) was attributed to its low serum protein content due to serum protein reduction (Bulca et al., 2004). 200

No significant difference was observed in pH levels between MCCs with different 201 202 thermal histories although the heated MCCs were lower in magnitude. It has been shown previously that during heat treatment, soluble calcium content decreased, resulting in 203 increased colloidal calcium content and a pH drop in milk (Pouliot et al., 1989 a,b, c; On-204 Nom et al., 2010). Both the soluble calcium content and pH levels in heated milk can be 205 almost or fully restored to their original level after cooling when the heating temperature is 206 less than 95°C (Kannan and Jenness, 1961, Pouliot et al., 1989d, Beliciu et al., 2012). As the 207 pH values in heated MCC were similar to the control MCC, it is assumed that the soluble 208 calcium content and pH in heated MCC almost or totally returned to original levels after 209 210 cooling.

## 211 Composition of cheese milk

Similar contents of total solids, total protein, casein, fat, ash, total calcium as well as casein: fat ratio (Table 3) were achieved in CON-, PS- and HHT1.0 cheese milks as a result of cheese milk standardization. There was no significant difference in the NWP content and %WPD between CON- and PS cheese milk. The %WPD in HHT1.0 cheese milk was significantly higher than the other cheese milks (Table 3), in line with the findings for MCC
(Table 2). The colloidal- and soluble calcium contents, %soluble calcium, colloidal calcium
per gram casein and the pH of cheese milk with different thermal histories were also similar
(Table 3), and comparable to the values reported by Gaucheron (2005). This suggests that a
similar calcium distribution between the colloidal and soluble phases in CON-, PS- and HHT
cheese milks was achieved as was a complete restoration of soluble calcium in the heated
MCC.

The total solids, total protein, casein, ash and total-, soluble-, colloidal calcium contents in HHT1.5 cheese milk were significantly higher than those in HHT1.0 cheese milk, due to the higher casein content in this HHT1.5 cheese milk. Similarly, the fat content was higher as the milk had been standardised on a fat to casein basis. The casein: fat ratio, soluble calcium as percentage of total calcium, colloidal calcium per gram casein and pH in the HHT1.5 cheese milk were similar to the other three milks (Table 3), reflecting an accurate standardization of the HHT1.5 cheese milk.

## 230 Rennet coagulation property

For cheese milks of typical casein content, the rennet coagulation properties were not 231 significantly affected by pasteurisation, with similar RCT, A<sub>40</sub>, K<sub>35</sub> and K<sub>70</sub> between CON-232 and PS cheese milks (Table 4). This was in keeping with the similar levels of %WPD in 233 234 CON- and PS cheese milks (Table 3) and reports of negligible effects of pasteurisation on the 235 coagulability of skim milk (Fox et al., 2017a). Even though the set to cut time  $(K_{35})$  in HHT1.0 CM increased by 21.84% compared to that in CON CM, it (22.42min) still ranged 236 between the value cheese makers usually use in cheese manufacture: 20 to 30min 237 238 (Govindasamy-Lucey et al., 2004, Heino, 2008, Panthi et al., 2019b). Guinee et al., (1997) reported that the set to cut time at 20Pa in high heat treated (88°C×15s) cheese milk (around 239 70min) is nearly twice to that in raw cheese milk (around 33.33min), leading to the 240

suggestion that cheese milk of typical casein number should not undergo high heat treatment (i.e.,  $>72^{\circ}C \times 15s$ ) due to high levels of serum protein denaturation (Guinee et al., 1997, Fox et al., 2017c). The lower level of serum protein denaturation as a result of serum protein reduction was shown to mitigate this issue (Bulca et al., 2004, Renhe and Corredig, 2018).

Curd firming rate is improved by increasing the casein content in cheese milk (Guinee et 245 al., 1997, Panthi et al., 2019b), as a result, K<sub>35</sub> and K<sub>70</sub> decrease and A<sub>40</sub> increases when the 246 casein content in cheese milk increase (Panthi et al., 2019b). For the cheese milks prepared 247 from high heat treated MCC, the significantly higher  $A_{40}$  value as well as lower  $K_{35}$  and  $K_{70}$ 248 249 value in HHT1.5 cheese milk suggested that the gel firming rate increased when the casein content increased from 3.09% to 4.31% (Figure 2, Table 4). Interestingly, the set to cut time 250 (K<sub>35</sub>) in HHT1.5 CM was similar to that of the control milk (CON CM) (Table 4). Suggesting 251 252 that there is no need to change the set to cut time when manufacturing Cheddar cheese from HHT cheese milk with casein concentration as high as 4.31%, manufacture cheese from 253 cheese milk with high casein concentration can allow cheese makers to produce more cheese 254 with fixed facility and labour (Neocleous et al., 2002). 255

The degree of frequency dependence for storage modulus (n) is an indication of gel structure (Chen et al., 1999), with n=0 for ideal covalent cross-linked gels and n>0 for noncovalent cross-linked gels; an increased n value indicates an increased viscoelasticity (Zhou and Mulvaney, 1998, Rosalina and Bhattacharya, 2002, Tunick, 2010). There was no significant difference between the n value of the gels in this research (Table 4) arising from the varying heat treatments and casein contents.

