



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

21st International Grassland Congress / 8th
International Rangeland Congress

Maintaining Grassland Biodiversity While Controlling the Shrub *Rhus glabra*

James Stubbendieck
University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Kay L. Kottas
University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/6-2/15>

The 21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Maintaining grassland biodiversity while controlling the shrub *Rhus glabra*

James Stubbendieck and Kay L. Kottas, Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska, P.O. Box 830915, Lincoln, NE 68583-0915 USA, E-mail: jstubbendieck@unl.edu

Key words : Biodiversity, smooth sumac, *Rhus glabra*, herbicides

Introduction In the United States of America, the tallgrass prairie is a fragmented, threatened ecosystem. Few areas remain intact and many of those are degraded by increasing densities of woody plants. Historically, the resprouting shrub smooth sumac (*Rhus glabra* L.) was a minor species (Weaver and Clements, 1938) kept at low densities and restricted to ravines by a disturbance regime of fire and grazing. These and other processes are limited and some occur no longer (Steinauer and Collins 1996). Therefore, these plants increase rapidly and form dense thickets threatening productivity and biodiversity of the prairies (Tunnell et al. 2006). We tested the use of herbicides and fire to control smooth sumac with the goal of maintaining native forb biodiversity.

Materials and methods The experiment was conducted at Nine Mile Prairie (40°51' N, 96°51' W; 97 ha), a tallgrass prairie remnant 14 km west of Lincoln, Nebraska USA. Annual precipitation averages 718 mm, with a majority occurring from April to October. The dominant soil was Pawnee clay loam (fine, montmorillonitic, mesic Aquic Argiudoll). The study was a randomized complete block with 13 herbicide treatments and one control per block. Three blocks were located in both the burned and non-burned areas. Prescribed burns were conducted in May and herbicide treatments in June. Broadcast spray-applied herbicide treatments per ha were 1.06 and 2.13 kg ae 2,4-D LV Ester; 0.15 kg ae Picloram + 0.56 kg ae 2,4-D LV Ester; 0.20 kg ae Picloram + 0.84 kg ae 2,4-D LV Ester; 1.12 and 2.24 kg ae Triclopyr; 1.26 kg ae Triclopyr + 0.42 kg ae Clopyralid; and 0.56 kg ae Picloram. Wick-applied herbicide treatments per ha were 1.40 kg ae 2,4-D Amine; 0.2 kg ae Picloram + 0.74 kg ae 2,4-D Amine; 1.48 kg ae Triclopyr; 1.11 kg ae Glyphosate; and 0.74 kg ae Picloram. For two years following treatment, live smooth sumac stem densities were counted within a 3 x 7 m quadrat in each plot in mid-September, and forb frequencies were determined in late August using multiple placements of a 0.5 by 0.5 m quadrat. A mixed model analysis of variance was used to assess treatment differences. We focused on the most abundant forbs and the shrub leadplant (*Amorpha canescens* Pursh) because it is an important indicator species of a high quality tallgrass prairie plant community (Stubbendieck and Conard 1989).

Results and discussion Pretreatment stem densities of smooth sumac were not significantly different across all treatments ($P=0.9170$). No interactions between herbicide and burning were detected, but the main effects of herbicide treatment and burning were significant. Smooth sumac stem density in burned plots ($0.27 \text{ stems/m}^2 \pm 0.06$) was greater than stem densities on non-burned plots ($0.14 \text{ stems/m}^2 \pm 0.04$). Stem densities were significantly reduced compared to the control ($P=0.0001$), regardless of the herbicides used or the application method. Forb frequencies varied by treatment. Where 2,4-D, Picloram + 2,4-D, and glyphosate were applied with a wick, forb frequencies two growing seasons after treatment were not different from the control. Forb species richness for the wick treatments was consistently greater than for the spray treatments. Frequencies of leadplant where herbicides were applied with a wick were four times greater than when herbicides were applied as a broadcast spray.

Conclusions Prescribed burning is not an effective control measure for smooth sumac. While most herbicide treatments greatly reduced smooth sumac, the broadcast spray application resulted in decreases in forbs and leadplant. Wick application effectively controlled smooth sumac and prevented decreases in forb frequencies.

References

- Steinauer, E., Collins, S., 1996. *Prairie ecology: The tallgrass prairie*. In: Samson, F., Knopf, F. *Prairie Conservation*. Washington, D.C.: Island Press, 39-52.
- Stubbendieck, J., Conard, E., 1989. *Common Legumes of the Great Plains*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Tunnell, S., Stubbendieck, J., Palazzolo, S., Masters, R., 2006. Reducing smooth sumac dominance in native tallgrass prairie. *Great Plains Research* 16, 45-49.
- Weaver, J., Clements, F., 1938. *Plant Ecology*. New York: McGraw-Hill.