262 *Cheese manufacture time* 

The rennet addition to drain time in HHT1.0 cheese was significantly higher than those of CON- and PS cheeses, with no significant difference in drain to mill time between the three cheeses (Table 5), as a result, the total make time for the HHT1.0 cheese was longer 266 than for the CON- (p<0.05) and PS cheeses (p=0.055) (Table 5). The pH and lactose content in cheese milk, starter culture inoculum levels and cheese making procedures for the CON-, 267 PS-, and HHT1.0 cheeses were the same, as were the concentrations of casein, total protein, 268 ash, total-, soluble- and colloidal calcium contributing to the buffering capacity (Lucey et al., 269 1993a, b) in milk (Table 3). It has been reported that heat treatment of milk can influence the 270 acid production capacity of lactic acid bacteria inoculated into the milk (Greene and Jezeski, 271 1957a, b; Singh et al., 1980; Stulova et al., 2011), and this capacity could be inhibited under 272 certain temperature-time heat combinations depending on levels of denatured serum protein 273 274 and the availability of -SH groups. Given the significantly higher levels of serum protein denaturation prior to HHT cheese manufacture, it is proposed that the acid production 275 capacity of the starter culture (Lactococcus lactis) in HHT 1.0 cheese milk may have been 276 277 reduced (Figure 3), leading to the extended cheese make time. However, further research is required to definitively prove this. 278

A significant increase in the time from rennet addition to drain as well as for total make 279 time in HHT1.5 cheese compared to the CON- and PS cheeses (Table 5) may be due to a 280 greater buffering capacity resulting from higher casein and ash contents (Table 3), as reported 281 by St-Gelais et al. (1998). Extended manufacture times can result in lower cheese moisture 282 content (St-Gelais et al., 1997). Xia et al. (2020) reported loss of a large proportion of 283 284 minerals from MCC during microfiltration and diafiltration with water requiring addition of 285 milk salts to MCC to fortify the ash content in cheese milk. Future studies should determine the possibility of decreasing the buffering capacity of cheese milk of high casein 286 concentration by adding less UF permeate (which was used to fortify lactose and milk salts in 287 288 cheese milk) to MCC during cheese milk preparation. Similarly, increasing the starter culture addition in casein concentrated cheese milk to improve acid production during cheese 289 processing would also be an option (O'Keeffe et al., 1975, Guinee et al., 1996a). 290

#### 291 Composition of 14 days cheese

No significant difference was observed between CON-, PS and HHT1.0 cheeses (Table 292 6), for contents of protein, fat, moisture, salt, ash and total calcium as well as protein: fat 293 ratio, FDM, MNFS, S/M, Calcium/protein and pH, showing that the thermal treatments 294 applied and any subsequent serum protein denaturation did not affect the Cheddar cheese 295 composition. Guinee et al. (1995) and Rynne et al. (2004) reported that cheese made from 296 high heat treated ( $88^{\circ}C \times 15s$  or  $87^{\circ}C \times 26s$ ) cheese milk of typical serum protein content had 297 increased moisture contents due to reduced syneresis; it was suggested that the retarded 298 299 aggregation and fusion of denatured serum protein-para-casein complexes should be responsible for the impaired syneresis in severely heat treated cheese milk (Rynne et al., 300 2004). A higher tan  $\delta$  value corresponded to improved syneresis in rennet induced gels (Van 301 302 Vliet et al.,1991), similar tan  $\delta_{40}$  values (data not shown) for all coagula observed in the current research suggests that the heat treatment applied (72°C×15s or 90°C×15s) did not 303 affect syneresis during rennet induced coagulation of serum protein depleted cheese milk, 304 with similar cheese moisture contents in CON-, PS- and HHT1.0 cheeses further supporting 305 this. 306

Similar cheese compositions and pH was observed between HHT1.5 cheese and the other three cheeses (Table 6), although the moisture content and MNFS in the HHT1.5 cheese were somewhat lower, if not statistically so. Panthi et al. (2019a) reported that for concentrated cheese milk, lower quantities of cheese whey can lead to curd tearing and small curd particles during cutting and stirring.

312 Cheese yield

There was no significant difference in cheese yield between CON- and PS cheese (Table 7), which was expected due to the negligible levels of serum protein denaturation in the PS cheese milk. Similarly, high heat treatment of cheese milk did not affect the actualand moisture adjusted cheese yield (Table 7), contrary to earlier expectation. The moisture adjusted actual yield ( $Y_{ma}$ ) of HHT1.0 cheese, predicted to be 12.36kg if all the denatured serum protein in HHT1.0 cheese milk was recovered to the resultant cheese, was achieved (Table 7), suggesting that the low levels of serum protein present and in a denatured state due to HHT had a negligible impact on the yield of Cheddar cheese.

The actual ( $Y_a$ ) and moisture adjusted ( $Y_{ma}$ ) cheese yield of cheeses made from the high heat treated concentrated cheese milk (HHT1.5) was significantly higher than for cheeses made from un-concentrated cheese milks in accordance with Xia et al. (2020) and was attributed to the higher solids content in the HHT1.5 cheese milk as the casein and fat adjusted cheese yields ( $Y_{afcam}$  and  $Y_{mafcam}$ ) were similar between all four cheese types (Table 7).

327

#### **CONCLUSION**

Pasteurization (72°C×15s) of MCC had no significant influence on the %WPD of 328 pasteurised MCC or on the %WPD, calcium distribution and pH in subsequent cheese milk. 329 Similarly, the rennet coagulation properties of cheese milk, cheese make time, cheese 330 composition and yield were not influenced by pasteurization of MCC compared to the 331 control. High heat treatment (90°C×15s) of the MCC resulted in increased %WPD in both 332 MCC (15.97%) and the resultant cheese milk, although lower than that reported in studies on 333 334 milk and was attributed to the low serum protein content in MCC prior to heat treatment. The calcium distribution and pH of serum protein reduced cheese milk were not affected by HHT, 335 nor were the cheese composition, pH and yield. HHT also elongated the cheese make time 336 significantly during Cheddar cheese manufacture compared to CON cheese, although it did 337 not result in a significant reduction in cheese moisture content. 338

After partial removal of serum protein, the curd firming rate for HHT cheese milk of typical casein concentration (3.09%) was not significantly affected by denaturation of the serum protein. The curd firming rate increased by increasing the casein concentration in cheese milk from 3.09% to 4.31% with set to cut time decreased insignificantly.

Despite prior expectation, cheese yield was not significantly improved by HHT of MCC, 343 and similarly HHT did not significantly impact on the rennet coagulability, cheese making 344 345 properties and cheese composition from serum protein depleted cheese milk. As Amelia and Barbano (2013) reported that pasteurised MCC had a long shelf life (>16weeks) at 4°C, 346 347 future research should determine whether the heat treatment of MCC (90°C×15s) would result in an extended shelf life of MCC providing a commercial means of protein fortification 348 of cheese milk to mitigate seasonal variations in milk protein content. Overall, HHT of MCC 349 350 prior to cheese manufacture did not negatively influence the cheese manufacture process, or composition and yield of resultant Cheddar cheese. 351

352

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank David R. Collins (DPTC) for operating microthermics; Hao Ouyang, Dr Anita Pax, Dr Prabin Lamichhane, Paul McGee and Dr Lizandra Paludetti for help with cheese manufacture; Dairygold for sponsoring pasteurised skim milk and cream; Chr. Hansen Ireland for donation of starter culture and rennet. Xiaofeng Xia is currently in a receipt of Teagasc Walsh Fellowship and the work was funded by the Department of Agricultural, Food and Marine under the Food Institutional Research Measure (15/F/683).

360

#### REFERENCE

Amelia, I. and D. M. Barbano. 2013. Production of an 18% protein liquid micellar casein
concentrate with a long refrigerated shelf life. Journal of Dairy Science 96(5):3340-3349.

- 363 Anema, S. G., S. K. Lee, and H. Klostermeyer. 2007. Effect of pH at heat treatment on the 364 hydrolysis of  $\kappa$ -casein and the gelation of skim milk by chymosin. LWT-Food Science and 365 Technology 40(1):99-106.
- Bacher, T. and P. Kønigsfeldt. 2000. WPI by microfiltration of skim milk. Scandinavian
  Dairy Information (3):18-20.
- Banks, J. M., G. Stewart, D. D. Muir, and I. G. West. 1987. Increasing the yield of Cheddar
  cheese by the acidification of milk containing heat-denatured whey protein.
  Milchwissenschaft 42(4):212-215.
- Beliciu, C. M., A. Sauer, and C. I. Moraru. 2012. The effect of commercial sterilization
  regimens on micellar casein concentrates. Journal of Dairy Science 95(10):5510-5526.
- Bulca, S., J. Leder, and U. Kulozik. 2004. Impact of UHT or high heat treatment on the
- 374 rennet gel formation of skim milk with various whey protein contents. Milchwissenschaft
  375 59(11-12):590-593.
- Chen, J., E. Dickinson, and M. Edwards. 1999. Rheology of acid-induced sodium caseinate
  stabilized emulsion gels. Journal of Texture Studies 30(4):377-396.
- Fox, P. F., T. P. Guinee, T. M. Cogan, and P. L. H. McSweeney. 2017a. Bacteriology of
- Cheese Milk. Pages 105-120 in Fundamentals of Cheese Science. Springer US, Boston, MA.
- 380 Fox, P. F., T. P. Guinee, T. M. Cogan, and P. L. H. McSweeney. 2017b. Cheese yield. Pages
- 381 279-331 in Fundamentals of cheese science. Springer.
- Fox, P. F., T. P. Guinee, T. M. Cogan, and P. L. H. McSweeney. 2017c. Enzymatic
  Coagulation of Milk. Pages 185-229 in Fundamentals of Cheese Science. Springer US,
  Boston, MA.
- Fox, P. F., T. P. Guinee, T. M. Cogan, and P. L. H. McSweeney. 2017d. Overview of Cheese
- 386 Manufacture. Pages 11-25 in Fundamentals of Cheese Science. Springer US, Boston, MA.

- Garem, A., P. Schuck, and J.-L. Maubois. 2000. Cheesemaking properties of a new dairybased powder made by a combination of microfiltration and ultrafiltration. Le Lait 80(1):2532.
- 390 Gaucheron, F. 2005. The minerals of milk. Reproduction Nutrition Development 45(4):473391 483.
- Govindasamy-Lucey, S., J. Jaeggi, A. Bostley, M. Johnson, and J. Lucey. 2004.
  Standardization of milk using cold ultrafiltration retentates for the manufacture of Parmesan
  cheese. Journal of Dairy Science 87(9):2789-2799.
- Govindasamy-Lucey, S., J. Jaeggi, M. Johnson, T. Wang, and J. Lucey. 2007. Use of cold
  microfiltration retentates produced with polymeric membranes for standardization of milks
  for manufacture of pizza cheese. Journal of Dairy Science 90(10):4552-4568.
- Greene, V. W. and J. J. Jezeski. 1957a. Studies on starter metabolism. II. The influence of
  heating milk on the subsequent response of starter cultures. Journal of Dairy Science
  40(9):1053-1061.
- Greene, V. W. and J. J. Jezbski. 1957b. Studies on starter metabolism. III. Studies on
  cysteine-induced stimulation and inhibition of starter cultures in milk. Journal of Dairy
  Science 40(9):1062-1071.
- Guinee, T. P., M. A. E. Auty, and M. A. Fenelon. 2000. The effect of fat content on the
  rheology, microstructure and heat-induced functional characteristics of Cheddar cheese.
  International Dairy Journal 10(4):277-288.
- Guinee, T. P., C. B. Gorry, D. J. O'Callaghan, B. T. O'Kennedy, N. O'Brie, and M. A.
  Fenelon. 1997. The effects of composition and some processing treatments on the rennet
  coagulation properties of milk. International Journal of Dairy Technology 50(3):99-106.

- Guinee, T. P., D. J. O'Callaghan, E. O. Mulholland, and D. Harrington. 1996a. Milk protein
  standardization by ultrafiltration for Cheddar cheese manufacture. Journal of Dairy Research
  63(2):281-293.
- Guinee, T. P., D. J. O'Callaghan, P. D. Pudja, and N. O'Brien. 1996b. Rennet coagulation
  properties of retentates obtained by ultrafiltration of skim milks heated to different
  temperatures. International Dairy Journal 6(6):581-596.
- Guinee, T. P., B. T. O'Kennedy, and P. M. Kelly. 2006. Effect of milk protein
  standardization using different methods on the composition and yields of Cheddar cheese.
  Journal of Dairy Science 89(2):468-482.
- 419 Guinee, T. P., P. D. Pudja, W. J. Reville, D. Harrington, E. O. Mulholland, M. Cotter, and T.
- M. Cogan. 1995. Composition, microstructure and maturation of semi-hard cheeses from
  high protein ultrafiltered milk retentates with different levels of denatured whey protein.
  International Dairy Journal 5(6):543-568.
- 423 Heino, A. 2008. Microfiltration of milk I: Cheese milk modification by micro-and
  424 ultrafiltration and the effect on Emmental cheese quality. Milchwissenschaft 63(3):279.
- Heino, A. T., J. O. Uusi-Rauva, P. R. RantamÄKi, and O. Tossavainen. 2007. Functional
  properties of native and cheese whey protein concentrate powders. International Journal of
  Dairy Technology 60(4):277-285.
- 428 IDF. 1964a. Determination of the ash content of processed cheese products. International429 Dairy Federation, Brussels.
- 430 International Dairy Federation 1964b. Determination of casein content of milk. IDF Standard
  431 29. Int. Dairy Fed, Brussels, Belguim.
- International Dairy Federation 1993. Milk: determination of the nitrogen content (Kjeldahl
  method) and calculation of crude protein content. IDF Standard 20B. Int. Dairy Fed.,
  Brussels, Belguim.

- 435 International Dairy Federation 1996. Milk. Determination of fat content (Röse-Gottlieb
  436 gravimetric method). IDF Standard 1D. Int. Dairy Fed., Brussels, Belguim.
- 437 IDF. 2010. Milk, cream and evaporated milk-Determination of total solids content (Reference
- 438 method). ISO 6731:2010 (IDF 21:2010).
- 439 Kannan, A. and R. Jenness. 1961. Relation of milk serum proteins and milk salts to the
- 440 effects of heat treatment on rennet clotting. Journal of Dairy Science 44(5):808-822.
- Kethireddipalli, P., A. R. Hill, and D. G. Dalgleish. 2010. Protein interactions in heat-treated
  milk and effect on rennet coagulation. International Dairy Journal 20(12):838-843.
- Lin, Y., A. L. Kelly, J. A. O'Mahony, and T. P. Guinee. 2016. Fortification of milk protein
- 444 content with different dairy protein powders alters its compositional, rennet gelation, heat445 stability and ethanol stability characteristics. International Dairy Journal 61:220-227.
- 446 Lin, Y., A. L. Kelly, J. A. O'Mahony, and T. P. Guinee. 2018. Effects of milk heat treatment
- and solvent composition on physicochemical and selected functional characteristics of milk
  protein concentrate. Journal of Dairy Science 101(8):6799-6813.
- Lucey, J., C. Gorry, and P. Fox. 1993a. Acid-base buffering properties of heated milk.
  Milchwissenschaft 48(8):438-441.
- Lucey, J., B. Hauth, C. Gorry, and P. Fox. 1993b. The acid-base buffering properties of milk.
  Milchwissenschaft 48(5):268-272.
- 453 Neocleous, M., D. Barbano, and M. Rudan. 2002. Impact of Low Concentration Factor
- 454 Microfiltration on Milk Component Recovery and Cheddar Cheese Yield. Journal of Dairy
- 455 Science 85(10):2415-2424.
- 456 O'Keeffe, R. B., P. F. Fox, and C. Daly. 1975. Proteolysis in Cheddar cheese: influence of the
- 457 rate of acid production during manufacture. Journal of Dairy Research 42(1):111-122.

- On-Nom, N., A. S. Grandison, and M. J. Lewis. 2010. Measurement of ionic calcium, pH,
  and soluble divalent cations in milk at high temperature. Journal of Dairy Science 93(2):515523.
- Pandey, P. K., H. S. Ramaswamy, and D. St-Gelais. 2003. Evaluation of pH change kinetics
  during various stages of Cheddar cheese-making from raw, pasteurized, micro-filtered and
  high-pressure-treated milk. LWT-Food Science and Technology 36(5):497-506.
- Panthi, R. R., A. L. Kelly, D. J. McMahon, X. Dai, A. H. Vollmer, and J. J. Sheehan. 2019a.
  Response surface methodology modeling of protein concentration, coagulum cut size, and set
  temperature on curd moisture loss kinetics during curd stirring. Journal of Dairy Science
  102(6):4989-5004.
- Panthi, R. R., A. L. Kelly, J. J. Sheehan, K. Bulbul, A. H. Vollmer, and D. J. McMahon.
  2019b. Influence of protein concentration and coagulation temperature on rennet-induced
  gelation characteristics and curd microstructure. Journal of Dairy Science 102(1):177-189.
- 471 Pirisino, J. F. 1983. High Performance Liquid Chromatographic Determination of Lactose,
- 472 Glucose, and Galactose in Lactose-Reduced Milk. Journal of Food Science 48(3):742-744.
- 473 Pouliot, Y., M. Boulet, and P. Paquin. 1989a. An experimental technique for the study of
  474 milk salt balance. Journal of Dairy Science 72(1):36-40.
- 475 Pouliot, Y., M. Boulet, and P. Paquin. 1989b. Experiments on the heat-induced salt balance
  476 changes in cow's milk. Journal of Dairy Research 56(3):513-519.
- 477 Pouliot, Y., M. Boulet, and P. Paquin. 1989c. Observations on the heat-induced salt balance
  478 changes in milk I. Effect of heating time between 4 and 90 C. Journal of Dairy Research
  479 56(2):185-192.
- 480 Pouliot, Y., M. Boulet, and P. Paquin. 1989d. Observations on the heat-induced salt balance
- 481 changes in milk II. Reversibility on cooling. Journal of Dairy Research 56(2):193-199.

- 482 Renhe, I. R. T. and M. Corredig. 2018. Effect of partial whey protein depletion during
  483 membrane filtration on thermal stability of milk concentrates. Journal of Dairy Science
  484 101(10):8757-8766.
- Rosalina, I. and M. Bhattacharya. 2002. Dynamic rheological measurements and analysis of
  starch gels. Carbohydrate Polymers 48(2):191-202.
- Rynne, N. M., T. P. Beresford, A. L. Kelly, and T. P. Guinee. 2004. Effect of milk
  pasteurization temperature and in situ whey protein denaturation on the composition, texture
  and heat-induced functionality of half-fat Cheddar cheese. International Dairy Journal
  14(11):989-1001.
- 491 Sandra, S., C. Cooper, M. Alexander, and M. Corredig. 2011. Coagulation properties of
  492 ultrafiltered milk retentates measured using rheology and diffusing wave spectroscopy. Food
  493 Research International 44(4):951-956.
- 494 Sauer, A. and C. I. Moraru. 2012. Heat stability of micellar casein concentrates as affected by
  495 temperature and pH. Journal of Dairy Science 95(11):6339-6350.
- 496 Singh, J., A. Khanna, and H. Chander. 1980. Effect of incubation temperature and heat
  497 treatments of milk from cow and buffalo on acid and flavor production by Streptococcus
  498 thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus. Journal of food protection 43(5):399-400.
- Singh, H. and A. Waungana. 2001. Influence of heat treatment of milk on cheesemaking
  properties. International Dairy Journal 11(4-7):543-551.
- 501 St-Gelais, D., C. A. Passey, S. Haché, and P. Roy. 1997. Production of low-fat Cheddar 502 cheese from low and high mineral retentate powders and different fractions of milkfat 503 globules. International Dairy Journal 7(11):733-741.
- St-Gelais, D., M. Piette, and G. Belanger. 1995. Production of Cheddar cheese using milk
  enriched with microfiltered milk retentate. A preliminary study. Milchwissenschaft
  506 50(11):614-619.

- 507 St-Gelais, D., D. Roy, and P. Audet. 1998. Manufacture and composition of low fat Cheddar
  508 cheese from milk enriched with different protein concentrate powders. Food Research
  509 International 31(2):137-145.
- 510 Stulova, I., N. Kabanova, T. Kriščiunaite, T. M. Laht, and R. Vilu. 2011. The effect of milk
- 511 heat treatment on the growth characteristics of lactic acid bacteria. Agron. Res 9:473-478.
- Tunick, M. H. 2010. Small-strain dynamic rheology of food protein networks. Journal of
  Agricultural and Food Chemistry 59(5):1481-1486.
- 514 Van Vliet, T., H. J. M. Van Dijk, P. Zoon, and P. Walstra. 1991. Relation between syneresis
- and rheological properties of particle gels. Colloid and Polymer Science 269(6):620-627.
- 516 Xia, X., J. T. Tobin, P. Sharma, M. Fenelon, P. L. H. McSweeney, and J. J. Sheehan. 2020.
- 517 Application of a cascade membrane filtration process to standardise serum protein depleted
- 518 cheese milk for Cheddar cheese manufacture. International Dairy Journal:104796.
- Zhou, N. and S. J. Mulvaney. 1998. The effect of milk fat, the ratio of casein to water, and
  temperature on the viscoelastic properties of rennet casein gels. Journal of Dairy Science
  81(10):2561-2571.
- 522
- 523
- 524
- 525
- 526
- 527
- 528
- 529
- 530
- 531

## **Figure legends**

- **Figure 1.** Cascade filtration process applied in Cheddar cheese making<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Abbreviation: MF, microfiltration; RO, reverse osmosis; UF, ultrafiltration; UN, un-heated;
- 535 PS, pasteurisation ( $72^{\circ}C \times 15s$ ); HHT, high heat treatment ( $90^{\circ}C \times 15s$ ); MCC, micellar casein
- 536 concentrate; CON, control; CM, cheese milk.
- **Figure 2.** Storage modulus (G') of rennet coagulations formed from cheese milks standardised from control- ( $\Box$ ), pasteurised- ( $\circ$ ), and high heat treated micellar casein concentrate of typical ( $\Delta$ ) or 1.5 times typical casein content ( $\nabla$ ).
- **Figure 3.** Change of pH as a function of cheese manufacture time from cheese milks standardised from control- ( $\Box$ ), pasteurised- ( $\circ$ ), and high heat treated micellar casein concentrate of typical ( $\Delta$ ) or 1.5 times typical casein content ( $\bigtriangledown$ ).

Table 1. Formulations on a weight basis for serum protein reduced cheese milk of different
 thermal history and casein content<sup>1, 2 and 3</sup>

Weight of streams (kg)	CON CM	PS CM	HHT1.0 CM	HHT1.5 CM
Pasteurised cream	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.67
CON MCC <sup>4</sup>	4.27	0	0	0
PS MCC <sup>4</sup>	0	4.27	0	0
HHT MCC <sup>4</sup>	0	0	4.27	6.41
UF permeate <sup>5</sup>	5.06	5.06	5.06	4.72
RO permeate <sup>5</sup>	1.57	1.57	1.57	0

<sup>1</sup>Results are means of triplicate trials;

 $^{2}$ Cheese milk formulations were calculated on a 12kg basis.

<sup>3</sup>Cheese milk were standardised from control micellar casein concentrate (CON CM), pasteurised micellar casein concentrate (PS CM) or high heat treated micellar casein concentrate with typical or 1.5 times typical casein content (HHT1.0 CM, HHT1.5 CM).

<sup>4</sup>CON MCC, control micellar casein concentrate; PS MCC, pasteurised micellar casein concentrate,  $72^{\circ}C \times 15s$ ; HHT MCC, high heat treated micellar casein concentrate,  $90^{\circ}C \times 15s$ .

<sup>5</sup>UF permeate or RO permeate refer to permeate from ultrafiltration or reverse osmosis respectively.

- 570
- 571
- 572
- 573

- 575
- 576
- 577
- 578
- 579
- 580
- 581

Table 2. Composition and pH of control-, pasteurised-, and high heat treated micellar casein concentrate<sup>1, 2</sup>

583	(
202	,

Compositional parameters	CON MCC	PS MCC	HHT MCC			
Total solids (%, wt/wt)	$11.09 \pm 1.30^{a}$	10.96±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	10.99±1.16 <sup>a</sup>			
Total protein (%, wt/wt)	$8.80{\pm}1.05^{a}$	$8.79 {\pm} 1.06^{a}$	$8.76{\pm}1.08^{a}$			
Casein number <sup>3</sup>	$93.64{\pm}0.53^{b}$	$93.88{\pm}0.39^{ab}$	94.59±0.35 <sup>a</sup>			
Serum protein (%, wt/ wt)	$0.52{\pm}0.08^{a}$	$0.52{\pm}0.08^{a}$	$0.52{\pm}0.08^{a}$			
Serum protein denaturation <sup>4</sup>						
NWP (% of TP)	$5.91 \pm 0.30^{a}$	$5.75 \pm 0.28^{a}$	$4.96 \pm 0.22^{b}$			
%WPD	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.69 \pm 0.64^{b}$	$15.97 \pm 2.96^{a}$			
Ash (%, wt/ wt)	$0.94{\pm}0.11^{a}$	$0.92 \pm 0.11^{a}$	$0.93 \pm 0.10^{a}$			
Total Ca (m mol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$73.0{\pm}7.2^{a}$	$72.5 \pm 6.6^{a}$	$71.9 \pm 6.9^{a}$			
pH	$6.90 \pm 0.10^{a}$	6.82±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	6.83±0.12 <sup>a</sup>			
<sup>1</sup> Results are means of triplicate trials, values within a row not sharing the same superscript						

Results are means of triplicate trials, values within a row not sharing the same superscript differ significantly (p<0.05). 

<sup>2</sup>CON MCC, control micellar casein concentrate; PS MCC, pasteurised micellar casein

concentrate, 72°C×15s; HHT MCC, high heat treated micellar casein concentrate, 90°C×15s. 

<sup>3</sup>Casein number (%) =  $\frac{\text{Casein content}}{\text{True protein content}} \times 100.$ 

<sup>4</sup>NWP = native whey protein, expressed as a percentage of total protein; %WPD = percentage of whey protein denaturation, expressed as a percentage of total whey protein. 

Table 3. Composition and pH of serum protein reduced cheese milk of different thermal histories and casein contents  $^{1,2}$ 

Compositional parameters	CON CM	PS CM	HHT1.0 CM	HHT1.5 CM
Total solids (%, wt/wt)	12.50±0.49 <sup>b</sup>	$12.62 \pm 0.53^{b}$	12.81±0.81 <sup>b</sup>	15.51±0.33 <sup>a</sup>
Total protein (%, wt/wt)	$3.45{\pm}0.39^{b}$	$3.44{\pm}0.41^{b}$	$3.44{\pm}0.40^{b}$	$4.74 \pm 0.32^{a}$
Casein number	$88.65 {\pm} 1.74^{a}$	$88.66 \pm 1.73^{a}$	$89.59 \pm 1.42^{a}$	$90.86 \pm 1.18^{a}$
Serum protein denaturation <sup>3</sup>				
NWP (% of TP)	$7.49{\pm}2.51^{a}$	$7.23 \pm 2.80^{a}$	$6.75 \pm 2.19^{a}$	6.42±2.53 <sup>a</sup>
%WPD	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{b}$	9.99±1.19 <sup>a</sup>	$N/A^4$
Casein content (%, wt/wt)	$3.06 \pm 0.39^{b}$	$3.05{\pm}0.40^{b}$	$3.09 \pm 0.39^{b}$	4.31±0.33 <sup>a</sup>
Fat content (%, wt/wt)	$3.93{\pm}0.29^{b}$	$3.86{\pm}0.29^{b}$	$3.91{\pm}0.37^{b}$	$5.58 \pm 0.29^{a}$
Casein: fat ratio	$0.78{\pm}0.06^{a}$	$0.79{\pm}0.05^{a}$	$0.79{\pm}0.04^{a}$	$0.77{\pm}0.02^{a}$
Ash (%, wt/ wt)	$0.73{\pm}0.05^{a}$	$0.75 {\pm} 0.06^{a}$	$0.76 \pm 0.09^{a}$	0.86±0.06 <sup>a</sup>
Calcium				
Total Ca (m mol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$32.34{\pm}1.78^{b}$	$32.22{\pm}1.32^{b}$	$31.28 \pm 3.46^{b}$	$41.42 \pm 1.51^{a}$
Colloidal Ca (m mol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$21.90{\pm}1.30^{b}$	$21.63{\pm}1.87^{b}$	$20.44{\pm}0.51^{b}$	$28.67 \pm 2.23^{a}$
Colloidal Ca /casein	$0.73{\pm}0.13^{a}$	$0.72{\pm}0.14^{a}$	$0.68{\pm}0.07^{a}$	$0.68 \pm 0.12^{a}$
( m mol/g casein)				
Soluble Ca (m mol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	10.44±3.03 <sup>a</sup>	$10.59 \pm 2.92^{a}$	$10.84{\pm}2.95^{a}$	12.75±3.66 <sup>a</sup>
%soluble Ca	$32.01 \pm 7.56^{a}$	$32.69 {\pm} 7.85^{a}$	$34.22 \pm 5.94^{a}$	$30.60 \pm 7.90^{a}$
рН	$6.49 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$6.49{\pm}0.06^{a}$	$6.52{\pm}0.07^{a}$	6.53±0.07 <sup>a</sup>

 $^{-1}$ Results are means of triplicate trials, values within a row not sharing the same superscript differ significantly (p<0.05).

<sup>2</sup>Cheese milk were standardised from control micellar casein concentrate (CON CM), pasteurised micellar casein concentrate (PS CM) or high heat treated micellar casein concentrate with typical or 1.5 times typical casein content (HHT1.0 CM, HHT1.5 CM).

 $^{3}$ NWP = native whey protein, expressed as a percentage of total protein; %WPD = percentage

of whey protein denaturation, expressed as a percentage of total whey protein.

613  $^{4}N/A$ : not available.

614

615

616

Table 4. Gel forming properties of serum protein reduced cheese milk of different thermal
 histories and casein contents <sup>1, 2</sup>

Parameters	CON CM	PS CM	HHT1.0 CM	HHT1.5 CM
Degree of frequency	$0.16 \pm 0.01^{a}$	$0.16 \pm 0.00^{a}$	$0.16 \pm 0.00^{a}$	$0.17 \pm 0.00^{a}$
dependence, n				
RCT $(min)^3$	$11.83 \pm 2.59^{a}$	13.63±3.71 <sup>a</sup>	13.79±3.23 <sup>a</sup>	$15.07 \pm 1.77^{a}$
$A_{40} (Pa)^4$	$164.13 \pm 44.43^{b}$	$162.10 \pm 58.97^{b}$	$132.15{\pm}16.05^{b}$	$288.63 \pm 78.90^{a}$
$K_{35}$ (min) <sup>5</sup>	$18.40{\pm}1.44^{a}$	$20.46 \pm 6.43^{a}$	22.42±3.66 <sup>a</sup>	19.17±0.76 <sup>a</sup>
$K_{70}$ (min) <sup>5</sup>	$22.83{\pm}1.63^{a}$	$24.87 \pm 7.99^{a}$	$27.54 \pm 4.23^{a}$	22.44±2.01 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Results are means of triplicate trials, values within a row not sharing the same superscript differ significantly (p<0.05).

<sup>2</sup>Cheese milk were standardised from control micellar casein concentrate (CON CM),
 pasteurised micellar casein concentrate (PS CM) or high heat treated micellar casein

624 concentrate with typical or 1.5 times typical casein content (HHT1.0 CM, HHT1.5 CM).

 $^{3}$ RCT: the time required for the G' to reach the value of 0.1 Pa after rennet addition.

 ${}^{4}A_{40}$ : the storage modulus (G') of gel 40min after rennet addition.

 $^{5}K_{35}$  or  $K_{70}$ : the time it take for the G' to reach the value of 35 or 70Pa respectively after rennet addition.

Table 5. Manufacture times for Cheddar cheeses made from serum protein reduced cheese
milk of different thermal histories and casein contents <sup>1, 2</sup>

	Manufacture time	CON CM	PS CM	HHT1.0 CM	HHT1.5 CM
	(min)				
	Rennet addition to	$97.73 \pm 37.02^{b}$	$104.64 \pm 17.01^{b}$	$151.28{\pm}21.44^{a}$	165.83±7.78 <sup>a</sup>
	drain				
	Drain to mill	$65.67 \pm 21.13^{a}$	$72.00{\pm}25.94^{a}$	$78.33 \pm 19.86^{a}$	97.33±6.43 <sup>a</sup>
	Total make time <sup>3</sup>	163.40±35.17 <sup>c</sup>	176.64±42.54 <sup>bc</sup>	229.61±16.99 <sup>ab</sup>	263.17±4.31 <sup>a</sup>
644	<sup>1</sup> Results are means of	triplicate trials, v	alues within a rov	w not sharing the s	same superscript
645	differ significantly (p<	0.05).			
646	<sup>2</sup> Cheese milk were s	tandardised from	control micellar	casein concentra	te (CON CM),
647	pasteurised micellar of	casein concentrat	e (PS CM) or h	nigh heat treated	micellar casein
648	concentrate with typica	al or 1.5 times typi	ical casein content	(HHT1.0 CM, HH	T1.5 CM).
649	<sup>3</sup> Total make time: from	n rennet addition to	o drain.		
650					
651					
652					
653					
654					
655					
656					
657					
657					
030					
659					
660					
661					
662					
663					
664					
665					

Compositional	CON cheese	PS cheese	HHT1.0	HHT1.5
parameters			cheese	cheese
Protein content (%)	$26.23 \pm 1.50^{a}$	$25.90 \pm 2.60^{a}$	$26.63 \pm 1.69^{a}$	27.56±1.35 <sup>a</sup>
Fat content (%)	$30.42 \pm 0.81^{a}$	$30.44{\pm}1.11^{a}$	$30.47 \pm 0.97^{a}$	31.40±0.62 <sup>a</sup>
Pro: fat ratio	$0.86{\pm}0.07^{a}$	$0.85 \pm 0.07^{a}$	$0.88{\pm}0.07^{a}$	$0.88 \pm 0.06^{a}$
Moisture content (%)	$36.27 \pm 1.59^{a}$	$36.33 \pm 4.22^{a}$	35.62±1.91 <sup>a</sup>	$33.43 \pm 0.82^{a}$
$FDM(\%)^3$	$47.75 {\pm} 1.77^{a}$	$47.90 \pm 2.15^{a}$	47.36±1.74 <sup>a</sup>	$47.21 \pm 1.40^{a}$
MNFS $(\%)^4$	$52.13 \pm 2.37^{a}$	$52.19 \pm 5.40^{a}$	$51.24{\pm}2.62^{a}$	$48.74{\pm}1.54^{a}$
Salt content (%)	$1.76{\pm}0.10^{a}$	$1.73 \pm 0.09^{a}$	$1.82{\pm}0.07^{a}$	$1.80{\pm}0.18^{a}$
S/M (%) <sup>5</sup>	$4.87{\pm}0.47^{\mathrm{a}}$	$4.79 \pm 0.45^{a}$	5.12±0.44 <sup>a</sup>	$5.40 \pm 0.57^{a}$
Ash content (%)	$3.99{\pm}0.24^{a}$	$3.88 \pm 0.32^{a}$	$4.11 \pm 0.18^{a}$	$4.22 \pm 0.13^{a}$
Ca (mg/100g)	$775.45{\pm}25.03^{a}$	$713.02{\pm}108.66^{a}$	$756.40 \pm 47.35^{a}$	782.81±64.61 <sup>a</sup>
Calcium/protein	$29.66 \pm 2.64^{a}$	$27.43{\pm}1.59^{a}$	$28.41 \pm 0.14^{a}$	$28.37{\pm}1.09^{a}$
(mg/g of protein)				
рН	$5.29{\pm}0.10^{a}$	5.23±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	$5.26 \pm 0.06^{a}$	5.33±0.09 <sup>a</sup>

Table 6. Composition and pH of Cheddar cheeses manufactured from serum protein reduced
 cheese milk of different thermal histories and casein contents at 14 days<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Results are means of triplicate trials, values within a row not sharing the same superscript differ significantly (p<0.05).

<sup>2</sup>Cheddar cheeses were manufactured from cheese milk standardised from control micellar
casein concentrate (CON cheese), pasteurised micellar casein concentrate (PS cheese) or high
heat treated micellar casein concentrate with typical or 1.5 times typical casein content
(HHT1.0 cheese, HHT1.5 cheese).

 $^{3}$ FDM= fat in dry matter.

<sup>675</sup> <sup>4</sup>MNFS=moisture in non-fat substance.

 $^{5}S/M$ =salt in moisture.

- 677
- 678
- 679

- 681
- 682
- 683

Table 7. Recoveries of components and yields of Cheddar cheese made from serum protein

CON cheese	PS cheese	HHT1.0	HHT1.5
		cheese	cheese
$91.90{\pm}1.89^{a}$	$94.83{\pm}1.56^{a}$	$92.21 \pm 3.25^{a}$	$90.58{\pm}4.94^{a}$
90.50±1.32 <sup>a</sup>	$90.51{\pm}1.24^{a}$	91.52±0.49 <sup>a</sup>	$93.73{\pm}0.79^{b}$
$11.87 \pm 0.61^{b}$	$12.00 \pm 0.35^{b}$	11.80±0.69 <sup>b</sup>	$16.07 \pm 0.46^{a}$
$12.31 \pm 0.94^{b}$	$12.44{\pm}1.17^{b}$	$12.36{\pm}1.06^{b}$	$17.39 \pm 0.48^{a}$
10.10±0.45 <sup>a</sup>	10.36±0.78 <sup>a</sup>	10.05±0.53 <sup>a</sup>	$9.65 {\pm} 0.46^{a}$
10.45±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	$10.68 \pm 0.17^{a}$	$10.50 \pm 0.24^{a}$	$10.44{\pm}0.40^{a}$
	CON cheese $91.90\pm1.89^{a}$ $90.50\pm1.32^{a}$ $11.87\pm0.61^{b}$ $12.31\pm0.94^{b}$ $10.10\pm0.45^{a}$ $10.45\pm0.21^{a}$	CON cheesePS cheese $91.90\pm1.89^{a}$ $94.83\pm1.56^{a}$ $90.50\pm1.32^{a}$ $90.51\pm1.24^{a}$ $11.87\pm0.61^{b}$ $12.00\pm0.35^{b}$ $12.31\pm0.94^{b}$ $12.44\pm1.17^{b}$ $10.10\pm0.45^{a}$ $10.36\pm0.78^{a}$ $10.45\pm0.21^{a}$ $10.68\pm0.17^{a}$	CON cheesePS cheeseHHT1.0 cheese $91.90\pm1.89^{a}$ $94.83\pm1.56^{a}$ $92.21\pm3.25^{a}$ $90.50\pm1.32^{a}$ $90.51\pm1.24^{a}$ $91.52\pm0.49^{a}$ $11.87\pm0.61^{b}$ $12.00\pm0.35^{b}$ $11.80\pm0.69^{b}$ $12.31\pm0.94^{b}$ $12.44\pm1.17^{b}$ $12.36\pm1.06^{b}$ $10.10\pm0.45^{a}$ $10.36\pm0.78^{a}$ $10.05\pm0.53^{a}$ $10.45\pm0.21^{a}$ $10.68\pm0.17^{a}$ $10.50\pm0.24^{a}$

reduced cheese milk with different thermal histories and case n contents  $^{1,2}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Results are means of triplicate trials, values within a row not sharing the same

superscript differ significantly (p < 0.05).

<sup>2</sup>Cheddar cheeses were manufactured from cheese milk standardised from control micellar casein concentrate (CON cheese), pasteurised micellar casein concentrate (PS cheese) or high heat treated micellar casein concentrate with typical or 1.5 times typical casein content (HHT1.0 cheese, HHT1.5 cheese).

692 <sup>3</sup>Fat (% of total milk fat) =  $\frac{\text{fat content in cheese } \times \text{ weight of cheese}}{\text{fat content in cheese milk } \times \text{ weight of cheese milk}} \times 100$ 

693 <sup>4</sup>Protein (% of milk protein) =  $\frac{\text{protein content in cheese} \times \text{weight of cheese}}{\text{protein content in cheese milk} \times \text{weight of cheese milk}} \times 100$ 

<sup>5</sup>Y<sub>a</sub>= actual yield (kg/100kg milk); Y<sub>ma</sub>= moistrure-adjusted yield; Y<sub>afcam</sub>= yield per 100kg of milk normalized to reference fat (3.4%, w/w) and casein (2.53%, w/w) levels; Y<sub>mafcam</sub>= moisture-adjusted yield per 100kg of milk normalized to reference fat (3.4%, w/w) and casein (2.53%, w/w) levels.

698

- 700
- 701
- 702





